Published in final edited form as:

Inorg Chem. 2018 October 01; 57(19): 12291–12302. doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.8b01989.

Clarifying the Copper Coordination Environment in a *de Novo* Designed Red Copper Protein

Karl J. Koebke[†], Leela Ruckthong[†], Jennifer L. Meagher[‡], Emilie Mathieu[§], Jill Harland[†], Aniruddha Deb[†], Nicolai Lehnert[†], Clotilde Policar[§], Cédric Tard^{||}, James E. Penner-Hahn[†], Jeanne A. Stuckey[‡], and Vincent L. Pecoraro^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, United States

[‡]Life Sciences Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, United States

[§]Laboratoire des biomolécules, LBM, Département de chimie, École normale supérieure, PSL University, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, 75005 Paris, France

^{||}LCM, CNRS, Ecole Polytechnique, Université Paris-Saclay, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France

Abstract

Cupredoxins are copper-dependent electron-transfer proteins that can be categorized as blue, purple, green, and red depending on the spectroscopic properties of the Cu(II) bound forms. Interestingly, despite significantly different first coordination spheres and nuclearity, all cupredoxins share a common Greek Key β -sheet fold. We have previously reported the design of a red copper protein within a completely distinct three-helical bundle protein, α_3 DChC2.¹ While this design demonstrated that a β -barrel fold was not requisite to recapitulate the properties of a native cupredoxin center, the parent peptide α_3 D was not sufficiently stable to allow further study through additional mutations. Here we present the design of an elongated protein GRAND α_3 D (GR α_3 D) with $G_u = -11.4$ kcal/mol compared to the original design's -5.1 kcal/mol. Diffraction quality crystals were grown of GR α_3 D (a first for an α_3 D peptide) and solved to a resolution of 1.34 Å. Examination of this structure suggested that Glu41 might interact with the Cu in our

*Corresponding Author: vlpec@umich.edu.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.8b01989.

Table S1, DNA sequences of all genes used during this study; Table S2, native cupredoxins ligand bond distances; Figure S1 and Table S3, comparison of the hydrophobic packing of α_3 D, α_3 DIV, and GR α_3 D; Table S4, spectroscopic parameters of native and *de novo* cupredoxins; Tables S5 and S6 and Figures S2 and S3, Cu(I) or Cu(II) EXAFS fitting parameters; Figures S4 and S5, Cu(I) and Cu(II) XANES analysis figures; Figure S6 and Table S7, MCD analysis of GR α_3 DChC2 and GR α_3 DChC2 E41D; Figure S7, electrochemical analysis; and Figure S8, EPR spectra and fits (PDF)

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

previously reported red copper protein. The previous bis(histidine)(cysteine) site (GR α_3 DChC2) was designed into this new scaffold and a series of variant constructs were made to explore this hypothesis. Mutation studies around Glu41 not only prove the proposed interaction, but also enabled tuning of the constructs' hyperfine coupling constant from 160 to $127 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. X-ray absorption spectroscopy analysis is consistent with these hyperfine coupling differences being the result of variant 4p mixing related to coordination geometry changes. These studies not only prove that an Glu41–Cu interaction leads to the α_3 DChC2 construct's red copper protein like spectral properties, but also exemplify the exact control one can have in a *de novo* construct to tune the properties of an electron-transfer Cu site.

INTRODUCTION

Cupredoxins are copper electron-transfer proteins found within bacteria, algae, animals, and plants^{2–4} that can be characterized into blue,^{5–7} green,^{8–11} red,¹² and binuclear purple^{13–16} copper proteins depending on the spectroscopic properties of the Cu(II)-bound forms. These proteins quickly garnered the attention of the bioinorganic community due to their unique UV–vis absorption spectra with high-intensity bands at 400–450 and 550–600 nm (later assigned to σ and π ligand-to-metal charge-transfer (LMCT) bands, respectively) and unusual electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) signals with compressed parallel hyperfine constant below $100 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$.^{4,17,18} The ratio between σ and π LMCT intensities is often used as a qualifier between blue/perturbed blue or type 1 copper proteins, green/purple or type 1.5 copper proteins, and red or type 2 copper proteins.^{4,19,20}

The prototypical cupredoxins are unequivocally blue or type 1 copper proteins, which exhibit a high intensity π LMCT (ϵ_π) at $\sim 600 \text{ nm}$ and a lower intensity σ LMCT (ϵ_σ) at $\sim 450 \text{ nm}$ with an $\epsilon_\sigma/\pi < 1$.⁷ Type 1.5 copper proteins instead have similarly intense σ and π LMCT peaks at 430–450 and $\sim 570 \text{ nm}$, respectively, and $1 < \epsilon_\sigma/\pi < 2$.⁸ Finally, red or type 2 cupredoxins have very few examples, a high-intensity σ LMCT at 390 nm, lower intensity π LMCT at $\sim 500 \text{ nm}$, and $\epsilon_\sigma/\pi > 2$, and they are the only natural cupredoxin type with EPR hyperfine coupling above $100 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$.²¹

Cupredoxin ligand sets all have a common His₂Cys primary coordination sphere, with a variable fourth ligand dependent on cupredoxin classification. This fourth ligand varies between Met and Gln for blue and green copper proteins, with little correlation between the ligand and spectroscopy, while nitrosocyanin is unique in its ligand set with a relatively short Cu(II)–Glu bond. Table S2 in the Supporting Information provides Cu(I) and Cu(II) ligand bond distances in a number of cupredoxins, and it is instructive to focus on a few examples from this list. The blue copper protein poplar plastocyanin has Cu(I)–His distances of 1.98 and 2.10 Å, a Cu(I)–Cys distance of 2.18 Å, and a relatively long Cu(I)–Met distance of 2.71 Å at pH 8. Minimal change in this structure is seen upon oxidation at pH 8 with Cu(II)–His distances of 1.91 and 2.06 Å, a Cu(II)–Cys distance of 2.07 Å, and a Cu(II)–Met distance of 2.82.²² The green copper site within *Alcaligenes faecalis* Cu nitrite reductase has a structure similar to plastocyanin with Cu(I)–His distances of 2.06 and 2.18 Å at pH 4, a Cu(I)–Cys distance of 2.21 Å, and a Cu(I)–Met distance of 2.41 Å, and oxidized distances of 2.07 and 2.06 Å for Cu(II)–His, 2.22 Å for Cu(II)–Cys, and 2.48 Å for Cu(II)–Met.²³ The

red copper site of nitrosocyanin is unique among cupredoxins, with a long Cu(I)–His at 2.36 Å at pH 7.5 as well as the more standard one at 2.01 Å, a relatively long Cu(I)–Cys of 2.26 Å, and a short Cu(I)–Glu distance of 2.03 Å. Upon oxidation, the site sees a drastic change in this long Cu–His distance with Cu(II)–His of 1.97 and 2.02 Å, similar distances for Cu(II)–Cys and Cu(II)–Glu at 2.26 and 2.09 Å, respectively, and a ligated water at 2.25 Å.²⁴

Detailed spectroscopic analysis combined with structural data for red, green, and blue copper proteins has revealed that while green and blue copper proteins are closely related, red copper proteins are unique.^{4,8,21,25} Comparing a series of blue and green copper proteins with the same CuHis₂CysMet ligand set, we see that going from blue to green, the Cu(II)–Cys distance lengthens, while the Cu(II)–Met distance shortens. These changes are coupled with a tetragonal distortion more prevalent in green copper proteins, which increases the intensity of the σ charge transfer while decreasing that of the π charge transfer and increasing the $\epsilon_{\sigma/\pi}$ ratio. Expanding this comparison to the red copper protein nitrosocyanin, much larger differences are found. The axial Met/Gln ligation of blue/green copper proteins is replaced by His ligation in nitrosocyanin while Glu ligation replaces one of the equatorial His. This rearrangement of the ligand set results in a rotation of the C–S–Cu plane and allows for nitrosocyanin to bind an equatorial water not seen in other cupredoxins (Figure 1).

Metalloprotein design has proven to be a useful strategy to test the limits of our understanding of metal binding sites by mimicking those found in native proteins.^{26–31} Cupredoxins have been the target of many metalloprotein design efforts due to their uniquely constrained metal binding centers and spectral properties.^{4,6,7,17,32} Approaches employing protein redesign using azurin as a scaffold,^{20,28,33–35} *de novo* design within three or four helical bundles,^{1,36–38} and artificial metalloproteins³⁹ have been explored. DeGrado et al. designed a single polypeptide that formed an antiparallel 3-helix bundle called α_3 D,^{40,41} and this scaffold has been modified to serve as a heavy metal binding protein,⁴² capable of binding Cd(II) or iron in a tetrathiolate center.^{43,44} With respect to copper electrontransfer proteins, previous results from our laboratory have shown that a nitrosocyanin-like red copper center with a σ LMCT at 401 nm, π LMCT 500 nm, and $\epsilon_{\sigma/\pi} = 2.2$ can be designed into α_3 D^{40,41} using seven amino acid mutations to create α_3 DChC2 (Table 1).¹ This design utilized three mutations to create a metal-binding His₂Cys core, while another four nearby mutations were meant to enclose this coppercontaining region in a hydrophobic box that constrained the metal environment through steric interactions. While spectroscopic signatures confirmed that the Cu(II) form of α_3 DChC2 was most accurately described as a red copper protein, X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) analysis showed that the reduced construct could structurally mimic the distinct short Cu(I)–S distance common to all cupredoxins. Thus, it was hypothesized that modeling the Cu(II) form of a cupredoxin within a three-helical bundle is more challenging than the Cu(I) form.

Previous studies of the construct apo- α_3 DIV, which has three residues of α_3 D mutated to cysteines, revealed a solution NMR structure that was closely similar to the parent α_3 D, and denaturation experiments further indicated it was a well-folded protein.⁴⁵ However, additional mutations such as those in the α_3 DChC2 sequence led to proteins of markedly inferior thermodynamic stability. It was also considered that, for this reason, the α_3 DChC2-designed hydrophobic box was imperfectly constraining the metal binding site, causing the

red copper spectral properties. The present work was an attempt to test the above hypothesis, investigate the cause of α_3 DChC2's red copper-like properties, and tune the spectral properties of this *de novo* red copper protein toward those of a blue or green copper protein in its Cu(II) form.

Previous experience with three-stranded coiled coils has shown that the stability of these complexes can be increased notably by duplicating heptads in each coil to lengthen the complex and increase the surface area of the interior hydrophobic interactions (~ 8 kcal/mol/heptad).^{46,47} The added stability potentially gained through the added heptads would allow the new protein to tolerate additional mutations. Based upon these studies, we present the design of a more thermodynamically stable version of α_3 D called GRAND α_3 D (GR α_3 D) as well as its crystal structure solved to a resolution of 1.34 Å, the first X-ray crystal structure determined for a member of the α_3 D protein family. This crystal structure and a structure of GR α_3 DChC2 predicted by the Robetta server^{48–50} were used to re-examine the proposition that perturbation of the hydrophobic box might yield a red copper center for the α_3 DChC2 cupredoxin mimic. Also, this construct allows one to address through mutation studies whether other residues might be consequential to the formation of the red copper center. In this context, an important surface residue (Glu41) was shown to be critical for the red copper behavior, an observation that leads to a model with a coordinated carboxylate to Cu(II) in GR α_3 DChC2, which is consistent with the coordination environment found in native red copper proteins such as nitrosocyanin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or Fisher Scientific unless otherwise specified. Cupric chloride dihydrate in crystalline form was purchased from Mallinckrodt, disodium DL-malate from TCI America, and sodium thiocyanate from Acros Organics.

Molecular Biology/Purification.

In this study, either genes were purchased as gblocks from Integrated DNA Technologies before cloning into pET15B using In-Fusion cloning protocol (clontech) or, for less involved DNA changes, mutations were introduced to an existing plasmid by reverse PCR before using the In-Fusion protocol to re-cyclize the resulting mutated plasmid. All completed plasmids were transformed into BL21 (DE3) *Escherichia coli* and the sequences of the cloned genes confirmed by Sanger Sequencing at the University of Michigan DNA Sequencing Core.

Constructs were expressed using an overnight autoinduction protocol with 12 g of tryptone, 24 g of yeast extract, 2.3 g of potassium phosphate monobasic, 12 g of potassium phosphate dibasic, 0.083 g of lactose, 0.4 g of glucose, 1.66 mL of 50% glycerol, and 100 mg of ampicillin per liter of growth media. Starter cultures of LB with 100 mg/L ampicillin were inoculated with frozen cell stock and incubated at 37 °C with 250 rpm shaking for 5–7 h. Each liter of autoinduction media was then inoculated using 10 mL of this starter culture and incubated at 25 °C and 250 rpm overnight in UltraYield flasks. After 14–18 h, the cells were harvested by centrifugation and lysed by a microfluidizer, while peptide was purified using

previously published methods.⁵¹ Final OD₆₀₀'s of these autoinduction cultures varied between 6 and 16, with purified peptide yields of 30–200 mg/L.

Spectroscopy.

Electronic absorption data were collected on a Cary 100 UV–vis spectrometer. Electron paramagnetic resonance spectra were collected using a Bruker EMXE 200 EPR instrument. Circular dichroism (CD) measurements and guanidium titration data were taken using a Jasco J-1500 CD spectropolarimeter with an ATS-530 automatic titrator. Mass and purity of peptide solutions were determined by Micromass LCT TOF MS.

Magnetic Circular Dichroism.

First, 1 mM Cu(II) acetate was added to a 1.5 mM peptide solution in 50 mM HEPES buffer at pH 7.5 with 50% glycerol (added as a glassing agent) immediately before being injected between two quartz plate windows housed in a custom-made MCD sample holder. The samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen to produce a glass.

The MCD setup employs an Oxford SM4000 cryostat and a Jasco J815 CD spectrometer. The SM4000 cryostat consists of a liquid helium-cooled superconducting magnet providing horizontal magnetic fields of 0–7 T. The J-815 spectrometer uses a gaseous nitrogen-cooled xenon lamp and a detector system consisting of two interchangeable photomultiplier tubes in the UV–vis and near-infrared (NIR) ranges. The samples were loaded into a 1.5–300 K variable-temperature insert (VTI), which offers optical access to the sample via four optical windows made from Suprasil B quartz. The MCD spectra were measured in $[\theta] = \text{mdeg}$ and manually converted to ϵ ($\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1} \text{T}^{-1}$) using the conversion factor $\epsilon = \theta / (32980 \times c \times d \times B)$, where c is the concentration, B is the magnetic field, and d is the path length.⁵² The product $c \times d$ can be substituted by $A_{\text{MCD}}/\epsilon_{\text{UV-vis}}$, where A is the absorbance of the sample measured by the CD spectrometer. Complete spectra were recorded at different temperatures (2, 5, and 10 K) and magnetic fields (0–7 T). Gaussian deconvolution of the spectra was performed using the program PeakFit.

X-ray Crystallography.

Crystals of GR α_3 D (40 mg/mL) with 15 mM Zn(OAc)₂ and 10 mM tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (TRIS) buffer at pH 8.5 were grown by sitting drop vapor diffusion against a well solution of 2.1 M disodium DL-malate at pH 7.0, containing 200 mM sodium thiosulfate as an additive at 20 °C. Crystals were cryoprotected in mother liquor containing 10% ethylene glycol and then supercooled in liquid N₂ for data collection.

Crystal diffraction data were collected at the Advanced Photon Source of the Argonne National Laboratory on the LS-CAT Beamline 21-ID-G, equipped with a Mar 300 CCD detector. The data were collected with a 1° oscillation and then processed and scaled with HKL2000.⁵³ The structure was solved by the single anomalous dispersion technique using the AutoSol⁵⁴ program in Phenix,⁵⁵ giving a partial model containing amino acids 4–28 of helix A and 38–85 of helices B and C. This partial model was verified by using it as a search model for auto MolRep⁵⁶ in the CCP4 suite of programs.^{57–59} The solution from molecular replacement was then carried through to refinement. The electron difference density map (F_o

– F_o) contoured at 3σ shows a Zn(II) binding site on the exterior of the three-helix bundle at Glu6. A second Zn(II) is present as a part of the complex polyanion $\text{Zn}(\text{SCN})_4^{2-}$. While $\text{Zn}(\text{SCN})_4^{2-}$ is commonly observed in solutions in which Zn(II) is in the presence of excess NaSCN, we were unable to find another instance of this polyanion within a protein structure deposited in the Protein Data Bank. Missing residues from the N and C termini as well as the loop between helices A and B were built in manually using the electron density map. The N-terminal methionine could not be modeled into the crystal structure; however, the missing Met is corroborated by mass spectrometry, has been seen in previous $\alpha_3\text{D}$ expressions,⁶⁰ and can be explained by methionine aminotransferase's relative activity for an N-terminal Met followed by Gly.⁶¹ The structure underwent iterative rounds of electron density fitting and refining in Coot⁶² and Buster 2.10.2⁶³ programs, respectively. The MolProbity⁶⁴ program was used to verify the validity of the final model (Table 2). All residues are present in the allowed regions of the Ramachandran plot.

X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy.

Cu(I) samples were prepared as follows. Solutions were made with a final concentration of 1 mM tetrakis(acetonitrile)Cu(I)hexafluorophosphate in 1.5 mM peptide solution in 50 mM HEPES buffer at pH 7.5 with 50% glycerol (added as a glassing agent) in the glovebox maintained in anaerobic conditions. Sample solutions were then loaded into a sample cell and frozen in liquid nitrogen; 0.5 mM excess apo peptide was included to ensure the free Cu^{I} concentration was minimal (<0.01%).

Cu(II) samples were prepared with final concentrations of 1 mM Cu(II)acetate and 1.5 mM peptide in 50 mM HEPES buffer at pH 7.5 in aerobic conditions. Samples were then lyophilized before being transferred to sample cells. During collection, the Cu edge energy and $1s \rightarrow 4p$ transition of Cu(I)peptide were monitored. We estimate that no more than 10% of the sample was photoreduced by the final scan included in fitting.

Measurements were carried out at Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL) beamline 7-3 or 9-3 with a Si(220) double-crystal monochromator and a flat Rh-coated harmonic rejection mirror. Samples were maintained below 10 K with an Oxford Instruments liquid helium cryostat. Data were measured as fluorescence excitation spectra using a 30- or 100-element Ge detector array (for beamlines 7-3 or 9-3, respectively) normalized to incident intensity measured with a N_2 -filled ion chamber. Data were measured with steps of 0.25 eV in the XANES region (1 s integration time) and 0.05 $\text{\AA}^{-1}$ in the EXAFS region to $k = 13.5 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ (1–20 s integration, k^3 weighted). Energies were calibrated by assigning the lowest energy inflection point of a copper metal foil as 8980.3 eV. The threshold energy, E_0 , was defined as 8991 based on fits of model compounds; this was used to convert data to k -space, and the background was removed using a three-region cubic spline. EXAFS data were analyzed using EXAFSPAK⁶⁶ and FEFF 9.0.⁶⁷ XANES data were normalized using MBACK.⁶⁸

Single- and multiple-scattering fitting of EXAFS data were performed using EXAFSPAK⁶⁶ with *ab initio* amplitude and phase parameters calculated using FEFF 9.0.⁶⁷ An initial model of Cu–imidazole coordination was built based on the averaged bond distances determined by single-scattering fitting of EXAFS data.

Electrochemical Potential Determination.

Cyclic voltammetry measurements were obtained on a Metrohm AUTOLAB potentiostat (PGSTAT 302N). The electrochemical apparatus contained a gold disk working electrode (0.002 cm^2), a platinum wire counter electrode, and an aqueous saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference electrode ($0.241\text{ V} + \text{SCE} = \text{normal hydrogen electrode}$). The gold electrode surface was carefully polished and sonicated before being conditioned in an electrochemical cell which contained $0.5\text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ by scanning 20 times from -300 to $+1500\text{ mV}$ (vs SCE) at 200 mV/s , and then in a cell which contained 0.1 M phosphate buffer ($\text{pH } 7.4$) and $0.1\text{ M Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ by scanning four times from -300 to $+600\text{ mV}$ (vs SCE) at 100 mV/s , until the cyclic voltammograms overlaid well enough to indicate a homogeneous surface. The Cu-peptide solutions were prepared with 15 mM peptide, 10 mM CuSO_4 , and 50 mM ascorbate in a 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution ($\text{pH } 7.4$). After each electrode had been polished and conditioned, $20\text{--}50\text{ }\mu\text{L}$ of a 0.5 mM peptide solution was adsorbed on the gold electrode surface for 2 h . Cyclic voltammograms were collected under anaerobic conditions (argon) in a cell which contained 0.1 M phosphate buffer ($\text{pH } 7.4$) and $0.1\text{ M Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ at varying scan rates and at room temperature ($\sim 21\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$).

Model Illustration.

Models of $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{DChC2}$ were created using SCWRL4⁶⁹ to mutate the appropriate amino acids within the $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$ sequence and repack the entire structure while keeping the backbone scaffold fixed. Putative positions for the bound Cu(II) were then explored using Pymol visualization.

RESULTS

$\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$ Structure.

The original $\alpha_3\text{D}$ and $\alpha_3\text{DIV}$ have an α helical region $\sim 27\text{ }\text{\AA}$ in length, while that in $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$ is $\sim 34\text{ }\text{\AA}$. Secondary structure assignment by the VADAR Web server also indicates a longer continuous region of α -helicity in $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$ ($84/93$ or 90%) compared to $\alpha_3\text{D}$ and $\alpha_3\text{DIV}$ ($56/73$ or 76%), beyond that expected from the duplication of a single heptad.⁷⁰ This unanticipated increase in helical content stems from a lower number of amino acids being in random coil form for each turn between helices. $\alpha_3\text{D}$'s A and B helices are connected by an eight-residue coil region from Leu21 to Leu28, while B and C helices are connected by five residues from Ala44 to Lys48. The comparable regions in $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$ are instead four residues (Leu28 to Ser31) and two residues in length (Gly63 to Asn64) (Figure 2).

Previously our group has reported that incorporating a Cys_3 site into $\alpha_3\text{D}$ to make $\alpha_3\text{DIV}$ improved the packing between several hydrophobic layers.⁴⁵ Comparing the structures of $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$ and $\alpha_3\text{D}$, we find a similar effect, particularly when examining the repeated heptad used in $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$'s design. (Table S3) The hydrophobic layers of $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$ defined by Phe7, Leu11, and Ile14 of helix A have triangular areas reduced by 8.63 , 5.17 , and $5.53\text{ }\text{\AA}^2$, respectively, when compared to that of $\alpha_3\text{D}$. Comparing the void volume and packing density using the Web server ProteinVolume 1.3⁷¹ also exhibits this difference between the two structures, with packing densities of 0.781 and 0.766 for $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$ and $\alpha_3\text{D}$, respectively. $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$'s increased packing density is largely caused by a reduced distance between helix A

and its two partner helices, while the distance between helices B and C remains similar between the two constructs (Figure S1).

Folding Free Energy.

The increased α -helical region of GR α_3 D was hypothesized to lead to a concomitant increase in thermodynamic stability compared to that of α_3 D.⁴¹ Guanidinium denaturation titrations were used to determine folding free energy for GR α_3 D at pH 5 and 8.5 as well as for GR α_3 DChC2 and GR α_3 DChC2 E41Q at pH 8.5 to investigate this possibility (Table 3). We were previously unable to calculate the stability difference associated with mutation of α_3 D to α_3 DChC2 due to the latter's low folding free energy. Comparison of GR α_3 D to GR α_3 DChC2 gives a destabilizing effect of ~8 kcal/mol at pH 8.5 (Table 3) for incorporation of the ChC2 metal binding site, as compared to destabilizations of 3.7, 3.8, and 1.9 kcal/mol for the other three cupredoxin models reported in previous work.¹ This destabilization of ChC2 incorporation is related not only to a decrease in C_m but also to the slope m , which is linked to unfolding cooperativity. This change is likely due to the disruptive effect of mutating two core hydrophobic residues to His residues into the relatively tight confines of the hydrophobic core.⁷² E41Q mutation to this construct, however, has no destabilization effect, leaving us confident that disruption of the E41–R24 salt bridge of GR α_3 DChC2 does not have a large effect on structural stability.

Cu(II) Spectroscopy and Electrochemistry.

Electronic absorption and EPR spectra were collected for Cu(II) adducts of GR α_3 DChC2 and its E41Q, E41D, R24M, and R24A variants to investigate a previously reported hypothesis that the red copperlike spectroscopic properties of α_3 DChC2 are due to that construct's low free energy of folding as well as the newly presented possibility that the aforementioned spectroscopic properties are due to an unexpected interaction with residue Glu41. UV–visible spectroscopy of the above constructs were followed for up to 2 days at 25 °C to compare the half-life of the peptide bound Cu(II) signal. Constructs which exhibited interesting spectroscopic differences were chosen for electrochemical analysis. Standard potentials were measured using Augrafted constructs of GR α_3 DChC2 and its E41Q, E41D, and E41A variants with GSGC C-terminal ends rather than the standard GSGA included to improve peptide yields (Table 4).

MCD spectra were collected on GR α_3 DChC2 and its E41D variant. These were combined with those constructs' electronic absorption spectra to deconvolute the energies of the observed optical transitions. The correlated fits of the UV–vis absorption versus MCD data for the two proteins are shown in Figure S6. The energies of these bands are provided in Table 5, along with those previously reported for nitrosocyanin (derived from the low-temperature MCD data) for comparison.²¹ Please note that the electronic transitions in both of our constructs show an unusual shift to higher energy between the room-temperature UV–vis and liquid He temperature MCD data, as evident from the fits in Figure S6. This effect results from the freezing of the protein sample, required for the low-temperature experiments. The CD spectrometer records a low-resolution absorption spectrum at the same time that the MCD spectrum is measured, and in this spectrum, the intense band 1 (σ CT) is shifted by +800 cm^{-1} in the absorption spectrum of GR α_3 DChC2 between room

temperature and liquid He temperature, in agreement with the deviations in band energies observed between the UV-vis and MCD data. Band assignments of GR α_3 DChC2 and its E41D variant in Table 5 are based on the comparison to the MCD spectrum of nitrosocyanin and the published assignments. Overall, the MCD spectra of GR α_3 DChC2 and its E41D variant show very close agreement to those obtained for nitrosocyanin, demonstrating that our constructs provide overall similar copper binding sites with similar ligand fields and d-orbital energies compared to the red copper protein.

X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy.

We prepared the Cu(I/II) complexes of GR α_3 DChC2, GR α_3 DChC2 E41A, GR α_3 DChC2 E41Q, and GR α_3 DChC2 E41D and subjected these to XAS in order to gain insight into the structural factors affecting the changes in spectroscopy seen between GR α_3 DChC2 variants. XANES analysis of the 1s $\rightarrow$ 4p transition of Cu(I) can act as a useful diagnostic of coordination number, with higher peak intensities being indicative of lower coordination number.⁷⁴ When compared in this way, the peak intensities for Cu(I) α_3 DChC2 (Figure S4), Cu(I)GR α_3 DChC2, Cu(I)GR α_3 DChC2 E41Q, and Cu(I)GR α_3 DChC2 E41D all indicate a 2- to 3-coordinate species. EXAFS analysis of these adducts all exhibit outer-shell scattering suggestive of histidine coordination (Figure S2). Our analysis favors a model of Cu(I)His₁S₁ due to a combination of the intensity of the 1s $\rightarrow$ 4p transition, the distance of the Cu-S bond in all constructs being closer to a 2-coordinate red copper protein from literature than the 3-coordinate nitrosocyanin, and the increased Debye-Waller factor of the nitrogen ligand when two His are included in the fit (Table 6 and Supporting Information). None of these indicators is definitive, so it is difficult to differentiate between the two models based on EXAFS alone. This ambiguity, however, is not a major concern, as both models give similar distances for the Cu-S and Cu-N bonds.

Analysis of the 1s $\rightarrow$ 3d transition of Cu(II) can be used to indicate geometric differences between samples, as the intensity of this peak is linked to 4p mixing within this transition.^{76,77} Comparing Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2 and its E41Q, E41A, and E41D variants, we find that E41D has the greatest peak area, followed by GR α_3 DChC2, E41Q, and E41A in order of decreasing peak area (Figure S5). EXAFS analysis of these adducts exhibited long-distance scatterers similar to those seen in Cu(I) EXAFS (Figure S3), indicative of histidine coordination. Based on this as well as the interpretation of UV-vis and EPR spectroscopy (*vide infra*), the data for all presented constructs were fit with Cu(II)His₂S₁O₁ as the model (Table 7).

DISCUSSION

Previously reported work to design a cupredoxin-like binding site within α_3 D culminated in Cu α_3 DChC2 with Cu(II) spectroscopic properties like those of nitrosocyanin, a red copper protein (Table 4). It was suggested that this construct's red copper-like properties rather than blue or green were due to the apo peptide's low free energy of folding. The concept of the blue copper metal binding site being in a constrained state is well established,^{14,78} and the decreased folding stability of α_3 DChC2, established by examination of guanidinium denaturation curves (Figure 3), suggests that the metal binding site may not be in such a

well-structured state. We set out to design a more stable form of the scaffold peptide $\alpha_3\text{D}$ to investigate whether improved thermodynamic stability of the apo peptide would shift the spectroscopic properties of $\text{Cu(II)}\alpha_3\text{DChC2}$ from those of a red copper protein to green or blue.

$\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$ is the first instance of adapting the strategy of duplicating heptads from three-stranded coiled coils to a singlestranded tri-helical bundle. The amino acid sequence of $\alpha_3\text{D}$ as compared to $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$ (Table 1) illustrates the general strategy taken for $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$'s design. The original $\alpha_3\text{D}$ required several rounds of redesign within its loop regions to create a primary sequence which folded into a single tertiary structure.^{40,41} $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$'s design strategy was to increase the length of the α helical region by duplicating the central heptad shown in Table 1. This design was intended to leave the loop regions unperturbed and lead to an $\alpha_3\text{D}$ -like single folded state with a longer α -helical bundle region and, thus, more thermodynamic stability.

Comparing the NMR structures of $\alpha_3\text{D}$ ⁴¹ and $\alpha_3\text{DIV}$ ⁴⁵ to the crystal structure of $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$ (Figure 2), the α -helical region of $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$ is roughly 25% longer. $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$ is also notably more stable than its parent peptide, with a Gibbs free energy of unfolding more than double that of $\alpha_3\text{D}$ (Table 3). It is interesting to note that this improved stability is largely caused by an increase in C_m (from 2.8 to 4.1 M) but that there is also a small increase in m (from 2.4 to 2.8 kcal mol⁻¹ M⁻¹) which indicates a more cooperative unfolding event. This increase in cooperativity of unfolding may be related to decreased length of the loop regions which connect α -helical portions of $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$ as compared to $\alpha_3\text{D}$, which leads to a structure that is 90% α -helix. Thus, we have successfully created a longer, more stable version of the $\alpha_3\text{D}$ scaffold, which we call $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$. This was the first member of the $\alpha_3\text{D}$ family to form diffraction-quality crystals successfully. Our laboratory has previously used the strategy of increasing α -helical length in three-stranded coiled coil constructs to, at least empirically, improve the success rate of crystallization, and this seems to have proven true of threehelical bundle designs as well.⁷⁹

The metal binding site of $\alpha_3\text{DChC2}$, including the enclosing hydrophobic box, was constructed within $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$ to investigate if the increased stability of apo $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$ could be translated to $\text{Cu(II)}\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{DChC2}$ and test its effect on spectroscopic properties. Guanidinium titrations of the apo peptides (Figure 3) confirm that $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{DChC2}$ is well folded in solution with a clear two-state model of denaturation, unlike $\alpha_3\text{DChC2}$. Though $\alpha_3\text{DChC2}$'s low Gibbs free energy of unfolding makes more-detailed comparisons impossible, the difference between $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{DChC2}$ and $\alpha_3\text{DChC2}$ in apo peptide stability is clear (Figure 3).

$\text{Cu(II)}\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{DChC2}$, however, has spectroscopic properties very similar to those of $\text{Cu(II)}\alpha_3\text{DChC2}$ regardless of this increased peptide stability (Table 4), proving that the nitrosocyanin-like properties of $\text{Cu(II)}\alpha_3\text{DChC2}$ are not caused by that peptide's low free energy of unfolding. MCD deconvolution of the electronic absorption spectra allowed us to compare the d-d electronic transitions of $\text{Cu(II)}\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{DChC2}$ to those of native nitrosocyanin, where previously we had only compared the energy of Cys σ and π LMCT bands using absorption spectroscopy. Table 5 shows remarkable similarities in the energies of all observed transitions, reconfirming our assignment of the Cys σ and π LMCT bands observed in electronic absorption spectra and the success of $\text{Cu(II)}\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{DChC2}$ as a

spectroscopic model of nitrosocyanin. Interestingly, while Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2 falls well within the spectroscopic parameters of a red copper protein, there are some notable structural and spectroscopic differences between it and Cu(II) α_3 DChC2. While, for the purposes of this study, Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2 can be used to probe the factors which enable a red copper *de novo* cupredoxin regardless of these differences, they may warrant further investigation in the future to determine their cause for future study of GR α_3 D versions of metal binding sites originally designed within α_3 D. We then sought to explore further the causes of Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2's red copper-like spectroscopic properties using site-directed mutagenesis—a strategy only made possible by the improved stability of GR α_3 DChC2 as compared to α_3 DChC2.

Modeling the Cu(II) binding site in GR α_3 DChC2 by using the X-ray structure of GR α_3 D with Pymol (Figure 4) brought the assigned position of the bound Cu(II) into question. Sequence analysis shows that the metal binding site in GR α_3 DChC2 is formed using only two of the available α helices, instead of all three. Therefore, the bound Cu(II) is likely positioned dissymmetrically with respect to the helical axis and toward the helical interface. This new model contrasts with a symmetrically disposed copper situated within the hydrophobic interior, as was previously postulated. This new shifted position would place the bound Cu(II) within ~ 5 Å of a nearby glutamate (Glu41) designed into GR α_3 D as part of a salt bridge interaction (Figure 4). Given GR α_3 DChC2's nitrosocyanin-like spectral properties and native nitrosocyanin's CysHis₂Glu Cu(II) binding motif, the possibility of inner-sphere coordination of this carboxylate warranted further investigation.

E41Q and E41A variants of GR α_3 DChC2 were created to test the hypothesis that E41 directly binds Cu(II). Examination of electronic absorption spectra of Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2 E41A and Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2 E41Q compared to that of the parent exemplify the importance of this E41–Cu(II) interaction. Both mutants exhibit decreased intensity π LMCT, a higher intensity σ LMCT (blue-shifted to ~ 375 nm from the parent construct's 400 nm), a $\epsilon_{\sigma/\pi}$ ratio of 5.3 or 5.7, respectively (Figure 5), somewhat rhombic EPR signals, and expanded hyperfine coupling constants (Table 4). All of these spectral changes exemplify the importance of E41. This, however, could be caused by the loss of a salt bridge between E41 and R24, leading to increased water accessibility to the bound metal ion rather than the disruption of a E41–Cu interaction. Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2 R24A and Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2 R24 M constructs, however, exhibit little difference in the UV–visible absorption and EPR properties of the bound Cu(II), which is convincing evidence that E41 is indeed bound to Cu(II), as R24A and R24 M mutations should disrupt the E41–R24 salt bridge and increase water access in a similar way as E41A or E41Q mutations. Once the E41–Cu interaction had been established, an E41D variant was created to test the effect of shortening this amino acid by a single methyl group. Interestingly, this was the only E41 variant in which the hyperfine coupling constant compressed (to 127×10^{-4} from 136×10^{-4} cm⁻¹) rather than expanded, but it maintained very similar UV–vis characteristics, with σ and π LMCT peaks red-shifted by 10 and 20 nm (600 and 800 cm⁻¹) and an increased intensity ratio of 2.6 (Table 4). Further inspecting the differences between Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2 and Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2 E41D via deconvolution of MCD spectra (Table 5), we find that the four bands assigned to the Cys→Cu(II) CT and d-d transitions of Cu(II) and apparent in both constructs shift ~ 1000 cm⁻¹ to lower energy in tandem going from the parent construct to the E41D variant,

consistent with an increase in the energy of the electronic ground state, which would decrease the transition energy for all visible bands.⁴

Combining electronic absorption, EPR, and X-ray absorption spectra of Cu(II) adducts of GR α_3 DChC2, GR α_3 DChC2 E41Q, GR α_3 DChC2 E41A, and GR α_3 DChC2 E41D, we can consider the structural and electronic effects of E41 variants on the bound Cu(II). The changes from axial EPR spectra with $g_{\parallel} > g_{\perp} > 2$ seen in Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2 and Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2 E41D to the more rhombic signals of Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2 E41Q and Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2 E41A,^{80,81} as well as the trend in 1s $\rightarrow$ 3d transition intensity in Cu(II) XAS, are consistent with E41Q and E41A mutations causing a distortion from more tetragonal geometries of the parent and E41D variant. This distortion in the binding site geometry also helps to explain the apparently contradictory trends seen in Cu–S distance measured by XAS and hyperfine coupling constant measured by EPR. While the hyperfine coupling constant is often used as a measure of Cu–S covalency within cupredoxins, other factors such as 4p mixing into 3d can also cause hyperfine coupling constant depression.^{82,83} This orbital mixing was one of the reasons behind an early geometry assignment of native blue copper proteins as tetrahedral before the crystal structure was available and has more recently been implicated as the cause of compressed hyperfine within type zero copper proteins which contain no Cu–S bond but nevertheless exhibit compressed hyperfine.^{84–89} A similar effect would explain the trend of hyperfine coupling constants seen within our *de novo* proteins, in which those with the greatest degree of 4p mixing as determined by XAS also have the most compressed hyperfine coupling. Interestingly, the series of constructs we have presented seems to be consistent with hyperfine coupling compression mostly due to geometry changes, as evidenced by differences in $\epsilon_{\sigma/\pi}$ ratio and 1s $\rightarrow$ 3d transition intensity while maintaining similar Cu–S covalency as evidenced by similarities between constructs in total LMCT extinction coefficients and Cu–S bond distance as determined by EXAFS. This possibility will require further investigation.

Cu–O distances as determined by EXAFS are consistent with a model in which the relatively strong Glu–Cu bond within the parent Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2 (1.96 Å) is replaced with a much weaker Cu–O/N bond when E41 is mutated to allow water access (e.g., E41A). The differing effects of E41D and E41Q are likely due to the Cu ion positioning required when decreasing the length of the ligating Glu by a methyl group to Asp compared to a more structurally equivalent E41Q mutation. Given the drastic changes in the Cu(II) signal's half-life within Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2 E41Q and Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2 E41A compared to Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2 and Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2 E41D, it is likely that, while Glu and Asp are able to directly interact, albeit Asp more weakly, Gln or Ala mutations replace this carboxylate interaction with an exogenous water molecule, resulting in a more rapid loss of Cu(II) signal.

X-ray absorption spectra of Cu(I) adducts of GR α_3 DChC2, GR α_3 DChC2 E41Q, GR α_3 DChC2 E41A, and GR α_3 DChC2 E41D were measured to examine the effects of these mutations on Cu(I) binding geometry. Grouped together, the XANES analyses of these constructs as well as Cu(I) α_3 DChC2 indicate a coordination number between 2 and 3, though our analysis favors 2-coordinate, as detailed previously. While no evidence was found for a direct E41–Cu(I) interaction within EXAFS analysis, it is interesting to note that E41 mutation still has an effect, albeit arguably slight, on Cu(I) geometry, with E41Q

measured bond distances on average being longer, and thus more 3-coordinate, while E41A indicates the opposite. These observations argue for a less direct role of E41 in the Cu(I) form of these constructs, with second-sphere effects accounting for shifts in coordination number.

Our interpretation of these data is that the E41 residue of the original CuGR α_3 DChC2 interacts with the Cu(II) form of the construct but not the Cu(I) form. E41 mutation to Ala, Gln, or Asp can be used to shift the geometry of the bound Cu(II) and, by doing so, compress or expand hyperfine coupling.

Comparison of reduction/oxidation potentials across the E41 variant series shows that E41 mutations generally have the effect of decreasing the redox potential. It is surprising that E41A and E41Q mutations both have less of an effect on redox potential than E41D, as one would expect the apparently more disruptive E41A and E41Q mutations to have larger effects on Cu(I/II) affinities and thus redox potential. All of these *de novo* constructs are still much higher in redox potential than the native nitrosocyanin's 85 mV, and future work may focus on trying to find means of decreasing E^0 . From a design aspect, however, it is useful to know that mutations of E41 allow for vastly different spectroscopic parameters while maintaining similar E^0 .

CONCLUSION

Through structural comparison, we have shown the utility of duplicating "heptads" within an α -helical bundle to increase its free energy of unfolding and, therefore, its amenability to more complex mutation. That such a simple strategy was successful is an indicator of the power of this "inside-out" approach, in which the loop regions of the bundle remain intact while the α -helical region is lengthened. Our laboratory has adapted this strategy to a number of different applications within α -helical bundles, and the new GRAND α_3 D (GR α_3 D) scaffold's increased free energy of unfolding is being exploited to create more-complex metalloprotein mimics.

Recapitulation of our previously described cupredoxin mimic α_3 DChC2 within this new scaffold has proven that the nitrosocyanin-like properties of α_3 DChC2 were unrelated to the apo peptide's low free energy of unfolding, as had been previously postulated.¹ Instead, analysis of models based on our X-ray structure suggested that a dissymmetrically oriented copper ion was located within the hydrophobic core of GR α_3 DChC2 and that this allowed surface residues access to the metal. We have shown through extensive site-directed mutation studies that a previously unconsidered amino acid designed as part of a salt bridge (glutamate 41) is imperative for Cu(II)GR α_3 DChC2's nitrosocyanin-like spectroscopy. Mutation of this amino acid can tune the hyperfine coupling from $160 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ down to $127 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, shift the σ LMCT transitions from 26 010 to 25 000 cm^{-1} , and alter the ratio of the electronic absorption band intensities ($\epsilon_{\sigma/\pi}$) from 5.7 to 2.2. Previous nitrosocyanin mimics have been observed within cupredoxin folds, but this construct illustrates that, by accommodating carboxylate interactions, nitrosocyanin's spectroscopic properties can be mimicked within an α -helical structure. Our improved understanding of this *de novo* metalloprotein is an important step in work to control the electronic properties of Cu(II)

within an α -helical bundle. We have initiated studies to tune the bound Cu(II) from a red copper site to a green or blue copper site utilizing this new understanding.

Finally, given the difficulty of mutating and expressing nitrosocyanin itself, this study is the first look into how site-directed mutagenesis of a red copper protein would affect the bound copper's electronic and structural properties. Further exploration of these constructs will give a unique angle on the causes of nitrosocyanin's spectroscopic properties that are currently difficult or impossible to study with the native protein.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

V.L.P. thanks the U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH) for financial support of this research (ES012236). The ENS Paris Saclay is acknowledged for E.M.'s Ph.D. fellowship. The FrenchBIC (<http://frenchbic.cnrs.fr/>) is acknowledged for E.M.'s fellowship that partly funded her stay at V.L.P.'s laboratory. The authors thank Dr. Tyler Pinter for helpful comments and discussion. Use of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515. The SSRL Structural Molecular Biology Program is supported by the DOE Office of Biological and Environmental Research, and by the NIH, National Institute of General Medical Sciences (including P41GM103393). The contents of this publication are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of NIGMS or NIH. This research used resources of the Advanced Photon Source, a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC0206CH11357. Use of the LS-CAT Sector 21 was supported by the Michigan Economic Development Corporation and the Michigan Technology Tri-Corridor (Grant 085P1000817).

REFERENCES

- (1). Plegaria JS; Duca M; Tard C; Friedlander TJ; Deb A; Penner-Hahn JE; Pecoraro VL De Novo Design and Characterization of Copper Metallopeptides Inspired by Native Cupredoxins. *Inorg. Chem* 2015, 54 (19), 9470–9482. [PubMed: 26381361]
- (2). Gray HB Centenary Lecture. Long-range electron-transfer in blue copper proteins. *Chem. Soc. Rev* 1986, 15 (1), 17–30.
- (3). Gray HB; Malmström BG; Williams RJP Copper coordination in blue proteins. *JBIC, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem* 2000, 5 (5), 551–559. [PubMed: 11085645]
- (4). Solomon EI Spectroscopic Methods in Bioinorganic Chemistry: Blue to Green to Red Copper Sites. *Inorg. Chem* 2006, 45 (20), 8012–8025. [PubMed: 16999398]
- (5). Colman PM; Freeman HC; Guss JM; Murata M; Norris VA; Ramshaw JAM; Venkatappa MP X-ray crystal structure analysis of plastocyanin at 2.7 [angst] resolution. *Nature* 1978, 272 (5651), 319–324.
- (6). Penfield KW; Gay RR; Himmelwright RS; Eickman NC; Norris VA; Freeman HC; Solomon EI Spectroscopic studies on plastocyanin single crystals: a detailed electronic structure determination of the blue copper active site. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1981, 103 (15), 4382–4388.
- (7). Gewirth AA; Solomon EI Electronic structure of plastocyanin: excited state spectral features. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1988, 110 (12), 3811–3819.
- (8). LaCroix LB; Shadle SE; Wang Y; Averill BA; Hedman B; Hodgson KO; Solomon EI Electronic Structure of the Perturbed Blue Copper Site in Nitrite Reductase: Spectroscopic Properties, Bonding, and Implications for the Entatic/Rack State. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1996, 118 (33), 7755–7768.
- (9). Olesen K; Veselov A; Zhao Y; Wang Y; Danner B; Scholes CP; Shapleigh JP Spectroscopic, Kinetic, and Electrochemical Characterization of Heterologously Expressed Wild-Type and

Mutant Forms of Copper-Containing Nitrite Reductase from *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* 2.4.3. *Biochemistry* 1998, 37 (17), 6086–6094. [PubMed: 9558347]

- (10). Roger M; Biaso F; Castelle CJ; Bauzan M; Chaspoul F; Lojou E; Sciara G; Caffarri S; Giudici-Ortoni M-T; Ilbert M Spectroscopic Characterization of a Green Copper Site in a Single-Domain Cupredoxin. *PLoS One* 2014, 9 (6), e98941. [PubMed: 24932914]
- (11). King JD; Harrington L; Lada BM; He G; Cooley JW; Blankenship RE Site-directed mutagenesis of the highly perturbed copper site of auracyanin D. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys* 2014, 564, 237–243. [PubMed: 25317962]
- (12). Arciero DM; Pierce BS; Hendrich MP; Hooper AB Nitrosocyanin, a Red Cupredoxin-like Protein from *Nitrosomonas europaea*. *Biochemistry* 2002, 41 (6), 1703–1709. [PubMed: 11827513]
- (13). Slutter CE; Sanders D; Wittung P; Malmström BG; Aasa R; Richards JH; Gray HB; Fee JA Water-Soluble, Recombinant CuA-Domain of the Cytochrome ba3 Subunit II from *Thermus thermophilus*. *Biochemistry* 1996, 35 (11), 3387–3395. [PubMed: 8639488]
- (14). Wittung-Stafshede P; Hill MG; Gomez E; Di Bilio AJ; Karlsson BG; Leckner J; Winkler JR; Gray HB; Malmström, B. G. Reduction potentials of blue and purple copper proteins in their unfolded states: a closer look at rack-induced coordination. *JBIC, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem* 1998, 3 (4), 367–370.
- (15). Randall DW; Gamelin DR; LaCroix LB; Solomon EI Electronic structure contributions to electron transfer in blue Cu and CuA. *JBIC, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem* 2000, 5 (1), 16–29. [PubMed: 10766432]
- (16). Savelieff MG; Wilson TD; Elias Y; Nilges MJ; Garner DK; Lu Y Experimental evidence for a link among cupredoxins: Red, blue, and purple copper transformations in nitrous oxide reductase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* 2008, 105 (23), 7919–7924. [PubMed: 18535143]
- (17). Solomon EI; Szilagy RK; DeBeer George S; Basumallick L Electronic Structures of Metal Sites in Proteins and Models: Contributions to Function in Blue Copper Proteins. *Chem. Rev* 2004, 104 (2), 419–458. [PubMed: 14871131]
- (18). Solomon EI; Hadt RG, Recent advances in understanding blue copper proteins. *Coord. Chem. Rev* 2011, 255 (7–8), 774–789.
- (19). Lu Y; LaCroix LB; Lowery MD; Solomon EI; Bender CJ; Peisach J; Roe JA; Gralla EB; Valentine JS Construction of a blue copper site at the native zinc site of yeast copper-zinc superoxide dismutase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1993, 115 (14), 5907–5918.
- (20). Clark KM; Yu Y; Marshall NM; Sieracki NA; Nilges MJ; Blackburn NJ; van der Donk WA; Lu Y Transforming a Blue Copper into a Red Copper Protein: Engineering Cysteine and Homocysteine into the Axial Position of Azurin Using Site-Directed Mutagenesis and Expressed Protein Ligation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2010, 132 (29), 10093–10101. [PubMed: 20608676]
- (21). Basumallick L; Sarangi R; DeBeer George S; Elmore B; Hooper AB; Hedman B; Hodgson KO; Solomon EI Spectroscopic and Density Functional Studies of the Red Copper Site in Nitrosocyanin: Role of the Protein in Determining Active Site Geometric and Electronic Structure. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2005, 127 (10), 3531–3544. [PubMed: 15755175]
- (22). Kachalova GS; Shosheva AC; Bourenkov GP; Donchev AA; Dimitrov MI; Bartunik HD Structural comparison of the poplar plastocyanin isoforms PCa and PCb sheds new light on the role of the copper site geometry in interactions with redox partners in oxygenic photosynthesis. *J. Inorg. Biochem* 2012, 115, 174–181. [PubMed: 22883960]
- (23). Wijma HJ; MacPherson I; Farver O; Tocheva EI; Pecht I; Verbeet MP; Murphy MEP; Canters GW Effect of the Methionine Ligand on the Reorganization Energy of the Type-1 Copper Site of Nitrite Reductase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2007, 129 (3), 519–525. [PubMed: 17227014]
- (24). Lieberman RL; Arciero DM; Hooper AB; Rosenzweig AC Crystal structure of a novel red copper protein from *Nitrosomonas europaea*. *Biochemistry* 2001, 40 (19), 5674–5681. [PubMed: 11341832]
- (25). Penfield KW; Gewirth AA; Solomon EI Electronic structure and bonding of the blue copper site in plastocyanin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1985, 107 (15), 4519–4529.
- (26). Lu Y; Berry SM; Pfister TD Engineering Novel Metalloproteins: Design of Metal-Binding Sites into Native Protein Scaffolds. *Chem. Rev* 2001, 101 (10), 3047–3080. [PubMed: 11710062]

- (27). Ghosh D; Pecoraro VL Understanding Metalloprotein Folding Using a de Novo Design Strategy. *Inorg. Chem* 2004, 43 (25), 7902–7915. [PubMed: 15578824]
- (28). Lu Y; Yeung N; Sieracki N; Marshall NM Design of Functional Metalloproteins. *Nature* 2009, 460 (7257), 855–862. [PubMed: 19675646]
- (29). Zastrow ML; Pecoraro VL , Designing functional metalloproteins: From structural to catalytic metal sites. *Coord. Chem. Rev* 2013, 257 (17–18), 2565–2588. [PubMed: 23997273]
- (30). Hu C; Chan SI; Sawyer EB; Yu Y; Wang J Metalloprotein design using genetic code expansion. *Chem. Soc. Rev* 2014, 43 (18), 6498–6510. [PubMed: 24699759]
- (31). Yu F; Cangelosi VM; Zastrow ML; Tegoni M; Plegaria JS; Tebo AG; Mocny CS; Ruckthong L; Qayyum H; Pecoraro VL Protein Design: Toward Functional Metalloenzymes. *Chem. Rev* 2014, 114 (7), 3495–3578. [PubMed: 24661096]
- (32). Holm RH; Kennepohl P; Solomon EI Structural and Functional Aspects of Metal Sites in Biology. *Chem. Rev* 1996, 96 (7), 2239–2314. [PubMed: 11848828]
- (33). Clark KM; Tian S; van der Donk WA; Lu Y Probing the role of the backbone carbonyl interaction with the CuA center in azurin by replacing the peptide bond with an ester linkage. *Chem. Commun* 2017, 53 (1), 224–227.
- (34). Yu Y; Petrik ID; Chacon KN; Hosseinzadeh P; Chen H; Blackburn, N. J.; Lu, Y. Effect of circular permutation on the structure and function of type 1 blue copper center in azurin. *Protein Sci* 2017, 26 (2), 218–226. [PubMed: 27759897]
- (35). Tian S; Liu J; Cowley RE; Hosseinzadeh P; Marshall NM; Yu Y; Robinson H; Nilges MJ; Blackburn NJ; Solomon EI; Lu Y Reversible S-nitrosylation in an engineered azurin. *Nat. Chem* 2016, 8, 670–677. [PubMed: 27325093]
- (36). Shiga D; Nakane D; Inomata T; Funahashi Y; Masuda H; Kikuchi A; Oda M; Noda M; Uchiyama S; Fukui K; Kanaori K; Tajima K; Takano Y; Nakamura H; Tanaka T Creation of a Type 1 Blue Copper Site within a de Novo Coiled-Coil Protein Scaffold. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2010, 132 (51), 18191–18198. [PubMed: 21126081]
- (37). Shiga D; Hamano Y; Kamei M; Funahashi Y; Masuda H; Sakaguchi M; Ogura T; Tanaka T Tuning the geometries of a de novo blue copper protein by axial interactions. *JBIC, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem* 2012, 17 (7), 1025–1031. [PubMed: 22752182]
- (38). Shiga D; Funahashi Y; Masuda H; Kikuchi A; Noda M; Uchiyama S; Fukui K; Kanaori K; Tajima K; Takano Y; Nakamura H; Kamei M; Tanaka T Creation of a Binuclear Purple Copper Site within a de Novo Coiled-Coil Protein. *Biochemistry* 2012, 51 (40), 7901–7907. [PubMed: 22989113]
- (39). Mann SI; Heinisch T; Weitz AC; Hendrich MP; Ward TR; Borovik AS Modular Artificial Cupredoxins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2016, 138 (29), 9073–9076. [PubMed: 27385206]
- (40). Bryson JW; Desjarlais JR; Handel TM; DeGrado WF From coiled coils to small globular proteins: Design of a native-like three-helix bundle. *Protein Sci* 1998, 7 (6), 1404–1414. [PubMed: 9655345]
- (41). Walsh STR; Cheng H; Bryson JW; Roder H; DeGrado WF Solution structure and dynamics of a de novo designed three-helix bundle protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* 1999, 96 (10), 5486–5491. [PubMed: 10318910]
- (42). Chakraborty S; Kravitz JY; Thulstrup PW; Hemmingsen L; DeGrado WF; Pecoraro VL Realization of a Designed ThreeHelix Bundle Capable of Binding Heavy Metals in a Tris(Cysteine) Environment. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* 2011, 50 (9), 2049–2053.
- (43). Tebo AG; Hemmingsen L; Pecoraro VL Variable primary coordination environments of Cd(II) binding to three helix bundles provide a pathway for rapid metal exchange(). *Metallomics: integrated biometal science* 2015, 7 (12), 1555–1561. [PubMed: 26503746]
- (44). Tebo AG; Pinter TBJ; García-Serres R; Speelman AL; Tard C; Sené que O; Blondin G; Latour J-M; Penner-Hahn J; Lehnert N; Pecoraro VL Development of a Rubredoxin-Type Center Embedded in a de Novo-Designed Three-Helix Bundle. *Biochemistry* 2018, 57 (16), 2308–2316. [PubMed: 29561598]
- (45). Plegaria JS; Dzul SP; Zuiderweg ERP; Stemmler TL; Pecoraro VL Apoprotein Structure and Metal Binding Characterization of a de Novo Designed Peptide, α 3DIV, that Sequesters Toxic Heavy Metals. *Biochemistry* 2015, 54 (18), 2858–2873. [PubMed: 25790102]

- (46). Farrer BT; Harris NP; Balchus KE; Pecoraro VL Thermodynamic Model for the Stabilization of Trigonal Thiolato Mercury(II) in Designed Three-Stranded Coiled Coils. *Biochemistry* 2001, 40 (48), 14696–14705. [PubMed: 11724584]
- (47). Su JY; Hodges RS; Kay CM Effect of Chain Length on the Formation and Stability of Synthetic α -Helical Coiled Coils. *Biochemistry* 1994, 33 (51), 15501–15510. [PubMed: 7803412]
- (48). Kim DE; Chivian D; Baker D Protein structure prediction and analysis using the Robetta server. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004, 32, W526–W531. [PubMed: 15215442]
- (49). Raman S; Vernon R; Thompson J; Tyka M; Sadreyev R; Pei J; Kim D; Kellogg E; DiMaio F; Lange O; Kinch L; Sheffler W; Kim B-H; Das R; Grishin NV; Baker D Structure prediction for CASP8 with all-atom refinement using Rosetta. *Proteins: Struct., Funct., Genet* 2009, 77 (S9), 89–99. [PubMed: 19701941]
- (50). Song Y; DiMaio F; Wang RY-R; Kim D; Miles C; Brunette TJ; Thompson J; Baker D High-Resolution Comparative Modeling with RosettaCM. *Structure* 2013, 21 (10), 1735–1742. [PubMed: 24035711]
- (51). Plegaria JS; Pecoraro VL Sculpting Metal-binding Environments in De Novo Designed Three-helix Bundles. *Isr. J. Chem* 2015, 55 (1), 85–95. [PubMed: 29353917]
- (52). Paulat F; Lehnert N Detailed Assignment of the Magnetic Circular Dichroism and UV–vis Spectra of Five-Coordinate High-Spin Ferric [Fe(TPP)(Cl)]. *Inorg. Chem* 2008, 47 (11), 4963–4976. [PubMed: 18438984]
- (53). Otwinowski Z; Minor W Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276; Academic Press, 1997; pp 307–326.
- (54). Terwilliger TC; Adams PD; Read RJ; McCoy AJ; Moriarty NW; Grosse-Kunstleve RW; Afonine PV; Zwart PH; Hung L-W Decision-making in structure solution using Bayesian estimates of map quality: the PHENIX AutoSol wizard. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr* 2009, 65 (6), 582–601. [PubMed: 19465773]
- (55). McCoy AJ; Storoni LC; Read RJ Simple algorithm for a maximum-likelihood SAD function. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr* 2004, 60 (7), 1220–1228. [PubMed: 15213383]
- (56). Vagin A; Teplyakov A Molecular replacement with MOLREP. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr* 2010, 66 (1), 22–25. [PubMed: 20057045]
- (57). Potterton E; Briggs P; Turkenburg M; Dodson E A graphical user interface to the CCP4 program suite. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr* 2003, 59 (7), 1131–1137. [PubMed: 12832755]
- (58). Winn MD; Ballard CC; Cowtan KD; Dodson EJ; Emsley P; Evans PR; Keegan RM; Krissinel EB; Leslie AGW; McCoy A; McNicholas SJ; Murshudov GN; Pannu NS; Potterton EA; Powell HR; Read RJ; Vagin A; Wilson KS Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr* 2011, 67 (4), 235–242. [PubMed: 21460441]
- (59). McCoy AJ; Grosse-Kunstleve RW; Adams PD; Winn MD; Storoni LC; Read RJ Phaser crystallographic software. *J. Appl. Crystallogr* 2007, 40 (4), 658–674. [PubMed: 19461840]
- (60). Plegaria JS; Pecoraro VL De Novo Design of Metalloproteins and Metalloenzymes in a Three-Helix Bundle In *Computational Design of Ligand Binding Proteins*; Stoddard BL, Ed.; Springer: New York, 2016; pp 187–196.
- (61). Hirel PH; Schmitter MJ; Dessen P; Fayat G; Blanquet S Extent of N-terminal methionine excision from *Escherichia coli* proteins is governed by the side-chain length of the penultimate amino acid. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* 1989, 86 (21), 8247–8251. [PubMed: 2682640]
- (62). Emsley P; Cowtan K Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr* 2004, 60 (12), 2126–2132. [PubMed: 15572765]
- (63). Bricogne G; Blanc E; Brandl M; Flensburg C; Keller P; Paciorek W; Roversi P; Smart OS, Vornrhein C; Womack TO BUSTER, version 2.10.2; Global Phasing Ltd: Cambridge, UK 2016.
- (64). Chen VB; Arendall WB, III; Headd JJ; Keedy DA; Immormino RM; Kapral GJ; Murray LW; Richardson JS; Richardson DC MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr* 2010, 66 (1), 12–21. [PubMed: 20057044]

- (65). Kleywegt GJ; Harris MR; Zou J.-y.; Taylor TC; Wahlby A; Jones TA The Uppsala Electron-Density Server. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr* 2004, 60 (12), 2240–2249. [PubMed: 15572777]
- (66). George GN; Pickering IJ EXAFSPAK, <http://www-ssrl.slac.stanford.edu/exafspak.html>.
- (67). Ankudinov AL; Rehr JJ Relativistic calculations of spindependent x-ray-absorption spectra. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys* 1997, 56 (4), R1712–R1716.
- (68). Weng T-C; Waldo GS; Penner-Hahn JE A method for normalization of X-ray absorption spectra. *J. Synchrotron Radiat* 2005, 12 (4), 506–510. [PubMed: 15968130]
- (69). Krivov GG; Shapovalov MV; Dunbrack RL Improved prediction of protein side-chain conformations with SCWRL4. *Proteins: Struct., Funct., Genet* 2009, 77 (4), 778–795. [PubMed: 19603484]
- (70). Willard L; Ranjan A; Zhang H; Monzavi H; Boyko RF; Sykes BD; Wishart DS VADAR: a web server for quantitative evaluation of protein structure quality. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2003, 31 (13), 3316–3319. [PubMed: 12824316]
- (71). Chen CR; Makhatadze GI ProteinVolume: calculating molecular van der Waals and void volumes in proteins. *BMC Bioinf.* 2015, 16 (1), 101.
- (72). Carra JH; Privalov PL Thermodynamics of denaturation of staphylococcal nuclease mutants: an intermediate state in protein folding. *FASEB J.* 1996, 10 (1), 67–74. [PubMed: 8566550]
- (73). Santoro MM; Bolen DW Unfolding free energy changes determined by the linear extrapolation method. 1. Unfolding of phenylmethanesulfonyl.alpha.-chymotrypsin using different denaturants. *Biochemistry* 1988, 27 (21), 8063–8068. [PubMed: 3233195]
- (74). Kau LS; Spira-Solomon DJ; Penner-Hahn JE; Hodgson KO; Solomon EI X-ray absorption edge determination of the oxidation state and coordination number of copper. Application to the type 3 site in *Rhus vernicifera* laccase and its reaction with oxygen. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1987, 109 (21), 6433–6442.
- (75). Siluvai GS; Mayfield M; Nilges MJ; DeBeer George S; Blackburn NJ Anatomy of a Red Copper Center: Spectroscopic Identification and Reactivity of the Copper Centers of *Bacillus subtilis* Sco and Its Cys-to-Ala Variants. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2010, 132 (14), 5215–5226. [PubMed: 20232870]
- (76). Hahn JE; Scott RA; Hodgson KO; Doniach S; Desjardins SR; Solomon EI Observation of an electric quadrupole transition in the X-ray absorption spectrum of a Cu(II) complex. *Chem. Phys. Lett* 1982, 88 (6), 595–598.
- (77). de Groot F; Vanko G; Glatzel P The 1s x-ray absorption preedge structures in transition metal oxides. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 2009, 21 (10), 104207. [PubMed: 21817427]
- (78). Ghosh S; Xie X; Dey A; Sun Y; Scholes CP; Solomon EI Thermodynamic equilibrium between blue and green copper sites and the role of the protein in controlling function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* 2009, 106 (13), 4969–4974. [PubMed: 19282479]
- (79). Ruckthong L; Stuckey JA; Pecoraro VL Methods for Solving Highly Symmetric de Novo Designed Metalloproteins: Crystallographic Examination of a Novel Three Stranded Coiled Coil structure containing D-amino Acids. *Methods Enzymol.* 2016, 580, 135–148. [PubMed: 27586331]
- (80). Bennett B; Kowalski JM EPR Methods for Biological Cu(II): L-Band CW and NARS In *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 563; Qin PZ, Warncke K, Eds.; Academic Press: 2015; Chapter 13, pp 341–361.
- (81). Peisach J; Blumberg WE Structural implications derived from the analysis of electron paramagnetic resonance spectra of natural and artificial copper proteins. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys* 1974, 165 (2), 691–708. [PubMed: 4374138]
- (82). Goodman BA; Raynor JB Electron Spin Resonance of Transition Metal Complexes In *Advances in Inorganic Chemistry and Radiochemistry*, Vol. 13; Emeléus HJ, Sharpe AG, Eds.; Academic Press: 1970; pp 135–362.
- (83). Sharnoff M Electron Paramagnetic Resonance and the Primarily 3d Wavefunctions of the Tetrachlorocuprate Ion. *J. Chem. Phys* 1965, 42 (10), 3383–3395.
- (84). Solomon EI; Hare JW; Gray HB Spectroscopic studies and a structural model for blue copper centers in proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A* 1976, 73 (5), 1389–1393. [PubMed: 818636]

- (85). Solomon EI; Hare JW; Dooley DM; Dawson JH; Stephens PJ; Gray HB Spectroscopic studies of stellacyanin, plastocyanin, and azurin. Electronic structure of the blue copper sites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1980, 102 (1), 168–178.
- (86). Lancaster KM; George SD; Yokoyama K; Richards JH; Gray HB Type-zero copper proteins. *Nat. Chem* 2009, 1 (9), 711–715. [PubMed: 20305734]
- (87). Lancaster KM; Farver O; Wherland S; Crane EJ; Richards JH; Pecht I; Gray HB Electron Transfer Reactivity of Type Zero *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Azurin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2011, 133 (13), 4865–4873. [PubMed: 21405124]
- (88). Lancaster KM; Zaballa M-E; Sproules S; Sundararajan M; DeBeer S; Richards JH; Vila AJ; Neese F; Gray HB OuterSphere Contributions to the Electronic Structure of Type Zero Copper Proteins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2012, 134 (19), 8241–8253. [PubMed: 22563915]
- (89). Potapov A; Lancaster KM; Richards JH; Gray HB; Goldfarb D Spin Delocalization Over Type Zero Copper. *Inorg. Chem* 2012, 51 (7), 4066–4075. [PubMed: 22432748]

Figure 1. Pymol illustration comparing the Cu(II) binding geometries of (left) the blue copper protein poplar plastocyanin PDB 4DPB to (right) the red copper protein *Nitrosomonas europaea* nitrosocyanin PDB 1IBY.

Figure 2. (Left) Pymol cartoon representation of GR α_3 D showing overall secondary structure. (Right) $2F_o - F_c$ electron density map (gray grid) contoured at 1σ , overlaid onto the stick representation of amino acids Ile21, Arg24, Leu25, Phe38, and Glu41, which were mutated during the course of this study.

Figure 3.

Guanidinium titration data for $\alpha_3\text{DChC2}$, $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{D}$, and $\text{GR}\alpha_3\text{DChC2}$. Sample solutions contained 10 mM Na/K phosphate buffer and 10 μM peptide, and were pH adjusted to 8.5 unless otherwise stated. Titrant solutions were identical to sample solutions with the addition of 7.5–7.8 M guanidinium hydrochloride using a saturated stock solution.

Figure 4.
Pymol illustrations showing the expected position of the metal binding site of GR α_3 DChC2 as predicted by SCWRL4⁶⁶ (left) expected Glu41–Cu distance if Cu(II) were bound in such a position.

Figure 5.

Extinction coefficient spectra of several GR α_3 DChC2 variants to show the effect of mutations around the E41–R24 salt bridge. Solutions contained 75 μ M peptide and 50 μ M Cu(II) in 50 mM HEPES adjusted to pH 7.5 before the addition of Cu(II).

Table 1.

Amino Acid Sequences of the Peptides Discussed^a

α₃D	MGSWAEFKQR	LAAIKTR	LQAL	GGG
	EAELAAFEKE	IAAFESE	LQAY	KGKG
	NPEVEALRKE	AAAIRDE	LQAYRHN	
α₃DIV	MGSWAEFKQR	LAAIKTR	CQAL	GGG
	EAECAAFEKE	IAAFESE	LQAY	KGKG
	NPEVEALRKE	AAAIRDE	CQAYRHN	
α₃DChC2	MGSWAEFKQR	IAACKTR	HQAL	GGG
	EAELAAHEKE	IAAFESE	LQAF	KGKG
	NPEVEALRKE	AAAIRDE	LQAFRLN	GSGA
GRα₃D	MGSWAEFKQR	LAAIKTR	LAAIKTR	LQAL GGS
	EAELAAFEKE	IAAFESE	IAAFESE	LQAY KGKG
	NPEVEALRKE	AAAIRDE	AAAIRDE	LQAYRHN GSGA
GRα₃DChC2	MGSWAEFKQR	LAAIKTR	IAACKTR	HQAL GGS
	EAELAAHEKE	IAAFESE	IAAFESE	LQAF KGKG
	NPEVEALRKE	AAAIRDE	AAAIRDE	LQAFRLN GSGA

^aMutations from the parent peptide (α₃D or GRα₃D) are in bold, and the heptad duplicated in the design of GRα₃D is highlighted.

Table 2.Crystallography Data Collection and Refinement Statistics for GR α_3 D

Data Collection	
PDB ID	6DS9
space group	<i>P</i> 3
wavelength (Å)	0.97856
resolution (Å) ^a	1.34 (1.34–1.36)
<i>R</i> _{sym} (%) ^b	3.3 (32.4)
$\langle I/\sigma I \rangle$ ^c	20 (2)
completeness (%) ^d	98.8 (82.1)
redundancy	5.5 (3.6)
Refinement	
resolution (Å)	1.34 (9.23–1.34)
<i>R</i> -factor (%) ^e	18
<i>R</i> _{free} (%) ^f	18.6
no. protein atoms	720
no. metal atoms	2 Zn at 0.33 occupancy
no. water molecules	43
no. unique reflections	18 658
rmsd ^g	
bonds (Å)	0.01
angles (deg)	0.97
MolProbity score ⁶⁴	1.06
Clash score ⁶⁵	1.87

^aStatistics for highest resolution bin of reflections in parentheses.^b $R_{\text{sym}} = \sum_h \sum_j |I_{hj} - \langle I_h \rangle| / \sum_h \sum_j I_{hj}$ where I_{hj} is the intensity of observation j of reflection h and $\langle I_h \rangle$ is the mean intensity for multiply recorded reflections.^cIntensity signal-to-noise ratio.^dCompleteness of the unique diffraction data.^e $R\text{-factor} = \sum_h |F_O| - |F_C| / \sum_h F_O$ where F_O and F_C are the observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes for reflection h .^f R_{free} is calculated against a 10% random sampling of the reflections that were removed before structure refinement.^gRoot mean square deviation of bond lengths and bond angles.

Table 3.Circular Dichroism Properties for Several GR α_3 D Derivatives Compared to Literature Values for α_3 D^{41a}

	m (kcal mol ⁻¹ M ⁻¹)	C_m (M)	G_u (kcal mol ⁻¹)
α_3 D, pH 5	2.4	2.8	5.1
GR α_3 D, pH 5	2.79(0.11)	4.1(0.2)	11.4(0.1)
GR α_3 D, pH 8.5	3.11(0.23)	3.9(0.2)	12.0(0.3)
GR α_3 DChC2, pH 8.5	2.04(0.31)	1.9(0.2)	3.9(0.7)
GR α_3 DChC2 E41Q, pH 8.5	2.48(0.16)	1.7(0.2)	4.1(0.2)

^aParameters for GR α_3 D were calculated based on a two-state model of denaturation.⁷³

Table 4.
Spectroscopic Parameters of All Proteins Presented in This Work as Well as Cu(II) Signal Lifetimes

construct	σ LMCT λ_{max} (e)	π LMCT λ_{max} (e)	e_f/e_π	$g(x, y, z)$	$A_1 (\times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1})$	half-life of Cu(II) signal	E^0 (mV vs NHE)
α_3 DChC2	401 nm (4430)	499 nm (2020)	2.20	2.032, 2.027, 2.207	136	~8 h	+462 $\pm$ 14
GR α_3 DChC2	400 nm (3760)	490 nm (1600)	2.33	2.032, 2.032, 2.203	142	~8 h	+530
GR α_3 DChC2 R24A	399 nm (2520)	490 nm (1150)	2.22	2.034, 2.034, 2.209	142	~8 h	–
GR α_3 DChC2 R24M	399 nm (3480)	493 nm (1450)	2.39	2.026, 2.028, 2.198	138	~8 h	–
GR α_3 DChC2 E41Q	377 nm (5120)	490 nm (970)	5.27	2.029, 2.020, 2.212	154	~1 h	+510 $\pm$ 10
GR α_3 DChC2 E41A	373 nm (4090)	490 nm (720)	5.68	2.052, 2.020, 2.223	160	~20 min	+510 $\pm$ 10
GR α_3 DChC2 E41D	410 nm (4000)	510 nm (1550)	2.59	2.034, 2.033, 2.211	127	~8 h	+480
nitrosocyanin ^{12,21}	390 nm (7000)	490 nm (2200)	3.18	2.036, 2.059, 2.245	142	N/A	+85

Table 5.

Deconvolution of the Low-Temperature MCD Spectra of GR α_3 DChC2 and Its E41D variant, and Comparison to Nitrosocyanin

construct	band 1 (cm ⁻¹) σ CT	band 2 (cm ⁻¹) π CT	band 3 (cm ⁻¹) d _{xy}	band 4 (cm ⁻¹) d _z ²	band 5 (cm ⁻¹) d _{yz}
GR α_3 DChC2	27 173	21 345	17 825	15 432	13 800
GR α_3 DChC2 E41D	24 570	20 283	16 583	13 245	–
nitrosocyanin ²¹	25 550	20 350	17 500	15 000	12 900

Table 6.

Cu(I) EXAFS Fitting Parameters

construct	model	Cu-S		Cu-N	
		<i>R</i> (Å)	σ^2 ($\times 10^{-3} \text{ \AA}^2$)	<i>R</i> (Å)	σ^2 ($\times 10^{-3} \text{ \AA}^2$)
α_3 DChC2	CuHis ₁ S	2.19	3.5	1.92	6.1
	CuHis ₂ S	2.18	4.4	1.93	12.5
GR α_3 DChC2	CuHis ₁ S	2.17	5.1	1.92	8.9
	CuHis ₂ S	2.17	5.8	1.93	14.1
E4IQ	CuHis ₁ S	2.18	5.9	1.94	8.2
	CuHis ₂ S	2.17	6.8	1.95	12.9
E4IA	CuHis ₁ S	2.16	4.0	1.93	9.2
	CuHis ₂ S	2.16	4.3	1.95	16.2
E4ID	CuHis ₁ S	2.17	2.8	1.89	7.0
	CuHis ₂ S	2.17	3.7	1.90	11.8
nitrosocyanin ²¹	Cu(N/O) ₂ S	2.30	3.2	1.96	4.0
Sco C49A ⁷⁵	CuHis ₁ S	2.12	5	1.88	5

Table 7.

Cu(II) EXAFS Fitting Parameters

construct	model	Cu-S		Cu-N		Cu-O	
		<i>R</i> (Å)	σ^2 ($\times 10^{-3} \text{Å}^2$)	<i>R</i> (Å)	σ^2 ($\times 10^{-3} \text{Å}^2$)	<i>R</i> (Å)	σ^2 ($\times 10^{-3} \text{Å}^2$)
α_3 DChC2	CuHis ₂ S ₁ O ₁	2.18	5.6	1.94	6.0	2.07	1.6
GR α_3 DChC2	CuHis ₂ S ₁ O ₁	2.23	10.6	1.97	3.3	1.96	7.7
E41Q	CuHis ₂ S ₁ O ₁	2.19	8.3	1.94	5.7	2.06	8.3
E41A	CuHis ₂ S ₁ O ₁	2.18	8.6	1.94	7.2	2.07	6.9
E41D	CuHis ₂ S ₁ O ₁	2.20	5.6	1.93	9.0	2.03	4.7
nitrosocyanin ²¹	Cu(N/O) ₃ S ₁	2.30	3.2	1.96	5.8	–	–