Involving Youth With a Chronic Illness in Decision-making: Highlighting the Role of Providers

Victoria A. Miller, PhD

abstract Decision-making is important in the context of pediatric chronic illness because children and families need to make decisions about burdensome and complex treatments on a regular basis, and children must eventually learn how to make such decisions independently. Research related to children's decision-making in medical settings has been focused primarily on cognitive aspects of decision-making, such as understanding and capacity. The concept of decision-making involvement (DMI) recognizes that children can be involved in decision-making in multiple ways, regardless of capacity, and that parents and health care providers play a critical role in supporting children as they learn to make decisions on their own. Providers can facilitate DMI during medical encounters by asking for the children's opinions and concerns, encouraging turn-taking, soliciting questions, asking for information directly from the children, and checking that the children understand what has been said. Efforts to involve children send the message that the youth perspective is important and set the expectation for increased participation over time. Providers can also support parent-child decision-making about illness management at home by guiding parents as to how best to involve children in decisions about illness management, identifying areas in which more or less parental guidance and support are needed, and assisting youth in planning ahead for decision-making about illness management in high-risk situations. Additional research is needed to identify why children's DMI in medical settings remains low, develop and evaluate strategies to enhance DMI, and test the effects of DMI on health-related behaviors and outcomes over time.

Division of Adolescent Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia and Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Dr Miller conceptualized the manuscript, drafted the initial manuscript, and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2018-0516D>

Accepted for publication Jul 3, 2018

Address correspondence to Victoria A. Miller, PhD, Division of Adolescent Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, 3401 Civic Center Blvd, CHOP Main, Suite 11NW10, Philadelphia, PA 19104. E-mail: millerv@email.chop.edu

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2018 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

Children with a chronic illness and their families face numerous decisions related to the ongoing management of complex and burdensome treatment regimens. There are periodic, discrete decisions related to medication changes and other aspects of treatment, but there are also decisions that must be made and tasks that must be completed by families on a daily basis. These include when and how to fit in treatments amid the demands of daily life, determining treatments based on symptoms or other information (eg, whether to take a rescue inhaler for asthma and identifying and responding to low blood sugar in youth with type 1 diabetes), deciding what and how much to eat and adjusting treatment as appropriate (eg, how much insulin to bolus in the case of type 1 diabetes and how many enzymes to take in the case of cystic fibrosis), determining activities based on symptoms or potential health consequences, and whether and how to discuss the illness with others, such as friends and teachers.¹

Decision-making about chronic illness management occurs in the context of significant developmental change and individual and/or family differences. Developmental factors, which are discussed at length elsewhere,^{2,3} include aspects of cognitive development (eg, attention, memory, abstract thinking, and reasoning), psychosocial maturity (eg, future orientation and impulsivity), and decision-making and communication skills. In addition, children typically desire more decision-making and behavioral autonomy as they mature. However, the transition to greater independence can be challenging for many reasons, including parental anxiety about nonadherence and disease complications and the children's resistance to the treatment regimen. Individual differences, such as a child's previous experience

with decision-making, health-related goals, and coping style, as well as a family's cultural background, family structure, and parenting style, will also shape how medical decisions are made, including the extent to which the child is involved in the decision.^{4,5} Recommendations for involving children in decision-making are further complicated by the fact that decisions vary along several dimensions, including urgency, the risk/benefit ratio, the certainty of the outcome, the number of options available, the setting in which the decision is being made, and the extent to which the decision is preference sensitive.

Much of the previous research on children's decision-making in medical contexts has been focused on assent to research or treatment and cognitive aspects of decision-making, such as understanding and decision-making skills. This previous work has attempted to answer the question of when children become capable of making medical decisions on their own.⁶ Because of the emphasis on children's capacity, the relational aspects of decision-making have been largely ignored.^{6,7} A relational approach to children's decision-making is used to address the question of how adults can best support children's involvement in the decision-making process and facilitate independent and effective decision-making as they mature. The concept of decision-making involvement (DMI) captures both the relational and developmental aspects of decision-making and allows for various ways for children to be involved, regardless of capacity. In this article, I propose that (1) there are numerous ways to involve children and adolescents in decision-making, and involving children has potential benefits; (2) even as children and adolescents become increasingly involved in decision-making, parents continue to play an important role; and (3) the role of the

provider is critical when involving children in decision-making during medical encounters and, especially in the case of chronic illness management, providing support and guidance for parent-child decision-making at home.

THE CONCEPT OF DMI

DMI is defined as the way in which children are engaged in decisions, including both child-active participation (eg, child asks for advice and expresses opinion) and adult solicitation of the views and opinions of the child.⁸ This definition is based on a relational model of decision-making,^{5,9,10} in which the role of collaborative decision-making in normative development is recognized. It reflects that children can be meaningfully involved in decision-making in multiple ways and that parents and other adults, including health care providers, are an important source of support and advice even as children assume increasing levels of decision-making independence.^{1,11} The acknowledgment of the role of parents is critical because research has revealed that children and adolescents want parental input about health-related decisions^{5,9,12,13} and that decision-making authority, without support or guidance, can be burdensome.¹ Parents are typically in the best position to understand the broader context of a child's or adolescent's values and goals; they can provide emotional support through the process of decision-making; they can assist with the steps of decision-making, such as providing information and identifying options and pros and cons of each option; and they can facilitate the implementation of a decision.

DMI is an alternative to the construct of shared decision-making (SDM), which was developed with respect to the adult patient-provider

relationship and requires mutuality, shared information giving and decision-making, and agreement about a decision.¹⁴ These qualities do not always apply to child-parent or child-provider relationships in pediatric settings. Parents typically play a significant role in decision-making, both legally and practically, and children are in the middle of developing their decision-making and communication capabilities. As such, they are not necessarily equal partners in decision-making and may not be willing or able to express opinions, preferences, and values; share relevant information; and evaluate alternatives. These are behaviors that are necessary, according to a widely cited model of SDM.¹⁴ Unlike in SDM, in DMI, it is recognized that children need to learn about and practice decision-making in multiple situations.^{7,15} It is hypothesized that DMI is used to teach children the factors to consider when making decisions, the consequences of different options, and the communication skills needed to negotiate and influence decisions. In addition, DMI may be used to enhance self-efficacy¹⁶ and promote adherence and coping skills.^{2,17}

Much of the previous research on children's DMI has been qualitative, and researchers have assessed children's preferences for involvement and perceptions of how they were involved in treatment decisions.^{12,18–20} There have also been observational studies in which researchers assessed aspects of youth involvement in decision-making, but these studies were primarily grounded in the concept of SDM.^{21–24} In 1 line of research, researchers have developed a quantitative measure of DMI, called the DMI Scale, in youth with asthma, type 1 diabetes, or cystic fibrosis to assess parent-youth decision-making interactions about chronic illness management.⁸ In analyses of the subgroup of youth with

type 1 diabetes, the extent to which youth expressed an opinion, shared illness-related information, and engaged in joint decision-making behaviors with parents during decision-making interactions was positively associated with adherence after controlling for age.²⁵ In a longitudinal study, aspects of DMI changed with age, were associated with adherence consistently across development, and interacted with age to predict glycemic control in youth with type 1 diabetes.²⁶ Other researchers, although not assessing DMI directly, have found that more collaborative parental involvement in managing diabetes is associated with improved adherence, quality of life, and metabolic control in youth.^{27,28} Furthermore, an intervention designed to increase parent-child teamwork around diabetes tasks in youth ages 8 to 17 years prevented the worsening of metabolic control that was seen in the control group after 1 year.²⁹ Given the rates of nonadherence in pediatric chronic illness, especially during adolescence,^{30–32} enhancing children's involvement in illness management decisions may prove to be a fruitful target of intervention.

THE ROLE OF THE PROVIDER

There is a rich history of debate about the ethics of decision-making involving pediatric patients, and much of this debate has been centered around whether, when, and how to obtain children's assent (ie, affirmative agreement) to treatment.^{33–37} A focus on DMI is consistent with recommendations put forth by the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) as far back as 1995³⁴ in its policy related to informed consent, parental permission, and assent and as recently updated in a 2016 Policy Statement.³⁵ It is important to note that the AAP policies, as well as an AAP Clinical Report regarding SDM

with children with disabilities and their families,³⁸ have underscored that decision-making is an interactive process in which information and values are shared and the experiences and perspectives of children are critical. They emphasize that it is important to involve children to the extent that they are able and that involving children may have important benefits, such as fostering trust, enhancing the physician-patient relationship, and influencing health outcomes. Furthermore, in the 2016 policy, the AAP recognizes that children's and adolescents' decision-making should not be expected to be autonomous or voluntary because of the developmentally appropriate influence of parental perspectives. In the 2016 policy, the AAP also asserts that some children, especially those who are older and have more experience because of chronic illness, may have the capacity to engage in the informed consent or refusal process for proposed treatment goals. Although the AAP policies provide a useful framework, additional guidance regarding specific ways to involve children in the process of decision-making, beyond simply seeking their assent, is needed.

Involving Children During Office Visits

Patient-physician communication is 1 of the primary means by which patients receive information and make decisions about treatment options for chronic health issues. In pediatric settings, physicians also may shape expectations about who should be responsible for health-related tasks and decisions, motivate effective self-management and adherence, prepare youth for greater responsibility and the transition to adult health care,³⁹ and guide communication between parents and youth about health behaviors and treatment decisions. In studies of adolescent preferences, adolescents

with a chronic illness reported that they prefer direct communication to them versus their parents⁴⁰ and want either a shared or active role in decision-making.^{41,42} Furthermore, aspects of physician communication relevant to decision-making, such as perceived support and a patient-centered style (eg, asking, listening, and taking notice of the adolescent's opinion), have been associated with visit satisfaction,⁴³ adherence,^{44,45} and perceptions of control and competence⁴⁶ in adolescents.

Because providers typically have longstanding relationships with patients and their families, they can play a critical role in facilitating DMI during medical encounters as youth mature. Indeed, incorporating ways to involve children early is important because the experience of participation sets the stage for increased participation over time.⁴⁷ In addition, failure to involve children may contribute to later nonadherence if youth perceive that their preferences and goals regarding treatment decisions have not been taken into account.^{48,49} Specific strategies to facilitate children's involvement include turn-taking (eg, teaching and encouraging the children to take turns when speaking),⁵⁰ directly asking the children for information about symptoms or treatment routines, soliciting questions from the children about decisions to be made, asking the children for their opinions or concerns about a proposed treatment change, and checking for the children's understanding about their illness and treatment regimen. Researchers have shown that children's verbal participation in medical encounters is greater when providers engage in these sorts of communication behaviors.^{51–53}

Involving children in these ways may be challenging for multiple reasons, including time limitations,⁵⁴ a history of parents being the primary focus of communication,⁵⁵ parental

interference,^{55–58} and wanting to protect children from upsetting information.¹⁹ In addition, providers may perceive that youth are not interested in such involvement,⁵⁵ and children and adolescents may not respond to attempts to involve them in discussions or decisions about illness management (eg, the anxious 7-year-old girl who wants her parent to answer for her and the adolescent boy who is distracted by his mobile device). Youth nonresponsiveness or lack of engagement should not be taken as cues to abandon such efforts. When a provider actively attempts to facilitate a child's involvement, it sends the message that the child's voice is important, sets the expectation of participation, and may increase the child's comfort when speaking up in future visits.

Although children have reported feeling more valued when they are included in health-related discussions with providers,¹⁸ there is limited research regarding the ways in which youth-provider decision-making interactions may impact health-related outcomes and behaviors. However, in related research, researcher-child interactions about the decision to enroll in medical research studies have been examined. The findings revealed that when youth perceived that researchers engaged them more in the discussion about research participation (eg, asking for their opinions and soliciting questions), youth reported greater decision self-efficacy after controlling for age.⁵⁹ When they perceived that researchers supported their autonomy (eg, indicating that the children were central to the decisions), youth reported that the decision-making process was more fair. Research is needed to determine if these findings are replicable in a clinical care context as well as whether these proximal outcomes lead to the long-term outcome of better health.

Supporting Decision-making at Home

Office visits are a context in which providers can directly facilitate children's involvement in discrete decisions about illness management. However, they offer only a snapshot of the decisions that children and families need to make on a regular basis, and providers can play an important role in supporting parent-child decision-making about illness management at home. Parent-directed strategies may include suggesting strategies to engage youth in specific decisions, such as asking for their opinions and concerns, providing information and guidance, and soliciting questions. Providers can also guide parents to respond effectively to children's requests for help or information (eg, avoiding overreactions, anxiety, or unnecessary activity limitations in response to children's symptoms) and identify areas in which more assistance or, alternatively, more autonomy might be needed. Such guidance from providers may be critical because decision-making independence before children are ready may contribute to the declines in adherence that are typically seen during adolescence.^{30–32} Child-directed strategies include helping children differentiate between decisions and tasks they can manage on their own versus those that require support from parents or other adults and encouraging youth to disclose important symptom- or treatment-related information to parents in a timely manner. Providers can also help youth identify and plan ahead for high-risk situations (eg, situations in which peer pressure or emotional arousal may impact successful decision-making and illness management). Overall, provider facilitation of discussion about illness-related decision-making at home may facilitate treatment adherence by increasing the likelihood that parents and children

are “on the same page” with respect to illness management and that timely and effective decisions are made.^{1,48,60}

CONCLUSIONS

Involving children in decision-making about chronic illness management is an important means through which youth can observe, learn, and practice decision-making skills, and researchers suggest that DMI is associated with favorable views of the decision-making process, self-efficacy, and adherence. An overemphasis on children’s capacity (ie, seeking to answer the question of whether a child has the capacity to participate in or make decisions) may result in providers forgoing children’s involvement altogether. In other words, when we begin with the assumption that children are incapable, we may not consider how we can guide the development of decision-making skills and provide the opportunity for learning and practice.⁷ There are multiple ways in which children and adolescents can be included in decision-making,

regardless of capacity, and the onus is on both parents and providers to facilitate children’s involvement. Efforts to facilitate youth involvement send the message that a child’s voice is important and set the expectation for increased participation as the child matures. A decision-making process in which the exchange of opinions is emphasized also may be used to contribute to understanding different perspectives, identifying misconceptions regarding the decision at hand, and reaching a consensus.⁷ Providers play a critical role not only during medical encounters, when they can directly attempt to involve children in decision-making, but also by supporting the dynamics of decision-making about chronic illness management at home, which can be challenging for families.

Despite recognition by the medical profession that children’s involvement in decision-making is important, the extent to which children are involved in practice appears to be low.^{24,54} For example, observational research has revealed that asking for youth input about asthma management during primary

care visits is infrequent, occurring in only 6% of visits.²¹ Research is needed to identify reasons for this gap and examine trajectories of DMI during medical encounters over the course of a chronic illness and across development. Finally, research is needed to develop strategies to enhance provider facilitation of children’s involvement in decision-making and to evaluate the short- and long-term effects of such strategies on health-related behaviors and outcomes over time, including the transition to adult health care. Although especially relevant for enhancing chronic illness management in children and adolescents, such strategies are likely to be relevant to a broad range of pediatric medical decisions.

ABBREVIATIONS

AAP: American Academy of Pediatrics
DMI: decision-making involvement
SDM: shared decision-making

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The author has indicated she has no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: Supported in part by the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (grants 1R21HD067554-01A1, 1R01HD064638-01A1, and K23HD055304). In the funding agreements, the author’s independence in designing and conducting the study; collecting, managing, analyzing, and interpreting the data; and preparing, reviewing, and approving the article were ensured. The content is solely the responsibility of the author and does not necessarily represent the official views of the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development or the National Institutes of Health. Funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH).

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The author has indicated she has no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

REFERENCES

1. Miller VA. Parent-child collaborative decision making for the management of chronic illness: a qualitative analysis. *Fam Syst Health*. 2009;27(3):249–266
2. McCabe MA. Involving children and adolescents in medical decision making: developmental and clinical considerations. *J Pediatr Psychol*. 1996;21(4):505–516
3. Grootens-Wiegers P, Hein IM, van den Broek JM, de Vries MC. Medical decision-making in children and adolescents: developmental and neuroscientific aspects. *BMC Pediatr*. 2017;17(1):120
4. Snethen JA, Broome ME, Knaf K, Deatrick JA, Angst DB. Family patterns of decision-making in pediatric clinical trials. *Res Nurs Health*. 2006;29(3):223–232
5. Broome ME, Richards DJ. The influence of relationships on children’s and adolescents’ participation in research. *Nurs Res*. 2003;52(3):191–197
6. Miller VA, Drotar D, Kodish E. Children’s competence for assent and consent: a review of empirical findings. *Ethics Behav*. 2004;14(3):255–295
7. Ruhe KM, De Clercq E, Wangmo T, Elger BS. Relational capacity: broadening

- the notion of decision-making capacity in paediatric healthcare. *J Bioeth Inq*. 2016;13(4):515–524
8. Miller VA, Harris D. Measuring children's decision-making involvement regarding chronic illness management. *J Pediatr Psychol*. 2012;37(3):292–306
 9. Geller G, Tambor ES, Bernhardt BA, Fraser G, Wissow LS. Informed consent for enrolling minors in genetic susceptibility research: a qualitative study of at-risk children's and parents' views about children's role in decision-making. *J Adolesc Health*. 2003;32(4):260–271
 10. Miller VA, Reynolds WW, Nelson RM. Parent-child roles in decision-making about medical research. *Ethics Behav*. 2008;18(2–3):161–181
 11. Fuligni AJ, Eccles JS. Perceived parent-child relationships and early adolescents' orientation toward peers. *Dev Psychol*. 1993;29(4):622–632
 12. Lipstein EA, Muething KA, Dodds CM, Britto MT. "I'm the one taking it": adolescent participation in chronic disease treatment decisions. *J Adolesc Health*. 2013;53(2):253–259
 13. Dunsmore J, Quine S. Information, support, and decision-making needs and preferences of adolescents with cancer: implications for health professionals. *J Psychosoc Oncol*. 1995;13(4):39–56
 14. Charles C, Gafni A, Whelan T. Shared decision-making in the medical encounter: what does it mean? (or it takes at least two to tango). *Soc Sci Med*. 1997;44(5):681–692
 15. Rogoff B. *Apprenticeship in Thinking*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1990
 16. White F. Parent-adolescent communication and adolescent decision-making. *J Fam Stud*. 1996;2(1):41–56
 17. Schmidt S, Petersen C, Bullinger M. Coping with chronic disease from the perspective of children and adolescents—a conceptual framework and its implications for participation. *Child Care Health Dev*. 2003;29(1):63–75
 18. Angst DB, Deatrick JA. Involvement in health care decisions: parents and children with chronic illness. *J Fam Nurs*. 1996;2(2):174–194
 19. Wangmo T, De Clercq E, Ruhe KM, et al. Better to know than to imagine: including children in their health care. *AJOB Empir Bioeth*. 2017;8(1):11–20
 20. Coyne I, Amory A, Kiernan G, Gibson F. Children's participation in shared decision-making: children, adolescents, parents and healthcare professionals' perspectives and experiences. *Eur J Oncol Nurs*. 2014;18(3):273–280
 21. Sleath BL, Carpenter DM, Sayner R, et al. Child and caregiver involvement and shared decision-making during asthma pediatric visits. *J Asthma*. 2011;48(10):1022–1031
 22. Wiering BM, Noordman J, Tate K, et al. Sharing decisions during diagnostic consultations; an observational study in pediatric oncology. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2016;99(1):61–67
 23. Lipstein EA, Dodds CM, Britto MT. Real life clinic visits do not match the ideals of shared decision making. *J Pediatr*. 2014;165(1):178–183.e1
 24. Cox ED, Smith MA, Brown RL. Evaluating deliberation in pediatric primary care. *Pediatrics*. 2007;120(1). Available at: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/120/1/e68
 25. Miller VA, Jawad AF. Relationship of youth involvement in diabetes-related decisions to treatment adherence. *J Clin Psychol Med Settings*. 2014;21(2):183–189
 26. Miller VA, Jawad AF. Decision-making involvement and prediction of adherence in youth with type 1 diabetes: a cohort sequential study [published online ahead of print May 17, 2018]. *J Pediatr Psychol*. doi:10.1093/jpepsy/jsy032
 27. Wiebe DJ, Berg CA, Korbel C, et al. Children's appraisals of maternal involvement in coping with diabetes: enhancing our understanding of adherence, metabolic control, and quality of life across adolescence. *J Pediatr Psychol*. 2005;30(2):167–178
 28. Nansel TR, Rovner AJ, Haynie D, et al. Development and validation of the collaborative parent involvement scale for youths with type 1 diabetes. *J Pediatr Psychol*. 2009;34(1):30–40
 29. Laffel LM, Vangsness L, Connell A, Goebel-Fabbri A, Butler D, Anderson BJ. Impact of ambulatory, family-focused teamwork intervention on glycemic control in youth with type 1 diabetes. *J Pediatr*. 2003;142(4):409–416
 30. Ricker JH, Delamater AM, Hsu J. Correlates of regimen adherence in cystic fibrosis. *J Clin Psychol Med Settings*. 1998;5(2):159–172
 31. Kovacs M, Obrosky DS, Goldston D, Drash A. Major depressive disorder in youths with IDDM. A controlled prospective study of course and outcome. *Diabetes Care*. 1997;20(1):45–51
 32. Bender B, Milgrom H, Rand C, Ackerson L. Psychological factors associated with medication nonadherence in asthmatic children. *J Asthma*. 1998;35(4):347–353
 33. Bartholome WG. A new understanding of consent in pediatric practice: consent, parental permission, and child assent. *Pediatr Ann*. 1989;18(4):262–265
 34. Committee on Bioethics, American Academy of Pediatrics. Informed consent, parental permission, and assent in pediatric practice. Committee on Bioethics, American Academy of Pediatrics. *Pediatrics*. 1995;95(2):314–317
 35. Katz AL, Webb SA; Committee on Bioethics. Informed consent in decision-making in pediatric practice. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138(2):e20161485
 36. Spinetta JJ, Masera G, Jankovic M, et al; SIOP Working Committee on Psychosocial Issues in Pediatric Oncology. Valid informed consent and participative decision-making in children with cancer and their parents: a report of the SIOP Working Committee on psychosocial issues in pediatric oncology. *Med Pediatr Oncol*. 2003;40(4):244–246
 37. American Medical Association. AMA code of medical ethics. 2016. Available at: <https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/pediatric-decision-making>. Accessed April 3, 2018
 38. Adams RC, Levy SE; Council on Children With Disabilities. Shared decision-making and children with disabilities: pathways to consensus. *Pediatrics*. 2017;139(6):e20170956

39. Monaghan M, Hilliard M, Sweenie R, Riekert K. Transition readiness in adolescents and emerging adults with diabetes: the role of patient-provider communication. *Curr Diab Rep*. 2013;13(6):900–908
40. Britto MT, DeVellis RF, Hornung RW, DeFries GH, Atherton HD, Slap GB. Health care preferences and priorities of adolescents with chronic illnesses. *Pediatrics*. 2004;114(5):1272–1280
41. Knopf JM, Hornung RW, Slap GB, DeVellis RF, Britto MT. Views of treatment decision making from adolescents with chronic illnesses and their parents: a pilot study. *Health Expect*. 2008;11(4):343–354
42. Carpenter DM, Stover A, Slota C, et al. An evaluation of physicians' engagement of children with asthma in treatment-related discussions. *J Child Health Care*. 2014;18(3):261–274
43. Freed LH, Ellen JM, Irwin CE Jr, Millstein SG. Determinants of adolescents' satisfaction with health care providers and intentions to keep follow-up appointments. *J Adolesc Health*. 1998;22(6):475–479
44. Kyngäs H, Rissanen M. Support as a crucial predictor of good compliance of adolescents with a chronic disease. *J Clin Nurs*. 2001;10(6):767–774
45. Kyngäs H, Hentinen M, Barlow JH. Adolescents' perceptions of physicians, nurses, parents and friends: help or hindrance in compliance with diabetes self-care? *J Adv Nurs*. 1998;27(4):760–769
46. Croom A, Wiebe DJ, Berg CA, et al. Adolescent and parent perceptions of patient-centered communication while managing type 1 diabetes. *J Pediatr Psychol*. 2011;36(2):206–215
47. Edwards A, Elwyn G. Inside the black box of shared decision making: distinguishing between the process of involvement and who makes the decision. *Health Expect*. 2006;9(4):307–320
48. Drotar D, Crawford P, Bonner M. Collaborative decision-making and promoting treatment adherence in pediatric chronic illness. *Patient Intell*. 2010;2:1–7
49. Spinetta JJ, Masera G, Eden T, et al. Refusal, non-compliance, and abandonment of treatment in children and adolescents with cancer: a report of the SIOP Working Committee on Psychosocial Issues in Pediatric Oncology. *Med Pediatr Oncol*. 2002;38(2):114–117
50. Butz AM, Walker JM, Pulsifer M, Winkelstein M. Shared decision making in school age children with asthma. *Pediatr Nurs*. 2007;33(2):111–116
51. Wissow LS, Roter D, Bauman LJ, et al. Patient-provider communication during the emergency department care of children with asthma. The National Cooperative Inner-City Asthma Study, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, NIH, Bethesda, MD. *Med Care*. 1998;36(10):1439–1450
52. Miller VA, Werner-Lin A, Walser SA, Biswas S, Bernhardt BA. An observational study of children's involvement in informed consent for exome sequencing research. *J Empir Res Hum Res Ethics*. 2017;12(1):6–13
53. Tate K, Elbers E, Meeuwesen L, Bensing J. Doctor-parent-child relationships: a 'pas de trois'. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2002;48(1):5–14
54. Coyne I. Children's participation in consultations and decision-making at health service level: a review of the literature. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2008;45(11):1682–1689
55. van Staa A; On Your Own Feet Research Group. Unraveling triadic communication in hospital consultations with adolescents with chronic conditions: the added value of mixed methods research. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2011;82(3):455–464
56. Beresford BA, Sloper P. Chronically ill adolescents' experiences of communicating with doctors: a qualitative study. *J Adolesc Health*. 2003;33(3):172–179
57. Tate K, Meeuwesen L, Elbers E, Bensing J. I've come for his throat': roles and identities in doctor-parent-child communication. *Child Care Health Dev*. 2002;28(1):109–116
58. Savage E, Callery P. Clinic consultations with children and parents on the dietary management of cystic fibrosis. *Soc Sci Med*. 2007;64(2):363–374
59. Miller VA, Feudtner C, Jawad AF. Children's decision-making involvement about research participation: associations with perceived fairness and self-efficacy. *J Empir Res Hum Res Ethics*. 2017;12(2):87–96
60. Hafetz J, Miller VA. Child and parent perceptions of monitoring in chronic illness management: a qualitative study. *Child Care Health Dev*. 2010;36(5):655–662