Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2018 January 31; 140(4): 1275–1279. doi:10.1021/jacs.7b13482.

Amphiphilic π -Allyliridium C,O-Benzoates Enable Regio- and Enantioselective Amination of Branched Allylic Acetates Bearing Linear Alkyl Groups

Arismel Tena Meza^{#§}, Thomas Wurm^{#†}, Lewis Smith[§], Seung Wook Kim[†], Jason R. Zbieg[§], Craig E. Stivala[§], and Michael J. Krische[†]

[§]Discovery Chemistry, Genentech, Inc., 1 DNA Way, South San Francisco, California 94080, United States

[†]University of Texas at Austin, Department of Chemistry, Austin, TX 78712, USA

[#] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

The first examples of amphiphilic reactivity in the context of enantioselective catalysis are described. Commercially available π -allyliridium C,O-benzoates, which are stable to air, water and SiO₂ chromatographically, and are well known to catalyze allyl acetate-mediated carbonyl allylation, are now shown to catalyze highly chemo-, regio- and enantioselective substitutions of branched allylic acetates bearing linear alkyl groups with primary amines.

Graphical Abstract

Since the discovery of the Tsuji-Trost reaction,¹ diverse catalytic systems for metal catalyzed allylic substitution have emerged.² Among the many useful transformations based on this pattern of reactivity, enantioselective iridium catalyzed aminations figure prominently.^{3–11} Largely due to the pioneering work of Takeuchi,^{3,4} Helmchen,^{5,6} Hartwig,^{7,8} Carreira⁹ and You,^{10,11} a broad range of amine nucleophiles can now be accommodated

Corresponding Authors. stivala.craig@gene.com, zbieg.jason@gene.com, mkrische@mail.utexas.edu.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and spectral data. HPLC traces of racemic and enantiomerically enriched products. Crystallographic data for **3j'**. This material is available free of charge via the internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

in highly regio- and enantioselective reactions of linear or branched allylic acetates (and in certain cases allylic alcohols).^{3–11} Two classes of iridium catalysts may be distinguished on the basis of their ability to promote regio- and enantioselective reactions of either linear or branched allylic acetates (Figure 1). For type I catalysts, linear allyl proelectrophiles are used under basic conditions. For type II catalysts, branched allyl proelectrophiles are used under acidic conditions. While type I catalysts are modified by one phosphoramidite and one external diene ligand, type II catalysts incorporate an internal *mono*-olefin ligand and two phosphoramidites, which may account for their exceptional electrophilicity. The internal olefin of type II catalysts may enhance enantioselectivity in reactions of branched allyl proelectrophiles by displacing the π -bond of (σ + π)-allyl (enyl) iridium intermediates,¹² thus enabling rapid π -facial interconversion in otherwise stereospecific substitutions.¹³

Both type I and II catalysts bear π -acidic ligands and a formal positive charge at the metal center. These features enforce electrophilic properties of the allyliridium intermediate. In contrast, we have developed π -allyliridium *C,O*-benzoates, which are neutral and incorporate relatively strong σ -donor ligands (Figure 1).^{14,15} As demonstrated by their ability to promote diverse allylative carbonyl additions, the supporting ligands of this catalyst confer nucleophilic character onto the allyl moiety.¹⁶ However, as observed in our initial studies,^{14b} carbonyl allylation is often accompanied by small quantities of *O*-allylation, suggesting amphiphilic character of these π -allyl complexes. Pursuant to an accumulation of similar observations by coauthors at Genentech, a systematic attempt to evoke electrophilic behavior was undertaken in the context of allylic amination. Here, we show that the commercially available π -allyliridium *C,O*-benzoate modified by SEGPHOS catalyzes asymmetric allylic amination. This catalyst overcomes a significant limitation in scope across all known catalytic systems – the ability to engage branched allylic acetates bearing linear alkyl groups with uniformly high levels of regio- and enantioselectivity.^{3–11,17,18}

In an initial set of experiments, α -methyl allyl acetate **1a** (100 mol%) was exposed to benzyl amine **2a** (200 mol%) in the presence of the SEGPHOS modified π -allyliridium *C,O*-benzoate (5 mol%) in THF (1 M) at 40 °C (Table 1). In the absence of base, products of amination were not observed. However, after screening various heterogeneous bases, it was found that reactions conducted in the presence of CS₂CO₃ (120 mol%) provided the desired product of allylic amination **3a** exclusively as the branched regioisomer with high levels of enantiomeric enrichment. After further optimization, the allylic amine **3a** could be obtained in 90% isolated yield as a single regioisomer in 90% enantiomeric excess. Wet THF solvent is required, perhaps to partially solubilize CS₂CO₃. Using distilled THF under otherwise optimal conditions, **3a** was obtained in only 61% yield. Optimal yields were restored using distilled THF in combination with water (250 mol%). The absolute stereochemistry of **3a** was determined by comparison of its optical rotation to that of an authentic sample reported in the literature (and x-ray analysis of **3j'**).¹⁹

Under these optimized conditions, primary benzylic, allylic and aliphatic amines serve as nucleophilic partners in aminations of diverse branched allylic acetates (Table 2). Complete branched-regioselectivity was accompanied by high levels of enantioselectivity (¹H NMR & HPLC). Additionally, the levels of chemoselectivity in favor of primary amine allylation

were sufficiently high that *N*-benzyl ethylene diamine and tryptamine could be converted to the respective amination products **3l** and **3a'** without competing allylation of the secondary amine. As demonstrated by the formation of **3z**, **3g'**, **3h'**, **3i'**, primary amines that are branched at the α -position are effective nucleophilic partners. Further, as illustrated by the formation of **3b** vs **3c**, **3e** vs **3f** and **3h** vs **3i**, excellent levels of catalyst-directed stereoselection are observed. Perhaps the notable feature of this catalytic system, however, resides in the ability to engage branched allylic acetates *bearing linear alkyl groups* in highly regio- and enantioselective aminations – a capability that complements the scope of previously reported iridium catalysts for allylic amination.^{3–11,17,18}

To illustrate the utility of the reaction products a series of transformations were performed. The *N*-benzyl ethylene diamine adduct **3l** was subjected to reductive amination with glyoxal to form the piperazine **4l** (eq. 1).²¹ Adducts **3x** and **3j'** were converted to 1-(*N*-benzyl-amino)-2-cyclohexene **4x** (eq. 2)²² and the cyclopropyl substituted lactam **4j'** through ring-closing metathesis (eq. 3).^{5g}

(eq. 1)

(eq. 2)

(eq. 3)

While numerous reports of “unpoled allylations” exist,²³ the amphiphilic properties displayed by π -allyliridium *C,O*-benzoates appear quite unique.²⁴ The same catalyst, (*R*)-IrLn (5 mol%), will promote both nucleophilic and electrophilic allylation under very

similar conditions. For example, under standard amination conditions, 4-aminomethylbenzyl alcohol and α -methyl allyl acetate are directly converted to compound **7** in 36% yield. Alternatively, compound **5** can be converted to compound **7** in a step-wise manner with higher levels of stereoselectivity using the same iridium catalyst (Scheme 1).

The nature of the C-N bond forming event merits discussion. For related enantioselective iridium catalyzed allylic aminations, an outer-sphere mechanism is postulated.^{3–11} While it is likely such pathways are also operative in aminations catalyzed by π -allyliridium *C,O*-benzoates, an inner-sphere mechanism cannot be excluded. The more stringent steric constraints of an inner-sphere mechanism may account for the fact that primary amines engage in allylation while secondary amines do not. Furthermore, enantiofacial selectivity for amination through an inner sphere mechanism matches that observed in nucleophilic allylations of α -substituted allylic acetates (Figure 2). Computational studies are underway to evaluate outer vs inner sphere pathways.

In summary, highly tractable and commercially available π -allyliridium *C,O*-benzoates, which are well known to catalyze nucleophilic carbonyl allylation, are now shown to catalyze chemo-, regio- and enantioselective electrophilic aminations of branched allylic acetates bearing linear alkyl groups. These processes broaden access to chiral *N*-containing building blocks²⁵ and establish unique amphiphilic properties of π -allyliridium *C,O*-benzoates, which should inform the design of new catalytic process. More broadly, this work demonstrates how academic-industrial collaboration accelerates the discovery of robust, innovative methods for chemical synthesis.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments.

The Robert A. Welch Foundation (F-0038), the NIH-NIGMS (RO1-GM069445) The Alexander von Humboldt Foundation Feodor-Lynen postdoctoral fellowship program (T. W.) are acknowledged for partial support of this research. Steven T. Staben is thanked for helpful discussions and Baiwei Lin, Kewei Xu and Yanzhou Liu for analytical data.

REFERENCES

- (1). (a) Tsuji J; Takahashi H; Morikawa M Tetrahedron Lett 1965, 6, 4387. (b) Trost BM; Fullerton TJ J. Am. Chem. Soc 1973, 95, 292.
- (2). For selected reviews on metal catalyzed allylic substitution, see: Trost BM; Van Vranken DL Chem. Rev 1996, 96, 395. [PubMed: 11848758] Trost BM; Crawley ML Chem. Rev 2003, 103, 2921. [PubMed: 12914486] Graening T; Schmalz H-G Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2003, 42, 2580. Trost BM J. Org. Chem 2004, 69, 5813. [PubMed: 15373468] Lu Z; Ma S Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2008, 47, 258. Trost BM; Zhang T; Sieber JD Chem. Sci 2010, 1, 427. Tosatti P; Nelson A; Marsden SP Org. Biomol. Chem 2012, 10, 3147. [PubMed: 22407450] Moberg C Top. Organomet. Chem 2011, 38, 209. Sundararaju B; Achard M; Bruneau C Chem. Soc. Rev 2012, 41, 4467. [PubMed: 22576362] Oliver S; Evans PA Synthesis 2013, 45, 3179. Butt NA; Zhang W Chem. Soc. Rev 2015, 44, 7929. [PubMed: 26293479] Trost BM Tetrahedron 2015, 71, 5708. [PubMed: 26236048]

- (3). (a)Takeuchi R; Ue N; Tanabe K; Yamashita K; Shiga N J. Am. Chem. Soc 2001, 123, 9525. [PubMed: 11572672] (b)Onodera G; Watabe K; Matsubara M; Oda K; Kezuka S; Takeuchi R Adv. Synth. Catal 2008, 2725.
- (4). Reviews: Takeuchi R Synlett 2002, 1954. Takeuchi R; Kezuka S Synthesis 2006, 3349.
- (5). (a)Bartels B; García-Yebra C; Rominger F; Helmchen G Eur. J. Inorg. Chem 2002, 2569. (b)Lipowsky G; Helmchen G Chem. Commun 2004, 116.(c)Welter C; Koch O; Lipowsky G; Helmchen G Chem. Commun 2004, 896.(d)Welter C; Dahnz A; Brunner B; Streiff S; Dübon P; Helmchen G Org. Lett 2005, 7, 1239. [PubMed: 15787476] (e)Weihofen R; Dahnz A; Tverskoy O; Helmchen G Chem. Commun 2004, 3541.(f)Weihofen R; Tverskoy O; Helmchen G Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2006, 45, 5546.(g)Speiss S; Berthold C; Weihofen R, Helmchen G Org. Biomol. Chem 2007, 5, 2357. [PubMed: 17637953] (h)Speiss S; Raskatov JA; Gnam C; Brödner K; Helmchen G Chem. Eur. J 2009, 15, 11087. [PubMed: 19750530] (i)Gärtner M; Jäkel M; Achatz M; SonnenSchein C; Tverskoy O; Helmchen G Org. Lett 2011, 13, 2810. [PubMed: 21534551]
- (6). Reviews: Helmchen G; Dahnz A; Dübon P; Schelwies M; Weihofen R Chem. Commun 2007, 675. Helmchen G In Iridium Complexes in Organic Synthesis; Oro LA, Claver C, Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2009; pp 211–250. Qu J; Helmchen G Acc. Chem. Res 2017, 50, 2539. [PubMed: 28937739]
- (7). (a)Ohmura T; Hartwig JF J. Am. Chem. Soc 2002, 124, 15164. [PubMed: 12487578] (b)Kiener CA; Shu C; Incarvito C; Hartwig JF J. Am. Chem. Soc 2003, 125, 14273.(c)Leitner A; Shu C; Hartwig JF Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci 2004, 101, 5830. [PubMed: 15067140] (d)Shu C; Leitner A; Hartwig JF Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2004, 43, 4797.(e)Leitner A; Shekhar S; Pouy MJ; Hartwig JF J. Am. Chem. Soc 2005, 127, 15506. [PubMed: 16262414] (f)Leitner A; Shu C; Hartwig JF Org. Lett 2005, 7, 1093. [PubMed: 15760147] (g)Shekhar S; Trantow B; Leitner A; Hartwig JF J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006, 128, 11770. [PubMed: 16953612] (h)Yamashita Y; Gopalarathnam A; Hartwig JF J. Am. Chem. Soc 2007, 129, 7508. [PubMed: 17523648] (i)Markovic D; Hartwig JF J. Am. Chem. Soc 2007, 129, 11680. [PubMed: 17725356] (j)Pouy MJ; Leitner A; Weix DJ; Ueno S; Hartwig JF Org. Lett 2007, 9, 3949. [PubMed: 17725361]
- (8). Reviews: Hartwig JF; Stanley LM Acc. Chem. Res 2010, 43, 1461. [PubMed: 20873839] Hartwig JF; Pouy MJ Top. Organomet. Chem 2011, 38, 169.
- (9). (a)Defieber C; Ariger MA; Moriel P; Carreira EM Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2007, 46, 3139. (b)Roggen M; Carreira EM J. Am. Chem. Soc 2010, 132, 11917. [PubMed: 20698515] (c)Lafrance M; Roggen M; Carreira EM Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2012, 51, 3470.(d)Rossler SL; Krautwald S; Carreira EM J. Am. Chem. Soc 2017, 139, 3603. [PubMed: 28267330]
- (10). (a)Ye K-Y; Dai L-X; You S-L Org. Biomol. Chem 2012, 10, 5932. [PubMed: 22441972] (b)Liu W-B; Zhang X; Dai L-X; You S-L Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2012, 51, 5183.(c)Ye K-Y; Zhao Z-A; Lai Z-W; Dai L-X; You S-L Synthesis 2013, 2109.(d)Ye K-Y; Dai L-X; You S-L Chem. Eur. J 2014, 20, 3040. [PubMed: 24677302] (e)Yang Z-P; Wu Q-F; You S-L Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2014, 53, 6986.(f)Zhang X; Yang Z-P; Huang L; You S-L Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2015, 54, 1873. (g)Yang Z-P; Wu Q-F; Shao W; You S-L J. Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 15899. [PubMed: 26605697] (f)Ye K-Y; Cheng Q; Zhuo C-X; Dai L-X; You S-L Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2016, 55, 8113.(g)Zhang X; Liu W-B; Cheng Q; You S-L Organometallics 2016, 35, 2467.(h)Yang Z-P; Zheng C; Huang L; Qian C; You S-L Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2017, 56, 1530.
- (11). (a)Liu W-B; Xia J-B; You S-L Top. Organomet. Chem 2011, 38, 155.(b)Zhou C-X; Zhang W; You S-L Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2012, 51, 12662.(c)Wu W-T; Zhang L; You S-L Chem. Soc. Rev 2016, 45, 1570. [PubMed: 26796922]
- (12). For spectroscopic and crystallographic evidence of a stable rhodium enyl complex and its role in enabling regio- and stereospecific rhodium catalyzed allylic substitution, respectively, see: Lawson DN; Osborn JA; Wilkinson G J. Chem. Soc. A 1966, 1733. Tanaka I; Jin-no N; Kushida T; Tsutsui N; Ashida T; Suzuki H; Sakurai H; Moro-oka Y; Ikawa T Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn 1984, 56, 657. Evans PA; Nelson JD J. Am. Chem. Soc 1998, 120, 5581.
- (13). Beyond the rather complex effects of Lewis basic additives (refs. 5a, 6a vs 6b), highly π -acidic ligands are required to preserve stereo-specificity in iridium catalyzed reactions of branched allyl proelectrophiles: Bartels B; Helmchen G Chem. Commun 1999, 741.

- (14). For initial reports, see: Kim IS; Ngai M-Y; Krische MJ J. Am. Chem. Soc 2008, 130, 6340. [PubMed: 18444616] Kim IS; Han SB; Krische MJ J. Am. Chem. Soc 2009, 131, 2514. [PubMed: 19191498]
- (15). For preparation and chromatographic purification of the iridium catalyst in 85% yield, see: Gao X; Townsend IA; Krische MJ J. Org. Chem 2011, 76, 2350. [PubMed: 21375283]
- (16). For a recent overview of enantioselective allylations and propargylations catalyzed by π -allyliridium *C,O*-benzoates, see: Kim SW; Zhang W; Krische MJ Acc. Chem. Res 2017, 50, 2371. [PubMed: 28792731]
- (17). For other enantioselective metal catalyzed allylic aminations employing diverse allyl proelectrophiles, see: Cooke ML; Xu K; Breit B Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2012, 51, 10876. Arnold JS; Nguyen HM J. Am. Chem. Soc 2012, 134, 8380. [PubMed: 22563701] Chen Q-A; Chen Z; Dong VM J. Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 8392. [PubMed: 26107923] Xu K; Wang Y-H; Khakyzadeh V; Breit B Chem. Sci 2016, 7, 3313. [PubMed: 29997823] Mwenda ET; Nguyen HM Org. Lett 2017, 19, 4814. [PubMed: 28876951] Guo W; Cai A; Xie J; Kleij AW Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2017, 56, 11797.
- (18). For selected reviews on the catalytic enantioselective synthesis of allylic amines, see: Cannon JS; Overman LE Acc. Chem. Res 2016, 49, 2220. [PubMed: 27689745] Grange RL; Clizbe EA; Evans PA Synthesis 2016, 2911. Fernandes Rodney A.; Kattanguru Pullaiah; Gholap Sachin P.; Chaudhari Dipali A. Org. Biom. Chem 2017, 15, 2672.
- (19). An enantiomeric enrichment of 82% ee was observed using the SEGPPOS-modified catalyst. For the Roche ligand, see: Schmid R; Cereghetti M; Heiser B; Schönholzer P; Hansen H-J Helv. Chim. Acta 1988, 71, 897. Schmid R; Foricher J; Cereghetti M; Schönholzer P Helv. Chim. Acta 1991, 74, 370.
- (20). (a) Vrienze DC; Hohe GS; Hoerter PZ; van Haistma JT; Samas BM Org. Lett 2009, 11, 3140. [PubMed: 19527001] (b) Höcker J; Rudolf G; Bächlein F; Fleischer S; Lindner BD; Helmchen G Eur. J. Org. Chem 2013, 5149.
- (21). Lee BK; Kim MS; Nahm HS; Kim DS; Lee WK; Ha H-J Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 8393.
- (22). For a related RCM, see: Edwards AS; Wybrow RAJ; Johnstone C; Adams H; Harrity JPA Chem. Commun, 2002, 1542.
- (23). For selected reviews on carbonyl allylation *via* umpolung of π -allyls, see: Masuyama Y In Advances in Metal-Organic Chemistry; Liebeskind LS, Ed.; JAI Press: Greenwich, 1994; Vol 3, p. 255. Tamaru Y In Handbook of Organopalladium Chemistry for Organic Synthesis; Negishi E.-i., de Meijere A, Eds.; Wiley: New York, 2002; Vol. 2, pp. 1917. Tamaru Y In Perspectives in Organopalladium Chemistry for the XXI Century; Tsuji J Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1999, pp. 215. Kondo T; Mitsudo T-A Curr. Org. Chem 2002, 6, 1163. Tamaru Y Eur. J. Org. Chem 2005, 13, 2647. Zaroni G; Pontiroli A; Marchetti A; Vidari G Eur. J. Org. Chem 2007, 22, 3599.
- (24). Amphiphilic properties were postulated in palladium catalyzed conjugate allylation-allylic alkylations of alkylidene malononitriles and related compounds. The requirement of both allyltributylstannane and allylic chloride suggests these reactions do not involve amphiphilic π -allylpalladium intermediates: Nakamura H; Shim J-G; Yamamoto Y J. Am. Chem. Soc 1997, 119, 8113. For related processes, see: Nakamura H; Aoyagi K; Shim J-G; Yamamoto Y J. Am. Chem. Soc 2001, 123, 372. Nakamura H; Shimizu K Tetrahedron Lett 2011, 52, 426. Genady AR; Nakamura H Org. Biomol. Chem 2011, 9, 7180. [PubMed: 21858379] Masakazu N; Atsushi W; Itami K Chem. Sci 2012, 3, 3474.
- (25). For statistical analysis of the appearance of *N*-heterocycles in FDA approved drugs, see: Vitaku E; Smith DT; Njardarson JT J. Med. Chem 2014, 57, 10257. [PubMed: 25255204]

Prior Work: Cationic Metal, Electrophilic π -Allyl **Our Work: Neutral Metal, Amphiphilic π -Allyl**

Figure 1.
Phosphoramidite modified iridium complexes and amphiphilic π -allyliridium *C,O*-benzoates for regio- and enantioselective allylic amination.

Figure 2.
Stereochemical models accounting for equivalent π -facial selectivity in crotylation and amination.

Scheme 1.

Identical π -allyliridium *C,O*-benzoate complexes promote both nucleophilic and electrophilic allylation.^a

^aSee Supporting Information for further experimental details.

Table 1.

Influence of base in the amination of α -methyl allyl acetate **1a** to form allylic amine **3a**.^a

1a (100 mol%)	(R) -IrLn (5 mol%) BnNH ₂ (200 mol%) Base (120 mol%) "wet" THF (1 M) 40 °C, 18 h	3a^b	(R) -SEGPHOS (R) -IrLn
	No Base	<1	
	Li ₂ CO ₃	<1	
	Na ₂ CO ₃	<1	
	K ₂ CO ₃	<1	
	K ₃ PO ₄	50-60	
	Cs ₂ CO ₃	85, 90% ee	
$\Rightarrow$ Cs ₂ CO ₃ , ^c 50 °C		95 (90%), ^d 90% ee	

^a All reactions were performed on a 0.44 mmol scale. Enantioselectivities were determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC analysis. See Supporting Information for further experimental details.

^b Conversion was determined by ¹H NMR.

^c Cs₂CO₃ (200 mol%).

^d Yield of material isolated by silica gel chromatography.

Table 2. Regio- and enantioselective iridium-catalyzed amination of branched allylic carboxylates.^a

3a, 90% Yield 90% ee	3b, 93% Yield 20:1 dr	3c, 92% Yield 14:1 dr	3d, 99% Yield 90% ee	3e, 95% Yield >20:1 dr	3f, 97% Yield 16:1 dr

3g, 88% Yield 87% ee	3h, 91% Yield 17:1 dr	3i, 95% Yield >20:1 dr	3j, 85% Yield 93% ee	3k, 90% Yield 94% ee	3l, 71% Yield ^b 96% ee

3m, 90% Yield 94% ee	3n, 86% Yield 91% ee	3o, 92% Yield 99% ee	3p, 74% Yield 92% ee	3q, 98% Yield 89% ee	3r, 80% Yield 96% ee

3s, 84% Yield 94% ee	3t, 85% Yield 91% ee	3u, 78% Yield 93% ee	3v, 80% Yield 95% ee	3w, 82% Yield 93% ee	3x, 85% Yield 95% ee

3y, 73% Yield 92% ee	3z, 70% Yield 91% ee	3a', 69% Yield ^c 91% ee	3b', 78% Yield 94% ee	3c', 75% Yield 93% ee	3d', 80% Yield 87% ee

3e', 83% Yield 93% ee	3f', 83% Yield 17:1 dr	3g', 79% Yield 94% ee	3h', 74% Yield 91% ee	3i', 79% Yield 95% ee	3j', 76% Yield 90% ee

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

^dYields of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. Standard conditions: (*R*)-IrLn (5 mol%), amine (200 mol%), Cs₂CO₃ (200 mol%), “wet” THF (1 M), 50 °C. Enantioselectivities were determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC analysis. See Supporting Information for further experimental details.

^bH₂NCHCH₂NHBn (400 mol%), ee% determined at the stage of **4I** (eq. 1).

^cThe cyclometallated iridium catalyst modified by the Roche ligand was used. 18