Published in final edited form as:

Pancreas. 2018 ; 47(10): 1262–1266. doi:10.1097/MPA.0000000000001160.

Prior History of Pancreatitis Accelerates the Development of Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma

Anna Evans Phillips, MD, MS^{*}, Nilesh Shah, PhD[†], Amir A. Borhani, MD[‡], Dhiraj Yadav, MD, MPH[§], and Randall E. Brand, MD[§]

^{*}Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA

[†]Dental Public Health, University of Pittsburgh School of Dental Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA

[‡]Department of Radiology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA

[§]Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA

Abstract

Objectives: Presentation of pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PC) as acute pancreatitis (AP), association of chronic pancreatitis (CP) with PC, and role of inflammation in PC carcinogenesis are well recognized. We hypothesized that inflammatory changes associated with remote history of AP (2 yrs prior to PC diagnosis) would result in earlier age of PC diagnosis.

Methods: We evaluated PC patients prospectively enrolled in the Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma Gene Environment Risk (PAGER) study at the University of Pittsburgh for history of pancreatitis and reviewed relevant medical records and imaging studies. Univariate and multivariable linear regression analyses evaluated the relationship between PC and remote history of AP.

Results: Among 790 patients with histologically confirmed PC, 114 (14.4%) had a history of pancreatitis (AP within 2 years of PC diagnosis in 69 [8.7%], remote history of AP in 28 [3.5%], CP in 4 [0.5%], and unknown duration of pancreatitis in 13 [1.6%]). After controlling for age, sex, body mass index, smoking, alcohol history and diabetic status at diagnosis, patients with a remote history of AP were diagnosed on average 4.7 years earlier with PC when compared to PC patients without history of AP ($P < 0.035$).

Conclusion: Remote history of AP may accelerate carcinogenesis in PC.

Keywords

Acute pancreatitis; Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma; Age of presentation; Carcinogenesis

Address correspondence to: Anna Evans Phillips, MD MS, UPMC Presbyterian, 200 Lothrop Street, Mezzanine Level, M-2, Pittsburgh, PA 15213 (Evansac3@upmc.edu), Phone: (412) 651-7203, Fax: (412) 864-7080.

Disclosure: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma (PC) is associated with a 5 year survival rate of approximately 6%, ranking it among the top 5 most lethal malignancies in the US.¹ Screening for PC remains impractical given its low incidence. Chronic Pancreatitis (CP) is a well-established risk factor for the development of PC,^{2,3} with the risk highest in patients with hereditary or tropical etiologies.⁴ PC in the setting of CP typically occurs years – often decades – after the initial presentation or diagnosis of CP, suggesting that persistent inflammation is likely related to this risk.

Chronic inflammation in other GI conditions such as inflammatory bowel disease has been linked with malignancy through both extrinsic pathways stemming from chronic inflammatory conditions which increase the risk of malignancy, and intrinsic pathways through activated oncogenes that promote inflammatory conditions.⁵ Progression from inflammation to malignancy likely occurs as preneoplastic lesions proliferate,⁶ with neoplastic development accompanied by early epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and entry of malignant cells into the circulation prior to detection of tumor formation.^{7,8} The molecular pathology of sporadic PC is dominated by activating mutations in the *KRAS* gene - estimated to be present in > 90% of tumors – with additional common mutations in the *TP53*, *CDKN2A*, and *SMAD4* genes.⁹ *KRAS* mutations promote pancreatic tissue destruction and tumorigenesis by downstream activation of Nuclear Factor-like 2 (Nrf2).^{10,11} Previously, it was understood that inflammation must be chronic in nature to lead to *KRAS*-driven pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.¹² However, more recent studies show that as few as two brief episodes of acute pancreatitis can cause rapid progression of pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasm (PanIN) lesions and accelerate the development of pancreatic adenocarcinoma in the context of oncogenic *KRAS*, suggesting that even that small amount of inflammation can start a cascade of events that facilitates earlier malignant transformation.^{13,14} Interestingly, evidence to date does not strongly support any theory of shared genetic risk factors between CP and PC.¹⁵

Acute pancreatitis (AP) is typically a self-limiting disease - most patients with AP have mild disease with resolution of inflammation within a few weeks. As AP is among the most common causes for inpatient gastrointestinal hospitalization in the United States, and its incidence is on the rise, it becomes even more imperative to define the relationship between AP and PC.^{16,17} Acute pancreatitis can be the first manifestation of PC in up to 10.7% of new cases.¹⁸

In one recent study of 731 prospectively enrolled patients following a primary episode of acute pancreatitis, the risk of future development of PDAC was evaluated. Overall, the risk of PDAC was 0.7% after median 55 months of follow-up.¹⁹ Patients were then stratified by development of CP. Interestingly, the risk of PC was nine times higher in patients who developed CP compared to those who did not develop CP. Although these results suggest that the risk of cancer may primarily be through CP development, the study is somewhat limited by its small sample size.

Another study evaluated administrative data for 41,000 patients with a first attack of AP and age- and sex- matched 208,000 comparison subjects without a history of AP: risk of PC for the patients with a history of AP was twice as high as those without a history of AP at 5 years of followup.²⁰ Interestingly the median age of PC diagnosis was 5 years lower in patients with prior AP as compared to patients without a history of AP. Although this study suggests that AP both increases the risk of PC and results in PC diagnosis at a younger age, this study is limited by the use of administrative data and an inability to verify either the AP or PC history.

We hypothesized that patients with a remote history of AP, defined as ≥ 2 years prior to PC diagnosis, would have an accelerated course of carcinogenesis, reflected by an earlier age of PC diagnosis. In this retrospective case-control study of PC patients, we evaluated the association of a history of pancreatitis with age at diagnosis of pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PC) in a large prospectively ascertained cohort of patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

The Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma Gene Environment Risk (PAGER) Registry was initiated at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center (UPMC) in 2003. PAGER has served as a universal study for enrolling subjects into the UPMC pancreatic cancer registry as either a case or control by all of the different medical and surgical disciplines involved in the care of benign and malignant pancreatic diseases.^{21,22} PAGER is used by gastroenterology, surgery, oncology and pathology departments, and allows for collection of biospecimens along with associated clinical data including a patient questionnaire. In addition, approved investigator(s) at UPMC have access to the subject's clinical records to allow for the collection of additional information regarding their disease. Coordinators recruit subjects in pancreatic cancer-related clinics including our multi-disciplinary pancreatic cancer specialty care center, endoscopy units and inpatient facilities located at Shadyside and Presbyterian Hospital. At the time of enrollment, patients are asked to complete a medical history questionnaire created for the PAGER study that contains questions on height, weight, racial background, alcohol use, tobacco use, comorbidity diagnosis including diabetes, pancreatic cancer date of diagnosis, family history, and pancreatitis history including type (acute or chronic) and date of diagnosis. Blood samples were collected on enrollment for future analysis; tissue samples were also obtained for future analysis in the event that patient was undergoing a procedure including biopsy or resection and excess tissue was available.

Since initiation, 5228 patients have been enrolled in the PAGER study, 825 patients with a diagnosis of pancreatic malignancy including those with intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm that degenerated into malignancy.

Data Abstraction and Collection

We manually reviewed histologic reports of all 825 patients, and 35 patients without histologically-proven PC were excluded. Thus, 790 patients with histology proven PC formed the cohort for the present study.

The following data were abstracted from the PAGER database - demographics (age, date of birth, sex), biometrics (weight, height, usual BMI, BMI at age 18 years), and PC history (age at diagnosis, type of pancreatitis (acute or chronic), age at first episode, age of diagnosis), current smoking or past smoking, alcohol history, history of diabetes mellitus. Patients were stratified by smoking (ever/never), alcohol use (past use, current use, never), obesity (BMI 30).

Pancreatitis History

Pancreatitis history (history of AP or CP, number of prior episodes, date of first known episode, age at diagnosis of CP) was initially retrieved from the patients' self-reported medical history (n = 114). Electronic Medical Records (EMR) of all patients who reported a history of AP or CP as well as those with missing information for pancreatitis history were reviewed for supporting documentation to confirm the history and the age at diagnosis. Patients who did not report a prior history of pancreatitis were determined not to have had pancreatitis. To ensure that we were not underestimating prior history of pancreatitis, we reviewed records of 100 randomly chosen patients out of the 676 patients with no reported history of pancreatitis – none of these patients had a history of pancreatitis on review of records.

All available imaging studies for patients with a remote history of pancreatitis and those in whom the timing of pancreatitis in relation to PC diagnosis was unclear by record were reviewed by an expert abdominal radiologist with an interest in pancreatic diseases (AB) to assess for evidence of AP and/or underlying chronic pancreatitis. Of these 49 patients, 46 had triphasic pancreatic mass protocol CT performed within one month of diagnosis, which consisted of non-contrast, late arterial, and venous phases. Axial images with 2.5mm thickness were reviewed on a picture archiving and communication system. Among the 3 patients who did not have CT images available, 2 had contrast-enhanced MRI and non-contrast CT which was used for interpretation, while 1 patient only had written reports of contrast-enhanced CT and MRI available for review. Maximal pancreatic duct size was measured for all available images to evaluate for dilatation. Since PD dilatation and upstream atrophy could be caused by tumor effect causing ductal obstruction, we used parenchymal calcifications as the diagnostic criteria for definitive evidence of CP. Changes of acute pancreatitis including enlargement of the gland, peripancreatic stranding, and peripancreatic fluid were noted. Classification of patients into AP or CP was based on review of imaging studies by our radiologist – a diagnosis of CP was assigned only to patients with morphologic evidence of CP on CT or MR, based on presence of parenchymal calcifications. Patients with history of pancreatitis but no evidence of CP were classified as AP. The scans evaluated were performed at the time of PC diagnosis, and so changes of AP were not required to be present at that time in order for patients to be classified as having had history of AP.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive analyses for continuous variables are presented as mean (standard deviation [SD]), or as median (interquartile range) and for categorical variables as percentages. Univariate analyses were performed between PC patients with no prior history of

pancreatitis and those with a remote history of AP using a two-sided *t*-test for continuous variables, and chi-squared or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables. To evaluate independent effect of a remote history of AP on the age at PC diagnosis, multivariate linear regression analysis was conducted where the age at PC diagnosis was considered as a dependent variable and a remote history of AP was considered as the primary predictor variable. In this analysis, we controlled for other factors that could potentially confound this relationship including sex, smoking (ever or never), alcohol use (exposed v never exposed), diabetes (yes or no), and obesity (yes or no). All statistical tests were done in Stata Version 14.2 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, Texas). Statistical significance was set at $\alpha < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Study Cohort

The study population consisted of 790 patients with a histologically confirmed diagnosis of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Of these patients, 426 (53.9%) were male. At time of diagnosis of PC, mean age was 67.2 (SD, 10.8). Mean BMI at enrollment was 28.8 (SD, 6.1); 253 (30.3%) were obese (BMI > 30) at enrolment. Mean BMI at 18 was 22.8 (SD, 4.04); 21 (2.7%) were obese at age 18. Diabetes was present in 296 (37.5%) of patients. Of the whole cohort, 385 (48.7%) were previous or current smokers (> 100 cigarettes in their lifetime), 242 (30.6%) were current drinkers, 224 (28.4%) were past drinkers, 218 (27.6%) were never drinkers, and 106 (13.4%) had an unknown alcohol history.

Group Assignment

PC patients were stratified into four groups based on patients' history of pancreatitis (Fig. 1). History of any pancreatitis was noted in 114 (14.4%) patients. Of these,

- i) 32 (4.1%) had a history of pancreatitis 2 years PC diagnosis (with 4 of these having CP on imaging) and therefore 28 (3.5%) having a history of acute pancreatitis 2 years prior to PC diagnosis.
- ii) 69 (8.7%) had history of pancreatitis < 2 years PC diagnosis, and
- iii) 13 (1.6%) had a history of pancreatitis where age of diagnosis relative to PC diagnosis was unknown.

Univariate Analysis

Compared to the 676 patients (53.7% male) without a history of pancreatitis, the 28 patients (53.6% male) with a remote history (< 2 years prior to PC diagnosis) had a similar mean BMI (28.9 [SD, 6.1] v 31.3 [SD, 7.8], $P < 0.063$), proportion of obese patients with BMI > 30 at time of enrolment (32.4% v 39.3%, $P < 0.4$), mean BMI at age 18 (22.8 [SD, 4.08] v 23.3 [SD, 4.46], $P < 0.58$), proportion of patients who were obese at age 18 (2.5% v 3.6%, $P < 0.10$), smoking prevalence (326 (48.8%) v 16 (57.1%), $P < 0.36$), and drinking prevalence (Current drinkers 207 (30.6%) v 6 (21.4%), Never drinkers 189 (28.0%) v 7 (25.0%), Past drinkers 182 (27.0%) v 12 (42.9%), Unknown drinking history 95 (24.5%) v 3 (10.7%) ($P < 0.37$)) (Table 1). Diabetes Mellitus was seen in 247 (36.5%) of the patients with no history

of pancreatitis and 18 (64.3%) of patients with remote history of pancreatitis ($P < 0.003$). The mean age of PC diagnosis in patients with remote history of AP ($n = 28$; 63.0 [SD, 10.5]) was significantly lower compared to patients with no history of pancreatitis ($n = 676$; 67.6, [SD, 10.8]) ($P < 0.027$). Median age of PC diagnosis in patients with remote history of AP was 62 years and in patients with no history of pancreatitis 68 years.

Multivariable Analysis

Complete data for sex, smoking, alcohol, diabetes, and BMI was available for 561 patients (Patients with no history of pancreatitis $n = 539$, patients with remote history of AP $n = 22$). Controlling for sex, smoking, alcohol exposure, obesity, and diabetes, multivariable regression analysis revealed that patients with pancreatitis 2 yrs prior to PC dx presented 4.7 years earlier than did patients with no history of pancreatitis ($P < 0.035$).

DISCUSSION

In a large, prospectively collected, retrospectively analyzed cohort of patients with PC, we found that patients who have a remote history of pancreatitis (2 yrs prior to PC dx) present with PC approximately 4.7 years earlier than their counterparts without a history of pancreatitis. This was confirmed as an independent association after controlling for sex, smoking, alcohol exposure, obesity, and diabetes: factors all traditionally associated with PC. This represents an accelerated onset of carcinogenesis in those patients with a remote history of pancreatitis. While the mechanism of this finding remains unclear, the inflammatory nature of acute pancreatitis suggests a link to acute inflammation.

In our study, median age of patients with no history of pancreatitis was 68 years, whereas those with a remote history of pancreatitis was 62 years. It is known that the risk of pancreatic cancer increases with age, although with slightly different peaks in incidence among several different populations.²³ In the US, median age of PC diagnosis is 71.¹⁷ Our focus was therefore on evaluation of risk factors, both modifiable (smoking, alcohol, obesity) and dose-dependent for alcohol and smoking,^{24–29} and non-modifiable (age, sex).

We suspect that inflammation is the link that can explain the acceleration of carcinogenesis in patients who have a remote history of AP. AP progresses to CP via recurrent episodes of inflammation and fibrosis,³⁰ and chronic inflammation in CP is postulated to be a major contributor to PC development in those patients.^{31,32} Both AP and CP have been long confounded with PC diagnoses in the setting of active inflammation.^{18,31,33} Our study, by instituting a 2-year gap between AP diagnosis and PC diagnosis, ensures a more accurate characterization of AP as separate from PC diagnosis. By removing CP patients, we were able to solely focus on the effects of the remote AP episode, a severe inflammatory event for these patients who subsequently developed PC. Whether the severe inflammatory event is truly self-limited as has previously been thought, or instead serves as an activator of downstream oncogenic pathways is a question for further analysis.

We did note a significant difference in the number of patients with diabetes between those with no history of pancreatitis (247, 36.5%), and those with a remote history of pancreatitis (18, 64.3%) ($P < 0.003$). A previous pooled analysis of 1102 patients has shown the

prevalence of pre-diabetes, DM, and insulin therapy after AP to be 16%, 23%, and 15% respectively (95% confidence interval [CI], 9–24%, 16–31%, and 9–21% respectively) with newly diagnosed DM developing in 15% of individuals within one year of the first episode of AP.³⁴ In the same study, this risk increased 2.7-fold at 5 years after the first episode (risk ratio, 2.7; 95% CI, 1.9 – 3.8); notably due to limitations of the included studies they were unable to perform additional subgroup analysis evaluating new-onset DM based on severity of AP. A later study of 162 patients did show that risk of new-onset DM increased in the presence of organ failure and pancreatic necrosis, estimating the risk of new-onset DM after mild AP to be 10–15% and after severe AP (or necrosis) to be 30–50% over a 3–5 year period.³⁵ In our cohort of PC patients, a higher presence of diabetes in the patients who had remote history of AP may suggest that the inflammation was bad enough in these individuals to concomitantly cause islet cell destruction. Without more detailed information on their earlier AP courses (such as pancreatic necrosis and severity), why these individuals spared their pancreatic parenchymal cells (and avoided progression to CP) then becomes more difficult to explain.

Long-standing adult-onset diabetes has been shown to increase risk of PC by approximately 2-fold,³⁶ but diabetes is also well-known as an early manifestation of PC. Timing of diabetes onset, temporal association with PC diagnosis, and most importantly information on type of diabetes was limited. Although patients were asked in the medical history questionnaire whether their diabetes was Type I or Type II and when they had received their diagnosis, many patients chose not to answer. This information was also incomplete in many EMR charts given the focused reason for patient referral to this center. Additionally, Type 3c diabetes, which occurs secondary to islet cell destruction patients with pancreatic damage (most often secondary to pancreatitis), was not included in the patient history questionnaire. Given these considerations and the small group size of the patients with remote history of pancreatitis, a meaningful interpretation of this difference was not feasible. The role that diabetes plays in development or progression of PC is also linked to obesity given the common co-occurrence of these conditions.²⁶ It has been suggested by some that increased fasting insulin levels have been causally linked with increased risk of PC (odds ratio, 1.66; 95% CI, 1.05–2.63).²⁴ In a previously published analysis, it has been shown that increased exposure to insulin in DM patients does not accelerate PC development.²¹

Limitations of this study include the small number of PC patients with remote history of AP and the use of patient-obtained histories. The size of this cohort limited our ability to perform in-depth assessment of prior alcohol use, tobacco use, and the effects of diabetes and obesity. We performed multivariable regression analysis in an effort to adjust for these factors and found evidence that is largely consistent with prior literature in addition to the new and significant findings discussed above. While we could not exclude Type I error with regard to the age of presentation being significantly younger in PC patients with remote AP, our findings were mirrored by the larger study of administrative data of over 40,000 patients.²⁰ In addition to this, the limitations of retrospective data collection from a database precluded in-depth evaluation of the timing and process of pancreatitis diagnosis in these patients. Recollection bias may have altered some patients' report of AP and CP history: wherever possible this history was supported by extensive chart review, and additionally corroborated by radiologic evidence. Acute pancreatitis, as a common GI diagnosis, is an

important piece of clinical history in any patient with PC. Elucidating the aspect of its role as an accelerator in the carcinogenic process for this deadly helps to inform our history-taking for each PC patient as we struggle to understand the influential aspects of the affected person's history.

CONCLUSION

In summary, these findings suggest that a remote history of any pancreatitis accelerates carcinogenesis with PC diagnosed 4.7 years earlier than patients without a history of pancreatitis. The mechanism of this remains unclear. Future studies are needed to evaluate additional PC patients with remote history of pancreatitis to validate the multivariate analysis findings and elucidate potential mechanisms by which this may be occurring.

Acknowledgments

Grant Support: This research was partly supported by the UPMC GI T32 Training Grant T32 DK063922 (AEP), U01 DK108306 (DY, RB). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

REFERENCES

1. Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z, et al. Cancer statistics, 2014. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2014;64:9–29. [PubMed: 24399786]
2. Maisonneuve P, Lowenfels AB, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, et al. Past medical history and pancreatic cancer risk: Results from a multicenter case-control study. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2010;20:92–98. [PubMed: 20123159]
3. Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P, Cavallini G, et al. Pancreatitis and the risk of pancreatic cancer. International Pancreatitis Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1993;328:1433–1437. [PubMed: 8479461]
4. Raimondi S, Lowenfels AB, Morselli-Labate AM, et al. Pancreatic cancer in chronic pancreatitis: aetiology, incidence, and early detection. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol*. 2010;24:349–358. [PubMed: 20510834]
5. Mantovani A, Allavena P, Sica A, et al. Cancer-related inflammation. *Nature*. 2008;454:436–444. [PubMed: 18650914]
6. Kong B, Bruns P, Behler NA, et al. Dynamic landscape of pancreatic carcinogenesis reveals early molecular networks of malignancy. *Gut*. 2018;67:146–156. [PubMed: 27646934]
7. Rhim AD, Mirek ET, Aiello NM, et al. EMT and dissemination precede pancreatic tumor formation. *Cell*. 2012;148:349–361. [PubMed: 22265420]
8. Kohler I, Bronsert P, Timme S, et al. Detailed analysis of epithelial-mesenchymal transition and tumor budding identifies predictors of long-term survival in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2015;30 Suppl 1:78–84. [PubMed: 25827809]
9. Kleeff J, Korc M, Apte M, et al. Pancreatic cancer. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2016;2:16022. [PubMed: 27158978]
10. DeNicola GM, Karreth FA, Humpton TJ, et al. Oncogene-induced Nrf2 transcription promotes ROS detoxification and tumorigenesis. *Nature*. 2011;475:106–109. [PubMed: 21734707]
11. Chio IIC, Jafarnejad SM, Ponz-Sarvisé M, et al. NRF2 Promotes Tumor Maintenance by Modulating mRNA Translation in Pancreatic Cancer. *Cell*. 2016;166:963–976. [PubMed: 27477511]
12. Guerra C, Schuhmacher AJ, Canamero M, et al. Chronic pancreatitis is essential for induction of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma by K-Ras oncogenes in adult mice. *Cancer Cell*. 2007;11:291–302. [PubMed: 17349585]

13. Carriere C, Young AL, Gunn JR, et al. Acute pancreatitis markedly accelerates pancreatic cancer progression in mice expressing oncogenic Kras. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2009;382:561–565. [PubMed: 19292977]
14. Guerra C, Collado M, Navas C, et al. Pancreatitis-induced inflammation contributes to pancreatic cancer by inhibiting oncogene-induced senescence. *Cancer Cell*. 2011;19:728–739. [PubMed: 21665147]
15. Shindo K, Yu J, Suenaga M, et al. Lack of association between the pancreatitis risk allele CEL-HYB and pancreatic cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8:50824–50831. [PubMed: 28881607]
16. Peery AF, Dellon ES, Lund J, et al. Burden of gastrointestinal disease in the United States: 2012 update. *Gastroenterology*. 2012;143:1179–87.e1-e3. [PubMed: 22885331]
17. Yadav D, Lowenfels AB. The epidemiology of pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer. *Gastroenterology*. 2013;144:1252–1261. [PubMed: 23622135]
18. Munigala S, Kanwal F, Xian H, et al. Increased risk of pancreatic adenocarcinoma after acute pancreatitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2014;12:1143–1150.e1. [PubMed: 24440214]
19. Rijkers AP, Bakker OJ, Ahmed Ali U, et al. Risk of Pancreatic Cancer After a Primary Episode of Acute Pancreatitis. *Pancreas*. 2017;46:1018–1022. [PubMed: 28797012]
20. Kirkegaard J, Cronin-Fenton D, Heide-Jorgensen U, et al. Acute Pancreatitis and Pancreatic Cancer Risk: A Nationwide Matched-Cohort Study in Denmark. *Gastroenterology*. 2018;154:1729–1736. [PubMed: 29432727]
21. Chao DT, Shah NH, Zeh HJ, 3rd, et al. Increased Serum Insulin Exposure Does Not Affect Age or Stage of Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma Diagnosis in Patients With Diabetes Mellitus. *Pancreas*. 2016;45:228–233. [PubMed: 26418902]
22. Diergaarde B, Brand R, Lamb J, et al. Pooling-based genome-wide association study implicates gamma-glutamyltransferase 1 (GGT1) gene in pancreatic carcinogenesis. *Pancreatology*. 2010;10:194–200. [PubMed: 20484958]
23. Midha S, Chawla S, Garg PK. Modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors for pancreatic cancer: A review. *Cancer Lett*. 2016;381:269–77. [PubMed: 27461582]
24. Carreras-Torres R, Johansson M, Gaborieau V, et al. The Role of Obesity, Type 2 Diabetes, and Metabolic Factors in Pancreatic Cancer: A Mendelian Randomization Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2017;109.
25. Genkinger JM, Spiegelman D, Anderson KE, et al. A pooled analysis of 14 cohort studies of anthropometric factors and pancreatic cancer risk. *Int J Cancer*. 2011;129:1708–1717. [PubMed: 21105029]
26. Eibl G, Cruz-Monserrate Z, Korc M, et al. Diabetes Mellitus and Obesity as Risk Factors for Pancreatic Cancer. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2018;118:555–567. [PubMed: 28919082]
27. Hao L, Zeng XP, Xin L, et al. Incidence of and risk factors for pancreatic cancer in chronic pancreatitis: A cohort of 1656 patients. *Dig Liver Dis*. 2017;49:1249–1256. [PubMed: 28756974]
28. Ansary-Moghaddam A, Huxley R, Barzi F, et al. The effect of modifiable risk factors on pancreatic cancer mortality in populations of the Asia-Pacific region. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2006;15:2435–2440. [PubMed: 17164367]
29. Anderson MA, Zolotarevsky E, Cooper KL, et al. Alcohol and tobacco lower the age of presentation in sporadic pancreatic cancer in a dose-dependent manner: a multicenter study. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2012;107:1730–1739. [PubMed: 22929760]
30. Whitcomb DC, Frulloni L, Garg P, et al. Chronic pancreatitis: An international draft consensus proposal for a new mechanistic definition. *Pancreatology*. 2016;16:218–224. [PubMed: 26924663]
31. Kirkegaard J, Mortensen FV, Cronin-Fenton D. Chronic Pancreatitis and Pancreatic Cancer Risk: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2017;112:1366–1372. [PubMed: 28762376]
32. Kolodecik T, Shugrue C, Ashat M, et al. Risk factors for pancreatic cancer: underlying mechanisms and potential targets. *Front Physiol*. 2013;4:415. [PubMed: 24474939]
33. Dzeletovic I, Harrison ME, Crowell MD, et al. Pancreatitis before pancreatic cancer: clinical features and influence on outcome. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2014;48:801–5. [PubMed: 24153158]
34. Das SL, Singh PP, Phillips AR, et al. Newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus after acute pancreatitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gut*. 2014;63:818–831. [PubMed: 23929695]

35. Vipperla K, Papachristou GI, Slivka A, et al. Risk of New-Onset Diabetes Is Determined by Severity of Acute Pancreatitis. *Pancreas*. 2016;45:e14–15.
36. Li D, Tang H, Hassan MM, et al. Diabetes and risk of pancreatic cancer: a pooled analysis of three large case-control studies. *Cancer Causes Control*. 2011;22:189–197. [PubMed: 21104117]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

FIGURE 1.
Study Flow Chart.

TABLE 1.

Characteristics of Study Cohort

	No History of Pancreatitis (n = 676, 85.6%)	Acute Pancreatitis dx Adenocarcinoma dx (n = 28, 3.5%)	2 Years Prior to Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma dx (n = 28, 3.5%)	P
Sex, male, n (%)	363 (53.7)		15 (53.6)	0.989
Age at dx of PDAC mean (SD), y	67.6 (10.8)		63.0 (10.5)	0.027
Ever smoker, * n (%)	326 (48.2)		16 (57.1)	0.355
Alcohol use, n (%)				0.37
Past user	182 (26.9)		12 (42.9)	
Current user	207 (30.6)		6 (21.4)	
Never user	189 (28.0)		7 (25.0)	
Unavailable	98 (14.5)		3 (10.7)	
BMI at enrollment, mean (SD), kg/m ²	28.9 (6.1)		31.3 (7.8)	0.063
Obese: BMI at time of enrollment >30, n (%)	219 (32.4)		11 (39.3)	0.419
BMI at age 18, mean (SD), kg/m ²	22.8 (4.08)		23.3 (4.46)	0.58
Obese at age 18: BMI at 18 >30, n (%)	17 (2.5)		1(3.6)	0.10
Diabetes, n (%)	247 (36.5)		18 (64.3)	0.003

* Smoked 100 cigarettes in lifetime