Effects of Block Bone Substitutes Loaded with *Escherichia Coli*-Produced Recombinant Human Bone Morphogenetic Protein-2 on Space Maintenance and Bone Formation in Rat Calvarial Onlay Model

Jae-Sook Lee¹, Gyu-Un Jung², Eun-Kyoung Pang^{1,2*}

¹Department of Periodontology, School of Medicine, Ewha Womans University, Seoul, Korea

²Department of Periodontology, Mokdong Hospital, Ewha Womans University, Seoul, Korea

We aimed to evaluate the effects of onlay-type grafted human freeze-dried corticocancellous bone block (FDBB) and deproteinized bovine bone with collagen (DBBC) loaded with *Escherichia coli*-produced recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 (ErhBMP-2) on space maintenance and new bone formation in rat calvaria. Collagen sponge (CS), FDBB, or DBBC disks (8×4 mm) with ErhBMP-2 (2.5 µg) were implanted onto the calvaria of male Sprague-Dawley rats, whereas CS with buffer was implanted onto the calvaria as controls (n=20/carrier). Rats were killed at 2 or 8 weeks post-surgery for histologic and histomorphometric analyses; total augmented area, new bone area, and bone density were evaluated. At both time-points, all ErhBMP-2 groups showed significantly higher new bone area and bone density than the control group ($p<0.05$). ErhBMP-2/FDBB and ErhBMP-2/DBBC groups showed significantly higher total augmented area than ErhBMP-2/CS group (8 weeks), and ErhBMP-2/FDBB group showed significantly higher new bone area and bone density than ErhBMP-2/DBBC group ($p<0.05$). ErhBMP-2/CS group showed the highest bone density ($p<0.05$). Combining ErhBMP-2 with FDBB or DBBC could significantly improve onlay graft outcomes, by new bone formation and bone density increase. Moreover, onlay-grafted FDBB and DBBC with ErhBMP-2 could be an alternative to autogenous block onlay bone graft.

Tissue Eng Regen Med 2016;13(3):311-321

Key Words: Freeze-dried corticocancellous bone block; Deproteinized bovine bone with collagen; *Escherichia coli*-produced recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2; Onlay graft; Space maintenance; New bone formation

INTRODUCTION

Sufficient bone width and height are the prerequisite for functional and esthetic implant. Horizontal and vertical bone augmentation of severely destroyed alveolar ridge would be necessary, and various biomaterials and surgical techniques have been developed for bone augmentation [1].

Autogenous bone grafts have been considered the gold standard in reconstructive surgery because of their bone regeneration mechanisms through osteogenesis, osteoinduction, and osteoconduction [2]. However, reconstruction with autogenous

block onlay bone graft has shown clinical limitations such as donor site morbidity, limited volume of obtainable bone, increased time, the potential for complications, and pronounced resorption, especially in sites receiving mechanical load and soft tissue tension [3-5].

For these reasons, recent researches have been concentrating on the development and evaluation of allogenic or synthetic block type bone substitutes for onlay graft.

Allografts obtained from donors of the same species have biologic activity containing human mineralized component and collagen. The most common used form of allografts are frozen, freeze-dried, demineralizes freeze-dried, and irradiated. Freezing or freeze-drying the bone significantly reduced the antigenicity [6]. The freeze-dried bone allografts (FDBA) have been frequently applied for the periodontal and periimplant defects especially in particulated form. FDBA effectively enhanced space provision through long graft resorption time and induce induc-

Received: January 20, 2016

Revised: April 5, 2016

Accepted: April 8, 2016

***Corresponding author:** Eun-Kyoung Pang, Department of Periodontology, School of Medicine, Ewha Womans University, 1071 Anyangcheon-ro, Yangcheon-gu, Seoul 09785, Korea.

Tel: 82-2-2650-2725, Fax: 82-2-2650-5764, E-mail: ekpang@ewha.ac.kr

tive potential through growth factors stored in matrix [7].

Freeze-dried corticocancellous bone block (FDBB) has better mechanical stability compared to the particulated FDBA as well as osteoconductivity. Despite the successful results with FDBB in orthopedic surgery for decades, there have been only limited studies including case reports in alveolar ridge augmentation [8–11]. In our previous study, onlay grafts of human FDBB showed new bone formation in the rat calvarium through an osteoconduction. However, it appeared that *de novo* bone formation was limited [12].

Deproteinized bovine bone mineral (Bio-Oss®, Geistlich AG, Wolhusen, Switzerland) is a widely utilized commercial product in the form of cortical granules and well documented in periodontal and implant dentistry [13]. It is a natural matrix which is identical to the mineral phase of human bone. It has been reported to be highly osteoconductive and to have a very low resorption rate [14]. It has the macro- and micro-pore structure and the pores are of optimal size and configuration to facilitate vascular ingrowth, which is essential for new bone formation [15]. Thus, it was used as an efficient and affordable drug delivery systems [7]. However, despite the many advantages of deproteinized bovine bone mineral, it has also a shortcoming of particulated graft materials, i.e., difficulty in space maintenance without additional membrane.

Deproteinized bovine bone with collagen (DBBC) (Bio-Oss Collagen®, Geistlich AG, Wolhusen, Switzerland) consists of 90% of cancellous bovine bone granules and 10% of porcine collagen. Combining deproteinized bovine bone mineral with collagen enhances its handling characteristics making DBBC formable and easy to handle, and this give DBBC mechanical integrities. However, despite the many advantages of DBBC, xenogenic block grafts could be brittle and show low toughness when used in vertical bone augmentation [11]. It has shown that it served as a scaffold and preserved the ridge profile, but did not enhance the new bone formation [16]. Moreover, in our previous study the onlay grafted DBBC showed limited new bone formation, because the collagen of DBBC was absorbed after a few weeks and did not replace the barrier function of membrane [17]. Thus, it is necessary to combine an osteoinductive growth factor, such as bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) with FDBB and DBBC [18].

BMPs are a set of growth and differentiation factors acting on early osteoprogenitor cells so that they differentiate into mature osteoblasts. Several BMPs, including BMP-2, -4, -6 and -7, have been reported to have significant osteoinductive potential [19,20]. Among these, recombinant human BMP-2 (rhBMP-2) was found to have strong *in vivo* bone-inducing ability [20–22]. Previously, most rhBMPs have been produced in mammalian cells, such as the Chinese hamster ovary cells [23]. However, its

low yield (ng/mL) and high cost produced in this eukaryotic protein expression system might be a limitation for clinical applications. Therefore various attempts have been made to evaluate biologically active rhBMPs in *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), as an alternative to mammalian cells. It has shown comparable biologic activity in comparison with rhBMP produced in a eukaryotic system [24–26], and would enable the high yield of rhBMPs at low cost.

However, despite plenty of BMP researches, the ideal carrier has not yet been found. Absorbable collagen sponge (CS) is one of the most frequently used carriers of BMPs, and its regenerative capacity has been identified in many researches [27]. However, the structural stability was uncertain in some animal and human studies [28,29], it becomes victim to compressive forces especially when used for non-space-providing onlay indications [25]. If the block type bone substitutes such as FDBB and DBBC are used for BMPs' carrier, we might expect that the cancellous portion of FDBB or the porous structure of DBBC could entrap the BMPs and release them slowly and, in addition, the rigid structure of them could provide resistance against compressive force.

Therefore, in this study, we used three carriers—CS, FDBB, and DBBC—loaded with *Escherichia coli*-produced recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 in rat calvarium. The aims of the current study were to evaluate the effects of onlay type grafted FDBB and DBBC loaded with ErhBMP-2 on the space maintenance and new bone formation in the rat calvarium and to elucidate the efficacy of onlay grafts with FDBB and DBBC in point of eliminating the need for autogenous block onlay bone graft.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Eighty male Sprague-Dawley rats (200–300 g) were used and maintained in cages in a room with 7-h day/night cycles, an ambient temperature of 21°C, and a standard laboratory pellet diet. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use committee, Ewha Medical Center, Seoul, Korea (confirmation number: ESM 12-0188).

Materials

The expression of rhBMP-2 in *E. coli* was performed at the Research Institute of Cowellmedi Co. Ltd., Busan, Korea. Absorbable atelo CS (TERUPLUG®, Olympus Terumo Biomaterials, Tokyo, Japan) is cross-linked by heat treatment for biocompatibility. FDBB (AlloBone, Osteo.in, Seoul, Korea), and DBBC, a xenograft blended of granules of deproteinized bovine bone (90%) and purified porcine collagen fibers (10%) (Bio-Oss® Collagen; Geistlich

Pharma AG, Wolhusen, Switzerland), is sterilized by gamma irradiation. All the materials were trimmed into disk shape with 8 mm in diameter and 4 mm in height (Fig. 1).

Surgical procedures

The animals were anesthetized by an intramuscular injection [0.1 mL/10 g of a 3:2 solution of tiletamine and zolazepam (Zoletil®, Virbac, TX, USA); xylazine HCl (Rumpun®, Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany)]. After full-thickness flap elevation, the recipient bed was subjected to six 1-mm wide monocortical perforations using the round burs. ErhBMP-2 (Cowellmedi Co., Ltd., Busan, Korea) was diluted in a solubilization buffer to concentrations of 0.025 mg/mL. Disk-shaped CS, FDBB, and DBBC were loaded with 0.1-mL ErhBMP-2 solution to produce implanted concentrations of 2.5 µg. For control, the CS was loaded with buffer alone. ErhBMP-2 was allowed to bind for 5 min, and implanted on the parietal bone and stabilized with horizontal mattress suture. The periosteum was replaced at the original site and sutured. The animals were divided into four groups, 1) control, 2) ErhBMP-2/CS, 3) ErhBMP-2/FDBB, and 4) ErhBMP-2/DBBC, of 20 animals each and allowed to heal for 2 (n=10) or 8 (n=10) weeks (Table 1, Fig. 2).

Histologic and histomorphometric analysis

The rats were sacrificed at 2 and 8 weeks after surgery. The specimens were harvested, fixed in 10% neutral formalin, decalcified and embedded in paraffin. They were sagittally sectioned in 4 µm thickness and stained with hematoxylin-eosin. The most central sections were evaluated. Computer-assisted histomorphometric measurements were obtained using an automated image analysis system (Image-Pro Plus®, Media Cybernetics, Silver Spring, MD, USA) coupled with a videocamera on a light

microscope (Eclipse 50i, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Sections were examined at a magnification of 10× and 100×.

Histomorphometric parameters were defined as follows (Fig. 3): Total augmented area (mm²)=All tissues within the boundaries of newly formed bone including newly formed bone, residual graft materials, and fibrovascular tissues

· New bone area (mm²)=Area of newly formed bone within the total augmented area

· Bone density (%)=Percentage of new bone area to the total augmentation area (New bone area/Total augmented area)×100.

Statistical analysis

Histomorphometric recordings from the samples were used to calculate means and standard deviations. For the comparisons among the treatment groups, Kruskal-Wallis test was used. Mann-Whitney U test was used for the comparison between 2 and 8 weeks recordings within each group. The post-hoc Scheffé's test was used to analyze the difference between the groups ($p<0.05$).

Table 1. Experimental groups

Group	No. of animals	
	2 weeks	8 weeks
Control (CS)	10	10
ErhBMP-2/CS	10	10
ErhBMP-2/FDBB	10	10
ErhBMP-2/DBBC	10	10

CS: collagen sponge, ErhBMP: *Escherichia coli*-produced recombinant human, FDBB: freeze-dried corticocancellous bone block, DBBC: deproteinized bovine bone with collagen

Figure 1. Preparation of graft materials (A) CS, (B) FDBB, (C) DBBC, (D) application of ErhBMP-2. CS: collagen sponge, FDBB: freeze-dried corticocancellous bone block, DBBC: deproteinized bovine bone with collagen, ErhBMP-2: escherichia coli-produced recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2.

RESULTS

Clinical observations

Wound healing was generally uneventful for all animals except one that belonged to the ErhBMP-2/CS group at 2 weeks and one that belonged to the ErhBMP-2/FDBB group at 8 weeks. These animals died for unknown reasons. No material exposure or other complications were observed at the surgical sites.

Histologic observations

Control groups

No suggestive image of new bone deposition was observed, and the volume of the graft material was collapsed prominently at 2 weeks (Fig. 4). At 8 weeks, limited bone formations were observed and volumes were collapsed more than at 2 weeks (Fig. 5).

ErhBMP-2/CS groups

At 2 weeks, newly formed immature bone was observed in the recipient-graft interface, and CS was much more resorbed, but still observed (Fig. 4). At 8 weeks, the CS was completely resorbed and almost replaced with the newly formed bone and the original bone was barely distinguishable from the new bone. In addition, the new bone had matured compared to that observed at 2 weeks. However, the graft volume was prominently collapsed at both 2 and 8 weeks (Fig. 5).

ErhBMP-2/FDBB groups

At 2 weeks, the osteogenesis was evident on the lateral margins of the grafted block and narrowly appeared on the central areas. The graft still appeared to be highly preserved (Fig. 4). At 8 weeks, the cancellous portion of the FDBB was considerably resorbed and the remodeling and osteogenesis process invaded the central region of the recipient-graft interface and intense bone formation adjacent to the graft and host bone could be observed. However, the cortical portion of the graft was not resorbed yet and the volume was still highly preserved. Newly

formed bone was integrated into the original bone. For this reason, it was difficult to distinguish the location of the original defect margin (Fig. 5).

ErhBMP-2/DBBC groups

At 2 weeks, insignificant volume change of the graft material was appeared and newly formed immature bone was observed on the lateral margins of the grafted block as well as interface. A large number of residual DBBC particles were observed within the new bone (Fig. 4). Although the volume of the graft was slightly decreased, the grafted area was filled with newly formed bone at 8 weeks. The appearance of the new bone at 8 weeks was more lamellar than that at 2 weeks (Fig. 5).

Histomorphometric analysis

Twelve specimens were excluded due to technical complications in the histological processing. A total of 66 specimens were investigated histomorphometrically.

Total augmented area

The experimental groups showed significantly larger at both 2 and 8 weeks compared to the control group ($p < 0.05$). All groups showed a significant decrease between 2 and 8 weeks ($p < 0.05$). The ErhBMP-2/FDBB and ErhBMP-2/DBBC groups showed significantly larger at both 2 and 8 weeks compared to the ErhBMP-2/CS group ($p < 0.05$). And the ErhBMP-2/DBBC group showed significantly larger at both 2 ($56.75 \pm 2.79 \text{ mm}^2$) and 8 weeks ($43.99 \pm 6.79 \text{ mm}^2$) compared to the ErhBMP-2/FDBB group ($36.19 \pm 3.00 \text{ mm}^2$ and $38.34 \pm 1.73 \text{ mm}^2$, respectively) ($p < 0.05$) (Table 2).

New bone area

The new bone area of the experimental groups showed significantly larger at both 2 and 8 weeks than that of the control group ($p < 0.05$). It was significantly larger at 8 weeks than at 2 weeks ($p < 0.05$). The ErhBMP-2/FDBB and ErhBMP-2/DBBC groups showed significantly larger at 8 weeks compared to the

Figure 2. The graft materials were implanted onto the rat calvaria. (A) ErhBMP-2/CS, (B) ErhBMP-2/FDBB, (C) ErhBMP-2/DBBC. ErhBMP-2: *Escherichia coli*-produced recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2, CS: collagen sponge, FDBB: freeze-dried corticocancellous bone block, DBBC: deproteinized bovine bone with collagen.

ErhBMP-2/CS group ($p<0.05$). The ErhBMP-2/FDBB group showed significantly larger at both 2 ($6.63\pm1.14\text{ mm}^2$) and 8 weeks ($21.74\pm4.57\text{ mm}^2$) compared to the ErhBMP-2/DBBC group ($4.65\pm1.09\text{ mm}^2$ and $12.57\pm4.94\text{ mm}^2$, respectively) ($p<0.05$) (Table 3).

Figure 3. Schematic drawing to show the histomorphometric analysis of the calvarial onlay model.

Bone density

The experimental groups showed significantly higher bone density at both 2 and 8 weeks compared to the control ($p<0.05$). It was higher at 8 weeks than at 2 weeks ($p<0.05$). The ErhBMP-2/CS group showed significantly higher at 8 weeks compared to both the ErhBMP-2/FDBB and the ErhBMP-2/DBBC groups ($p<0.05$). The ErhBMP-2/FDBB group showed significantly higher at both 2 ($18.38\pm3.21\text{ mm}^2$) and 8 weeks ($56.99\pm12.92\text{ mm}^2$) than the ErhBMP-2/DBBC group ($8.16\pm1.65\text{ mm}^2$ and $27.89\pm6.83\text{ mm}^2$) ($p<0.05$) (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

The onlay graft model was used in this study to evaluate the potential of graft materials for ridge augmentation. In case of inlay graft such as osseous defect, the graft materials are scarcely affected by biomechanics, so it is difficult to evaluate the effect of surrounding tissues. However, the materials implanted directly onto defect would receive pressure from the periosteum, mucosa, or skin, and the onlay graft model is considered to be

Figure 4. Representative photomicrographs of each group at 2 weeks. (a, a') control group, (b, b') ErhBMP-2/CS group, (c, c') ErhBMP-2/FDBB group, (d, d') ErhBMP-2/DBBC group (a', b', c', d') Region of interest (ROI) in a, b, c, d, arrowhead: grafted area margin. PB: pre-existing bone, NB: new bone, H-E stain, original magnification: a, b, c, d $\times 10$, a', b', c', d' $\times 100$. ErhBMP-2: *Escherichia coli*-produced recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2, CS: collagen sponge, BMP-2: bone morphogenetic protein-2, FDBB: freeze-dried corticocancellous bone block, DBBC: deproteinized bovine bone with collagen. (Continued to the next page)

more beneficial than inlay to evaluate the effectiveness for ridge augmentation [30].

BMPs are known to possess the ability not only to stimulate differentiation of uncommitted mesenchymal stem cells, but to enhance the differentiated function of osteoblasts [31]. We have previously investigated the effects of onlay grafts of FDBB and DBBC on bone formation in rat calvarium. These materials appeared to be effective in bone formation and followed the osteoconductive mechanism. However, it appears that new bone formation is limited owing to the lack of osteoinductivity [17]. In this study, ErhBMP-2 was combined with those materials for accelerating bone regeneration through osteoinduction. In our results, the experimental groups showed significantly larger new bone area at both 2 and 8 weeks compared to the control. These findings suggest that ErhBMP-2 might play an important role in the osteogenic mechanism as an osteoinductive molecule.

Many studies have attempted to determine the dose dependency of rhBMP-2, but this remains inconclusive. Kübler et al. [32] used 0.4, 4, and 40 mg doses of ErhBMP-2 and found a correlation between formation of new bone and ErhBMP-2 dose in

ectopic implants. On the other hand, Lee et al. [31] investigated the efficacy of ErhBMP-2 doses of 2.5 mg or higher. They failed to show dose dependency in the rat calvarial defect model. Jang et al. [18] reported no dose dependency in the rat when the following doses of rhBMP-2 were used: 2.5, 5.0, 10.0, and 20.0 μ g. Similarly, Pang et al. [33] found no dose dependency of rhBMP-4 in the rat calvarial defect model. Therefore, in this study, we used 2.5 μ g doses of ErhBMP-2. Although the dose applied in this study may not be the minimum effective dose, we used it because low concentrations of ErhBMP-2 (2.5 μ g) used in many previous studies did not show a significant dose-dependent response [33–36]. Future studies are needed to determine the minimum effective dose by using various doses lower than 2.5 μ g.

We observed remarkable new bone formation in the ErhBMP-2-treated groups compared to the control. In our results, experimental groups at 8 weeks showed normal bone-forming process, including Haversian systems, cement lines, and marrow spaces.

Among the groups, the ErhBMP-2/FDBB group showed the most significant increase in new bone area and new bone formation at the recipient-graft interface. In fact, FDBB alone truly

Figure 4. (Continued from the previous page) Representative photomicrographs of each group at 2 weeks. (a, a') control group, (b, b') ErhBMP-2/CS group, (c, c') ErhBMP-2/FDBB group, (d, d') ErhBMP-2/DBBC group (a', b', c', d') Region of interest (ROI) in a, b, c, d, arrow head: grafted area margin. PB: pre-existing bone, NB: new bone, H-E stain, original magnification: a, b, c, d×10, a', b', c', d'×100. ErhBMP-2: *Escherichia coli*-produced recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2, CS: collagen sponge, BMP-2: bone morphogenetic protein-2, FDBB: freeze-dried corticocancellous bone block, DBBC: deproteinized bovine bone with collagen.

act as an osteoconductive scaffold by stabilizing the blood clot and facilitating the cell ingrowth.

FDBA can be used in either a mineralized (FDBA) or demineralized (DFDBA) form. Demineralization process removes the mineral phase of the graft material and purportedly exposes the underlying bone collagen and possibly some growth factors, particularly bone morphogenetic collagen (BMP), which may in-

crease its osteoinductive capacities [37]. FDBA may form bone by osteoconduction, and it hardens faster than DFDBA because it is mineralized. However, a previous study evaluating FDBA to DFDBA revealed no statistical difference in periodontal bone gain between the two allografts [38]. Because demineralization process was not performed on FDBB, it is likely that FDBB could be accelerating new bone formation mainly by osteoconduction

Figure 5. Representative photomicrographs of each group at 8 weeks. (a, a') control group, (b, b') ErhBMP-2/CS group, (c, c', c'') ErhBMP-2/FDBB group, (d, d', d'') ErhBMP-2/DBBC group (a', b', c', c'', d', d''). Region of interest (ROI) in a, b, c, d, arrowhead: grafted area margin. PB: pre-existing bone, NB: new bone, H-E stain, original magnification: a, b, c, d×10, a', b', c', c'', d', d'×100. ErhBMP-2: *Escherichia coli*-produced recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2, CS: collagen sponge, BMP-2: bone morphogenetic protein-2, FDBB: freeze-dried corticocancellous bone block, DBBC: deproteinized bovine bone with collagen.

without intrinsic potential of osteogenesis and osteoinduction and act as carriers for growth factors and morphogens. Therefore, the combination of the FDBB with the ErhBMP-2 has generated the osteogenic potential to stimulate osteoblast differentiation and vascular proliferation.

Furthermore, in contrast to the control and the ErhBMP-2/CS

Table 2. Total augmented area

Group	n	2 weeks	n	8 weeks
Control (CS)	9	7.58±1.53	8	5.49±1.35 [§]
ErhBMP-2/CS	7	23.44±1.35*	9	17.19±1.73* [§]
ErhBMP-2/FDBB	9	36.19±3.00*†	9	38.34±1.73*† [§]
ErhBMP-2/DBBC	6	56.75±2.79*†‡	9	43.99±6.79*†‡ [§]

Mean±standard deviation; mm², n=number of specimens. *statistically significant difference compared to control group ($p<0.05$), †statistically significant difference compared to ErhBMP-2/CS group ($p<0.05$), ‡statistically significant difference compared to ErhBMP-2/FDBB group ($p<0.05$), §statistically significant difference compared to 2 weeks group ($p<0.05$). CS: collagen sponge, ErhBMP: *Escherichia coli*-produced recombinant human, FDBB: freeze-dried corticocancellous bone block, DBBC: deproteinized bovine bone with collagen

Table 3. New bone area

Group	n	2 weeks	n	8 weeks
Control (CS)	9	0.08±0.08	8	0.16±0.10
ErhBMP-2/CS	7	5.04±0.68*	9	13.39±1.53* [§]
ErhBMP-2/FDBB	9	6.63±1.14*†‡	9	21.74±4.57*†‡ [§]
ErhBMP-2/DBBC	6	4.65±1.09*	9	12.57±4.94*† [§]

Mean±standard deviation; mm², n=number of specimens. *statistically significant difference compared to control group ($p<0.05$), †statistically significant difference compared to ErhBMP-2/CS group ($p<0.05$), ‡statistically significant difference compared to ErhBMP-2/DBBC group ($p<0.05$), §statistically significant difference compared to 2 weeks group ($p<0.05$). CS: collagen sponge, ErhBMP: *Escherichia coli*-produced recombinant human, FDBB: freeze-dried corticocancellous bone block, DBBC: deproteinized bovine bone with collagen

Table 4. Bone density

Group	n	2 weeks	n	8 weeks
Control	9	0.95±0.96	8	2.65±1.18 [§]
ErhBMP-2/CS	7	21.57±3.06*†	9	77.78±1.64*†‡ [§]
ErhBMP-2/FDBB	9	18.38±3.21*†	9	56.99±12.92*† [§]
ErhBMP-2/DBBC	6	8.16±1.65*	9	27.89±6.83* [§]

Mean±standard deviation; %, n=number of specimens. *statistically significant difference compared to control group ($p<0.05$), †statistically significant difference compared to ErhBMP-2/FDBB group ($p<0.05$), ‡statistically significant difference compared to ErhBMP-2/DBBC group ($p<0.05$), §statistically significant difference compared to 2 weeks group ($p<0.05$). CS: collagen sponge, ErhBMP: *Escherichia coli*-produced recombinant human, FDBB: freeze-dried corticocancellous bone block, DBBC: deproteinized bovine bone with collagen

group the volume of the FDBB appeared to be highly preserved at 2 and 8 weeks. The increased cortical micro-architecture of the FDBB might have preserved the original bone volume over time [39,40]. We assumed that the cortical portion of the FDBBs allowed for mechanical stability, while the cancellous portion induced vascular infiltration and subsequent integration. In comparison with absorbable collagen carrier, block type allogeneic graft carrier (FDBB) exhibited remarkable space maintenance and enhanced new bone formation in this study. The tensional force or pressure may jeopardize the new bone formation and newly formed bone may be vulnerable by the force in the collagen carrier [41]. Thoma et al. [42] had a similar finding that the rhBMP-2 with block allograft provided the greatest ridge width compared to absorbable CS under a titanium mesh, in a lateral augmentation of the mandibular canine area. These indicated that the optimal delivery of BMPs required the structural stability which could be beneficial in facilitating osteoinductive effects.

In the ErhBMP-2/DBBC group, newly formed bone was found not only at recipient-graft interface but also in the augmentation area far from the original bone, whereas new bone formation was shown mainly at the recipient-graft interface in the ErhBMP-2/FDBB group. We assumed that the collagen within the DBBC was able to hold the ErhBMP-2 and release it in the early stage of healing. Furthermore, the pores in the DBBC which are of optimal size and configuration could facilitate vascular ingrowth [15], and entrap the ErhBMP-2 to make its prolonged diffusion possible. In this way, DBBC could make the controlled release of the ErhBMP-2 possible. The porous structure allows cells and newly forming tissues to migrate into it, so provides new bone formation and sufficient firmness against soft tissue pressure [33].

In our results of the ErhBMP-2/DBBC group, the total augmented area of appeared to be highly preserved at 2 weeks (56.75 mm²) but significantly reduced at 8 weeks (43.99 mm²). This may be because the porcine collagen which was included in the DBBC expanded rapidly in the initial stage of healing but underwent gradual degradation over time, particularly in the center of the DBBC (Fig. 4). Nonetheless, the total augmented area of the ErhBMP-2/DBBC group was significantly higher at 8 weeks compared to that in the ErhBMP-2/CS and the ErhBMP-2/FDBB groups ($p<0.05$). Previous studies have described that collagen coated alloplastic grafts facilitate the bone generation [43]. They interpreted that the role of collagen was to imbibe the blood easily and make the matrix formation reliable. Similarly, in our result, the addition of collagen in DBBC could benefit the bone regeneration in the same way.

Furthermore, the porous structure allows cells and newly forming tissues to migrate into it, so provides new bone formation and

sufficient firmness against soft tissue pressure [33]. The slowly resorbed demineralized bone particles has remained and attributed to the maintenance of augmented volume. The resorption time of deproteinized bovine bone matrix is very slow. The particles remained intact for 3–6 months and were remodeled during 18–24 months. Therefore during that time they could act as a scaffold for inducing bone formation via osteoconductive mechanism. In this way, the slow resorption rate could be beneficial to maintain the space during the early phase of bone formation. However, there is a growing controversy whether the prolonged resorption time has any clinical or histological adverse effect. Nevertheless, when the bone substitute was used as the carrier of a growth factor, the resorption process might be accelerated because the growth factor promotes the bone formation rate [44], and the resorption process did not interrupt bone formation and maturation as well [44].

Various carriers have been investigated but the ideal carrier is yet to be determined. CS is one of the most widely used carriers [45–47]. Although it has remarkable bone formation capacity, it didn't have enough mechanical strength against the compressive forces from flap and lip [33,48]. Thus, the use of DBBC as a carrier could have the strengths of CS, and compensate the weaknesses of CS as well.

Even though the total augmented area of the ErhBMP-2/DBBC group was significantly higher than that of the ErhBMP-2/FDBB groups ($p < 0.05$), the shape of the augmented bone of the ErhBMP-2/DBBC group was rounded at the edge of the DBBC. On the other hand the shape of the augmented bone of the ErhBMP-2/FDBB group was highly preserved. We assumed that it was due to the fast resorption of the collagen. Therefore, further studies using additional membranes are needed to redeem the shortcomings.

Bone density was significantly higher in the ErhBMP-2/CS group compared to the other groups. In fact, the amount of new bone area was similar to that of the ErhBMP-2/DBBC group, so, the greatest density might be attributed to its smallest total augmentation area. Although CS may be considered an effective carrier for ErhBMP-2, it is vulnerable to compressive forces when used for onlay indications [33,42,45]. Jung et al. [49] also reported that the collagen carrier did not fulfill the expectations of maintaining space for bone formation by ErhBMP-2. Furthermore, in terms of releasing of BMPs, CS and graft materials have shown different features that graft materials revealed sustainable releasing of BMPs as compared with collagen [50].

In this study, we could only examine early stage effects because of the short experimental periods. Therefore, further studies are required to clarify the effect of ErhBMP-2 on the later stages to elucidate the precise role of ErhBMP-2 during bone maturation.

Conclusions

Within the limits of the present study, it was concluded that outcomes of onlay graft are significantly improved, especially in terms of new bone formation and space maintenance, when ErhBMP-2 is combined with FDBB, or DBBC. FDBB and DBBC can be one of the effective carriers of ErhBMP-2, and the onlay grafts with FDBB and DBBC may be an alternative to autogenous block onlay bone grafts for the reconstruction of severely destroyed alveolar ridge.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

Ethical Statement

This study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use committee, Ewha Medical Center, Seoul, Korea (confirmation number: ESM 12-0188).

REFERENCES

- Esposito M, Grusovin MG, Felice P, Karatzopoulos G, Worthington HV, Coulthard P. The efficacy of horizontal and vertical bone augmentation procedures for dental implants - a Cochrane systematic review. *Eur J Oral Implantol* 2009;2:167-184.
- Tadic D, Epple M. A thorough physicochemical characterisation of 14 calcium phosphate-based bone substitution materials in comparison to natural bone. *Biomaterials* 2004;25:987-994.
- Chesmel KD, Branger J, Wertheim H, Scarborough N. Healing response to various forms of human demineralized bone matrix in athymic rat cranial defects. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1998;56:857-863; discussion 864-865.
- Mellonig JT. Histologic and clinical evaluation of an allogeneic bone matrix for the treatment of periodontal osseous defects. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2006;26:561-569.
- Hämmerle CH, Chiantella GC, Karring T, Lang NP. The effect of a deproteinized bovine bone mineral on bone regeneration around titanium dental implants. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1998;9:151-162.
- Friedlaender GE, Strong DM, Sell KW. Studies on the antigenicity of bone. I. Freeze-dried and deep-frozen bone allografts in rabbits. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1976;58:854-858.
- Sheikh Z, Sima C, Glogauer M. Bone replacement materials and techniques used for achieving vertical alveolar bone augmentation. *Materials* 2015;8:2953-2993.
- Peleg M, Sawatari Y, Marx RN, Santoro J, Cohen J, Bejarano P, et al. Use of corticocancellous allogeneic bone blocks for augmentation of alveolar bone defects. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2010;25:153-162.
- Leonetti JA, Koup R. Localized maxillary ridge augmentation with a block allograft for dental implant placement: case reports. *Implant Dent* 2003;12:217-226.
- Keith JD Jr. Localized ridge augmentation with a block allograft followed by secondary implant placement: a case report. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2004;24:11-17.
- Morelli T, Neiva R, Wang HL. Human histology of allogeneic block grafts for alveolar ridge augmentation: case report. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2009;29:649-656.
- Chung H, Hong JY, Jung GU, Pang EK. The effect of human freeze dried corticocancellous block onlay graft on bone formation in rat calvarium. *Tissue Eng Regen Med* 2015;12:113-120.
- Alayan J, Vaquette C, Farah C, Ivanovski S. A histomorphometric assess-

- ment of collagen-stabilized anorganic bovine bone mineral in maxillary sinus augmentation - a prospective clinical trial. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2015 Sep 16 [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1111/clr.12694.
14. Berglundh T, Lindhe J. Healing around implants placed in bone defects treated with Bio-Oss. An experimental study in the dog. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1997;8:117-124.
 15. Daculsi G, Passuti N. Effect of the macroporosity for osseous substitution of calcium phosphate ceramics. *Biomaterials* 1990;11:86-7.
 16. Araújo MG, Lindhe J. Ridge preservation with the use of Bio-Oss collagen: a 6-month study in the dog. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2009;20:433-440.
 17. Jung GU, Hong SJ, Hong JY, Pang EK. Histomorphometric evaluation of onlay freeze-dried block bone and deproteinized bovine bone with collagen in rat. *Tissue Eng Regen Med* 2016;13:70-77.
 18. Jang JW, Yun JH, Lee KI, Jang JW, Jung UW, Kim CS, et al. Osteoinductive activity of biphasic calcium phosphate with different rhBMP-2 doses in rats. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 2012;113:480-487.
 19. Hughes FJ, Collyer J, Stanfield M, Goodman SA. The effects of bone morphogenetic protein-2, -4, and -6 on differentiation of rat osteoblast cells in vitro. *Endocrinology* 1995;136:2671-2677.
 20. Hyun SJ, Han DK, Choi SH, Chai JK, Cho KS, Kim CK, et al. Effect of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2, -4, and -7 on bone formation in rat calvarial defects. *J Periodontol* 2005;76:1667-1674.
 21. Kim CS, Kim JI, Kim J, Choi SH, Chai JK, Kim CK, et al. Ectopic bone formation associated with recombinant human bone morphogenetic proteins-2 using absorbable collagen sponge and beta tricalcium phosphate as carriers. *Biomaterials* 2005;26:2501-2507.
 22. Miranda DA, Blumenthal NM, Sorensen RG, Wozney JM, Wikesjö UM. Evaluation of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 on the repair of alveolar ridge defects in baboons. *J Periodontol* 2005;76:210-220.
 23. Israel DI, Nove J, Kerns KM, Moutsatsos IK, Kaufman RJ. Expression and characterization of bone morphogenetic protein-2 in Chinese hamster ovary cells. *Growth Factors* 1992;7:139-150.
 24. Vallejo LF, Brokelmann M, Marten S, Trappe S, Cabrera-Crespo J, Hoffmann A, et al. Renaturation and purification of bone morphogenetic protein-2 produced as inclusion bodies in high-cell-density cultures of recombinant *Escherichia coli*. *J Biotechnol* 2002;94:185-194.
 25. Bessho K, Konishi Y, Kaihara S, Fujimura K, Okubo Y, Izuka T. Bone induction by *Escherichia coli*-derived recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 compared with Chinese hamster ovary cell-derived recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2000;38:645-649.
 26. Long S, Truong L, Bennett K, Phillips A, Wong-Staal F, Ma H. Expression, purification, and renaturation of bone morphogenetic protein-2 from *Escherichia coli*. *Protein Expr Purif* 2006;46:374-378.
 27. Triplett RG, Nevins M, Marx RE, Spagnoli DB, Oates TW, Moy PK, et al. Pivotal, randomized, parallel evaluation of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2/absorbable collagen sponge and autogenous bone graft for maxillary sinus floor augmentation. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2009;67:1947-1960.
 28. Lu SX, Fiorini T, Lee J, Prasad HS, Buxton AN, Bisch FC, et al. Evaluation of a compression resistant matrix for recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2. *J Clin Periodontol* 2013;40:688-697.
 29. Choi Y, Yun JH, Kim CS, Choi SH, Chai JK, Jung UW. Sinus augmentation using absorbable collagen sponge loaded with *Escherichia coli*-expressed recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein 2 in a standardized rabbit sinus model: a radiographic and histologic analysis. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2012;23:682-689.
 30. Fujita R, Yokoyama A, Kawasaki T, Kohgo T. Bone augmentation osteogenesis using hydroxyapatite and beta-tricalcium phosphate blocks. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2003;61:1045-1053.
 31. Lee JH, Kim CS, Choi KH, Jung UW, Yun JH, Choi SH, et al. The induction of bone formation in rat calvarial defects and subcutaneous tissues by recombinant human BMP-2, produced in *Escherichia coli*. *Biomaterials* 2010;31:3512-3519.
 32. Kübler NR, Reuther JE, Faller G, Kirchner T, Ruppert R, Sebold W. Inductive properties of recombinant human BMP-2 produced in a bacterial expression system. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1998;27:305-309.
 33. Pang EK, Im SU, Kim CS, Choi SH, Chai JK, Kim CK, et al. Effect of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-4 dose on bone formation in a rat calvarial defect model. *J Periodontol* 2004;75:1364-1370.
 34. Wikesjö UM, Guglielmoni P, Promsudthi A, Cho KS, Trombelli L, Selvig KA, et al. Periodontal repair in dogs: effect of rhBMP-2 concentration on regeneration of alveolar bone and periodontal attachment. *J Clin Periodontol* 1999;26:392-400.
 35. Tatakis DN, Koh A, Jin L, Wozney JM, Rohrer MD, Wikesjö UM. Peri-implant bone regeneration using recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 in a canine model: a dose-response study. *J Periodontol Res* 2002;37:93-100.
 36. Kenley R, Marden L, Turek T, Jin L, Ron E, Hollinger JO. Osseous regeneration in the rat calvarium using novel delivery systems for recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 (rhBMP-2). *J Biomed Mater Res* 1994;28:1139-1147.
 37. Boyce T, Edwards J, Scarborough N. Allograft bone. The influence of processing on safety and performance. *Orthop Clin North Am* 1999;30:571-581.
 38. Rummelhart JM, Mellonig JT, Gray JL, Towle HJ. A comparison of freeze-dried bone allograft and demineralized freeze-dried bone allograft in human periodontal osseous defects. *J Periodontol* 1989;60:655-663.
 39. Ozaki W, Buchman SR. Volume maintenance of onlay bone grafts in the craniofacial skeleton: micro-architecture versus embryologic origin. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1998;102:291-299.
 40. Ozaki W, Buchman SR, Goldstein SA, Fyhrrie DP. A comparative analysis of the microarchitecture of cortical membranous and cortical endochondral onlay bone grafts in the craniofacial skeleton. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1999;104:139-147.
 41. Wikesjö UM, Huang YH, Polimeni G, Qahash M. Bone morphogenetic proteins: a realistic alternative to bone grafting for alveolar reconstruction. *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am* 2007;19:535-551, vi-vii.
 42. Thoma DS, Jones A, Yamashita M, Edmunds R, Nevins M, Cochran DL. Ridge augmentation using recombinant bone morphogenetic protein-2 techniques: an experimental study in the canine. *J Periodontol* 2010;81:1829-1838.
 43. Yang CR, Wang YJ, Chen XF, Zhao NR. Biomimetic fabrication of BCP/COL/HCA scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. *Mater Lett* 2005;59:3635-3640.
 44. Lynch SE. 18. Bone regeneration techniques in the orofacial region. Lieberman JR, Friedlaender GE, editors. *Bone Regeneration and Repair: Biology and Clinical Applications*. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press Inc.; 2015. p. 359-390.
 45. Barboza EP, Duarte ME, Geolós L, Sorensen RG, Riedel GE, Wikesjö UM. Ridge augmentation following implantation of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 in the dog. *J Periodontol* 2000;71:488-496.
 46. Sigurdsson TJ, Nygaard L, Tatakis DN, Fu E, Turek TJ, Jin L, et al. Periodontal repair in dogs: evaluation of rhBMP-2 carriers. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 1996;16:524-537.
 47. Choi SH, Kim CK, Cho KS, Huh JS, Sorensen RG, Wozney JM, et al. Effect of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2/absorbable collagen sponge (rhBMP-2/ACS) on healing in 3-wall intrabony defects in dogs. *J Periodontol* 2002;73:63-72.
 48. Jung UW, Choi SY, Pang EK, Kim CS, Choi SH, Cho KS. The effect of varying the particle size of beta tricalcium phosphate carrier of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-4 on bone formation in rat calvarial defects. *J Periodontol* 2006;77:765-772.
 49. Jung JH, Yun JH, Um YJ, Jung UW, Kim CS, Choi SH, et al. Bone forma-

- tion of *Escherichia coli* expressed rhBMP-2 on absorbable collagen block in rat calvarial defects. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2011;111:298-305.
50. Hsu EL, Ghodasra JH, Ashtekar A, Nickoli MS, Lee SS, Stupp SI, et al. A comparative evaluation of factors influencing osteoinductivity among scaffolds designed for bone regeneration. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2013;19:1764-1772.