Published in final edited form as:

J Alzheimers Dis. 2017 ; 59(4): 1269–1282. doi:10.3233/JAD-170286.

The Relationship of Brain Amyloid Load and APOE Status to Regional Cortical Thinning and Cognition in the ADNI Cohort

Chunfei Li^a, David A. Loewenstein^{b,c,d}, Ranjan Duara^{b,d,e}, Mercedes Cabrerizo^a, Warren Barker^{b,e}, Malek Adjouadi^{a,e,*}, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative¹, and Saeid Taheri [Handling Associate Editor]

^aDepartment of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Center for Advanced Technology and Education, Florida International University, Miami, FL, USA

^bWien Center for Alzheimer's Disease and Memory Disorders, Mount Sinai Medical Center, Miami Beach, FL, USA

^cDepartment of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Center on Aging and Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami, Miami, FL, USA

^dDepartments of Neurology, University of Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL, USA and Herbert Wertheim College of Medicine, Florida International University, Miami, FL, USA

^e1Florida ADRC (Florida Alzheimer's Disease Research Center) at Gainesville, Miami Beach, Miami, FL, USA and Boca Raton, FL, USA

Abstract

Background: Both amyloid (A β) load and APOE4 allele are associated with neurodegenerative changes in Alzheimer's disease (AD) prone regions and with risk for cognitive impairment.

Objective: To evaluate the unique and independent contribution of APOE4 allele status (E4+ \E4-), A β status (Amy+ \Amy-), and combined APOE4 and A β status on regional cortical thickness (CoTh) and cognition among participants diagnosed as cognitively normal (CN, n = 251), early mild cognitive impairment (EMCI, n = 207), late mild cognitive impairment (LMCI, n = 196), and mild AD (n = 162) from the ADNI.

Methods: A series of two-way ANCOVAs with *post-hoc* Tukey HSD tests, controlling independently for A β and APOE4 status and age were examined.

Results: Among LMCI and AD participants, cortical thinning was widespread in association with Amy+ status, whereas in association with E4+ status only in the inferior temporal and medial orbito-frontal regions. Among CN and EMCI participants, E4+ status, but not Amy+ status, was

¹Data used in preparation of this article were obtained from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (<http://adni.loni.usc.edu>). As such, the investigators within the ADNI contributed to the design and implementation of ADNI and/or provided data but did not participate in analysis or writing of this report. A complete listing of ADNI investigators can be found at: http://adni.loni.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/how_to_apply/ADNI_Acknowledgement_List.pdf

*Correspondence to: Malek Adjouadi, Center for Advanced Technology and Education, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, College of Engineering and Computing, Florida International University, 10555W. Flagler Street. Miami, FL, 33174, USA. Tel.: +1 305 348 3019; adjouadi@fiu.edu.

Authors' disclosures available online (<http://j-alz.com/manuscript-disclosures/17-0286r1>).

independently associated with increased CoTh, especially in limbic regions [e.g., in the entorhinal cortex, CoTh was 0.123 mm greater ($p = 0.002$) among E4+ than E4- participants]. Among CN and EMCI, both E4+ and Amy+ status were independently associated with cognitive impairment, which was greatest among those with combined E4 + and Amy+ status.

Conclusion: Decreased CoTh is independently associated with Amy+ status in many brain regions, but with E4+ status in very restricted number of brain regions. Among CN and EMCI participants, E4 + status is associated with increased CoTh, in medial and inferior temporal regions, although cognitive impairment at this state is independently associated with Amy+ and E4 + status. These findings imply a unique pathophysiological mechanism for E4 + status in AD and its progression.

Keywords

ADNI; Alzheimer's disease; amyloid; APOE; cortical thinning; memory; mild cognitive impairment

INTRODUCTION

According to the amyloid hypothesis [1], deposition of amyloid- β protein ($A\beta$) in the neocortex, is the initiating event in the pathophysiology of Alzheimer's disease (AD) and occurs 15 to 20 years before the first symptoms of the disease. This leads to downstream events including neurodegeneration and ultimately cognitive and functional impairment. Recent neuropathological diagnostic criteria for AD are based upon this hypothesis, incorporating the Thal phase schema of a stereotypic pattern of $A\beta$ accumulation, anteceding Braak staging of neurofibrillary tangle pathology in the brain, with a continuous relationship existing between brain $A\beta$ load and neurodegenerative changes [2]. There is also considerable evidence that APOE E4 (APOE4) carrier (E4+) status is associated with greater $A\beta$ load in normal individuals as well as in all stages of AD, possibly as a result of the effect of APOE4 genotype on impaired clearance of $A\beta$ protein [3, 4]. Aging and E4+ status are among the most strongly associated factors with increased risk for AD [3–5].

Deposition of $A\beta$ *in vivo* is detectable with positron emission tomography (PET) scans, using an $A\beta$ binding ligand, or by measuring $A\beta$ levels in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), whereas downstream events such as neurodegeneration are detectable using volumetric measures of regional atrophy (especially hippocampal atrophy) and reduced cortical thickness (CoTh) on structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans, as well as deficits in regional cerebral glucose metabolism on PET scans. Recent clinical criteria for the diagnosis of AD dementia and Prodromal AD (NIA-AA and IWG criteria) rely on combinations of "positive biomarkers" in the presence of functional and/or cognitive impairment with high, intermediate or low levels of likelihood [6, 7] based on the presence of $A\beta$ and neurodegenerative biomarkers. The presence of $A\beta$ biomarkers in the absence of cognitive and functional impairment fulfills criteria for a diagnosis of preclinical AD.

Although it is well accepted that $A\beta$ load, APOE4 status, and neurodegeneration are strongly interrelated [8], the presence and strength of the relationships between these factors and their independent effects on cortical thinning and cognition are not well understood at

different stages of disease. As emerging treatments are developed, it is increasingly important to understand these independent relationships prior to developing appropriate disease modifying treatments for AD.

The relationship between E4+status and higher A β load is well known, as is the relationship of both E4 + status and higher A β load to a greater risk for developing Alzheimer's disease and a greater degree of neurodegeneration [4, 9–11]. However, the relationship of APOE4 status to neurodegeneration and cognitive decline, independent of A β load, and the relationship of A β load to neurodegeneration and cognitive decline, independent of APOE4 status, are not known and to our knowledge have not been studied thus far.

In the present study, we examined both the combined and independent associations between global A β load, APOE4 status, and regional CoTh among four different diagnostic groups in the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) sample, including cognitively normal (CN), early mild cognitive impairment (EMCI), late mild cognitive impairment (LMCI), or AD dementia participants. A unique aspect of this investigation was to determine the independent and combined effects of APOE4 status and A β load on regional CoTh and cognition among individuals presenting with minimal (EMCI) or no overt memory impairment (CN).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study data

Data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the ADNI database (<http://adni.loni.usc.edu>). The ADNI was launched in 2003 as a public-private partnership, led by Principal Investigator Michael W. Weiner, MD. The primary goal of ADNI has been to test whether serial MRI, PET, other biological markers, and clinical and neuropsychological assessment can be combined to measure the progression of MCI and early AD.

Evaluations

Evaluations included: (1) Medical and neurological evaluation and routine labs; (2) Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) scale, which was used as the index of functional ability [12]; (3) neuropsychological assessment, including the ADAS Cog 13 (ADAS13) and Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE) subtests, used as the global index of cognitive ability [13], and the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT) and subtests, used as the index of memory performance [14]; (4) volumetric MRI; and (5) ^{18}F -AV45 amyloid PET scans. The time gap between MRI and PET scans was less than 3 months. A blood sample for assessment of APOE genotype was also obtained.

Baseline demographic, clinical, and APOE data were compared for 906 subjects, diagnosed as CN (251), EMCI (297), LMCI (196), or AD (162), as shown in Table 1. Subjects with one or more APOE4 alleles, i.e., APOE4 carriers, were classified as E4+, while those with no APOE4 alleles, i.e., APOE4 noncarrier, were classified as E4–.

Neuroimaging acquisition

MRI scans were acquired from 1.5T or 3T scanners at multiple sites across the United States and Canada using MP-RAGE/IR-SPGR protocols for volumetric analyses. ^{18}F -AV45 PET scans were acquired 50 minutes following administration of 370 MBq (10mCi) bolus injection of ^{18}F -AV45, over a 20-min scanning period and images were reconstructed immediately afterwards. Details of MRI and AV45 PET imaging data acquisition and pre-processing can be found in the aforementioned ADNI website.

Image processing

MRI image processing—FreeSurfer pipeline (version 5.3.0) [15] was applied to the MRI scans under centos4_x86_64 Linux system to produce cortical and subcortical volumetric variables. The original MRI scan was first mapped to the standard MNI 305 space, yielding the image referred to as T1.mgz, which was used as the reference image in the following registration procedure. Based on the T1 image, the corresponding image file termed as aparc + aseg.mgz provides the FreeSurfer parcellated and segmented cortical and subcortical regions. CoTh, surface area, and volume were then calculated as morphological variables on each of the 34 cortical regions for both hemispheres as well as the volume on each of the 45 subcortical regions of the whole brain.

In this study, regional CoTh in AD signature regions, previously identified by several groups [16, 17] was evaluated. These include the entorhinal cortex (ERC), parahippocampal gyrus (PHG), inferior temporal gyrus (ITG), temporal pole (TP), medial orbital frontal gyrus, superior temporal gyrus, rostral middle frontal gyrus, inferior parietal lobule (including angular gyrus), superior parietal lobule, supramarginal gyrus, precuneus, posterior cingulate gyrus, and the mean CoTh based on the aforementioned regions.

PET and MRI registration—In order to quantify the A β load from the PET scans, FMRIB Software Library (FSL) [18] was then used to co-register the PET image to the aforementioned T1 image. Considering the relatively low resolution of the PET image and to utilize as much information from PET as possible, the AV45 PET scan, with the skull, were co-registered linearly (i.e., trilinear interpolation) with 12 degrees of freedom onto the T1 image. Such a registration process guaranteed that the AV45 PET image had the same accurate segmentation and parcellation as in the MRI. Thus, the mean A β load of each of the FreeSurfer defined regions can be calculated, which was used later to calculate the global A β load value.

Global A β load calculation—The registered AV45 PET with the aparc+aseg image was first inspected to ensure appropriate calculations of the mean A β uptake value (SUV) of all the FreeSurfer-defined regions (ROIs) as expressed in (1).

$$SUV_{ROI_k} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{ROI_k}} Val_i}{N_{ROI_i}} \quad (1)$$

where SUV_{ROI_k} represents the mean A β uptake value of the region ROI_k , with N_{ROI_k} representing the number of voxels labeled as region ROI_k in the aparc+ aseg image, and Val_i represents the intensity of voxel i in the PET scan.

The SUV of the whole cerebellum, consisting of four subcortical regions (left/right cerebellum white matter and left/right cerebellum cortex), was then calculated using (2), accounting for the varying sizes of the subregions. The SUV of the global cortical was computed in the same way, i.e., volume-weighted mean of all 68 cortical ROIs as expressed in (2).

$$SUV_{CB} = \frac{SUV_{SR_1} \times V_{SR_1} + SUV_{SR_2} \times V_{SR_2} + \dots + SUV_{SR_N} \times V_{SR_N}}{V_{SR_1} + V_{SR_2} + \dots + V_{SR_N}} \quad (2)$$

where CB represents the combined region of N ROIs (4 and 68 for the cerebellum and global cortical, respectively), with SUV_{SR_i} representing the SUV of ROI_i , and V_{SR_i} represents the volume of ROI_i .

The standard uptake value ratio (SUVR), relative to the cerebellum, as given in Equation 3, was defined and considered as the global A β load representation.

$$SUVR = \frac{SUV_{globalcortical}}{SUV_{cerebellum}} \quad (3)$$

A widely-used threshold value of 1.10 is used here to delineate A β positive (Amy+, SUVR > 1.10) and A β negative (Amy-, SUVR < 1.10) status [19, 20].

Statistical methods

The statistical analysis was performed using R software (R 3.3.0) [21] and the default significant level was determined as 0.05. To examine the independent effect of A β load on regional CoTh, by controlling for the effects of APOE4 status, two-way analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), i.e., 4 (diagnosis: CN, EMCI, LMCI, AD) by 2 (A β load status: Amy+, Amy-) ANCOVA, was conducted per region, with APOE4 status (E4+, E4-) entered as categorical covariates.

Similarly, to investigate the individual effects of APOE4 status after controlling for global A β load, a series of 4 (diagnosis: CN, EMCI, LMCI, AD) by 2 (APOE4 status: E4+, E4-) ANCOVAs were conducted, with global A β load (SUVR) as an interval level covariate. As a complementary analysis, to assess the earliest individual effects of APOE4 status, a series of 2 (diagnosis: CN, EMCI) by 2 (APOE4 status: E4+, E4-) ANCOVAs were performed among 548 individuals diagnosed as CN or EMCI.

To examine the earliest combined effects of both APOE4 status and A β load status on regional CoTh and on several cognitive variables (MMSE, RAVLT sub scores, and ADAS13

score), we focused on above-mentioned CN and EMCI participants ($n = 548$), and divided them into four groups: E4-/Amy- ($n = 241$), E4-/Amy+ ($n = 112$), E4+/Amy- ($n = 73$), and E4+/Amy+ ($n = 122$). A series of one-way ANCOVAs were conducted, using age as a covariate, and with CoTh or cognitive scores as the dependent variables.

Multiple comparison correction was performed in all aforementioned ANCOVA analyses to control the false discovery rate (FDR). Statistically significant results (FDR-adjusted p value < 0.05) were further examined using *post hoc* Tukey HSD test.

RESULTS

E4+ status was associated with higher A β load across all diagnostic groups, as shown in Table 2 and Fig. 1.

Effect of A β load status on CoTh after controlling for APOE4 status

Results for the left hemisphere only are reported, since findings in both the left and right hemispheres were similar. As can be seen in Table 3 and Fig. 2, there was a statistically significant main effect for A β load, accounting for the effect of APOE4 status, on CoTh, for all brain regions examined, with the exception of the TP, superior frontal gyrus, and rostral middle frontal gyrus. The most significant effects were noted in the inferior temporal gyrus (ITG) ($F = 31.18$, diff (Amy+ - Amy-) = -0.099), inferior parietal lobule ($F = 20.82$, diff = -0.068), precuneus ($F = 16.55$, diff = -0.058), the mean CoTh for all regions ($F = 15.6$, diff = -0.046), ERC ($F = 14.59$, diff = -0.159), and supramarginal gyrus ($F = 10.98$, diff = -0.051). In all these regions Amy+ status was associated with reduced CoTh, adjusting for the effects of APOE4 status.

Table 3 also shows a strong main effect for diagnosis. Using *post hoc* tests (Tukey HSD), it was found that CoTh was reduced among AD participants compared to the three other diagnostic groups, and also among LMCI participants, as compared to EMCI and CN participants. This pattern appeared to hold for every brain region included in these analyses, with the exception of the superior parietal lobule, where EMCI participants had greater CoTh than the other diagnostic groups, which did not statistically differ from each other. With rare exceptions, CoTh was equivalent between CN and EMCI participants. In the supramarginal gyrus and precuneus, CoTh was greater in EMCI than in CN participants. Statistically significant interaction terms (diagnosis with A β load status) were observed for the ITG and inferior parietal lobule, in which Amy+ status was associated with reduced CoTh only in the LMCI and the AD stages.

Effect of APOE4 status on CoTh after controlling for A β load

From Table 4, it can be seen that the diagnostic group effect was similar to the pattern observed in Table 3, i.e., AD patients had less CoTh than the other study groups and that LMCI participants had less CoTh than EMCI and CN participants, while CoTh was equivalent between CN and EMCI participants. An inspection of the regional CoTh by APOE4 status in Fig. 3 shows that for most brain regions analyzed, CoTh among CN and EMCI participants is numerically greater among those who are E4+ than E4-. However, the reverse is generally true among LMCI and particularly AD participants, for whom CoTh is

generally lower among E4+ than E4- participants. After adjusting for global A β load (SUVR), there was a main effect for APOE4 status only in the ITG [diff(E4+ - E4-) = 0.048 mm, $F = 9.99$, $p = 0.0016$] and medial orbitofrontal gyrus (diff = 0.027 mm, $F = 4.83$, $p = 0.028$), in which it can be observed that CoTh was overall greater among E4+ than among E4- participants (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the interaction term in Table 4 shows significant difference only in the ERC, where the CoTh is greater only among CN and EMCI participants who are E4+ as compared to those who are E4-, whereas among LMCI and AD participants, CoTh is greater among E4- as compared to E4+ participants (Fig. 3).

Importantly, when CN and EMCI subjects were analyzed independently (Table 5), E4+ status (controlling for A β load) was associated with increased CoTh in the ERC (diff = 0.123 mm, $F = 9.68$, $p = 0.002$), PHG (diff = 0.082 mm, $F = 6.02$, $p = 0.014$), ITG (diff = 0.059 mm, $F = 12.56$, $p = 0.0004$), and TP (diff = 0.091 mm, $F = 7.47$, $p = 0.006$).

Combined effect of APOE4 status and A β load status on CoTh among CN and EMCI groups

Among CN and EMCI groups, there were prominent age effects on CoTh in almost every brain region, each with a different combination of A β and APOE4 status. However, once such age effects were accounted for, there was no difference in CoTh measure in these two groups in any brain region.

Combined effect of APOE4 status and A β load status on cognitive variables among CN and EMCI groups

From Table 6 and Fig. 4, it can be seen that after accounting for age, there was a significant effect on all assessed cognitive scores: (a) the E4+/Amy+ group showed more impairment than the E4-/Amy- group on the MMSE score ($p < 0.001$); (b) the E4+/Amy+ group was more impaired than the E4-/Amy- ($p < 0.001$), as well as the E4-/Amy+ groups ($p = 0.005$) on the RAVLT (immediate) memory test; (c) the E4+/Amy+ group was more impaired than the E4-/Amy- group on the RAVLT percent forgetting ($p = 0.018$); and (d) the E4+/Amy+ group had more impaired ADAS13 scores as compared to all other combinations of E4+/- and Amy+/- (all $p < 0.001$).

DISCUSSION

This study represents a first attempt to disentangle the complex inter-relationships between A β load, APOE4 genotype, regional CoTh, and cognition among well-defined diagnostic groups in ADNI. Previous studies have shown that: (1) higher global A β load and E4+ status are associated with a greater risk of progression from CN to MCI, and MCI to AD [22, 23]; (2) higher A β load is associated with reduced CoTh, but with subtle impairment of cognition in the CN and MCI stage [24–33]; (3) E4+ status is associated with an earlier age of onset of A β positivity and of AD, greater A β levels in the brain, reduced hippocampal volumes and CoTh in limbic and neocortical regions, and subtle cognitive deficits in CN individuals [4, 5, 34–43]; (4) further, irrespective of APOE4 status, reduced hippocampal volumes and CoTh in various brain regions, especially those regions characterized as AD signature regions, are associated with impaired memory and general cognition, as well as a greater risk for

progression from CN to MCI and MCI to AD [44]. However, there is currently no consensus regarding the extent to which A β load status and APOE4 status, independently and in combination, are associated with neurodegenerative changes in AD prone regions and with cognitive impairment.

It is important to note that both trophic and toxic effects of A β peptide are known and they may not necessarily be mutually exclusive. The toxic effects may be mediated by different mechanisms, such as oxidative stress, inflammation, mitochondrial diffusion, alterations in membrane permeability, synaptic dysfunction, and excitotoxicity through its interaction with neurotransmitter receptors. These effects contribute significantly to the neuronal damage seen in AD, which may be associated with A β itself, including high concentrations in fibrillar or aggregated states, interaction with free metals, interactions with previously injured or aged brain tissue and with decreased antioxidative mechanisms [45–48].

We devised our analytic strategy to investigate, among 906 participants in the ADNI-1/ADNI-Go and ADNI-2 cohorts, the unique and independent contributions of those elements, which are considered as upstream factors (i.e., A β load status and APOE4 status), on downstream factors (regional CoTh and cognitive measures tapping memory and general cognition). We also investigated the individual and additive effects of E4+ and Amy+ status on CoTh and cognitive performance, in the earliest stages of neurodegeneration, using different combinations of APOE4 status and A β load status (E4–/Amy–, E4+ /Amy–, E4–/Amy+ and E4+ /Amy+) among CN and EMCI participants.

Our results confirmed previous reports showing that E4+ status is associated with increased A β load among all stages of AD (Table 2). Amy+ status, among all participants, was found to be associated with reduced CoTh in many AD vulnerable regions, independent of the effects of E4+ status (Table 3) [28, 29, 49, 50], but E4+ status was associated with reduced CoTh in restricted brain regions, and only among LMCI and AD participants (Table 4). Unexpectedly, E4+status was associated with *increased* CoTh in some of the most vulnerable brain regions to AD pathology (i.e., the ERC, PHG, and ITG) in the preclinical and very early stages of AD (i.e., among CN and EMCI participants) (Table 5).

Even though we found there was no observed cortical thinning in association with Amy+ status, and there was cortical thickening in association with E4+ status, among CN and EMCI participants, there was impairment on all cognitive tests among these participants, in association with either Amy+ and E4+ status, independently and to the greatest extent with combined Amy+ and E4+status (Table 6). Global cognitive scores (ADAS13), among CN and EMCI participants, were significantly impaired among E4+ /Amy+groups as compared to all other groups, including those who were E4+/Amy–, suggesting that the presence of Amy+ status is independently associated with greater impairment of global cognitive scores. Further, memory impairment, on the RAVLT immediate test, was greater among those who were E4–/Amy+, as compared to those who were E4+ /Amy+, suggesting that the presence of E4+ status is independently associated with greater immediate memory impairment. These results demonstrate that in the earliest stages of AD, in the absence of cortical thinning, there is impairment in cognitive performance attributable to combined E4+ and Amy+ status, as well as independently to E4+ status and to Amy+ status. Given that there is

a known relationship between CoTh and cognitive scores [44, 51, 52], the finding among CN and EMCI participants, who are E4+, of an association with increased CoTh in the most vulnerable regions to AD pathology, suggests that the mechanism underlying neurodegeneration associated with E4+ status is distinct from that of Amy+ status.

Biological processes, such as metabolic activation, increased blood flow and inflammation [53, 54], may result in increased volume of the cortical ribbon in brain regions, in which the neurodegenerative process begins, with subsequent cortical thinning as neurodegeneration becomes more advanced [55–57]. It is apparent that APOE4 is a contributing factor to neurodegeneration, and is strongly linked to AD pathology, alone and particularly in combination with the A β peptide. APOE4 may increase A β deposition in plaques and impair its clearance, and also may act independently through pathways that may not involve A β [52]. The pathophysiological effects of APOE4 may be mediated at a molecular level in the process of redistribution of lipids in normal lipid homeostasis, repairing injured neurons, maintaining synapto-dendritic connections, and scavenging toxins. These pathophysiological effects result in adverse outcomes in various neurological conditions and in “normal” aging. E4+ status is associated with adverse outcomes, acceleration of progression, worsening overall prognosis in response to head injury, oxidative stress, ischemia, and inflammation, as well as lowering of the age of onset of neurodegenerative disease [55].

A weakness of this study is that it is cross-sectional and so any inferences about progression from the CN to AD stage must be considered tentative. A major strength of the current investigation is that it utilizes a well-characterized ADNI cohort, including large numbers of subjects who are cognitively normal or in the earliest stages of disease, to power the analyses. These large numbers of subjects that are available in the ADNI cohort provide the statistical power required to tease apart independent effects of upstream biological processes, such as A β load and APOE4 status as they relate to downstream effects on CoTh and cognition. Future analyses should attempt to confirm these findings using longitudinal analyses of the data, and to further evaluate the effects of regional, rather than global deposition of A β , on CoTh and cognition.

In conclusion, our findings suggest that both A β load and APOE4 status are highly associated with progressive neurodegeneration, as measured by cortical thinning, especially in the LMCI and AD stages, and especially in brain regions which are vulnerable to AD pathology. Even though there is no significant cortical thinning noted in CN and EMCI stages, associated with E4+ or Amy+ status, there is measurable cognitive impairment present. The association of E4+ status with cortical thickening, rather than thinning, suggests the possibility of a very different pathophysiological role for E4+ from that of A β deposition in the progression of AD.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for the continued support from the National Science Foundation (NSF) under NSF grants CNS-1532061, CNS-0959985, CNS- 1551221, HRD- 0833093, and IIP 1338922. We greatly appreciate also the support of the Ware Foundation. This research is also supported through the Florida Department of Health, Ed and Ethel Moore Alzheimer’s Disease Research Program, and the 1Florida ADRC (Alzheimer’s Disease Research Center) (1P50AG047266–01A1) and R01 AG047649–01A1 (David Loewenstein, PI).

Data collection and sharing for this project was funded by the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) (National Institutes of Health Grant U01 AG024904) and DOD ADNI (Department of Defense award number W81XWH-12-2-0012). ADNI is funded by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, and through generous contributions from the following: AbbVie, Alzheimer's Association; Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation; Araclon Biotech; BioClinica, Inc.; Biogen; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; CereSpir, Inc.; Cogstate; Eisai Inc.; Elan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Eli Lilly and Company; EuroImmun; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and its affiliated company Genentech, Inc.; Fujire-bio; GE Healthcare; IXICO Ltd.; Janssen Alzheimer Immunotherapy Research & Development, LLC.; Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development LLC.; Lumosity; Lundbeck; Merck & Co., Inc.; Meso Scale Diagnostics, LLC.; Neu-roRx Research; Neurotrack Technologies; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Pfizer Inc.; Piramal Imaging; Servier; Takeda Pharmaceutical Company; and Transition Therapeutics. The Canadian Institutes of Health Research is providing funds to support ADNI clinical sites in Canada. Private sector contributions are facilitated by the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (<http://www.fnih.org>). The grantee organization is the Northern California Institute for Research and Education, and the study is coordinated by the Alzheimer's Therapeutic Research Institute at the University of Southern California. ADNI data are disseminated by the Laboratory for Neuro Imaging at the University of Southern California.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Selkoe DJ (1991) The molecular pathology of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuron* 6, 487–498. [PubMed: 1673054]
- [2]. Hyman BT, Phelps CH, Beach TG, Bigio EH, Cairns NJ, Carrillo MC, Dickson DW, Duyckaerts C, Frosch MP, Masliah E, Mirra SS, Nelson PT, Schneider JA, Thal DR, Thies B, Trojanowski JQ, Vinters HV, Montine TJ (2012) National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association guidelines for the neuropathologic assessment of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 8, 1–13. [PubMed: 22265587]
- [3]. Murphy KR, Landau SM, Choudhury KR, Hostage CA, Shpanskaya KS, Sair HI, Petrella JR, Wong TZ, Doraiswamy PM, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (2013) Mapping the effects of ApoE4, age and cognitive status on 18F-florbetapir PET measured regional cortical patterns of beta-amyloid density and growth. *Neuroimage* 78, 474–480. [PubMed: 23624169]
- [4]. Drzezga A, Grimmer T, Henriksen G, Muhlau M, Perneczky R, Miederer I, Praus C, Sorg C, Wohlschlaeger A, Riemenschneider M, Wester HJ, Foerstl H, Schwaiger M, Kurz A (2009) Effect of APOE genotype on amyloid plaque load and gray matter volume in Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 72, 1487–1494. [PubMed: 19339712]
- [5]. Fleisher AS, Chen K, Liu X, Ayutyanont N, Roontiva A, Thiyyagura P, Protas H, Joshi AD, Sabbagh M, Sadowsky CH, Sperling RA, Clark CM, Mintun MA, Pontecorvo MJ, Coleman RE, Doraiswamy PM, Johnson KA, Carpenter AP, Skovronsky DM, Reiman EM (2013) Apolipoprotein E epsilon4 and age effects on florbetapir positron emission tomography in healthy aging and Alzheimer disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 34, 1–12. [PubMed: 22633529]
- [6]. Albert MS, DeKosky ST, Dickson D, Dubois B, Feldman HH, Fox NC, Gamst A, Holtzman DM, Jagust WJ, Petersen RC, Snyder PJ, Carrillo MC, Thies B, Phelps CH (2011) The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 7, 270–279. [PubMed: 21514249]
- [7]. Sperling RA, Aisen PS, Beckett LA, Bennett DA, Craft S, Fagan AM, Iwatsubo T, Jack CR, Kaye J, Montine TJ, Park DC, Reiman EM, Rowe CC, Siemers E, Stern Y, Yaffe K, Carrillo MC, Thies B, Morrison-Bogorad M, Wagster MV, Phelps CH (2011) Toward defining the preclinical stages of Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 7, 280–292. [PubMed: 21514248]
- [8]. Soldan A, Pettigrew C, Lu Y, Wang MC, Selnes O, Albert M, Brown T, Ratnanather JT, Younes L, Miller MI, Team BR (2015) Relationship of medial temporal lobe atrophy, APOE genotype, and cognitive reserve in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Hum Brain Mapp* 36, 2826–2841. [PubMed: 25879865]
- [9]. Jack CR, Jr, Wiste HJ, Weigand SD, Knopman DS, Vemuri P, Mielke MM, Lowe V, Senjem ML, Gunter JL, Machulda MM, Gregg BE, Pankratz VS, Rocca WA, Petersen RC (2015) Age, sex, and APOE epsilon4 effects on memory, brain structure, and beta-amyloid across the adult life span. *JAMA Neurol* 72, 511–519.

- [10]. Vemuri P, Wiste HJ, Weigand SD, Knopman DS, Shaw LM, Trojanowski JQ, Aisen PS, Weiner M, Petersen RC, Jack CR, Jr, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (2010) Effect of apolipoprotein E on biomarkers of amyloid load and neuronal pathology in Alzheimer disease. *Ann Neurol* 67, 308–316. [PubMed: 20373342]
- [11]. Caselli RJ, Dueck AC, Osborne D, Sabbagh MN, Connor DJ, Ahern GL, Baxter LC, Rapcsak SZ, Shi J, Woodruff BK, Locke DE, Snyder CH, Alexander GE, Rademakers R, Reiman EM (2009) Longitudinal modeling of age-related memory decline and the APOE epsilon4 effect. *N Engl J Med* 361, 255–263. [PubMed: 19605830]
- [12]. Morris JC (1993) The Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) – current version and scoring rules. *Neurology* 43, 2412–2414.
- [13]. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR (1975) “Minimental state”. A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res* 12, 189–198. [PubMed: 1202204]
- [14]. Rey A (1964) *U examen clinique en psychologie*, Presses universitaires de France, Paris.
- [15]. Desikan RS, Segonne F, Fischl B, Quinn BT, Dickerson BC, Blacker D, Buckner RL, Dale AM, Maguire RP, Hyman BT, Albert MS, Killiany RJ (2006) An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage* 31, 968–980. [PubMed: 16530430]
- [16]. Dickerson BC, Bakkour A, Salat DH, Feczko E, Pacheco J, Greve DN, Grodstein F, Wright CI, Blacker D, Rosas HD, Sperling RA, Atri A, Growdon JH, Hyman BT, Morris JC, Fischl B, Buckner RL (2009) The cortical signature of Alzheimer's disease: Regionally specific cortical thinning relates to symptom severity in very mild to mild AD dementia and is detectable in asymptomatic amyloidpositive individuals. *Cereb Cortex* 19, 497–510. [PubMed: 18632739]
- [17]. Becker JA, Hedden T, Carmasin J, Maye J, Rentz DM, Putcha D, Fischl B, Greve DN, Marshall GA, Salloway S, Marks D, Buckner RL, Sperling RA, Johnson KA (2011) Amyloid-beta associated cortical thinning in clinically normal elderly. *Ann Neurol* 69, 1032–1042. [PubMed: 21437929]
- [18]. Jenkinson M, Beckmann CF, Behrens TE, Woolrich MW, Smith SM (2012) Fsl. *Neuroimage* 62, 782–790. [PubMed: 21979382]
- [19]. Joshi AD, Pontecorvo MJ, Clark CM, Carpenter AP, Jennings DL, Sadowsky CH, Adler LP, Kovnat KD, Seibyl JP, Arora A, Saha K, Burns JD, Lowrey MJ, Mintun MA, Skovronsky DM, Florbetapir F 18 Study Investigators (2012) Performance characteristics of amyloid PET with florbetapir F 18 in patients with Alzheimer's disease and cognitively normal subjects. *J Nucl Med* 53, 378–384 [PubMed: 22331215]
- [20]. Loewenstein DA, Curiel RE, Greig MT, Bauer RM, Rosado M, Bowers D, Wicklund M, Crocco E, Pontecorvo M, Joshi AD, Rodriguez R, Barker WW, Hidalgo J, Duara R (2016) A novel cognitive stress test for the detection of preclinical Alzheimer disease: Discriminative properties and relation to amyloid load. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 24, 804–813. [PubMed: 27160985]
- [21]. R Core Team (2017) *R Foundation for Statistical Computing*, Vienna, Austria.
- [22]. Trojanowski JQ, Vandevertichele H, Korecka M, Clark CM, Aisen PS, Petersen RC, Blennow K, Soares H, Simon A, Lewczuk P, Dean R, Siemers E, Potter WZ, Weiner MW, Jack CR, Jagust W, Toga AW, Lee VMY, Shaw LM, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (2010) Update on the biomarker core of the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative subjects. *Alzheimers Dement* 6, 230–238. [PubMed: 20451871]
- [23]. Fleisher AS, Chen K, Liu X, Roontiva A, Thiyyagura P, Ayutyanont N, Joshi AD, Clark CM, Mintun MA, Pontecorvo MJ, Doraiswamy PM, Johnson KA, Skovronsky DM, Reiman EM (2011) Using positron emission tomography and florbetapir F18 to image cortical amyloid in patients with mild cognitive impairment or dementia due to Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol* 68, 1404–1411. [PubMed: 21747008]
- [24]. Ellis KA, Lim YY, Harrington K, Ames D, Bush AI, Darby D, Martins RN, Masters CL, Rowe CC, Savage G, Szoek C, Villemagne VL, Maruff P, AIBL Research Group (2013) Decline in cognitive function over 18 months in healthy older adults with high amyloid-beta. *J Alzheimers Dis* 34, 861–871. [PubMed: 23302660]
- [25]. Mielke MM, Machulda MM, Hagen CE, Christianson TJ, Roberts RO, Knopman DS, Vemuri P, Lowe VJ, Kremers WK, Jack CR, Petersen RC (2016) Influence of amyloid and APOE on

- cognitive performance in a late middle-aged cohort. *Alzheimers Dement* 12, 281–291. [PubMed: 26602629]
- [26]. Lim YY, Ellis KA, Ames D, Darby D, Harrington K, Martins RN, Masters CL, Rowe C, Savage G, Szoek C, Villemagne VL, Maruff P, AIBL Research Group (2013) Abeta amyloid, cognition, and APOE genotype in healthy older adults. *Alzheimers Dement* 9, 538–545. [PubMed: 23159043]
- [27]. Whitwell JL, Tosakulwong N, Weigand SD, Senjem ML, Lowe VJ, Gunter JL, Boeve BF, Knopman DS, Dickerson BC, Petersen RC, Jack CR (2013) Does amyloid deposition produce a specific atrophic signature in cognitively normal subjects? *Neuroimage Clin* 2, 249–257. [PubMed: 24179779]
- [28]. Grothe MJ, Ewers M, Krause B, Heinsen H, Teipel SJ, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (2014) Basal forebrain atrophy and cortical amyloid deposition in nondemented elderly subjects. *Alzheimers Dement* 10, S344–S353. [PubMed: 24418052]
- [29]. Da X, Toledo JB, Zee J, Wolk DA, Xie SX, Ou Y, Shacklett A, Parmpi P, Shaw L, Trojanowski JQ, Davatzikos C, Alzheimer's Neuroimaging Initiative (2014) Integration and relative value of biomarkers for prediction of MCI to AD progression: Spatial patterns of brain atrophy, cognitive scores, APOE genotype and CSF biomarkers. *Neuroimage Clin* 4, 164–173. [PubMed: 24371799]
- [30]. Chetelat G, La Joie R, Villain N, Perrotin A, de La Sayette V, Eustache F, Vandenberghe R (2013) Amyloid imaging in cognitively normal individuals, at-risk populations and preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroimage Clin* 2, 356–365. [PubMed: 24179789] ()
- [31]. Lista S, Garaci FG, Ewers M, Teipel S, Zetterberg H, Blennow K, Hampel H (2014) CSF Abeta1–42 combined with neuroimaging biomarkers in the early detection, diagnosis and prediction of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 10, 381–392. [PubMed: 23850330] ()
- [32]. Duara R, Loewenstein DA, Shen Q, Barker W, Potter D, (2012) Amyloid positron emission tomography with (18)F-flutemetamol and structural magnetic resonance imaging in the classification of mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 9, 295–301. [PubMed: 23178035] , ()
- [33]. Grothe MJ, Teipel SJ, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (2016) Spatial patterns of atrophy, hypometabolism, and amyloid deposition in Alzheimer's disease correspond to dissociable functional brain networks. *Hum Brain Mapp* 37, 35–53. [PubMed: 26441321] ()
- [34]. Apostolova LG, Hwang KS, Kohannim O, Avila D, Elashoff D, Jack CR, Shaw L, Trojanowski JQ, Weiner MW, Thompson PM, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (2012) ApoE4 effects on automated diagnostic classifiers for mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroimage Clin* 4, 461–472.()
- [35]. Liu Y, Paajanen T, Westman E, Wahlund LO, Simmons A, Tunnard C, Sobow T, Proitsi P, Powell J, Mecocci P, Tsolaki M, Vellas B, Muehlboeck S, Evans A, Spenger C, Lovestone S, Soininen H, AddNeuroMed Consortium (2010) Effect of APOE epsilon4 allele on cortical thicknesses and volumes: The AddNeuroMed study. *J Alzheimers Dis* 21, 947–966. [PubMed: 20693633] ()
- [36]. Lemaitre H, Crivello F, Dufouil C, Grassiot B, Tzourio C, Alperovitch A, Mazoyer B (2005) No epsilon(4) gene dose effect on hippocampal atrophy in a large MRI database of healthy elderly subjects. *Neuroimage* 24, 1205–1213. [PubMed: 15670698] ()
- [37]. Matura S, Prvulovic D, Jurcoane A, Hartmann D, Miller J, Scheibe M, O'Dwyer L, Oertel-Knochel V, Knochel C, Reinke B, Karakaya T, Fusser F, Pantel J (2014) Differential effects of the ApoE4 genotype on brain structure and function. *Neuroimage* 89, 81–91. [PubMed: 24296331] ()
- [38]. Pievani M, Galluzzi S, Thompson PM, Rasser PE, Bonetti M, Frisoni GB (2011) APOE4 is associated with greater atrophy of the hippocampal formation in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroimage* 55, 909–919. [PubMed: 21224004] ()
- [39]. Fouquet M, Besson FL, Gonneaud J, La Joie R, Chetelat G (2014) Imaging brain effects of APOE4 in cognitively normal individuals across the lifespan. *Neuropsychol Rev* 24, 290–299. [PubMed: 25146994] ()
- [40]. Chetelat G, Fouquet M (2013) Neuroimaging biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease in asymptomatic APOE4 carriers. *Rev Neurol (Paris)* 169, 729–736. [PubMed: 24016463] ()

- [41]. Filippini N, Rao A, Wetten S, Gibson RA, Borrie M, Guzman D, Kertesz A, Loy-English I, Williams J, Nichols T, Whitcher B, Matthews PM (2009) Anatomically-distinct genetic associations of APOE epsilon4 allele load with regional cortical atrophy in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroimage* 44, 724–728. [PubMed: 19013250] ()
- [42]. Heise V, Filippini N, Trachtenberg AJ, Suri S, Ebmeier KP, Mackay CE (2014) Apolipoprotein E genotype, gender and age modulate connectivity of the hippocampus in healthy adults. *Neuroimage* 98, 23–30. [PubMed: 24814213] ()
- [43]. Chang L, Andres M, Sadino J, Jiang CS, Nakama H, Miller D, Ernst T (2011) Impact of apolipoprotein E epsilon4 and HIV on cognition and brain atrophy: Antagonistic pleiotropy and premature brain aging. *Neuroimage* 58, 1017–1027 [PubMed: 21803164] ()
- [44]. Pettigrew C, Soldan A, Zhu Y, Wang MC, Moghekar A, Brown T, Miller M, Albert M, Team BR (2016) Cortical thickness in relation to clinical symptom onset in preclinical AD. *Neuroimage Clin* 12, 116–122. [PubMed: 27408796] ()
- [45]. Lin H, Bhatia R, Lal R (2001) Amyloid beta protein forms ion channels: Implications for Alzheimer's disease pathophysiology. *FASEB J* 15, 2433–2444. [PubMed: 11689468] ()
- [46]. Rosales-Corral S, Tan DX, Reiter RJ, Valdivia-Velazquez M, Acosta-Martinez JP, Ortiz GG (2004) Kinetics of the neuroinflammation-oxidative stress correlation in rat brain following the injection of fibrillar amyloid-beta onto the hippocampus in vivo. *J Neuroimmunol* 150, 20–28. [PubMed: 15081245] ()
- [47]. Butterfield DA, Reed T, Newman SF, Sultana R (2007) Roles of amyloid beta-peptide-associated oxidative stress and brain protein modifications in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Free Radic Biol Med* 43, 658–677. [PubMed: 17664130] ()
- [48]. Parameshwaran K, Dhanasekaran M, Suppiramaniam V (2008) Amyloid beta peptides and glutamatergic synaptic dysregulation. *Exp Neurol* 210, 7–13. [PubMed: 18053990] ()
- [49]. Risacher SL, Kim S, Nho K, Foroud T, Shen L, Petersen RC, Jack CR, Jr, Beckett LA, Aisen PS, Koeppe RA, Jagust WJ, Shaw LM, Trojanowski JQ, Weiner MW, Saykin AJ, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (2015) APOE effect on Alzheimer's disease biomarkers in older adults with significant memory concern. *Alzheimers Dement* 11, 1417–1429. [PubMed: 25960448] ()
- [50]. Li C, Loewenstein DA, Duara R, Cabrerizo M, Barker W, Adjouadi M (2017) Associations between regional amyloid load, cortical thickness, APOE genotype and cognition in ADNIGO/ADNI2 participants. In *Human Amyloid Imaging*, Miami, FL, pp. 221–224.(), pp.
- [51]. Nho K, Risacher SL, Crane PK, DeCarli C, Glymour MM, Habeck C, Kim S, Lee GJ, Mormino E, Mukherjee S, Shen L, West JD, Saykin AJ, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (2012) Voxel and surface-based topography of memory and executive deficits in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Imaging Behav* 6, 551–567. [PubMed: 23070747] ()
- [52]. Chen KW, Langbaum JBS, Fleisher AS, Ayutyanont N, Reschke C, Lee W, Liu XF, Bandy D, Alexander GE, Thompson PM, Foster NL, Harvey DJ, de Leon MJ, Koeppe RA, Jagust WJ, Weiner MW, Reiman EM, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (2010) Twelve-month metabolic declines in probable Alzheimer's disease and amnesic mild cognitive impairment assessed using an empirically pre-defined statistical region-of-interest; Findings from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. *Neuroimage* 51, 654–664.()
- [53]. Wolf AB, Valla J, Bu G, Kim J, LaDu MJ, Reiman EM, Caselli RJ (2013) Apolipoprotein E as a beta-amyloid-independent factor in Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Res Ther* 5,38. [PubMed: 23998393] ()
- [54]. Dickerson BC, Salat DH, Greve DN, Chua EF, Rand-Giovannetti E, Rentz DM, Bertram L, Mullin K, Tanzi RE, Blacker D, Albert MS, Sperling RA (2005) Increased hippocampal activation in mild cognitive impairment compared to normal aging and AD. *Neurology* 65, 404–411. [PubMed: 16087905] ()
- [55]. Mahley RW, Weisgraber KH, Huang Y (2006) Apolipoprotein E4: A causative factor and therapeutic target in neuropathology, including Alzheimer's disease. *Proc Natl AcadSci USA* 103, 5644–5651.()
- [56]. Risacher SL, Kim S, Shen L, Nho K, Foroud T, Green RC, Petersen RC, Jack CR, Aisen PS, Koeppe RA, Jagust WJ, Shaw LM, Trojanowski JQ, Weiner MW, Saykin AJ, Alzheimer's

- Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) (2013) The role of apolipoprotein E (APOE) genotype in early mild cognitive impairment (E-MCI). *FrontAgingNeurosci* 5, 11.()
- [57]. Espeseth T, Westlye LT, Walhovd KB, Fjell AM, Endestad T, Rootwelt H, Reinvang I (2012) Apolipoprotein E epsilon 4-related thickening of the cerebral cortex modulates selective attention. *Neurobiol Aging* 33, 304–322. [PubMed: 20382449] ()

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Fig. 1.
Differences in mean amyloid load (^{18}F -AV45) SUVR for each diagnostic group between E4- and E4+ participants.

Fig. 2.
Barplot of CoTh among Amy- and Amy+ participants in 4 diagnostic groups for 12 brain regions.

Fig. 3.
Barplot of CoTh among E4- and E4+ participants in 4 diagnostic groups for 12 brain regions.

Fig. 4.

Bar graph of scores on following cognitive tests: MMSE, RAVLT (immediate), RAVLT (% forgetting) and ADAS13. Individual bars represent the following groups of participants: E4-/Amy-, E4+/Amy-, E4-/Amy+ and E4+/Amy+. The colored asterisk (* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$) on the E4-/Amy- indicates a significant difference in score from the score for the corresponding color bar, i.e., E4+/Amy+ group, same as E4-/Amy+ bars in

RAVLT (immediate) and ADAS13, as well as E4+/Amy- in ADAS13. There was no significant difference among E4-/Amy-, E4+/Amy-, E4-/Amy+ group.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1

Participant demographic and clinical information

	CN (n = 251)	EMCI (n = 297)	LMCI (n = 196)	AD (n = 162)	F value
Female/Male	128/123	132/165	85/111	68/94	4.36 ^b
E4–E4+	184/67	169/128	92/104	54/108	70.32 ^{***c}
Age	75.47 (6.54) ^a	71.53 (7.43)	73.83 (8.06)	74.94 (7.81)	14.85 ^{***}
Education	16.43 (2.58)	15.99 (2.67)	16.31 (2.71)	15.76(2.71)	2.71 [*]
<i>Type of Cognitive Test</i>					
CDRSB	0.05 (0.2)	1.31 (0.78)	1.76(1.06)	4.84 (2.07)	633.12 ^{***}
ADAS13	9.09 (4.54)	12.72(5.51)	17.9 (7.5)	31.55 (8.81)	434.53 ^{***}
MMSE	29.04 (1.23)	28.32(1.57)	27.61 (1.85)	22.77 (2.71)	448.73 ^{***}
RAVLT_immediate	45.35 (10.58)	39.47 (10.8)	33.21 (10.82)	22.31 (7.03)	183.76 ^{***}
RAVLT_Learning	5.74 (2.44)	5.29 (2.45)	3.92 (2.58)	1.91 (1.77)	102.04 ^{***}
RAVLT_% forgetting	36.22 (27.79)	46.98 (29.72)	67.37 (31.34)	90.1 (19.91)	142.34 ^{***}

^a Values are represented as mean(sd), except gender and APOE gene status, which are frequencies instead.

^b Significant group differences test (ANOVA for continuous and Chi-square test for categorical values, significance level is 0.05 by default).

^c $p < 0.1$;

^{*} $p < 0.05$;

^{**} $p < 0.01$;

^{***} $p < 0.001$.

Table 2

Effect of APOE4 status on global amyloid load (SUVR) in different diagnostic groups

	E4−	E4+	<i>t</i> test ^a	<i>p</i> value
CN	1.079(0.16)	1.178(0.2)	−3.65649	0.00021
EMCI	1.115(0.18)	1.246(0.21)	−5.76863	0
LMCI	1.147(0.23)	1.368(0.21)	−7.12632	0
AD	1.271(0.26)	1.439(0.16)	−4.32684	0.00002

^aH₀ : SUVR(E4−) = SUVR(E4+) versus H₁ : SUVR(E4−) < SUVR (E4+).

Table 3

Effect of amyloid status on regional CoTh, independent of APOE4 status (left hemisphere)

	CN Amy- 165 Amy+ 86	EMCI Amy- 149 Amy+ 148	LMCI Amy- 66 Amy+ 130	AD Amy- 19 Amy+ 143	F ^a Amyloid	F ^a Diagnosis	post_hoc_Tukey (Diagnosis)	F ^a Diagnosis by Amyloid
Age	74.51 (6.8) ^b	69.19 (7.4)	73.68 (0039.4)	77.49 (8.2)	10.64 ^{**c}	15.79 ^{***}	EMCI < All	4.78 ^{**}
Entorhinal	76.75 (5.7)	73.46 (6.8)	73.61 (7.3)	74.38 (7.7)	14.59 ^{***}	42.18 ^{***}	AD < All;	1.56
Parahippocampal	3.34 (0.38)	3.32 (0.46)	3.17 (0.52)	2.77 (0.74)	6.74 ^{**}	15.6 ^{***}	LMCI < EMCI, CN AD < EMCI, CN	1.23
Inferior temporal	3.27 (0.37)	3.26 (0.43)	2.96 (0.52)	2.58 (0.49)	31.18 ^{***}	13.86 ^{***}	LMCI < EMCI, CN AD < All;	3.13 [*]
Temporal pole	2.67 (0.34)	2.66 (0.36)	2.52 (0.39)	2.44 (0.39)	2.46	23.19 ^{***}	LMCI < EMCI, CN AD < All;	1.99
Medial orbitofrontal	2.58 (0.41)	2.67 (0.31)	2.5 (0.4)	2.31 (0.35)	8.14 ^{**}	4.57 ^{**}	LMCI < CN AD < CN;	1.3
Superior frontal	2.66(0.16)	2.66(0.18)	2.61 (0.18)	2.57 (0.26)	3.79.	15.17 ^{***}	LMCI < CN AD < All;	0.14
Rostral Middle Frontal	2.61 (0.16)	2.61 (0.19)	2.57 (0.23)	2.39 (0.24)	1.87	8.2 ^{***}	LMCI < EMCI AD < EMCI, CN	0.64
Superior Parietal	3.56 (0.33)	3.48 (0.37)	3.5 (0.35)	3.08 (0.63)	6.08 [*]	10.02 ^{***}	LMCI < EMCI EMCI > All	1.69
Inferior Parietal	3.53 (0.36)	3.5 (0.34)	3.35 (0.45)	3.11 (0.51)	20.82 ^{***}	10.67 ^{***}	AD < All;	4.78 ^{**}
Supramarginal	2.28 (0.15)	2.27 (0.14)	2.23 (0.17)	2.25 (0.2)	10.98 ^{***}	16.51 ^{***}	LMCI < EMCI AD < All;	1.71
Precuneus	2.27 (0.18)	2.23 (0.16)	2.22(0.17)	2.19 (0.16)	16.55 ^{***}	10.33 ^{***}	EMCI > LMCI, CN AD < All;	1.92
Posterior Cingulate	2.48 (0.17)	2.52(0.15)	2.45 (0.17)	2.37 (0.17)	4.25 [*]	1.7	EMCI > LMCI, CN	1.66

	CN Amy- 165 Amy+ 86	EMCI Amy- 149 Amy+ 148	LMCI Amy- 66 Amy+ 130	AD Amy- 19 Amy+ 143	F ^a Amyloid	F ^a Diagnosis	post_hoc_Tukey (Diagnosis)	F ^a Diagnosis by Amyloid
Mean CoTh_left	2.4 (0.18) 2.30(0.13) 2.29 (0.14)	2.39 (0.17) 2.33 (0.11) 2.31 (0.12)	2.37 (0.17) 2.28 (0.13) 2.24 (0.13)	2.31 (0.18) 2.23 (0.15) 2.15 (0.14)	15.6 *** 20.62 ***	20.62 ***	AD < Ali; LMCI < EMCI, CN	1.54

^aF value is adjusted for APOE4 Status (4 × 2 ANCOVA test).

^bValues are represented as mean(SD), upper is for Amy- group and lower is for Amy+ group.

^c*p* < 0.1;
* *p* < 0.05;
** *p* < 0.01;
*** *p* < 0.001.

Table 4

Effect of APOE4 status on regional CoTh, independent of A β load (left hemisphere)

	CN E4- 184 E4+ 67	EMCI E4- 169 E4+ 128	LMCI E4- 92 E4+ 104	AD E4- 54 E4+ 108	F ^a APOE4 Status	F ^a Diagnosis	post_hoc_Tukey (Diagnosis)	F ^a Diagnosis by APOE4
Age	75.77 (6.3) ^b	72.07 (7.3)	74.96 (8.6)	76.43 (8.6)	29.12 ***	12.89 ***	EMCI < All	0.44
Entorhinal	73.93 (7.0)	70.33 (7.5)	72.46 (7.4)	73.90 (7.3)				
	3.30 (0.38)	3.22 (0.46)	3.11 (0.54)	2.69 (0.60)	0.02	68.18 ***	AD < All;	4.84 **
Parahippocampal	3.35 (0.37)	3.37 (0.41)	2.96 (0.51)	2.55 (0.48)			LMCI < EMCI, CN	
	2.62 (0.38)	2.64 (0.32)	2.50 (0.39)	2.37 (0.38)	1.71	25.56 ***	AD < All;	1.08
Inferior temporal	2.68 (0.34)	2.70 (0.34)	2.52 (0.39)	2.31 (0.34)			LMCI < EMCI, CN	
	2.63 (0.15)	2.62 (0.19)	2.57 (0.21)	2.42 (0.27)	9.99 **	32.41 ***	AD < All;	0.5
Temporal pole	2.68 (0.17)	2.65 (0.19)	2.59 (0.22)	2.41 (0.24)			LMCI < CN	
	3.53 (0.35)	3.45 (0.37)	3.42 (0.40)	3.11 (0.53)	1.55	32.87 ***	AD < All;	1.1
Medial orbitofrontal	3.60 (0.32)	3.53 (0.34)	3.38 (0.44)	3.10 (0.52)			LMCI < CN	
	2.27 (0.15)	2.25 (0.15)	2.22 (0.16)	2.17 (0.18)	4.83 *	4.78 **	AD < EMCI, CN	0.53
Superior frontal	2.28 (0.18)	2.25 (0.15)	2.22 (0.18)	2.21 (0.15)				
	2.46 (0.18)	2.51 (0.14)	2.44 (0.17)	2.33 (0.15)	2.01	22.2 ***	AD < All;	1.49
Rostral Middle Frontal	2.50 (0.16)	2.50 (0.16)	2.42 (0.16)	2.37 (0.19)			LMCI < EMCI	
	2.14 (0.15)	2.18 (0.12)	2.13 (0.14)	2.05 (0.16)	0	14.73 ***	AD < All;	1.8
Superior Parietal	2.15 (0.14)	2.15 (0.13)	2.11 (0.12)	2.08 (0.15)			LMCI < EMCI	
	1.95 (0.16)	2.02 (0.14)	1.96 (0.16)	1.88 (0.18)	0.57	18.66 ***	AD < All;	1.7
Inferior Parietal	2.00 (0.17)	2.00 (0.14)	1.94 (0.16)	1.87 (0.18)			LMCI < EMCI	
	2.18 (0.16)	2.22 (0.15)	2.18 (0.16)	2.06 (0.20)	0.1	25.75 ***	AD < All;	2.11.
Supramarginal	2.21 (0.18)	2.22 (0.15)	2.13 (0.15)	2.03 (0.21)			LMCI < EMCI	
	2.30 (0.18)	2.35 (0.15)	2.29 (0.17)	2.17 (0.19)	2.08	30.71 ***	AD < All;	0.99
Precuneus	2.34 (0.17)	2.36 (0.16)	2.26 (0.17)	2.17 (0.18)			LMCI < EMCI	
	2.12 (0.16)	2.17 (0.14)	2.12 (0.16)	2.03 (0.17)	0.36	22.49 ***	AD < All;	1.39
Posterior Cingulate	2.16 (0.17)	2.16 (0.15)	2.10 (0.16)	2.00 (0.18)			LMCI < EMCI	
	2.37 (0.18)	2.41 (0.17)	2.38 (0.16)	2.33 (0.20)	0.16	5.47 ***	AD < All	2.03

	CN		EMCI		LMCI		AD		F ^a APOE4 Status		F ^a Diagnosis		post_hoc_Tukey (Diagnosis)		F ^a Diagnosis by APOE4	
	E4- 184	E4+ 67	E4- 169	E4+128	E4- 92	E4+ 104	E4- 54	E4+ 108								
MeanCoTh_left	2.42 (0.18)	2.29 (0.14)	2.38 (0.17)	2.32 (0.11)	2.38 (0.18)	2.27 (0.14)	2.16 (0.15)	2.31 (0.18)	2.31	38.84***	AD < All;	1.25				
											LMCI < EMCI, CN					

^aF value is adjusted for global Aβ load (4 × 2 ANCOVA test).

^bValues are represented as mean(SD), upper is for E4- group and lower is for E4+ group.

^c*p* < 0.1;

* *p* < 0.05;

** *p* < 0.01;

*** *p* < 0.001.

Effect of APOE4 status on regional CoTh in CN and EMCI, independent of Aβ load (left hemisphere)

Table 5

	CN E4- 184 E4+ 67	EMCI E4- 169 E4+ 128	F ^d APOE4 Status	F ^d Diagnosis	F ^d Diagnosis by APOE4
Entorhinal	3.30 (0.38) ^b	3.22 (0.46)			
	3.35 (0.37)	3.37 (0.41)	9.68 ^{**c}	0.26	1.92
Parahippocampal	2.62 (0.38)	2.64 (0.32)			
	2.68 (0.34)	2.70 (0.34)	6.02 [*]	0.71	0.06
Inferior temporal	2.63 (0.15)	2.62 (0.19)			
	2.68 (0.17)	2.65 (0.19)	12.56 ^{***}	0.45	0.37
Temporal pole	3.53 (0.35)	3.45 (0.37)			
	3.60 (0.32)	3.53 (0.34)	7.47 ^{**}	3.88 [*]	0.06
Medial orbitofrontal	2.27 (0.15)	2.25 (0.15)			
	2.28 (0.18)	2.25 (0.15)	1.71	1.72	0.04
Superior frontal	2.46 (0.18)	2.51 (0.14)			
	2.50 (0.16)	2.5 (0.16)	1.21	2.56	1.93
Rostral Middle Frontal	2.14 (0.15)	2.18 (0.12)			
	2.15 (0.14)	2.15 (0.13)	0.45	1.23	2.46
Superior Parietal	1.95 (0.16)	2.02 (0.14)			
	2.00 (0.17)	2.00 (0.14)	1.68	6.29 [*]	4.65 [*]
Inferior Parietal	2.18 (0.16)	2.22 (0.15)			
	2.21 (0.18)	2.22 (0.15)	2.7	3.56	1.81
Supramarginal	2.30 (0.18)	2.35 (0.15)			
	2.34 (0.17)	2.36 (0.16)	3.81	5.71 [*]	1.17
Precuneus	2.12 (0.16)	2.17 (0.14)			
	2.16 (0.17)	2.16 (0.15)	1.6	3.89 [*]	3.58
Posterior Cingulate	2.37 (0.18)	2.41 (0.17)			
	2.42 (0.18)	2.38 (0.17)	0.56	0.02	5.87 [*]
Mean CoTh_Left	2.29 (0.14)	2.32 (0.11)			

	CN E4- 184 E4+ 67	EMCI E4- 169 E4+ 128	F ^a APOE4 Status	F ^a Diagnosis	F ^a Diagnosis by APOE4
	2.32 (0.13)	2.32 (0.12)	3.55	2.52	2.72

^aF value is adjusted for global Aβ load (2 × 2 ANCOVA test).

^bValues are represented as mean(SD), upper is for E4- group and lower is for E4+group.

^c $p<0.1$;

* $p<0.05$;

** $p<0.01$;

*** $p>0.001$.

Table 6
Combined effect of APOE4 status and Aβ load status on cognitive scores among CN and EMCI groups

	E4–Amy– (241)	E4–Amy+ (112)	E4+ Amy– (73)	E4+ Amy+ (122)	F _{age}	F_E4Amy ^a
MMSE	28.93 (1.35) ^b	28.54 (1.39)	28.73 (1.28)	28.16 (1.73)	8.48 ^{**c}	7.86 ^{***} E4+ Amy+ <E4–Amy– ^d
RAVLT immediate	44.00 (10.98)	41.61 (11.2)	43.73 (10.8)	38.13 (10.3)	36.85 ^{***}	8.23 ^{***} E4+ Amy+ <E4– Amy–; E4+ Amy+ <E4– Amy+
RAVLT Percent forgetting ^e	38.79 (29.8)	43.67 (28.5)	39.8 (26.94)	48.37 (29.74)	8.5 ^{**}	2.86 [*] E4+ Amy+ >E4– Amy–
ADAS13 ^e	10.00 (4.82)	11.45 (5.61)	9.70 (5.17)	13.58 (5.56)	21.9 ^{***}	13.51 ^{***} E4+ Amy+ >All

^aF value is adjusted for age (One-way ANCOVA test).

^bValues are represented as mean(SD).

^c*p* <0.1;

^{*}*p* <0.05;

^{**}*p* <0.01;

^{***}*p* <0.001.

^dPost Hoc Tukey results with significant difference.

^eHigher scores indicate worse performance.