Wooden spatula sinusitis following maxillary expansion surgery

NH Ta^{1,2}, A Addison⁵, C Philpott⁵

¹Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

²Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Norwich, UK

⁵James Paget University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Great Yarmouth, Norfolk, UK

ABSTRACT

Sinusitis is a recognised rare complication of palatine expansion procedures and is usually caused by the presence of an oroantral fistula. We report the first case of unilateral sinusitis as a result of a retained foreign body (a wooden spatula) following a surgically assisted rapid palatine expansion procedure.

KEYWORDS

Foreign bodies – Sinusitis – Maxillary expansion

Accepted 5 August 2018

CORRESPONDENCE TO

Ngan Hong Ta, E: t.ngan@uea.ac.uk

Introduction

Sinusitis is a recognised rare complication of palatine expansion procedures and is due to the presence of an oroantral fistula. We report the first case of unilateral sinusitis as a result of a retained foreign body (a wooden spatula) following a surgically assisted rapid palatine expansion (SARME) procedure.

Case history

Presentation

A 28-year-old man had a SARME performed in the United States by an oral surgeon and orthodontist, which was checked postoperatively with a routine scan. He had continued to recover well until he had a tooth extracted approximately one month later, also in the United States. He had been initially thought to have an upper respiratory tract infection, which was managed accordingly. His symptoms progressed into post-nasal drip, nasal congestion, cacosmia and dysgeusia, which were managed with antibiotics, nasal decongestant and fluticasone nasal spray. As his symptoms did not resolve, he was reviewed by his oral maxillofacial surgeon, who repeated computed tomography (CT), which showed a polyp arising from the medial wall of the right maxillary sinus. Consequently, he was treated with fluticasone nasal spray and was prescribed further oral antibiotics which did not lessen his symptoms.

On returning to the UK three months after his initial SARME, he was reviewed by his general practitioner, who advised him to continue his fluticasone nasal spray with oral clarithromycin for one additional month. He was then

referred to our rhinology clinic four months after the initial SARME with cacosmia, right-sided nasal blockage, perceived dysgeusia, posterior nasal drip and right-sided facial pressure. On the initial assessment, a nasal endoscopic examination revealed a deviated nasal septum (left-sided spur) and right-sided middle meatal oedema with mucopus. His Sino-Nasal Outcome Test (SNOT-22) score was 33/110. A diagnosis of chronic right maxillary sinusitis was made.

Investigation

CT of the paranasal sinuses showed a polypoidal right maxillary medial wall, a fluid level in the left maxillary sinus and confirmed the deviated nasal septum to the left. His CT Lund–Mackay score was 3/24. The mucopus from the right middle meatus was sent for microbiological analysis which yielded no microbial growth.

Management

After the initial maximum medical management with nasal douching, fluticasone furoate nasal spray and oral clarithromycin, he remained symptomatic with a SNOT-22 score of 59/110 and a reduced peak nasal inspiratory flow rate at 30 litres/minute on the right compared with 90 litres/minute on the left. Subsequently, he had limited endoscopic sinus surgery including bilateral uncinectomies, maxillary antrostomies, reduction of the septal spur (for access) and a left frontal balloon sinuplasty. There were purulent and polypoid contents in his right maxillary sinus. The left maxillary sinus had an accessory ostium which was connected to the natural ostium.

Figure 1 The wooden spatula removed from the patient's nasal cavity.

Figure 2 Computed tomography showing the spatula in the floor of the nasal cavity.

Outcomes and follow-up

On postoperative follow-up, the patient remained symptomatic with cacosmia, apparent dysgeusia and posterior nasal discharge. The initial endoscopic examination showed clear maxillary sinuses with no significant oedema or discharge and his SNOT-22 score was 44. Consequently, he was reassured and continued with mometasone nasal spray and nasal douching. He continued to complain of unresolved symptoms at his review visit months later. The second postoperative endoscopic examination revealed a right-sided polypoid maxillary sinus with purulent discharge, while the left was normal. He was started on oral doxycycline and topical manuka honey.

The patient continued to be symptomatic and it was at this juncture that a further nasoendoscopy revealed a wooden spatula embedded in his right nasal floor, which was removed (Fig 1). A retrospective review of his CT scans showed the wooden spatula to have been evident in the floor of the nasal cavity with the anterior end of the spatula positioned next to a defect in the inferior maxillary sinus wall (Fig 2). His symptoms have improved since this intervention.

Discussion

Unilateral sinusitis

It is expected that foreign bodies should be excluded as a cause of unilateral sinusitis.¹ Maxillary implants have been known to cause chronic maxillary sinusitis, mainly as a sequel to oroantral fistula. However, the majority of cases of chronic unilateral rhinosinusitis are said to be odontogenic.^{6–8} Evidence of dental implant-associated maxillary sinusitis is emerging; these are recognised foreign bodies which can cause sinusitis. There are potential rhinogenic causes of unilateral sinusitis such as septal deviation, nasal polyps and rarely malignancy. Adult foreign bodies include iatrogenic sources such as migration of orbital/oral implants or following traumatic implantation. Incidental finding of a foreign body as a cause of chronic rhinosinusitis in adults is rare but it is prudent to rule out such a cause.

Maxillary expansion procedures

Maxillary or palatal expansion treatment has been used to correct transverse maxillary hypoplasia in the treatment of cross-bite, teeth crowding and malocclusion since the eighteenth century.² It works by increasing the maxillary transverse dimension. Various methods are used, including rapid maxillary expansion (RME), which achieves 0.5–1 mm expansion per day, usually inserted by orthodontists; slow maxillary expanders achieve 1 mm expansion per week; implant supported expanders involve implants inserted in the palatal vault region to anchor an RME device.³ SARME is the main technique employed. This procedure involves a combination of procedures including distraction of the mid-palatal suture, paramedian palatal osteotomies, corticotomy through the zygomatic buttress from the piriform rim to the maxillopterygoid junction and osteotomy through the pterygoid plates.⁴ Following surgery, patients will typically wear rapid maxillary expanders for 2–12 months. SARME is a safe procedure with low morbidity. Complications include alar base flaring, sinus infection (as a result of oroantral communication), injury to the maxillary nerve, gingival recession and root resorption.⁵

Chronic unilateral sinusitis can be challenging to manage in cases where the immediate aetiology is not apparent. History, endoscopic examinations and radiological investigations play a key role in determining the underlying aetiology and management. We believe the foreign body was left in his nasal floor accidentally during the SARME procedure. This case highlights the importance of excluding a foreign body as a cause of unilateral sinusitis.

Actively looking for this body on radiological and endoscopic examination may lead early diagnosis and decrease the morbidity associated with this condition.

References

1. Shin HS. Clinical significance of unilateral sinusitis. *J Korean Med Sci* 1986; **1**: 69–74.
2. Haas AJ. treatment of maxillary deficiency by opening the mid palatal suture. *Angle Orthod* 1965; **35**: 200–217.
3. Umasankar K, Umasankar N. Palatal expanders in the correction of bilateral posterior crossbite: which one, when and how? *J Ind Orthod Soc* 2001; **34**: 107–113.
4. Rienbatcher KE, Wallner J, Pau M *et al*. Surgically assisted rapid maxillary expansion: feasibility of not releasing the nasal septum. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2013; **42**(3): 321–325.
5. Suri L, Taneja P. Surgically assisted rapid palatal expansion: a literature review. *Am J Orthodont Dentofac Orthoped* 2008; **133**(2): 290–302.
6. Lee KC, Lee SJ: Clinical features and treatments of odontogenic sinusitis. *Yonsei Med J* 2010; **51**: 932–937.
7. Matsumoto Y, Ikeda T, Yokoi H, Kohno N. Association between odontogenic infections and unilateral sinus opacification. *Auris Nasus Larynx* 2015; **42**(4): 288–293.
8. Wang KL, Nichols BG, Poetker DM, Loehr TA: Odontogenic sinusitis: a case series studying diagnosis and management. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol* 2015; **5** (7): 597–601.