Published in final edited form as:

Acad Pediatr. 2019 ; 19(1): 74–79. doi:10.1016/j.acap.2018.07.005.

Neighborhood Commute to Work Times and Self-Reported Caregiver Health Behaviors and Food Access

Michelle J. White, MD^a, H. Shonna Yin, MD, MS^b, Russell L. Rothman, MD, MPP^c, Lee M. Sanders, MD, MPH^d, Alan Delamater, PhD^e, Kori Flower, MD, MS, MPH^f, and Eliana M. Perrin, MD, MPH^g

^aDivision of General Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, School of Medicine, 231 MacNider, CB#7225, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7225, Phone: (919) 966-2504, Fax: (919) 966-3811

^bDepartments of Pediatrics and Population Health, NYU School of Medicine/Bellevue Hospital Center, 550 First Avenue, NBV 8S4-11, New York, NY 10016, Phone: (212) 562-2821, Fax: (212) 263-8172

^cCenter for Health Services Research, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Suite 6100 Medical Center East, Nashville, TN 37232-8300, Phone: (615) 936-2149, Fax: (615) 936-1269

^dCenter for Policy, Outcomes and Prevention (CPOP), Stanford University, Encina Hall, Room E110, 616 Serra Street, Stanford, CA 94305-6019, Phone: (650) 725-8292, Fax: (650) 723-1919

^eDepartment of Pediatrics, Mailman Center for Child Development, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, PO Box 016820, Miami, FL 33101, Phone: (305) 243-6857, Fax: (305) 243-4512

^fDivision of General Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, School of Medicine, 231 MacNider, CB#7225, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7225, Phone: (919) 966-2504, Fax: (919) 966-3811

^gDivision of Primary Care Pediatrics and Duke Center for Childhood Obesity Research, Department of Pediatrics, Duke University School of Medicine, 4020 N. Roxboro Street, DUMC Box 3675, Durham, NC, USA 27710, Phone: (919) 620-4780, Fax: (919) 620-4776

Abstract

Objectives—Time spent commuting is associated with obesity. The objective of this study was to assess the relationship between neighborhood-level commute to work (CTW) times and self-reported health behaviors and food access.

Corresponding Author: Michelle J. White MD, Division of General Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Medicine, 101 Manning Dr., Campus Box 7225, Chapel Hill, NC, USA 27599, Phone: (919) 966-2504, Fax: 919-966-3811, mjoette@med.unc.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Conflicts of Interest Statement:

The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

Methods—We conducted a cross-sectional analysis of caregivers with infants as part of the Greenlight study, a multisite obesity trial in Chapel Hill, NC; New York, New York; Nashville, TN and Miami, FL. Zip-code based commute estimates were determined using the U.S. Census' American Community Survey. Self-reported health behavior and food access data were collected via directed interview. Logistic and linear regression models determined associations between neighborhood CTW times and health behaviors and food access.

Results—The average neighborhood CTW time for all zip codes was 29 mins (N=846). Caregivers in longer CTW time neighborhoods were more likely to endorse fewer food choices (AOR=1.39; 95% CI 1.15, 1.69; p=0.001) and difficulty accessing markets with fresh produce (AOR=1.51; 95% CI 1.02, 2.25; p=0.04). Neighborhood CTW time >30 mins were associated with less caregiver physical activity (AOR=0.58; 95% CI 0.34, 0.98; p=0.044). Neighborhood CTW time was inversely related to infant television time (adjusted mean 399 min/day for <30min; 256min/day for >30 minutes; p=0.025). New York families in longer CTW neighborhoods were more likely to report difficulty accessing markets with fresh produce (AOR= 1.80, 95% CI 1.03, 3.14; p=0.039).

Conclusions—Neighborhood CTW times are associated with several self-reported health behaviors and perceived food access among caregivers with children. Neighborhood CTW times may represent city-specific features including transportation infrastructure which may impact the health of families.

Keywords

Commuting; obesity; urban planning; physical activity

Introduction

Place of residence confers both health risks and benefits for children.¹ While much of the neighborhood-level research related to childhood obesity has focused on the food environment and proximity to recreational opportunities, other elements of the built environment such as street connectivity and residential density may also contribute to childhood obesity.²⁻⁴

In the United States, the average commute to work (CTW) time, defined as the time required to travel to work one way via personal vehicle or public transit, has increased significantly over the past 25 years.⁵ In 1980 less than 12% of people commuted greater than 45min one way to work, whereas in 2016 17% of people did so.⁵ Moreover, CTW times are not equitably distributed, low income and minority neighborhoods are more likely to lack nearby jobs and therefore have longer neighborhood CTW times.^{6,7}

Longer individual commute times are associated with decreased adult physical activity, as well as reduced time for family activities and food preparation, but little is known about the association between caregivers' commute times and their health behaviors and perceived food access.^{8,9} On a neighborhood level, areas with longer average CTW times and a higher dependency on vehicles tend to have a higher prevalence of obesity.^{10,11} The association between commute time and obesity is thought to be mediated by the reallocation of time

from physical activity and other potentially healthy behaviors to the sedentary, often stressful task of commuting.¹² Noting the potential for neighborhood CTW times to impact families, particularly low-income, minority families which are disproportionately affected by obesity,^{13,14} we sought to explore associations between neighborhood CTW times and self-reported health behaviors and food access using a diverse cohort of caregivers with infants.

Methods

We conducted a secondary cross-sectional analysis of the relationship between neighborhood CTW time and self-reported health behaviors and food access. Data collection occurred at the two-month well-child check, the baseline enrollment time point of the Greenlight study, a randomized childhood obesity prevention trial at 4 pediatric clinics in Miami, FL; Nashville, TN; New York, NY and Chapel Hill, NC.¹⁵ Detailed methods for the Greenlight study have been previously described.¹⁸ Children were enrolled in the study if they were between 6-16 weeks of age, their caregiver spoke English or Spanish, and had no plans to leave the clinic for the next two years. Infant exclusion criteria included infants born prior to 34 weeks gestational age, birth weight less than 1500g, and diagnosis of a chronic medical problem that may affect growth. A detailed behavior assessment was collected by trained providers and research assistants at each site as part of the study protocol.¹⁵ Questions were designed by an expert consensus team and adapted from validated measures including the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) physical activity and dietary survey and the Infant Feeding Style Questionnaire.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ Caregivers provided written and verbal consent according to institutional review board protocols approved at all 4 sites.

Outcome variables were selected for analysis based on the hypothesis that neighborhood CTW time may decrease time available for leisure activities such as tummy time and screen time. We additionally hypothesized that neighborhood CTW time may affect perceived food choice and access. Many of these factors are associated with obesity and are included among current recommendations for the prevention of childhood obesity from organizations including the Institute of Medicine and the American Academy of Pediatrics.^{19,20}

Each outcome measure was based on a single survey item. Caregivers were asked to estimate the minutes per day on a typical day that their infants spent being active on his/her tummy while awake (tummy time); and the amount of time the television was on with the infant in the room (infant passive television time). Caregivers were also asked on how many days in the previous 7 days they were physically active. There were two items assessing food access and food choice “I have difficulty getting to a market where I can buy fresh fruits or vegetables for my child” and “I can make few choices about the food my child eats” with Likert scale response (strongly disagree; disagree; neutral; agree and strongly agree).

Similar to a previous study of commute time at the population level, we used aggregate travel time to work data to estimate neighborhood CTW time.¹⁰ Neighborhood CTW time was determined based on each caregiver’s place of residence using the mean one-way travel to work time for the corresponding zip code tabulation area from the U.S. Census’ American Community Survey (2011-2015).²¹ These data are self-reported and include individuals

using both private and public transportation. Caregivers were excluded from this analysis if they did not provide a zip code of residence, or if that zip code was located outside the states where the study sites were located.

Demographic and neighborhood commute characteristics of the sample were described cumulatively and by site. Due to variable distribution, Likert scale questions were dichotomized for analysis, comparing participants who agreed strongly, agreed somewhat, were neutral and disagreed somewhat to the given statement to participants who strongly disagreed with the given statement. We initially performed bivariate analyses to determine the unadjusted relationships between self-reported health behaviors and food access and neighborhood CTW times (data not shown). Outcomes with statistically significant bivariate relationships were included in multivariate models. Stepwise linear and logistic regression models were used to determine the odds ratios for association of neighborhood CTW time with continuous and dichotomous outcomes respectively. Caregiver and infant age, infant gender, hours per week in childcare, household income, caregiver race/ethnicity, caregiver employment status, caregiver education and site were included in the initial model as covariates due to their potential association with caregiver health behaviors and perceptions.¹⁸ After fitting a model that included neighborhood CTW time and all covariates we used change-in-effect to remove variables from the model. Covariates which changed the fully adjusted odds ratio by >10% when dropped from the model were considered confounders and retained in the final model. Adjusted odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals for the association of neighborhood commute time and health behaviors were calculated from the final model. Outcomes were modeled cumulatively and by site. Due to concern for geographic clustering the multivariate analysis was repeated using generalized estimating equations which demonstrated similar results to the initial analysis. Data were analyzed by using Stata version 14 (Statacorp, TX). For all analyses, a *P* value of < .05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

There were 865 total dyads across the four study sites. Neighborhood CTW estimates were available for 846 dyads (98%). The average age infant age was 9.3 weeks (SD 1.8). (Table 1) Ages ranged from 5.7 weeks to 15.6 weeks. This was a racially and ethnically diverse sample that was largely low-income. Thirty-two percent of caregivers were employed and 73% of caregivers reported having employed partners.

The average neighborhood CTW time for all participant zip codes was 29 minutes (SD 8.1). (Table 1) Neighborhood CTW times ranged from 25 minutes (SD 5.7) for white, non-Hispanic participants to 31 minutes (SD 8.8) for Hispanic participants ($p<0.005$). By household income level, neighborhood CTW times ranged from 30 minutes (SD 8.2) for households earning less than \$10,000 per year to 27 minutes (SD 7.5) for those earning greater than \$40,000 per year ($p<0.005$). Upon site specific analysis, New York residents had a mean CTW time of 40 minutes (SD 5.2) while mean neighborhood CTW times at the other sites were less than 30 minutes ($p<0.005$).

In the multivariate analysis, caregivers residing in areas with longer CTW times were more likely to endorse fewer food choices (AOR=1.39; 95% CI 1.15,1.69; p=0.001) and difficulty accessing markets with fresh produce (AOR= 1.51; 95% CI 1.02, 2.25; p=0.04). (Table 2) Neighborhood CTW time was inversely related to self-reported infant passive television time (adjusted mean 399 min/day for CTW time <30min; 95% CI 366, 430 and 256 min/day; 95% CI 213, 298 for >30 minutes; p=0.025). Neighborhood CTW time >30 minutes was associated with less frequent self-reported caregiver physical activity (AOR=0.58; 95% CI 0.34, 0.98; p=0.044). Infant tummy time was not associated with neighborhood CTW time (AOR= -0.74; 95% CI -8.82, 7.45; p=0.860).

In site-specific analysis, NY-site caregivers with longer neighborhood CTW times were more likely to report difficulty accessing markets with fresh produce (AOR= 1.80, 95% CI 1.03, 3.14; p=0.039). No other site-specific associations were found.

Discussion

The findings of this study suggest important associations between neighborhood CTW times and several health factors: caregiver perceived food choice and access, infant passive television time, and caregiver physical activity. While in most cases these differences were small in magnitude, the cumulative effect of these small differences is unknown as commute times increase across the country. Longitudinal studies may improve our understanding of these associations over time.

Neighborhood CTW times are considered a proxy for job proximity and local transportation infrastructure.^{6,7} Although we note that the majority of our caregivers were mothers who were unemployed at the time of data collection, 73% of caregivers had employed partners. Longer neighborhood CTW times may affect families via caregivers or their partners through longer travel time to work or local errands.

Cumulatively, data from this study support the hypothesis that neighborhood CTW time may affect child health, perhaps by displacing the available time for family leisure time activities whether healthy (caregiver physical activity) or unhealthy (infant passive television time). However, these associations may also be due to other aspects of the built environment which were not measured in this study including the proximity of neighborhood food and recreational resources.^{2,4}

Our results reinforce another tenet of place-based health research: the importance of site-specific differences in associations between environmental factors and family health behaviors. Upon site specific analysis, the association between neighborhood CTW times and difficulty accessing markets with fresh produce was limited to New York. New York City has the nation's longest commute times, and greatest reliance on public transit.^{22,23} Thus New York's unique transportation infrastructure may be more likely to demonstrate commute times as a barrier to market accessibility. This echoes similar findings that associations between obesity and neighborhood commute times vary by level of urbanization.¹¹

Describing associations between aspects of the built environment such as neighborhood CTW times and health factors provides data to support advocacy to improve the built environment to facilitate healthy behaviors.²⁴ For healthcare providers, understanding associations between the neighborhood environment and health behaviors may allow for environmentally-tailored counseling and interventions.²⁵ Due to its association with obesity in adults as well as potential associations with caregiver health behaviors, commute times in particular may be an important factor to consider when providing diet and lifestyle counseling.^{8,9} Future research should include examining associations between family diet and activity and self-reported caregiver commute times.

Because we used neighborhood-level commuting data as opposed to caregiver-reported data, this study is subject to common limitations of an ecological analysis. We cannot infer individual-level, causal relationships between neighborhood CTW times and health factors. However, as many features of urban design are ecological in nature and act at a population level, ecological data are valuable and should prompt additional analyses. Study strengths include a large sample size from four urban sites in the United States, and detailed health data. Study limitations include the use of some unvalidated measures and self-reported outcomes. Finally, health behavior data were collected from only one caregiver and may not reflect the practices of other caregivers with whom the infant spends time.

Conclusions

This is one of the first studies to assess the relationship of neighborhood CTW times to caregiver health behaviors and perceived food access. Our findings provide insight into how neighborhood CTW times may have family health effects potentially due to a tradeoff between time spent in transit and leisure time. Future studies should focus on individually assessed commute times and their effect on the health behaviors of families with children. As commute times increase across the US, particularly in poor and minority communities, elucidating the relationship between commuting and family health behaviors may inform diet and lifestyle counseling and public health efforts to create neighborhoods more conducive to healthy behaviors.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health grants: HRSA T32-HP14001 (White) and NIH/NICHD R01 HD049794 (Yin, Rothman, Sanders, Delamater, Perrin). The study sponsors had no role in study design, collection, analysis and interpretation, the writing of the report and the decision to submit for publication.

References

1. Krieger N, Chen JT, Waterman PD, Rehkopf DH, Subramanian SV. Painting a truer picture of US socioeconomic and racial/ethnic health inequalities: the Public Health Disparities Geocoding Project. *Am J Public Health*. 2005; 95(2):312–323. DOI: 10.2105/AJPH.2003.032482 [PubMed: 15671470]
2. Salois MJ. The built environment and obesity among low-income preschool children. *Health Place*. 2012; 18(3):520–527. DOI: 10.1016/j.healthplace.2012.02.002 [PubMed: 22390893]
3. Sharifi M, Sequist TD, Rifas-Shiman SL, et al. The role of neighborhood characteristics and the built environment in understanding racial/ethnic disparities in childhood obesity. *Prev Med (Baltim)*. 2016; 91:103–109. DOI: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2016.07.009

4. Dunton GF, Kaplan J, Wolch J, Jerrett M, Reynolds KD. Physical environmental correlates of childhood obesity: a systematic review. *Obes Rev*. 2009; 10(4):393–402. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-789X.2009.00572.x [PubMed: 19389058]
5. Ingraham, C. The astonishing human potential wasted on commutestle. *The Washington Post*. https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2016/02/25/how-much-of-your-life-youre-wasting-on-your-commute/?utm_term=.81da65e3c141. Published February 26, 2016
6. Gobillon, L, Selod, H. *Handbook of Regional Science*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2014. Spatial Mismatch, Poverty, and Vulnerable Populations; 93–107.
7. Harms W. Longer Commutes Disadvantage African American Workers. 2014
8. Christian TJ. Trade-offs between commuting time and health-related activities. *J Urban Health*. 2012; 89(5):746–757. DOI: 10.1007/s11524-012-9678-6 [PubMed: 22689293]
9. Christian TJ. Automobile commuting duration and the quantity of time spent with spouse, children, and friends. *Prev Med (Baltim)*. 2012; 55(3):215–218. DOI: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2012.06.015
10. Lopez-Zetina J, Lee H, Friis R. The link between obesity and the built environment. Evidence from an ecological analysis of obesity and vehicle miles of travel in California. *Health Place*. 2006; 12(4):656–664. DOI: 10.1016/j.healthplace.2005.09.001 [PubMed: 16253540]
11. Zhang X, Holt JB, Lu H, et al. Neighborhood commuting environment and obesity in the United States: an urban-rural stratified multilevel analysis. *Prev Med (Baltim)*. 2014; 59:31–36. DOI: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2013.11.004
12. Hoehner CM, Barlow CE, Allen P, Schootman M. Commuting distance, cardiorespiratory fitness, and metabolic risk. *Am J Prev Med*. 2012; 42(6):571–578. DOI: 10.1016/j.amepre.2012.02.020 [PubMed: 22608372]
13. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of obesity and trends in body mass index among US children and adolescents, 1999–2010. *JAMA*. 2012; 307(5):483–490. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2012.40 [PubMed: 22253364]
14. Shrewsbury V, Wardle J. Socioeconomic status and adiposity in childhood: a systematic review of cross-sectional studies 1990–2005. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2008; 16(2):275–284. DOI: 10.1038/oby.2007.35 [PubMed: 18239633]
15. Sanders LM, Perrin EM, Yin HS, Bronaugh A, Rothman RL, Greenlight Study Team. ‘Greenlight study’: a controlled trial of low-literacy, early childhood obesity prevention. *Pediatrics*. 2014; 133(6):e1724–37. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2013-3867 [PubMed: 24819570]
16. Thompson AL, Mendez MA, Borja JB, Adair LS, Zimmer CR, Bentley ME. Development and validation of the Infant Feeding Style Questionnaire. *Appetite*. 2009; 53(2):210–221. DOI: 10.1016/j.appet.2009.06.010 [PubMed: 19576254]
17. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. NHANES - National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Homepage. <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/index.htm>. Accessed May 17, 2018
18. Perrin EM, Rothman RL, Sanders LM, et al. Racial and ethnic differences associated with feeding- and activity-related behaviors in infants. *Pediatrics*. 2014; 133(4):e857–67. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2013-1326 [PubMed: 24639273]
19. Daniels SR, Hassink SG. CLINICAL REPORT Guidance for the Clinician in Rendering Pediatric Care The Role of the Pediatrician in Primary Prevention of Obesity. 2015; doi: 10.1542/peds.2015-1558
20. McGuire, S, Institute of Medicine (IOM). *Early Childhood Obesity Prevention Policies*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2011. *Adv Nutr*. 2012; 3(1):56–57. DOI: 10.3945/an.111.001347 [PubMed: 22332102]
21. United States Census. American Community Survey.
22. The Pew Research Center. » New York leads US in public transit use. http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2016/04/07/who-relies-on-public-transit-in-the-u-s/ft_16-04-06_pubtrans_metro/. Accessed May 17, 2018
23. Goldenberg, S. Report: Commute time for NYC residents longest in the nation. <https://www.politico.com/states/new-york/city-hall/story/2015/03/report-commute-time-for-nyc-residents-longest-in-the-nation-020554>. Accessed May 17, 2018

24. Sallis JF, Cutter CL, Lou D, et al. Active living research: creating and using evidence to support childhood obesity prevention. *Am J Prev Med*. 2014; 46(2):195–207. DOI: 10.1016/j.amepre.2013.10.019 [PubMed: 24439355]
25. Beck AF, Sandel MT, Ryan PH, Kahn RS. Mapping Neighborhood Health Geomarkers To Clinical Care Decisions To Promote Equity In Child Health. *Health Aff*. 2017; 36(6):999–1005. DOI: 10.1377/hlthaff.2016.1425

What's New

United States commute times are increasing, raising concerns about the health effects of commuting. We show that neighborhood-level commute to work times are associated with obesogenic health factors including physical activity and perceived food access.

Table 1
Demographic Data and Neighborhood Commute to Work Characteristics, All Sites (N=846)

Site	All sites		Chapel Hill		Nashville		New York		Miami	
Characteristics	N (%) or mean (SD)	Average Neighborhood Commute to Work Time in Minutes, mean (SD)	N (%) or mean (SD)	Average Neighborhood Commute to Work Time in Minutes, mean (SD)	N (%) or mean (SD)	Average Neighborhood Commute to Work Time in Minutes, mean (SD)	N (%) or mean (SD)	Average Neighborhood Commute to Work Time in Minutes, mean (SD)	N (%) or mean (SD)	Average Neighborhood Commute to Work Time in Minutes, mean (SD)
All	846 (100)	29 (8.1)	254 (27)	23 (3.2)	224 (27)	24 (3.2)	223 (26)	40 (5.2)	145 (17)	29 (3.5)
Child Age (weeks)	9.3 (1.8)	---	9.9 (1.7)	---	8.9 (1.6)	---	9.2 (1.7)	---	8.9 (1.9)	---
Child Gender, girl	433 (51)	---	126 (49)	---	120 (52)	---	124 (54)	---	73 (49)	---
Caregiver, Mother	822 (96)	---	241 (94)	---	219 (98)	---	217 (97)	---	134 (92)	---
Caregiver Race/Ethnicity										
Hispanic	423 (50)	31 (8.8)	97 (38)	23 (3.2)	78 (34)	24 (3.0)	180 (79)	40 (5.1)	76 (51)	28 (4.0)
Black, Non-Hispanic	238 (28)	26 (6.1)	85 (33)	22 (2.4)	74 (32)	24 (3.9)	22 (9.6)	40 (5.9)	58 (39)	29 (2.1)
White, Non-Hispanic	154 (18)	25 (5.7)	64 (25)	23.5 (2.9)	73 (32)	24 (2.8)	16 (7.0)	40 (4.3)	11 (7.3)	23.6 (3.7)
Other, Non-Hispanic	31 (4)	30 (9.3)	10 (3.9)	22 (3.2)	5 (2.2)	24 (2.1)	11 (4.8)	40 (7.8)	5 (3.3)	31 (1.7)
Caregiver Employed	277 (32)	26 (6.5)	97 (38)	23 (3.5)	91 (40)	24 (2.8)	35 (15)	40 (4.0)	53 (36)	28 (3.8)
Caregiver with employed partner	619 (73)	29 (8.6)	191 (75)	23 (3.1)	150 (66)	24 (2.9)	191 (83)	40 (5.1)	87 (60)	28 (3.8)
Household Income										
<\$10,000	296 (35)	30 (8.2)	79 (31)	23 (3.0)	62 (27)	25 (3.8)	91 (40)	40 (5.3)	72 (48)	29 (3.1)
\$10,000–19,999	223 (26)	28 (8.4)	70 (27)	22 (3.0)	77 (34)	23 (3.3)	56 (25)	40 (5.0)	25 (17)	29 (2.5)
\$20,000–39,999	198 (23)	29 (7.8)	59 (23)	23 (3.0)	61 (27)	24 (2.8)	57 (25)	39 (5.5)	25 (17)	28 (3.2)

Site	All sites		Chapel Hill		Nashville		New York		Miami	
Characteristics	N (%) or mean (SD)	Average Neighborhood Commute to Work Time in Minutes, mean (SD)	N (%) or mean (SD)	Average Neighborhood Commute to Work Time in Minutes, mean (SD)	N (%) or mean (SD)	Average Neighborhood Commute to Work Time in Minutes, mean (SD)	N (%) or mean (SD)	Average Neighborhood Commute to Work Time in Minutes, mean (SD)	N (%) or mean (SD)	Average Neighborhood Commute to Work Time in Minutes, mean (SD)
\$40 000 or more	129 (15)	27 (7.5)	48 (19)	23 (3.7)	30 (13)	24 (2.5)	25 (11)	40 (5.1)	28 (19)	27 (4.9)

Table 2

Adjusted Association of Neighborhood Commute to Work Times and Self-Reported Caregiver Health Behaviors and Food Access (n=846)[/]

Health Behavior/Perception	Adjusted OR or beta coefficient for every 10 minutes commuting (95% CI), P	Adjusted OR or adjusted mean for commuting greater than 30min (95% CI), P
How much is the television on each day when [child's first name] is in the room (even if [child's first name] is "not watching")? (min/day)	-22.53 (-85.53,40.46), 0.483	>30min: 256 (213, 298) <30min: 399 (366, 430), 0.025
On most days, how much total time does [child's first name] typically spend being active on his/her tummy while awake (min/day)	-0.74 (-8.92, 7.45), 0.860	>30min: 29.59 (24.28, 34.90) <30min: 31.80 (27.82, 35.78), 0.524
During the past 7 days, on how many days were you physically active for a total of at least 30 minutes per day? (days/week)	0.83 (0.57,1.21), 0.345	0.58 (0.34, 0.98), 0.044
I can make few choices about the food my child eats	1.39 (1.15,1.69), 0.001	1.78 (1.30, 2.45), <0.001
I have difficulty getting to a market where I can buy fresh fruits or vegetables for my child	1.51 (1.02, 2.25), 0.04	1.23 (0.71, 2.13), 0.468
New York Site (N=223)		
I have difficulty getting to a market where I can buy fresh fruits or vegetables for my child	1.80 (1.03, 3.14), 0.039	1.94 (0.33,11.43), 0.462

[/] Stepwise linear or logistic regression with initial covariates: caregiver and infant age; infant gender; hours per week in childcare; household income; caregiver education; caregiver race/ethnicity; caregiver employment status; site