Published in final edited form as:

ACS Catal. 2016 May 6; 6(5): 3336–3339. doi:10.1021/acscatal.6b00856.

Intermolecular Markovnikov-Selective Hydroacylation of Olefins Catalyzed by a Cationic Ruthenium–Hydride Complex

Junghwa Kim and Chae S. Yi*

Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201-1881 United States

Abstract

The cationic Ru-H complex was found to be an effective catalyst for the intermolecular hydroacylation of aryl-substituted olefins with aldehydes to form branched ketone products. The preliminary kinetic and spectroscopic studies elucidated a ruthenium-acyl complex as the key intermediate species. The catalytic method directly afforded branched ketone products in a highly regioselective manner while tolerating a number of heteroatom functional groups.

Graphical Abstract

Markovnikov-Selective Intermolecular Hydroacylation of Olefins

Keywords

hydroacylation; alkene; aldehyde; ruthenium catalyst; branched ketone

Transition metal catalyzed hydroacylation reaction constitutes a highly expedient protocol for introducing acyl group to unsaturated organic substrates.¹ For the hydroacylation of olefins, intramolecular version of the reaction has been effectively used to form cyclic ketone products.² Since the pioneering reports on the intermolecular hydroacylation of olefins,³ a number of late transition metal catalysts have been found to mediate *anti*-Markovnikov hydroacylation of alkenes to form the linear ketones.^{4–6} One of the most debilitating problems on the olefin hydroacylation reaction resides in competing decarbonylation of aldehyde substrates, which often leads to the deactivation of metal catalysts. A number of clever strategies have been implemented to circumvent the decarbonylation problem. One successful approach has been to employ aldehyde substrates with a heteroatom chelate group to promote the hydroacylation reaction.⁴ Employing aldimines as synthetic equivalent to aldehydes⁵ and using activated olefin substrates⁶ have also been shown to be effective strategies for promoting regioselective hydroacylation

*Corresponding Author: chae.yi@marquette.edu.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI.
Experimental procedures and full characterization data of compounds (PDF)

reaction while limiting the decarbonylation side reaction. Since most of these catalytic methods generally exhibit *anti*-Markovnikov selectivity in forming linear ketone products, much research efforts has been directed to develop Markovnikov-selective hydroacylation reaction to form the branched ketone products.^{7,8} Even though Markovnikov-selective asymmetric hydroacylation reaction has been achieved under intramolecular conditions,⁸ a relatively few examples of intermolecular Markovnikov-selective hydroacylation methods have been reported. Moreover, the substrate scope for the Markovnikov-selective hydroacylation reaction has been generally limited to either aldehydes with heteroatom chelate group or activated olefin substrates. Very recently, Zhou and co-workers reported the first example of Ni-catalyzed Markovnikov-selective hydroacylation of styrene derivatives.⁹ Designing highly effective and generally applicable Markovnikov-selective hydroacylation technology remains a largely unsolved problem in homogeneous catalysis field.

We recently devised a number of dehydrative C–H coupling reactions of olefins with alcohols by using a well-defined cationic ruthenium-hydride complex $[(C_6H_6)(PCy_3)(CO)RuH]^+BF_4^-$ (**1**) as the precatalyst.¹⁰ In an effort to extend the scope of C–H acylation of phenol and related arenes,¹¹ we have been exploring the coupling reaction of aldehydes with olefin substrates. Herein, we disclose a highly Markovnikov-selective intermolecular hydroacylation of aryl-substituted olefins to yield branched ketone products. The catalytic method provides an atom-economical acylation protocol for styrene derivatives without employing any reactive reagents or directing groups.

(1)

We initially surveyed the coupling reaction of α -olefins with aldehydes to probe the feasibility of the hydroacylation reaction. The treatment of styrene (1.2 mmol) with benzaldehyde (1.0 mmol) in the presence of the catalyst **1** (4 mol %) was heated at 120 °C for 8 h, after which the product mixture was analyzed by both GC and NMR methods (eq 1). The crude mixture showed high selectivity for the branched product **2a** over the linear product **3a** (82% GC yield based on the aldehyde, **2a**:**3a** >20:1), along with a trace amount of the styrene dimer PhCH(Me)CH=CHPh (<5%). The preliminary screening of Ru catalysts confirmed uniquely high activity of the complex **1** for the coupling reaction (Table S1, Supporting Information (SI)).

The catalyst **1** was used to explore the substrate scope of the hydroacylation reaction (Table 1). Both aliphatic and aryl-substituted aldehydes readily reacted with styrene derivatives to form the branched acylation products **2** (entries 1–13). For terminal olefins such as allylbenzene and 4-phenyl-1-butene, apparently facile olefin isomerization had taken place prior to the acylation to form the phenyl-substituted acylation products **2n–2p** (entry 14–16). We previously showed that the Ru catalyst promotes very high olefin isomerization rate under the comparable conditions.¹² The coupling of indene with aldehydes predictively

afforded the 2-acylated products **2r** and **2s** (entries 17,18), while the acylation of cinnamic acid derivatives proceeded smoothly to give the 1,4-diketone products **2t–2v** (entries 20–22). The hydroacylation of 4-methylstyrene with a chiral aldehyde substrate (*R*)-CH₃CHPhCHO led to a 1:1 diastereomeric mixture of the products **2w**, resulted from the epimerization of the olefinic carbon (entry 23). In most cases, the catalytic method achieves very high regioselectivity toward the branched acylated products over the linear ketone products without using any chelate directing group (**2:3** >20:1). It should be emphasized that the hydroacylation of styrene with simple aliphatic aldehydes has been rarely achieved.⁹

To further demonstrate synthetic utility, we next explored the hydroacylation of styrene with a number of biologically active aldehyde substrates. The treatment of (1*R*)-(–)-myrtenal and (*S*)-(–)-perillaldehyde with styrene formed a 1:1 diastereomeric mixture of the products **4a** and **4b** (Table 2). The reaction of biologically active helional with styrene and *N*-methyl-3-indolecarboxaldehyde with methyl cinnamate also yielded the products **4c** and **4d**, respectively. *Bis*-(2-formylphenyl)ether with 3 equiv of styrene selectively formed 2:1 coupling product **4e**, while vinyl estrone with benzaldehyde formed a single diastereomer of (–)-**4f**. Both oxygen and nitrogen groups are tolerated in forming these hydroacylation products.

(2)

The following set of preliminary kinetic experiments was performed to gain mechanistic insights on the acylation reaction. First, we examined the H/D exchange pattern of the hydroacylation reaction (eq 2). The product **2a** was isolated from the reaction mixture of benzaldehyde-*d*₁ (98% D, 0.5 mmol), styrene (0.6 mmol), and **1** (5 mol %) in toluene (2 mL) at 125 °C after 8 h. Deuterium content from the benzaldehyde was redistributed to CH₃ (42%) and CH (14%) as well as the *ortho*-arene (29%) of the isolated product **2a**, as determined by ¹H and ²H NMR (Figure S1, SI). A nearly 10% of deuterium was also incorporated to the styrene dimer byproduct. A substantially higher amount of deuterium incorporation to CH₃ position compared to the CH group of **2a** suggests that the addition of aldehydic hydrogen to the terminal olefinic carbon is favored over the internal one. A nearly 30% of deuterium was also incorporated to the *ortho*-arene positions, and such facile arene H/D exchange of arylketones has been commonly observed in chelate-assisted arene C–H insertion reactions.¹³

Next, we measured the kinetic isotope effects for the hydroacylation reaction. The reaction rate was measured for the hydroacylation reaction of styrene with PhCHO and PhCDO separately. The first-order plots on the formation of **2a** translated into a normal deuterium isotope effect of $k_H/k_D = 2.6 \pm 0.1$ (Figure 1). The results suggest that the aldehyde C–H cleavage is the most likely turnover-limiting step for the coupling reaction.¹⁴

To probe electronic influence on the aldehyde substrate, we constructed a Hammett plot from the rate of a series of *para*-substituted benzaldehydes $p\text{-X-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{CHO}$ ($\text{X} = \text{OMe}, \text{Me}, \text{H}, \text{F}, \text{Cl}$). A linear correlation from the relative rate vs Hammett σ_p led to a positive ρ value of $+0.8 \pm 0.1$ (Figure 2). The promotional effect from electron-withdrawing group suggests that electrophilic nature of carbonyl group facilitates the aldehyde C–H activation. Dong and co-workers recently reported a similar Hammett ρ value in the catalytic hydroacylation of 4-nitro-2-vinylphenol with *para*-substituted benzaldehydes.^{7g}

In an effort to elucidate the structure of catalytically relevant intermediate species, we monitored the reaction of **1** with styrene and aldehyde substrates. In a NMR tube, **1** (0.1 mmol), styrene (0.1 mmol) and ^{13}C -enriched benzaldehyde (0.1 mmol, 99% ^{13}C) were dissolved in CD_2Cl_2 (0.5 mL), and the tube was heated at 90 °C for 3 h, during which time, the formation of a new Ru complex was observed at the expense of the starting complex **1** as monitored by NMR (eq 3).

(3)

The $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture at $-40\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ showed a distinctively upfield-shifted carbonyl peak at δ 196.5 (d, $J_{\text{PC}} = 18.4\text{ Hz}$), which does not have any neighboring protons as analyzed by 2D NMR techniques (Figure S3, SI). We also detected a small amount of ethylbenzene (10%) and free benzene (90%) in the reaction mixture. We tentatively assigned the structure as a cationic Ru-acyl complex **5** on the basis of these NMR spectroscopic data.¹⁵

On the basis of these results, we present a possible mechanism of the hydroacylation reaction (Scheme 1). We propose that the cationic Ru-acyl species **5** is initially generated from the coordination of aldehyde and the dehydrogenation steps. The spectroscopic detection of a Ru-acyl complex **5** from the stoichiometric reaction of **1** with benzaldehyde and styrene provides a strong argument for the acyl complex as catalytically active species. Ruthenium-acyl complexes have been considered as a key intermediate in hydroacylation and carbonylation of olefins.^{1b,16} The regioselective migratory insertion of styrene would form the five-membered metallacyclic species **6**. Both Hammett and deuterium isotope effect data suggest that the aldehyde C–H bond cleavage is the turnover limiting step, in which the C–H cleavage step would be facilitated by an electrophilic Ru center. The preferential deuterium incorporation to the methyl group of the product **2a** as described in eq 2 is also consistent with a reductive elimination step via the metallacyclic species **6**.¹⁷ A minor deuterium incorporation to the methyne position of **2a** can be explained via an alkene insertion/ β -H elimination from the intermediate **6** and its concurrent H/D exchange with a Ru-D species.¹⁸

In conclusion, we successfully developed a highly Markovnikov-selective intermolecular hydroacylation protocol for the aryl-substituted alkenes to form branched ketone products. The catalytic method mediates high degree of Markovnikov selectivity without using any chelate directing groups, and tolerates a number of oxygen and nitrogen functional groups in forming the acylation products. Efforts to design Ru chiral catalysts for the asymmetric version of the hydroacylation reaction are currently underway.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial support from the National Science of Foundation (CHE-1358439) and the National Institute of Health General Medical Sciences (R15 GM109273) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Dr. Sheng Cai for help in running the NMR experiments on the characterization of **5**.

References

1. Recent reviews: Trost BM. *Acc Chem Res.* 2002; 35:695. [PubMed: 12234199] Willis MC. *Chem Rev.* 2010; 110:725. [PubMed: 19873977] Leung JC, Krische MJ. *Chem Sci.* 2012; 3:2202.
2. (a) Bosnich B. *Acc Chem Res.* 1998; 31:667.(b) Kundu K, McCullagh JV, Morehead AT Jr. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2005; 127:16042. [PubMed: 16287288] (c) Murphy SK, Bruch A, Dong VM. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2014; 53:2455.(d) Chen Q, Kim DK, Dong VM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2014; 136:3772. [PubMed: 24588202]
3. (a) Marder TB, Roe DC, Milstein D. *Organometallics.* 1988; 7:1451.(b) Kondo T, Akazome M, Tsuji Y, Watanabe Y. *J Org Chem.* 1990; 55:1286.(c) Lenges CP, Brookhart M. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1997; 119:3165.(d) Lenges CP, White PS, Brookhart M. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1998; 120:6965.
4. (a) Jun CH, Lee DY, Lee H, Hong JB. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2000; 39:3070.(b) Jun CH, Moon CW, Lee DY. *Chem Eur J.* 2002; 8:2423.(c) Willis MC, McNally SJ, Beswick PJ. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2004; 43:340.(d) Delius MV, Le CM, Dong VM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:15022. [PubMed: 22938187]
5. (a) Suggs J. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1979; 101:489.(b) Jun CH, Lee H, Hong JB. *J Org Chem.* 1997; 62:1200.(c) Jun CH, Huh CW, Na SJ. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 1998; 37:145.(d) Yang J, Seto YW, Yoshikai N. *ACS Catal.* 2015; 5:3054.
6. Anti-Markovnikov selective hydroacylation with with non-chelate assisted aldehydes: Tanaka K, Shibata Y, Suda T, Hagiwara Y, Hirano M. *Org Lett.* 2007; 9:1215. [PubMed: 17341091] Shibata Y, Tanaka K. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2009; 131:12552. [PubMed: 19685873] Chen QA, Kim DK, Dong VM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2014; 136:3772. [PubMed: 24588202] For a recent review article of hydroacylation with non-chelate assisted aldehyde: Leung JC, Krische MJ. *Chem Sci.* 2012; 3:2202.
7. (a) Tanaka M, Imai M, Yamamoto Y, Tanaka K, Shimowatari M, Nagumo S, Kawahara N, Suemune H. *Org Lett.* 2003; 5:1365. [PubMed: 12688760] (b) Omura S, Fukuyama T, Horiguchi J, Murakami Y, Ryu I. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:14094. [PubMed: 18841894] (c) Shibahara F, Bower JF, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:14120. [PubMed: 18841895] (d) Hirano K, Biju AT, Piel I, Glorius F. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2009; 131:14190. [PubMed: 19807174] (e) Coulter MM, Kou KGM, Galligan B, Dong VM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2010; 132:16330. [PubMed: 21033718] (f) Murphy SK, Petrone DA, Coulter MM, Dong VM. *Org Lett.* 2011; 13:6216. [PubMed: 22060018] (g) Murphy SK, Bruch A, Dong VM. *Chem Sci.* 2015; 6:174. [PubMed: 25580215]
8. (a) Barnhart RW, Wang X, Noheda P, Bergens SH, Whelan J, Bosnich B. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1994; 116:1821.(b) Kim IS, Ngai MY, Krische MJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:6340. [PubMed: 18444616] (c) Coulter MM, Dornan PK, Dong VM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2009; 131:6932. [PubMed: 19415904] (d) Piel I, Steinmetz M, Hirano K, Fröhlich R, Grimme S, Glorius F. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2011; 50:4983.(e) Hoffman TJ, Carreira EM. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2011; 50:10670.(f) Yang J, Yoshikai J. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2014; 136:16748. [PubMed: 25403018]

9. Xiao LJ, Fu XN, Zhou MJ, Xie JH, Wang LX, Xu XF, Zhou QL. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2016; 138:2957. [PubMed: 26855263]
10. (a) Lee DH, Kwon KH, Yi CS. *Science.* 2011; 333:1613. [PubMed: 21921195] (b) Lee DH, Kwon KH, Yi CS. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:7325. [PubMed: 22494241] (c) Kim J, Lee DH, Kalutharage N, Yi CS. *ACS Catal.* 2014; 4:3881.
11. Lee H, Yi CS. *Eur J Org Chem.* 2015:1899.
12. Lee DW, Yi CS. *Organometallics.* 2010; 29:3413. [PubMed: 20694188]
13. (a) Kakiuchi F, Murai S. *Acc Chem Res.* 2002; 35:826. [PubMed: 12379135] (b) Albrecht M. *Chem Rev.* 2010; 110:576. [PubMed: 20017477]
14. (a) Park YJ, Park JW, Jun CH. *Acc Chem Res.* 2008; 41:222. [PubMed: 18247521] (b) Garralda MA. *Dalton Trans.* 2009; 19:3635. (c) Jia X, Zhang S, Wang W, Luo F, Cheng J. *Org Lett.* 2009; 11:3120. [PubMed: 19552400] (d) Chan CW, Zhou Z, Chan ASC, Yu WY. *Org Lett.* 2010; 12:3926. [PubMed: 20704334] (e) Santhoshkumar R, Mannathan S, Cheng CH. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2015; 137:16116. [PubMed: 26645104]
15. The complete structural assignment of **5** was very difficult because the peaks due to styrene and unreacted benzaldehyde overlapped with the peaks for **5**. The complex **5** also slowly decomposes at room temperature within 12 h.
16. (a) Chatani N. *Topics in Organometallic Chemistry: Ruthenium Catalysts and Fine Chemistry.* Bruneau C, Dixneuf PH, editors Vol. 11. Springer-Verlag; Berlin: 2004. 45–79. (b) Arockiam PB, Bruneau C, Dixneuf PH. *Chem Rev.* 2012; 112:5879. [PubMed: 22934619]
17. As indicated earlier, we have previously found that the complex **1** is an exceptionally effective catalyst for the olefin isomerization reaction (ref. 12). The observed deuterium scrambling pattern can be readily explained by an olefin isomerization mechanism, as illustrated in SI (Figure S2).
18. Ryu and co-workers proposed an alternate mechanism via a π -allyl-ruthenium species to explain Markovnikov selectivity for the hydroacylation of dienes.^{7b} We cannot rigorously eliminate this mechanistic possibility although it cannot readily explain the detection of the Ru-acyl species.

Figure 1.
First Order Rate Plots from the Hydroacylation of Styrene with Benzaldehyde (■) and Benzaldehyde-*d* (▲)

Figure 2.
Hammett Plot from the Hydroacylation Reaction of *p*-X-C₆H₄CHO (X = OCH₃, CH₃, H, F, Cl) with Styrene

Scheme 1.

Possible Mechanism for the Hydroacylation of Styrene

Table 1

Markovnikov-Selective Hydroacylation of Olefins^a

entry	alkene	aldehyde	product (b:l)	temp (°C)	time (h)	yield (%)
		<i>p</i> -X-C ₆ H ₄ -CHO				
1			2a X = H	125	8	91
2			2b X = OMe (10:1)	125	12	71
3			2c X = Me	125	8	86
4			2d X = F	125	6	91
5			2e X = Cl	125	6	95
		1-hexanal				
6			2f X = H	130	12	74
7			2g X = Me (10:1)	130	12	82
8			2h X = H	130	12	56
		R-CHO				
9			2i R = CH ₂ CH ₂ Ph	125	12	86
10			2j R = Et	125	12	71
11			2k R = CH=CHPh	100	12	73
12			2l R = 2-naphthyl	130	8	96
13			2m R = 2-furanyl (10:1)	125	12	64

entry	alkene	aldehyde	product (b:l)	temp (°C)	time (h)	yield (%)
	R-CHO
14			2n R = Ph	110	16	66
15			2o R = n-pentyl	110	16	48
16		<i>p</i> -Cl-C ₆ H ₄ -CHO		110	16	61
17		<i>p</i> -Cl-C ₆ H ₄ -CHO	2p	110	16	76
	R-CHO	2q
18			2r R = Ph	125	12	65
19			2s R = n-pentyl	125	16	62
	R-CHO
20			2t X = OMe R = <i>p</i> -Cl-C ₆ H ₄	110	36	58
21			2u X = OMe R = n-pentyl	90	12	68
22			2v X = NMe ₂ R = Ph	110	36	46

entry	alkene	aldehyde	product (b:l)	temp (°C)	time (h)	yield (%)
23				125	12	84
24			2w	130	16	34
			2x			

^a Reaction conditions: alkene (1.2 mmol), aldehyde (1.0 mmol), **1** (4–6 mol %), toluene (2 mL).

Table 2

Hydroacylation of Styrene Derivatives with Bioactive Aldehydes^{a,b}

4a 86% (1:1 dr)	4b 56% (1:1 dr)	4c 73% (1:1 dr)
4d 60%	4e 88% (1:1 dr)	(-)-4f 28% ^c

^aReaction conditions: alkene (1.2 mmol), aldehyde (1.0 mol), **1** (8–10 mol %), toluene (2 mL), 120 °C, 12–14 h.^bProduct yield is calculated based on aldehyde substrate. Ratio of branched vs linear product is >20:1.^cReaction time = 36 h. The absolute stereochemistry of (–)-**4f** was not determined.