Published in final edited form as:

Epilepsy Behav. 2014 January ; 30: 6–9. doi:10.1016/j.yebeh.2013.09.018.

Consciousness of seizures and consciousness during seizures: Are they related?

Kamil Detyniecki^{a,*} and Hal Blumenfeld^{a,b,c}

^aDepartment of Neurology, Yale University School of Medicine, 333 Cedar Street, New Haven, CT 06520, USA

^bDepartment of Neurobiology, Yale University School of Medicine, 333 Cedar Street, New Haven, CT 06520, USA

^cDepartment of Neurosurgery, Yale University School of Medicine, 333 Cedar Street, New Haven, CT 06520, USA

Abstract

Recent advances have been made in the network mechanisms underlying impairment of consciousness during seizures. However, less is known about patient awareness of their own seizures. Studying patient reports or documentation of their seizures is currently the most commonly utilized mechanism to scientifically measure patient awareness of seizures. The purpose of this review is to summarize the available evidence regarding the accuracy of patient seizure counts and identify the variables that may influence unreliable seizure reporting. Several groups looking at patient documentation of seizures during continuous EEG monitoring show that patients do not report as many as 50% of their seizures. These studies also suggest that seizures accompanied by loss of consciousness, arising from the left hemisphere or the temporal lobe, or occurring during sleep are associated with significantly reduced reporting. Baseline memory performance does not appear to have a major influence on the accuracy of seizure report.

Further prospective studies using validated ictal behavioral testing as well as using correlation with newer electrophysiological and neuroimaging techniques for seizure localization are needed to more fully understand the mechanisms of underreporting of seizures.

Better methods to alert caregivers about unrecognized seizures and to improve seizure documentation are under investigation.

This article is part of a Special Issue entitled Epilepsy and Consciousness.

Keywords

Epilepsy; Consciousness; Counts; Report; Awareness; Seizure diary

*Corresponding author. Fax: +1 203 737 2799. kamil.detyniecki@yale.edu (K. Detyniecki).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

1. Introduction

The definition of consciousness has long been debated by philosophers, and consciousness can have different meanings in different contexts. In order to study consciousness, it is important to distinguish two important components, namely, the content and the level of consciousness [1]. The content of consciousness includes all the information encoded in organized sensory and motor systems as well as in other systems such as memory and emotion/drives. The level of consciousness regulates the maintenance of an alert, attentive, and aware state [1]. Recent neuroimaging, intracranial EEG, and animal models demonstrate that seizures and other disorders of consciousness converge on the same group of cortical and subcortical structures, termed the “consciousness system” [2–4].

Elucidating the mechanisms of impaired consciousness in epilepsy has major clinical implications, as this impaired consciousness is often the cause of motor vehicle accidents, drowning, falls, and social stigmatization [5–7]. It is important to distinguish loss of consciousness during seizures from lack of awareness of having a seizure. Precise scientific methods that would investigate how conscious a person is of his/her seizures at the time of their occurrence are currently lacking. Several tools have been developed to objectively test ictal behavior and responsiveness during seizures [8–13]; however, none of these methods provide a measure of a patient’s awareness of having the seizure. To date, the most scientific way to measure a patient’s awareness of seizures is their report. The accuracy of patient report about their seizures has been studied in the form of diaries or seizure counts mostly during inpatient video-EEG monitoring. Surprisingly, overall, patients do not report 30–50% of their seizures [14–18]. This has obvious consequences for patient care and the accuracy of clinical drug trials.

There are several factors that can potentially influence seizure report. These include the following: seizure localization by hemisphere and lobe, preictal vigilance state at time of seizure onset, memory function, and seizure type [14–18]. Seizure type, in turn, determines whether patients are conscious during seizures. Knowledge of the effects of these factors can help clinicians ascertain whether a given patient is at higher risk for underreporting of seizures.

The effect of any of these individual variables on seizure report likely depends on additional factors as well and is, thus, not independent. For example, the occurrence of certain seizure types or localization is highly dependent on the sleep/wake state.

Impairment of consciousness can affect seizure report in many ways. The phenomenon of underreporting of seizures could have two possible explanations: either the patients were not aware of their seizures at the time of occurrence or they were aware at some point during the seizure but did not report them later because of poor memory. Impairment in any of the components that maintain the level of consciousness such as being alert, attentive, or aware could influence the recognition of the seizure at the time of its occurrence. In addition, seizures that impair certain substrates of the content of consciousness such as memory, language, or body awareness could affect the subsequent report.

In this review, we summarize previous investigations of seizure counts, discuss the factors that influence underreporting of seizures including the role of impairment of consciousness, and suggest directions that would eventually provide for the better understanding of this problem.

2. Side and lobe of seizure onset

As discussed later in this review, the level of consciousness during seizures plays an important role for seizure recognition and subsequent reporting. However, different aspects of the content of consciousness such as language or visual–spatial attention may also be altered to a different degree if the seizure originates in the dominant vs. the nondominant hemisphere, thereby affecting the subsequent report. For example, one would expect more verbal memory deficits in seizures originating in the dominant hemisphere which would subsequently impair verbal reporting. Left hemisphere seizures are reported to be more commonly associated with loss of consciousness possibly related to impaired verbal abilities in particular if originating in the dominant temporal lobe [19,20]. However, it is also theoretically possible that seizures arising from the right hemisphere might, more often, cause underreporting since lesions of the right hemisphere are well known to cause anosognosia or the reduced awareness of neurological deficits [21–24].

Several studies point toward a higher proportion of unreported seizures if the side of seizure onset was in the left hemisphere, particularly when comparing seizures arising from the left vs. the right temporal lobe [15,17]. It has been noted that seizures arising from the left hemisphere are particularly associated with an alteration of the level of consciousness [19,25]. However, it is important to recognize that there may be a bias towards the demonstration of impaired consciousness in seizures arising from the left hemisphere since most behavioral tests used during seizures depend on verbal function. Another factor which could influence seizure reporting depending on the side of onset is the possibility that there is a greater proportion of generalized seizures in patients whose seizure onset is in the dominant hemisphere, particularly in the dominant temporal lobe [26]. As we recently observed, seizures associated with impairment of consciousness are less frequently reported compared to seizures where consciousness is preserved [18]. Another important aspect is the possibility that focal seizures originating in the dominant hemisphere may cause transient dysfunction affecting the isolated aspects of the content of consciousness such as verbal memory. This may affect subsequent report.

The effect of seizure localization by lobe on seizure report is incompletely elucidated in most studies. Some studies suggest that patients with extratemporal foci are more likely to report their seizures [15]. Possible explanations could include the fact that temporal lobe seizures more commonly cause impairment in both the level of consciousness and memory around the time of seizures and are, therefore, expected to more severely disrupt subsequent seizure report.

However, the conclusions that can be drawn from these studies are limited by the small numbers of patients, particularly in the extratemporal group. In addition, most studies based

their localization on scalp recording which at times is suboptimal for detailed lobar localization.

In summary, while several studies have examined the potential role of side and lobe of onset in seizure reporting, this remains an open question. Further studies using better methods for seizure localization such as invasive intracranial monitoring and/or localization based on post-surgical outcomes are needed.

3. Sleep/wake state at time of seizure onset

There is a known link between sleep and epilepsy. Several studies have shown that seizures during sleep are longer and more often secondarily generalized [27,28]. In addition, seizures tend to occur in particular patterns during sleep and wakefulness depending on the site of onset [27,29–31]. Moreover, since the level of arousal and memory are reduced during sleep even when seizures are not occurring, it is not unreasonable to believe that seizure documentation is dependent on the vigilance state of the patient at the time of the seizure occurrence. In fact, two studies that examined this question [16,17] found a larger percentage of unrecognized seizures if the patients were asleep at the time of seizure onset. The reason for the difference in seizure report could be, in part, attributed to the fact that seizures occurring during sleep are more often secondarily generalized [31,32], therefore, possibly affecting the ability of patients to be aware of their seizures. However, one study showed that 86% of simple partial seizures occurring during sleep were undocumented by patients [16]. Another possible reason for the discrepancy in documentation is the increased occurrence of certain seizure types during sleep depending on localization [30,31,33], which in turn may have an influence on seizure reporting. Finally, there is a fair amount of evidence that points to the importance of sleep before and after learning for encoding and consolidation of hippocampal dependent memories [34,35]. Therefore, it is possible that sleep before and after nocturnal seizures may disrupt the memory of a seizure.

The true mechanisms of seizure underreporting are not yet clear. The abovementioned studies showed a very strong correlation between seizures occurring during sleep and underreporting of seizures. However, they failed to control for other variables such as site of onset and seizure type which, as previously mentioned, are likely not independent variables.

Knowledge of a circadian pattern of seizures can help predict the risk of underreporting. There has been recent interest in the timing of antiepileptic drug regimens based on circadian rhythms [36]. This, as well as other approaches for better seizure detection at nighttime, may help improve patients' documentation of seizures.

4. Memory function

As we have already discussed, patients may be unable to document their seizures as a result of lack of awareness of the seizure itself or because of poor memory. Inability to recall a seizure could be the result of an ictal- or postictal-induced amnesic phenomenon. It is also possible that poor baseline memory plays a role.

Some patients demonstrate awareness of seizures at the time of occurrence by pushing an event button located at the bedside during video-EEG monitoring. When patients “notified” their seizures at the time of occurrence, most of them were successful at subsequently reporting them [16,18].

The presence of an aura was also associated with better seizure documentation [17]. However, for those patients who were initially “aware” of their seizures but subsequently did not report them, a memory problem would be a logical explanation. To assess whether chronic memory problems may affect seizure report, a number of studies looked at baseline cognitive performance. Overall performance on a baseline neuropsychological test did not affect subsequent report of seizures [15,16,37]. However, in a study by Hoppe et al., patients who documented all of their seizures had a tendency for better baseline verbal memory scores [16].

It is still not fully known to what extent memory dysfunction (immediate or delayed) contributes to underreporting of seizures. Transient amnestic episodes have been described in patients with epilepsy, particularly of temporal lobe origin [38]. Impaired ictal memory performance has been associated with increased severity of seizures, particularly with seizures where consciousness is impaired [11]. Also, worse memory of the ictal events is seen in patients where both temporal lobes are involved in the seizure activity [39,40]. It is interesting that prompting patients to use their seizure diaries did not improve the accuracy of their report [16].

None of these studies reported results of memory testing in the immediate time period surrounding seizures and how this testing correlates with seizure reporting. Such information could further elucidate the role of periictal memory dysfunction in seizures that are underreported.

5. Seizure type

Partial seizures can affect the content and the level of consciousness to different degrees [10,41]. In theory, simple partial seizures could be underreported because of disruption of isolated aspects of the content of consciousness such as memory, language, or visual–spatial awareness without affecting the level of consciousness. Seizures that typically impair the level of consciousness have different behavioral manifestations, but they share a common set of cortical–subcortical structures which are affected when consciousness is impaired [41]. It is possible that a disruption in the same set of structures affects seizure awareness.

The effect of seizure type on seizure report was examined in several studies [15–18]. Seizures with impaired consciousness such as complex partial seizures (CPS) and generalized tonic–clonic seizures (GTCS) were less often reported compared to seizures where consciousness was spared such as simple partial seizures (SPS) [16,18]. When comparing CPS with GTCS, the results have been less clear. One study [15] showed that secondarily generalized tonic–clonic seizures were less often reported compared to complex partial seizures. In that cohort, all secondarily generalized tonic–clonic seizures of temporal origin were unreported. In contrast, Hoppe et al. [16] found that GTCS were documented

more often than CPS. Some of the differences may be related to the differences in patient population and study design. Overall, it appears that seizures with a more severely impaired level of consciousness, such as GTCS and CPS, cause more severe deficits in seizure report; however, it is not known if this is due to decreased awareness or decreased memory function around the time of seizures. Once again, further work is needed to more fully elucidate the relationship between seizure type, impaired consciousness, and seizure reporting.

6. Conclusions and future directions

Impairment of consciousness during seizures has been the hallmark feature of epilepsy and constitutes a major impact in patient quality of life. The main goal of treatment in epilepsy is the reduction in the frequency of seizures. The effect of therapeutic intervention is measured by patient seizure counts. Based on previous studies, it has been noted that patients do not report up to half of their seizures [14–18]. Such underreporting may undermine the everyday care of patients with epilepsy. In addition, modern clinical drug trials rely on patient seizure diaries, which may not be accurate.

The work summarized in this review, examining patient documentation of seizures during continuous EEG monitoring, suggests that the following variables may negatively affect patient report of seizures: 1) seizure onset in the left hemisphere and in the temporal lobe, 2) seizure onset during sleep, and 3) seizure types associated with more severely impaired level of consciousness and periictal memory function (CPS and GTCS).

Recent studies have provided some insights into the fundamental network mechanisms affecting the impairment of consciousness during seizures. It has been proposed that seizures causing an impaired level of consciousness affect a common set of anatomical structures, referred to as the “consciousness system”, consisting of the medial and lateral frontoparietal association cortices and subcortical activating networks[41]. It is possible that these same network mechanisms contribute to unawareness of seizures. Thus, impaired consciousness during seizures may be quite important for impaired consciousness of seizures, though this might not be the whole story.

The studies performed to date on seizure documentation accuracy have several limitations. Most of these studies were conducted during inpatient video-EEG monitoring, which is an artificial setting. Results could be confounded by the fact that nurses and family often informed patients about seizures afterwards. This could artificially increase seizure reporting. The patient population studied may be biased towards patients with medically refractory localization-related epilepsy. Only one study included a small number of patients ($n = 3$) with primary generalized epilepsy [15]. There were also marked differences in study design which could influence the results, such as the timing of information collection by the research team about the seizure report (1 h after seizure vs. once a day) as well as the way the data were collected (paper diary, verbal report).

Some of the seizure characteristics examined in this review are, to some extent, related to each other. For example, as reported previously, loss of consciousness was more common in left than in right hemisphere-onset seizures [17,26]. It is not clear from the current studies

that these influences were taken into consideration. Multivariate analyses could help unravel some of these potentially complicated interactions.

Additional work is needed to relate “seizure unawareness” with the specific brain regions involved or spared during seizures. For example, it is not clear why some patients with profound loss of consciousness are able to report their seizures, but other patients exhibiting milder symptoms are not. Furthermore, insufficient data exist regarding seizure report in patients with other types of seizures with impaired consciousness such as absence epilepsy. Finally, improved methods are needed to alert caregivers about unrecognized seizures and to improve seizure documentation. Automated systems may provide more accurate seizure documentation [42,43]. Further work in this field will hopefully lead to medications, surgical procedures, behavioral interventions, or automated sensing methods which may lead to better seizure reporting which will, in turn, improve patient care and overall quality of life.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH (R01NS055829, R01NS066974, P30NS052519, and U01NS045911), a Donaghue Foundation Investigator Award, and the Betsy and Jonathan Blattmachr Family.

References

- [1]. Plum F, Posner JB. The diagnosis of stupor and coma. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: F. A. Davis Co.; 1980.
- [2]. Yu L, Blumenfeld H. Theories of impaired consciousness in epilepsy. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2009;1157:48–60. [PubMed: 19351355]
- [3]. Blumenfeld H *Neuroanatomy through clinical cases*. 2nd ed. Sunderland, Mass.: Sinauer Associates; 2010
- [4]. Blumenfeld H *Epilepsy and consciousness*. 1st ed. Amsterdam; Boston: Elsevier/ Academic Press; 2008.
- [5]. Sperling MR. The consequences of uncontrolled epilepsy. *CNS Spectr* 2004;9:98–101 [106–9]. [PubMed: 14999166]
- [6]. Vickrey BG, Berg AT, Sperling MR, Shinnar S, Langfitt JT, Bazil CW, et al. Relationships between seizure severity and health-related quality of life in refractory localization-related epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2000;41:760–4. [PubMed: 10840410]
- [7]. Morrell MJ. Stigma and epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav* 2002;3:21–5. [PubMed: 12609302]
- [8]. Bauerschmidt A, Koshkelashvili N, Ezeani CC, Yoo JY, Zhang Y, Manganas LN, et al. Prospective assessment of ictal behavior using the revised Responsiveness in Epilepsy Scale (RES-II). *Epilepsy Behav* 2013;26:25–8. [PubMed: 23201609]
- [9]. Bell WL, Park YD, Thompson EA, Radtke RA. Ictal cognitive assessment of partial seizures and pseudoseizures. *Arch Neurol* 1998;55:1456–9. [PubMed: 9823830]
- [10]. Cavanna AE, Mula M, Servo S, Strigaro G, Tota G, Barbagli D, et al. Measuring the level and content of consciousness during epileptic seizures: the Ictal Consciousness Inventory. *Epilepsy Behav* 2008;13:184–8. [PubMed: 18353730]
- [11]. Yang L, Shklyar I, Lee HW, Ezeani CC, Anaya J, Balakirsky S, et al. Impaired consciousness in epilepsy investigated by a prospective responsiveness in epilepsy scale (RES). *Epilepsia* 2012;53:437–47. [PubMed: 22150524]
- [12]. Yang L, Morland TB, Schmits K, Rawson E, Narasimhan P, Motelow JE, et al. A prospective study of loss of consciousness in epilepsy using virtual reality driving simulation and other video games. *Epilepsy Behav* 2010;18:238–46. [PubMed: 20537593]
- [13]. Arthuis M, Valton L, Regis J, Chauvel P, Wendling F, Naccache L, et al. Impaired consciousness during temporal lobe seizures is related to increased long-distance cortical–subcortical synchronization. *Brain* 2009;132:2091–101. [PubMed: 19416952]

- [14]. Tatum WO, 4th, Winters L, Gieron M, Passaro EA, Benbadis S, Ferreira J, et al. Outpatient seizure identification: results of 502 patients using computer-assisted ambulatory EEG. *J Clin Neurophysiol* 2001;18:14–9. [PubMed: 11290934]
- [15]. Blum DE, Eskola J, Bortz JJ, Fisher RS. Patient awareness of seizures. *Neurology* 1996;47:260–4. [PubMed: 8710091]
- [16]. Hoppe C, Poepel A, Elger CE. Epilepsy: accuracy of patient seizure counts. *Arch Neurol* 2007;64:1595–9. [PubMed: 17998441]
- [17]. Kerling F, Mueller S, Pauli E, Stefan H. When do patients forget their seizures? An electroclinical study. *Epilepsy Behav* 2006;9:281–5. [PubMed: 16824803]
- [18]. Ezeani CC, Detyniecki K, Bauerschmidt A, Winstanley F, Duckrow RB, Hirsch LJ, et al. Accuracy of patient's seizure reporting during video EEG monitoring [Internet]. American Epilepsy Society; 2012 [cited 2013 Sept 4, Available from: <http://www.aesnet.org/go/publications/aes-abstracts/abstract-search/mode/display/st/ezeani/sy/2012/sb/All/id/16405>].
- [19]. Englot DJ, Yang L, Hamid H, Danielson N, Bai X, Marfeo A, et al. Impaired consciousness in temporal lobe seizures: role of cortical slow activity. *Brain* 2010;133:3764–77. [PubMed: 21081551]
- [20]. Inoue Y, Mihara T. Awareness and responsiveness during partial seizures. *Epilepsia* 1998;39(Suppl. 5):7–10. [PubMed: 9737437]
- [21]. Mesulam MM. A cortical network for directed attention and unilateral neglect. *Ann Neurol* 1981;10:309–25. [PubMed: 7032417]
- [22]. Jehkonen M, Laihosalo M, Kettunen J. Anosognosia after stroke: assessment, occurrence, subtypes and impact on functional outcome reviewed. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2006;114:293–306. [PubMed: 17022776]
- [23]. Starkstein SE, Fedoroff JP, Price TR, Leiguarda R, Robinson RG. Anosognosia in patients with cerebrovascular lesions — a study of causative factors. *Stroke* 1992;23:1446–53. [PubMed: 1412582]
- [24]. Heilman K. Anosognosia: possible neuropsychological mechanisms. New York: Oxford University Press; 1991.
- [25]. Janszky J, Schulz R, Ebner A. Simple partial seizures (isolated auras) in medial temporal lobe epilepsy. *Seizure* 2004;13:247–9. [PubMed: 15121134]
- [26]. Fakhoury T, Abou-Khalil B, Peguero E. Differentiating clinical features of right and left temporal lobe seizures. *Epilepsia* 1994;35:1038–44. [PubMed: 7925149]
- [27]. Bazil CW, Walczak TS. Effects of sleep and sleep stage on epileptic and nonepileptic seizures. *Epilepsia* 1997;38:56–62. [PubMed: 9024184]
- [28]. Young GB, Blume WT, Wells GA, Mertens WC, Eder S. Differential aspects of sleep epilepsy. *Can J Neurol Sci* 1985;12:317–20. [PubMed: 4084868]
- [29]. Pavlova MK, Shea SA, Bromfield EB. Day/night patterns of focal seizures. *Epilepsy Behav* 2004;5:44–9. [PubMed: 14751206]
- [30]. Durazzo TS, Spencer SS, Duckrow RB, Novotny EJ, Spencer DD, Zaveri HP. Temporal distributions of seizure occurrence from various epileptogenic regions. *Neurology* 2008;70:1265–71. [PubMed: 18391158]
- [31]. Herman ST, Walczak TS, Bazil CW. Distribution of partial seizures during the sleep-wake cycle: differences by seizure onset site. *Neurology* 2001;56:1453–9. [PubMed: 11402100]
- [32]. Ramgopal S, Vendrame M, Shah A, Gregas M, Zarowski M, Rotenberg A, et al. Circadian patterns of generalized tonic-clonic evolutions in pediatric epilepsy patients. *Seizure* 2012;21:535–9. [PubMed: 22717517]
- [33]. Pavlova MK, Woo Lee J, Yilmaz F, Dworetzky BA. Diurnal pattern of seizures outside the hospital: is there a time of circadian vulnerability? *Neurology* 2012;78:1488–92. [PubMed: 22539581]
- [34]. Walker MP. Cognitive consequences of sleep and sleep loss. *Sleep Med* 2008;9(Suppl.1):S29–34. [PubMed: 18929316]
- [35]. Saletin JM, Walker MP. Nocturnal mnemonics: sleep and hippocampal memory processing. *Front Neurol* 2012;3:59. [PubMed: 22557988]

- [36]. Ramgopal S, Thome-Souza S, Loddenkemper T. Chronopharmacology of anti-convulsive therapy. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep* 2013;13:339. [PubMed: 23456771]
- [37]. Proposal for revised clinical and electroencephalographic classification of epileptic seizures. From the Commission on Classification and Terminology of the International League Against Epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 1981;22:489–501. [PubMed: 6790275]
- [38]. Zeman A, Butler C. Transient epileptic amnesia. *Curr Opin Neurol* 2010;23:610–6. [PubMed: 20885322]
- [39]. Lux S, Kurthen M, Helmstaedter C, Hartje W, Reuber M, Elger CE. The localizing value of ictal consciousness and its constituent functions: a video-EEG study in patients with focal epilepsy. *Brain* 2002;125:2691–8. [PubMed: 12429596]
- [40]. Schulz R, Luders HO, Noachtar S, May T, Sakamoto A, Holthausen H, et al. Amnesia of the epileptic aura. *Neurology* 1995;45:231–5. [PubMed: 7854517]
- [41]. Blumenfeld H Impaired consciousness in epilepsy. *Lancet Neurol* 2012;11:814–26. [PubMed: 22898735]
- [42]. Poh MZ, Loddenkemper T, Reinsberger C, Swenson NC, Goyal S, Sabtala MC, et al. Convulsive seizure detection using a wrist-worn electrodermal activity and accelerometry biosensor. *Epilepsia* 2012;53:e93–7. [PubMed: 22432935]
- [43]. Morrell MJ, Group RNSSiES. Responsive cortical stimulation for the treatment of medically intractable partial epilepsy. *Neurology* 2011;77:1295–304. [PubMed: 21917777]