Published in final edited form as:

J Wildl Dis. 2018 October ; 54(4): 814–818. doi:10.7589/2017-09-223.

Identification of White-Tailed Deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) as a Novel Reservoir Species for *Trypanosoma cruzi* in Texas

Sarah M. Gunter^{1,4}, Connor Cordray², Rodion Gorchakov¹, Ina Du², Bob Dittmar³, Eric L. Brown², Kristy O. Murray¹, and Melissa S. Nolan¹

¹Baylor College of Medicine, National School of Tropical Medicine, Section of Tropical Medicine, Houston, Texas 77030, USA

²The University of Texas Health Science Center, School of Public Health, Center for Infectious Disease, Houston, Texas 77030, USA

³Texas Parks and Wildlife, 4200 Smith School Road, Austin, Texas 78744, USA;

Abstract

Chagas disease, a vector-borne parasitic infection caused by *Trypanosoma cruzi*, represents a significant source of morbidity and mortality in the Americas. Mammalian reservoir species play a large role in propagating the sylvatic transmission cycle of this disease, and this cycle can spill over, resulting in human infections. Our understanding of the wildlife species implicated in propagating this transmission cycle is incomplete. We investigated white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) as a potential novel reservoir for this parasite. Only one of the 314 hunter-harvested deer hearts collected across Texas, was PCR-positive (0.3%) for *T. cruzi*. This finding has potential implications for deer hunters, because it indicates that there might be a risk of blood-borne transmission during the field-dressing process. Hunters should be strongly encouraged to wear gloves and other personal protective equipment when handling carcasses to prevent exposure to infected blood.

Keywords

Chagas disease; reservoir species; *Trypanosoma cruzi*; white-tailed deer; zoonosis

Chagas disease is a vector-borne parasitic infection caused by the protozoan *Trypanosoma cruzi*. Following infection, the acute stage of human Chagas disease is commonly characterized as asymptomatic (Bern et al. 2011). About 30% of infected individuals will develop progressive cardiac disease, often leading to heart failure and death, over the course of several decades. Cardiac disease is not limited to human infection, because other mammalian species have demonstrated heart failure as a result of *T. cruzi* infection (Andrade et al. 1997). However, our understanding of clinical outcomes in sylvatic reservoir species is considerably limited.

⁴Corresponding author (Sm22@bcm.edu).

Several species of Triatominae serve as the primary vector for this parasite. Transmission occurs when an infected triatomine insect takes a blood meal and subsequently defecates the parasite onto the host's skin. If the infective feces are inoculated into an abrasion or a mucus membrane, then systemic infection can occur. In addition to vector-borne transmission, other notable sources of infection have been identified, such as consumption of parasite-contaminated fruit and vegetable juices (Filigheddu et al. 2017) and consumption of infected insects (de Noya and Gonzalez 2015). The latter route might represent a major source of infection in wild and domestic animal reservoir species.

Mammalian reservoir species play a large role in propagating sylvatic transmission; over 180 mammal species have been implicated as a reservoir worldwide (World Health Organization 2002). In Texas, at least 24 species have been implicated in sylvatic transmission (Gunter et al. 2017a). These animals have the ability to transmit the parasite congenitally to their offspring, allowing for robust transmission to continue in the absence of competent vector species (Rodriguez-Morales et al. 2011). Robust sylvatic transmission cycles are of concern as spillover from the sylvatic transmission cycle has been proposed as the major source of human infection in the US (Garcia et al. 2014; Gunter et al. 2017b). Additionally, in Texas, domestic canines have been found to serve as a reservoir host for Chagas disease, and might serve to bridge the gap between domestic and sylvatic transmission (Tenney et al. 2014).

Our understanding of the sylvatic transmission cycle of this parasite among wild animals remains in its infancy. Previous research identified opossums (*Didelphis virginiana*), raccoons (*Procyon lotor*), and woodrats (*Neotoma* sp.) as the major species implicated in propagating sylvatic transmission cycles in North America. Notably lacking from the previous investigations was evaluation of white-tailed deer (WTD, *Odocoileus virginianus*) as a potential reservoir species. Furthermore, recent analysis of triatomine bugs collected in Texas identified WTD as a source of blood meals, indicating the potential for these animals to serve as a reservoir species (Gorchakov et al. 2016). With over 500,000 WTD hunted annually in Texas alone, this species is the most commonly hunted big game animal in the state (Purvis 2017).

We believe hunters to be a particularly vulnerable population due to increased contact with vectors and wildlife reservoirs, thereby increasing the risk of infection by interrupting the sylvatic transmission cycle. The presence of *T. cruzi* in this animal species could introduce the potential for blood-borne transmission during field-dressing of deer if hunters do not wear proper protective equipment. To determine the risk of exposure to hunters, we investigated WTD as a novel reservoir for the parasite *T. cruzi*.

Through a citizen-science campaign, in collaboration with private hunting organizations and Texas Parks and Wildlife (TPWD), we collected hunter-harvested deer hearts from 14 Wildlife Management Areas and private hunting lands in seven of the nine ecological regions of Texas (Fig. 1). Hunters were given a collection kit including a specimen bag, gloves, and intake form prior to the start of the hunt. They were asked to collect the entire heart and fill out basic information on the animal, including location, gender, estimated age (juvenile or adult), date and time of collection, and the time it was put into preservative. Specimens were then taken to either TPWD employees or to a checkpoint run by Baylor

College of Medicine staff where the tissue was preserved by either submerging it in 10% neutral buffered formalin or freezing it at -80°C .

Once in the laboratory, cardiac samples were bisected on the lateral plane to reveal all four chambers of the heart. An equal-sized sample of muscle tissue was taken from the apex, left ventricle outer wall, and interventricular septum from each heart. Tissue from the three anatomical locations manually homogenized together into a 25 mg sample. In the event samples could not be taken from all three anatomical locations, an apex sample of 25 mg was used. Formalin-fixed tissue samples were then washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and frozen at -80°C for at least 24 h prior to DNA extraction.

We extracted DNA using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, California, USA). Briefly, specimens were placed in lysis buffer and incubated at 56°C in a rotisserie-style incubator for either 24 h or 96 h for frozen and fixed tissue, respectively. Formalin-fixed tissue samples were then incubated for 1 h at 90°C to reverse formalin cross-linking. The remainder of the extraction was performed per kit protocol. Specimens were stored at -80°C until DNA amplification by PCR could be performed.

Purified DNA specimens were tested for the presence of *T. cruzi* DNA by real-time PCR methods using a ViiA 7 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA). We amplified *T. cruzi* DNA by targeting repetitive satellite DNA sequence as previously described (Schijman et al. 2011). TaqMan Fast Advanced Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) was used according to manufacturer's protocol. Each sample was tested for amplification of actin, a housekeeping gene, as an internal control to ensure adequate integrity of the DNA (Piorkowski et al. 2014; Table 1). Positive and negative controls were included for both *T. cruzi* and actin with every reaction to ensure that amplification was occurring as expected and that contamination was not occurring.

During the 2015 Texas WTD hunting season, a mixed cohort of 314 hearts from WTD (97.7%) and mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*; 2.3%) were collected. This cohort was comprised of 44% male deer, and 81% of the samples were collected from adult animals. Tissue samples were either preserved by fixation in 10% neutral buffered formalin (57%), frozen at -80°C (30%), or both (13%). The average time between harvesting of tissue and preservation by either freezing or formalin fixation was 3 h and 15 min (range: 0.5 h to 14.5 h). Our investigation revealed one out of the 314 (0.03%; 95% CI=0.0006–0.2) samples had PCR evidence of infection with *T. cruzi* parasites. This positive heart was harvested from an adult female WTD in Moravia, Texas and was preserved by freezing.

For confirmation, endpoint PCR was run using TCZ primers for a repeat region of satellite *T. cruzi* DNA (Moser et al. 1989). Amplification was conducted in T100 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol for Phusion Hot Start II (Thermo Fisher Scientific Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) with positive and negative controls included. We extracted DNA from the 188 base pairs gel band using Zymoclean Gel DNA Recovery kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, California, USA), and sequenced using the amplification primers. There was a 100% match to *T. cruzi* (GenBank

accession no. MF926630) shown by BLAST analysis (National Center for Biotechnology Information 2017).

This study represents the first time WTD have been identified as a potential reservoir species for *T. cruzi*. The WTD population is estimated to be around four million in Texas (Hall 2005). With such a large population of animals in the state, even a low prevalence could indicate a large number of animals are serving as reservoirs for this parasite. Our finding has important implications to the hunting community because there is a risk of transmission during the field dressing process. Garcia et al. (2015) reported that 93% (175/189) of Texas hunters field-dress carcasses directly after death, and 68% (94/139) reported they never wear gloves when field-dressing. If an animal is parasitemic, a hunter could be at risk of blood-borne infection if any abrasions or breaks in skin come in contact with infected blood.

Research is needed to further characterize the true prevalence of infection and burden of cardiac disease within sylvatic reservoir populations. Additionally, we need to better understand the risk this disease burden poses to populations with frequent wildlife contact. Without the necessary research to fully elucidate which wildlife is involved in propagating transmission of Chagas disease, we cannot adequately protect animal and human health. Hunters and other high-risk groups should be encouraged to use personal protective equipment when there is the potential for direct contact with blood.

We thank the staff of Wildlife Management Areas and Associations and hunters across the state who helped with specimen collections. We thank Micaela Sandoval for assisting with processing of specimens. This study was funded in part by an anonymous donor and by National Institutes of Health R21AI112647–01A1.

LITERATURE CITED

- Andrade ZA, Andrade SG, Sadigursky M, Wenthold RJ, Jr, Hilbert SL, Ferrans AJ. 1997 The indeterminate phase of Chagas' disease: Ultrastructural characterization of cardiac changes in the canine model. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 57:328–336. [PubMed: 9311645]
- Bern C, Kjos S, Yabsley MJ, Montgomery SP. 2011 *Trypanosoma cruzi* and Chagas' disease in the United States. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 24:655–681. [PubMed: 21976603]
- De Noya BA, Gonzalez ON. 2015 An ecological overview on the factors that drives to *Trypanosoma cruzi* oral transmission. *Acta Trop* 151:94–102. [PubMed: 26066984]
- Filigheddu MT, Go'rgolas M, Ramos JM. 2017 Orally-transmitted Chagas disease. *Med Clin Barcelona* 148:125–131.
- Garcia MN, Hotez PJ, Murray KO. 2014 Potential novel risk factors for autochthonous and sylvatic transmission of human Chagas disease in the United States. *Parasite Vectors* 7:311.
- Garcia MN, Murphy SK, Gross A, Wagner J, Murray KO. 2015 Knowledge, attitudes, and practices of Texas hunters: A potentially high-risk population for exposure to the parasite that causes Chagas disease. *Parasites Vectors* 8:197. [PubMed: 25886035]
- Gorchakov R, Trosclair LP, Wozniak EJ, Fera PT, Garcia MN, Gunter SM, Murray KO. 2016 *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection prevalence and bloodmeal analysis in triatomine vectors of Chagas disease from rural peridomestic locations in Texas, 2013–2014. *J Med Entomol* 53:911–918. [PubMed: 27106934]
- Gunter SM, Brown EL, Gorchakov R, Murray KO, Garcia MN. 2017a Sylvatic transmission of *Trypanosoma cruzi* among domestic and wildlife reservoirs in Texas, USA: A review of the historical literature. *Zoonoses Public Health* 64:313–327. [PubMed: 27911051]

- Gunter SM, Murray KO, Gorchakov R, Beddard R, Rossmann SN, Montgomery SP, Rivera H, Brown EL, Aguilar D, Widman LE, et al. 2017b Likely autochthonous transmission of *Trypanosoma cruzi* to humans, South Central Texas, USA. *Emerg Infect Dis* 23:500–503. [PubMed: 28221110]
- Hall S 2005 *Texas Wildlife Identification Guide*. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department. http://www.tpwd.state.tx.us/publications/pwdpubs/media/pwd_bk_k0700_0517.pdf pp. 1–7. Accessed September 2017.
- Moser DR, Kirchhoff LV, Donelson JE. 1989 Detection of *Trypanosoma cruzi* by DNA amplification using the polymerase chain reaction. *J Clin Microbiol* 27: 1477–1482. [PubMed: 2504769]
- National Center for Biotechnology Information. 2017 *Basic Local Alignment Search Tool*. <http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>. Accessed September 2017.
- Piorkowski G, Baronti C, De Lamballerie X, De Fabritus L, Bichaud L, Pasorino BA, Bessaud M. 2014 Development of generic Taqman PCR and RT-PCR assays for the detection of DNA and mRNA of beta-actin-encoding sequences in a wide range of animal species. *J Virol Methods* 202: 101–105. [PubMed: 24642236]
- Purvis J 2017 *Big Game Harvest Survey Results 2000–01 thru 2016–17*. Texas Parks and Wildlife. https://tpwd.texas.gov/publications/pwdpubs/media/pwd_rp_w7000_0718b.pdf. Accessed May 2018.
- Rodriguez-Morales O, Ballinas-Verdugo MA, Alejandre-Aguilar R, Reyes PA, Arce-Fonseca M. 2011 *Trypanosoma cruzi* congenital transmission in dogs with Chagas disease: Experimental case report. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 11:1365–1370. [PubMed: 21973028]
- Schijman AG, Bisio M, Orellana L, Sued M, Duffy T, Jaramillo AM, Cura C, Auter F, Veron V, Qvarnstrom Y, et al. 2011 International study to evaluate PCR methods for detection of *Trypanosoma cruzi* DNA in blood samples from Chagas disease patients. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 5:e931. [PubMed: 21264349]
- Tenney TD, Curtis-Robles R, Snowden KF, Hamer SA. 2014 Shelter dogs as sentinels for *Trypanosoma cruzi* transmission across Texas. *Emerg Infect Dis* 20:1323–1326. [PubMed: 25062281]
- World Health Organization. 2002 *Control of Chagas Disease: Second Report of the WHO Expert Committee*. <http://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/42443>. Accessed September 2017.

FIGURE 1.

Locations from which hunter-harvested white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) and mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*) hearts were collected for molecular detection of *Trypanosoma cruzi*. Samples were collected from six of the nine ecologic regions in Texas with the following percent of the 314 samples collected from each region: 1, 44%; 2, 5%; 3, 3%; 5, 18%; 7, 28%; 8, 1%. The location of the Chagas-positive white-tailed deer collected in Moravia, Texas is indicated by an arrow.

TABLE 1.

The PCR amplification methods employed for the detection of *Trypanosoma cruzi* DNA in cardiac tissue from hunter-harvested white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) and controls, including targeted genome regions, the primer and probe sequences, the PCR set-up and number of cycles, and references.

Target	PCR	Target	Primer	Cycles	Reference
<i>T. cruzi</i>	Real-time	Satellite-DNA	Cruzi 1: 5' ASTCGGCTGATCGTTTTCGA 3'	45	Schijman et al. 2011
Actin	Real-time	Exon V of <i>ACTB</i> Gene	Cruzi 2: 5' AATTCCTCCAAGCAGCGGATA 3' TaqMan probe Cruzei 3: 5' CACACACTGGACACCAA 3' Act F: 5' GTSTGGATYGGHGGHTCBATC 3'	45	Piorkowski et al. 2014
<i>T. cruzi</i>	Conventional	Satellite-DNA	Act R: 5' GAYTCRTRCTAYTCCTSCCTTG 3' Act P: 5' ACCTTCCAGCAGATGTGGATC 3' TCZ1: 5' CGAGCTCTTGCCACACGGGTGCT 3' TCZ2: 5' CCTCCAAGCAGCGGATAGTTCAGG 3'	40	Moser et al. 1989