Published in final edited form as:

Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol. 2019 January ; 227: 134–145. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2018.10.005.

The effects of transfer from steady-state to tidally-changing salinities on plasma and branchial osmoregulatory variables in adult Mozambique tilapia.

K. Keano Pavlosky^{a,b}, Yoko Yamaguchi^{a,c}, Darren T. Lerner^{a,d}, and Andre P. Seale^{a,e,*}

^aHawai'i Institute of Marine Biology, University of Hawai'i, Kaneohe, HI 96744, USA

^bDepartment of Molecular Biosciences and Bioengineering, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA

^cFaculty of Life and Environmental Science, Shimane University, Matsue, Shimane 690-0881, Japan

^dUniversity of Hawai'i Sea Grant College Program, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, Honolulu, HI, 96822, USA

^eDepartment of Human Nutrition, Food, and Animal Sciences, University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA

Abstract

The Mozambique tilapia, *Oreochromis mossambicus*, is a teleost fish native to estuarine waters that vary in salinity between fresh water (FW) and seawater (SW). The neuroendocrine system plays a key role in salinity acclimation by directing ion uptake and extrusion in osmoregulatory tissues such as gill. While most studies with *O. mossambicus* have focused on acclimation to steady-state salinities, less is known about the ability of adult fish to acclimate to dynamically-changing salinities. Plasma osmolality, prolactin (PRL) levels, and branchial gene expression of PRL receptors (PRLR1 and PRLR2), Na^+/Cl^- and $\text{Na}^+/\text{K}^+/\text{2Cl}^-$ co-transporters (NCC and NKCC), Na^+/K^+ -ATPase (NKA α 1a and NKA α 1b), cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR), and aquaporin 3 (AQP3) were measured in fish reared in FW and SW steady-state salinities, in a tidal regimen (TR) where salinities changed between FW and SW every 6 h, and in fish transferred from FW or SW to TR. Regardless of rearing regimen, plasma osmolality was higher in fish in SW than in FW fish, while plasma PRL was lower in fish in SW. Furthermore, branchial gene expression of effectors of ion transport in TR fish showed greater similarity to those in steady-state SW fish than in FW fish. By 7 days of transfer from steady-state FW or SW to TR, plasma osmolality, plasma PRL and branchial expression of effectors of ion transport were similar to those of fish reared in TR since larval stages. These findings demonstrate the ability of adult tilapia reared in steady-state salinities to successfully acclimate to dynamically-changing salinities. Moreover, the present findings suggest that early exposure to salinity changes

*Corresponding author: Fax: +1 808 956 4024., seale@hawaii.edu (A.P. Seale).

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

does not significantly improve survivability in future challenges to dynamically-changing salinities.

Keywords

Ion transporter; Osmoregulation; Prolactin; Rearing salinity; Salinity transfer; Tidal cycle; Tilapia

1. Introduction

Maintaining internal osmotic homeostasis is critical to life in many organisms, including vertebrates. Most vertebrates maintain plasma osmolality within a narrow physiological range, typically through exchange of ions and water between cells and the extracellular environment. In the Mozambique tilapia, *Oreochromis mossambicus*, as in other teleost fishes, plasma osmolality is maintained near one-third the osmolality of seawater (SW; McCormick, 2001). As a euryhaline species native to estuarine waters off the Southeast coast of Africa (Trewavas, 1983), the Mozambique tilapia is capable of recovering from major departures above or below their physiological range of plasma osmolality (between 305 and 443 mOsm/kg; Seale et al., 2013). This allows these fish to survive in external salinities equivalent to fresh water (FW) through double-strength SW (Fiess et al., 2007; Stickney, 1986). While the ability of Mozambique tilapia to tolerate steady-state environments of distinct salinities is well established, less is known about their osmoregulatory physiology in dynamically-changing salinities.

Fluctuations in salinity characterize some of the environments to which Mozambique tilapia are native, such as near shore estuaries. Recently, we have described the distinct osmoregulatory profile that tilapia reared under tidally-changing salinities acquire relative to fish reared in steady-state FW or SW since the yolk-sac fry stage (up to 15 days post fertilization, until yolk is fully absorbed; Moorman et al., 2014; 2015). Here, we characterize whether the unique osmoregulatory profile of tidally-reared fish may be acquired by fully developed adult fish that have been reared in steady-state salinities for at least two years prior to a transfer to tidally-changing salinities without exposure to any salinity change during early development. Generally, tilapia and other teleosts in FW hyperosmoregulate to counteract a tendency to lose solutes to the environment and to become over-hydrated (McCormick, 2001). On the other hand, in SW they hypoosmoregulate to counteract a tendency to lose water to the environment and gain solutes (McCormick, 2001). Osmoregulation is conducted predominantly via gill, kidney and intestine, with gill as the site of direct contact with the external environment and major site of monovalent ion transport (Evans et al., 2005).

The pituitary hormone prolactin (PRL) is essential for hyperosmoregulation in fish in FW (Dharmamba et al., 1967; Manzoni, 2002; Pickford and Phillips, 1959). Consistent with this action, plasma PRL in the Mozambique tilapia is inversely related to external osmolality (Seale et al., 2005), and PRL has been shown in FW to increase ion uptake and decrease water permeability at the gill (Breves et al., 2014). There are two isoforms of PRL receptors reported for Mozambique tilapia, PRLR1 and PRLR2 (Fiol et al., 2009). *In vitro*, receptors

in the gill and pituitary are differentially responsive to PRL and environmental osmolality: increases in extracellular PRL stimulate *prlr1* expression (Inokuchi et al., 2015), whereas increased extracellular osmolality stimulates *prlr2* expression (Inokuchi et al., 2015; Seale et al., 2012). Hence, mounting evidence indicates the actions of PRL on osmoregulation are likely regulated by both circulating levels of the hormone, and by the availability of its receptors.

Specialized ionocytes direct osmoregulation in the gill. These cells have been categorized into FW and SW types based on their primary functions in ion uptake and extrusion, respectively (Hiroi et al., 2005; Kaneko et al., 2008). Both FW and SW ionocytes express basolateral Na^+/K^+ -ATPase (NKA), an ion pump critical to establishing electrochemical gradients across the cell membrane, which drives ion secretion and absorption (Hiroi et al., 2005). NKA comprises multiple subunits, and two isoforms of NKA α sub-unit, $\alpha 1a$ and $\alpha 1b$, have been described in tilapia gill (Tipismark et al., 2011). Branchial mRNA expression of *nkaa1a* is upregulated in response to a fall in extracellular osmolality and to PRL, and is the prevalent isoform in FW type ionocytes (Inokuchi et al., 2015; Tipismark et al., 2011). On the other hand, branchial mRNA expression of *nkaa1b* has been reported to increase when fish are transferred from FW to SW (Tipismark et al., 2011); recent results, however, were unable to fully corroborate this relationship (Inokuchi et al., 2015; Moorman et al., 2014). The presence of Na^+/Cl^- cotransporter (NCC) in the apical membrane is specific to FW ionocytes (Hiroi et al., 2005; Hiroi et al., 2008). Transcription of *ncc* is directly regulated by PRL and a fall in extracellular osmolality (Breves et al., 2010b; Inokuchi et al., 2015). Seawater ionocytes, on the other hand, are characterized by presence of basolateral $\text{Na}^+/\text{K}^+/\text{2Cl}^-$ cotransporter (NKCC1a) and apical cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR, an ion channel responsible for Cl^- secretion by ionocytes of teleost fish in SW; Hiroi et al., 2005). During acclimation to SW, CFTR is trafficked into the apical membrane, while NKCC is translocated to the basolateral membrane of ionocytes (Marshall et al., 2002). Expression of *nkcc1a* has been shown to be directly osmosensitive, increasing with external osmolality (Inokuchi et al., 2015). In euryhaline teleost species, mRNA expression of *cfr* is elevated in SW-acclimated fish compared with FW-acclimated fish (Moorman et al., 2014; Moorman et al., 2015; Tse et al., 2006). Additionally, *cfr* expression increases when fish are moved from FW to SW, and decreases when subject to the opposite transfer (Moorman et al., 2015; Scott and Schulte, 2005; Singer et al., 1998; Tse et al., 2006). Importantly, it has been demonstrated that an increase in *cfr* expression in SW is linked not only to the trafficking of CFTR to the apical membrane but to the actual secretion of Cl^- (Marshall et al., 1999). Lastly, aquaporin 3 (AQP3), a basolaterally-located water channel, occurs in both FW and SW ionocytes (Watanabe et al., 2005). In Mozambique tilapia and other teleost species, branchial *aqp3* expression is elevated in FW-acclimated over SW-acclimated animals (Cutler and Cramb, 2002; Jung et al., 2012; Lignot et al., 2002; Madsen et al., 2014; Moorman et al., 2015; Tipismark et al., 2011), and has recently been shown to increase in direct response to PRL (Breves et al., 2016).

Much of the current understanding of osmoregulation in Mozambique tilapia, including ionocyte morphology and function, is based on prior studies that are largely focused on fish reared in steady-state FW or SW, or following one-way transfers between the two. Recently, we described an experimental tidal regimen (TR) rearing paradigm in which Mozambique

tilapia are exposed to alternating six-hour phases of FW and SW, simulating salinity fluctuations found in their native distribution (Moorman et al., 2014; Moorman et al., 2015). Those studies characterized the osmoregulatory profile for fish reared in tidally-changing salinities from yolk-sac fry to 4-month old fish. In our previous study it was concluded that developing tilapia experiencing tidal-salinity oscillations could respond better to a future one-way transfer of salinity from FW to SW, compared with fish reared in steady-state salinities (Moorman et al., 2015). It is unknown, however, whether adult fish retain such physiological plasticity as observed in juveniles. In anadromous species, individuals at different life stages often exhibit distinct tolerances to environmental salinity (Jensen et al., 2015). Despite the remarkable euryhalinity of the non-anadromous Mozambique tilapia, little is known on how osmoregulatory capacity is established and maintained throughout their life history. Hence, we tested whether the ability of adult fish to acclimate to TR required pre-exposure to both FW and SW during early developmental stages and whether the key variables associated with osmoregulation paralleled those of steady-state FW and SW fish. To address these questions, the following endpoints were measured both in fish reared in FW, SW and TR for 2 years, and in those transferred from FW or SW steady-states to TR for up to 1 week: 1) plasma osmolality; 2) circulating PRL levels; and 3) branchial mRNA expression of PRL receptors and effectors of ion transport shown previously to be responsive to changes in extracellular osmolality and /or PRL.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Experiment 1 – Salinity regime baseline

Male and female Mozambique tilapia were reared for two years from yolk-sac fry, under natural photoperiod, at the University of Hawai'i's Institute of Marine Biology (HIMB; Kaneohe, HI). Animals were kept in outdoor 700 L tanks supplied with either FW ($0.1 \pm 0.1\text{‰}$) or SW (34 ± 1 ; Kaneohe Bay, Kaneohe, HI), or alternating FW and SW in 6-hour phases, simulating a tidally-changing salinity (TR), as previously described (Moorman et al., 2014). Physicochemical properties of the FW and SW employed have been recently reported elsewhere (Breves et al., 2017). Ninety-five % and 100 % changes in salinity were obtained by 2h and 3h, respectively, either from FW to SW or SW to FW (Fig. 1). Water temperature was kept at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$. Fish were fed trout chow pellets (Skretting, Tooele, UT) once daily to satiation. At the time of sampling, fish weighed $191.6 \text{ g} - 1.1 \text{ kg}$. Nine fish from each rearing salinity were sampled. Fish reared in TR were collected at the end of the FW and SW phases of the cycle.

2.2 Experiment 2 - Transfer from steady-state salinities to tidal regimen

Adult male and female Mozambique tilapia were collected from broodstock maintained at HIMB, and held under natural photoperiod in outdoor 700 L tanks supplied with FW or SW, as above. Ninety-six FW-acclimated fish were allocated randomly across four replicate FW tanks (24 fish per tank), and 96 SW-acclimated fish across four replicate SW tanks (24 fish per tank). Water temperature was kept at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$. Fish were allowed an acclimation period of three weeks after seeding to the replicate tanks. Fish were fed trout chow pellets (Skretting, Tooele, UT) once daily to satiation. On Day 0 of the experiment, eight fish from each of the four FW and four SW tanks were sampled. Then, water supply to three of the

FW and three of the SW tanks was adjusted to facilitate the following salinity transfers: FW to SW (one tank), FW to TR (two tanks), SW to FW (one tank), and SW to TR (two tanks). One FW tank and one SW tank were retained as parallel controls for the duration of the experiment. Fish transferred from FW to SW were first acclimated to 82-85‰ SW (29-30‰) over 48 h, and then the water supply was adjusted to full strength SW. From each of the eight experimental tanks, eight fish were sampled on Day 3 and Day 7. From the FW to TR and SW to TR tanks, fish from one tank were sampled at the end of the FW phase (TF) of the tidal cycle, and fish from the second tank were sampled at the end of the SW phase (TS) of the tidal cycle. The same tanks were sampled at the end of the same tidal phase for the entire experiment. Fish sampled over the seven-day period weighed 87-570 g at the time of sampling.

2.3 Sampling

At the time of sampling, fish were netted and anesthetized with 2-phenoxyethanol (0.3 ml l⁻¹; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). After fish were weighed, blood was collected from the caudal vasculature with a needle and syringe coated with sodium heparin (200 U/ml, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), and fish were euthanized by rapid decapitation. Plasma was separated by centrifugation and stored at -20°C for further analysis. Gill filaments were collected from the second gill arch on the left side of the fish, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until further analysis. All experiments and sampling were conducted in accordance with the principles and procedures approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, University of Hawai'i.

2.4 Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)

Total RNA was extracted from frozen gill samples using TRI Reagent according to the manufacturer's protocol (Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, OH). Using the High Capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA), 5 µL of total RNA (400 ng/µL) was reverse transcribed into cDNA. Quantitative real-time PCRs (qRT-PCRs) were set up as previously described (Pierce et al., 2007), using the StepOnePlus real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). The mRNA levels of reference and target genes were determined by absolute quantification. Standard curves for quantification were generated using serially diluted target gene cDNA fragments of known concentration (standard cDNAs). Elongation factor 1α (EF1α) was used as a reference gene to normalize the mRNA levels of target genes after it was verified that *ef1a* mRNA expression did not vary across treatments. The PCR mixture (15 µL) contained Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA), 200 nM of forward and reverse primers as listed in Table 1, and 2 µL of standard cDNAs or cDNAs prepared from experimental samples. Dilution of experimental cDNA ranged from 20-to 100-fold. PCR cycling parameters were 50°C for 2 min and 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. For both experiments, R² values and amplification efficiencies for standard curves varied between 0.989-0.999, and 67.5-96.8%, respectively. Relative mRNA abundance data are expressed as fold-change compared with FW:FW control Day 0 values, and referred to as mRNA expression throughout the manuscript.

2.5 Plasma osmolality and prolactin

Plasma osmolality was measured using a vapor pressure osmometer (Wescor 5100C; Wescor, Logan, UT). Of the two isoforms of PRL, PRL₁₇₇ and PRL₁₈₈, produced and released by the pituitary of tilapia, PRL₁₈₈ was measured in this study based on its robust responses to changes in salinity (Seale et al., 2012), and is referred to as PRL throughout the text. Plasma PRL was measured via homologous radioimmunoassay (RIA) as previously described (Ayson et al., 1993; Yamaguchi et al., 2016).

2.6 Statistical Analysis

Data are expressed as means $\pm$ S.E.M. Main and interaction effects ($P < 0.05$) of salinity (FW or SW) and rearing regimen (tidal or steady-state) in Experiment 1, and effects of salinity treatment (eight experimental groups) and time (Day 0, 3 and 7) in Experiment 2 were analyzed by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Fisher's protected Least Significant Difference (LSD) test was used to assess the effects of interactions when detected. Where applicable, individual values were log-transformed prior to the analysis, to meet assumptions of normality and equal variance. Statistical calculations were performed using a statistical software program, Prism 6.0 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA).

3. Results

3.1 Experiment 1- plasma osmolality and prolactin

Plasma osmolality and PRL were compared between fish in FW and SW, reared under steady-state and tidal regimens. A two-way ANOVA revealed an effect of salinity on plasma osmolality, which was elevated in SW fish compared with FW fish; there was no effect of rearing regimen (Fig. 2A). Effects of salinity and rearing regimen on plasma PRL were observed: PRL levels were higher in FW fish than in SW fish, and in tidal fish compared with steady-state fish (Fig. 2B).

3.2 Experiment 1 - Branchial gene expression of PRL receptors, ion and water transporters and ion ATPases

The branchial gene mRNA expression of PRL receptors, ion and water transporters and ion ATPases was compared between fish in FW and SW, and reared under steady-state and tidal regimens. A two-way ANOVA revealed an interaction effect of salinity and rearing regimen on branchial mRNA expression of *prlr1*; while expression of *prlr1* in steady-state was higher in fish in FW than those in SW, in a tidal regimen, expression was higher in fish in SW (Fig. 3A). By contrast, a single effect of salinity was detected on *prlr2* mRNA expression, which was elevated in SW regardless of rearing regimen (Fig. 3B). Single and interaction effects of both salinity and rearing regimen were observed on *ncc* mRNA expression; *ncc* expression in steady-state FW fish was nearly 100-fold higher than that of SW, TF and TS fish, which were mutually similar (Fig. 3C). Single and interaction effects of salinity and rearing regimen were also observed in branchial *nkcc1a* mRNA expression; unlike *ncc* mRNA expression, however, expression was higher in steady-state SW fish than in FW fish, and highest in SW fish reared in a tidal regimen (Fig. 3D). Salinity, rearing regimen and interaction effects were observed on branchial mRNA expression of *nkaa1a*; expression in

steady-state FW fish was nearly 10-fold higher compared with SW fish, and similar to that of fish reared in a tidal regimen (Fig. 3E). With a single effect of salinity, *nkaa1b* mRNA expression was higher in fish in SW compared with those in FW (Fig. 3F). Salinity, rearing regimen and interaction effects were observed on *cfr* mRNA expression; expression in both steady-state and tidal SW fish exceeded that of FW fish reared under the same regimens (Fig. 3G). By contrast, salinity and interaction effects on branchial *aqp3* mRNA expression indicated higher expression in steady-state fish in FW compared with those in SW. While expression was similar across both phases of the tidal cycle, it was higher in FW than in SW steady-state fish (Fig. 3H).

3.3 Experiment 2 - plasma osmolality and prolactin

Plasma osmolality and PRL were compared between fish reared in FW or SW and transferred to steady-state or tidally-changing salinities over a 7-day period. A two-way ANOVA revealed salinity and interaction effects on plasma osmolality (Fig. 4A). By Day 3, plasma osmolality increased in fish transferred from FW to SW (FW:SW) and decreased in fish transferred from SW to FW (SW:FW) when compared with both parallel (FW:FW and SW:SW, respectively) and time 0 controls. Plasma osmolality was elevated in fish in TS compared with those in TF following transfers from either FW or SW to tidal salinities by Day 3 (FW:TF vs. FW:TS and SW:TF vs. SW:TS, respectively).

Salinity, time, and interaction effects were observed on plasma PRL (Fig. 4B). In FW controls (FW:FW) and SW controls (SW:SW), PRL levels remained steady and similar throughout the experiment. Plasma PRL was lower in fish transferred from FW to SW (FW:SW), than in FW controls (FW:FW) by Day 7. On the other hand, in fish transferred from SW to FW (SW:FW) PRL was significantly elevated compared to SW controls (SW:SW) by Day 3. By Day 7, plasma PRL in fish in FW:SW, FW:TF and FW:TS groups were similar to those in SW:TF and SW:TS groups, which remained unchanged from SW controls throughout the experiment.

3.4 Experiment 2 - Branchial gene expression of PRL receptors, ion and water transporters and ion ATPases

The branchial gene expression of PRL receptors, ion and water transporters and ion ATPases were compared between fish reared in FW or SW and transferred to steady-state or tidally-changing salinities over a 7-day period. A two-way ANOVA indicated interaction effects of salinity and time for all genes analyzed (Fig. 5 A-H.) Branchial *prlr1* mRNA expression decreased following transfer from FW to SW by Day 3, and increased following transfer from SW to FW by Day 7 relative to time-matched steady-state controls (FW:SW vs. FW:FW and SW:FW vs. SW:SW, respectively; Fig. 5A). Expression of *prlr1* was elevated in fish in TF compared with those in TS following transfers from either FW or SW to tidal salinities by Day 7 (FW:TF vs. FW:TS and SW:TF vs. SW:TS, respectively). By contrast, branchial *prlr2* mRNA expression increased and decreased following transfers from FW to SW and SW to FW, respectively, by Day 3 (FW:SW vs. FW:FW and SW:FW vs. SW:SW, respectively); this pattern, however, was not sustained through Day 7 (Fig. 5B). Moreover, by Day 7, expression of *prlr2* was elevated in fish in TS compared with those in TF

following transfers from either FW or SW to tidal salinities (FW:TS vs. FW:TF and SW:TS vs. SW:TF, respectively).

Branchial *ncc* mRNA expression decreased following transfer from FW to SW, and increased following SW to FW transfer by Day 3, as compared with time-matched, steady-state controls (FW:SW vs. FW:FW and SW:FW vs. SW:SW, respectively; Fig. 5C). Also by Day 3, *ncc* expression in TF fish was elevated over TS fish transferred from SW, but in those transferred from FW, *ncc* expression in TS fish was elevated over TF fish, converging by Day 7 (SW:TS vs. SW:TF and FW:TS vs. FW:TF, respectively). Conversely, branchial *nkcc1a* mRNA expression increased after FW to SW transfer, and decreased after SW to FW transfer by Day 3, compared with steady-state controls (Fig. 5D). Following transfer from FW, *nkcc1a* mRNA expression in TS fish was nearly double that of TF fish on Day 3; this difference, however, was no longer observed by Day 7 (FW:TF vs. FW:TS). By contrast, following transfer from SW, there was no difference in expression on Day 3 between TF and TS fish; on Day 7, however, expression in TF fish exceeded that of TS fish (SW:TF vs. SW:TS).

Branchial mRNA expression of *nkaa1a* decreased and increased following transfer from FW to SW and SW to FW, respectively, compared with time-matched, steady-state controls (FW:SW vs. FW:FW and SW:FW vs. SW:SW, respectively; Fig. 5E). These differences were observed by Day 3 and were further enhanced by Day 7. Although there was a difference in *nkaa1a* mRNA expression between TF and TS in fish transferred from FW by Day 3, by Day 7 there was no difference in expression between TF and TS-sampled fish, regardless of transfer from FW or SW. There was no difference in branchial *nkaa1b* mRNA expression following transfer from FW to SW or vice versa, compared to time-matched, steady-state controls (Fig. 5F). The same pattern was observed for fish transferred from FW and sampled during TF and TS. In fish transferred from SW, expression in TF fish was higher than in TS fish by Day 3, but this pattern was not sustained by Day 7.

Branchial mRNA expression of *aqp3* was decreased in fish transferred from FW to SW, and increased in fish subject to the opposite transfer by Day 3, as compared with steady-state controls (FW:SW vs. FW:FW and SW:FW vs. SW:SW, respectively; Fig. 5G). In TF fish transferred from FW, *aqp3* mRNA expression remained unchanged, whereas in TS fish expression decreased by Day 3, with TF and TS expression at similar levels by Day 7 (FW:TF and FW:TS; Fig. 5G). Following transfer from SW, expression in TF and TS fish increased over the 7-day period, reaching mutually similar levels by Day 3, but with TF exceeding TS by Day 7 (SW:TF and SW:TS; Fig. 5G). Branchial *cfr* mRNA expression increased in fish transferred from FW to SW and decreased in those transferred from SW to FW by Day 3, compared to steady-state controls (FW:SW vs. FW:FW and SW:FW vs. SW:SW, respectively; Fig. 5H). Branchial *cfr* expression in TF fish was lower than in TS fish by Day 3 regardless of transfer from FW or SW; this difference was absent by Day 7 (FW:TF vs. FW:TS and SW:TF vs. SW:TS, respectively; Fig. 5H).

4. Discussion

The objective of this experiment was to determine the capacity of adult fish, reared in steady-state FW or SW, to acclimate to TR, by characterizing plasma osmolality, PRL, and branchial gene expression of PRLRs, ion transporters, and ion ATPases. This is the first study to both describe an osmoregulatory profile for adult Mozambique tilapia reared for two years under cyclically changing salinity, which is similar to the species' native habitat, and to investigate in adult fish the osmoregulatory effects of transfer from FW and SW steady-state rearing conditions to a tidal environment. In light of recent findings suggesting that tilapia exposed to changing salinities during early stages of larval development may better respond to subsequent salinity challenges (Moorman et al., 2015), we tested the central notion of whether there is an adaptive advantage of rearing fish in changing salinities from the yolk-sac fry stage. By comparing 2-year old adult tilapia reared in steady-state FW and SW with fish reared under TR, our findings support the notion that the physiological experience of dynamically-changing salinities during early life history does not significantly improve survivability or osmoregulatory responses compared with fish that were exposed to TR for the first time as adults.

Specifically, the findings of this study were: 1) adult Mozambique tilapia acclimated to TR maintain a distinct osmoregulatory profile, which neither coincides fully with that of FW-nor SW-acclimated counterparts; 2) fish reared since yolk-sac fry for 2 years in steady-state salinities (either FW or SW) can rapidly acclimate to a tidal regimen, a finding that previously had only been observed in young fish (4 months of age); 3) by 7 days post-transfer, the osmoregulatory profile of fish reared in steady-state salinity and transferred to TR is similar to that of fish reared in TR since yolk-sac fry.

Upon conducting the salinity transfer experiments of this study, we found that adult tilapia reared under both steady-state FW and SW could withstand a direct transfer to TR, with 100% survival by 7 days. Specifically, FW fish transferred to TR suffered no mortalities despite their initial exposure to full-strength SW within 2 h of the first TS phase. This was suggestive of an ability of 2-year-old adult fish to survive exposure to dynamic salinity changes, regardless of acclimation history. It is well established that FW-acclimated tilapia cannot survive direct transfer to SW, but are able to survive when first transferred to an intermediate salinity (Breves et al., 2010a; Moorman et al., 2015; Seale et al., 2012; Seale et al., 2002; Yada et al., 1994). Consistent with these findings, the present protocol involved transfer to 80% SW for 48h, then to full strength SW. The current observations indicate that transition of FW fish to cyclically-changing salinity is less challenging than to steady-state SW.

In Mozambique tilapia, plasma osmolality is higher in fish acclimated to steady-state SW than in those acclimated to FW (Grau and Borski, 1994; Seale et al., 2002; Seale et al., 2006). Moreover, the inverse relation between plasma osmolality and PRL release has been well established (Grau et al., 1981; Nagahama et al., 1975; Seale et al., 2002; Seale et al., 2006; Seale et al., 2012). This relation is consistent with the potent hyperosmoregulatory action of PRL in gill and other osmoregulatory epithelia (Manzon, 2002). Consistent with previous reports, in Experiment 1 plasma osmolality was higher in fish in SW than those in

FW, whether fish were kept in a steady-state or tidal regimen (Moorman et al., 2014; Moorman et al., 2015; Seale et al., 2006; Seale et al., 2002; Yada et al., 1994). In the same experiment, plasma PRL was higher in fish in FW compared with those in SW, regardless of rearing regimen, which is also consistent with the expected release of PRL in response to a reduction in plasma osmolality. The similarity in plasma PRL levels in TF and TS fish observed in Experiments 1 and 2 was consistent with previous reports (Moorman et al., 2014; Moorman et al., 2015), suggesting that the fish reared in or transferred to a tidal cycle are not as physiologically dependent on osmotically-driven variations in circulating PRL as fish that are acclimated to steady-state salinities.

Environmental salinity has been shown to modulate the actions of PRL not only by regulating its release from the pituitary, but also by directing the expression of its receptors in osmoregulatory epithelia (Breves et al., 2011; Inokuchi et al., 2015). Additionally, mRNA expression of *prlr1* in gill is stimulated in a dose-dependent manner by PRL (Inokuchi et al., 2015). In Experiment 1 and in three of the four comparisons in Experiment 2, *prlr1* expression in FW steady-state fish was elevated over that in SW fish. Elevated branchial expression of *prlr1* in FW relative to SW is consistent with previous reports where fish were sampled in either FW or SW steady-states, or in FW and SW phases of a tidal regimen, or following transfer from SW to FW (Breves et al., 2011; Fiol et al., 2009; Moorman et al., 2014; Moorman et al., 2015). Moreover, branchial *prlr1* expression in TR fish varied between fish in TF and TS. Moorman and colleagues (2014) suggested that the differential regulation of branchial *prlr1* expression between the two phases of TR may be attributable to direct regulation of transcription at the tissue level by environmental salinity. It is also possible that nuances in *prlr1* expression in TR-acclimated fish are associated with variables other than salinity and age, such as sex or size, which may be elucidated with additional studies using this tidal paradigm.

Branchial mRNA expression of *prlr2* has also been reported to vary with extracellular osmolality, in vivo and in vitro; unlike *prlr1*, however, its expression increases in hyperosmotic conditions (Fiol et al., 2009; Inokuchi et al., 2015; Seale et al., 2012). In the present study, branchial *prlr2* expression was higher in fish in SW than those in FW in both tidal and steady-state rearing regimens, whether they had been exposed to TR for 2 years or 7 days. This finding is consistent with our recent results employing 4-month old tilapia (Moorman et al., 2014 and 2015), suggesting that tight regulation of PRLR2 by salinity is independent of acclimation history. Moreover, binding of PRL to PRLR2 may not elicit the same hyperosmoregulatory response as binding to PRLR1 (Fiol et al., 2009). It has been postulated that increased *prlr2* expression in hyperosmotic conditions may facilitate acclimation of tilapia to SW (Seale et al., 2012; Moorman et al., 2014; Inokuchi et al., 2015; Yamaguchi et al., 2018). The molecular mechanism underlying this outcome may be associated with PRL binding either the regular length or short form of PRLR2. While the former has been hypothesized to activate a different pathway than PRLR1 upon binding PRL, the latter is thought to reduce the formation of functional receptors, thereby preventing PRL's actions (Fiol et al., 2009). In the present study, primers that detect regular length *prlr2* were employed. It is tenable, therefore, that salinity driven changes in *prlr2* in tidally-acclimated fish facilitate the attenuation of PRL's effects by diverting downstream signaling from hyperosmoregulatory outcomes. Moreover, the observed dynamic changes in *prlr2*

transcription with environmental salinity, regardless of rearing regimen, strongly suggest that this isoform is highly osmosensitive.

In previous studies of salinity acclimation in euryhaline teleosts, including the Mozambique tilapia, it has been shown that NCC and NKA α 1a are involved in ion uptake in gill and highly expressed in FW, whereas NKCC1a, CFTR and NKA α 1b are involved in ion extrusion and predominantly expressed in SW (Hiroi et al., 2005; Hiroi et al., 2008; Kaneko et al., 2008; Tipsmark et al., 2011). In tilapia, AQP3 has been implicated in FW-acclimation as it is highly expressed in response to both hyposmotic stimuli and PRL (Breves et al., 2016). The overall similarity in branchial *ncc*, *nkcc1a*, and *cfr* mRNA expression between SW and TR fish in both Experiments 1 and 2 was consistent with a previous report on TR-acclimated, 4-month old fish (Moorman et al., 2014), as was the finding that branchial *aqp3* expression in TR fish was intermediate to levels in FW and SW controls. The intermediate expression of *aqp3* in TR is likely a reflection of the shifting need for water transport in a dynamically-changing environment. By contrast, the mRNA expression of ion transporters, *ncc*, *nkcc1a* and *cfr* in dynamically-changing environments were either strongly suppressed (*ncc*) or elevated (*nkcc1a* and *cfr*). The expression patterns of these three ion transporters in TR follows those observed in SW-type ionocytes (Breves et al., 2010b; Inokuchi et al., 2015). Moreover, the strong suppression of *ncc* in fish reared in TR or transferred to TR, is consistent with the previously reported immunohistochemistry results indicating suppression of NCC protein in the apical region of branchial ionocytes of tilapia reared in TR (Moorman et al., 2014). Conversely, in the same study, signal intensities for NKCC and CFTR were consistently high in TR fish. Together, the mRNA results of this and other studies suggest that transcriptional regulation of these three key ion transporters in steady-state and dynamically-changing conditions are paralleled by changes in protein abundance. In both experiments, branchial mRNA expression of *nkaa 1a* was higher in FW fish than in SW fish. This pattern is consistent with that previously reported in fish acclimated to steady-state salinities (Tipsmark et al., 2011; Moorman et al., 2014), although in the current study, the *nkaa 1a* expression pattern in TR was variable; in some instances similar to that of FW fish, and in others, intermediate to that of FW and SW controls. Likewise, branchial expression of *nkaa 1b* observed in TR-acclimated fish (Experiment 1) was consistent with previous studies reporting up-regulation in response to increased extracellular osmolality (Inokuchi et al., 2015; Tipsmark et al., 2011). Overall, these findings suggest that the osmoregulatory profile of adult fish reared in TR for two years is more similar to that of SW fish than that of FW fish.

By Day 7 of transfer from steady-state salinities to TR, patterns in plasma variables and branchial gene expression of PRLRs, ion transporters, and AQP3 were largely similar across both phases of the tidal cycle, regardless of whether fish were initially reared in FW or SW. Moreover, by Day 7, these osmoregulatory parameters were largely similar to those in the TR-acclimated fish sampled in Experiment 1. Together, these observations indicate that tilapia retain, even after being reared in a steady-state salinity for 2 years, the remarkable osmoregulatory capacity to overcome fluctuations in environmental salinity, regardless of the salinity in which they were reared.

In the current study, we have provided novel insights into osmoregulation of tilapia under TR rearing conditions at a life stage not previously examined under this paradigm. It is worth mentioning that in our previous study, fish reared in TR for 4-months grew faster than those reared in steady-state FW or SW (Moorman, et al., 2016). Such finding may lead to applications in aquaculture production, and bears particular importance to tilapia in general, which rank 2nd as the most widely aquacultured fish in the world (FAO, 2015). The use of the TR rearing paradigm can foster the elucidation of novel and comprehensive physiological insights, including providing a potential means to develop optimal rearing conditions for Mozambique tilapia and other euryhaline fish.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Mr. Julian Leon for laboratory assistance. This work was funded in part by grants from the National Science Foundation (IOS-1755016), and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NA14OAR4170071) which is sponsored by the University of Hawai'i Sea Grant College Program, SOEST (Projects R/SS-12 and R/SB-18), the National Institutes of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases 1R21DK111775-01 from the National Institutes of Health to A.P.S., and the National Institute of Food and Agriculture Hatch no. HAW02051-H to A.P.S. The views expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the aforementioned granting agencies. University of Hawai'i Sea Grant publication number UNIH-SEAGRANT-JC-14-40.

References

- Ayson FG, Tagawa M, Kaneko T, Hasegawa S, Grau EG, Nishioka RS, Bern HA, Hirano T, 1993 Homologous radioimmunoassays for tilapia prolactins and growth hormone. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol* 89, 138–148. [PubMed: 8428646]
- Breves JP, Hasegawa S, Yoshioka M, Fox BK, Davis LK, Lerner DT, Takei Y, Hirano T, Grau EG, 2010a Acute salinity challenges in Mozambique and Nile tilapia: differential responses of plasma prolactin, growth hormone and branchial expression of ion transporters. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol* 167, 135–142. [PubMed: 20138183]
- Breves JP, Watanabe S, Kaneko T, Hirano T, Grau EG, 2010b Prolactin restores branchial mitochondrion-rich cells expressing Na⁺/Cl⁻ cotransporter in hypophysectomized Mozambique tilapia. *Am. J. Physiol* 299, R702–710.
- Breves JP, Seale AP, Helms RE, Tipsmark CK, Hirano T, Grau EG, 2011 Dynamic gene expression of GH/PRL-family hormone receptors in gill and kidney during freshwater-acclimation of Mozambique tilapia. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol* 158, 194–200.
- Breves JP, McCormick SD, Karlstrom RO, 2014 Prolactin and teleost ionocytes: new insights into cellular and molecular targets of prolactin in vertebrate epithelia. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol* 203, 21–28. [PubMed: 24434597]
- Breves JP, Inokuchi M, Yamaguchi Y, Seale AP, Watanabe S, Lerner DT, Kaneko T, Grau EG, 2016 Hormonal regulation of aquaporin 3 in tilapia gill: opposing actions of prolactin and cortisol. *J. Endocrinol* 230, 325–333. [PubMed: 27402066]
- Breves JP, Keith PLK, Hunt BL, Pavlosky KK, Inokuchi M, Yamaguchi Y, Lerner DT, Seale AP, Grau EG, 2017 *clc-2c* is regulated by salinity, prolactin and extracellular osmolality in tilapia gill. *J. Mol. Endocrinol* 59:391–402 [PubMed: 28974537]
- Cutler CP, Cramb G, 2002 Branchial expression of an aquaporin 3 (AQP-3) homologue is downregulated in the European eel *Anguilla anguilla* following seawater acclimation. *J. Exp. Biol* 205, 2643–2651. [PubMed: 12151370]
- Dharmamba M, Handin RI, Nandi J, Bern HA, 1967 Effect of prolactin on freshwater survival and on plasma osmotic pressure of hypophysectomized *Tilapia mossambica*. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol* 9, 295–302. [PubMed: 6055288]
- Evans DH, Piermarini PM, Choe KP, 2005 The multifunctional fish gill: dominant site of gas exchange, osmoregulation, acid-base regulation, and excretion of nitrogenous waste. *Physiol. Rev* 85, 97–177. [PubMed: 15618479]

- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), 2015 <http://www.globefish.org/tilapia-may-2015.html>
- Fiess JC, Kunkel-Patterson A, Mathias L, Riley LG, Yancey PH, Hirano T, Grau EG, 2007 Effects of environmental salinity and temperature on osmoregulatory ability, organic osmolytes, and plasma hormone profiles in the Mozambique tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol* 146, 252–264.
- Fiol DF, Sanmarti E, Sacchi R, Kultz D, 2009 A novel tilapia prolactin receptor is functionally distinct from its paralog. *J. Exp. Biol* 212, 2007–2015. [PubMed: 19525426]
- Grau EG, Nishioka RS, Bern HA, 1981 Effects of osmotic pressure and calcium ion on prolactin release *in vitro* from the *rostral pars distalis* of the tilapia *Sarotherodon mossambicus*. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol* 45, 406–408. [PubMed: 7297849]
- Grau EG, Borski RJ, 1994 Osmoreception and a simple endocrine reflex of the prolactin cell of the tilapia *Oreochromis mossambicus* In: Davey KG, Peter RE, Tobe SS (Eds.), *Perspectives in Comparative Endocrinology*. National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, pp. 251–256.
- Hiroi J, McCormick SD, Ohtani-Kaneko R, Kaneko T, 2005 Functional classification of mitochondrion-rich cells in euryhaline Mozambique tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*) embryos, by means of triple immunofluorescence staining for Na^+/K^+ -ATPase, $\text{Na}^+/\text{K}^+/\text{2Cl}^-$ cotransporter and CFTR anion channel. *J. Exp. Biol* 208, 2023–2036. [PubMed: 15914646]
- Hiroi J, Yasumasu S, McCormick SD, Hwang PP, Kaneko T, 2008 Evidence for an apical Na^+/Cl^- cotransporter involved in ion uptake in a teleost fish. *J. Exp. Biol* 211, 2584–2599. [PubMed: 18689412]
- Inokuchi M, Hiroi J, Watanabe S, Lee KM, Kaneko T, 2008 Gene expression and morphological localization of NHE3, NCC and NKCC1a in branchial mitochondria-rich cells of Mozambique tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*) acclimated to a wide range of salinities. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol* 151, 151–158.
- Inokuchi M, Breves JP, Moriyama S, Watanabe S, Kaneko T, Lerner DT, Grau EG, Seale AP, 2015 Prolactin 177, prolactin 188, and extracellular osmolality independently regulate the gene expression of ion transport effectors in gill of Mozambique tilapia. *Am. J. Physiol* 309, R1251–1263.
- Jensen LF, Thomsen DS, Madsen SS, Ejbye-Ernst M, Poulsen SB, Svendsen JC, 2015 Development of salinity tolerance in the endangered anadromous North Sea houting *Coregonus oxyrinchus*: implications for conservation measures. *Endang. Species Res* 28: 175–176.
- Jung D, Sato JD, Shaw JR, Stanton BA, 2012 Expression of aquaporin 3 in gills of the Atlantic killifish (*Fundulus heteroclitus*): Effects of seawater acclimation. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol* 161, 320–326.
- Kaneko T, Watanabe S, Lee KM, 2008 Functional Morphology of Mitochondrion-Rich Cells in Euryhaline and Stenohaline Teleosts. *Aqua-BioSci. Monogr* 1, 1–68.
- Lignot JH, Cutler CP, Hazon N, Cramb G, 2002 Immunolocalisation of aquaporin 3 in the gill and the gastrointestinal tract of the European eel *Anguilla anguilla* (L.). *J. Exp. Biol* 205, 2653–2663. [PubMed: 12151371]
- Madsen SS, Bujak J, Tipsmark CK, 2014 Aquaporin expression in the Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) in freshwater and seawater: challenging the paradigm of intestinal water transport? *J. Exp. Biol* 217, 3108–3121. [PubMed: 24948644]
- Manzon LA, 2002 The role of prolactin in fish osmoregulation: A review. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol* 125, 291–310. [PubMed: 11884075]
- Marshall WS, Emberley TR, Singer TD, Bryson SE, McCormick SD 1999 Time course of salinity adaptation in a strongly euryhaline estuarine teleost, *Fundulus heteroclitus*: a multivariable approach. *J. Exp. Biol* 202: 1535–1544. [PubMed: 10229699]
- Marshall WS, Lynch EM, Cozzi RRF, 2002 Redistribution of immunofluorescence of CFTR anion channel and NKCC cotransporter in chloride cells during adaptation of the killifish *Fundulus heteroclitus* to sea water. *J. Exp. Biol* 205: 1265–1273. [PubMed: 11948203]
- McCormick SD, 2001 Endocrine control of osmoregulation in teleost fish. *Am. Zool* 41, 781–794.
- Moorman BP, Inokuchi M, Yamaguchi Y, Lerner DT, Grau EG, Seale AP, 2014 The osmoregulatory effects of rearing Mozambique tilapia in a tidally changing salinity. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol* 207, 94–102. [PubMed: 24681189]

- Moorman BP, Lerner DT, Grau EG, Seale AP, 2015 The effects of acute salinity challenges on osmoregulation in Mozambique tilapia reared in a tidally changing salinity. *J. Exp. Biol* 218, 731–739. [PubMed: 25617466]
- Moorman BP, Yamaguchi Y, Lerner DT, Grau EG, Seale AP 2016 Rearing Mozambique tilapia in tidally-changing salinities: effects on growth and the growth hormone/insulin-like growth factor I axis. *Comp. Physiol. Biochem* 198, 8–14.
- Nagahama Y, Nishioka RS, Bern HA, Gunther RL, 1975 Control of prolactin secretion in teleosts, with special reference to *Gillichthys mirabilis* and *Tilapia mossambica*. *Gen. Comp Endocrinol* 25, 166–188. [PubMed: 1150073]
- Pickford GE, Phillips JG, 1959 Prolactin, a factor in promoting survival of hypophysectomized killifish in fresh water. *Science*. 130, 454–455. [PubMed: 13675773]
- Pierce AL, Fox BK, Davis LK, Visitation N, Kitahashi T, Hirano T, Grau EG, 2007 Prolactin receptor, growth hormone receptor, and putative somatolactin receptor in Mozambique tilapia: tissue specific expression and differential regulation by salinity and fasting. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol* 154, 31–40. [PubMed: 17714712]
- Scott GR, Schulte PM, 2005 Intraspecific variation in gene expression after seawater transfer in gills of the euryhaline killifish *Fundulus heteroclitus*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol* 141, 176–182.
- Seale AP, Riley LG, Leedom TA, Kajimura S, Dores RM, Hirano T, Grau EG, 2002 Effects of environmental osmolality on release of prolactin, growth hormone and ACTH from the tilapia pituitary. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol* 128, 91–101. [PubMed: 12392682]
- Seale AP, Hirano T, Grau EG, 2005 Stimulus-secretion coupling in the osmoreceptive prolactin cell of the tilapia, in: Kamkin A, Kiseleva I (Eds.), *Mechanosensitivity of the Cells from Various Tissues*, 1st ed. Academia, Moscow, 371–389.
- Seale AP, Fiess JC, Hirano T, Cooke IM, Grau EG, 2006 Disparate release of prolactin and growth hormone from the tilapia pituitary in response to osmotic stimulation. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol* 145, 222–231. [PubMed: 16242686]
- Seale AP, Moorman BP, Stagg JJ, Breves JP, Lerner D, Grau G, 2012 Prolactin 177, prolactin 188 and prolactin receptor 2 in the pituitary of the euryhaline tilapia, *Oreochromis mossambicus*, are differentially osmosensitive. *J. Endocrinol* 213, 89–98. [PubMed: 22266961]
- Seale AP, Yamaguchi Y, Johnstone III WM, Borski RJ, Lerner DT, Grau EG, 2013 Endocrine regulation of prolactin cell function and modulation of osmoreception in the Mozambique tilapia. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol* 192, 191–203. [PubMed: 23722201]
- Singer TD, Tucker SJ, Marshall WS, Higgins CF, 1998 A divergent CFTR homologue: highly regulated salt transport in the euryhaline teleost *F. heteroclitus*. *Am. J. Physiol* 274, C715–723. [PubMed: 9530103]
- Stickney R, 1986 Tilapia tolerance of saline waters - a review. *Prog. Fish-Cult* 48, 161–167.
- Tipsmark CK, Breves JP, Seale AP, Lerner DT, Hirano T, Grau EG, 2011 Switching of Na⁺, K⁺-ATPase isoforms by salinity and prolactin in the gill of a cichlid fish. *J. Endocrinol* 209, 237–244. [PubMed: 21330335]
- Trewavas E, 1983 Tilapiine fishes of the genera *Sarotherodon*, *Oreochromis* and *Danakilia*. The Dorset Press, Dorchester, UK.
- Tse WK, Au DW, Wong CK, 2006 Characterization of ion channel and transporter mRNA expressions in isolated gill chloride and pavement cells of seawater acclimating eels. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun* 346, 1181–1190. [PubMed: 16793006]
- Watanabe S, Kaneko T, Aida K, 2005 Aquaporin-3 expressed in the basolateral membrane of gill chloride cells in Mozambique tilapia *Oreochromis mossambicus* adapted to freshwater and seawater. *J. Exp. Biol* 208, 2673–2682. [PubMed: 16000537]
- Yada T, Hirano T, Grau EG, 1994 Changes in plasma levels of the two prolactins and growth hormone during adaptation to different salinities in the euryhaline tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus*). *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol* 93, 214–223. [PubMed: 8174927]
- Yamaguchi Y, Moriyama S, Lerner DT, Grau EG, Seale AP, 2016 Autocrine positive feedback regulation of prolactin release from tilapia prolactin cells and its modulation by extracellular osmolality. *Endocrinology*. 157, 3505–3516. [PubMed: 27379370]

Yamaguchi Y, Breves JP, Haws MC, Lerner DT, Grau EG, Seale AP, 2018 Acute salinity tolerance and the control of two prolactins and their receptors in the Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) and Mozambique tilapia (*O. mossambicus*): A comparative study. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol* 257, 168–176. [PubMed: 28652133]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Highlights

- Tilapia were reared in steady-state (FW or SW) or tidally-changing salinities (alternating FW and SW every 6 h).
- Adult tilapia reared in a steady-state salinities are capable of acclimating to a tidal-regimen.
- Expression of prolactin receptors, ion and water transporters and ion ATPases in adult fish transferred to a tidal-regimen is similar to that of fish reared in a tidal-regimen since yolk-sac fry.

Fig. 1.
Salinity (ppt) changes in a tank subjected to a tidal regimen between 10AM and 10PM.

Fig. 2.

Effects of rearing condition on plasma osmolality (A) and plasma prolactin (B) in fish sampled in steady-state FW and SW, and at the end of the FW and SW phase of the tidal cycle. Values are expressed as means $\pm$ S.E.M. ($n=7-11$). Numbers within bars represent sample sizes for each group. Effects of salinity and regimen were analyzed by two-way ANOVA (***) Significant at $P < 0.05$ and 0.01 , respectively).

Fig. 3.

Effects of rearing condition on branchial mRNA expression of *prlr1* (A), *prlr2* (B), *ncc* (C), *nkcc1a* (D), *nka1a* (E), *nka1b* (F), *cftr* (G) and *aqp3* (H) in fish sampled in steady-state FW and SW, and at the end of the FW and SW phase of the tidal cycle. Values are expressed as means $\pm$ S.E.M. ($n = 6-12$). Numbers within bars represent sample sizes for each group. Effects of salinity and regimen were analyzed by two-way ANOVA (****Significant at $P < 0.05$, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively). Interaction effects were followed up by Fisher's LSD test. Means not sharing the same letter are significantly different at $P < 0.05$.

Fig. 4.

Plasma osmolality (A), and plasma PRL (B) in fish sampled in FW, SW, and following transfer from FW or SW to FW, SW or to TR. TR fish were sampled at the end of the either FW or SW phases of the tidal cycle (TF or TS, respectively). Values are expressed as means $\pm$ S.E.M. ($n = 6-8$). Numbers within bars represent sample sizes for each group. Effects of salinity and time were analyzed by two-way ANOVA (*, **, and *** Significant at $P < 0.05$, 0.01 , and 0.001 , respectively). Within each time point, means not sharing the same letter are significantly different at $P < 0.05$. Daggers indicate difference from Day 0 within salinity

treatments (†††††Significant at $P < 0.05$, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively; Fisher's LSD test).

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Fig. 5. Branchial mRNA expression of *prlr1* (A), *prlr2* (B), *ncc* (C), *nkcc1a* (D), *nkaa1a* (E), *nkaa1b* (F), *cftr* (G) and *aqp3* (H) in fish FW, SW, and following transfer from FW or SW to FW, SW or to TR. TR fish were sampled at the end of the either FW or SW phases of the tidal cycle (TF or TS, respectively). Values are expressed as means $\pm$ S.E.M. ($n = 7-8$). Numbers within bars represent sample sizes for each group. Effects of salinity and time were analyzed by two-way ANOVA (*, **Significant at $P < 0.05$, 0.01 and 0.001 , respectively). Within each time point, means not sharing the same letter are significantly different at $P <$

0.05. Daggers indicate difference from Day 0 within salinity treatments (†††††Significant at $P < 0.05$, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively; Fisher's LSD test).

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1.

Primers used for qPCR.

Gene name	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Reference
<i>ef1a</i>	Forward AGCAAGTACTACGTGACCATCATTG	Breves et al, 2010b
	Reverse AGTCAGCCTGGGAGGTACCA	
<i>prlr1</i>	Forward TGGGTCAGCTACAACATCACTGT	Pierce et al., 2007
	Reverse GGATGGGGCTTGACAATGTAGA	
<i>prlr2</i>	Forward GCCCTTGGGAATACATCTTCAG	Breves et al., 2010b
	Reverse GTGCATAGGGCTTCACAATGTC	
<i>ncc</i>	Forward CCGAAAGGCACCTAATGG	Inokuchi et al., 2008
	Reverse CTACACTTGCACCAGAAGTGACAA	
<i>nkcc1a</i>	Forward GGAGGCAAGATCAACAGGATTG	Inokuchi et al., 2008
	Reverse AATGTCCGAAAAGTCTATCCTGAACT	
<i>nkaa1a</i>	Forward AACTGATTTGGTCCCTGCAA	Tipsmark et al., 2011
	Reverse ATGCATTTCTGGGCTGTCTC	
<i>nkaa1b</i>	Forward GGAGCGTGTGCTTCATCACT	Tipsmark et al., 2011
	Reverse ATCCATGCTTTGTGGGGTTA	
<i>cfr</i>	Forward CATGCTCTTCACCGTGTCT	Moorman et al., 2014
	Reverse GCCACAATAATGCCAATCTG	
<i>aqp3</i>	Forward CATGTACTATGATGCTTTGTTGCTC	Watanabe et al., 2005
	Reverse CAAAGAAACCATTGACAAGTGTGA	

ef1a: elongation factor 1 α ; *prlr1*: prolactin receptor 1; *prlr2*: prolactin receptor 2; *ncc*: Na⁺/Cl⁻ cotransporter; *nkcc1a*: Na⁺/K⁺/2Cl⁻ cotransporter; *nkaa1a*: Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase α sub-unit isoform 1a; *nkaa1b*: Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase α sub-unit isoform 1b; *cfr*: cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator; *aqp3*: aquaporin 3.