Published in final edited form as:

J Head Trauma Rehabil. 2018 ; 33(5): E9–E15. doi:10.1097/HTR.0000000000000423.

Evaluation of Executive Function and Mental Health in Retired Contact Sport Athletes

Barry S Willer, PhD,

Professor, Department of Psychiatry, University at Buffalo, SUNY, Buffalo, NY

Michael Tiso, MD,

Clinical Assistant Professor, Departments of Internal Medicine and Sports Medicine, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH

Mohammad Nadir Haider, MD,

Clinical Research Fellow, Department of Psychiatry and Department of Orthopedics and Sports Medicine, University at Buffalo, SUNY, Buffalo, NY

Andrea L Hinds, PhD,

Research Associate, Department of Psychiatry, University at Buffalo, SUNY, Buffalo, NY

John G Baker, PhD,

Research Assistant Professor, Department of Nuclear Medicine, University at Buffalo, SUNY, Buffalo, NY

Jeffery C Miecznikowski, PhD, and

Associate Professor, Department of Biostatistics, University at Buffalo, SUNY, Buffalo, NY

John J Leddy, MD

Professor, Department of Orthopedics and Sports Medicine, University at Buffalo, SUNY, Buffalo, NY

Abstract

Objective—To compare retired professional contact sport athletes to age-matched non-contact sport athletes on measures of executive function and mental health.

Setting—University Concussion Management Clinic.

Participants—Twenty-one retired National Football League (NFL) and National Hockey League (NHL) players (Mean Age 56 years) and 21 age-matched non-contact sport athlete controls.

Designs—Case-control

Main measure—Self and informant reported Behavioral Rating Inventory of Executive Function—Adult form (BRIEF-A); Wisconsin Card Sorting Test; Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System; Trail Making Part A and B; Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale; Neuropsychological Assessment Battery; List Learning; Controlled Oral Word Association Test; Beck Depression Inventory; Beck Anxiety Inventory; and Personality Inventory of the DSM-5.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have not declared conflicts of interest.

Results—Former NFL and NHL players perceived themselves to have some impairment in 2 of 9 domains of executive function on BRIEF-A; however, their informants reported no difference when compared to informants of non-contact athletes. No significant differences were found when comparing contact sport athletes to non-contact athletes on objective neuropsychological testing. Contact sport athletes qualified as clinically anxious and had more ‘unusual beliefs and experiences’, although they remained within with age-based norms.

Conclusion—Participation in contact sports at the professional level may not lead to later-life executive dysfunction as the popular media and some research currently suggests.

Keywords

concussion; dementia; athlete; executive function; chronic traumatic encephalopathy

INTRODUCTION

Chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder suspected to be due to repetitive concussive or sub-concussive blows to the head, similar to the ones received while playing sports with frequent physical contact.¹ There are varying opinions regarding the clinical manifestations of trauma-induced tau protein deposition versus normal tau deposits as a result of age and other life factors.^{2,3} (*cite overview paper*) Deterioration of Executive Function is considered a hallmark characteristic of early onset dementia¹⁰. Executive function is defined as higher cognitive skills that facilitate critical thinking and decision making. These skills include flexibility, focus, organization, planning, self-awareness, self-control, time management, and working memory.

Gavett et al.⁴ conducted interviews of friends and family members of people who had documented CTE and described a consistent pattern of impairment in executive function, cognition, mood, behavior (impulsivity) and signs of motor neuron disease. Stern et al.⁵ conducted similar interviews of family members of 36 deceased National Football league (NFL) and National Hockey League (NHL) athletes with confirmed CTE. Three were asymptomatic, 11 had cognitive dysfunction, 13 had behavior alterations that gradually became mood disturbance, and 10 were diagnosed with dementia. Seichepine et al.⁶ conducted an online evaluation of former college and professional American football athletes using the Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function-Adult (BRIEF-A), a validated self-report questionnaire that assesses executive function. When compared with age-based norms, retired football players rated themselves to have significantly worse functioning on 7 of the 9 scales of the BRIEF-A when compared to the general population. The authors also raised concerns about the accuracy of self-reported concussions, with one of the respondents indicating he had 20,000 concussions.

Research to date on CTE implies that all athletes who played contact sport professionally, suffering repetitive concussions and/or sub-concussive blows, will develop or are at risk to develop CTE.^{7,8} It would also appear that most former contact sport athletes will eventually experience abnormal cognitive decline, behavior changes, and significant issues with planning and awareness. Based on this, the purpose of this paper is to describe the executive function performance of a sample of former professional contact sport athletes using self-

report (BRIEF-A), along with informant-reports and objective testing, and compare these results to an age-matched control group of athletes who did not play contact sports. Based on the recent research on CTE⁷, and the assumed early onset of cognitive and functional deficits, we hypothesized that former contact sport athletes would have significantly impaired executive function on both self-report, informant (spousal) report, and on neuropsychological testing associated with executive function when compared with non-contact athlete controls.

METHODS

The case control study was completed as part of a larger study of retired athletes (*cite overview paper*) at the University at Buffalo. Approval was obtained prior to the study from the University at Buffalo IRB committee.

Study Participants

The contact sport group was composed of former NFL and NHL players who were invited and ultimately recruited through their respective alumni associations. The age-matched non-contact sport athletes (control group) were recruited from local sports associations. The recruitment process and inclusion and exclusion criteria are described in detail in Willer et al. (*cite overview paper*).

Instruments

Executive Function—The BRIEF-A⁹ was used to assess self-reported executive function. The BRIEF-A is a standardized and validated test that assesses cognitive and behavioral regulation^{10,11} and was administered to the participants of both groups as well as their identified key informants. The informants were spouses or close household members. The BRIEF-A includes subscales such as planning, organization, and emotional control. The subscales are summarized into the broader categories of behavioral regulation, meta-cognition, and a global score of executive function. None of the participant's results or family member's results on the BRIEF was outside the acceptable range on each of the validity scales.

Executive function was objectively assessed with the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST),¹² Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (D-KEFS),¹³ and Trail Making Part B.¹⁴ Working memory was assessed with the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, Third Edition (WAIS-III) using the subtest of Digit Span.¹⁵ Memory was tested with the Neuropsychological Assessment Battery (NAB) List Learning, both immediate and delayed recall.¹⁶ The Controlled Oral Word Association Test (COWAT) was used to evaluate language.^{17–19} Finally, the domain of perceptual motor skills was tested with the WAIS Digit Symbol¹⁵ and Trail Making, Part A.^{14,20} Administration of these tests was supervised by an experienced neuropsychologist. The authors did not conduct any formal assessment of effort regarding the testing process.

Mental Health Assessment—The Behavioral and Mental Health protocol of the study focused on assessing personality, mood, and behavior in the athletes. The protocol aimed to

identify areas of impairment, as well as preserved areas, and to inform methods of intervention (including education and counseling). For the purpose of evaluation of participant mental health, we used the Personality Inventory for the DSM-5 (PID-5),²¹ which included normative data for comparison. The PID-5 assesses 25 facets of personality that are organized within five broad domains: Negative Affectivity (vs. Emotional Stability), Detachment (vs. Extraversion), Antagonism (vs. Agreeableness), Disinhibition (vs. Conscientiousness), and Psychoticism (vs. Lucidity).^{21,22}

The scales used for depression and anxiety were the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)²⁴ and Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI),²⁵ respectively, two validated instruments. Both questionnaires have a maximum score of 63 but up to 13 points of the BDI and up to 9 points on the BAI are considered to be minimal risk for depression and anxiety, respectively.

Statistical Analysis

According to the systematic review and meta-analysis by Kar et al.,²⁶ an estimated effect size of 0.80 was used for the power analysis. Based on the computed power analysis, the results indicated that in order to achieve a power of 0.80 with a one sided test at probability level 0.05, a total of 20 participants in each group was required. In the analysis, two sample t-tests (unequal variation) were conducted to examine group differences (contact sport athletes vs non-contact sport athletes, and informants for both athlete groups) for each BRIEF-A variable of the behavioral regulation and cognitive function domains. Similarly, two sample t-tests (unequal variation) were conducted to examine group differences (contact sport athletes versus non-contact sport athletes) for each of the executive function and behavioral variables. All tests are summarized by a two-sided p-value, Cohen's d effect size, and confidence intervals. P-values less than 0.05 were considered significant. All computations were performed using the R programming language.²⁶

RESULTS

Twenty-one retired NFL and NHL athletes made up the contact sport athlete group (mean age 56.7 years). Twenty-one non-contact sport athletes made up the control group (mean age 55.4 years). Detailed demographic data of both groups is presented in ¹ et al. (*cite overview paper*).

BRIEF-A

The contact sport athletes had worse perceived impairment in executive function than the non-contact athlete group (Figure 1). This difference was significant for the Working Memory (hold information in mind for the purpose of completing a task, stick to an activity) and Initiate (begin a task and/or generate ideas) subscales. The non-contact athletes' scores on the BRIEF-A were consistent with age-matched normative data.

The same BRIEF-A questionnaire was given to the informants (spouses) of the contact sport athletes and non-contact controls in order to evaluate how the behavior and cognition of the subject appeared to a close observer (Figure 2). There was no statistically significant difference in the subscale scores in the informants' perception of the contact sport athletes

and non-contact sport athletes, indicating that the groups function equally when compared with each other and to a normalized adult population.

Cognitive Assessments

Executive function was assessed objectively using the WCST, DKEFS, and Trail Making, Part B, and reported as t-scores. No significant difference between the contact sport athletes and non-contact sport athletes was seen in any of the variables assessed (Table 1). In WCST Perseverative Errors, DKEFS Inhibition, and DKEFS Inhibition/Switching, contact sport athletes and non-contact controls performed above the mean, with the contact sport athletes outperforming the non-contact sport athletes in DKEFS Inhibition/Switching, although this difference was not significant. No significant difference in testing performance was seen between the contact sport athletes and non-contact sport controls in the other four cognitive domains: working memory (assessed with WAIS digit span), memory (List Learning, immediate and delayed recall), language (COWAT), and perceptual motor skills (WAIS digit symbol and Trail Making Part A).

Mental Health Assessment

The contact sport athletes were significantly worse than non-contact sport athletes in raw score of depression (BDI) and anxiety (BAI) (Table 2). When comparing presence or absence of clinical depression (>13 on the BDI) and clinical anxiety (> 9 on the BAI), however, only clinical anxiety was significant. Of the five domains of the PID-5, only psychoticism was significantly higher in the contact sport athlete group. A closer examination of the facets of the Psychoticism Factor indicated that the difference between groups was accounted for by one facet: Unusual beliefs and experiences. It also turns out that contact sport athletes' scores were well within the normal range for psychoticism but the non-contact athletes were significantly below the norm, especially for 'unusual beliefs and experiences.' When the contact sport athletes are compared with the normative population they are not different.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of our study was to extensively evaluate the physical and mental health of athletes who had professional careers playing contact sports and that, according to prior research, left them vulnerable to CTE.^{4–6,27–32} In this study, we used a comparison sample of athletes who participated in competitive non-contact sports such as swimming, running, and biking in their younger years and who remained physically active up to the point of participating in this study. These controls represent a superior aging population who are healthier based on common indicators like body mass index, have superior cognitive reserve based on education, and are at a lower risk of cognitive decline when compared to normal age-matched individuals, due to their history of endurance training.^{33–35} If our former professional athletes were in the early stages of cognitive decline or early onset dementia due to their professional involvement in contact sports, we hypothesized that they would be inferior to the comparison group on measures of executive function. Contrary to our hypothesis, we did not find any statistically significant impairment of executive function in

the retired professional contact sport athletes when compared with the non-contact sport control group with the exception of self-report on two factors of the BRIEF-A.

On the BRIEF-A contact sport athletes rated themselves as more impaired on working memory and initiation than controls, a finding that is similar to that of Seichepine et al.⁶ The differences between the two groups of athletes were not supported by the objective tests or the perceptions of their informants (mostly spouses). There are several explanations for this finding that we considered. It has been suggested that athletes in general have distinct personalities that include high expectations for themselves, and thus any weakness may be emphasized.³⁷ In addition, there has been considerable discussion of CTE and the vulnerabilities of former contact-sport athletes in the public media.² Therefore, it is possible that the former contact sport athletes have come to believe that they are deteriorating in line with media accounts. Certainly the athletes that signed up to participate in our research expressed their worries about their mental health and most indicated this was a primary reason for participation. This observation might also explain the slightly higher rate of anxiety in this population.

To provide an objective assessment of executive function between the contact sport athletes and non-contact athletes, each group underwent neuropsychological testing comprised of: flexibility (WCST), working memory (WAIS Digit Span), memory (NAB List learning: immediate and delayed recall), language (COWAT), and perceptual motor skills (WAIS digit symbol, TRAIL making test part A). There were no differences between the contact sport athletes and non-contact athlete controls on any objective measures of executive function or components of executive function. Esopenko et al.³⁸ performed a similar study of former NHL players, measuring cognitive function with both questionnaires and neuropsychological tests. Although there were some group differences that positively correlated executive and intellectual function with concussion exposure, they found no significant differences from the non-athlete control group. A study performed by Hume et al.³⁹ had different results. They performed a larger cross-sectional study using an online version of CNS Vital Signs,⁴⁰ a computerized neurocognitive assessment, and found significant decreases in complex attention, processing speed, executive function, and cognitive flexibility in retired elite rugby players when compared with retired community rugby players and age-matched non-contact sport athletes.

Raw BDI scores of the two groups of athletes in our study indicated more symptoms of depression and anxiety in the contact sport athlete group, which is consistent with several previous studies.^{41,42} However, when we use raw BDI scores to define clinical depression, differences between the groups were not significant. Although the contact sport athletes have more symptoms than non-contact athletes, their scores were within the normal range. The presence of anxiety symptoms was considerably higher in the contact sport athlete group, and they were significantly more likely to qualify as clinically anxious, something that might be explained by the chronic pain and chronic sleep disturbance reported by these athletes. The finding that former contact sport athletes have higher scores on anxiety measures warrants further investigation. One possibility is that retired athletes who were anxious about their state of mental health were more likely to volunteer for the study.

Of the five domains that make up PID-5, Psychoticism was the only personality domain that was significantly higher among the contact sport athletes than the non-contact athletes. This difference was due to one facet of the domain called ‘unusual beliefs and experiences’, being much higher among contact sport athletes but still within the normative range. The estimated effect sizes for PID-5 Psychoticism is large (>0.80), but the confidence intervals for effect size are also rather large. An explanation for the finding is that the non-contact athletes scored significantly lower than the normative population on the personality facet of ‘unusual beliefs and experiences’. This facet, interestingly, includes a number of questions that might understandably be agreed to by former professional athletes: unusual abilities, ability to sense the presence of others, having had weird experiences, seeing unusual connections between things, can influence others by sending thoughts. In order to achieve success on the world stage of professional sport, athletes have to have an unusual belief in the capabilities. It is also reasonable to expect that the adoration of thousands of fans also affected their sense of having an influence over others³⁷.

This study has a number of limitations that are described in more detail in ^{2, 3} et al. (overview). The sample size is small but in the overview we observed that the career lengths of our sample was the same or greater than the general population of retired athletes. We conclude that our sample is reasonably representative of the population of retired professional athletes who played contact sports.

We recognize that studies that report no significant differences between groups are often underpowered to make such claims. However, our sample of contact sport athletes played a substantial amount of time in their respective leagues with an average career length of 8.7 years, suggesting a high degree of exposure to concussions and sub-concussive blows. These athletes should be at risk for early onset dementia from CTE. We hypothesized that these former athletes would be significantly impaired in executive function when compared with such a healthy control group and were surprised to find this was not the case. Still, as stated our sample size is small and the study results need to be replicated.

CONCLUSION

Although contact sport athletes have perceived executive function impairments, in this cohort of former professional players we found no evidence to support their perception. Participating in contact sports may not lead to later-life executive dysfunction as the media and some research currently suggest. Contact sport athletes did have higher depression scores than healthy controls, but are still within the normative range. Former professional football and hockey athletes do appear to have a high rate of anxiety, which warrants further investigation. We found no evidence of executive dysfunction or behavior that would indicate early signs of dementia.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the researchers at the Boston University CTE Center, especially Dr. Robert Stern, for their willingness to share the neuropsychological testing protocol developed for their research on cognitive and behavioral aspects of CTE in former contact sport athletes.

Source of Funding:

We wish to thank the following organizations for financial support: The National Institutes of Health (National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences) award number UL1TR001412; the Robert Rich Family Foundation; and the Ralph and Mary Wilson Foundation. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH or any other funding source.

References

1. Kiernan PT, Montenigro PH, Solomon TM, McKee AC. Chronic traumatic encephalopathy: a neurodegenerative consequence of repetitive traumatic brain injury. Paper presented at: Seminars in neurology. 2015
2. Davis GA, Castellani RJ, McCrory P. Neurodegeneration and sport. *Neurosurgery*. 2015; 76(6):643–655. discussion 655-646. [PubMed: 25988925]
3. Iverson GL, Keene CD, Perry G, Castellani RJ. The Need to Separate Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy Neuropathology from Clinical Features. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*. 2018:1–12. Preprint.
4. Gavett BE, Stern RA, McKee AC. Chronic traumatic encephalopathy: a potential late effect of sport-related concussive and subconcussive head trauma. *Clin Sports Med*. 2011; 30(1):179–188, xi. [PubMed: 21074091]
5. Stern RA, Daneshvar DH, Baugh CM. , et al. Clinical presentation of chronic traumatic encephalopathy; *Neurology*. 2013. 1122–1129. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23966253>. Accessed 13, 81.
6. Seichepine DR, Stamm JM, Daneshvar DH, et al. Profile of self-reported problems with executive functioning in college and professional football players. *Journal of neurotrauma*. 2013; 30(14): 1299–1304. [PubMed: 23421745]
7. Mez J, Daneshvar DH, Kiernan PT, et al. Clinicopathological Evaluation of Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy in Players of American Football. *Jama*. 2017; 318(4):360–370. [PubMed: 28742910]
8. McKee AC, Stein TD, Nowinski CJ, et al. The spectrum of disease in chronic traumatic encephalopathy. *Brain : a journal of neurology*. 2013; 136(1):43–64. [PubMed: 23208308]
9. Roth RM, Gioia GA. Behavior rating inventory of executive function–adult version. *Psychological Assessment Resources*; Lutz, FL: 2005.
10. Rabin LA, Roth RM, Isquith PK, et al. Self- and informant reports of executive function on the BRIEF-A in MCI and older adults with cognitive complaints. *Archives of clinical neuropsychology : the official journal of the National Academy of Neuropsychologists*. 2006; 21(7):721–732. [PubMed: 16979868]
11. Waid-Ebbs JK, Wen PS, Heaton SC, Donovan NJ, Velozo C. The item level psychometrics of the behaviour rating inventory of executive function-adult (BRIEF-A) in a TBI sample. *Brain injury*. 2012; 26(13-14):1646–1657. [PubMed: 22876936]
12. Heaton RK. Wisconsin card sorting test: computer version 2. Odessa: Psychological Assessment Resources; 1993.
13. Delis DC, Kaplan E, Kramer JH. Delis-Kaplan executive function system (D-KEFS). *Psychological Corporation*; 2001.
14. Tombaugh TN. Trail Making Test A and B: normative data stratified by age and education. *Archives of clinical neuropsychology*. 2004; 19(2):203–214. [PubMed: 15010086]
15. Wechsler D. Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale. The Psychological Corporation; 1997.
16. Stern RA, White T. NAB, Neuropsychological Assessment Battery: Administration, Scoring, and Interpretation Manual. *Psychological Assessment Resources*; 2003.
17. Loonstra AS, Tarlow AR, Sellers AH. COWAT metanorms across age, education, and gender. *Applied neuropsychology*. 2001; 8(3):161–166. [PubMed: 11686651]
18. Rodriguez-Aranda C, Martinussen M. Age-related differences in performance of phonemic verbal fluency measured by Controlled Oral Word Association Task (COWAT): a meta-analytic study. *Developmental neuropsychology*. 2006; 30(2):697–717. [PubMed: 16995832]

19. Tombaugh TN, Kozak J, Rees L. Normative data stratified by age and education for two measures of verbal fluency: FAS and animal naming. *Archives of clinical neuropsychology*. 1999; 14(2): 167–177. [PubMed: 14590600]
20. Strauss E, Sherman EMS, Spreen O. A compendium of neuropsychological tests: Administration, norms, and commentary. Oxford University Press; 2006.
21. Krueger RF, Markon KE. The role of the DSM-5 personality trait model in moving toward a quantitative and empirically based approach to classifying personality and psychopathology. *Annual review of clinical psychology*. 2014; 10:477–501.
22. Association AP. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Fifth. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association; 2013.
23. Krueger RF, Markon KE. The role of the DSM-5 personality trait model in moving toward a quantitative and empirically based approach to classifying personality and psychopathology. *Annual review of clinical psychology*. 2014; 10:477–501.
24. Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J, ERBAUGH J. An inventory for measuring depression. *Archives of general psychiatry*. 1961; 4(6):561–571. [PubMed: 13688369]
25. Beck AT, Steer RA, Carbin MG. Psychometric properties of the Beck Depression Inventory: Twenty-five years of evaluation. *Clinical psychology review*. 1988; 8(1):77–100.
26. Karr JE, Garcia-Barrera MA, Areshenkoff CN. Executive functions and intraindividual variability following concussion. *Journal of clinical and experimental neuropsychology*. 2014; 36(1):15–31. [PubMed: 24283964]
27. Team RC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2013. 2016<http://www.R-project.org>
28. McKee AC, Cantu RC, Nowinski CJ, et al. Chronic traumatic encephalopathy in athletes: progressive tauopathy after repetitive head injury. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol*. 2009; 68(7):709–735. [PubMed: 19535999]
29. McKee AC, Stein TD, Kiernan PT, Alvarez VE. The neuropathology of chronic traumatic encephalopathy. *Brain pathology (Zurich, Switzerland)*. 2015; 25(3):350–364.
30. McKee AC, Stern RA, Nowinski CJ, et al. The spectrum of disease in chronic traumatic encephalopathy. *Brain : a journal of neurology*. 2013; 136(Pt 1):43–64. [PubMed: 23208308]
31. Omalu BI, Bailes J, Hammers JL, Fitzsimmons RP. Chronic traumatic encephalopathy, suicides and parasuicides in professional American athletes: the role of the forensic pathologist. *Am J Forensic Med Pathol*. 2010; 31(2):130–132. [PubMed: 20032774]
32. Riley DO, Robbins CA, Cantu RC, Stern RA. Chronic traumatic encephalopathy: contributions from the Boston University Center for the Study of Traumatic Encephalopathy. *Brain injury*. 2015; 29(2):154–163. [PubMed: 25587744]
33. Stamm JM, Bourlas AP, Baugh CM, et al. Age of first exposure to football and later-life cognitive impairment in former NFL players. *Neurology*. 2015; 84(11):1114–1120. [PubMed: 25632088]
34. Tseng BY, Uh J, Rossetti HC, et al. Masters athletes exhibit larger regional brain volume and better cognitive performance than sedentary older adults. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*. 2013; 38(5):1169–1176. [PubMed: 23908143]
35. Elwood P, Galante J, Pickering J, et al. Healthy lifestyles reduce the incidence of chronic diseases and dementia: evidence from the Caerphilly cohort study. *PloS one*. 2013; 8(12):e81877. [PubMed: 24349147]
36. Larson EB, Wang L, Bowen JD, et al. Exercise is associated with reduced risk for incident dementia among persons 65 years of age and older. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2006; 144(2):73–81. [PubMed: 16418406]
37. Allen MS, Jones M, McCarthy PJ, Sheehan-Mansfield S, Sheffield D. Emotions correlate with perceived mental effort and concentration disruption in adult sport performers. *Eur J Sport Sci*. 2013; 13(6):697–706. [PubMed: 24251748]
38. Esopenko C, Chow TW, Tartaglia MC, et al. Cognitive and psychosocial function in retired professional hockey players. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2017;jnnp-2016–315260.
39. Hume PA, Theadom A, Lewis GN, et al. A comparison of cognitive function in former rugby union players compared with former non-contact-sport players and the impact of concussion history. *Sports medicine*. 2017; 47(6):1209–1220. [PubMed: 27558141]

40. Gualtieri CT, Johnson LG. Reliability and validity of a computerized neurocognitive test battery, CNS Vital Signs. Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology. 2006; 21(7):623–643. [PubMed: 17014981]
41. Didehbani N, Munro Cullum C, Mansinghani S, Conover H, Hart J Jr. Depressive symptoms and concussions in aging retired NFL players. Archives of clinical neuropsychology : the official journal of the National Academy of Neuropsychologists. 2013; 28(5):418–424. [PubMed: 23644673]
42. Strain J, Didehbani N, Cullum CM, et al. Depressive symptoms and white matter dysfunction in retired NFL players with concussion history. Neurology. 2013; 81(1):25–32. [PubMed: 23709590]

Figure 1.

Behavioral Regulation and Cognition scores of the BRIEF-A self-assessment. A t-score of 50 and below is considered the normal range, 50 – 65 approaches clinical significance, and over 65 is rated as clinically elevated. Significance is denoted with * ($p < 0.05$). Horizontal lines indicate mean $\pm$ 1 standard deviation.

Figure 2. Behavioral Regulation and Cognition subscales of the BRIEF-A as reported by Informants. A t-score of 50 and below is considered the normal range, 50 – 65 approaches clinical significance, and over 65 is rated as clinically elevated. There are no significant differences in informant reports between contact sport athletes and non-contact athletes. Horizontal lines indicate mean $\pm$ 1 standard deviation.

Table 1

Mean t-scores of Athlete and Control groups on tests of executive function and measures of working memory, memory, language, and perceptual motor.

	Contact Athletes (SD)	Non-Contact Athletes (SD)	p-values	Cohen's Effect Size (95% CI)
WCST Errors	49.4 (9.9)	52.5 (6.3)	0.25	-0.36 (-1.00, 0.27)
WCST Perseverative	50.0 (9.5)	51.3 (6.1)	0.59	-0.17 (-0.80, 0.46)
DKEFS Inhibition	57.7 (4.6)	57.2 (8.3)	0.82	0.07 (-0.55, 0.69)
DKEFS Inhibition/ Switching	59.1 (5.9)	56.5 (8.1)	0.23	0.38 (-0.25, 1.00)
Trails Part B	48.5 (23.1)	53.4 (9.9)	0.38	-0.27 (-0.90, 0.35)
List Learning (immediate)	54.5 (10.2)	56.7 (9.0)	0.46	-0.23 (-0.86, 0.39)
List Learning (delayed)	56.1 (11.5)	59.4 (8.1)	0.29	-0.33 (-0.96, 0.30)
COWAT Letter	53.0 (11.0)	47.4 (6.8)	0.06	0.60 (-0.03, 1.24)
WAIS Digit Span	54.9 (11.7)	57.3 (9.7)	0.47	-0.23 (-0.85, 0.40)
WAIS Digit Symbol	55.0 (10.0)	53.4 (8.4)	0.57	0.18 (-0.45, 0.80)
Trails Part A	55.5 (13.1)	54.9 (10.2)	0.87	0.05 (-0.57, 0.68)

Table 2

BDI, BAI, and Psychological Domain Mean Scores of PID-5

	Contact Athletes (SD)	Non-Contact Athletes (SD)	p-values	Cohen's Effect Size (95% CI)
BDI Score	10.24 (8.11)	3.81 (5.05)	0.004	0.95 (0.29, 1.61)
BDI Positive *	5/21	1/21	0.06	0.60 (-0.78, 0.15)
BAI	5.95 (6.27)	2.62 (3.07)	0.04	0.67 (0.03, 1.32)
BAI Positive **	7/21	0/21	0.003	0.96 (-0.55, -0.12)
PID-5 Negative Affectivity	0.80 (0.42)	0.66 (0.36)	0.28	0.34 (-0.29, 0.97)
PID-5 Detachment	0.73 (0.31)	0.61 (0.23)	0.16	0.45 (-0.19, 1.08)
PID-5 Antagonism	0.65 (0.48)	0.58 (0.43)	0.63	0.15 (-0.47, .78)
PID-5 Disinhibition	0.89 (0.35)	0.76 (0.30)	0.19	0.41 (-0.22, 1.04)
PID-5 Psychoticism	0.50 (0.46)	0.22 (0.20)	0.02	0.80 (0.15, 1.45)

* BDI score of greater than 13;

** BAI score of greater than 9