Published in final edited form as:

Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2018 September 01; 28(16): 2675–2678. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2018.04.061.

Discovery and optimization of aspartate aminotransferase 1 inhibitors to target redox balance in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma

Justin Anglin^a, Reza Beheshti Zavareh^{a,b}, Philipp N. Sander^b, Daniel Haldar^c, Edouard Mullarky^d, Lewis C. Cantley^d, Alec C. Kimmelman^e, Costas A. Lyssiotis^f, and Luke L. Lairson^{a,b,*}

^aCalifornia Institute for Biomedical Research, 11119 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA

^bDepartment of Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA

^cDepartment of Systems Biology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02215, USA

^dMeyer Cancer Center, Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY 10065, USA

^eDepartment of Radiation Oncology, Perlmutter Cancer Center, NYU Langone Medical Center, New York, NY 10016, USA

^fDepartment of Molecular and Integrative Physiology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA; Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

Abstract

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is a lethal malignancy that is extremely refractory to the therapeutic approaches that have been evaluated to date. Recently, it has been demonstrated that PDAC tumors are dependent upon a metabolic pathway involving aspartate aminotransferase 1, also known as glutamate-oxaloacetate transaminase 1 (GOT1), for the maintenance of redox homeostasis and sustained proliferation. As such, small molecule inhibitors targeting this metabolic pathway may provide a novel therapeutic approach for the treatment of this devastating disease. To this end, from a high throughput screen of ~800,000 molecules, 4-(1H-indol-4-yl)-N-phenylpiperazine-1-carboxamide was identified as an inhibitor of GOT1. Mouse pharmacokinetic studies revealed that potency, rather than inherent metabolic instability, would limit immediate cell- and rodent xenograft-based experiments aimed at validating this potential cancer metabolism-related target. Medicinal chemistry-based optimization resulted in the identification of multiple

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 858 784 7770; llairson@scripps.edu.

Supplementary Material

Synthetic methodology, compound characterization and additional data tables are available as supplementary materials.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

derivatives with >10-fold improvements in potency, as well as the identification of a tryptamine-based series of GOT1 inhibitors.

Graphical Abstract

Keywords

Aspartate aminotransferase-1; Glutamic-oxaloacetic transaminase-1; Inhibitor; Cancer metabolism; Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma

Deregulation of metabolism is a hallmark feature of cancer.^{1–5} Functionally, the rewiring of metabolic programs in cancer cells can serve a range of functions. For example, cancer cells alter metabolism in order to facilitate bioenergetics and biosynthesis, to manage cellular stressors and challenges to redox balance and/or to regulate gene expression.⁵ The role of metabolic deregulation in cancer significantly depends on context. Tissue of origin, tissue architecture, degree of oxygenation, as well as other factors influence the metabolic programs of a particular cancer. Thus, small molecule pharmacological probes that target defined components of metabolic pathways/processes have the potential to address specific cancer metabolism-related hypotheses that are challenging to query using genetic methods. Further, such probe molecules may serve as starting points for drug discovery programs aimed at targeting cancer metabolism, as part of drug combination therapeutic approaches for the treatment of refractory tumor types.^{6–8} As cancer metabolism targets are frequently found to be overexpressed in tumor cells, which therefore results in the need for higher levels of target engagement in tumor versus non-diseased cell types, a challenge to this approach is the identification of cancer metabolism targets that selectively sensitize cancer cells without impacting non-diseased cell types.

PDAC is a lethal cancer type that is projected to become the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths in the United States by 2020 and, at present, has a 5-year survival rate of ~6%.^{9–10} Recently, several PDAC cell lines were demonstrated to be reliant on a KRAS-regulated non-canonical Gln metabolism pathway that enables proliferation and tumor growth.¹¹ Specifically, KRAS-dependent down-regulation of glutamate dehydrogenase (GLUD1) and upregulation of GOT1 in PDAC results in Gln-derived aspartate being converted to oxaloacetate (OAA) by GOT1 in the cytoplasm, which is subsequently converted to pyruvate through a series of reactions that ultimately lead to the generation of NADPH, which is used to maintain redox balance.¹¹ GOT1 knockdown in PDAC was demonstrated to stunt growth *in vitro* and *in vivo*, whereas GOT1 knockdown in normal cells had no effect on

proliferation.¹¹ As such, GOT1 inhibitors may provide a much-needed therapeutic approach for selectively targeting PDAC.

A biochemical assay-based screen of ~800,000 compounds at the California Institute for Biomedical Research resulted in the identification 4-(1H-indol-4-yl)-N-phenylpiperazine-1-carboxamide (**1a**) as an inhibitor of GOT1 enzyme activity.¹³

The synthesis of the urea-based compounds described below was readily accomplished by addition of aryl- or alkyl-isocyanates to 1-(aryl)piperazines or tryptamine in the presence of triethylamine (Scheme 1 and Scheme 2). The structural integrity of the identified screening hit was corroborated by re-synthesis of **1a**, which was demonstrated to possess a confirmed IC₅₀ of 85 μ M in an MDH coupled GOT1 enzymatic assay.¹⁴

Evaluation of **1a** in preliminary ADME PK studies indicated that the parent compound of this series is stable in mouse plasma, with >95% remaining following 4 hours of incubation. In addition, **1a** was found to have reasonable bioavailability and exposure properties in the mouse following oral administration of a 20 mg/kg dose ($t_{1/2}$ = 0.7 hr, C_{max} = 4133 ng/mL, $AUC_{(0-24\text{ hr})}$ = 11734 hr*ng/mL).¹⁵ Based on these findings, it was rationalized that potency, rather than inherent exposure or metabolic stability properties, would limit sufficient target engagement by **1a** to enable its use as an *in vivo* probe for the evaluation of GOT1 as a potential PDAC drug target. This limitation was the primary focus of an initial medicinal chemistry effort aimed at optimizing potency and establishing a structure-activity relationship for **1a**.

Initial attempts to modify the structure of **1a** were focused on replacement of the indole ring, which could represent a liability as a result of potential oxidization by cytochrome P450 enzymes to oxindole and hydroxyindole metabolites.¹² Representative derivatives that consist of simple substitution on the indole ring to replacement with alternative aryl, heteroaryl or alkyl groups are shown in Table 1. A complete list of derivatives that were synthesized and tested are shown in Supplementary Table 1. The observed GOT1 inhibitory activity of these analogs, as determined using the MDH coupled GOT1 enzymatic assay, indicate that replacement of indole by phenyl, heteroaryl or simple alkyl group substituents ablates activity (Table 1). These findings, combined with the lack of observed activity for compounds **1j** and **1k**, indicate that the nature and relative geometry of the hydrogen bond donor of the indole ring system is essential for GOT1 inhibition activity.

We next focused on evaluation of the unsubstituted phenyl amide region of **1a** which, as a result of the potential for release of aniline containing metabolites, represents a genotoxicity liability. Analogs containing mono- or disubstitution of the phenyl group were synthesized (scheme 1) and evaluated using the MDH-coupled GOT1 enzymatic assay (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 2). In addition, analogs in which phenyl is replaced by alkyl, aryl, or heteroaryl substituents were similarly prepared and evaluated. In general, introduction of electron-withdrawing groups on the phenyl ring resulted in improved observed potency against GOT1, as exemplified by the relative activities of **2b** as compared to **2c**. Encouragingly, as demonstrated by the observed activities of **2c**, **2d**, and **2g**, ~10-fold enhancement in potency was achieved by introducing an electron withdrawing substituent at

either the meta- or para- position of the N-phenyl group. Indeed, **2c** and **2d** represent molecules that are currently being evaluated in cell-based metabolomics, cell-based selective toxicity and protein co-crystallization experiments. As illustrated by the observed potencies of **2o** and **2p**, bi-substitution failed to significantly improve activity over the corresponding mono-substituted derivative. Ortho-substitutions were not found to be tolerated, demonstrated by **2h**, **2i** and **2j**. Further, as demonstrated by the observed activities of **2l** and **2n**, the wide variety of substituents tolerated at the distal position of this series suggests that the binding pocket may accommodate additional functionality at this position, which may afford additional beneficial binding modes and improved affinity.

To evaluate if the piperazine linkage to the indole moiety is essential for activity, a series of alternative linkage strategies, including tryptamine derivatives, were designed, synthesized (Scheme 2) and tested using the MDH coupled GOT1 enzymatic assay (Table 3, Supplementary Tables 3 and 4). While the unsubstituted phenyl derivative **3a** showed no significant activity, inclusion of electron-withdrawing groups on phenyl in the context of the tryptamine core, again improved activity and resulted in the identification of active analogs within this context. Interestingly, in contrast to the relative observed activities of **2c** and **2o**, the observed equipotent activities of **3b** and **3e** demonstrates that ortho-substitution is tolerable within the tryptamine series. Indeed, even phenyl substitution at the ortho position (**3f**) was well tolerated, consistent with the existence of an expansive, hydrophobic binding pocket. Overall, the observed activities within the tryptamine series strongly suggests that the linkage between the indole and phenyl moieties is not constrained and that other linking chemistries may further enhance GOT1 inhibitory and physicochemical properties.

In summary, we have developed two novel series of phenylurea-based compounds that inhibit GOT1 enzymatic activity with potencies in the low micromolar IC₅₀ range. Future directions will include further exploration of the piperazine series at the meta or para positions of the phenyl moiety in order to further explore the binding pocket around this region, which may further improve observed potencies. In addition, optimization of the linkage between the indole and phenyl moieties will be prioritized, given the structural differences between tryptamine and indole-4-piperazine and the observed relative activities. Finally, effort will be required to improve the overall metabolic stability of these compound series, in order to mitigate potential C_{max}-driven toxicities that might be predicted for a metabolic target. Overall, these compounds represent first-in-class non-covalent inhibitors of GOT1 and potent derivatives may serve as leads for the development of potential therapeutics for the treatment of PDAC.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a Lustgarten Foundation grant to A.C.K., L.C.C., L.L.L. C.A.L. was supported by a Pancreatic Cancer Action Network/AACR Pathway to Leadership award (13-70-25-LYSS); Dale F. Frey Award for Breakthrough Scientists from the Damon Runyon Cancer Research Foundation (DFS-09-14); Junior Scholar Award from The V Foundation for Cancer Research (V2016-009); Kimmel Scholar Award from the Sidney Kimmel Foundation for Cancer Research (SKF-16-005); and a 2017 AACR NextGen Grant for Transformative Cancer

Research (17-20-01-LYSS). This work was partially supported by National Cancer Institute Grants R01CA157490, R01CA188048 and a pancreatic cancer P01 grant (P01CA117969) to LCC and ACK; ACS Research Scholar Grant RSG-13-298-01-TBG; NIH grant R01GM095567; and the Lustgarten Foundation to A.C.K. This work was partially supported by a R35-CA197588 to LCC. C.A.L. and A.C.K. are inventors on patents pertaining to Kras regulated metabolic pathways, redox control pathways in pancreatic cancer, and targeting GOT1 as a therapeutic approach. A.C.K. also holds a patent on the autophagic control of iron metabolism and is on the SAB and has ownership interests in Cornerstone Pharmaceuticals and Vescor Therapeutics. LCC is a founder and part owner of Petra Pharmaceuticals, a company developing cancer drugs. Petra provides support to the laboratory of LCC for research unrelated to this manuscript. L.C.C. owns equity in, receives compensation from, and serves on the Board of Directors and Scientific Advisory Board of Agios Pharmaceuticals. Agios Pharmaceuticals is identifying metabolic pathways of cancer cells and developing drugs to inhibit such enzymes in order to disrupt tumour cell growth and survival.

References and notes

- Warburg O, Wind F, Negelein E. The Metabolism of Tumors in the body. The Journal of general physiology. 1927; 8(6):519–30. [PubMed: 19872213]
- Warburg O. On the origin of cancer cells. Science (New York, NY). 1956; 123(3191):309–14.
- Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation. Cell. 2011; 144(5):646–74. [PubMed: 21376230]
- Vander Heiden MG, Cantley LC, Thompson CB. Understanding the Warburg effect: the metabolic requirements of cell proliferation. Science. 2009; 324(5930):1029–33. [PubMed: 19460998]
- Pavlova NN, Thompson CB. The Emerging Hallmarks of Cancer Metabolism. Cell Metabolism. 2016; 23(1):27–47. [PubMed: 26771115]
- Vander Heiden MG. Targeting cancer metabolism: a therapeutic window opens. Nature reviews. Drug discovery. 2011; 10(9):671–84. [PubMed: 21878982]
- Halbrook CJ, Lyssiotis CA. Employing Metabolism to Improve the Diagnosis and Treatment of Pancreatic Cancer. Cancer cell. 2017; 31(1):5–19. [PubMed: 28073003]
- Chakrabarti G, Moore ZR, Luo X, Ilcheva M, Ali A, Padanad M, Zhou Y, Xie Y, Burma S, Scaglioni PP, Cantley LC, DeBerardinis RJ, Kimmelman AC, Lyssiotis CA, Boothman DA. Targeting glutamine metabolism sensitizes pancreatic cancer to PARP-driven metabolic catastrophe induced by sslapachone. Cancer & metabolism. 2015; 3:12. [PubMed: 26462257]
- Rahib L, Smith BD, Aizenberg R, Rosenzweig AB, Fleshman JM, Matrisian LM. Projecting cancer incidence and deaths to 2030: the unexpected burden of thyroid, liver, and pancreas cancers in the United States. Cancer research. 2014; 74(11):2913–21. [PubMed: 24840647]
- Bailey P, Chang DK, Nones K, Johns AL, Patch AM, Gingras MC, Miller DK, Christ AN, Bruxner TJ, Quinn MC, Nourse C, Murtaugh LC, Harliwong I, Idrisoglu S, Manning S, Nourbakhsh E, Wani S, Fink L, Holmes O, Chin V, Anderson MJ, Kazakoff S, Leonard C, Newell F, Waddell N, Wood S, Xu Q, Wilson PJ, Cloonan N, Kassahn KS, Taylor D, Quek K, Robertson A, Pantano L, Mincarelli L, Sanchez LN, Evers L, Wu J, Pinese M, Cowley MJ, Jones MD, Colvin EK, Nagrial AM, Humphrey ES, Chantrell LA, Mawson A, Humphris J, Chou A, Pajic M, Scarlett CJ, Pinho AV, Giry-Laterriere M, Rooman I, Samra JS, Kench JG, Lovell JA, Merrett ND, Toon CW, Epari K, Nguyen NQ, Barbour A, Zeps N, Moran-Jones K, Jamieson NB, Graham JS, Duthie F, Oien K, Hair J, Grutzmann R, Maitra A, Iacobuzio-Donahue CA, Wolfgang CL, Morgan RA, Lawlor RT, Corbo V, Bassi C, Rusev B, Capelli P, Salvia R, Tortora G, Mukhopadhyay D, Petersen GM, Munzy DM, Fisher WE, Karim SA, Eshleman JR, Hruban RH, Pilarsky C, Morton JP, Sansom OJ, Scarpa A, Musgrove EA, Bailey UM, Hofmann O, Sutherland RL, Wheeler DA, Gill AJ, Gibbs RA, Pearson JV, Waddell N, Biankin AV, Grimmond SM. Genomic analyses identify molecular subtypes of pancreatic cancer. Nature. 2016; 531(7592):47–52. [PubMed: 26909576]
- Son J, Lyssiotis CA, Ying H, Wang X, Hua S, Ligorio M, Perera RM, Ferrone CR, Mullarky E, Shyh-Chang N, Kang Y, Fleming JB, Bardeesy N, Asara JM, Haigis MC, DePinho RA, Cantley LC, Kimmelman AC. Glutamine supports pancreatic cancer growth through a KRAS-regulated metabolic pathway. Nature. 2013; 496(7443):101–5. [PubMed: 23535601]
- Gillam EM, Notley LM, Cai H, De Voss JJ, Guengerich FP. Oxidation of indole by cytochrome P450 enzymes. Biochemistry. 2000; 39(45):13817–24. [PubMed: 11076521]

13. GLOX-coupled GOT1 Assay. GOT1 enzymatic activity was measured utilizing two coupling reactions: GOT1/GLOX and GOT1/MDH1. In the first method, GOT1 reaction was coupled to GLOX and HRP. In this reaction, aspartate and alpha-ketoglutarate are provided as substrates to GOT1 at Km concentrations (4mM and 0.5 mM, respectively) which are then converted to glutamate and oxaloacetate. The glutamate serves as a substrate for GLOX which is converted to alpha-ketoglutarate and H₂O₂. In the presence of HRP, H₂O₂ oxidizes non-fluorescent Amplex red producing Resorfin which is readily measured by fluorescence (530nm Ex/550nm Em). The final concentration of the components of the assay are as follows: HEPES buffer 100mM, KCL 100 mM, GLOX 80 nM, HRP 0.05 U/mL, Amplex Red (15 ug/mL), GOT1 (~1nM, adjusted from each purification to produce 20 min linear reaction). The reaction components are mixed except for GOT1, which is prepared in a separate buffer; all buffers are kept on ice prior to dispensing. For measuring the reaction in the HTS format, first the compounds are transferred to microplates (384 or 1536) then the two components of the assay are added. The plates are incubated for 20 min, which is during the linear part of the reaction at 37°C prior to measurement of fluorescence.
14. MDH1-coupled GOT1 assay. In the second method, the GOT1 reaction is coupled to MDH1. MDH1 catalyzes the conversion of oxaloacetate to malate by using NADH as a source of proton. Therefore, for monitoring the enzyme activity, loss of NADH fluorescence (340nm EX/445 nM Em) is measured. For the GOT1/MDH1 coupling, NADH and MDH1 are added at final concentrations of 250 uM and 0.3 ug/mL, respectively. The other details are same as described for GOT1/GLOX assay.
15. Pharmacokinetic studies. Female CD1 mice (9 weeks old, Charles River) were dosed by oral gavage at a dose of 20 mg/kg (5 mL/kg). At 0.5-, 1-, 4-, 8- and 24-hour time points (n = 3 for each time point) 250 µL of whole blood was collected via retro-orbital sinus into a heparinized capillary tube, centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 3 minutes and the resulting plasma was stored frozen at -80 C prior to analysis. Mice were deprived of food overnight and had food returned after the 4-hour bleed time point. Drug levels in plasma were determined using an AB Sciex API 3000 LC/MS/MS system, based on observed analyte area.

Highlights

- PDAC tumors are dependent on GOT1 for redox homeostasis and sustained proliferation
- 4-(1H-indol-4-yl)-N-phenylpiperazine-1-carboxamide is a GOT1 inhibitor
- Medicinal chemistry-based optimization resulted in the improvement of potency
- A tryptamine-based derivative series of GOT1 inhibitors was identified

Figure 1.
HTS GOT1 inhibitor hit **1a**.

Scheme 1.

Synthesis of 1a, 1g–1i, 1l–1w, 2a–2j, 2l, 2n–2p, 2t–2as, 2aw, 2ba, 4c and 4f. Reagents and conditions: (i) 1.1 eq. TEA, DCM, R.T., 15m. 20–80%.

Scheme 2.

Synthesis of **3a-j**. Regents and conditions: (i) 1.1 eq. TEA, DCM, R.T., 30 minutes, 10–50%.

Table 1

GOT1 inhibition activity of compounds 1a–o.

Compound	R	IC ₅₀ ^a (μM)
1a		85
1b		>100
1c		>100
1d		41
1e		46
1f		>100

Compound	R	IC ₅₀ ^a (μM)
1g		>100
1h		>100
1i		>100
1j		>100
1k		>100
1l		>100
1m		>100
1n		>100

^aData are reported as mean of n = 3 determinations.

Table 2

GOT1 inhibition activity of compounds 2a–t.

Compound	R	IC ₅₀ a (μM)
2a		>100
2b		>100
2c		8.2
2d		16
2e		>100
2f		52
2g		14
2h		>100

Compound	R	IC ₅₀ ^a (μM)
2i		>100
2j		>100
2k		>100
2l		43
2m		>100
2n		39
2o		56

Compound	R	IC ₅₀ ^a (μM)
2p		22
2q		>100
2r		>100
2s		>100
2t		76

^aData are reported as mean of n = 3 determinations.

Table 3GOT1 inhibition activity of compounds **3a–f**.

Compound	R	IC ₅₀ ^a (μM)
3a		>100
3b		36
3c		39
3d		62
3e		32
3f		34

^aData are reported as mean of n = 3 determinations.