Published in final edited form as:

J Asthma. 2017 September ; 54(7): 679–686. doi:10.1080/02770903.2016.1263315.

Disparities in Emergency Department Visits in American Children with Asthma: 2006-2010

Qi Zhang, PhD^{a,*}, Rajan Lamichhane, PhD^b, and Leigh Diggs, MPH^a

^aSchool of Community and Environmental Health, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Texas A&M University-Kingsville, Kingsville, Texas

Abstract

Objective: To examine the trends in emergency department (ED) visits for asthma among American children in 2006–2010 across socio-demographic factors, parental smoking status, and children's body weight status.

Methods: We analyzed 5,535 children aged 2–17 with current asthma in the Asthma Call-Back Survey in 2006–2010. Multivariate log binomial regression was used to examine the disparities of ED visits by demographics, socioeconomic status, parental smoking status, children's body weight status, and the level of asthma control. We controlled for average state-level air pollutants. Prevalence ratios and 95% confidence intervals were reported.

Results: Minority children with current asthma had higher risks of ED visits compared with white children in 2009 and 2010, e.g., the prevalence ratio (PR) (95% CI) for black children in 2009 was 3.64 (1.79, 7.41). Children who had current asthma and more highly educated parents experienced a higher risk of ED visits in 2007 (PRs [95% CI] = 2.15 [1.02, 4.53] and 2.97 [1.29, 6.83] for children with some college or college-graduated parents), but not significant in other years. Children with uncontrolled asthma were significantly more likely to visit the ED in 2008 (PRs [95% CI] = 2.79 [1.44, 5.41] and 6.96 [3.55, 13.64] for not-well-controlled and very-poorly-controlled children with asthma).

Conclusions: Minority children with current asthma or children with uncontrolled asthma were more likely to visit EDs for asthma treatment. However, the disparities in ED visits across socio-demographics, health status, or asthma control vary in scale and significance across time. More research is needed to explain these differences.

Keywords

pediatric; emergency care; health disparities; Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System; Asthma Call Back Survey

*Corresponding author: Qi Zhang, Ph.D., Room 1016, 1014 W. 46 St., School of Community and Environmental Health, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia, 23529. Telephone: 757-683-6870 (Office). qzhang@odu.edu.

Declaration of interest: The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

INTRODUCTION

Asthma exacerbations accounted for 1.8 million emergency department (ED) visits in 2011 and 439,000 hospital admissions in 2010 (1); they were the number one condition resulting in hospital admission after ED visits among children aged 0–17 years in 2011 (2). Asthma is a common chronic lung disease affecting persons of all ages and is characterized by episodic and reversible attacks or exacerbations of wheezing, breathlessness, chest tightness, and coughing (3, 4). Although asthma cannot be cured, symptoms can be controlled with appropriate medical treatment, self-management education, and avoiding exposure to environmental irritants and allergens (5). Poor asthma control is associated with increased ED visits, hospital inpatient admissions, and significant medical costs (6). In 2007, the annual estimated total economic cost to society from asthma was \$56 billion, including \$50.1 billion for medical expenses, \$3.8 billion for loss of productivity resulting from missed school and work days, and \$2.1 billion for premature death (7).

Extensive evidence documents the significant differences in asthma prevalence by age, sex, race/ethnicity, and socioeconomic status (SES), with minority and low-income groups in the US being disproportionately affected (8–14). Minority children who live in low socioeconomic urban environments experienced higher asthma prevalence, morbidity, and mortality rates than white children (15). Younger maternal age, residence in the center of cities, lower family income, lower birth weight, and being obese were associated with increased asthma prevalence among black children compared with white children (16). In the period of 2008–2010, asthma prevalence was greater among children (9.6%) than adults (7.7%), and was especially high among boys (11.3%) and non-Hispanic black children (17.0%) (17). Two recent publications have documented the trends in racial/ethnic disparities in asthma prevalence in adults and children (13, 18). One study in 2008 used data from 1993–2005 to examine the trends in racial disparities in ED visits among patients with asthma in all age groups (19). However, since 2008 no studies have ever been conducted on the trends in ED visit disparity or examined these disparities across socioeconomic status, health behaviors/statuses, and asthma control among children with asthma.

To fill in this significant knowledge gap, we used national data to examine the disparities in ED visits among children with asthma by gender, race/ethnicity, household income, parental education, health statuses, and level of asthma control. We tested the hypothesis whether these disparities in ED visits among children with asthma vary across time. The knowledge obtained can help us understand the disparities of poor asthma control in certain socio-demographic groups, who may then consume more medical resources in the ED. Without precise information on the scale of the disparities in ED visits among children with asthma and the trends in these disparities, no responsive interventions can be designed and implemented to promote health equity.

In this paper, we used the Asthma Call-Back Survey (ACBS) data, part of the annual nationally representative Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), to examine the trends in ED visit disparities among children with current asthma.

METHODS

Data

Current Asthma and ED Visit Data: The BRFSS is an annual telephone survey of non-institutionalized American adults aged 18 years or older (20) and was used in the recent publication on trends in asthma prevalence in the U.S (13). The BRFSS has been conducted cooperatively by the states and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) since 1984, providing national and state-level representative data on health behaviors, chronic health conditions, and the use of health care services. A multi-stage, disproportionate stratified sample (DSS) design was employed by the BRFSS consistently across the years. After telephone numbers were randomly selected, computer-assisted telephone interviews were conducted by trained professionals in each state. Currently the BRFSS interviews approximately 400,000 subjects annually and is the largest health survey in the world (20).

To estimate the lifetime and current asthma burden among American children, the BRFSS has added a Childhood Asthma Module to the survey since 2005 that selects a child randomly in the household. The child's adult caregiver answers questions regarding the selected child about whether the child has ever been diagnosed with asthma and currently has asthma. If ever diagnosed with asthma, interviewers will call back in approximately 2 weeks to conduct the Asthma Call-Back Survey (ACBS), including in-depth questions about health status and health care usage of children with asthma.

The Childhood Asthma Module and the ACBS module were pilot tested in 2005 and have expanded to other states since 2006 (21). Our study period was from 2006 to 2010. Although more recent ACBS data is available from 2011–2013, we ended the analyses in 2010 because of the following reasons: First, the BRFSS made two critical changes in sampling method, adding cell-phone-only respondents into the sampling frame in selected states in 2011 and into the frame for all states in 2012–2013. Second, the number of states participating in the ACBS decreased significantly from 35 in 2010 to 14, 10, 10, in 2011, 2012, and 2013, respectively, which reduced the sample size significantly. We conducted extensive scenario analyses and concluded that the data prior to 2010 are not comparable with that in 2011 or in 2012–2013, so a trend analysis using data from 2011–2013 is not feasible. This is also consistent with the CDC's guideline not to compare the data prior to 2011 with the more recent data in 2011–2013 (22). Since very few states participated in the ACBS every year, we included 15 states in the analyses that participated in at least four of the five ACBS surveys and account for 42.7% of all ACBS children: Connecticut, Georgia, Hawaii, Indiana, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Montana, Nebraska, New York, Texas, Utah, and Vermont. Our trend analyses indicated that the samples from these states were comparable across years (see the results and Table 1). In summary, the ACBS data provides valuable information for examining the disparities in ED visits for asthma treatment among children in 2006–2010.

Air quality data: The air quality can trigger or exacerbate asthma and lead to possible ED visits. The concentration of particulate matter (PM₁₀) and ozone (O₃) have been positively linked to asthma-related ED visits and hospital admissions (23, 24), decreased lung function (25–28), and fatalities from respiratory diseases (29). We used the Air Trends Data issued by

the United States Environmental Protection Agency (30), which measures five common air pollutants or criteria pollutants: carbon monoxide (CO), ozone (O₃), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), particulate matter (PM), and sulfur dioxide (SO₂). Since the asthma ED visits in the ACBS were based on the last 12 months, we used the average state-level pollutant data for the last year.

Measurements

Outcome variables: An ED visit status was recorded in the survey based on the answer to the survey question “During the past 12 months, has {child’s name} had to visit an emergency room or urgent care center because of {his/her} asthma?” This outcome measures one or more ED visits in the past 12 months but does not count ED visits or specify a single ED visit. Since the ED visit in the last 12 months was only related to children with current asthma, we only included children who were reported to still have asthma at the time of the interview. Since ED visits for children younger than age two could be more heterogeneous, we only included children aged 2 or above.

Confounding variables: Age, gender, race/ethnicity, insurance status, parental education, parental smoking behavior, and income group were controlled. Race/Ethnicity was categorized as “non-Hispanic whites,” “non-Hispanic blacks,” “Hispanics” and “Other.” Parental education was classified as “high school,” “some college,” and “college graduate.” Household income groups were created as “< \$35,000,” “\$35,000–\$75,000,” and “\$75,000.” For convenience, we labelled these incomes as low, middle, and high income levels. Parental smoking behavior (“current smoker or not”) and the child’s body weight status (normal, overweight, or obese) were also added as controls for health behaviors and indicators of general health. Overweight and obesity were defined as body mass index 85th and 95th percentile of the sex-specific BMI-for-age growth chart (31).

Level of asthma control: We used the three-level classification (well controlled, not well controlled, and very poorly controlled) developed by the CDC, which was based on daytime symptoms, night-time awakenings in the past 30 days, and use of short-acting β_2 -agonists (SABA) for symptom control (32, 33). Based on daytime symptoms, well/not-well/very poorly controlled were defined as ≤ 2 day/week, > 2 day/week, and throughout the day, respectively. Based on the SABA used, well/not-well/very poorly controlled were defined as ≤ 2 day/week, > 2 day/week, and several times/day, respectively. The cut-off points for the classification were more complicated if nighttime awakenings were used. More details can be found in Zahran et al. (2014, 2015) (32, 33).

Statistical Analysis—Our analysis took into account the complex survey design of the BRFSS/ACBS. We calculated the descriptive statistics in each year and then for pooled data. Due to various sample sizes and sampling weights across years, we reweighted the pooled data following the CDC’s guideline (34). We then estimated the prevalence of ED visits in each subgroup in each year as well as in the entire study period. We conducted multivariate log binomial regression to examine the associations between ED visits and explanatory variables across years while controlling state-level air pollution. Prevalence ratios (PR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) were reported. We also applied the logistic regression

using the binary ED visit status as the outcome variable and the year as the explanatory variable to test whether the risk of ED visit significantly varied across time. P-values for the trends were provided ($P < 0.05$ considered significant). All analyses were conducted with Stata 11.

RESULTS

Our analytical sample included 5,535 children aged 2–17 with current asthma in the ACBS for 2006–2010 (Table 1). The median age of these children with current asthma was 141 months. 57.3% of them were boys, and 55.4% of them were white. Slightly more than one-third of them were in either low-income or high-income groups. More children had college-educated parents than had lower-educated parents (high school). Approximately 40.9% of these children's parents smoked. The overweight and obesity rates in children with asthma were 15.5% and 26.5%, respectively. Except for the percentage of high-income groups, all the other descriptive characteristics were not statistically different across years ($P_{\text{trend}} > 0.05$), which indicates our samples were comparable across years in the study period.

ED visit rates vary across groups (Table 2). Boys had higher ED visit rates than girls, except in 2008 and 2010. Whites had the lowest ED visit rates among all race/ethnic groups consistently across time. Low-income children had the highest ED visit rates across income groups in all years except 2009, and the ED visit rates for high-income children were significantly lower than those of low-income children in 2006, 2007, and 2010. The disparity of ED visit rates across parental education was much smaller than that across income groups. The ED visit rates of children with parents having some college education were very similar to the rates of children with high school-educated parents, except in 2006. Statistical significance across education was only achieved in 2010 in the college-educated parent group ($P < 0.05$). Well-controlled children had the lowest prevalence rates of ED visits consistently across the years. However, only in 2008 were the disparities across the levels of asthma control statistically significant. In the pooled analyses, minority, low-income, and not-well-controlled children were significantly higher in ED visits than the reference groups. No significant trend changes in prevalence of ED visits were detected in each subgroup (all P_{trends} were > 0.05).

After controlling multiple confounding factors, disparities in ED visits still existed (Table 3). The significant PRs were mostly found across race/ethnic group, parental education, body weight status, and level of asthma control. The PRs of black and Hispanic children were all greater than 1. The prevalence of visiting the ED among black children in 2006 was 2.72 times (95% CI: 1.08, 6.88) and in 2009 was 3.64 times (95% CI: 1.79, 7.41) more than that among white children. The PR of visiting the ED among Hispanics peaked in 2009 (PR = 4.94; 95% CI: 2.35, 10.38). There were no significant protective effects of income. Children with more highly educated parents were significantly more likely to visit the ED in 2007 (PRs for some college and college graduate parent groups were 2.15 [95% CI: 1.02–4.53] and 2.97 [95% CI: 1.29–6.83], respectively). The prevalence ratio of ED visits in obese children was significantly smaller than 1 in 2006 (PR = 0.33, 95% CI = 0.12, 0.95). Compared with well-controlled children with asthma, not-well-controlled and very-poorly-controlled children with asthma had PRs over 1 in 2006–2008, while the PRs in 2008 were

highly significant (2.79 and 6.96 for not-well-controlled and very-poorly-controlled children, respectively). However, the PRs in 2009 and 2010 were not statistically different from 1 in not-well-controlled and very-poorly-controlled children. In the pooled analyses, minority children and children with very poor control had a significantly higher prevalence of ED visits than white children and those who were well-controlled. Three air pollutants, carbon monoxide (CO), ozone (O₃), and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), had a significant relationship with ED visits in 2010, but not in other years.

In summary, we observed a higher risk of ED visits in minority children or children with poor control of asthma. However, the prevalence of ED visits could be higher within higher-education groups or normal-weight groups at certain times. The scale and the significance of the disparities in ED visits vary across time.

DISCUSSION

This study not only confirmed the existing racial/ethnic disparities in ED visits found in the all-ages 2008 study (19), but provided a few new notable points: First, the disparities in ED visits across household income levels were not significant after controlling other confounding factors, but the disparities in ED visits across parental educations were significant. Second, we observed disparities in ED visits across asthma control groups, but they were only significant in selected years. Third, all these disparities in ED visits among asthmatic children, including the most persistent disparity across race/ethnicity, vary across time in both significance and scale.

The significant disparities in ED visits are disturbing since most ED visits for asthma are preventable by proper chronic disease management, namely, if health care providers and patients follow the standard protocols such as the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program (NAEPP) guidelines (19, 35). Two potential factors may explain the disparities in ED visits. First, children with varying socio-demographic factors may have varying and disproportional exposure to environment hazards, such as living in households with poor air quality, e.g., dust, or with proximity to an air pollution source, e.g., highways (36, 37). The other possible explanation is a disparity in access to health care across race/ethnicity (38, 39). More importantly, even with the same access to regular health care, the disparities in access to preventive and specialist care for asthma patients may still exist across race/ethnicity (41). For example, the lower number of inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) prescriptions for minority children could indicate poorer long-term management of asthma.

Compared with research on racial/ethnic disparities in ED visits among children with asthma, less evidence was available regarding socioeconomic disparities, most of which were about adult asthma patients. For example, there were clear inverse gradients between income levels, prevalence, and ED visits among adults with asthma (13, 42). Interestingly, these two studies used different national datasets but documented the same findings regarding the educational disparities in asthma prevalence: Populations with some college had a greater risk of asthma compared with lower educational groups. Our study complements this literature and adds two new findings: First, the socioeconomic-linked disparities of ED visits among children with current asthma can vary from year to year. In

certain years, the disparities may not be statistically significant. No significant disparities in ED visits were found across household incomes, while children with less-educated parents may not have similar risks and sometimes may have a lower risk of ED visits. The underlying educational level across parents may trigger different health care usage behaviors among parents during pediatric asthma attacks (43).

The lower risk of ED visits among obese children is also worth discussion. Although obesity in general is associated with increased risk of asthma, the relationship is more pronounced in adults (44). The relationship between the pathophysiology of obesity and that of asthma is more mixed. For example, one large study of children indicated that the highest BMI quintile experienced the lowest risk of significant airflow obstruction, possibly due to higher usage of bronchodilators in obese children (45). Our results suggest that in some years, obese children with asthma may experience a lower risk of ED visits, although the scale and the significance of the relationship may vary from year to year. The level of asthma control, especially very poor control, was still a significant predictor of ED visits among children with asthma, even after controlling socio-demographics. However, the effect of asthma control over ED visits varied across time: e.g., the prevalence ratios of asthma control were smaller in 2009 and 2010 than those in 2008. This result indicates that other time-varying factors that are beyond the level of asthma control, such as uncontrolled environmental irritants, may trigger asthma ED visits (46). NAEPP 2007 guidelines recommend patients with uncontrolled asthma should receive long-term control medication (47). However, providers may not fully implement the guideline, which results in only two-thirds of children with uncontrolled asthma having long-term control medication (33). Researchers recommend more cultural and population-specific asthma control to reduce the severity of asthma exacerbations (47).

The varying disparities in ED visits across time may reflect the complex nature of asthma, whose symptoms may depend on the expression of the individual's genes, household socio-economic status, exposure to the physical environment, or interactions with different health care systems (48). Our study suggests that the disparities in ED visits among children were not necessarily static but dynamic as the result of a wide variety of factors (49). Even for the most persistent disparity in ED visits, racial disparity, its scale and significance can vary from year to year. Therefore, more flexible strategies are needed to reduce these disparities instead of targeting only one factor in asthma management.

A few limitations of the study need to be acknowledged. First, the ACBS is a part of the BRFSS, which is based on self-reported health outcomes and health care usages. Therefore, recall or report bias could under- or overestimate the number of ED visits. Moreover, the BRFSS is administered by state agencies, which might introduce some differences in implementation. However, the CDC has conducted extensive training of the agencies and established solid quality control to minimize potential errors. This study did not include all states, which may limit its generalizability. More research is needed to understand the disparities in ED visits among children with current asthma.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, significant racial/ethnic disparities existed in the number of ED visits among children with current asthma in 2006–2010. Minorities or children with poor asthma control could be at risk for more ED visits, although the scale of the negative effect may be influenced by other socio-economic status and environmental factors (social or natural environment), as well. More prevention or intervention should be implemented to promote equal access to quality asthma care among children across race/ethnicity and socioeconomic statuses.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This research was partially supported by the National Institutes of Health/National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (R21HL109973–01A1) and the National Institutes of Health/Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child and Human Development (1R01HD064685–01A1).

REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Asthma. CDC.gov [Internet] 2016 2 10 [cited 2016 March 12]. Available from: <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/asthma.htm>.
- Weiss AJ, Wier LM, Stocks C, Blanchard J. Overview of Emergency Department Visits in the United States, 2011 H-CUP Statistical Brief #174. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality 2014.
- Gater A, Nelsen L, Fleming S, Lundy JJ, Bonner N, Hall R, Marshall C, Staunton H, Krishnan JA, Stoloff S, Schatz M. Assessing asthma symptoms in adolescents and adults: Qualitative research supporting development of the asthma daily symptom diary. *Value Health*. 2016 3 30.
- Marsden PA, Satia I, Ibrahim B, Woodcock A, Yates L, Donnelly I, Jolly L, Thomson NC, Fowler SJ, Smith JA. Objective cough frequency, airway inflammation and disease control in asthma. *Chest*. 2016 3 10.
- Rosychuk R, Voaklander D, Klassen T, Senthilselvan A, Marrie T, Rowe B. Asthma presentations by children to emergency departments in a Canadian province: A population-based study. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. 2010; 45(10):985–992. [PubMed: 20632409]
- Bender B, Zhang L. Negative affect, medication adherence, and asthma control in children. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2008; 122(3):490–495. [PubMed: 18602153]
- Barnett SBL, Nurmagambetov TA. Costs of asthma in the United States: 2002–2007. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2011; 127:145–152. [PubMed: 21211649]
- Brim SN, Rudd RA, Funk RH, Callahan DB. Asthma prevalence among US children in underrepresented minority population: American Indian/Alaskan Native, Chinese, Filipino, and Asian Indian. *Pediatr*. 2008; 122(1):e217–e222.
- Beck AF, Moncrief T, Huang B, Simmons JM, Sauers H, Chen C, Kahn RS. Inequalities in neighborhood child asthma admission rates and underlying community characteristics in one US county. *J Pediatr*. 2013; 163(2):574–580. [PubMed: 23522864]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Most Recent Asthma Data. CDC [Internet]. 2016 3 [cited 2016 May 19]. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/asthma/most_recent_data.htm.
- Jensen ME, Gibson PG, Collins CE, Wood LG. Airway and systemic inflammation in obese children with asthma. *Eur Respir J*. 2013; 42(4):1012–1019. [PubMed: 23349447]
- Largent J, Nickerson B, Delfino RJ. Paediatric asthma hospital utilization varies by demographic factors and area socio-economic status. *Public Health*. 2012; 126:928–936. [PubMed: 22647756]
- Bhan N, Kawachi I, Glymour MM, Subramanian SV. Time trends in racial and ethnic disparities in asthma prevalence in the United States from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) Study (1999–2011). *Am J Public Health*. 2015; 105(6):1269–1275. [PubMed: 25320897]

14. Keet CA, McCormack MC, Pollack CE, Peng RD, McGowan E, Matsui EC. Neighborhood poverty, urban residence, race/ethnicity, and asthma: Rethinking the inner-city asthma epidemic. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2015; 135(3):655–662. [PubMed: 25617226]
15. Bryant-Stephens T. Asthma disparities in urban environments. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2009; 123:1199–1206. [PubMed: 19501229]
16. Jang J, Chan KC, Huang H, Sullivan SD. Trends in cost and outcomes among adult and pediatric patients with asthma: 2000–2009. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2013; 111(6):516–522. [PubMed: 24267362]
17. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Trends in asthma prevalence, health care use, and mortalities in the United States, 2001–2010. CDC[Internet]. 2012 5 [cited 2016 Mar 12]. Available from: <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db94.htm>.
18. Akinbami LJ, Simon AE, Rossen LM. Changing Trends in asthma prevalence among children. *Pediatr*. 2016; 137(1):1–7.
19. Ginde AA, Espinola JA, Camargo CA. Improved overall trends but persistent racial disparities in emergency department visits for acute asthma, 1993–2005. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2008; 122(2): 313–318. [PubMed: 18538382]
20. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. CDC [Internet]. 2016 2 1 [cited 2016 Mar 12]. Available from: <http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/>.
21. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Asthma Call-back Survey. Asthma Call-back Survey (ACBS). CDC [Internet]. 2015 10 22 [cited 2016 Mar 12]. Available from: <http://www.cdc.gov/asthma/acbs.htm>.
22. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2011–2013 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Asthma Call-Back Survey: History and Analysis Guidance. CDC[Internet]. 2015 3 23 [cited 2016 July 3]. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/acbs/2013/pdf/acbs_2013.pdf.
23. Strickland MJ, Klein M, Flanders WD, Chang HH, Mulholland JA, Tolbert PE, Darrow LA. Modification of the effect of ambient air pollution on pediatric asthma emergency visits: susceptible subpopulations. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.)*. 2014; 25(6):843.
24. Newman NC, Ryan PH, Huang B, Beck AF, Sauers HS, Kahn RS. Traffic-related air pollution and asthma hospital readmission in children: a longitudinal cohort study. *J Pediatr*. 2014; 164(6):1396–1402. [PubMed: 24680015]
25. Ierodiakonou D, Zanolotti A, Coull BA, Melly S, Postma DS, Boezen HM, Vonk JM, Williams PV, Shapiro GG, McKone EF, Hallstrand TS. Ambient air pollution, lung function, and airway responsiveness in asthmatic children. *J Allerg Clin Immunol*. 2016; 137(2): 390–399.
26. Smargiassi A, Goldberg MS, Wheeler AJ, Plante C, Valois MF, Mallach G, Kauri LM, Shutt R, Bartlett S, Raphoz M, Liu L. Associations between personal exposure to air pollutants and lung function tests and cardiovascular indices among children with asthma living near an industrial complex and petroleum refineries. *Environ Res*. 2014; 132:38–45. [PubMed: 24742726]
27. Greenwald R, Sarnat SE, Raysoni AU, Li WW, Johnson BA, Stock TH, Holguin F, Sosa T, Sarnat JA. Associations between source-indicative pollution metrics and increases in pulmonary inflammation and reduced lung function in a panel of asthmatic children. *Air Qual Atmos Health*. 2013; 6(2):487–499.
28. Neophytou AM, White MJ, Oh SS, Thakur N, Galanter JM, Nishimura KK, Pino-Yanes M, Torgerson DG, Gignoux CR, Eng C, Nguyen EA. Air pollution and lung function in minority youth with asthma in the GALA II & SAGE II Studies. *Am J Resp Crit Care Med*. 2016; 193(11): 1271–1280. [PubMed: 26734713]
29. Heutel G, Ruhm CJ. Air pollution and procyclical mortality (No. w18959). National Bureau of Economic Research 2013.
30. United States Environmental Protection Agency Air Trends. EPA [Internet]. 2016 2 23 [cited 2016 Mar 12]. Available from: <http://www3.epa.gov/airtrends/index.html>.
31. Hedley AA, Ogden CL, Johnson CL, Carroll MD, Curtin LR, Flegal KM. Prevalence of overweight and obesity among US children, adolescents, and adults, 1999–2002. *JAMA*. 2004; 291(23):2847–2850. [PubMed: 15199035]
32. Zahran HS, Bailey CM, Qin X, Moorman JE. Assessing asthma severity among children and adults with current asthma. *J Asthma*. 2014; 51(6):610–7. [PubMed: 24506700]

33. Zahran HS, Bailey CM, Qin X, Moorman JE. Assessing asthma control and associated risk factors among persons with current asthma—findings from the child and adult Asthma Call-back Survey. *J Asthma*. 2015; 52(3):318–26. [PubMed: 25144551]
34. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2006–2010 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Asthma Call-Back Survey: History and Analysis Guidance. CDC [Internet]. 2012 10 15 [cited 2016 July 3]. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/acbs/history/acbs_06_10.pdf.
35. Villa-Roel C, Nikel T, Ospina M, Voaklander B, Campbell S, Rowe BH. Effectiveness of educational interventions to increase primary care follow-up for adults seen in the emergency department for acute asthma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2016; 23(1):5–13. [PubMed: 26720625]
36. Milligan KL, Matsui E, Sharma H. Asthma in urban children: Epidemiology, environmental risk factors, and the public health domain. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep*. 2016; 16(4):1–10.
37. Williams DR, Sternthal M, Wright RJ. Social determinants: taking the social context of asthma seriously. *Pediatr*. 2009; 123(Suppl 3):S174–S184.
38. Winer RA, Qin X, Harrington T, Moorman J, Zahran H. Asthma incidence among children and adults: findings from the behavioral risk factor surveillance system asthma call-back survey—United States, 2006–2008. *J Asthma*. 2012; 49(1):16–22. [PubMed: 22236442]
39. Glick AF, Tomopoulos S, Fierman AH, Trasande L. Disparities in mortality and morbidity in pediatric asthma hospitalizations, 2007 to 2011. *Acad Ped* 2016 1 6.
40. McDowell KM, Kerckmar CM, Huang B, Guilbert TW, Kahn RS. Medical and social determinants of health associated with intensive care admission for asthma in children. *Ann Am Thorac Soc*. 2016 5 4(ja).
41. Flores G, Snowden-Bridon C, Torres S, et al. Urban minority children with asthma: substantial morbidity, compromised quality and access to specialists, and the importance of poverty and specialty care. *J Asthma*. 2009; 46(4):392–398. [PubMed: 19484676]
42. Law HZ, Oraka E, Mannino DM. The role of income in reducing racial and ethnic disparities in emergency room and urgent care center visits for asthma—United States, 2001–2009 *J Asthma*. 2011; 48(4):405–413. [PubMed: 21504353]
43. Bime C Health Disparities in Asthma In *Health Disparities in Respiratory Medicine* 2016 Springer International Publishing Pp. 173–187.
44. Clerisme-Beaty E, Rand CS. The effect of obesity on asthma incidence: moving past the epidemiologic evidence. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2009; 123(1):96. [PubMed: 19130929]
45. Sin DD, Jones RL, Man SF. (2002). Obesity is a risk factor for dyspnea but not for airflow obstruction. *Arch Intern Med*; 162(13):1477–1481. [PubMed: 12090884]
46. Halterman JS, Auinger P, Conn KM, Lynch K, Yoos HL, Szilagyi PG. Inadequate therapy and poor symptom control among children with asthma: findings from a multistate sample. *Ambul Ped*. 2007; 7(2):153–159.
47. US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health (NIH), National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Asthma Education and Prevention Program (NAEPP). [last accessed 23 Oct 2013] Expert panel report 3: guidelines for the diagnosis and management of asthma. 2007. Available from: <http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guide-lines/asthma/asthgdln.pdf>
48. Apter AJ. The influence of health disparities on individual patient outcomes: what is the link between genes and environment? *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2006; 117(2):345–50. [PubMed: 16461135]
49. Rand CS, Apter AJ. Mind the widening gap: have improvements in asthma care increased asthma disparities? *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2008;122(2):319. [PubMed: 18678342]

Table 1.

Descriptive Statistics of Children in Asthma Call-Back Survey in 2006–2010[†]

Year	2006	SE	2007	SE	2008	SE	2009	SE	2010	SE	2006–2010	SE	P _{trend} ^{‡‡}
N	1,033		1,131		1,201		1,238		932		5,535		
Age in Months (Median)	132		140		141		143		141		141		
Gender (%)													
Male	59.9	3.3	59.1	2.7	55.7	2.9	56.9	3.1	53.8	3.4	57.3	1.4	0.27
Race (%)													
White	53.8	3.6	58.3	2.8	52.1	2.9	57.0	3.4	57.6	3.6	55.4	1.5	0.62
Black	15.8	3.1	14.2	2.1	16.9	2.3	20.6	3.1	20.1	3.8	17.2	1.3	0.11
Hispanic	22.7	3.7	14.5	2.0	17.4	2.5	17.3	3.0	13.5	2.2	17.5	1.4	0.15
Income (%)													
< \$35,000	43.1	3.9	35.4	2.7	33.7	3.0	34.3	3.2	34.4	3.8	36.2	1.5	0.08
\$35,000–\$75,000	29.0	3.0	31.6	2.5	29.9	2.5	25.0	2.6	26.6	3.0	28.5	1.2	0.22
> \$75,000	28.0	2.7	33.0	2.6	36.3	2.7	40.7	3.0	38.9	3.2	35.3	1.3	0.001**
Education (%)													
HS grad	35.3	3.6	32.3	2.6	29.3	2.7	29.3	3.0	27.6	2.7	30.7	1.4	0.08
Some College	32.1	3.4	26.0	2.4	26.0	2.4	29.4	3.0	31.4	3.5	28.6	1.3	0.94
College Graduate	32.6	2.9	41.7	2.6	44.7	2.8	41.3	3.0	41.1	3.2	40.7	1.4	0.09
Parental Smoking (%)													
Yes	45.2	3.6	41.6	2.7	41.0	2.9	37.4	3.0	38.9	3.1	40.9	1.4	0.11
Child's Body Weight Status (%)													
Normal	54.4	3.6	58.1	2.9	58.8	2.9	59.9	3.4	57.5	3.4	58.0	1.5	0.46
Overweight	15.7	2.4	15.4	2.2	16.1	2.0	15.9	2.5	14.0	2.1	15.5	1.0	0.74
Obese	29.9	3.7	26.5	2.6	25.1	2.5	24.3	3.0	28.5	3.1	26.5	1.4	0.59
Asthma Control													
Well Controlled	50.0	4.6	53.8	3.2	47.5	3.5	58.4	3.7	53.5	4.2	53.0	1.8	0.37
Not Well Controlled	34.3	4.4	28.9	2.7	35.8	3.5	29.1	3.3	31.7	3.7	31.9	1.7	0.71
Very Poorly Controlled	15.7	2.6	17.3	2.5	16.7	2.5	12.4	2.1	14.8	2.6	15.1	1.1	0.36

[†] Selected states: CT, GA, HI, IN, KS, ME, MD, MA, MI, MT, NE, NY, TX, UT, VT;^{‡‡} P-value: Significance of year-wise difference

Table 2.Percentage of ER Visits (Standard Errors) among Asthmatic Children in ACBS 2006–2010[†]

Year	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2006–2010	P _{trend}
Gender							
Boys (ref)	21.2 (4.3)	18.0 (2.7)	13.1 (2.4)	20.5 (2.9)	22.7 (3.7)	18.7 (1.5)	0.92
Girls	17.9 (6.1)	10.9 (2.2)	19.6 (4.3)	15.1 (3.5)	25.1 (7.0)	17.6 (2.2)	0.63
Race/Ethnicity							
White (ref)	14.0 (3.1)	10.4 (1.5)	10.7 (1.7)	13.4 (2.3)	15.5 (2.6)	12.3 (1.0)	0.56
Black	30.5 (8.8)	19.4 (6.3)	23.6 (5.7) ^{*††}	23.5 (6.2)	46.1 (12.1) ^{**}	27.4 (3.7) ^{***}	0.43
Hispanic	26.4 (11.4)	24.7 (6.5) ^{**}	15.0 (6.4)	26.2 (8.1)	23.2 (7.9)	24.4 (4.6) ^{**}	0.78
Income							
Low Income (ref)	26.5 (6.9)	25.4 (4.3)	21.1 (5.5)	23.4 (4.8)	38.2 (8.4)	26.6 (2.9)	0.85
Middle Income	20.8 (6.1)	11.3 (3.0) ^{**}	16.5 (4.2)	24.0 (5.1)	27.5 (6.8)	18.7 (2.2) [*]	0.21
High Income	11.9 (3.1) [*]	9.0 (2.1) ^{***}	10.9 (2.7)	12.7 (2.8)	8.4 (2.4) ^{***}	10.8 (1.3) ^{***}	0.97
Education							
HS grad (ref)	26.5 (7.5)	17.3 (3.8)	15.0 (3.3)	18.3 (4.4)	29.8 (5.8)	21.1 (2.7)	0.80
Some College	16.4 (4.6)	16.7 (4.0)	17.7 (4.2)	18.9 (3.9)	30.3 (9.1)	19.1 (2.4)	0.22
College Graduate	15.7 (4.8)	12.4 (2.3)	15.6 (4.2)	18.0 (3.4)	14.8 (4.2) [*]	15.4 (1.8)	0.83
Parental Smoking							
Non-smokers (ref)	17.0 (3.8)	15.9 (2.5)	16.5 (3.1)	17.5 (2.7)	23.6 (5.6)	17.7 (1.6)	0.56
Smokers	23.6 (6.2)	13.9 (2.8)	15.1 (3.6)	19.6 (3.8)	24.1 (4.6)	19.1 (2.2)	0.96
Child's Body Weight Status							
Normal weight (ref)	20.8 (5.1)	13.7 (2.4)	16.1 (3.9)	13.6 (2.6)	24.7 (6.7)	17.3 (1.9)	0.91
Overweight	16.2 (7.4)	14.1 (1.6)	15.7 (4.7)	24.2 (8.6)	12.1 (4.1)	14.9 (3.1)	0.33
Obese	13.8 (3.7)	19.9 (4.4)	13.9 (3.6)	24.8 (5.7)	26.2 (5.7)	18.8 (2.1)	0.06
Asthma Control							
Well Controlled (ref)	18.5 (5.5)	14.3 (3)	15.0 (4.8)	17.9 (3.7)	23.2 (8.1)	17.4 (2.2)	0.78
Not Well Controlled	32.3 (9.8)	19.0 (4.3)	21.0 (5.5) [*]	21.5 (3.9)	30.3 (6.5)	25.2 (3.5) [*]	0.63
Very Poorly Controlled	33.0 (7.1)	23.4 (6)	40.6 (7.8) ^{***}	32.4 (8.3)	40.3 (10.2)	32.9 (3.5) ^{***}	0.33

[†] Selected states: CT, GA, HI, IN, KS, ME, MD, MA, MI, MT, NE, NY, TX, UT, VT^{††} P-values were generated from bi-variate logistic regression;^{*} P < 0.05;^{**} P < 0.01;^{***} P < 0.001

Table 3.Prevalence Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals of ER Visits among Asthmatic Children in 2006–2010[†]

Year	2006 PR (95% CI)	2007 PR (95% CI)	2008 PR (95% CI)	2009 PR (95% CI)	2010 PR (95% CI)	2006–10 PR (95% CI)
Gender						
Male	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Female	0.55 (0.24, 1.24)	0.88 (0.52, 1.50)	0.79 (0.45, 1.40)	1.04 (0.51, 2.10)	0.80 (0.48, 1.33)	0.84 (0.60, 1.18)
Race/ethnicity						
White	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Black	2.72 (1.08, 6.88)^{††}	1.18 (0.51, 2.75)	1.67 (0.87, 3.19)	3.64 (1.79, 7.41)	1.55 (0.7q5, 3.21)	2.04 (1.37, 3.03)
Hispanic	1.43 (0.56, 3.69)	2.32 (0.99, 5.39)	2.06 (1.03, 4.10)	4.94 (2.35, 10.38)	1.01 (0.41, 2.53)	2.20 (1.44, 3.36)
Income						
Low Income	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Mid-Income	0.94 (0.35, 2.52)	0.42 (0.2, 0.9)	1.94 (0.96, 3.92)	1.44 (0.72, 2.85)	0.59 (0.28, 1.27)	0.89 (0.58, 1.34)
High Income	0.40 (0.09, 1.67)	0.31 (0.15, 0.65)	1.03 (0.40, 2.64)	1.02 (0.42, 2.48)	0.22 (0.07, 0.69)	0.47 (0.28, 0.8)
Education						
HS grad	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Some College	0.73 (0.28, 1.91)	2.15 (1.02, 4.53)	0.56 (0.24, 1.31)	0.82 (0.38, 1.79)	1.71 (0.83, 3.53)	1.08 (0.71, 1.64)
College Grad	1.28 (0.45, 3.62)	2.97 (1.29, 6.83)	0.79 (0.41, 1.52)	1.60 (0.72, 3.55)	1.76 (0.71, 4.34)	1.50 (0.93, 2.42)
Smoking						
No	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Yes	0.88 (0.43, 1.82)	0.90 (0.55, 1.47)	1.07 (0.61, 1.88)	0.89 (0.44, 1.79)	1.62 (0.96, 2.74)	0.90 (0.63, 1.28)
Child's Body Weight Status						
Normal	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Overweight	0.39 (0.10, 1.44)	0.30 (0.08, 1.11)	2.12 (0.96, 4.68)	1.67 (0.62, 4.53)	0.21 (0.07, 0.61)	0.75 (0.42, 1.36)
Obese	0.33 (0.12, 0.95)	1.28 (0.72, 2.26)	1.00 (0.50, 2.04)	1.03 (0.58, 1.84)	0.68 (0.34, 1.34)	0.79 (0.55, 1.14)
Asthma Control						
Well Controlled	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Not Well Controlled	1.53 (0.68, 3.42)	1.66 (0.90, 3.09)	2.79 (1.44, 5.41)	0.75 (0.38, 1.48)	0.82 (0.44, 1.53)	1.35 (0.95, 1.93)
Very Poorly Controlled	1.35 (0.59, 3.07)	1.75 (0.66, 4.62)	6.96 (3.55, 13.64)	1.75 (0.84, 3.64)	0.97 (0.31, 3.01)	1.73 (1.14, 2.62)

[†]State level air pollutants were controlled, including standardized values of CO, ozone, NO₂, particles, and SO₂.^{††}P < 0.05 are highlighted.

Appendix I.

ACBS-Participating States in 2011–2013

States	2011 (Landline Only)	2012 (Cell phone & Landline)	2013 (Cell phone & Landline)
Number of States	14	10	10
California	x	x	
Connecticut *	x		x
Hawaii		x	
Indiana *	x		x
Kansas	x		
Maryland	x		
Michigan *	x	x	x
Mississippi		x	x
Montana			x
Nebraska *	x	x	x
New Jersey	x		x
New Mexico	x	x	
Ohio	x	x	
Pennsylvania	x	x	
Texas *	x	x	x
Utah *	x		x
Washington	x	x	x

* The 6 states included in both the 2006–2010 & 2011–2013 analyses

Appendix 1I.**Descriptive Statistics of Children in the Asthma Call-Back Survey in 2011–2013***

Year	2011**	SE	2012	SE	2013	SE	2011–2013	SE
N	1,017		676		566		2,259	
Age in Months (Median)	143		142		152		145	
Gender (%)								
Male	58.3	3.0	58.0	3.7	56.1	4.8	57.7	2.2
Race (%)								
White	48.4	2.8	41.6	3.6	49.1	4.5	45.9	2.0
Black	15.6	2.3	9.9	2.1	17.5	4.1	13.7	1.5
Hispanic	23.8	2.6	36.8	4.0	25.8	4.5	29.4	2.2
Others	12.2	2.2	11.7	3.0	7.5	1.8	10.9	1.5
Income (%)								
< \$35,000	37.7	2.9	34.1	3.8	33.4	3.7	35.3	2.1
\$35,000–\$75,000	20.4	2.0	28.6	3.6	17.8	3.2	23.1	1.8
> \$75,000	41.9	3.0	37.4	3.8	48.7	4.1	41.6	2.1
Education (%)								
HS grad	33.2	2.8	30.5	3.9	26.0	3.1	30.5	2.0
Some College	22.5	2.1	22.6	2.8	27.1	3.7	23.6	1.6
College Graduate	44.4	2.9	46.8	3.8	46.9	3.5	45.9	2.0
Insured (%)								
Yes	96.0	1.2	93.1	2.6	94.7	2.4	94.6	1.2
Parental Smoking (%)								
Yes	31.4	2.6	29.7	3.2	33.8	3.6	31.2	1.8
Child's Body Weight Status (%)								
Weight (lbs.)	92.5	2.8	98.8	4.6	99.1	3.3	96.5	2.2
Normal	55.5	3.2	55.6	4.3	61.9	3.9	57.0	2.3
Overweight	18.3	2.3	19.3	3.5	13.6	2.2	17.6	1.7
Obese	26.2	2.9	25.1	3.9	24.5	3.9	25.4	2.1
Asthma Control								
Well Controlled	52.3	3.7	50.0	4.7	54.5	5.0	51.9	2.6
Not Well Controlled	29.6	3.4	35.3	4.8	29.9	4.7	31.8	2.5
Very Poorly Controlled	18.1	2.6	14.7	2.9	15.6	4.8	16.2	1.9

* Selected states: CA, CT, IN, MS, MI, NE, NJ, NM, OH, PA, TX, UT, and WA

** Only landline data

Appendix III.Percentage of ER Visits (Standard Errors) among Asthmatic Children in the ACBS 2011–2013^{**}

Year	2011	2012	2013	2011–2013
Gender				
Boys (Ref)	17.4 (2.6)	10.7 (2.3)	13.9 (3.5)	14 (1.6)
Girls	16.9 (3.4)	18.7 (5.3)	24.9 (7.8)	19.5 (3.1)
Race/Ethnicity				
White (Ref)	12.3 (1.9)	13.8 (3.9)	13.3 (3.2)	13.1 (1.8)
Black	25.1 (6.8) [*]	20.6 (7.6)	27.9 (14.6)	24.7 (5.5) [*]
Hispanic	18.3 (4.6)	14.5 (5.3)	24.7 (10.5)	17.7 (3.6)
Others	24.5 (7.2)	7 (3.6)	12.5 (8.4)	15.2 (4.8)
Income				
Low Income (Ref)	20.7 (3.5)	15.8 (5)	37.2 (8.4)	22.2 (3.2)
Middle Income	18.6 (4.5)	16.4 (5.5)	7.5 (2.6) [*]	15.6 (3.1)
High Income	13.8 (3.6)	11 (3.9)	10.3 (5.4) [*]	11.9 (2.4) [*]
Education				
HS grad (Ref)	16.7 (3.3)	15.7 (5.9)	25.1 (6.6)	17.9 (3)
Some College	22.4 (4.1)	21.9 (5.7)	26.8 (9.3)	23.3 (3.7)
College Graduate	14.9 (3.5)	9.2 (2.5)	10.6 (5.4)	11.6 (2.1)
Insurance Status				
No Insurance (Ref)	17.3 (13.3)	1.1 (0.9)	18.1 (12.6)	9.2 (4.8)
Have insurance	17.2 (2.1)	15 (2.8)	18.9 (4.3)	16.7 (1.7)
Parental Smoking				
Non-smokers (Ref)	18.1 (2.8)	15 (3.6)	15.8 (5.7)	16.4 (2.2)
Smokers	15.1 (2.7)	11.7 (2.7)	24.5 (5.3)	16.1 (2)
Child's Body Weight Status				
Normal weight (Ref)	16 (3.1)	9.8 (3)	15.7 (5.3)	13.6 (2.1)
Overweight	8.7 (2.8)	14.1 (6.8)	15.4 (5.7)	12.2 (3.4)
Obese	25.8 (5.1)	14 (4.6)	30.6 (11.2)	22.3 (3.9) [*]
Asthma Control				
Well Controlled (Ref)	12.8 (3)	10.6 (3.9)	7.5 (2.2)	10.7 (1.9)
Not Well Controlled	25.3 (5.7) [*]	23.9 (7.6)	32.9 (10.1) [*]	26.4 (4.4) [*]
Poorly Controlled	33.3 (7.4) [*]	22.2 (7.4)	61.3 (14.6) [*]	35.6 (6.4) [*]

^{*} P < 0.05^{**} Selected states: CA, CT, IN, MS, MI, NE, NJ, NM, OH, PA, TX, UT, and WA

Appendix IV.

Prevalence Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals of ER Visits among Asthmatic Children in 2006–2010**

Year	2011 (95% CI)	2012 (95% CI)	2013 (95% CI)	2011–13 (95% CI)
Gender				
Male	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Female	0.93 (0.50, 1.63)	0.97 (0.50, 2.01)	2.35 (1.20, 4.63)*	1.38 (0.90, 2.1)
Race/ethnicity				
White	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Black	1.82 (0.90, 3.87)	1.23 (0.50, 2.96)	1.22 (0.70, 2.21)	1.39 (0.80, 2.4)
Hispanic	1.16 (0.50, 2.67)	0.71 (0.20, 3.03)	2.59 (1.30, 5.23)*	1.27 (0.60, 2.62)
Others	1.89 (0.70, 4.99)	0.71 (0.20, 2.64)	1.22 (0.30, 5.07)	1.17 (0.60, 2.46)
Income				
Low-Income	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Mid-Income	1.45 (0.80, 2.59)	1.63 (0.50, 5.22)	0.63 (0.30, 1.52)	1.13 (0.60, 2.04)
High Income	1.26 (0.60, 2.73)	2.16 (0.80, 5.77)	0.57 (0.20, 1.36)	1.14 (0.60, 2.04)
Education				
HS grad	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Some College	1.36 (0.70, 2.56)	0.65 (0.21, 1.97)	2.01 (1.00, 3.95)*	1.34 (0.70, 2.46)
College Grad	1.00 (0.5, 1.94)	0.32 (0.07, 1.41)	1.96 (0.70, 5.31)	1.00 (0.40, 2.39)
Insurance				
No	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Yes	0.45 (0.20, 1.31)	5.75 (0.68, 48.84)	0.20 (0.10, 0.58)*	0.74 (0.30, 2.15)
Smoking				
No	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Yes	0.95 (0.60, 1.61)	1.2 (0.57, 2.54)	1.37 (0.80, 2.38)	1.07 (0.70, 1.64)
Body Weight Status				
Normal	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Overweight	0.54 (0.20, 1.25)	1.00 (0.37, 2.67)	0.83 (0.20, 2.97)	0.91 (0.50, 1.77)
Obese	1.67 (1.00, 2.92)*	1.00 (0.39, 2.56)	1.06 (0.50, 2.28)	1.38 (0.90, 2.20)
Asthma Control				
Well Controlled	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Not Well Controlled	1.55 (0.70, 3.23)	2.26 (1.08, 4.74)*	4.21 (2.00, 9.09)*	2.10 (1.20, 3.68)*
Poorly Controlled	3.14 (1.70, 5.82)*	0.98 (0.34, 2.85)	7.1 (2.90, 17.7)*	2.82 (1.70, 4.64)*

* P<0.05

** Selected states: CA, CT, IN, MS, MI, NE, NJ, NM, OH, PA, TX, UT, and WA