Published in final edited form as:

Alcohol. 2019 February ; 74: 21–27. doi:10.1016/j.alcohol.2018.03.004.

Insights from Intoxicated *Drosophila*

Emily Petrucci¹ and Karla R. Kaun¹

¹Neuroscience Department, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, USA

Abstract

Our understanding of Alcohol Use Disorder (AUD), particularly alcohol's effect in the nervous system, has unquestionably benefited from the use of model systems such as *Drosophila melanogaster*. Here, we briefly introduce the use of flies in alcohol research, and highlight the genetic accessibility and neurobiological contribution flies have made to our understanding of AUD. Future fly research offers unique opportunities for addressing unresolved questions in the alcohol field such as the neuromolecular and circuit basis for cravings and alcohol-induced neuroimmune dysfunction. This review strongly advocates for interdisciplinary approaches and translational collaborations with the united goal of tackling the major health problems associated with alcohol abuse and addiction.

Keywords

alcohol; addiction; *Drosophila*; model organism; brain; behavior; genetics; circuits; neuroimmune

Introduction

For over a century now, *Drosophila melanogaster* (Latin for “dew-loving black-bellied”), hereinafter flies, have reigned as a premier genetic model organism. Over 200 human diseases can be modeled in flies, including Alcohol Use Disorder (AUD) (<http://flybase.org/lists/FBhh/>). Within the past 20 years, flies have proven to be a promising system in which to discover the genetic underpinnings of alcohol sensitivity, tolerance, and addiction (Rodan and Rothenfluh, 2010; Kaun et al., 2012; Devineni and Heberlein, 2013; Park et al., 2017). Fly research continues to provide exceptional genetic tools, bioinformatic approaches, and behavioral analyses that extend and refine our knowledge of alcohol abuse and addiction.

In nature, flies consume and lay their eggs on fermenting fruit (up to 4.5% ethanol) (Gibson et al., 1981; Dudley, 2004). Despite this ecological routine, flies are still susceptible to alcohol's intoxicating effects. Similar to mammals, as internal alcohol levels rise, flies become hyperactive, uncoordinated, and eventually sedate (Wolf et al., 2002). Flies also show both rapid (pharmacokinetic) and long-term (pharmacodynamic) tolerance upon

Correspondence Author: Karla R. Kaun, Neuroscience Department, Brown University, 3-362 185 Meeting Street, Providence, RI 02912, karla_kaun@brown.edu, 401-863-5825.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

multiple alcohol exposures (Scholz et al., 2000; Berger et al., 2004). To screen for genes underlying these behaviors, researchers have designed clever high throughput assays. For example, alcohol-induced loss-of-righting and sedation are readily quantified by the Inebriometer, a baffled fly elution column, or in the Booz-o-mat, an array of transparent open-field vapor tubes (Cohan and Graf, 1985; Wolf et al., 2002). Numerous other apparatuses and paradigms have also been ingeniously engineered to measure various alcohol-associated behaviors – odor preference (olfactory trap assay) (Reed, 1938), volitional consumption (CAFÉ assay) (Ja et al., 2007; Devineni and Heberlein, 2009), egg-laying choice (Richmond and Gerking, 1979; Azanchi et al., 2013), addiction-like behavior (cue-induced memory of intoxication) (Kaun et al., 2011), and a fetal alcohol syndrome model (McClure et al., 2011). These efforts have collectively led to interesting correlations between alcohol-associated genes and molecular pathways associated with specific behaviors, including feeding (Landayan and Wolf, 2015), memory (Berger et al., 2008; Zars, 2009; Robinson and Atkinson, 2013), and circadian regulation (van der Linde and Lyons, 2011; De Nobrega and Lyons, 2016).

The ease and inexpensive maintenance of flies perpetuates the creation and implementation of remarkable genetic and neurobiological technologies. With these advances, and vast wealth of accrued knowledge, flies afford unprecedented scientific prospective from higher order behavior in whole organisms to single synapses in complex neural circuitry. This unrestricted experimental flexibility has, and will continue to shape basic genetic and neurobiological principles in alcohol research. Here, we review how genetic, neuroanatomical, and neuroimmune approaches in flies can aide in our understanding of human AUD (Figure 1).

Fly genetics and contributions to alcohol research

Despite having diverged from humans over 780 million years ago (Hedges et al., 2006; Shih et al., 2015), the fly genome contains functional orthologs of roughly 75% of known human disease-related genes (Reiter et al., 2001; Chien et al., 2002; Lloyd and Taylor, 2010). Because of this, there exists a dizzying array of fly genetic resources, including countless mutant and transgenic stocks, as well as compilations of datasets (Mohr et al., 2014). Unprecedented genetic specificity, from specific populations of cells down to single neurons (Pfeiffer et al., 2008; Pfeiffer et al., 2010), permits unparalleled access for molecular control (gene knock-out, knock-down, overexpress, etc) via several genetic engineering and expression system techniques (Cre, CRISPR/Cas, Gal4/UAS, LexA/LexOp, etc) (McGuire et al., 2004b; Bier et al., 2018).

The compact fly genome – roughly 120 million base-pairs vs ~3 billion in mouse and human – has also been considerably leveraged for high throughput whole-genome and transcriptomic sequencing. Work in fly neurobiology, for instance, now includes single cell RNA-seq (Crocker et al., 2016; Croset et al., 2017; Karaikos et al., 2017) and RNA-seq from specific cell types (Cleary, 2018), isolated nuclei of target cell-types (INTACT) (Henry et al., 2012; Pankova and Borst, 2016). Furthermore, a recent transcriptomic study found extensive similarities between human and fly extracellular vesicles, like exosomes,

suggesting conserved mechanisms for RNA-mediated intercellular communication (Lefebvre et al., 2016).

Relevance to alcohol research

The application of model organism research is particularly relevant for elucidating some of the heritable genetic predispositions for developing an AUD. Unfortunately, large-scale forward genetic screening in mammals poses financial, practical, and ethical drawbacks. Flies, however, are ideal for forward genetic approaches because of their rapid development (~12 days), robust fecundity (>100 offspring/female), and genetic toolkit for large-scale exhaustive approaches. To date, several genetic discoveries in fly screens have been translated to rodent and human alcohol models (Corl et al., 2009; Lasek et al., 2011b; Lasek et al., 2011a; Lasek et al., 2011c; Maiya et al., 2012; Kapfhamer et al., 2013; Maiya et al., 2015). While human genome-wide association studies (GWAS) for alcohol sensitivity and tolerance have discovered several significant variants that contribute to addiction (Hancock et al., 2018), GWAS research may be hampered by selection bias, confounding environmental factors, low power of infrequent alleles, and limitation to SNP linkage disequilibrium. Genomic studies in flies, however, have addressed these challenges using artificially selected and inbred lines to yield qualitative and quantitative translational insights (Morozova et al., 2009; Morozova et al., 2014).

Like many other areas of science, the alcohol field is striving to interpret and apply information from bioinformatic RNA-seq data, particularly from brain tissue (Farris and Mayfield, 2014). A handful of fly microarray studies have examined alcohol-induced changes in gene expression. They have identified differentially expressed genes corresponding to alcohol-regulated genes in mammalian alcohol models, as well as implicated new genes whose biological functions still remain unknown (Morozova et al., 2006, 2007; Urizar et al., 2007; Kong et al., 2010a; Awofala et al., 2012). The limited detection capacity of microarrays for lowly expressed transcripts, like neurotransmitter receptors and ion channels, suggests that both fly and mammal alcohol research will benefit more from high throughput sequencing approaches. Due to the heterogeneity of mammalian neuronal tissues and complex spatiotemporal dynamics of alcohol responsivity, extensive bioinformatic projects are likely to be more feasible in flies.

Alcohol-induced changes in epigenetic and post-translational regulation are also widely appreciated in mammalian and fly alcohol models (Ponomarev, 2013; Berkel and Pandey, 2017; Pandey et al., 2017). In flies, genome-wide changes in histone acetylation, and the interplay between HDAC and HAT activity, underlie alcohol tolerance (Ghezzi et al., 2013; Engel et al., 2016; Ghezzi et al., 2017; Park et al., 2017). Flies have long been used to understand the role of chromosome architecture in genome function, and recent epigenomic mapping of histone modifications and chromatin factors has partitioned genomic domains that contribute to chromosome folding and the regulation of gene expression (Schwartz and Cavalli, 2017). The ability to rapidly identify and test candidate genes, makes flies an opportune system in which to advance our understanding of epigenetic and post-translational mechanisms underlying alcohol responses.

Neural circuitry and behavior

The remarkable conservation of neurobiological function has enabled translational wisdom from unexpected model systems like *Caenorhabditis elegans* (roundworm), *Doryteuthis pealeii* (squid), *Aplysia californica* (sea slug), and others. The fly nervous system has also become a leading model in neuroscience with its small, but impressive, central nervous system comprised of ~10,000 larval and ~100,000 adult neurons capable of performing complex behaviors (feeding, sleep, courtship, aggression, memory, etc). The fly's genetic arsenal affords, among many things, the ability to label, ablate, or temporarily silence or activate neural cells of interest (Sivanantharajah and Zhang, 2015; Mohammad et al., 2017).

Recent intersectional genetic methods in flies have further refined the spatiotemporal control of transgenes, particularly in the nervous system (McGuire et al., 2004a; Luan et al., 2006; Ting et al., 2011; Dolan et al., 2017). This unprecedented, nearly single-cell, access allows for precise definition and control of complex neural networks underlying behavior. And, in contrast to the invasive procedures and limited genetic flexibility encountered in mammalian neurobiological research, entire flies are receptive to induction techniques like optogenetics, thermogenetics, and drug-inducible gene switches. Recent pioneering work has even mapped neural substrates of behavior by characterizing the effect of systematically activating thousands of individual neural-labeled lines (Robie et al., 2017). This work has not only generated an easy-to-use online interface for perusing the rich repertoire of corresponding behavior-neural subsets, but has also produced open source machine-vision learning software applicable for any model organism research (<http://research.janelia.org/bransonlab/FlyBowl/BehaviorResults/> and <https://github.com/kristinbranson/BABAM>).

To reveal stereotyped connectivity patterns in the fly nervous system, exciting new transsynaptic mapping tools have just debuted, like trans-Tango (Talay et al., 2017), TRACT (Huang et al., 2017), or the synthetic Notch receptor (synNQ) system (He et al., 2017). Computational registration of neuroanatomical images to a common fly brain then facilitates neuronal track identification and categorization for future hypothesis-driven research (Peng et al., 2011; Ostrovsky et al., 2013). Fly brain connectomes have also recently become available, which beautifully complement anatomy obtained from light microscopy (Schlegel et al., 2017). Combining a functional behavioral approach with the connectome of the first instar larva (Schneider-Mizell et al., 2016) has revealed circuit properties for touch (Takagi et al., 2017), learning and memory (Eichler et al., 2017), response to a mechanosensory stimulus (Jovanic et al., 2016), locomotion (Ohshima et al., 2015; Fushiki et al., 2016), and food intake (Schlegel et al., 2016). Similar approaches with the adult fly visual system (Takemura et al., 2017a) has revealed circuit mechanisms underlying visual motion (Strother et al., 2018). With the connectome of the adult mushroom body, an important memory-encoding structure, now available (Eichler et al., 2017; Takemura et al., 2017b), understanding the circuit basis of memory formation and expression is imminent. An electron microscopy dataset of an entire adult fly brain has also been completed (Zheng et al., 2017) and within a few years anatomical framework will be fully annotated in order to guide functional studies (Schlegel et al., 2017).

Relevance to alcohol research

The importance of the dopaminergic system has long been appreciated in understanding drugs of abuse. Various aspects of alcohol-associated phenomena are linked to dopaminergic neuron tonic/phasic firing and alteration of D2-like receptor sensitivity (Volkow et al., 2007). The heterogeneity, complex interconnectivity, and temporal dynamics of mammalian dopaminergic circuitry are technical research challenges for achieving non-invasive and precise manipulation of circuit networks (Ichinose et al., 2017). As in mammals, the fly dopaminergic system is important for determining internal state and behavior, including playing a prominent role in appetitive and aversive stimuli perception (Scaplen and Kaun, 2016; Cognigni et al., 2017; Kaun and Rothenfluh, 2017). Similarly, dopamine in flies is also required for alcohol's acute locomotor and rewarding effects (Bainton et al., 2000; Kong et al., 2010b; Kaun et al., 2011).

In the fly adult central nervous system, there are 11 clusters of dopaminergic neurons that project throughout the brain to various neuropil structures (Mao and Davis, 2009; Milyaev et al., 2012; Aso et al., 2014a). The mushroom body is a well-defined neuropil structure required for many forms of learning and memory, and is extensively innervated by two clusters of dopaminergic neurons in a compartmentalized fashion (for a more in depth review please see Scaplen and Kaun, 2016; Kaun and Rothenfluh, 2017). These dopaminergic projections align with cholinergic, GABAergic or glutamatergic mushroom body output neurons that project to other brain regions (Aso et al., 2014a). This neuroanatomical framework provides a tractable foundation for investigating the basic principles underlying reward memory.

The mushroom body has been implicated in alcohol-induced hyperactivity (King et al., 2011), tolerance (Engel et al., 2016) and in the acquisition and retrieval of alcohol-cue association memories (Kaun et al., 2011; Robinson et al., 2013; Aso et al., 2014b). Recent neuroanatomical and functional studies are enhancing our understanding of dopaminergic/mushroom body circuitry (Berry et al., 2012; Cohn et al., 2015; Yamagata et al., 2015; Aso and Rubin, 2016; Waddell, 2016), and will provide a detailed anatomical framework for exploring the synaptic and molecular mechanisms by which alcohol regulates complex reward networks. These efforts can help determine the basic neurobiological and circuitry principles governing alcohol addiction.

Fly research has also identified molecular mechanisms linking internal state and alcohol consumption. Shohat-Ophir et al showed that repeated sexual rejection in male flies increases alcohol consumption, and that the balance of neuropeptide-Y-like (NPF) signaling is critical for perceiving the rewarding properties of sex and alcohol (Shohat-Ophir et al., 2012). The volitional consumption of alcohol in the absence of natural reward was later localized to a small subset of NPF neurons that project to several brain regions implicated in regulating reward responses (Shao et al., 2017). This finding is particularly important for alcohol research since, like many drugs of abuse, alcohol has both aversive and appetitive properties. In flies, some alcohol concentrations and treatments are perceived as aversive in the short-term, but appetitive as a long-lasting memory (Kaun et al., 2011). This duality may alter the delicate balance between the highly interconnected neural circuits that encode valence and influence decision-making, thus producing lasting memories underlying alcohol

cravings. Future work in flies is, therefore, ideal for studying the circuit and molecular mechanisms by which alcohol experience is valued, and how social experiences contribute to the development and progression of alcohol-associated behavior.

Understanding the effect of alcohol on neuronal activity will be critical for defining alcohol-associated circuitry mechanisms. Dynamic neuronal activity can be recorded in intact behaving flies (Riemensperger et al., 2012; Grover et al., 2016; Lin and Schnitzer, 2016; Royer et al., 2016; Chamberland et al., 2017). The genetic precision and improved genetically encoded calcium (Ohkura et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2013) and voltage (Han et al., 2013; St-Pierre et al., 2014) indicators afforded in flies provide notable advantages over mammalian approaches. Although live imaging work has not yet been applied in fly alcohol research, it will undoubtedly aid in the investigation of how alcohol influences neural dynamics in a behavioral context.

Unresolved neuroimmune relationships

General fly glia and innate immunity information

Despite being implicated in the prevention, progression, and treatment of neurodegenerative diseases, the cause and consequence of neuroimmune responses remains a hotly debated issue. The highly conserved Toll innate immune pathway (first discovered in flies), and downstream nuclear factor- κ B (NF- κ B) signaling control the expression of antimicrobial peptides and cytokine defense molecules (Zhang and Ghosh, 2001). Glial cells serve as the nervous system's resident immune cells, and comprise roughly 50% of human brain volume (Reemst et al., 2016). Fly glia are morphologically and molecularly similar to their mammalian counterparts, and play crucial roles in neuronal maintenance and neuropathology. Artificial activation of NF- κ B in fly glia alone, for instance, can sufficiently cause neurodegeneration (Petersen et al., 2012; Petersen et al., 2013). Furthermore, suppressing or enhancing glial immune responses in flies can stave off or speed up age-dependent neurodegeneration, respectively (Kounatidis et al., 2017).

There are, unfortunately, many challenges in determining specific time-course, tissue-specific, and stimulus-dependent neuroimmune responses. Nearly all transcriptomic studies studying stressors in flies demonstrate an overrepresentation of immune-related genes, suggesting a generalized role for the immune system during stress responses (Cantera and Barrio, 2015). Additionally, many immune-related proteins tend to serve other non-immune-related functions. The Toll pathway, for example, is not only activated by gram-negative and fungal pathogens, but also has essential roles in the basic development and maintenance of axons and synapses. Alternatively, many stress-induced genes, such as heatshock proteins, can have neuroprotective or neurodegeneration effects without being necessary for immune responses. There are also as of yet determined neuroimmune signals whose effects likely switch from being acutely neuroprotective and chronically neurodegenerative, or visa versa. Future work in flies will help to resolve the complex relationship between neuroimmune signaling and neuropathology.

Relevance to alcohol research

Alcohol exposure increases innate immune signaling in flies, rodents, and humans (Liu et al., 2006; Crews et al., 2013; Troutwine et al., 2016). In human alcoholic brain tissue, glial cell death often precedes the death of neurons (Cui et al., 2014). Furthermore, in both human and rodent models of addiction, moderate alcohol exposure induces glial immune responses, whereas excessive alcohol exposure is associated with immunosuppression and neurodegeneration (Cui et al., 2014). New approaches for treating AUD will benefit from a better understanding of neuroimmune interactions and alcohol-associated behaviors.

Recent studies in flies have emphasized the importance of innate immune signaling in alcohol responses. Activation of the fly Toll pathway by parasitic wasps infection causes larvae to therapeutically increase alcohol consumption (Milan et al., 2012; Kacsoh et al., 2013). Similarly, activation or suppression of Toll signaling in adult flies respectively increases resistance or sensitivity to alcohol-induced sedation (Troutwine et al., 2016). Excitingly, it was recently shown that fly glia alter their shape and function in response to ethanol, and regulate ethanol tolerance via conserved signal transduction pathways (Parkhurst et al., 2018). Furthermore, D-serine, a putative glial neurotransmitter, was up-regulated in flies exposed to alcohol (Kong et al., 2010a). More studies are clearly warranted for a better understanding glia's role in alcohol-induced fly behaviors.

The fly's neurogenetic accessibility can be used to address unanswered questions regarding alcohol-associated neuroimmune responses. What specific immune signals are induced from the various subtypes of glia in response to alcohol exposure? How does neuroimmune activity change following acute and repeated alcohol exposures? Are particular types of neurons more or less receptive to alcohol-associated immune signals? What targeted immune-related pharmacologic approaches are therapeutically viable for treating AUD? Future neuroimmune research in flies exposed to alcohol will likely help advance our understanding of alcohol-associated neuroimmune responses.

Conclusion

Insights from intoxicated *Drosophila* help compliment mammalian research and extend our understanding of AUD in various ways. The genetic conservation and robust neurogenetic tools available in flies offers valuable experimental approaches that address the heritable predisposition and progression of alcohol sensitivity, tolerance, consumption, cravings, dependence, and maladaptive behavioral decisions. Moreover, the integration of "-omics" level data (i.e. genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, etc) within and across model systems is sure to provide clinically relevant information for treating AUD. In flies the resolution and function of precise neurocircuits is being rapidly elucidated. In regards to alcohol research, this knowledge can help establish neurobiological principles governing basic alcohol-associated plasticity and behavioral responses. Finally, we propose here that work in flies may also shed light on alcohol-associated neuroimmune dysregulation. Teasing apart the complex dynamic interactions between neurons and glia in flies can provide directed hypotheses for mammalian research.

Celebrating the unique tools, experimental tractability, and practical suitability of model organisms such as flies fosters inter-species collaborations that enhance our scientific prospective. Collaborative approaches between scientists using various models will undoubtedly inform tailored clinical approaches and provide key insights into the underlying neurobiology that suggest novel pharmacologic targets for treating AUD.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank our many colleagues in the alcohol invertebrate field for their support and exchange of ideas over the years. Special thanks to Dr. John McGeary (Brown University) and Dr. Kristin Scaplen (Brown University) for their feedback on previous revisions of this review. Support to complete this work was provided by NIAAA R01AA024434 to K.R.K, a BIBS and NPNI New Frontier Pilot Award to K.R.K and J. McGeary, a BIBS Center for Nervous System Function COBRE Project Leader Award (NIGMS P20GM103645 to J. Sanes) and a Smith Family Award for Excellence in Biomedical Research.

References

- Aso Y, Rubin GM. 2016 Dopaminergic neurons write and update memories with cell-type-specific rules. *Elife*. :5.
- Aso Y, Hattori D, Yu Y, Johnston RM, Iyer NA, Ngo TT, Dionne H, Abbott LF, Axel R, Tanimoto H, Rubin GM. 2014a; The neuronal architecture of the mushroom body provides a logic for associative learning. *Elife*. 3:e04577. [PubMed: 25535793]
- Aso Y, et al. 2014b; Mushroom body output neurons encode valence and guide memory-based action selection in *Drosophila*. *Elife*. 3:e04580. [PubMed: 25535794]
- Awofala AA, Davies JA, Jones S. 2012; Functional roles for redox genes in ethanol sensitivity in *Drosophila*. *Funct Integr Genomics*. 12:305–315. [PubMed: 22430022]
- Azanchi R, Kaun KR, Heberlein U. 2013; Competing dopamine neurons drive oviposition choice for ethanol in *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 110:21153–21158. [PubMed: 24324162]
- Bainton RJ, Tsai LT, Singh CM, Moore MS, Neckameyer WS, Heberlein U. 2000; Dopamine modulates acute responses to cocaine, nicotine and ethanol in *Drosophila*. *Curr Biol*. 10:187–194. [PubMed: 10704411]
- Berger KH, Heberlein U, Moore MS. 2004; Rapid and chronic: two distinct forms of ethanol tolerance in *Drosophila*. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 28:1469–1480. [PubMed: 15597078]
- Berger KH, Kong EC, Dubnau J, Tully T, Moore MS, Heberlein U. 2008; Ethanol sensitivity and tolerance in long-term memory mutants of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 32:895–908. [PubMed: 18435628]
- Berkel TD, Pandey SC. 2017; Emerging Role of Epigenetic Mechanisms in Alcohol Addiction. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 41:666–680. [PubMed: 28111764]
- Berry JA, Cervantes-Sandoval I, Nicholas EP, Davis RL. 2012; Dopamine is required for learning and forgetting in *Drosophila*. *Neuron*. 74:530–542. [PubMed: 22578504]
- Bier E, Harrison MM, O'Connor-Giles KM, Wildonger J. 2018; Advances in Engineering the Fly Genome with the CRISPR-Cas System. *Genetics*. 208:1–18. [PubMed: 29301946]
- Cantera R, Barrio R. 2015; Do the Genes of the Innate Immune Response Contribute to Neuroprotection in *Drosophila*? *Journal of Innate Immunity*. 7:3–10. [PubMed: 25115549]
- Chamberland S, Yang HH, Pan MM, Evans SW, Guan S, Chavarha M, Yang Y, Salesse C, Wu H, Wu JC, Clandinin TR, Toth K, Lin MZ, St-Pierre F. 2017 Fast two-photon imaging of subcellular voltage dynamics in neuronal tissue with genetically encoded indicators. *Elife*. :6.
- Chen TW, Wardill TJ, Sun Y, Pulver SR, Renninger SL, Baohan A, Schreiter ER, Kerr RA, Orger MB, Jayaraman V, Looger LL, Svoboda K, Kim DS. 2013; Ultrasensitive fluorescent proteins for imaging neuronal activity. *Nature*. 499:295–300. [PubMed: 23868258]
- Chien S, Reiter LT, Bier E, Gribskov M. 2002; Homophila: human disease gene cognates in *Drosophila*. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 30:149–151. [PubMed: 11752278]

- Creary MD. 2018 Uncovering cell type-specific complexities of gene expression and RNA metabolism by TU-tagging and EC-tagging. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol*.
- Cognigni P, Felsenberg J, Waddell S. 2017; Do the right thing: neural network mechanisms of memory formation, expression and update in *Drosophila*. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 49:51–58. [PubMed: 29258011]
- Cohan FM, Graf JD. 1985; Latitudinal Cline in *Drosophila Melanogaster* for Knockdown Resistance to Ethanol Fumes and for Rates of Response to Selection for Further Resistance. *Evolution*. 39:278–293. [PubMed: 28564212]
- Cohn R, Morante I, Ruta V. 2015; Coordinated and Compartmentalized Neuromodulation Shapes Sensory Processing in *Drosophila*. *Cell*. 163:1742–1755. [PubMed: 26687359]
- Corl AB, Berger KH, Ophir-Shohat G, Gesch J, Simms JA, Bartlett SE, Heberlein U. 2009; Happyhour, a Ste20 family kinase, implicates EGFR signaling in ethanol-induced behaviors. *Cell*. 137:949–960. [PubMed: 19464045]
- Crews FT, Qin L, Sheedy D, Vetreno RP, Zou J. 2013; High mobility group box 1/Toll-like receptor danger signaling increases brain neuroimmune activation in alcohol dependence. *Biol Psychiatry*. 73:602–612. [PubMed: 23206318]
- Crocker A, Guan XJ, Murphy CT, Murthy M. 2016; Cell-Type-Specific Transcriptome Analysis in the *Drosophila* Mushroom Body Reveals Memory-Related Changes in Gene Expression. *Cell reports*. 15:1580–1596. [PubMed: 27160913]
- Croset V, Treiber CD, Waddell S. 2017 Cellular diversity in the *Drosophila* midbrain revealed by single-cell transcriptomics. *bioRxiv*.
- Cui C, Shurtleff D, Harris RA. 2014; Neuroimmune mechanisms of alcohol and drug addiction. *Int Rev Neurobiol*. 118:1–12. [PubMed: 25175859]
- De Nobrega AK, Lyons LC. 2016; Circadian Modulation of Alcohol-Induced Sedation and Recovery in Male and Female *Drosophila*. *J Biol Rhythms*. 31:142–160. [PubMed: 26833081]
- Devineni AV, Heberlein U. 2009; Preferential ethanol consumption in *Drosophila* models features of addiction. *Curr Biol*. 19:2126–2132. [PubMed: 20005106]
- Devineni AV, Heberlein U. 2013; The evolution of *Drosophila melanogaster* as a model for alcohol research. *Annual review of neuroscience*. 36:121–138.
- Dolan MJ, Luan H, Shropshire WC, Sutcliffe B, Cocanougher B, Scott RL, Frechter S, Zlatić M, Jefferis G, White BH. 2017; Facilitating Neuron-Specific Genetic Manipulations in *Drosophila melanogaster* Using a Split GAL4 Repressor. *Genetics*. 206:775–784. [PubMed: 28363977]
- Dudley R. 2004; Ethanol, fruit ripening, and the historical origins of human alcoholism in primate frugivory. *Integr Comp Biol*. 44:315–323. [PubMed: 21676715]
- Eichler K, Li F, Litwin-Kumar A, Park Y, Andrade I, Schneider-Mizell CM, Saumweber T, Huser A, Eschbach C, Gerber B, Fetter RD, Truman JW, Priebe CE, Abbott LF, Thum AS, Zlatić M, Cardona A. 2017; The complete connectome of a learning and memory centre in an insect brain. *Nature*. 548:175–182. [PubMed: 28796202]
- Engel GL, Marella S, Kaun KR, Wu J, Adhikari P, Kong EC, Wolf FW. 2016; Sir2/Sirt1 Links Acute Inebriation to Presynaptic Changes and the Development of Alcohol Tolerance, Preference, and Reward. *J Neurosci*. 36:5241–5251. [PubMed: 27170122]
- Farris SP, Mayfield RD. 2014; RNA-Seq reveals novel transcriptional reorganization in human alcoholic brain. *Int Rev Neurobiol*. 116:275–300. [PubMed: 25172479]
- Fushiki A, Zwart MF, Kohsaka H, Fetter RD, Cardona A, Nose A. 2016 A circuit mechanism for the propagation of waves of muscle contraction in *Drosophila*. *Elife*. :5.
- Ghezzi A, Li X, Lew LK, Wijesekera TP, Atkinson NS. 2017; Alcohol-Induced Neuroadaptation Is Orchestrated by the Histone Acetyltransferase CBP. *Front Mol Neurosci*. 10:103. [PubMed: 28442993]
- Ghezzi A, Krishnan HR, Lew L, Prado FJ 3rd, Ong DS, Atkinson NS. 2013; Alcohol-induced histone acetylation reveals a gene network involved in alcohol tolerance. *PLoS Genet*. 9:e1003986. [PubMed: 24348266]
- Gibson JB, May TW, Wilks AV. 1981; Genetic variation at the alcohol dehydrogenase locus in *Drosophila melanogaster* in relation to environmental variation: Ethanol levels in breeding sites and allozyme frequencies. *Oecologia*. 51:191–198. [PubMed: 28310081]

- Grover D, Katsuki T, Greenspan RJ. 2016; Flyception: imaging brain activity in freely walking fruit flies. *Nat Methods*. 13:569–572. [PubMed: 27183441]
- Han Z, Jin L, Platisa J, Cohen LB, Baker BJ, Pieribone VA. 2013; Fluorescent protein voltage probes derived from ArcLight that respond to membrane voltage changes with fast kinetics. *PLoS One*. 8:e81295. [PubMed: 24312287]
- Hancock DB, Markunas CA, Bierut LJ, Johnson EO. 2018; Human Genetics of Addiction: New Insights and Future Directions. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 20:8. [PubMed: 29504045]
- He L, Huang J, Perrimon N. 2017; Development of an optimized synthetic Notch receptor as an in vivo cell-cell contact sensor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 114:5467–5472. [PubMed: 28490499]
- Hedges SB, Dudley J, Kumar S. 2006; TimeTree: a public knowledge-base of divergence times among organisms. *Bioinformatics*. 22:2971–2972. [PubMed: 17021158]
- Henry GL, Davis FP, Picard S, Eddy SR. 2012; Cell type-specific genomics of *Drosophila* neurons. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 40:9691–9704. [PubMed: 22855560]
- Huang TH, Niesman P, Arasu D, Lee D, De La Cruz AL, Callejas A, Hong EJ, Lois C. 2017; Tracing neuronal circuits in transgenic animals by transneuronal control of transcription (TRACT). *Elife*. : 6.
- Ichinose T, Tanimoto H, Yamagata N. 2017; Behavioral Modulation by Spontaneous Activity of Dopamine Neurons. *Front Syst Neurosci*. 11:88. [PubMed: 29321731]
- Ja WW, Carvalho GB, Mak EM, de la Rosa NN, Fang AY, Liong JC, Brummel T, Benzer S. 2007; Prandiology of *Drosophila* and the CAFE assay. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 104:8253–8256. [PubMed: 17494737]
- Jovanic T, Schneider-Mizell CM, Shao M, Masson JB, Denisov G, Fetter RD, Mensh BD, Truman JW, Cardona A, Zlatić M. 2016; Competitive Disinhibition Mediates Behavioral Choice and Sequences in *Drosophila*. *Cell*. 167:858–870. e819. [PubMed: 27720450]
- Kacsoh BZ, Lynch ZR, Mortimer NT, Schlenke TA. 2013; Fruit flies medicate offspring after seeing parasites. *Science*. 339:947–950. [PubMed: 23430653]
- Kapfhammer D, Taylor S, Zou ME, Lim JP, Kharazia V, Heberlein U. 2013; Taok2 controls behavioral response to ethanol in mice. *Genes Brain Behav*. 12:87–97. [PubMed: 22883308]
- Karaïskos N, Wahle P, Alles J, Boltengagen A, Ayoub S, Kipar C, Kocks C, Rajewsky N, Zinzen RP. 2017; The *Drosophila* embryo at single-cell transcriptome resolution. *Science*. 358:194–199. [PubMed: 28860209]
- Kaun KR, Rothenfluh A. 2017; Dopaminergic rules of engagement for memory in *Drosophila*. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 43:56–62. [PubMed: 28088703]
- Kaun KR, Devineni AV, Heberlein U. 2012; *Drosophila melanogaster* as a model to study drug addiction. *Hum Genet*. 131:959–975. [PubMed: 22350798]
- Kaun KR, Azanchi R, Maung Z, Hirsh J, Heberlein U. 2011; A *Drosophila* model for alcohol reward. *Nat Neurosci*. 14:612–619. [PubMed: 21499254]
- King I, Tsai LT, Pflanz R, Voigt A, Lee S, Jackle H, Lu B, Heberlein U. 2011; *Drosophila* tao controls mushroom body development and ethanol-stimulated behavior through par-1. *J Neurosci*. 31:1139–1148. [PubMed: 21248138]
- Kong EC, Allouche L, Chapot PA, Vranizan K, Moore MS, Heberlein U, Wolf FW. 2010a; Ethanol-regulated genes that contribute to ethanol sensitivity and rapid tolerance in *Drosophila*. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 34:302–316. [PubMed: 19951294]
- Kong EC, Woo K, Li H, Lebestky T, Mayer N, Sniffen MR, Heberlein U, Bainton RJ, Hirsh J, Wolf FW. 2010b; A pair of dopamine neurons target the D1-like dopamine receptor DopR in the central complex to promote ethanol-stimulated locomotion in *Drosophila*. *PLoS One*. 5:e9954. [PubMed: 20376353]
- Kounatidis I, Chtarbanova S, Cao Y, Hayne M, Jayanth D, Ganetzky B, Ligoxygakis P. 2017; NF- κ B Immunity in the Brain Determines Fly Lifespan in Healthy Aging and Age-Related Neurodegeneration. *Cell reports*. 19:836–848. [PubMed: 28445733]
- Landayan D, Wolf FW. 2015; Shared neurocircuitry underlying feeding and drugs of abuse in *Drosophila*. *Biomed J*. 38:496–509. [PubMed: 27013449]

- Lasek AW, Giorgetti F, Berger KH, Taylor S, Heberlein U. 2011a; Lmo genes regulate behavioral responses to ethanol in *Drosophila melanogaster* and the mouse. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 35:1600–1606. [PubMed: 21599714]
- Lasek AW, Gesch J, Giorgetti F, Kharazia V, Heberlein U. 2011b; Alk is a transcriptional target of LMO4 and ERalpha that promotes cocaine sensitization and reward. *J Neurosci.* 31:14134–14141. [PubMed: 21976498]
- Lasek AW, Lim J, Kliethermes CL, Berger KH, Joslyn G, Brush G, Xue L, Robertson M, Moore MS, Vranizan K, Morris SW, Schuckit MA, White RL, Heberlein U. 2011c; An evolutionary conserved role for anaplastic lymphoma kinase in behavioral responses to ethanol. *PLoS One.* 6:e22636. [PubMed: 21799923]
- Lefebvre FA, Benoit Bouvrette LP, Perras L, Blanchet-Cohen A, Garnier D, Rak J, Lecuyer E. 2016; Comparative transcriptomic analysis of human and *Drosophila* extracellular vesicles. *Scientific reports.* 6:27680. [PubMed: 27282340]
- Lin MZ, Schnitzer MJ. 2016; Genetically encoded indicators of neuronal activity. *Nat Neurosci.* 19:1142–1153. [PubMed: 27571193]
- Liu J, Lewohl JM, Harris RA, Iyer VR, Dodd PR, Randall PK, Mayfield RD. 2006; Patterns of gene expression in the frontal cortex discriminate alcoholic from nonalcoholic individuals. *Neuropsychopharmacology: official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology.* 31:1574–1582. [PubMed: 16292326]
- Lloyd TE, Taylor JP. 2010; Flightless flies: *Drosophila* models of neuromuscular disease. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 1184:e1–20. [PubMed: 20329357]
- Luan H, Peabody NC, Vinson CR, White BH. 2006; Refined spatial manipulation of neuronal function by combinatorial restriction of transgene expression. *Neuron.* 52:425–436. [PubMed: 17088209]
- Maiya R, Mangieri RA, Morrisett RA, Heberlein U, Messing RO. 2015; A Selective Role for Lmo4 in Cue-Reward Learning. *J Neurosci.* 35:9638–9647. [PubMed: 26134647]
- Maiya R, Lee S, Berger KH, Kong EC, Slawson JB, Griffith LC, Takamiya K, Huganir RL, Margolis B, Heberlein U. 2012; DlgS97/SAP97, a neuronal isoform of discs large, regulates ethanol tolerance. *PLoS One.* 7:e48967. [PubMed: 23145041]
- Mao Z, Davis RL. 2009; Eight different types of dopaminergic neurons innervate the *Drosophila* mushroom body neuropil: anatomical and physiological heterogeneity. *Front Neural Circuits.* 3:5. [PubMed: 19597562]
- McClure KD, French RL, Heberlein U. 2011; A *Drosophila* model for fetal alcohol syndrome disorders: role for the insulin pathway. *Disease models & mechanisms.* 4:335–346. [PubMed: 21303840]
- McGuire SE, Mao Z, Davis RL. 2004a; Spatiotemporal gene expression targeting with the TARGET and gene-switch systems in *Drosophila*. *Science's STKE: signal transduction knowledge environment.* 2004:pl6.
- McGuire SE, Roman G, Davis RL. 2004b; Gene expression systems in *Drosophila*: a synthesis of time and space. *Trends Genet.* 20:384–391. [PubMed: 15262411]
- Milan NF, Kacsoh BZ, Schlenke TA. 2012; Alcohol consumption as self-medication against blood-borne parasites in the fruit fly. *Curr Biol.* 22:488–493. [PubMed: 22342747]
- Milyaev N, Osumi-Sutherland D, Reeve S, Burton N, Baldock RA, Armstrong JD. 2012; The Virtual Fly Brain browser and query interface. *Bioinformatics.* 28:411–415. [PubMed: 22180411]
- Mohammad F, Stewart JC, Ott S, Chlebkova K, Chua JY, Koh TW, Ho J, Claridge-Chang A. 2017; Optogenetic inhibition of behavior with anion channelrhodopsins. *Nat Methods.* 14:271–274. [PubMed: 28114289]
- Mohr SE, Hu Y, Kim K, Housden BE, Perrimon N. 2014; Resources for functional genomics studies in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics.* 197:1–18. [PubMed: 24653003]
- Morozova TV, Anholt RR, Mackay TF. 2006; Transcriptional response to alcohol exposure in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genome biology.* 7:R95. [PubMed: 17054780]
- Morozova TV, Anholt RR, Mackay TF. 2007; Phenotypic and transcriptional response to selection for alcohol sensitivity in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genome biology.* 8:R231. [PubMed: 17973985]
- Morozova TV, Mackay TF, Anholt RR. 2014; Genetics and genomics of alcohol sensitivity. *Mol Genet Genomics.* 289:253–269. [PubMed: 24395673]

- Morozova TV, Ayroles JF, Jordan KW, Duncan LH, Carbone MA, Lyman RF, Stone EA, Govindaraju DR, Ellison RC, Mackay TF, Anholt RR. 2009; Alcohol sensitivity in *Drosophila*: translational potential of systems genetics. *Genetics*. 183:733–745. 731SI–712SI. [PubMed: 19652175]
- Ohkura M, Sasaki T, Sadakari J, Gengyo-Ando K, Kagawa-Nagamura Y, Kobayashi C, Ikegaya Y, Nakai J. 2012; Genetically encoded green fluorescent Ca²⁺ indicators with improved detectability for neuronal Ca²⁺ signals. *PLoS One*. 7:e51286. [PubMed: 23240011]
- Ohyama T, Schneider-Mizell CM, Fetter RD, Aleman JV, Franconville R, Rivera-Alba M, Mensh BD, Branson KM, Simpson JH, Truman JW, Cardona A, Zlatic M. 2015; A multilevel multimodal circuit enhances action selection in *Drosophila*. *Nature*. 520:633–639. [PubMed: 25896325]
- Ostrovsky A, Cachero S, Jefferis G. 2013; Clonal analysis of olfaction in *Drosophila*: image registration. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc*. 2013:347–349. [PubMed: 23547150]
- Pandey SC, Kyzar EJ, Zhang H. 2017; Epigenetic basis of the dark side of alcohol addiction. *Neuropharmacology*. 122:74–84. [PubMed: 28174112]
- Pankova K, Borst A. 2016; RNA-Seq Transcriptome Analysis of Direction-Selective T4/T5 Neurons in *Drosophila*. *PLoS One*. 11:e0163986. [PubMed: 27684367]
- Park A, Ghezzi A, Wijesekera TP, Atkinson NS. 2017; Genetics and genomics of alcohol responses in *Drosophila*. *Neuropharmacology*. 122:22–35. [PubMed: 28161376]
- Parkhurst SJ, Adhikari P, Navarrete JS, Legendre A, Manansala M, Wolf FW. 2018; Perineurial Barrier Glia Physically Respond to Alcohol in an Akap200-Dependent Manner to Promote Tolerance. *Cell reports*. 22:1647–1656. [PubMed: 29444420]
- Peng H, Chung P, Long F, Qu L, Jenett A, Seeds AM, Myers EW, Simpson JH. 2011; BrainAligner: 3D registration atlases of *Drosophila* brains. *Nat Methods*. 8:493–500. [PubMed: 21532582]
- Petersen AJ, Rimkus SA, Wassarman DA. 2012; ATM kinase inhibition in glial cells activates the innate immune response and causes neurodegeneration in *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 109:E656–664. [PubMed: 22355133]
- Petersen AJ, Katzenberger RJ, Wassarman DA. 2013; The innate immune response transcription factor relish is necessary for neurodegeneration in a *Drosophila* model of ataxia-telangiectasia. *Genetics*. 194:133–142. [PubMed: 23502677]
- Pfeiffer BD, Ngo TT, Hibbard KL, Murphy C, Jenett A, Truman JW, Rubin GM. 2010; Refinement of tools for targeted gene expression in *Drosophila*. *Genetics*. 186:735–755. [PubMed: 20697123]
- Pfeiffer BD, Jenett A, Hammonds AS, Ngo TT, Misra S, Murphy C, Scully A, Carlson JW, Wan KH, Lavery TR, Mungall C, Svirskas R, Kadonaga JT, Doe CQ, Eisen MB, Celniker SE, Rubin GM. 2008; Tools for neuroanatomy and neurogenetics in *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 105:9715–9720. [PubMed: 18621688]
- Ponomarev I. 2013; Epigenetic control of gene expression in the alcoholic brain. *Alcohol research: current reviews*. 35:69–76. [PubMed: 24313166]
- Reed MR. 1938; The Olfactory Reactions of *Drosophila melanogaster* Meigen to the Products of Fermenting Banana. *Physiological Zoology*. 11:317–325.
- Reemst K, Noctor SC, Lucassen PJ, Hol EM. 2016; The Indispensable Roles of Microglia and Astrocytes during Brain Development. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 10:566. [PubMed: 27877121]
- Reiter LT, Potocki L, Chien S, Gribskov M, Bier E. 2001; A systematic analysis of human disease-associated gene sequences in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genome research*. 11:1114–1125. [PubMed: 11381037]
- Richmond RC, Gerking JL. 1979; Oviposition site preference in *Drosophila*. *Behavior genetics*. 9:233–241. [PubMed: 115458]
- Riemensperger T, Pech U, Dipt S, Fiala A. 2012; Optical calcium imaging in the nervous system of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Biochimica et biophysica acta*. 1820:1169–1178. [PubMed: 22402253]
- Robie AA, Hirokawa J, Edwards AW, Umayam LA, Lee A, Phillips ML, Card GM, Korff W, Rubin GM, Simpson JH, Reiser MB, Branson K. 2017; Mapping the Neural Substrates of Behavior. *Cell*. 170:393–406. e328. [PubMed: 28709004]
- Robinson BG, Atkinson NS. 2013; Is alcoholism learned? Insights from the fruit fly. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 23:529–534. [PubMed: 23462335]
- Robinson BG, Khurana S, Atkinson NS. 2013; *Drosophila* larvae as a model to study physiological alcohol dependence. *Commun Integr Biol*. 6:e23501. [PubMed: 23750304]

- Rodan AR, Rothenfluh A. 2010; The genetics of behavioral alcohol responses in *Drosophila*. *Int Rev Neurobiol*. 91:25–51. [PubMed: 20813239]
- Royer LA, Lemon WC, Chhetri RK, Wan Y, Coleman M, Myers EW, Keller PJ. 2016; Adaptive light-sheet microscopy for long-term, high-resolution imaging in living organisms. *Nat Biotechnol*. 34:1267–1278. [PubMed: 27798562]
- Scaplen KM, Kaun KR. 2016; Reward from bugs to bipeds: a comparative approach to understanding how reward circuits function. *J Neurogenet*. 30:133–148. [PubMed: 27328845]
- Schlegel P, Costa M, Jefferis GS. 2017; Learning from connectomics on the fly. *Curr Opin Insect Sci*. 24:96–105. [PubMed: 29208230]
- Schlegel P, Texada MJ, Miroschnikow A, Schoofs A, Huckesfeld S, Peters M, Schneider-Mizell CM, Lacin H, Li F, Fetter RD, Truman JW, Cardona A, Pankratz MJ. 2016Synaptic transmission parallels neuromodulation in a central food-intake circuit. *Elife*. :5.
- Schneider-Mizell CM, Gerhard S, Longair M, Kazimiers T, Li F, Zwart MF, Champion A, Midgley FM, Fetter RD, Saalfeld S, Cardona A. 2016Quantitative neuroanatomy for connectomics in *Drosophila*. *Elife*. :5.
- Scholz H, Ramond J, Singh CM, Heberlein U. 2000; Functional ethanol tolerance in *Drosophila*. *Neuron*. 28:261–271. [PubMed: 11086999]
- Schwartz YB, Cavalli G. 2017; Three-Dimensional Genome Organization and Function in *Drosophila*. *Genetics*. 205:5–24. [PubMed: 28049701]
- Shao L, Saver M, Chung P, Ren Q, Lee T, Kent CF, Heberlein U. 2017; Dissection of the *Drosophila* neuropeptide F circuit using a high-throughput two-choice assay. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 114:E8091–E8099. [PubMed: 28874527]
- Shih J, Hodge R, Andrade-Navarro MA. 2015; Comparison of inter- and intraspecies variation in humans and fruit flies. *Genom Data*. 3:49–54. [PubMed: 26484147]
- Shohat-Ophir G, Kaun KR, Azanchi R, Mohammed H, Heberlein U. 2012; Sexual deprivation increases ethanol intake in *Drosophila*. *Science*. 335:1351–1355. [PubMed: 22422983]
- Sivanantharajah L, Zhang B. 2015Current techniques for high-resolution mapping of behavioral circuits in *Drosophila*. *Journal of comparative physiology A, Neuroethology, sensory, neural, and behavioral physiology*.
- St-Pierre F, Marshall JD, Yang Y, Gong Y, Schnitzer MJ, Lin MZ. 2014; High-fidelity optical reporting of neuronal electrical activity with an ultrafast fluorescent voltage sensor. *Nat Neurosci*. 17:884–889. [PubMed: 24755780]
- Strother JA, Wu ST, Rogers EM, Eliason JLM, Wong AM, Nern A, Reiser MB. 2018; Behavioral state modulates the ON visual motion pathway of *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 115:E102–E111. [PubMed: 29255026]
- Takagi S, Cocanougher BT, Niki S, Miyamoto D, Kohsaka H, Kazama H, Fetter RD, Truman JW, Zlatić M, Cardona A, Nose A. 2017; Divergent Connectivity of Homologous Command-like Neurons Mediates Segment-Specific Touch Responses in *Drosophila*. *Neuron*. 96:1373–1387. e1376. [PubMed: 29198754]
- Takemura SY, Nern A, Chklovskii DB, Scheffer LK, Rubin GM, Meinertzhagen IA. 2017aThe comprehensive connectome of a neural substrate for ‘ON’ motion detection in *Drosophila*. *Elife*. :6.
- Takemura SY, et al. 2017bA connectome of a learning and memory center in the adult *Drosophila* brain. *Elife*. :6.
- Talay M, Richman EB, Snell NJ, Hartmann GG, Fisher JD, Sorkac A, Santoyo JF, Chou-Freed C, Nair N, Johnson M, Szymanski JR, Barnea G. 2017; Transsynaptic Mapping of Second-Order Taste Neurons in Flies by trans-Tango. *Neuron*. 96:783–795. e784. [PubMed: 29107518]
- Ting CY, Gu S, Guttikonda S, Lin TY, White BH, Lee CH. 2011; Focusing transgene expression in *Drosophila* by coupling Gal4 with a novel split-LexA expression system. *Genetics*. 188:229–233. [PubMed: 21368278]
- Troutwine BR, Ghezzi A, Pietrzykowski AZ, Atkinson NS. 2016; Alcohol resistance in *Drosophila* is modulated by the Toll innate immune pathway. *Genes Brain Behav*. 15:382–394. [PubMed: 26916032]

- Urizar NL, Yang Z, Edenberg HJ, Davis RL. 2007; *Drosophila* homer is required in a small set of neurons including the ellipsoid body for normal ethanol sensitivity and tolerance. *J Neurosci.* 27:4541–4551. [PubMed: 17460067]
- van der Linde K, Lyons LC. 2011; Circadian modulation of acute alcohol sensitivity but not acute tolerance in *Drosophila*. *Chronobiology international.* 28:397–406. [PubMed: 21721855]
- Volkow ND, Fowler JS, Wang GJ, Swanson JM, Telang F. 2007; Dopamine in drug abuse and addiction: results of imaging studies and treatment implications. *Archives of neurology.* 64:1575–1579. [PubMed: 17998440]
- Waddell S. 2016; Neural Plasticity: Dopamine Tunes the Mushroom Body Output Network. *Curr Biol.* 26:R109–112. [PubMed: 26859265]
- Wolf FW, Rodan AR, Tsai LT, Heberlein U. 2002; High-resolution analysis of ethanol-induced locomotor stimulation in *Drosophila*. *J Neurosci.* 22:11035–11044. [PubMed: 12486199]
- Yamagata N, Ichinose T, Aso Y, Placais PY, Friedrich AB, Sima RJ, Preat T, Rubin GM, Tanimoto H. 2015; Distinct dopamine neurons mediate reward signals for short- and long-term memories. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 112:578–583. [PubMed: 25548178]
- Zars T. 2009; Spatial orientation in *Drosophila*. *J Neurogenet.* 23:104–110. [PubMed: 19052956]
- Zhang G, Ghosh S. 2001; Toll-like receptor-mediated NF-kappaB activation: a phylogenetically conserved paradigm in innate immunity. *J Clin Invest.* 107:13–19. [PubMed: 11134172]
- Zheng Z, et al. 2017A Complete Electron Microscopy Volume Of The Brain Of Adult *Drosophila melanogaster*. *bioRxiv.*

Highlights

- Flies are an established model for studying Alcohol Use Disorder
- Flies are ideal for unbiased genetic approaches and manipulation
- Fly neurocircuitry affords basic principles underlying alcohol-related behaviors
- Alcohol-induced neuroimmune dysfunction may benefit from future fly research

Figure 1. Alcohol research in flies complements our understanding of human Alcohol Use Disorder (AUD)

The fly's small and refined genome provides integration and translational perspective for big data ("omics"). Precise neuroanatomical manipulation in flies provides insight into the basic principles underlying modulation of complex, yet tractable, reward circuitry. The fly's powerful genetic tools and highly conserved innate immune signaling system sheds light on complex neuron-glia interactions associated with AUD.