Published in final edited form as:

Dev Psychopathol. 2018 December ; 30(5): 1779–1796. doi:10.1017/S0954579418001104.

Cultural neurobiology and the family: Evidence from the daily lives of Latino adolescents

LEAH D. DOANE, MICHAEL R. SLADEK, REAGAN S. BREITENSTEIN, HYEJUNG PARK, SAUL A. CASTRO, and JENNIFER L. KENNEDY

Arizona State University

Abstract

Culturally linked family influences during adolescence are important predictors of health and well-being for Latino youth, yet few studies have examined whether these familial influences are associated with indicators of typical physiological stress processes. Following a cultural neurobiology framework, we examined the role of family in the everyday lives of Latino adolescents ($N = 209$; $M_{\text{age}} = 18.10$; 85.1% Mexican descent; 64.4% female) by investigating familism values and perceptions of parent support as well as daily family assistance behaviors in relation to hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis diurnal patterns, indexed by salivary cortisol five times a day for 3 weekdays. Three-level growth curve analyses revealed that perceptions of parental support were associated with greater cortisol awakening responses, whereas familism values were not associated with diurnal cortisol patterns. In day-to-day analyses, assisting family during the day (compared to not assisting family) was associated with lower waking cortisol levels and flatter diurnal slopes the next day. Our findings highlight the dynamic associations and multiple time courses between cultural values and behaviors, daily experiences, and physiological stress processes for Latino adolescents. Further, we identified important cultural risk and promotive factors associated with physiological regulation in daily life and potential pathways toward health outcomes in adulthood.

The family environment during adolescence is important for the development of health and well-being. Considerable research efforts have uncovered the critical influences of early life experiences in families on trajectories of psychopathology and physiological profiles (e.g., biological risk; Cicchetti & Rogosch, 2012; McLaughlin, 2016; McLaughlin, Sheridan, Alves, & Mendes, 2014). Fewer studies have examined how qualities of the family environment, ranging from perceptions of parental and family support to normative daily experiences with family, are associated with indicators of physiological stress processes in adolescence. Latino¹ youth and families tend to place strong emphasis on the family (e.g., familism values; Sabogal, Marin, Otero-Sabogal, Marin, & Perez-Stable, 1987) and engage

Address correspondence and reprint requests to: Leah D. Doane, Department of Psychology, Arizona State University, P.O. Box 871104, Tempe, AZ 85287-1104; Leah.Doane@asu.edu.

¹For brevity, we use “Latino” to represent Latino(a) and/or Hispanic individuals in the United States, who form a panethnic group that shares prescribed norms, cultural traditions, values, and heritage (Phinney, 1996). We recognize that using this broad label in ethnic comparisons can misrepresent the diversity within this group (Umaña-Taylor & Fine, 2001), which is why we focus on a within-group design in this study. When appropriate, we refer to prior studies that have focused more exclusively on certain ethnic subgroups, most commonly Mexican American children and families, who form the largest subgroup of Latinos in the United States (US Census Bureau, 2015).

in frequent family assistance and supportive behaviors (Suárez-Orozco & Suárez-Orozco, 1995; Telzer & Fuligni, 2009a). Further, the cultural values of family unity and support have been shown to generally promote positive outcomes for Latino youth (Fuligni, Tseng, & Lam, 1999; Suárez-Orozco & Suárez-Orozco, 1995). However, youth who feel responsible for helping their families may be called upon to frequently provide assistance (e.g., sibling care or translating for family members), and these behaviors have been associated with more maladaptive immunological profiles and greater risk for internalizing and substance use for some Latino youth (e.g., Fuligni et al., 2009; Telzer, Gonzales, & Fuligni, 2014).

Building on emerging theoretical and empirical evidence emphasizing the importance of understanding culture–biology interplay (Causadías, 2013; Causadías, Telzer, & Lee, 2017), we proposed to examine cultural family factors as either promotive factors or indicators of risk. Cultural promotive factors are those that directly influence positive well-being in youth, regardless of exposure to adversity, while cultural risk factors include individual- or context-level processes that increase the odds of poor outcomes (Causadías, 2013). Little research has examined how varying promotive factors and potential risks in the family environment simultaneously are associated with typical physiological stress regulation. Scholars have called for more research on how culturally shaped family experiences become embedded within developing adolescents (Fuligni & Telzer, 2013).

One potential mediator of associations between culturally based family experiences and subsequent adolescent outcomes is hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis activity, given research demonstrating family and early life influences on HPA function (e.g., Cicchetti & Rogosch, 2012; Miller, Chen, & Zhou, 2007; Repetti, Taylor, & Seeman, 2002) as well as studies showing the prospective prediction of subsequent psychopathology and physical health from indicators of HPA axis reactivity and diurnal patterns (e.g., Adam et al., 2014, 2017; Kumari, Shipley, Stafford, & Kivimäki, 2011; Vrshek-Schallhorn et al., 2013). The HPA axis is one of the body’s primary stress response systems and is particularly activated by socially evaluative stressors that involve a lack of control (Dickerson & Kemeny, 2004). In short, through a cascade of hormone events beginning in the hypothalamus, activation of the HPA axis results in the release of cortisol into the bloodstream. Beyond reactivity, cortisol is released throughout the day in a typical diurnal pattern characterized by relatively high levels at waking, a dramatic increase approximately 30 min after waking (cortisol awakening response; 50%–156%, Clow, Thorn, Evans, & Hucklebridge, 2004; Stalder et al., 2016), then a general decrease across the day with lowest levels in the late evening hours (Adam & Kumari, 2009). The indices commonly used to characterize this diurnal pattern include waking or bedtime levels, the cortisol awakening response, the diurnal slope (linear rate of decline in cortisol levels from waking to bedtime), and the diurnal area under the curve with respect to ground (Pruessner, Kirschbaum, Meinlschmid, & Hellhammer, 2003). Among Latino adults, indicators of HPA axis activity (e.g., cortisol awakening response) have mediated associations between various cultural stressors and physical health (García, Wilborn, & Mangold, 2017).

In the current study, we took the first step toward understanding whether Latinos’ family-related promotive cultural values (e.g., familism values) and potential family-based cultural risks (e.g., family assistance behaviors) were associated with typical functioning of the HPA

axis in adolescence. This endeavor is especially critical in Latino populations as they are the fastest growing and youngest ethnic minority group in the United States (US Census Bureau, 2015). Further, Latinos are at greater risk than other ethnic groups for the development of internalizing symptoms and risk-taking behaviors in adolescence (e.g., Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2016; Johnston, O'Malley, Bachman, & Schulenberg, 2012; Roberts, Roberts, & Chen, 1997). Understanding such associations during adolescence is particularly important given increasing demands across academic, peer, and family domains (Burnett, Sebastian, Kadosh, & Blakemore, 2011; Padilla, McHale, Rovine, Updegraff, & Umaña-Taylor, 2016) and evidence that both psychosocial and physiological stress reactivity increase during this developmental period (Dahl & Gunnar, 2009; Gunnar, Wewerka, Frenn, Long, & Griggs, 2009; Stroud et al., 2009). Further, older adolescents take a more active role in their own cultural development as they mature cognitively and socially (Bernal, Knight, Garza, Ocampo, & Cota, 1990), which can result in more complex processes of cultural adaptation as they gain autonomy to explore the world outside their home and choose how to spend their time (Knight, Jacobson, Gonzales, Roosa, & Saenz, 2009). Finally, both theoretical and empirical evidence suggests the basis for adult health and well-being may start during adolescence, more broadly (Del Giudice, Ellis, & Shirt-cliff, 2011; Ellis, Oldehinkel, & Nederhof, 2017; Viner et al., 2012). Thus, the present study examined the role of the family in the everyday lives of Latino adolescents by investigating value-based perceptions of the family and family support as potential cultural promotive factors, as well as daily proximal family processes (e.g., family assistance behaviors) as cultural risk behaviors in relation to daily diurnal patterns of the HPA axis.

Risk and Resilience of Cultural Neurobiology

This study was informed by recent movements to examine *culture and biology interplay* across development (Causadias, 2013; Causadias et al., 2017), which include studies that examine cultural effects on biological systems. We were specifically guided by the *cultural neurobiology* framework (Doane, Sladek, & Adam, 2018), which encompasses moment-to-moment, day-to-day, year-to-year, or ontological transactions among cultural processes and central and peripheral aspects of neurobiology. For example, some research has shown that culture and biology interact from moment to moment or day to day as individuals react emotionally and physiologically to their everyday environments (e.g., Sladek & Doane, 2015; Smart Richman, Pek, Pascoe, & Bauer, 2010).

There has also been strong movement among researchers who study ethnic minority youth and families to take a positive, strengths-based approach to understanding both risk and resilience processes (Fuller & García Coll, 2010; Gonzales, Germán, & Fabrett, 2012; Neblett, Rivas-Drake, & Umaña-Taylor, 2012). Rather than examining adaptive and promotive factors that encourage positive development, much research on Latino health and stress has focused either on ethnic comparative models that accentuate health disparities or solely on cultural experiences or contexts that confer risk (e.g., discrimination or acculturative stress; Gallo, Penedo, Espinosa de los Monteros, & Arguelles, 2009). Researchers have also called for an understanding of culture in daily life and examining culture within the microsystem of an ecological model to better understand the transactional nature of cultural pathways, including daily proximal developmental processes (Vélez-

Agosto, Soto-Crespo, Vizcarrondo-Oppenheimer, Vega-Molina, & García Coll, 2017). Scholars have argued that cultural traditions and values are transmitted through the practices, communications, and relationships of daily life, so we must measure and acknowledge such proximal daily processes in the study of culture (Markus & Kitayama, 2010; Rogoff, 2003; Weisner, García Coll, & Chatman-Nelson, 2010). As such, this study aimed to understand the influences of culture from this framework by examining not only cultural values, perceptions, and behaviors but also day-to-day cultural experiences identified as meaningful particularly for Latino youth.

Given the Latino cultural emphasis on the role of the family and the interdependence of individuals within a family, we focused on understanding promotive resources like familism values and parental support as well as identified risk processes (e.g., family assistance behaviors) for Latino youth. While familism encompasses family values and relationships distinguished by closeness, support, and positive interactions (Campos, Ullman, Aguilera, & Dunkel Schetter, 2014; Sabogal et al., 1987), family assistance behaviors are daily activities that support family life, including running errands for the family, sibling care, cooking, and housework (Telzer & Fuligni, 2009a). Scholars have argued for the need to incorporate culturally linked family and close relationship processes into the study of health (Campos & Kim, 2017). Following Fuligni and Telzer's (2013) emphasis on understanding the neurobiological correlates of helping the family, and Campos and Kim's (2017) model to understand how culture influences relationship-health processes, we examined the role of the family in the everyday lives of Latino adolescents through an investigation of daily proximal family processes (e.g., family assistance behaviors) as well as value-based cognitions and perceptions of the family and family support in relation to daily diurnal patterns of HPA axis function.

Familism Values and Latino Adolescent Development

Familism values are characterized by three primary components: family support (i.e., desirability to maintain close relationships), family obligations (i.e., the importance of instrumental caregiving), and family as referent (i.e., defining the self in terms of community or family; Knight et al., 2010; Sabogal et al., 1987). While most research has focused on understanding how familism values can confer protection for Latino youth in the face of risk (e.g., cultural protection or stress-buffering; Stein et al., 2014), such values have also been directly associated with well-being and better physical health (e.g., promotive factors; Corona, Campos, & Chen, 2017). For example, Schwartz et al. (2010) found that prioritizing the family was highly correlated with self-esteem, life satisfaction, and overall well-being in a diverse sample of college students. Researchers have argued that familism values promote health and well-being by emphasizing the benefits of family support and relationships (Campos et al., 2014; Gonzales, Jensen, Montaña, & Wynne, 2015).

Accumulating research evidence has also demonstrated that higher familism values promote well-being for Mexican-origin adolescents, demonstrated by associations with lower levels of risk-taking behaviors (Wheeler et al., 2017), externalizing symptoms (Kapke, Grace, Gerdes, & Lawton, 2017), and internalizing symptoms and disorders (e.g., Corona, Rodríguez, et al., 2017; Kline, Killoren, & Alfaro, 2016; Zeiders et al., 2013; for meta-

analysis across all age groups see Valdivieso-More, Peet, Garnier-Villarreal, Salazar-Villanea, & Johnson, 2016). Beyond indices of socioemotional and mental health, emerging research has also identified that familism values may promote better physical health and use of physician care in samples of Latino adolescents and young adults (Corona, Campos, et al., 2017; Updegraff et al., 2017). However, very little research has examined the potential *pathways* through which familism values may be associated with both physical and mental health, particularly during adolescence. Recent empirical evidence suggests that the HPA axis may be one mediating pathway between experiences of stress and subsequent psychopathology (e.g., Schuler et al., 2017; Stroud, Chen, Doane, & Granger, 2018). As such, we hypothesize that familism values may promote better well-being through its promotion of adaptive physiological stress profiles. In addition, to our knowledge, no studies have examined direct pathways between familism values, as a cultural promotive factor, and HPA axis daily functioning. However, one recent study identified that another cultural promotive factor, greater ethnic identity affirmation, was associated with steeper diurnal cortisol slopes in a community sample of Mexican American adolescents (Zeiders, Causadias, & White 2018). Given this finding, we hypothesize that familism values may act in a similar culturally promotive way and be associated with healthier diurnal cortisol profiles across the day.

Social Support and Latino Adolescent Well-Being

Familism values have been linked to indices of close and supportive relationship behavior (e.g., Calderón-Tena, Knight, & Carlo, 2011; Taylor, Larsen-Rife, Conger, & Widaman, 2012; Updegraff, McHale, Whiteman, Thayer, & Delgado, 2005). Further, Campos et al. (2014) found that familism values work through perceived social support and closeness when promoting better adjustment and well-being. The benefits of familism values and social support may also extend to HPA axis activity. A large accumulation of research suggests that general social support and high-quality parenting are associated with more adaptive HPA daily activity and regulation in both lab-based and naturalistic settings in childhood and young adulthood (see Gunnar & Donzella, 2002, for review; Doane & Zeiders, 2014; Hostinar, Johnson, & Gunnar, 2015; Shirtcliff, Skinner, Obasi, & Haggerty, 2017). However, results from a recent meta-analysis suggest that the enduring influences of parental warmth on single time point measures of HPA axis functioning (i.e., morning levels or afternoon levels) are only present for some groups (e.g., maltreated children), and for some indicators of cortisol activity (i.e., morning levels; Hackman, O'Brien, & Zalewski, in press).

Most research, however, has focused on how parenting and social support help children, adolescents, and young adults regulate stress (i.e., social buffering of stress; Hostinar et al., 2015) rather than direct relations between perceptions of parental support and typical HPA axis diurnal patterns. For example, several studies of diverse adolescents and young adults have found support for a stress-buffering hypothesis, whereby parental support buffers the effects of salient stressors (e.g., depressive symptoms or discrimination) on physiological stress outcomes (e.g., Brody et al., 2014; Guan et al., 2016). However, recent research that examined direct associations in adolescence and young adulthood identified that attachment, bonding, and adolescent-reported positive parenting were associated with higher waking

cortisol and steeper diurnal cortisol slopes 6 years later in a sample of African American and European American young adults, with stronger associations for European American young adults (Shirt-cliff et al., 2017). Adolescents who perceive their family to be supportive may have healthier diurnal cortisol patterns due to greater self-regulation, prosocial behavior (e.g., Oberle, 2018), and positive social interactions (e.g., Stetler & Miller, 2005). Given that adolescence is hypothesized to be a second “sensitive period” for adult health and development (Del Giudice et al., 2011; Ellis et al., 2017; Viner et al., 2012), recent arguments based on allostasis (McEwen, 1998) suggest that higher quality parenting during adolescence may influence the set points for physiological stress processes, similar to those experienced in infancy and early childhood (Shirtcliff et al., 2017; Steinberg, 2000). However, research findings are scant and inconsistent regarding the promotive role of parental support in the prediction of physiological stress activity during adolescence, and no studies, to our knowledge, have examined whether parental support predicts HPA axis activity in the daily lives of Latino adolescents.

Daily Family Assistance Behaviors in the Lives of Latino Adolescents

Recent research on the role of the family for Latino adolescents has moved toward understanding daily or proximal cultural processes reflecting calls in the literature for more examinations of cultural behaviors in addition to, or in conjunction with, studies of cultural cognitions (Causadias, 2013). For example, family obligation values may translate into actual behaviors, such as the daily family responsibilities and activities of Latino youth that promote family well-being. These family assistance behaviors, which reflect the instrumental needs of the family, have considerable implications for adolescent psychological well-being including risk for internalizing symptoms (Telzer, Tsai, Gonzales, & Fuligni, 2015) and substance use (Telzer et al., 2014). Further, Mexican-origin and other Latino adolescents spend significantly more time assisting family (e.g., sibling care, housework or errands, and directly assisting parents) than Asian or European American adolescents (Telzer & Fuligni, 2009a, 2009b). Research with Latino adolescents suggests that the psychological correlates (e.g., internalizing or substance use) of family assistance behaviors often vary with the temporal needs of the family or other contextual factors, such as maternal stress and parent–child conflict (Telzer et al., 2015; Tsai, Telzer, Gonzales, & Fuligni, 2013).

Fuligni and Telzer (2013) have argued for the importance of understanding the neurobiological consequences of family obligation values and family assistance behaviors. Adolescents who found that their family assistance was a positive indicator of role fulfillment had greater neural activation of reward areas of the brain during a task when they chose family over the self (Telzer, Masten, Berkman, Lieberman, & Fuligni, 2010), suggesting the importance of understanding both culturally based family behaviors (e.g., assistance) and culturally based values (e.g., familism values) simultaneously. Other research has identified that family assistance behaviors were associated with *higher* levels of immune markers (reflecting greater inflammation), including sIL-6r and C-reactive protein (Fuligni et al., 2009); however, this association was attenuated among youth with high levels of family obligation values, thus highlighting the protective role of traditional family-based cultural values. Such studies highlight the potential for multiple levels of interaction between

culture and physiological stress processing and the importance of measuring culture broadly (e.g., values) in conjunction with daily cultural behaviors.

In the only study to our knowledge that has examined daily family assistance behaviors and HPA axis activity, Chiang et al. (2016) investigated associations among diary-reported family demands and conflict and diurnal cortisol parameters in a diverse sample of adolescents from European, Latino, and Asian backgrounds. They found that greater family demands over a 2-week period were associated with generally lower diurnal cortisol output and cortisol awakening responses, but only among youth with low sleep quality. This provides initial evidence that adolescents' average or typical degree of assisting the family may be associated with overall lower cortisol output and a blunted cortisol awakening response. However, the study did not explore whether associations between family demands and indices of diurnal cortisol activity varied more specifically from day to day. Given recent evidence that the greatest variance in diurnal cortisol estimates come from state or daily contributions in samples of children and adolescents (e.g., cortisol awakening response: 70%–82%; diurnal slope: 50%–81%; Doane, Chen, Sladek, Van Lenten, & Granger, 2015; Ross, Murphy, Adam, Chen, & Miller, 2014), it is possible that significant within-person or day-to-day processes exist. Following other research examining links between specific daily experiences and diurnal cortisol patterns in adolescents (e.g., Hanson & Chen, 2010; McHale et al., 2012), we hypothesized that diurnal cortisol patterns may be different following days spent assisting family (compared to days not assisting family), reflecting distinct, proximal cultural processes.

The Present Study

The goal of the present study was to understand how both cultural cognitions and behaviors, including family values, support, and daily life assistance, were associated with Latino adolescents' typical physiological stress patterns (see Figure 1). Specifically, the first aim of our study was to understand the potentially promotive role of familism values and perceptions of family support in the prediction of daily cortisol activity for Latino adolescents in their senior year of high school who were anticipating college attendance. We assessed whether individual differences in familism values and perceived parental social support were associated with average differences in waking levels, the cortisol awakening response, and the diurnal slope. For the first aim, we hypothesized that higher levels of familism values and greater perceived parental support would be associated with more adaptive diurnal cortisol patterns, including higher waking levels and a steeper diurnal cortisol slope. However, research has found that promotive factors have been associated with both a greater and a smaller cortisol awakening response (e.g., Boggero, Hostinar, Haak, Murphy, & Segerstrom, 2017; Sladek & Doane, 2015); therefore, we did not generate directional hypotheses regarding the associations between familism values or perceived parental support and the cortisol awakening response.

The second aim of our study was to explore whether there were day-to-day associations between family assistance behaviors, a potential cultural risk factor, and diurnal cortisol patterns. We examined whether assisting family during the day (compared to days of not assisting family) was associated with differences in multiple components of the diurnal

cortisol pattern the next day. Following research that has demonstrated how daily experiences can predict alterations in cortisol and mood the next day (Adam, Hawkley, Kudielka, & Cacioppo, 2006; Santiago, Brewer, et al., 2016), our second hypothesis was that cortisol would be lower upon waking, the size of the cortisol awakening response would be smaller, and the diurnal cortisol slope would be flatter (i.e., less negative) following days when adolescents assisted family.

Further, given recent evidence that greater family assistance behaviors were associated with patterns of diurnal cortisol activity for particularly vulnerable youth (e.g., Chiang et al., 2016), our third aim was to examine whether overall levels of family assistance behaviors across a week of daily diary assessments were associated with indicators of diurnal cortisol activity, on average. For our third aim, we hypothesized that greater levels of overall family assistance would be associated with a smaller cortisol awakening response and flatter diurnal cortisol slope.

Finally, in exploratory analyses, we tested whether differing levels of familism values, perceived parental support, or average family assistance moderated daily associations of family assistance behaviors with next-day diurnal cortisol patterns. Given that these analyses were exploratory, we did not make specific directional predictions.

Method

Participants

Participants were 209 Hispanic/Latino(a) adolescents ($M_{\text{age}} = 18.10$, $SD = 0.41$; 64.4% female) recruited during the spring of their senior year in high school prior to enrolling at a large university in the southwestern United States as part of an ongoing multimethod longitudinal study of Latino students transitioning to college. Participants were recruited through university orientation sessions, e-mail correspondence, text messages, and phone calls (in English and in Spanish), university and community partnerships, and word of mouth. Bilingual staff was available to answer questions from potential participants or their caregivers. Inclusion criteria required that participants gained acceptance to the university and paid an initial financial deposit or selected to defer payment, were seniors in high school, identified as Hispanic/Latino(a), and lived within 60 miles of the university during their senior year in high school. Two hundred thirty-nine adolescents consented to the study and 209 (87.4%) participated in study procedures (5.9% were ineligible based on inclusion criteria, and 6.7% did not respond to scheduling requests after consent). Participants came from 91 different high schools from the surrounding metropolitan area. All adolescents participated during the spring of their senior year of high school (64.5%) or during the summer prior to college entrance (34.5%).

While all participants broadly identified as Hispanic or Latino, most participants specifically identified as being of Mexican (85.1%) or South or Central American (10.1%) descent, and 18.2% identified as biethnic (e.g., Latino(a) and European American; see Table 1). Participants showed a broad range of generational status: 10.6% were first-generation immigrants (born outside the United States), 62.0% were second generation (born in the United States, at least one parent born outside the United States), and 27.4% were third

generation or greater (both parents born in the United States; Table 1). Adolescents also reported varying subjective social status (e.g., middle class or working class) and socioeconomic backgrounds as indicated by their parents' education levels; more than half the sample reported their parents attained a high school degree or less (see Table 1).

Procedure

The university institutional review board approved all procedures. Depending on participants' preference, study personnel visited participants' homes or hosted participants in a university lab to deliver study materials, gather survey responses, and provide instructions regarding saliva sampling and diary reporting procedures. Participants and parents (for participants under the age of 18) provided written consent at the beginning of these visits. Participants also completed a one-time questionnaire, including measures of global familism values and perceived parental support, overall emotional health, and questions about school, family, and friends.

During the following week, participants wore a wrist-based accelerometer (actigraph watch) and completed 4–5 diary entries per day for 7 days ($M = 26.57$, $SD = 4.35$). They also provided saliva samples via passive drool for 3 typical weekdays (generally Monday–Wednesday): immediately upon waking ($M_{\text{time}} = 7:17$ a.m.; $SD = 1.70$ hr), 30 min after waking ($M_{\text{time}} = 7:50$ a.m.; $SD = 1.70$ hr), twice during the day (approximately 3 hr and 8 hr from the waking sample, designed to avoid mealtimes; $M_{\text{time}} = 12:21$ p.m.; $SD = 1.85$ hr; and 5:00 p.m.; $SD = 1.68$ hr) and at bedtime ($M_{\text{time}} = 11:26$ p.m.; $SD = 1.45$ hr). Participants received daily text message reminders to improve adherence to the study protocol and were encouraged to contact study personnel with any questions throughout the week. At the end of the study week, study personnel returned to participants' homes to collect completed materials and compensate each participant up to \$110 for all study components.

Overall, participants were asked to complete 15 saliva samples ($M = 14.56$, $SD = 1.61$; 3,018 total samples) and 29 total diary reports throughout the week ($M = 26.57$, $SD = 4.35$). The diary reports on sampling days were designed to correspond with saliva samples (e.g., 15 corresponding reports; $M = 13.97$; $SD = 2.24$; 2,877 total corresponding diaries). Participants were instructed not to eat, drink, or brush their teeth an hour prior to saliva sampling. In addition to recording the date and time of each saliva sample, participants retrieved straws used for passive drool from a MEMS 6™ (Aardex) track cap compliance device, which objectively recorded sample time upon opening the track cap. Participants were also instructed to press a button on the actigraph watch each time they completed a saliva sample or diary entry, which served as secondary indicators of bedtimes, wake times, and diary completion. Finally, immediately after providing each saliva sample participants completed brief diary entries using web-based smartphones (or paper-and-pencil if Internet access was not available), which included questions about stressors and behaviors experienced in the last hour or across the day (e.g., family assistance behaviors). Participants also reported recent eating, exercise, caffeine use, nicotine use, medication use, sleep, and pain, which are necessary to examine as potential covariates in cortisol analyses.

Participant report of time on vials, track cap device times, actigraph watch-recorded times, and daily diary times were used to determine compliance with saliva sampling procedures.

Noncompliance with saliva sampling procedures has been shown to influence cortisol estimates in prior studies, particularly waking values and the cortisol awakening response (Kudielka, Broderick, & Kirschbaum, 2003; Stalder et al., 2016); thus, track cap, actigraph, and self-report data were carefully inspected to determine “compliant” versus “noncompliant” saliva samples (DeSantis, Adam, Mendelsohn, & Doane, 2010; Doane & Zeiders, 2014). Waking samples were considered compliant if track cap-detected times were within 15 min of participants’ actigraph-detected wake times (79.5% of waking samples). Second samples were considered compliant if track cap-detected times were within 23 to 37 min after track cap-detected times for waking samples (67.4% of second samples). These compliance rates include the more stringent criteria that actigraph or track cap data must be available for samples to be considered compliant (i.e., noncompliance assumed if missing compliance information). Rather than allowing participants’ problems adhering to the protocol to bias estimates of morning cortisol (and the subsequent diurnal rhythm; see Stalder et al., 2016, for recent expert consensus), cortisol values from noncompliant samples were treated as missing data in analyses (10.9% of all samples).

Measures

Salivary cortisol.—Participants were instructed to store completed saliva samples in their refrigerator until materials were picked up and returned to the lab (typically 4 days later), where they were stored at -80°C . After study completion, saliva samples were placed on dry ice and sent via courier across 3 days to Biochemisches Labor at the University of Trier in Germany for assay, which is accordant with recommendations for handling and transporting salivary biomarkers (Granger et al., 2012). Saliva samples were assayed in duplicate for salivary cortisol using a solid phase time-resolved fluorescence immunoassay with fluorometric endpoint detection (DELFI; Dressendörfer, Kirschbaum, Rohde, Stahl, & Strasburger, 1992). Besides 9 samples for which only a single assay was possible, the average concentration from both assays was used as the measure of cortisol in nanomoles per liter. Intra-assay coefficient of variation ranged from 4.0% to 6.7%, and the interassay coefficient of variation ranged from 7.1% to 9.0%. Two participants did not provide any saliva samples, and 1 used corticosteroid medication during sampling that led to extreme outlier cortisol values, leaving 206 participants in the analytic sample. After these exclusions, 7 outlier cortisol values were winsorized at 50 nmol/L, following standard practice (Nicolson, 2008). Two cortisol samples were treated as missing in analyses because the participant used corticosteroid medication at saliva sampling time (per diary reports), and 1 cortisol sample was excluded because the participant provided the sample prior to actigraphy detected wake time (e.g., in the middle of the night). Raw cortisol values were transformed using the natural log function to account for positive skew of the cortisol distribution (skew = 2.08 before transforming, -0.70 after transforming).

Familism values.—Participants responded to 16 items from the Mexican American Cultural Values Scale (Knight et al., 2010) designed to assess familism values. We used the familism-support (6 items), familism-obligation (5 items), and familism-referent (5 items) subscales. Participants responded on a Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (*not at all*) to 5 (*completely*). Example items include “It is always important to be united as a family” (familism-support), “Older kids should take care of and be role models for their younger

brothers and sisters” (familism-obligation), and “It is important to work hard and do one’s best because this work reflects on the family” (familism-referent). A total familism values score was created by taking the mean of all items across the three sub-scales, with higher scores indicating greater levels of familism values (Cronbach’s α from current sample = 0.91). The Mexican American Cultural Values Scale has demonstrated adequate construct validity among Mexican-origin adolescents and parents (Knight et al., 2010), and has also been used with other Latino groups who share a cultural heritage (Corona, Rodríguez, et al., 2017).

Perceived parental emotional support.—Participants completed the four-item parental emotional support scale from the Parent Behavior Measure (Bush, Peterson, Cobas, & Supple, 2002) to assess the extent to which adolescents’ perceived their parents as accepting, warm, and nurturing. Example items include “My parent(s) have made me feel that they would be there for me if I needed them” and “My parent(s) seem to approve of me and the things that I do.” Participants responded to the items using a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 5 (*strongly agree*). The mean of four items was used as the measure of perceived parental support, with higher scores indicating greater parental emotional support (Cronbach’s α from current sample = 0.86).

Family assistance behaviors.—In each bedtime daily diary report, participants were asked to indicate whether they had engaged in any of the following three activities during the day: *took care of a sibling/family member*, *ran an errand for a family member*, and *translated/interpreted for a family member*. This list of activities was selected from previous studies that examined daily family assistance behaviors among Latino adolescents (e.g., Telzer & Fuligni, 2009b). A dichotomous family assistance variable was created for each day, which indicated whether participants engaged in at least one family assistance behavior on a given day or not (0 = *no assistance behaviors*, 1 = *one or more assistance behaviors*). For between-person analyses, an average family assistance indicator was created by determining the percentage of days during the study week (e.g., seven daily bedtime diary reports) in which each participant reported engaging in at least one family assistance behavior (0 = *no days of the week*, 1 = *every day of the week*).

Covariates.—We explored several other variables as covariates based on previous research demonstrating associations with primary study variables (Adam & Kumari, 2009). Potential momentary covariates included whether participants ate, consumed caffeine, used nicotine, experienced pain, engaged in exercise, drank alcohol, slept, and used medication in the hour prior to sampling (for all covariates, 0 = *no*, 1 = *yes*). Participants also reported the most stressful event or situation that occurred in the hour prior to completing each diary entry and were asked to rate how stressful these events were on a scale of 0 (*no stress at all*) to 10 (*extreme stress*), with higher scores indicating greater momentary stress. At the day level, we used sleep duration (via actigraphy, in hours) as a covariate. Between-person covariates included sex (1 = *male*), immigrant generation score (from 0 = *participant, both parents, and both sets of grandparents born outside United States* to 7 = *participant, parents, and both sets of grandparents born in United States*; Umaña-Taylor, Alfaro, Bámaca, & Guimond, 2009), whether participants completed the study protocol during the summer (1 = *summer*

participation; $n = 74$), parent education (mean of mother's and father's highest education level), general corticosteroid or psychotropic medication use (1 = *medication use*; $n = 36$), and oral contraceptive use (1 = *oral contraceptive use*; $n = 15$; 0 = *not using*, and all males).

Analytic plan

We first reviewed descriptive statistics and zero-order correlations for all study variables. To address momentary (Level 1), daily (Level 2), and between-person (Level 3) variation in cortisol, we fit three-level growth models in Mplus version 7.4 (Muthén & Muthén, 1998–2012) using maximum likelihood estimation with robust standard errors. Estimating statistical power for multilevel models is a complex endeavor (Scherbaum & Ferreter, 2009). Simulation multilevel modeling studies have indicated that only sample sizes of 50 or less at the highest (cluster) level typically lead to biased estimates of standard errors; sample sizes > 50 (as in the present study) typically result in unbiased and accurate estimates of the regression coefficients (fixed effects), variance components (random effects), and standard errors (Maas & Hox, 2005).

We modeled the diurnal cortisol pattern at Level 1 by including a sample-, day-, and person-specific growth parameter indicating how long after waking each saliva sample was provided (0 = *wake time*; representing the diurnal cortisol slope), this parameter squared to account for any curvilinear trend (*time since waking*²), and a dummy variable to partial out the expected deviation in cortisol that corresponds with the second saliva sample provided 30 min after waking (1 = *second sample*, 0 = *not second sample*; representing the size of the cortisol awakening response). At Level 1 we also included sample-specific covariates (e.g., recent exercise, caffeine use, and perceived stress level). Sample-specific covariates were retained in final models only if they showed significant associations with cortisol. This modeling approach accounts for within-person and within-day associations among cortisol levels, has a relatively high tolerance for missing data, and allows for modeling multiple cortisol indices (waking levels, cortisol awakening response, and diurnal slope) simultaneously and independently (i.e., partial estimates unique to each parameter when several are included in the same model; see Adam, 2006; Hruschka, Kohrt, & Worthman, 2005). By estimating unique associations of each growth parameter with cortisol (e.g., size of cortisol awakening response irrespective of waking-to-bedtime slope and waking-to-bedtime slope irrespective of cortisol awakening response), the model accounts for evidence that the cortisol awakening response tends to be unrelated to other aspects of the diurnal pattern (Schmidt-Reinwald et al., 1999).

To test our first aim, we included familism values and perceived parental support as person-specific (Level 3) predictors of average differences in the intercept (cortisol levels at waking), time since waking (diurnal cortisol slope), time since waking², and the second sample dummy code (cortisol awakening response). We also included person-specific covariates (e.g., sex and immigrant generation) at Level 3 and a day-specific covariate for sleep duration (in hours) detected by actigraph watches at Level 2. To test our second aim, we then included whether participants assisted family during *the day prior to saliva sampling*

²Because cortisol values were log transformed, the effect sizes can be interpreted as a percent change per 1 unit change in the predictor after using the following formula: $\beta \% \text{ change} = ([e^{\beta}] - 1)$.

as a Level 2 predictor of day-to-day differences in each component of the diurnal cortisol pattern (e.g., waking levels, slope, and cortisol awakening response). This predictor was systematically lagged, so, for example, family assistance of Monday was matched with cortisol values of Tuesday. We also included participants' weekly average of family assistance behaviors as a Level 3 predictor of average differences in the same components of the diurnal cortisol pattern. Finally, we tested whether these day-to-day associations varied significantly across individuals using likelihood ratio chi-square difference (nested model) tests (Snijders & Bosker, 2012). In exploratory fashion, we tested whether average family assistance behaviors, familism values, and perceived parental support moderated day-to-day associations of family assistance with diurnal cortisol the next day (i.e., cross-level interactions).

Aside from growth parameters already described, continuous Level 1 and Level 2 predictors were centered within-person (i.e., an individual's average of available scores subtracted from each momentary or daily score), and Level 3 predictors were grand-mean centered (i.e., the average for the entire sample subtracted from each individual's score; Enders & Tofighi, 2007). For our first aim, Level 3 coefficients can be interpreted as estimated average differences in cortisol associated with a 1-unit increase in individual characteristics relative to the sample average (e.g., adolescents with greater perceived parental support than their peers). For our second aim, the Level 2 coefficient of primary interest can be interpreted as the estimated difference in next-day cortisol associated with assisting family during the day, compared to days of not assisting family.

Results

Table 1 presents descriptive statistics. In terms of family assistance behaviors, 29.1% of participants interpreted/translated for parents, 56.8% took care of siblings/family members, and 63.1% ran a family errand at *least once during* a typical week; 82.0% helped family in at least one of these ways throughout the week. On average, participants assisted family in at least one way 41.7% of days during the week (i.e., almost 3 out of 7 days). About one in three participants (34.0%) assisted family for half or more of the week, and 11.2% assisted family in some way every day of the week. Table 2 presents bivariate correlations among averages of repeated measures and person-level study variables.

A linear growth model with a dummy code to represent the cortisol awakening response fit the data significantly better than an unconditional model with no predictors, $\chi^2(9) = 2,428.774, p < .001$. Adding a quadratic term fit the data significantly better than the linear model, $\chi^2(6) = 86.122, p < .001$. Growth modeling revealed the expected average diurnal cortisol pattern with relatively high cortisol levels at waking (6.05 nmol/L), an approximate 120.3% increase 30 min after waking (cortisol awakening response),² and an approximate 8.6% decline in cortisol per hour estimated at waking, accounting for participants' protocol noncompliance and adjusting for covariates (Table 3, Model 1). Despite this average pattern, approximately 88.4% of the variance in cortisol was attributable to within-person variance (i.e., sample-to-sample and day-to-day differences; intraclass correlation = .116). Several covariates were significantly associated with different

components of the diurnal pattern. For example, immigrant generation score was associated with lower waking levels of cortisol.

Aim 1: Familism values, perceived parental support, and diurnal cortisol

Familism values and perceived parental support were next tested as between-person predictors of average differences in the diurnal cortisol pattern, accounting for covariates (Table 3, Model 2). Familism values were not significantly associated with average differences in any aspect of the diurnal cortisol rhythm, $ps > .38$. Greater perceived parental support was significantly associated with an 8.3% greater cortisol awakening response, on average, $p = .03$, but not with other aspects of the diurnal pattern, $ps > .65$. We also fit models with familism values and perceived parental support entered separately, without adjusting for the other; the results of these alternative models closely mirrored those of Model 2 with both predictors entered simultaneously.

Aim 2: Family assistance behaviors and diurnal cortisol

Next, day-to-day and individual differences in family assistance were simultaneously added to Model 2 in order to consider how family assistance might account for different sources of variance in the diurnal cortisol pattern (Table 2, Model 3). Adjusting for covariates, assisting family during the day (relative to other days of not assisting family) was significantly associated with 13.9% lower waking cortisol levels, $p = .01$, the following day. In addition, assisting family during the day was associated with approximately 4.1% flatter diurnal cortisol slopes per hour, $p = .04$, the following day (see Figure 2). There were no associations between assisting the family one day and differences in the next-day cortisol awakening response, $p = .37$, or the quadratic change component, $p = .07$. The between-person predictor of average weekly family assistance was not significantly associated with average differences in waking cortisol, $p = .10$, cortisol awakening response, $p = .31$, diurnal slope, $p = .40$, or quadratic change, $p = .71$. We also fit an alternative model with only average weekly family assistance (i.e., removing daily family assistance), while still adjusting for covariates. Average weekly family assistance was not significantly associated with lower levels of waking cortisol, $\gamma_{008} = -0.19$, $p = .09$, or the cortisol awakening response, diurnal slope, and quadratic change, $ps > .27$.

Based on nested model tests, the extent to which daily family assistance predicted various components of the diurnal cortisol pattern the next day did not vary significantly across individuals, $ps > .67$. In an exploratory manner, we included familism values, perceived parental support, and average weekly family assistance as predictors of the day-to-day associations. As expected, given the lack of between-person variability in slopes, we found that none were significant moderators of such associations, $ps > .05$.

Discussion

Accumulating research has demonstrated the integral role of the family in Latino youth development, with previous research demonstrating close links between family cultural values, close supportive relationships, and daily family activities with Latino youth adjustment and well-being (Berkel et al., 2010; Gonzales et al., 2012; Guan & Fuligni, 2016;

Zeiders, Updegraff, Umaña-Taylor, McHale, & Padilla, 2015). Yet, few studies have adopted a cultural neurobiological approach to understanding how qualities of the family, ranging from everyday family assistance behaviors to cultural values, are associated with physiological stress processes and subsequent health. We found that greater perceptions of parental emotional support were associated with a more adaptive indicator of daily cortisol patterns, specifically a greater cortisol awakening response, but that familism values were not associated with typical diurnal cortisol patterns. Our results also illustrated that assisting family during the day predicted lower waking cortisol levels and flatter diurnal slopes the next day, which have been associated with poor physical and mental health concurrently and prospectively in adolescence and adulthood across diverse samples (see Adam et al., 2017, for meta-analysis). Our study of cultural values and daily behaviors contributes to a strengths-based cultural approach and aids in better understanding the interconnections between family processes and daily physiological functioning of Latino adolescents.

Following important research on the role of parental social support for regulating HPA axis activity in children and adolescence (e.g., Gunnar & Donzella, 2002, for review of early development; Hostinar, Sullivan, & Gunnar, 2014; Seltzer, Ziegler, & Pollak, 2010; Shirtcliff et al., 2017), we found that perceptions of parental support were associated with daily physiological stress functioning in our sample of Latino adolescents. Specifically, we found that greater *perceptions* of parental support were associated with a greater average cortisol awakening response. Many scholars have argued that a greater cortisol awakening response helps one effectively cope with anticipated stressors of the day (e.g., Adam et al., 2006). In support of this “boost” hypothesis, empirical research has found that adolescents had greater cortisol awakening responses on days when they spent more time in school (McHale et al., 2012), suggesting that the cortisol awakening response may reflect the extent to which adolescents are preparing for and engaging with their social environment. While research findings related to an enhanced or reduced cortisol awakening response and positive psychosocial factors have varied across studies (Boggero et al., 2017; Chida & Steptoe, 2009), the direction of our findings is consistent with other studies examining positive and supportive interpersonal relationships and diurnal patterns of cortisol. For example, greater social connections during the day were associated with a greater cortisol awakening response the next day in a sample of college students (Sladek & Doane, 2015), and more positive social interactions in the morning were associated with a greater cortisol awakening response among healthy adult women (Stetler & Miller, 2005). The cortisol awakening response also corresponds with other important circadian processes, including sleep, which are coordinated by the suprachiasmatic nucleus (Clow, Hucklebridge, Stalder, Evans, & Thorn, 2010). Thus, it is possible that associations between perceptions of social support and the cortisol awakening response may be mediated by other physiological mechanisms, including sleep quality and quantity (Sladek & Doane, 2015; Van Lenten & Doane, 2016). Although beyond the scope of this study, future research should test the daily proximal pathways between global or daily perceptions of parental or other sources of social support, sleep, and diurnal cortisol patterns.

Contrary to our hypotheses, there was not a significant association between greater perceptions of parental support and steeper diurnal cortisol slopes, another indicator of healthy diurnal cortisol patterns. This is in contrast to recent longitudinal findings indicating

that attachment, bonding, and positive parenting in adolescence were associated with higher waking levels and steeper cortisol slopes 6 years later for European Americans (Shirtcliff et al., 2017). However, when examining attachment and bonding specifically, these associations were not statistically significant for African Americans in this prior study, highlighting that the influence of family factors on daily HPA axis activity may vary by race/ethnicity or qualities of the social context in important ways. Evidence from a recent meta-analysis suggest that there may be key individual and contextual moderators (e.g., socioeconomic status and perceptions of stress) of associations between high-quality parenting and cortisol levels and reactivity (Hackman et al., in press). As such, future research should test key cultural moderators of the promotive influence of perceived parental support on varying indicators of the diurnal cortisol pattern. It is possible that the promotive influence of parental support on the diurnal slope may only be present for youth who live in low-risk social contexts. Finally, the diurnal slope measurement reflects experiences from across an entire day, rather than just the morning like the cortisol awakening response. As such, perceptions of family support may be more closely linked with cortisol outcomes in the morning when those social relationships are present, rather than when adolescents are engaged in other social environments, including school or work (McHale et al., 2012; McHale, Updegraff, Kim, & Cansler, 2009).

Alternatively, it is possible that our method of recruitment (e.g., all students were accepted into college) reduced the likelihood of detecting attenuated or blunted diurnal cortisol patterns that would reflect more chronic exposure to potential stressors, including low levels of perceived parental support that have been hypothesized to characterize at-risk families and unhealthy physiological profiles (e.g., Repetti et al., 2002). However, most studies that have explored such associations have been conducted in laboratory settings and with indicators of cortisol reactivity rather than typical diurnal patterns (e.g., Spies, Margolin, Susman, & Gordis, 2011). Further, most adolescents in our sample reported relatively high levels of perceived parental support. Future studies with Latino adolescents might consider sampling from communities with more diverse experiences of family support to understand whether greater parental support promotes resilience in the face of adverse experiences, or conversely, whether lower parental support serves as a form of chronic stress.

Our study was the first, to our knowledge, to examine whether a key cultural value for Latino adolescents and families, familism, was associated with indicators of the cortisol diurnal pattern. We hypothesized that physiological stress pathways, as indexed by cortisol, might be a potential intermediary between familism and subsequent outcomes, and therefore tested the direct associations of familism values with diurnal cortisol patterns. However, we did not identify any associations between familism values and indicators of the diurnal cortisol rhythm in this study. Familism values are thought to contribute to adaptive outcomes in a variety of ways, such as by increasing the amount of social support available from family members and promoting high-quality relationships (Campos et al., 2014; Gonzales et al., 2015). As such, these mediators may be the more proximal predictors of daily physiological regulation rather than adolescents' broader endorsement of valuing the family. Our results indicate that perceptions of parental support were associated with a greater average cortisol awakening response. Latino adolescents who feel that emotional support is available from their parents may be better equipped to cope with stressors throughout the

day, thus leading to more adaptive diurnal patterns of cortisol (Santiago, Torres, Brewer, Fuller, & Lennon, 2016). Given that interdependence among Latino families has also been linked with greater parental monitoring (Romero & Ruiz, 2007), parental warmth (Gonzales et al., 2011), and youth's sustained academic achievement (Ong, Phinney, & Dennis, 2006), these could also be important mediators connecting familism values with daily stress physiology. Alternatively, some research suggests that familism values are only associated with well-being for high-risk adolescents or those lacking support in other interpersonal domains (e.g., school; Cupito, Stein, Gonzalez, & Supple, 2016), as opposed to our relatively low-risk sample. Such findings illustrate that familism values may serve as more of a *protective* cultural factor (e.g., moderator), as opposed to a promotive influence in the lives of Latino youth.

Future longitudinal research is needed to understand the unfolding time course and nature of interactions between cultural values, behaviors, and biological patterns (e.g., Doane et al., 2018). For example, it is possible that the development of strong familism values in early adolescence may promote both high-quality parent–child relationships and well-regulated stress responsive biological systems in later adolescence. Promising new work linking greater ethnic identity affirmation with steeper diurnal cortisol slopes in a community sample of Latino adolescents (Zeiders et al., 2018) suggests that capitalizing on a strengths-based approach to understanding such associations will be key to exploring potential reciprocal associations between culture and biology across development.

While much research has identified links between culturally relevant stressors, ranging from acculturative stress to perceived discrimination, and HPA axis activity in adolescence and young adulthood (e.g., Adam et al., 2015; Chiang et al., 2016; Kwak et al., 2017), few scholars have taken a cultural approach to understanding how family assistance behaviors may be associated with physiological stress activity from day to day, as well as more generally across days. Such examinations are key to understanding developmental adaptation and the chronicity of culture–biology interactions and interplay. Consistent with our hypotheses, we found that assisting family during the day was associated with lower waking cortisol levels and a flatter cortisol slope the next day (e.g., less change in levels between waking and bedtime), compared to days not spent assisting family. This altered rhythm generally reflects a less adaptive pattern. For example, flatter cortisol slopes have been associated with poor mental and physical health (Adam et al., 2017), including depression in adolescence (Doane et al., 2013), and morbidity and mortality in adults (Kumari et al., 2011).

Specifically, our results support the attenuation hypothesis (Susman, 2006), whereby stressful experiences may down-regulate the physiological stress system over time to protect individuals from the burdensome costs of allostatic load. Most evidence for this hypothesis stems from work on early life or severe stress, rather than day-to-day stress processes. However, on a daily basis, our findings suggest that Latino adolescents may experience downregulation of their physiological stress processes following more stress than usual the day before. If such patterns are repeated over time, these short-term attenuations may take a toll on adolescents' well-being. For example, among adolescent girls, attenuated cortisol responses to stress have been associated with accelerated pubertal development (Saxbe,

Negriff, Susman, & Trickett, 2015), and a blunted cortisol awakening response and total diurnal output interacted with stressful events to predict future depressive symptoms (Schuler et al., 2017). While associations between family assistance behaviors and psychosocial and immune outcomes have been explored in studies of Latino youth and families (e.g., Chiang et al., 2016; Fuligni et al., 2009; Telzer et al., 2015), our study is the first to identify the temporal ordering of such processes from day to day, as opposed to weekly averages, with intensive assessments of stress-sensitive physiological markers.

In contrast to Chiang et al.'s (2016) work showing that, for particularly vulnerable subgroups, average family assistance behaviors were associated with an attenuated cortisol awakening response, we did not find significant associations between average family assistance behaviors and indicators of the diurnal pattern of cortisol. Our day-to-day findings, along with those from Chiang et al. (2016) regarding average levels of daily assistance behavior, indicate that morning levels of cortisol, including waking levels and the cortisol awakening response, may be important biomarkers of chronic family stressors or need for family assistance for adolescents, in particular.

Our study reflects Fuligni and Telzer's (2013) call to understand how daily family behaviors "get under the skin," as well as important differences in how cultural values and behaviors are associated with physiological stress processes (Causadias, 2013). We found that daily cultural behaviors were associated with components of the diurnal cortisol pattern when examining within-person patterns over time, but overall assistance behaviors and broader cultural values were not significantly associated with average patterns. Such findings suggest that researchers need to consider culture–biology time scales carefully when designing their research questions and move toward understanding potential interactions among cultural behaviors and cognitions (Doane et al., 2018). Specifically, many scholars have also suggested that family assistance behaviors must be understood within the context of the family and family values. For example, high levels of role fulfillment or higher levels of family obligation mitigated associations between family stress or family assistance behaviors and poor health and adjustment (Fuligni et al., 2009; Telzer et al., 2014). In exploratory analyses, we did not find that perceptions of parental support, familism values, or average levels of family assistance behavior moderated day-to-day associations between family assistance behaviors and diurnal cortisol outcomes. Previous studies have only examined between-person associations over the course of years rather than intensive assessment of day-to-day relations between family assistance behaviors and physiological outcomes. Given that our participants were all living in their home of origin during this assessment, future work with the current sample will seek to understand whether *changing* social contexts (i.e., transitioning into the college context and moving out of the family home) are associated with more or less adaptive associations between family assistance behaviors and physiological stress profiles over time.

An important strength of our study was our short-term longitudinal daily process design. However, although this approach provided a snapshot into Latino adolescents' lives, it does not allow us to fully understand the chronicity of family assistance behaviors or the stability of parental emotional support across months, years, or developmental transitions. Furthermore, our design did not permit an exploration of potential bidirectional associations

between physiological stress profiles and perceptions of family-related values and support (e.g., Marceau, Dorn, & Susman, 2012). Future studies should use sequential short burst design strategies to understand how changes in cultural values, support, or daily behaviors may be associated with daily physiological stress patterns and regulation. Another direction for future research is an exploration of culturally relevant stressors in the daily lives of Latino adolescents and their associations with patterns of cortisol. Given previous research on the role of discrimination experiences and acculturative stressors on physiological stress patterns (e.g., Adam et al., 2015; Zeiders, Doane, & Roosa, 2012), future research should examine whether cultural values or behaviors might interact or moderate the influences of such daily stressors. For example, results of a recent meta-analysis demonstrated that racial discrimination was not significantly associated with diurnal cortisol slopes (Korous, Causadiaz, & Casper, 2017). However, these authors were only able to include six studies that included cortisol slopes as outcomes and noted that one possible explanation for the lack of association is that variability in cultural values and behaviors may buffer against discrimination-related alterations in HPA activity.

An additional limitation is that our sample consisted of adolescents who were preparing to attend a 4-year university within 60 miles of their home neighborhoods. As such, our findings may not generalize to other adolescents who live outside of the southwestern United States or those who plan on attending a higher education institution further from home, attending community college, or forgoing higher education immediately after high school. However, our sample was quite heterogeneous in terms of both socioeconomic status and perceived social class. In addition, our sample comprised adolescents from 91 different high schools in the surrounding metropolitan area. A final limitation is that we relied solely on adolescents' own reports of their family-level processes and do not have reports from parents or other family members. Given research demonstrating significant concordance of physiological stress processes among family members (e.g., Papp, Pendry, & Adam, 2009; Saxbe et al., 2014), future studies should measure multiple members of the family system to understand how daily interactions such as support behaviors or assistance may be associated with physiological patterns in individuals and dyads (e.g., parent–adolescent). Although the present study was strengthened by the use of multiple methods (e.g., questionnaire, daily diary, and daily salivary collection), self-reports of perceived parental support and familism values may have shared common-method variance; future research could extend the present approach by recruiting multiple reporters within the family system.

In conclusion, this study was the first to our knowledge to take a cultural neurobiological approach to understanding the role of family cultural values, perceptions of parental support, and daily family assistance behaviors in predicting typical physiological stress processes in the daily lives of Latino adolescents. Our findings suggest that adolescent perceptions of parenting, including emotional support, may promote more adaptive physiological daily patterns, while daily family assistance behaviors led to attenuated cortisol profiles the next day. Our daily process approach allowed us to understand variability in family assistance and associations with cortisol diurnal patterns from day to day, as well as, on average, across days. Our results highlight the dynamic associations and multiple time courses between such daily experiences and physiological stress processes for Latino adolescents. Further, by utilizing a cultural strengths-based approach to understanding Latino adolescent

development, we have extended research using ethnic-homogenous designs to illustrate an important cultural promotive factor that was associated with typical physiological activity in daily life and potential pathways to positive health outcomes in adulthood.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the William T. Grant Foundation under Award Number 184370. This research was also conducted with the support of the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R01HD079520 (to L.D.D.). The authors would like to thank all of the participants and research assistants of the Transiciones project without whom this paper would not be possible. We also thank Andrea Gierens at Biochemisches Labor at the University of Trier for technical assistance with the salivary assays.

References

- Adam EK (2006). Transactions among adolescent trait and state emotion and diurnal and momentary cortisol activity in naturalistic settings. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 31, 664–679. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2006.01.010 [PubMed: 16584847]
- Adam EK, Hawkley LC, Kudielka BM, & Cacioppo JT (2006). Day-to-day dynamics of experience–cortisol associations in a population-based sample of older adults. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 103, 17058–17063.
- Adam EK, Heissel JA, Zeiders KH, Richeson JA, Ross EC, Ehrlich KB, ... Eccles JS (2015). Developmental histories of perceived racial discrimination and diurnal cortisol profiles in adulthood: A 20-year prospective study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 62, 279–291. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.08.018 [PubMed: 26352481]
- Adam EK, & Kumari M (2009). Assessing salivary cortisol in large-scale, epidemiological research. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 34, 1423–1436. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2009.06.011 [PubMed: 19647372]
- Adam EK, Quinn ME, Tavernier R, McQuillan MT, Dahlke KA, & Gilbert KE (2017). Diurnal cortisol slopes and mental and physical health outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 83, 25–41. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2017.05.018 [PubMed: 28578301]
- Adam EK, Vrshek-Schallhorn S, Kendall AD, Mineka S, Zinbarg RE, & Craske MG (2014). Prospective associations between the cortisol awakening response and first onsets of anxiety disorders over a six-year follow-up—2013 Curt Richter Award Winner. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 44, 47–59. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2014.02.014 [PubMed: 24767619]
- Berkel C, Knight GP, Zeiders KH, Tein J, Roosa MW, Gonzales NA, & Saenz D (2010). Discrimination and adjustment for Mexican American adolescents: A prospective examination of the benefits of culturally related values. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 20, 893–915. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-7795.2010.00668.x [PubMed: 21359093]
- Bernal ME, Knight GP, Garza CA, Ocampo KA, & Cota MK (1990). The development of ethnic identity in Mexican-American children. *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 12, 3–24. doi: 10.1177/07399863900121001
- Boggero IA, Hostinar CE, Haak EA, Murphy ML, & Segerstrom SC (2017). Psychosocial functioning and the cortisol awakening response: Meta-analysis, p-curve analysis, and evaluation of the evidential value in existing studies. *Biological Psychology*, 129, 207–230. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2017.08.058 [PubMed: 28870447]
- Brody GH, Lei MK, Chae DH, Yu T, Kogan SM, & Beach SR (2014). Perceived discrimination among African American adolescents and allostatic load: A longitudinal analysis with buffering effects. *Child Development*, 85, 989–1002. doi:10.1111/cdev.12213 [PubMed: 24673162]
- Burnett S, Sebastian C, Kadosh KC, & Blakemore SJ (2011). The social brain in adolescence: Evidence from functional magnetic resonance imaging and behavioural studies. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 35, 1654–1664. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.10.011 [PubMed: 21036192]

- Bush KR, Peterson GW, Cobas JA, & Supple AJ (2002). Adolescents' perceptions of parental behaviors as predictors of adolescent self-esteem in mainland China. *Sociological Inquiry*, 72, 503–526. doi:10.1111/1475-682x.00031
- Calderón-Tena CO, Knight GP, & Carlo G (2011). The socialization of prosocial behavioral tendencies among Mexican American adolescents: The role of familism values. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 17, 98–106. doi:10.1037/a0021825 [PubMed: 21341902]
- Campos B, & Kim HS (2017). Incorporating the cultural diversity of family and close relationships into the study of health. *American Psychologist*, 72, 543–554. doi:10.1037/amp0000122 [PubMed: 28880101]
- Campos B, Ullman JB, Aguilera A, & Dunkel Schetter C (2014). Familism and psychological health: The intervening role of closeness and social support. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 20, 191–201. doi:10.1037/a0034094 [PubMed: 24773004]
- Causadias JM (2013). A roadmap for the integration of culture into developmental psychopathology. *Development and Psychopathology*, 25, 1375–1398. doi:10.1017/s0954579413000679 [PubMed: 24342846]
- Causadias JM, Telzer EH, & Lee RM (2017). Culture and biology interplay: An introduction. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 23, 1–4. doi:10.1037/cdp0000121 [PubMed: 27736098]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2016). Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance—United States, 2015. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 65, 1–174. [PubMed: 26766396]
- Chiang JJ, Tsai KM, Park H, Bower JE, Almeida DM, Dahl RE, ... Fuligni AJ (2016). Daily family stress and HPA axis functioning during adolescence: The moderating role of sleep. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 71, 43–53. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016.05.009 [PubMed: 27235639]
- Chida Y, & Steptoe A (2009). Cortisol awakening response and psychosocial factors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Biological Psychology*, 80, 265–278. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2008.10.004 [PubMed: 19022335]
- Cicchetti D, & Rogosch FA (2012). Physiological measures of emotion from a developmental perspective: State of the science: Neuroendocrine regulation and emotional adaptation in the context of child maltreatment. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 77, 87–95. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5834.2011.00666.x
- Clow A, Hucklebridge F, Stalder T, Evans P, & Thorn L (2010). The cortisol awakening response: More than a measure of HPA axis function. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 35, 97–103. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.12.011
- Clow A, Thorn L, Evans P, & Hucklebridge F (2004). The awakening cortisol response: Methodological issues and significance. *Stress*, 7, 29–37. doi:10.1080/10253890410001667205 [PubMed: 15204030]
- Corona K, Campos B, & Chen C (2017). Familism is associated with psychological well-being and physical health. *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 39, 46–65. doi:10.1177/0739986316671297
- Corona R, Rodríguez VM, McDonald SE, Velazquez E, Rodríguez A, & Fuentes VE (2017). Associations between cultural stressors, cultural values, and Latina/o college students' mental health. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 46, 63–77. doi:10.1007/s10964-016-0600-5 [PubMed: 27817098]
- Cupito AM, Stein GL, Gonzalez LM, & Supple AJ (2016). Familism and Latino adolescent depressive symptoms: The role of maternal warmth and support and school support. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 22, 517–523. doi:10.1037/cdp0000097 [PubMed: 27077799]
- Dahl RE, & Gunnar MR (2009). Heightened stress responsiveness and emotional reactivity during pubertal maturation: Implications for psycho-pathology. *Development and Psychopathology*, 21, 1–6. doi:10.1017/S0954579409000017 [PubMed: 19144219]
- Del Giudice M, Ellis BJ, & Shirtcliff EA (2011). The adaptive calibration model of stress responsivity. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 35, 1562–1592. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.11.007
- DeSantis AS, Adam EK, Mendelsohn KA, & Doane LD (2010). Concordance between self-reported and objective wakeup times in ambulatory salivary cortisol research. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 17, 74–78. doi:10.1007/s12529-009-9053-5 [PubMed: 19597997]

- Dickerson SS, & Kemeny ME (2004). Acute stressors and cortisol responses: A theoretical integration and synthesis of laboratory research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 130, 355–391. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.130.3.355 [PubMed: 15122924]
- Doane LD, Chen FR, Sladek MR, Van Lenten SA, & Granger DA (2015). Latent trait cortisol (LTC) levels: Reliability, validity, and stability. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 55, 21–35. doi:10.1016/j.psychneuen.2015.01.017 [PubMed: 25705799]
- Doane LD, Mineka S, Zinbarg RE, Craske M, Griffith JW, & Adam EK (2013). Are flatter diurnal cortisol rhythms associated with major depression and anxiety disorders in late adolescence? The role of life stress and daily negative emotion. *Development and Psycho-pathology*, 25, 629–642. doi:10.1017/S0033291712001213
- Doane LD, Sladek MR, & Adam E (2018). An introduction to cultural neurobiology: Evidence from physiological stress systems In Causadias JM, Telzer EH, & Gonzalez NA (Eds.), *The handbook of culture and biology* (pp. 227–254). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Doane LD, & Zeiders KH (2014). Contextual moderators of momentary cortisol and negative affect in adolescents' daily lives. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 54, 536–542. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.10.007 [PubMed: 24321894]
- Dressendörfer RA, Kirschbaum C, Rohde W, Stahl F, & Strasburger CJ (1992). Synthesis of a cortisol-biotin conjugate and evaluation as a tracer in an immunoassay for salivary cortisol measurement. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 43, 683–692. doi: 10.1016/0960-0760(92)90294-S [PubMed: 1472460]
- Ellis BJ, Oldehinkel AJ, & Nederhof E (2017). The adaptive calibration model of stress responsivity: An empirical test in the Tracking Adolescents' Individual Lives Survey study. *Development and Psychopathology*, 29, 1001–1021. doi:10.1017/S0954579416000985 [PubMed: 27772536]
- Enders CK, & Tofighi D (2007). Centering predictor variables in cross-sectional multilevel models: A new look at an old issue. *Psychological Methods*, 12, 121–138. doi:10.1037/1082-989X.12.2.121 [PubMed: 17563168]
- Fuligni AJ, & Telzer EH (2013). Another way family can get in the head and under the skin: The neurobiology of helping the family. *Child Development Perspectives*, 7, 138–142. doi:10.1111/cdep.12029
- Fuligni AJ, Telzer EH, Bower J, Irwin MR, Kiang L, & Cole SW (2009). Daily family assistance and inflammation among adolescents from Latin American and European backgrounds. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 23, 803–809. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2009.02.021
- Fuligni AJ, Tseng V, & Lam M (1999). Attitudes toward family obligations among American adolescents with Asian, Latin American, and European backgrounds. *Child Development*, 70, 1030–1044. doi:10.1111/1467-8624.00075
- Fuller B, & Garcia Coll C (2010). Learning from Latinos: Contexts, families, and child development in motion. *Developmental Psychology*, 46, 559–565. doi:10.1037/a0019412 [PubMed: 20438170]
- Gallo LC, Penedo FJ, Espinosa de los Monteros KE, & Arguelles W (2009). Resiliency in the face of disadvantage: Do Hispanic cultural characteristics protect health outcomes? *Journal of Personality*, 77, 1707–1746. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6494.2009.00598.x [PubMed: 19796063]
- Garcia AF, Wilborn K, & Mangold DL (2017). The cortisol awakening response mediates the relationship between acculturative stress and self-reported health in Mexican Americans. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 51, 787–798. doi:10.1007/s12160-017-9901-5 [PubMed: 28337601]
- Gonzales NA, Cox S, Roosa MW, White R, Knight GP, Zeiders KH, & Saenz D (2011). Economic hardship, neighborhood context, and parenting: Prospective effects on Mexican-American adolescent's mental health. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 47, 98–113. doi: 10.1007/s10464-010-9366-1 [PubMed: 21103925]
- Gonzales NA, Germán M, & Fabrett FC (2012). US Latino youth In Chang EC & Downey CA (Eds.), *Handbook of race and development in mental health* (pp. 259–278). New York: Springer.
- Gonzales NA, Jensen M, Montañó Z, & Wynne H (2015). The cultural adaptation and mental health of Mexican American adolescents In Caldera YM & Lindsey EW (Eds.), *Mexican-American children and families: Multidisciplinary perspectives*. New York: Routledge.

- Granger DA, Fortunato CK, Beltzer EK, Virag M, Bright MA, & Out D (2012). Focus on methodology: Salivary bioscience and research on adolescence: An integrated perspective. *Journal of Adolescence*, 35, 1081–1095. doi:10.1016/j.adolescence.2012.01.005 [PubMed: 22401843]
- Guan SSA, Bower JE, Almeida DM, Cole SW, Dahl RE, Irwin MR, ... Fuligni AJ (2016). Parental support buffers the association of depressive symptoms with cortisol and C-reactive protein during adolescence. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 57, 134–143. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2016.03.007
- Guan SSA, & Fuligni AJ (2016). Changes in parent, sibling, and peer support during the transition to young adulthood. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 26, 286–299. doi:10.1111/jora.12191
- Gunnar MR, & Donzella B (2002). Social regulation of the cortisol levels in early human development. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 27, 199–220. doi:10.1016/S0306-4530(01)00045-2 [PubMed: 11750779]
- Gunnar MR, Wewerka S, Frenn K, Long JD, & Griggs C (2009). Developmental changes in hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal activity over the transition to adolescence: Normative changes and associations with puberty. *Development and Psychopathology*, 21, 69–85. doi:10.1017/S0954579409000054 [PubMed: 19144223]
- Hackman DA, O'Brien JR, & Zalewski M (in press). Enduring association between parenting and cortisol: A meta-analysis. *Child Development*. doi:10.1111/cdev.13077
- Hanson MD, & Chen E (2010). Daily stress, cortisol, and sleep: The moderating role of childhood psychosocial environments. *Health Psychology*, 29, 394–402. doi:10.1037/a0019879 [PubMed: 20658827]
- Hostinar CE, Johnson AE, & Gunnar MR (2015). Parent support is less effective in buffering cortisol stress reactivity for adolescents compared to children. *Developmental Science*, 18, 281–297. doi:10.1111/desc.12195 [PubMed: 24942038]
- Hostinar CE, Sullivan RM, & Gunnar MR (2014). Psychobiological mechanisms underlying the social buffering of the HPA axis: A review of animal models and human studies across development. *Psychological Bulletin*, 140, 256–282. doi:10.1037/a0032671 [PubMed: 23607429]
- Hruschka DJ, Kohrt BA, & Worthman CM (2005). Estimating between- and within-individual variation in cortisol levels using multilevel models. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 30, 698–714. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2005.03.002 [PubMed: 15854786]
- Johnston LD, O'Malley PM, Bachman JG, & Schulenberg JE (2012). Monitoring the Future national results on adolescent drug use: Overview of key findings, 2011. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan, Institute for Social Research.
- Kapke TL, Grace MA, Gerdes AC, & Lawton KE (2017). Latino early adolescent mental health: Examining the impact of family functioning, familism, and global self-worth. *Journal of Latina/o Psychology*, 5, 27–44. doi:10.1037/lat0000057
- Kline GC, Killoren SE, & Alfaro EC (2016). Perceived parental psychological control, familism values, and Mexican American college students' adjustment. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 22, 524–532. doi:10.1037/cdp0000096 [PubMed: 27124153]
- Knight GP, Gonzales NA, Saenz DS, Bonds DD, Germán M, Deardorff J, ... Updegraff KA (2010). The Mexican American cultural values scale for adolescents and adults. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 30, 444–481. doi:10.1177/0272431609338178 [PubMed: 20644653]
- Knight GP, Jacobson RP, Gonzales NA, Roosa MW, & Saenz DS (2009). An evaluation of the psychological research on acculturation and enculturation processes among recently immigrating populations In Dalla RL, DeFrain J, Johnson J, & Abbott DA (Eds.), *Strengths and challenges of new immigrant families: Implications for research, policy, education, and service* (pp. 9–31). Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.
- Korous KM, Causadias JM, & Casper DM (2017). Racial discrimination and cortisol output: A meta-analysis. *Social Science & Medicine*, 193, 90–100. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.09.042 [PubMed: 29028560]
- Kudielka BM, Broderick JE, & Kirschbaum C (2003). Compliance with saliva sampling protocols: Electronic monitoring reveals invalid cortisol daytime profiles in noncompliant subjects. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 65, 313–319. doi:10.1037/e413812005-399 [PubMed: 12652000]
- Kumari M, Shipley M, Stafford M, & Kivimaki M (2011). Association of diurnal patterns in salivary cortisol with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality: Findings from the Whitehall II study. *Journal*

- of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, 96, 1478–1485. doi:10.1210/jc.2010-2137 [PubMed: 21346074]
- Kwak Y, Taylor ZE, Anaya LY, Feng Y, Evich CD, & Jones BL (2017). Cumulative family stress and diurnal cortisol responses in midwest Latino families. *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 39, 82–97. doi:10.1177/0739986316684130
- Maas CJM, & Hox JJ (2005). Sufficient sample sizes for multilevel modeling. *Methodology*, 1, 86–92. doi:10.1027/1614-2241.1.3.86
- Marceau K, Dorn LD, & Susman EJ (2012). Stress and puberty-related hormone reactivity, negative emotionality, and parent–adolescent relationships. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 37, 1286–1298. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2012.01.001 [PubMed: 22284540]
- Markus HR, & Kitayama S (2010). Cultures and selves: A cycle of mutual constitution. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 5, 420–430. doi:10.1177/1745691610375557 [PubMed: 26162188]
- McEwen BS (1998). Stress, adaptation, and disease: Allostasis and allo-static load. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 840, 33–44. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.1998.tb09546.x [PubMed: 9629234]
- McHale SM, Blocklin MK, Walter KN, Davis KD, Almeida DM, & Klein LC (2012). The role of daily activities in youths' stress physiology. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 51, 623–628. doi:10.1016/j.jado-health.2012.03.016 [PubMed: 23174474]
- McHale SM, Updegraff KA, Kim JY, & Cansler E (2009). Cultural orientations, daily activities, and adjustment in Mexican American youth. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 38, 627–641. doi:10.1007/s10964-008-9321-8 [PubMed: 19636760]
- McLaughlin KA (2016). Future directions in childhood adversity and youth psychopathology. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 45, 361–382. doi:10.1080/15374416.2015.1110823 [PubMed: 26849071]
- McLaughlin KA, Sheridan MA, Alves S, & Mendes WB (2014). Child maltreatment and autonomic nervous system reactivity: Identifying dysregulated stress reactivity patterns by using the biopsychosocial model of challenge and threat. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 76, 538–546. doi:10.1097/PSY.0000000000000098 [PubMed: 25170753]
- Miller GE, Chen E, & Zhou ES (2007). If it goes up, must it come down? Chronic stress and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis in humans. *Psychological Bulletin*, 133, 25–45. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.133.1.25 [PubMed: 17201569]
- Muthén LK, & Muthén BO (1998–2012). *Mplus user's guide* (7th ed.). Los Angeles: Author.
- Neblett EW, Rivas-Drake D, & Umaña-Taylor AJ (2012). The promise of racial and ethnic protective factors in promoting ethnic minority youth development. *Child Development Perspectives*, 6, 295–303. doi:10.1111/j.1750-8606.2012.00239.x
- Nicolson NA (2008). Measurement of cortisol In Luecken LJ & Gallo LC (Eds.), *Handbook of physiological research methods in health psychology* (pp. 37–74). New York: Sage.
- Oberle E (2018) Social-emotional competence and early adolescents' peer acceptance in school: Examining the role of afternoon cortisol. *PLOS ONE*, 13, 1–12. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0192639
- Ong AD, Phinney JS, & Dennis J (2006). Competence under challenge: Exploring the protective influence of parental support and ethnic identity in Latino college students. *Journal of Adolescence*, 29, 961–979. doi:10.1016/j.adolescence.2006.04.010 [PubMed: 16750847]
- Padilla J, McHale SM, Rovine MJ, Updegraff KA, & Umaña-Taylor AJ (2016). Parent–youth differences in familism values from adolescence into young adulthood: Developmental course and links with parent–youth conflict. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 45, 2417–2430. doi:10.1007/s10964-016-0518-y [PubMed: 27299763]
- Papp LM, Pendry P, & Adam EK (2009). Mother-adolescent physiological synchrony in naturalistic settings: Within-family cortisol associations and moderators. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 23, 882–894. doi:10.1037/a0017147 [PubMed: 20001147]
- Phinney JS (1996). When we talk about U.S. ethnic groups, what do we mean? *American Psychologist*, 51, 918–927. doi:10.1037//0003-066x.51.9.918
- Pruessner JC, Kirschbaum C, Meinlschmid G, & Hellhammer DH (2003). Two formulas for computation of the area under the curve represent measures of total hormone concentration versus

- time-dependent change. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 28, 916–931. doi:10.1016/S0306-4530(02)00108-7 [PubMed: 12892658]
- Repetti RL, Taylor SE, & Seeman TE (2002). Risky families: Family social environments and the mental and physical health of offspring. *Psychological Bulletin*, 128, 330–366. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.128.2.230 [PubMed: 11931522]
- Roberts RE, Roberts CR, & Chen YR (1997). Ethnocultural differences in prevalence of adolescent depression. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 25, 95–110. doi:10.1023/A:1024649925737 [PubMed: 9231998]
- Rogoff B (2003). *The cultural nature of human development*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Romero AJ, & Ruiz M (2007). Does familism lead to increased parental monitoring? Protective factors for coping with risky behaviors. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 16, 143–154. doi:10.1007/s10826-006-9074-5
- Ross KM, Murphy ML, Adam EK, Chen E, & Miller GE (2014). How stable are diurnal cortisol activity indices in healthy individuals? Evidence from three multi-wave studies. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 39, 184–193. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.09.016 [PubMed: 24119668]
- Sabogal F, Marin G, Otero-Sabogal R, Marin BV, & Perez-Stable EJ (1987). Hispanic familism and acculturation: What changes and what doesn't? *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 9, 397–412. doi:10.1177/07399863870094003
- Santiago CD, Brewer SK, Fuller AK, Torres SA, Papadakis JL, & Ros AM (2016). Stress, coping, and mood among Latino adolescents: A daily diary study. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 27, 566–580. doi:10.1111/jora.12294 [PubMed: 28776835]
- Santiago CD, Torres SA, Brewer SK, Fuller AK, & Lennon JM (2016). The effect of cultural factors on daily coping and involuntary responses to stress among low-income Latino adolescents. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 44, 872–887. doi:10.1002/jcop.21814
- Saxbe DE, Margolin G, Spies Shapiro L, Ramos M, Rodriguez A, & Iturralde E (2014). Relative influences: Patterns of HPA axis concordance during triadic family interaction. *Health Psychology*, 33, 273–281. doi:10.1037/a0033509 [PubMed: 23914815]
- Saxbe DE, Negri S, Susman EJ, & Trickett PK (2015). Attenuated hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis functioning predicts accelerated pubertal development in girls 1 year later. *Development and Psychopathology*, 27, 819–828. doi:10.1017/S0954579414000790 [PubMed: 25154521]
- Scherbaum CA, & Ferreter JM (2009). Estimating statistical power and required sample sizes for organizational research using multilevel modeling. *Organizational Research Methods*, 12, 347–367. doi:10.1177/1094428107308906
- Schmidt-Reinwald A, Pruessner JC, Hellhammer DH, Federenko I, Rohleder N, Schürmeyer TH, & Kirschbaum C (1999). The cortisol response to awakening in relation to different challenge tests and a 12-hour cortisol rhythm. *Life Sciences*, 64, 1653–1660. doi:10.1016/S0024-3205(99)00103-4 [PubMed: 10328525]
- Schuler KL, Ruggero CJ, Goldstein BL, Perlman G, Klein DN, & Kotov R (2017). Diurnal cortisol interacts with stressful events to prospectively predict depressive symptoms in adolescent girls. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 61, 767–772. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2017.06.005 [PubMed: 28935386]
- Schwartz SJ, Weisskirch RS, Hurley EA, Zamboanga BL, Park IJK, Kim SY, ... Greene AD (2010). Communalism, familism, and filial piety: Are they birds of a collectivists feather? *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 16, 548–560. doi:10.1037/a0021370
- Seltzer LJ, Ziegler TE, & Pollak SD (2010). Social vocalizations can release oxytocin in humans. *Proceedings of the Royal Society, B, Biological Sciences*, 277, 2661–2666. doi:10.1098/rspb.2010.0567 [PubMed: 20462908]
- Shirtcliff EA, Skinner ML, Obasi EM, & Haggerty KP (2017). Positive parenting predicts cortisol functioning six years later in young adults. *Developmental Science*, 20. doi:10.1111/desc.12461
- Sladek MR, & Doane LD (2015). Daily diary reports of social connection, objective sleep, and diurnal cortisol activity during adolescents first year of college. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 44, 298–316. doi:10.1037/e500122015-065 [PubMed: 25537099]

- Smart Richman L, Pek J, Pascoe E, & Bauer DJ (2010). The effects of perceived discrimination on ambulatory blood pressure and affective responses to interpersonal stress modeled over 24 hours. *Health Psychology*, 29, 403–411. doi:10.1037/a0019045 [PubMed: 20658828]
- Snijders TAB, & Bosker RJ (2012). *Multilevel analysis: An introduction to basic and advanced multilevel modeling* (2nd ed.). London: Sage.
- Spies LA, Margolin G, Susman EJ, & Gordis EB (2011). Adolescents' cortisol reactivity and subjective distress in response to family conflict: The moderating role of internalizing symptoms. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 49, 386–392. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2011.01.014 [PubMed: 21939869]
- Stalder T, Kirschbaum C, Kudielka BM, Adam EK, Pruessner JC, Wüst S, ... Clow A (2016). Assessment of the cortisol awakening response: Expert consensus guidelines. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 63, 414–432. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.10.010 [PubMed: 26563991]
- Stein GL, Cupito AM, Mendez JL, Prandoni J, Huq N, & Westerberg D (2014). Familism through a developmental lens. *Journal of Latina/o Psychology*, 2, 224–250. doi:10.1037/lat0000025
- Steinberg L (2000). Gallagher lecture. The family at adolescence: Transition and transformation. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 27, 170–178. doi:10.1016/S1054-139X(99)00115-9 [PubMed: 10960215]
- Stetler C, & Miller GE (2005). Blunted cortisol response to awakening in mild to moderate depression: Regulatory influences of sleep patterns and social contacts. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 114, 697–705. doi:10.1037/0021-843x.114.4.697 [PubMed: 16351390]
- Stroud CB, Chen FR, Doane LD, & Granger DA (2018). Early adversity and internalizing symptoms in adolescence: Mediation by individual differences in latent trait cortisol. Development and Psychopathology. Advance online publication. doi:10.1017/S0954579418000044
- Stroud LR, Foster E, Papandonatos GD, Handwerger K, Granger DA, Kivlighan KT, & Niaura R (2009). Stress response and the adolescent transition: Performance versus peer rejection stressors. *Development and Psychopathology*, 21, 47–68. doi:10.1017/S0954579409000042 [PubMed: 19144222]
- Suárez-Orozco C, & Suárez-Orozco MM (1995). *Transformations: Immigration, family life, and achievement motivation among Latino adolescents*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Susman EJ (2006). Psychobiology of persistent antisocial behavior: Stress, early vulnerabilities and the attenuation hypothesis. *Neuroscience & Bio-behavioral Reviews*, 30, 376–389. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2005.08.002
- Taylor ZE, Larsen-Rife D, Conger RD, & Widaman KF (2012). Familism, interparental conflict, and parenting in Mexican-origin families: A cultural-contextual framework. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 74, 312–327. doi:10.1111/j.1741-3737.2012.00958.x [PubMed: 22736810]
- Telzer EH, & Fuligni AJ (2009a). A longitudinal daily diary study of family assistance and academic achievement among adolescents from Mexican, Chinese, and European backgrounds. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 38, 560–571. doi:10.1007/s10964-008-9391-7 [PubMed: 19636728]
- Telzer EH, & Fuligni AJ (2009b). Daily family assistance and the psychological well-being of adolescents from Latin American, Asian, and European backgrounds. *Developmental Psychology*, 45, 1177–1189. doi:10.1037/a0014728 [PubMed: 19586187]
- Telzer EH, Gonzales N, & Fuligni AJ (2014). Family obligation values and family assistance behaviors: Protective and risk factors for Mexican-American adolescents' substance use. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 43, 270–283. doi:10.1007/s10964-013-9941-5 [PubMed: 23532598]
- Telzer EH, Masten CL, Berkman ET, Lieberman MD, & Fuligni AJ (2010). Gaining while giving: An fMRI study of the rewards of family assistance among White and Latino youth. *Social Neuroscience*, 5, 508–518. doi:10.1080/17470911003687913 [PubMed: 20401808]
- Telzer EH, Tsai KM, Gonzales N, & Fuligni AJ (2015). Mexican American adolescents' family obligation values and behaviors: Links to internalizing symptoms across time and context. *Developmental Psychology*, 51, 75–86. doi:10.1037/a0038434 [PubMed: 25546596]
- Tsai KM, Telzer EH, Gonzales NA, & Fuligni AJ (2013). Adolescents daily assistance to the family in response to maternal need. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 75, 964–980. doi:10.1111/jomf.12035 [PubMed: 23913983]

- Umaña-Taylor AJ, Alfaro EC, Bámaca MY, & Guimond AB (2009). The central role of familial ethnic socialization in Latino adolescents' cultural orientation. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 71, 46–60. doi:10.1111/j.1741-3737.2008.00579.x
- Umaña-Taylor AJ, & Fine MA (2001). Methodological implications of grouping Latino adolescents into one collective ethnic group. *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 23, 347–362. doi: 10.1177/0739986301234001
- Updegraff KA, McHale SM, Whiteman SD, Thayer SM, & Delgado MY (2005). Adolescent sibling relationships in Mexican American families: Exploring the role of familism. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 19, 512–522. doi:10.1037/0893-3200.19.4.512 [PubMed: 16402866]
- Updegraff KA, Sally I, Kuo C, McHale SM, Umaña-Taylor AJ, & Wheeler LA (2017). Parents' traditional cultural values and Mexican-origin young adults' routine health and dental care. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 60, 513–519. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2016.10.012 [PubMed: 27988108]
- US Census Bureau. (2015). Projections of the size and composition of the U.S. population: 2014 to 2060. Washington, DC: Author.
- Valdivieso-Mora E, Peet CL, Garnier-Villarreal M, Salazar-Villanea M, & Johnson DK (2016). A systematic review of the relationship between familism and mental health outcomes in Latino population. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01632
- Van Lenten SA, & Doane LD (2016). Examining multiple sleep behaviors and diurnal salivary cortisol and alpha-amylase: Within-and between-person associations. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 68, 100–110. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016.02.017 [PubMed: 26963376]
- Vélez-Agosto NM, Soto-Crespo JG, Vizcarrondo-Oppeneheimer M, Vega-Molina S, & Garcia Coll C (2017). Bronfenbrenner's bioecological theory revision: Moving culture from the macro into the micro. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 12, 900–910. doi:10.1177/1745691617704397 [PubMed: 28972838]
- Viner RM, Ozer EM, Denny S, Marmot M, Resnick M, Fatusi A, & Currie C (2012). Adolescence and the social determinants of health. *Lancet*, 379, 1641–1652. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60149-4 [PubMed: 22538179]
- Vrshek-Schallhorn S, Doane LD, Mineka S, Zinbarg RE, Craske MG, & Adam EK (2013). The cortisol awakening response predicts major depression: Predictive stability over a 4-year follow-up and effect of depression history. *Psychological Medicine*, 43, 483–493. doi:10.1017/S0033291712001213 [PubMed: 22652338]
- Weisner TS, García Coll C, & Chatman-Nelson C (2010). Theoretical perspectives on the macrosystem. In Kreider H, Lopez ME, Weiss HB, & Chatman-Nelson C (Eds.), *Preparing educators to engage families: Case studies using an ecocultural systems framework* (pp. 84–96). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Wheeler LA, Zeiders KH, Updegraff KA, Umaña-Taylor AJ, Rodríguez de Jesús SA, & Perez-Brena NJ (2017). Mexican-origin youth's risk behavior from adolescence to young adulthood: The role of familism values. *Developmental Psychology*, 53, 126–137. doi:10.1037/dev0000251 [PubMed: 28026193]
- Zeiders KH, Causadias JM, & White RM (2018). The health correlates of culture: Examining the association between ethnic-racial identity and diurnal cortisol slopes. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 62, 349–351. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2017.09.020 [PubMed: 29203357]
- Zeiders KH, Doane LD, & Roosa MW (2012). Perceived discrimination and diurnal cortisol: Examining relations among Mexican American adolescents. *Hormones and Behavior*, 61, 541–548. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2012.01.018 [PubMed: 22342577]
- Zeiders KH, Updegraff KA, Umaña-Taylor AJ, McHale SM, & Padilla J (2015). Familism values, family time, and Mexican-origin young adults' depressive symptoms. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 78, 91–106. doi:10.1111/jomf.12248 [PubMed: 26778855]
- Zeiders KH, Updegraff KA, Umaña-Taylor AJ, Wheeler LA, Perez-Brena NJ, & Rodríguez SA (2013). Mexican-origin youths' trajectories of depressive symptoms: The role of familism values. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 53, 648–654. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.06.008 [PubMed: 23886552]

Figure 1.

Theoretical representation of study research aims illustrating potential cultural promotive and risk influences on diurnal cortisol patterns in the daily lives of Latino adolescents.

Figure 2.

Estimates from Model 3 used to represent day-lagged within-person differences in waking cortisol (model intercept; nmol/L) and diurnal cortisol slope (time since waking; % decrease) by prior-day family assistance. Less negative diurnal declines in cortisol reflect flatter (less adaptive) slopes. $ps < .05$.

Table 1.

Summary of demographic information and study variable descriptive statistics

Demographic summary	<i>n</i>	%
^a Family national origin		
Mexican	177	85.1%
South or Central American	21	10.1%
Cuban	11	5.3%
Other	9	4.3%
Immigrant generation		
1st generation	22	10.6%
2nd generation	129	62.0%
3rd generation or above	57	27.4%
Parent education level		
Some or less than high school	70	33.7%
High school graduate/GED	45	21.6%
Some college	52	22.8%
Bachelor's	33	15.9%
Graduate education	8	3.8%
Subjective family social class		
Upper/upper-middle class	22	10.5%
Middle class	100	47.8%
Lower-middle/working class	83	29.8%
Other	3	1.4%

Study variables	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	Range
Waking cortisol	8.01	3.97	0.04–25.41
30 min postwaking cortisol	13.28	6.05	0.08–7.05
3 hours postwaking cortisol	4.89	2.85	0.17–21.56
8 hours postwaking cortisol	3.41	2.06	0.05–15.72
Bedtime cortisol	1.75	1.54	0.03–12.88
Familism values	3.77	0.69	1–5
Perceived parental support	4.18	0.91	1–5
Average family assistance	0.41	0.32	0–1
Sleep duration	6.42	1.23	2.13–12.53
Immigrant generation score (continuous)	2.63	2.33	0–7
Parent education level			
Mother	3.82	2.45	1–10
Father	3.64	2.71	1–10

Note: *N* = 208. One participant did not provide demographic information. 1st generation, born outside the United States. 2nd generation, born in United States, at least one parent born outside United States. 3rd generation, both parents born in United States. Immigrant generation score: 0 = participant, both parents, and both sets of grandparents born outside United States to 7 = participant, parents, and both sets of grandparents born in United States. Averages of raw cortisol values in nmol/L. Parent education level: 1 = less than high school to 10 = doctorate or advanced degree.

^aCould select more than one.

Table 2.

Bivariate correlations

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1. Waking cortisol	—													
2. 30 min postwaking cortisol	.66**	—												
3. 3 hours postwaking cortisol	.32**	.30**	—											
4. 8 hours postwaking cortisol	.40**	.34**	.55**	—										
5. Bedtime cortisol	.32**	.24**	.42**	.46**	—									
6. Familism values	.03	-.04	-.10	-.03	-.05	—								
7. Perceived parental support	.01	.08	-.09	-.07	-.10	.23**	—							
8. Average family assistance	.02	-.02	-.14 [†]	-.05	.06	.17*	.05	—						
9. Average sleep duration	.08	.04	-.18*	-.11	-.03	.12	-.04	.11	—					
10. Summer participation	-.02	-.12	.05	.07	.11	.00	-.02	.14*	.36**	—				
11. Male	.01	-.06	.20**	.11	-.07	.03	.17*	-.06	-.16*	.07	—			
12. Corticosteroid use	-.09	-.18*	.09	-.01	.00	-.11	-.04	.01	-.05	.14 [†]	.12 [†]	—		
13. Oral contraceptive use	-.21**	-.17*	-.05	-.13 [†]	.02	-.05	-.09	-.14*	-.06	-.05	-.21**	.12 [†]	—	
14. Parent education level	-.02	.01	.15*	.10	.06	-.16*	-.06	-.21**	-.02	-.08	.10	.10	.12 [†]	—
15. Immigrant generation	-.17*	-.08	-.04	.03	.00	-.01	.05	-.18*	-.07	-.10	.07	.05	.09	.44**

Note: $N = 206$. Averages of raw cortisol values (nmol/L) presented for descriptive purposes. Summer participation: 0 = *participated while in school*, 1 = participated in summer. Male: 0 = *female*, 1 = *male*. Corticosteroid medication use: 0 = *no*, 1 = *yes*. Oral contraceptive use: 0 = *no*, 1 = *yes*. Parent education level is the average of mother's and father's education.

[†] $p < .10$.

* $p < .05$.

** $p < .01$.

Table 3.

Fixed effects estimates from three-level growth models of diurnal cortisol

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	Est.	SE	Est.	SE	Est.	SE
Intercept (waking cortisol level), γ_{000}	1.80**	0.06	1.80**	0.06	1.80**	0.06
Night-before sleep duration, γ_{010}	0.06*	0.03	0.07*	0.03	0.07*	0.03
Day-before family assistance, γ_{020}					-0.15*	0.06
Summer data collection, γ_{001}	-0.10	0.10	-0.09	0.10	-0.08	0.10
Male, γ_{002}	0.04	0.09	0.04	0.10	0.04	0.10
General corticosteroid use, γ_{003}	-0.07	0.16	-0.07	0.16	-0.06	0.16
Parent education level, γ_{004}	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Immigrant generation, γ_{005}	-0.06*	0.03	-0.05 [†]	0.03	-0.06*	0.03
Familism values, γ_{006}			-0.01	0.08	0.001	0.08
Perceived parental support, γ_{007}			-0.01	0.05	-0.003	0.04
Average family assistance, γ_{008}					-0.18	0.11
Cortisol awakening response (1 = second sample), γ_{100}	0.79**	0.05	0.79**	0.05	0.79**	0.05
Night-before sleep duration, γ_{110}	-0.02	0.03	-0.02	0.03	-0.02	0.03
Day-before family assistance, γ_{120}					0.08	0.09
Summer data collection, γ_{101}	-0.04	0.07	-0.04	0.07	-0.05	0.07
Male, γ_{102}	-0.08	0.09	-0.11	0.09	-0.10	0.09
Oral contraceptive use, γ_{103}	-0.19 [†]	0.11	-0.20*	0.11	-0.19 [†]	0.10
General corticosteroid use, γ_{104}	-0.18	0.12	-0.16	0.12	-0.17	0.12
Parent education level, γ_{105}	-0.01	0.02	-0.01	0.02	-0.01	0.02
Immigrant generation, γ_{106}	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01
Familism values, γ_{107}			-0.03	0.05	-0.04	0.05
Perceived parental support, γ_{108}			0.08*	0.04	0.08*	0.04
Average family assistance, γ_{109}					0.11	0.11
Diurnal cortisol slope (time since waking), γ_{200}	-0.09**	0.01	-0.09**	0.01	-0.09**	0.01
Night-before sleep duration, γ_{210}	-0.03**	0.03	-0.03**	0.01	-0.03**	0.01

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3	
	Est.	SE	Est.	SE	Est.	SE
Day-before family assistance, γ_{220}					0.04 *	0.02
Summer data collection, γ_{201}	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02
Male, γ_{202}	0.04 *	0.02	0.04 *	0.02	0.04 *	0.02
General corticosteroid use, γ_{203}	0.003	0.03	-0.001	0.03	-0.003	0.03
Parent education level, γ_{204}	-0.002	0.01	-0.001	0.01	-0.001	0.01
Immigrant generation, γ_{205}	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Familism values, γ_{206}			-0.01	0.02	-0.02	0.02
Perceived parental support, γ_{207}			-0.01	0.01	-0.01	0.01
Average family assistance, γ_{208}					0.02	0.03
Quadratic function (time since waking2), γ_{300}	-0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.001
Night-before sleep duration, γ_{310}	0.13 **		0.13 **	0.03	0.13 **	0.03
Day-before family assistance, γ_{320}					-0.19 †	0.10
Summer data collection, γ_{301}	-0.13	0.11	-0.13	0.11	-0.12	0.11
Male, γ_{302}	-0.28 **	0.10	-0.28 **	0.10	-0.28 **	0.11
General corticosteroid use, γ_{303}	-0.04	0.15	-0.03	0.15	-0.02	0.15
Parent education level, γ_{304}	-0.003	0.03	0.001	0.03	-0.001	0.03
Immigrant generation, γ_{305}	-0.03	0.02	-0.03	0.03	-0.03	0.03
Familism values, γ_{306}			0.07	0.08	0.07	0.08
Perceived parental support, γ_{307}			0.001	0.05	0.003	0.05
Average family assistance, γ_{308}					-0.06	0.15
Caffeine in last hour, γ_{400}	0.13 **	0.05	0.12 **	0.05	0.12 **	0.05
Exercise in last hour, γ_{500}	0.20 **	0.06	0.20 **	0.06	0.20 **	0.06
Perceived stress level in last hour, γ_{600}	-0.01 *	0.01	-0.01 *	0.01	-0.01 *	0.01

Note: $N = 2,992$ samples nested within 206 individuals. Cortisol values (nmol/L) transformed using the natural log function. Besides growth parameters, continuous Level 1 and Level 2 predictors centered within person; continuous Level 3 predictors grand-mean centered.

† $p < .10$.

* $p < .05$.

** $p < .01$.