Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Behav Sci. 2018 August ; 22: 106–113. doi:10.1016/j.cobeha.2018.01.016.

Brain mechanisms mediating effects of stress on reward sensitivity

Maria Ironside^{1,2}, Poornima Kumar^{1,2}, Min-Su Kang¹, and Diego A. Pizzagalli^{1,2}

¹McLean Hospital, 115 Mill St, Belmont, MA 02476, USA Telephone: +1 800-333-0338; Fax: +1 617-855-4231

²Harvard Medical School, 25 Shattuck St, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Abstract

Acute and chronic stress have dissociable effects on reward sensitivity, and a better understanding of these effects promises to elucidate the pathophysiology of stress-related disorders, particularly depression. Recent preclinical and human findings suggest that stress particularly affects reward anticipation; chronic stress perturbs dopamine signaling in the medial prefrontal cortex and ventral striatum; and such effects are further moderated by early adversities. Additionally, a systems-level approach is uncovering the interplay among striatal, limbic and control networks giving rise to stress-related, blunted reward sensitivity. Together, this cross-species confluence has not only enriched our understanding of stress-reward links but also highlighted the role of neuropeptides and opioid receptors in such effects, and thereby identified novel targets for stress-related neuropsychiatric disorders.

Keywords

Reward; Motivation; Corticostriatal Pathways; Dopamine; Anhedonia; Depression; Early Life Stress; Sensitization

Introduction

Stress exerts powerful effects on a myriad of cognitive (e.g., learning), affective (e.g., emotional responding), and motivational (e.g., willingness to pursue rewards) processes [1]. In the current review, we provide an update on the effects of stress on reward sensitivity, which has important implications for stress-related disorders, particularly major depressive disorder (MDD). The role of stress in the onset and maintenance of MDD is well

Corresponding author: Maria Ironside, maironside@mclean.harvard.edu or Diego A. Pizzagalli, dap@mclean.harvard.edu, Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, and Center for Depression, Anxiety and Stress Research, McLean Hospital, Belmont, MA 02478, USA.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Declarations of interest:

Over the past 3 years, Dr. Pizzagalli has received consulting fees from Akili Interactive Labs, BlackThorn Therapeutics, Boehringer Ingelheim, Pfizer and Posit Science, for activities unrelated to the current research. MI, MSK and PK have no conflicts of interest.

established, with estimates suggesting that up to 80% of first Major Depressive Episodes (MDEs) are preceded by major life events [2]. In addition, chronic stressors are linked to more frequent relapse, treatment resistance, and higher depressive symptoms [3]. Critically, repeated uncontrollable stress has been linked to sensitization processes [3,4], whereby minor stressors are increasingly likely to trigger another MDE, particularly in individuals reporting early life stress (ELS) [5].

In parallel, preclinical models have shown that chronic stress induces anhedonic behaviors [6,7], highlighting anhedonia as a core feature of stress-induced MDD [8] – a hypothesis increasingly evaluated in humans [3]. However, the effects of acute and chronic stress are markedly different. Acutely, stress appears to increase reward sensitivity across species, with successful coping associated with recruitment of reward-related neural resources. When stressors become chronic and uncontrollable, downregulation in dopaminergic mesolimbic pathways and anhedonic behaviors emerge – changes that might contribute to stress sensitization. The overarching goal of this review is to synthesize recent literature on brain mechanisms mediating the effects of stress on reward sensitivity (Fig. 1). Towards this end, we first examine the effects of both acute and chronic stress across species, and consider how ELS further shapes these effects. Next, we emphasize how a systems-level approach – extending inquiry from individual brain regions to neural networks – promises to better elucidate substrates implicated in stress-related effects on reward sensitivity and increase cross-species integration. We end by highlighting molecular targets that could be used to counteract the effects of stress on reward sensitivity and might represent promising treatment targets for stress-related neuropsychiatric disorders.

Neurobiological Effects of Stress on Reward Sensitivity

Preclinical and human studies have probed the effects of acute and chronic stressors on appetitive motivation. Behaviorally, acute stress is linked to an increase in incentive motivation and active coping, but severe, chronic stress abolishes these behaviors and leads to helplessness and anhedonic behaviors. These behavioral changes occur via dopaminergic modulation within mesocorticolimbic pathways. Specifically, acute stressors increase dopamine (DA) levels in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) and ventral striatum (VS), particularly the nucleus accumbens (NAc), with mPFC effects observed earlier than the NAc [3]. However, uncontrollable chronic stressors have differential effects on mesolimbic vs. mesocortical DA systems. Thus, increased DA transmission in the NAc from an acute stressor becomes blunted with repeated stressors [9], reflected in a change in behavior from active coping to learned helplessness and reduced reward sensitivity. In contrast, mPFC DA signaling increases further and exposure to chronic stress amplifies responses of mesocortical DA neurons to a subsequent acute stressor [10]. Consistent with preclinical evidence, we recently showed that acute stress increased neural activation in the striatum (NAc, caudate) during reward anticipation in healthy controls [11]. Conversely, depressed individuals experiencing greater recent life stress recruited the mPFC more under stress when processing rewards [12]. Because mPFC DA inhibits NAc DA, stress-induced mPFC responses and associated blunting of NAc DA might reflect increased vulnerability to MDD with chronic stress.

Relations between mPFC and NAc DA have been further explored using chronic mild stress (CMS) models, showing CMS-induced reductions in NAc DA [13] and reward motivation but increased DA receptor expression [14] in the mPFC. In addition, the effects of CMS on NAc DA activity was selectively augmented/blocked by mPFC activation/inactivation [15]. Stress-induced effects on NAc DA were reversed by the administration of the antidepressant escitalopram, but not in rats previously exposed to maternal separation [13]. Anhedonic-like behavior caused by CMS was also reversed by medial frontal bundle deep brain stimulation (DBS) [16]. However, unilateral DA depletion did not preclude DBS effects, suggesting that they were not dependent on DA. This indicates that, in addition to DA, other molecular mechanisms are implicated in stress-induced reward dysfunction (see section *Novel Molecular Targets*).

The Role of Early Life Stress

Several preclinical models (e.g., postnatal deprivation, maternal separation) have shown that exposure to early adversity leads to reduced motivation to pursue rewards in adult rats [3]. Similarly, maternal separation in marmoset monkeys elicited a reduction in reward motivation (but not consumption) [17]. These behavioral findings are complemented by reports that early adverse events have long-lasting effects on mesolimbic DA pathways [3]. Collectively, these preclinical data raise the possibility that humans exposed to ELS might be characterized by anhedonic phenotypes and abnormal reward-related mesocorticolimbic activations. Early [3] and more recent findings are consistent with this assumption. In a study of adolescent girls using a card number guessing task [18], number of years of household public assistance was positively associated with heightened response in the mPFC during reward anticipation. Another study incorporating this task [19] found that greater cumulative ELS predicted lower reward-related VS activity in adulthood. Furthermore, findings from 820 young adults [20] showed that those with relatively blunted reward-related VS reactivity and elevated ELS reported greater anhedonic symptoms. Similarly, lower striatal (pallidum) activation during reward anticipation was associated with greater depression symptoms in maltreated youth [21]. Of note, among this abused sample, higher reward-related striatal activation predicted lower increases in depression over time. Together with early reports that ELS was associated with reduced striatal activation during anticipation (but not consumption) of rewards [22,23], data across species converge in suggesting that reduced motivation and striatal activation to reward-predicting cues but potentiated mPFC activation are important sequelae of ELS. Conversely, preserved reward-related striatal activation might confer resilience against stress-induced disorders.

From Regions to Networks

Functional connectivity (FC) analyses of neuroimaging data can elucidate interactions between the mPFC and striatum in response to stress, and potential moderations from other networks [24]. In a human study employing a socially evaluated cold press stressor and self-control decision paradigm [25], stress increased the influence of rewarding taste attributes on choice and reduced self-control. Critically, stress increased task-dependent connectivity between mPFC, amygdala and VS, which correlated with cortisol levels. Moreover, increased stress levels were associated with decreased connectivity between mPFC and

dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC), regions activated when engaging self-control. These patterns suggest a role for decreased top-down control under stress resulting in an exaggerated (likely DA-mediated) mPFC response. Higher levels of anhedonia were associated with increased FC between NAc and mPFC in trauma-exposed individuals [26], highlighting possible downregulation of NAc by mPFC. Among 921 young adults (from the same sample as [20]), increased FC between the left VS and mPFC emerged in individuals with greater levels of ELS who also experienced greater levels of recent life stress, a pattern that was associated with depressive symptoms [27]. This offers a potential network explanation for the previous findings of blunted VS reward reactivity in the same group, again, aligning with preclinical work. Trauma-exposed youth also exhibited [28] altered connectivity in the salience network (SN) and the associations between trauma and a self-report measure of reward sensitivity was mediated by SN-insula connectivity.

Of note, similar findings have emerged in preclinical resting state fMRI. A recent study in rats revealed that ELS increased connectivity in the salience network and a number of pairwise interactions between the hippocampus and prelimbic, infralimbic cortex and NAc [29]. Similarly, mice exhibited robust increases in FC after chronic stress within prefrontal, cingulate and striatal networks [30], with additional increases in between-network FC, including amygdala/prefrontal cortex and amygdala/cingulate cortex. Collectively, findings across species (Fig. 2) highlight stress-induced increases in striatal connectivity with limbic regions and, conversely, decreases in striatal connectivity with regions associated with executive function. This suggests that bottom-up limbic responses to acute stress may, via striatal connections, override top-down cognitive processes resulting in preferential formation of habitual response systems (driven by limbic and reward regions), rather than those processes requiring cognitive control (driven by prefrontal regions) [31].

Novel Molecular Targets

Although corticotropin release factor (CRF) has been traditionally associated with behavioral and physiological responses to stress, recent data indicate that CRF release in the NAc plays a key role in potentiating motivation for cue rewards [32]. In line with this, a CRF1 antagonist blocked the enhancing effect of acute stress on reward motivation [33]. Moreover, chronic stress abolished CRF's ability to increase DA in the NAc up to 90 days after the stressor ended [34], and this effect was associated with a switch from appetitive to aversive motivation [34], which mirrors behaviors observed in MDD.

Due to their pivotal role in regulating stress and reward processing, opioid receptors (particularly, kappa opioid receptors (KOR) and mu opioid receptors (MOR)) as well as the Nociceptin/Orphanin FQ (N/OFQ) receptors, have been strongly implicated in the emergence of stress-induced anhedonic behaviors.

With respect to KOR (Fig. 3), studies have shown that acute stress elevates dynorphin in ventral tegmental area (VTA) DA neurons, resulting in prolonged activation of KORs [35], which dampens DA function in the NAc and led to anhedonic phenotypes [36]. Interestingly, social isolation early in life has been shown to increase this KOR downregulation of NAc DA [37], indicating that ELS further potentiated these effects. In humans, a recent Positron

Emission Tomography (PET) study indicated that KOR availability in an amygdala-anterior cingulate cortex-VS neural circuit was related to dysphoric (including anhedonic) symptoms following trauma [38], suggesting a KOR-based mechanism implicated in the effects of chronic stress on depressive symptoms.

Recent data highlight the role of MOR in modulating mesolimbic DA pathways as well as their stress-buffering effects. Specifically, mu-opioid-acting compounds have been found to activate mesolimbic DA pathways through the removal of inhibition from GABA interneurons, and these effects are dependent on MOR [39]. Following chronic corticosterone exposure (a manipulation that induces depressive behavior), administration of Tianeptine (a full MOR agonist [40]) reduced anhedonic behavior [41] but not in MOR-deficient mice. In a human study employing the Trier Social Stress Test, acute administration of the mu-opioid partial agonist buprenorphine reduced cortisol response and threat perception [42]. The role of mu-opioids in modulating stress responses was further examined in a recent PET study using a psychosocial stressor [43]. During social rejection, controls had increased activation in the NAc, bilateral amygdala, thalamus and periaqueductal gray area, while MDD individuals only had deactivation in the bilateral amygdala. This suggests that opioid response to acute stress in reward-related regions may be key to enabling the ‘active coping’ mechanism, which is absent in chronic stress and MDD.

More recently, the Nociceptin/Orphanin FQ (N/OFQ) Receptor system has emerged as a promising mechanism underlying the emergence of stress-induced anhedonic behaviors. Several lines of evidence are consistent with this assumption [44,45]. First, stress and manipulations inducing depression-like behaviors have been found to upregulate N/OFQ Receptor (NOP). Second, NOP receptors are localized on dopaminergic nuclei (including the VTA) and NOP agonism inhibits DA neurotransmission in the VTA and NAc. Third, NOP receptor antagonists have antidepressant-like effects in rodents and reverse stress-induced anhedonic behavior [46]. Fitting with this evidence, in a recent rat study, we found that a chronic stressor (social defeat) induced an anhedonic phenotype and increased N/OFQ peptide mRNA levels in the striatum [47]. Additionally, NOP and nociceptin receptor mRNA levels in key regions implicated in reward processing and stress regulation (VTA, striatum, cingulate) correlated with anhedonic deficits. These findings suggest that NOP upregulation plays a critical role in the emergence of stress-related anhedonic phenotypes. Together, these data indicate that opioid neuropeptidergic systems may be promising targets in the development of new antidepressant and anxiolytic drugs, which is reflected in the number of current clinical trials in this area [36].

Conclusions

Insights from preclinical work on DA function in response to both acute and chronic stress needs closer integration with human work. The increased availability of neuroimaging data from ELS groups allows further elucidation of the effects of chronic stress on reward sensitivity, aligning with preclinical findings. In parallel, increased use of a systems-level approach relying on functional connectivity analyses across species has uncovered stress-induced hyperconnectivity between striatal and limbic networks but hypoconnectivity

between striatal and control (prefrontal) networks, which might give rise to maladaptive (habitual) responses and poor stress regulation, culminating in the emergence of blunted reward sensitivity and stress sensitization. Of particular relevance, opioid neuropeptidergic markers have emerged as key mediators of stress-induced changes in hedonic behaviors and this research promises to open avenues for much-needed novel treatment targets for stress-related neuropsychiatric disorders.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by R01MH101521, R37MH068376 and UH2MH109334 grants from the National Institutes of Health awarded to DAP. MI was partially supported by The John and Charlene Madison Cassidy Fellowship in Translational Neuroscience. PK was partially supported by R21MH105775 from NIMH. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. Funding sources had no involvement in the preparation or decision to submit the article for publication.

References

- Hollon NG, Burgeno LM, Phillips PEM. Stress effects on the neural substrates of motivated behavior. *Nat Neurosci.* 2015; 18:1405–1412. [PubMed: 26404715]
- Monroe SM, Slavich GM, Georgiades K. The social environment and depression: The importance of life stress. In: Hammen C, Gotlib I, editors *Handbook of depression*. Guilford Press; 2014. 340–360.
- Pizzagalli DA. Depression, stress, and anhedonia: toward a synthesis and integrated model. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol.* 2014; 10:393–423. [PubMed: 24471371]
- Post RM. Transduction of psychosocial stress into the neurobiology of recurrent affective disorder. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1992; 149:999–1010. [PubMed: 1353322]
- McLaughlin KA, Koenen KC, Bromet EJ, Karam EG, Liu H, Petukhova M, Ruscio AM, Sampson NA, Stein DJ, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, et al. Childhood adversities and post-traumatic stress disorder: evidence for stress sensitisation in the World Mental Health Surveys. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2017; 211:280–288. [PubMed: 28935660]
- Riga D, Theijs JT, De Vries TJ, Smit AB, Spijker S. Social defeat-induced anhedonia: effects on operant sucrose-seeking behavior. *Front Behav Neurosci.* 2015; 9:195.doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2015.00195 [PubMed: 26300748]
- Enman NM, Arthur K, Ward SJ, Perrine SA, Unterwald EM. Anhedonia, reduced cocaine reward, and dopamine dysfunction in a rat model of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2015; 78:871–879. [PubMed: 26115790]
- Slaterry DA, Cryan JF. Modelling depression in animals: at the interface of reward and stress pathways. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 2017; 234:1451–1465. [PubMed: 28224183]
- Valenti O, Gill KM, Grace AA. Different stressors produce excitation or inhibition of mesolimbic dopamine neuron activity: response alteration by stress pre-exposure. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2012; 35:1312–1321. [PubMed: 22512259]
- Cabib S, Puglisi-Allegra S. The mesoaccumbens dopamine in coping with stress. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2012; 36:79–89. [PubMed: 21565217]
- Kumar P, Berghorst LH, Nickerson LD, Dutra SJ, Goer FK, Greve DN, Pizzagalli DA. Differential effects of acute stress on anticipatory and consummatory phases of reward processing. *Neuroscience.* 2014; 266:1–12. [PubMed: 24508744]
- 12*. Kumar P, Slavich GM, Berghorst LH, Treadway MT, Brooks NH, Dutra SJ, Greve DN, O'Donovan A, Bleil ME, Maninger N, et al. Perceived life stress exposure modulates reward-related medial prefrontal cortex responses to acute stress in depression. *J Affect Disord.* 2015; 180:104–111. An fMRI investigation probing the effects of recent life stress on reward anticipation in individuals with major depressive disorder (MDD) and healthy controls. Medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) activation to reward feedback was greater during acute stress in individuals with greater perceived stress, an effect that was greater in the MDD group compared to HCs. [PubMed: 25898329]

13. Minami S, Satoyoshi H, Ide S, Inoue T, Yoshioka M, Minami M. Suppression of reward-induced dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens in animal models of depression: Differential responses to drug treatment. *Neurosci Lett*. 2017; 650:72–76. [PubMed: 28432029]
14. Bagalkot TR, Jin H-M, Prabhu VV, Muna SS, Cui Y, Yadav BK, Chae H-J, Chung Y-C. Chronic social defeat stress increases dopamine D2 receptor dimerization in the prefrontal cortex of adult mice. *Neuroscience*. 2015; 311:444–452. [PubMed: 26484605]
15. Moreines JL, Owrutsky ZL, Grace AA. Involvement of infralimbic prefrontal cortex but not lateral habenula in dopamine attenuation after chronic mild stress. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2017; 42:904–913. [PubMed: 27813530]
16. Furlanetti LL, Coenen VA, Aranda IA, Doebroessy MD. Chronic deep brain stimulation of the medial forebrain bundle reverses depressive-like behavior in a hemiparkinsonian rodent model. *Exp Brain Res*. 2015; 233:3073–3085. [PubMed: 26195164]
17. Pryce CR, Dettling AC, Spengler M, Schnell CR, Feldon J. Deprivation of parenting disrupts development of homeostatic and reward systems in marmoset monkey offspring. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2004; 56:72–79. [PubMed: 15231438]
18. Romens SE, Casement MD, McAloon R, Keenan K, Hipwell AE, Guyer AE, Forbes EE. Adolescent girls' neural response to reward mediates the relation between childhood financial disadvantage and depression. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2015; 56:1177–1184. [PubMed: 25846746]
19. Hanson JL, Albert D, Iselin A-MR, Carre JM, Dodge KA, Hariri AR. Cumulative stress in childhood is associated with blunted reward-related brain activity in adulthood. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci*. 2016; 11:405–412. [PubMed: 26443679]
20. Corral-Frias NS, Nikolova YS, Michalski LJ, Baranger DAA, Hariri AR, Bogdan R. Stress-related anhedonia is associated with ventral striatum reactivity to reward and transdiagnostic psychiatric symptomatology. *Psychol Med*. 2015; 45:2605–2617. [PubMed: 25853627]
21. Dennison MJ, Sheridan MA, Busso DS, Jenness JL, Peverill M, Rosen ML, McLaughlin KA. Neurobehavioral markers of resilience to depression amongst adolescent exposed to child abuse. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 2016; 125:1201–1212. [PubMed: 27819477]
22. Dillon DG, Holmes AJ, Birk JL, Brooks N, Lyons-Ruth K, Pizzagalli DA. Childhood adversity is associated with left basal ganglia dysfunction during reward anticipation in adulthood. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2009; 66:206–213. [PubMed: 19358974]
23. Mehta MA, Gore-Langton E, Golembo N, Colvert E, Williams SCR, Sonuga-Barke E. Hyporesponsive reward anticipation in the basal ganglia following severe institutional deprivation early in life. *J Cogn Neurosci*. 2010; 22:2316–2325. [PubMed: 19929329]
24. van Oort J, Tendolkar I, Hermans EJ, Mulders PC, Beckmann CF, Schene AH, Fernández G, van Eijndhoven PF. How the brain connects in response to acute stress: A review at the human brain systems level. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2017; 83:281–297. [PubMed: 29074385]
25. Maier SU, Makwana AB, Hare TA. Acute stress impairs self-control in goal-directed choice by altering multiple functional connections within the brain's decision circuits. *Neuron*. 2015; 87:621–631. [PubMed: 26247866]
26. Olson EA, Kaiser RH, Pizzagalli DA, Rauch SL, Rosso IM. Anhedonia in trauma-exposed individuals: functional connectivity and decision-making correlates. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging*. 2017.
- 27*. Hanson JL, Knodt AR, Brigidi BD, Hariri AR. Heightened connectivity between the ventral striatum and medial prefrontal cortex as a biomarker for stress-related psychopathology: understanding interactive effects of early and more recent stress. *Psychol Med*. 2017; A resting state functional connectivity (FC) study of early life stress (ELS) in 920 young adults. Results show increased reward-related FC between the NAc and the mPFC in individuals exposed to greater levels of ELS who also experienced greater levels of recent life stress. doi: 10.1017/S0033291717003348
28. Marusak HA, Etkin A, Thomason ME. Disrupted insula-based neural circuit organization and conflict interference in trauma-exposed youth. *Neuroimage-Clinical*. 2015; 8:516–525. [PubMed: 26199869]

- 29*. Nephew BC, Huang W, Poirier GL, Payne L, King JA. Altered neural connectivity in adult female rats exposed to early life social stress. *Behav Brain Res.* 2017; 316:225–233. A novel investigation of the effects of chronic stress on resting state functional connectivity (FC) in rats, finding changes in connectivity in limbic (e.g. amygdala) and reward (NAc) related regions. [PubMed: 27594665]
30. Grandjean J, Azzinnari D, Seuwen A, Sigrist H, Seifritz E, Pryce CR, Rudin M. Chronic psychosocial stress in mice leads to changes in brain functional connectivity and metabolite levels comparable to human depression. *Neuroimage.* 2016; 142:544–552. [PubMed: 27520750]
31. Schwabe L, Wolf OT. Stress and multiple memory systems: from “thinking” to “doing”. *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2013; 17:60–68. [PubMed: 23290054]
32. Pecina S, Schulkin J, Berridge KC. Nucleus accumbens corticotropin-releasing factor increases cue-triggered motivation for sucrose reward: paradoxical positive incentive effects in stress? *BMC Biol.* 2006; 4:8. [PubMed: 16613600]
33. Liu X. Enhanced motivation for food reward induced by stress and attenuation by corticotrophin-releasing factor receptor antagonism in rats: implications for overeating and obesity. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 2015; 232:2049–2060. [PubMed: 25510859]
34. Lemos JC, Wanat MJ, Smith JS, Reyes BAS, Hollon NG, Van Bockstaele EJ, Chavkin C, Phillips PEM. Severe stress switches CRF action in the nucleus accumbens from appetitive to aversive. *Nature.* 2012; 490:402. [PubMed: 22992525]
35. Polter AM, Barcomb K, Chen RW, Dingess PM, Graziane NM, Brown TE, Kauer JA. Constitutive activation of kappa opioid receptors at ventral tegmental area inhibitory synapses following acute stress. *Elife.* 2017; 6(pii):e23785.doi: 10.7554/eLife.23785 [PubMed: 28402252]
- 36*. Carlezon WA Jr, Krystal AD. Kappa-opioid antagonists for psychiatric disorders: From bench to clinical trials. *Depress Anxiety.* 2016; 33:895–906. A review summarizing the role of Kappa Opioid Receptors (KOR) in the emergence of depression- and anxiety-like behaviors and the promise of KOR antagonists as a novel treatment for mood and anxiety disorders. [PubMed: 27699938]
37. Karkhanis AN, Rose JH, Weiner JL, Jones SR. Early-life social isolation stress increases kappa opioid receptor responsiveness and downregulates the dopamine system. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2016; 41:2263–2274. [PubMed: 26860203]
38. Pietrzak RH, Naganawa M, Huang Y, Corsi-Travali S, Zheng M-Q, Stein MB, Henry S, Lim K, Ropchan J, Lin S, et al. Association of in vivo kappa-opioid receptor availability and the transdiagnostic dimensional expression of trauma-related psychopathology. *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2014; 71:1262–1270. [PubMed: 25229257]
39. Johnson SW, North RA. Opioids excite dopamine neurons by hyperpolarization of local interneurons. *J Neurosci.* 1992; 12:483–488. [PubMed: 1346804]
40. McEwen BS, Chattarji S, Diamond DM, Jay TM, Reagan LP, Svenningsson P, Fuchs E. The neurobiological properties of Tianeptine (Stablon): from monoamine hypothesis to glutamatergic modulation. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2010; 15:237–249. [PubMed: 19704408]
41. Samuels BA, Nautiyal KM, Kruegel AC, Levinstein MR, Magalong VM, Gassaway MM, Grinnell SG, Han J, Ansonoff MA, Pinter JE, et al. The behavioral effects of the antidepressant tianeptine require the mu-opioid receptor. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2017; 42:2052–2063. [PubMed: 28303899]
42. Bershad AK, Jaffe JH, Childs E, de Wit H. Opioid partial agonist buprenorphine dampens responses to psychosocial stress in humans. *Psychoneuroendocrinology.* 2015; 52:281–288. [PubMed: 25544740]
- 43*. Hsu DT, Sanford BJ, Meyers KK, Love TM, Hazlett KE, Walker SJ, Mickey BJ, Koeppe RA, Langenecker SA, Zubieta J-K. It still hurts: altered endogenous opioid activity in the brain during social rejection and acceptance in major depressive disorder. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2015; 20:193–200. An innovative PET study of mu-opioid receptor (MOR) availability during social stress in MDD. Results suggest abnormal MOR function in MDD may hinder emotional recovery from negative social interactions and decrease pleasure derived from positive interactions. [PubMed: 25600108]
44. Gavioli EC, Calo' G. Nociceptin/orphanin FQ receptor antagonists as innovative antidepressant drugs. *Pharmacol Ther.* 2013; 140:10–25. [PubMed: 23711793]

45. Toll L, Bruchas MR, Calo' G, Cox BM, Zaveri NT. Nociceptin/Orphanin FQ receptor structure, signaling, ligands, functions, and interactions with opioid Systems. *Pharmacol Rev.* 2016; 68:419–457. [PubMed: 26956246]
46. Vitale G, Ruggieri V, Filaferrero M, Frigeri C, Alboni S, Tascetta F, Brunello N, Guerrini R, Cifani C, Massi M. Chronic treatment with the selective NOP receptor antagonist [Nphe 1, Arg 14, Lys 15]N/OFQ-NH 2 (UFP-101) reverses the behavioural and biochemical effects of unpredictable chronic mild stress in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 2009; 207:173–189. [PubMed: 19711054]
- 47**. Der-Avakian A, D'Souza MS, Potter DN, Chartoff EH, Carlezon WAJ, Pizzagalli DA, Markou A. Social defeat disrupts reward learning and potentiates striatal nociceptin/orphanin FQ mRNA in rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 2017; 234:1603–1614. Using a rodent analogue of a Probabilistic Reward Task extensively used in humans, this rat study showed that a chronic stressor (social defeat) blunted reward learning and potentiated nociceptin/orphanin FQ peptide mRNA levels in the striatum, indicating that antagonism of nociceptin receptors might block stress-induced anhedonia and represent a novel treatment target for stress-related neuropsychiatric disorders. [PubMed: 28280884]
48. Jenkinson M, Beckmann CF, Behrens TEJ, Woolrich MW, Smith SM. FSL. *Neuroimage.* 2012; 62:782–790. [PubMed: 21979382]
49. Yee JR, Kenkel W, Caccaviello JC, Gamber K, Simmons P, Nedelman M, Kulkarni P, Ferris CF. Identifying the integrated neural networks involved in capsaicin-induced pain using fMRI in awake TRPV1 knockout and wild-type rats. *Front Syst Neurosci.* 2015; 9:15.doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2015.00015 [PubMed: 25745388]
50. Papp EA, Leergaard TB, Calabrese E, Johnson GA, Bjaalie JG. Waxholm Space atlas of the Sprague Dawley rat brain. *Neuroimage.* 2014; 97:374–386. [PubMed: 24726336]

Highlights

- Stress preferentially perturbs reward anticipation (rather than consumption)
- Cortico-striatal-limbic network dysregulation subserves stress effects on reward
- Probing functional connectivity may allow greater cross-species integration
- Stress effects on opioid receptors may uncover novel treatment targets

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 1. Summary of main concepts discussed

Recent work across species has further elucidated (1) the effects of acute and chronic (including early life stress (ELS)) stress on dopamine (DA) function in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) and striatal regions such as the nucleus accumbens (NAc); (2) connectivity between limbic, striatal and frontal regions; and (3) how these processes might be mediated by neuropeptides and opioid receptors.

Figure 2. Limbic and reward regions in the human and rat brain

Human regions based on Oxford Harvard Cortical and Subcortical atlas and overlaid on a canonical structural image (part of the FMRIB software library [48]). Rat regions based on atlas identified in [49] and overlaid on a structural Sprague Dawley rat brain [50]. NAc: nucleus accumbens, mPFC: medial prefrontal cortex, VTA: ventral tegmental area

Figure 3. Effects of stress on Kappa Opioid Receptors and dopamine function

A working model of the effects of stress on Kappa Opioid Receptors (KOR) and dopamine (DA): Stress increases the transcriptional factor CREB (cAMP response element binding protein) in the nucleus accumbens (NAc), which potentiates the opioid peptide dynorphin in this region. Dynorphin acts on KORs, inhibiting DA release from the ventral tegmental area (VTA), which has downstream effects on NAc, prefrontal cortex and amygdala, and gives

rise to depressive-and anxiety-related disorders, including blunted reward sensitivity. Figure adapted with permission from [36].

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript