Effect of level of soluble fiber and n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratio on performance of rabbit does and their litters

Rebeca Delgado, Rodrigo Abad-Guamán, Nuria Nicodemus, María Jesús Villamide, Noemí Ruiz-López, Rosa Carabaño, David Menoyo, and Javier García¹

Departamento de Producción Agraria, ETSI Agronómica, Alimentaria y de Biosistemas, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Ciudad Universitaria, Madrid, Spain

ABSTRACT: The aim of this work was to study whether the dietary supplementation with soluble fiber (SF) and the reduction of the n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratio or the combination of both influences the survival, body and milk composition, and reproductive performance of rabbit does during the first four parturitions. Four diets in a 2×2 factorial arrangement were used with two levels of SF (7.8 vs. 13.0, on dry matter [DM] basis; high soluble fiber [HSF] and low soluble fiber [LSF]) and two different n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratios (13.4/1 vs. 3.5/1). Nulliparous does (24/diet) were inseminated 11 d after parturition. Body chemical composition and energy content of rabbit does and their performance, litter growth, and milk production were measured between birth and weaning (25 d) along four parturitions, and milk composition and fecal digestibility were also recorded. The proportion of total removed does decreased in HSF respect to LSF groups (22.9 vs. 50.0%; $P = 0.005$), and it tended to decrease in LSF groups when the n-6/n-3 ratio increased and in HSF groups when the n-6/n-3 ratio decreased ($P = 0.059$). The increase of the level of SF reduced the digestible crude protein (CP)/digestible energy ratio (by 4%; $P < 0.001$) and improved the digestibility of all fibrous fractions ($P < 0.001$). The

reduction of the n-6/n-3 ratio reduced the total dietary fiber digestibility in rabbit does fed LSF diets, but it had no effect in those fed HSF diets ($P = 0.043$). Treatments had no effect on average daily feed intake among parturitions ($P = 0.16$), but the digestible CP intake among parturitions was lower in HSF than in LSF groups ($P = 0.003$). Treatments had no effect on the total number of kits born, litter or average kit weight at birth, or litter size at weaning, fertility, feed efficiency, total milk production, and body chemical composition and energy content of rabbit does ($P \geq 0.29$). The average weight of kits at weaning of LSF_Hn-6/n-3 and HSF_Ln-6/n-3 groups decreased by 6% compared with those from the other two groups ($P = 0.030$). The reduction of the dietary n-6/n-3 ratio increased the milk fat content by 12% with no effect on protein and DM content ($P = 0.031$). The proportion of milk odd fatty acids and saturated fatty acid increased in rabbit does fed the HSF diets compared with those fed LSF diets ($P \leq 0.037$) with no effect of the n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratio. In conclusion, SF reduced the replacement rate of rabbit does with no effect of the n-6/n-3 ratio, while both dietary factors modified milk composition and fatty acid profile with minor influence on litter productivity.

Key words: body composition, milk, n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratio, performance, rabbit does, soluble fiber

© The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of American Society of Animal Science. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.

J. Anim. Sci. 2018.96:1084–1100

doi: 10.1093/jas/sky019

¹Corresponding author: javier.garcia@upm.es

Received November 24, 2017.

Accepted January 15, 2018.

INTRODUCTION

The increase of soluble fiber (SF) in diets for rabbits, using sugar beet pulp, improves the health

status of young rabbits after weaning (Trocino et al., 2013). It exerts positive effects on gut barrier function and growth performance and reduces mortality (Gómez-Conde et al., 2007). However, the increase of SF in high insoluble fiber diets for rabbit does reduced their feed and digestible energy (DE) intake, milk production, and consequently the weight of kits at weaning (Martínez-Vallespín et al., 2011). These effects might be related to the cecal filling effect produced by the high dietary neutral detergent fiber (NDF) level and/or the inclusion of sugar beet pulp (Carabaño et al., 1997) that might limit both feed and DE intake (García et al., 2002).

The inclusion of n-3 fatty acids might enhance animal health and productivity; however, studies in rabbits are not always consistent with this relationship, and there are no defined recommendations (Casado et al., 2013). The reduction of the dietary n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratio from 4.1 to 1.0 with extruded linseed oil increased milk fat content of rabbit does and reduced kit mortality after weaning (Maertens et al., 2005). Also, the reduction of n-6/n-3 ratio from 7.3 to 2.2 using salmon oil tended to increase kit weight and survival rate at birth (Rebollar et al., 2014). This situation is similar to that found with sow productivity where the main positive and consistent effect of n-3 fatty acid supplementation was to improve piglet vitality (Tanghe and De Smet, 2013), although a positive effect of linseed oil supplementation on sow prolificacy was recently reported (Tanghe et al., 2014). On the opposite, the supplementation of rabbit does with marine algae polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) impaired kit weight at birth and at weaning (Mordenti et al., 2010).

The aim of this work is to study the long-term effects of combining two levels of SF (and a low NDF level) with two n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratios on the productivity and milk composition of rabbit does and their litters along four parturitions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Diets

Four diets in a 2×2 factorial arrangement were used with two levels of SF (7.8 vs. 13.0, on DM basis; low soluble fiber [LSF] and high soluble fiber [HSF] diets) and two different n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratios (13.4/1 vs. 3.5/1; **Hn-6/n-3** and **Ln-6/n-3** diets, Table 1). The combination of n-3 fatty acids with SF was planned to look for their potential synergistic effect on the rabbit doe and litter performance

and health, considering they have apparently different mechanisms of action. A control diet was formulated to meet nutrient requirements of rabbit does (De Blas and Mateos, 2010) with a low SF level and a high n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratio obtained with a blend of standard and high-oleic sunflower oil (**LSF_Hn-6/n-3**). The high n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratio was selected considering that in rabbit diets with low alfalfa hay content (<20%) and no added fat, this ratio is usually above 15/1 (Santomá et al., 1987). The increase of soluble/fermentable fiber was obtained by replacing wheat straw and bran from control diet by sugar beet pulp (**HSF_Hn-6/n-3**). The level of inclusion of sugar beet pulp was maximized in order to obtain the highest dietary SF content, but under the threshold of 20% to limit the potential reduction of average daily feed intake (ADFI) (Carabaño et al., 1997). In these two diets, the n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratio was decreased by replacing high-oleic sunflower oil and part of the standard sunflower oil by linseed oil (**LSF_Ln-6/n-3** and **HSF_Ln-6/n-3**). It resulted in the replacement of oleic acid by linolenic acid, maintaining constant linoleic acid (Table 2). Levels of crude protein (CP) and NDF were 16.4 and 30.9 % DM, respectively, while the level of starch content was higher in LSF than in HSF diets (22.4 vs. 18.3% DM).

Lactation Trial

Ninety-six nulliparous 130-d old rabbit does New Zealand White $\times$ Californian (line V from Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain) were randomly assigned to the four treatments (24 rabbit does/diet) 1 wk before the first artificial insemination (AI). Rabbit does weighted on average 3.86 ± 0.02 kg body weight (BW), and their body composition and energy content were (g/kg BW mean $\pm$ standard error): 595 $\pm$ 1.50 moisture, 177 $\pm$ 0.34 protein, 157 $\pm$ 1.47 fat, 30.6 $\pm$ 0.076 ash, and 11.4 $\pm$ 0.063 MJ/kg BW gross energy (GE). After birth, does were inseminated 11 d after parturition corresponding to a theoretical kindling-to-kindling interval of 42 d, and weaning was set at 25 d of lactation. Adoptions were made after parturition among rabbit does belonging to the same diet groups, resulting an average litter size of 10.5 ± 0.2 kits. The does were injected i.m. 25 IU eCG (Segiran, Lab. Ovejero, León) 48 h before insemination to synchronize estrus (Rebollar et al., 2006) and 1 μ g of buserelin Suprefact (Hoechst Marison Roussel, S.A., Madrid) the day of insemination. Buserelin is a gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist (GnRH agonist) used to induce ovulation

Table 1. Ingredient and chemical composition of experimental diets

Item	LSF		HSF	
	LSF_Hn-6/n-3	LSF_Ln-6/n-3	HSF_Hn-6/n-3	HSF_Ln-6/n-3
Ingredient, % as fed basis				
Wheat bran	28.0	28.0	13.0	13.0
Wheat straw	10.0	10.0	5.00	5.00
Beet pulp	0.00	0.00	18.0	18.0
Wheat	22.7	22.7	21.7	21.7
Dehydrated alfalfa	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Soybean meal	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00
Sunflower meal	9.97	9.97	12.97	12.97
High-oleic sunflower oil	0.85	0.00	0.85	0.00
Standard sunflower oil	2.15	2.00	2.15	2.00
Linseed oil	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00
L-lysine HCl	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44
DL-methionine	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.06
L-threonine	0.31	0.31	0.32	0.32
Calcium carbonate	1.20	1.20	0.70	0.70
Sodium chloride	0.30	0.30	0.31	0.31
Calcium phosphate	0.50	0.50	1.00	1.00
Mineral/vitamin premix ^a	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Analyzed chemical composition, % DM				
DM	90.8	90.6	90.8	91.0
Ash	7.08	7.29	6.75	6.72
CP	16.7	16.4	16.5	16.5
CP-total dietary fiber	4.75	4.75	5.87	5.93
CP-NDF	1.97	1.94	2.75	2.72
Total dietary fiber	39.1	38.0	44.2	43.8
NDF	30.7	30.9	31.2	30.8
Acid detergent fiber	16.5	16.7	18.5	18.7
Acid detergent lignin	3.10	3.10	3.30	3.40
SF	8.40	7.19	13.0	13.0
Starch	22.6	22.2	18.2	18.4
Ether extract	5.38	4.91	4.87	5.00
Sugars	7.99	8.23	8.17	8.44
GE, MJ/kg MS	18.4	18.2	18.3	18.1

^aProvided by Trouw Nutrition (Madrid, Spain). Mineral and vitamin composition (per kg of complete diet): 20 mg of Mn as MnO; 59.2 mg of Zn as ZnO; 10 mg of Cu as CuSO₄ 5H₂O; 1.25 mg of I as KI; 0.495 mg of Co as CoCO₃ H₂O H₂O; 76 mg of Fe as FeCO₃; 8375 UI of vitamin A; 750 UI of vitamin D₃; 20 UI of vitamin E as DL- α -tocopherol acetate; 1.0 mg of vitamin K; 1.0 mg of vitamin B1; 2 mg of vitamin B2; 1 mg of vitamin B6; 20 mg of niacin; 54.1 mg of betaine; 137.5 mg of choline chloride; 66 mg of robenidine; 50 mg of ethoxyquin.

in rabbit does (Quintela et al., 2004). The semen came from rabbit males of the R line (Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain). Rabbit does that failed to get pregnant in two consecutive inseminations were excluded from the experiment.

Experimental period begun at first successful insemination and finished at weaning of the fourth cycle of inseminations. First insemination was carried out when rabbit does were 130 d old. Live weight, feed intake, reproductive traits, and body composition were recorded at insemination, just after parturition and at weaning, and only rabbit does that completed at least two lactations were considered in the analysis. The bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) technique was used to determine

the chemical body composition and energy content of rabbit does (Pereda, 2010). Measurements of resistance and reactance were taken in rabbit does with a body composition analyzer (Model Quantum II, RJL Systems, Detroit, MI, USA) few hours after parturition, at insemination and at weaning (always after suckling), according to Romero et al. (2011). Multiple regression equations according to Nicodemus et al. (2009) were used to estimate water, protein, ash, fat, and energy proportions with respect to the BW of rabbit does. Fertility was expressed as 100/number of scheduled inseminations (every 42 d) performed until fertile kindling. Prolificacy (total number of rabbits born alive and dead) and mortality of rabbit does were

Table 2. Fatty acid profile of experimental diets (g/100 g of total fatty acids)

Item	LSF		HSF	
	LSF_Hn-6/n-3	LSF_Ln-6/n-3	HSF_Hn-6/n-3	HSF_Ln-6/n-3
C14:0	0.22	0.27	0.26	0.35
C15:0	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.14
C16:0	11.0	11.6	10.7	11.4
C16:1n7	0.19	0.15	0.16	0.16
C16:1n9	0.18	0.21	0.15	0.16
C18:0	2.98	3.20	3.17	3.33
C18:1n9	30.3	20.1	31.4	20.1
C18:1n7	0.66	0.70	0.67	0.75
C18:2n6	48.9	48.0	47.2	46.8
C18:3n3	2.85	12.4	2.70	13.3
C18:3n6	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.10
C18:4n3	0.10	0.08	0.12	0.11
C20:0	0.41	0.41	0.45	0.46
C20:1n9	0.41	0.45	0.39	0.36
C20:3n6	0.00	0.15	0.14	0.18
C20:5n3	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.18
C22:1n9	0.78	0.60	0.81	0.70
Unidentified	0.78	1.36	1.34	1.34
SFA	14.7	15.6	14.7	15.7
Monounsaturated fatty acid	32.5	22.2	33.6	22.3
PUFA	51.9	60.8	50.4	60.7
n-6	49.0	48.2	47.4	47.1
n-3	3.4	13.0	3.8	14.0
n-6/n-3	14.4	3.71	12.5	3.36

SFA, saturated fatty acid.

also recorded. Mortality of young rabbits was controlled daily during lactation and was calculated as the percentage of rabbits dead with respect to the number of rabbits per litter once homogenized, using the litter as experimental unit. Milk production was estimated daily from weight loss of does during suckling (10 min, once a day). Litters were moved to another cage at 20 d of age. They were offered ad libitum the same feed as their mothers and water and suckled once a day for 10 min until weaning age (25 d). One day after weaning, in vivo body and carcass chemical composition and energy content were estimated using the BIA technique in 40 rabbits/diet, weaned from multiparous does and weighing 467 ± 6.6 g. Measurements of resistance and reactance were taken in rabbits with a body composition analyzer (Model Quantum II, RJL Systems, Detroit, MI, USA). Multiple regression equations according to [Saiz et al. \(2013a, 2013b, 2017\)](#) were used to estimate water, protein, ash, fat, and energy proportions both in the body and in the carcass. During the first gestation until 14 d before parturition, rabbit does were restricted to approximately 150 g/d, but afterwards diets were offered ad libitum along the experiment. Only does that failed

to get pregnant were also restricted between weaning and the next insemination.

Milk Composition Trial

A total of 36 rabbit does (9/diet) weighing 4.30 ± 0.05 kg and with an average litter size of 10.6 ± 0.1 rabbits were used to determine the milk composition of DM, ash, CP, fat, and fatty acids profile. Five days after the first parturition, the area of the proximal mammary glands was shaved, disinfected with ethanol, right after, 0.3 ml oxytocin (Oxiton, Laboratorios Ovejero, León, Spain) was injected i.v. in the ear, and at least 8 ml of milk was collected in sterile tubes (scrapping the first jets). Samples were freeze-dried and stored frozen to determine milk composition.

Fecal Digestibility Trial

A total of 24 rabbit does (6/diet) weighing 4.04 ± 0.04 kg and with an average litter size of 10.2 ± 0.3 kits were used to determine the fecal apparent digestibility of DM, GE, CP, ether extract, total dietary fiber, NDF, and SF. Total fecal output was collected for each doe during four consecutive

days (from day 15 to 19 of the third lactation). Feed intake (ad libitum access) was recorded during this period. Feces were stored at -20°C and later dried at 80°C for 48 h and ground to 1 mm for further analysis.

Housing

Rabbit does were housed individually in flat-deck cages ($600 \times 500 \times 320$ mm) throughout the trial. An external nest box ($355 \times 230 \times 360$ mm high) with wood shavings was provided 3 d before parturition. Housing conditions were controlled during the whole experimental period with a 16-h light:8-h dark cycle, and temperature conditions maintained between 18 and 24°C . All the experimental procedures used were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Universidad Politécnica de Madrid and were in compliance with the Spanish guidelines for care and use of animals in research (BOE, 2013).

Chemical Analysis

Procedures of the AOAC (2000) were used to determine DM (method 934.01), ash (method 942.05), CP (method 968.06), ether extract (920.39), starch (amyloglucosidase- α -amylase method; method 996.11), and total dietary fiber (985.29). Sugars were analyzed according to Yemm and Willis (1954). Dietary NDF was determined using the filter bag system (Ankom Technology, New York) according to Mertens et al. (2002), and a thermo-stable amylase without any sodium sulphite added. It was corrected for its own ash and protein as indicated for total dietary fiber. Dietary acid detergent fiber and acid detergent lignin were analyzed according to Goering and Van Soest (1970). The SF was calculated by difference as total dietary fiber-NDF. GE was determined by adiabatic calorimetry. Fatty acids were extracted and quantified by the one-step procedure as described by Sukhija and Palmquist (1988) in experimental diets. Total lipids were extracted from milk samples by the method of Segura and López-Bote (2014). Previously, lyophilized samples (300 mg) were homogenized in dichloromethane:methanol (8:2, by vol.) using a mixer mill (MM400, Retsch technology). The final biphasic system was separated by centrifugation. The extraction was repeated three times. Solvent was evaporated under nitrogen stream, and lipids were dried by vacuum desiccation. Total lipid content was determined gravimetrically. Fatty acid methyl esters were prepared

from total lipids by transesterification using a mixture of methanol:toluene: H_2SO_4 (88:10:2, by vol.). Samples were heated for 2 h at 80°C as in Ruiz-López et al. (2015). After cooling, fatty acid methyl esters were recovered with hexane and separated and quantified by GC-FID. Fatty acid methyl esters were separated using a gas chromatograph (HP 6890 Series GC System) equipped with flame ionization detector. Separation was performed with a J&W GC Column, HP-Innowax Polyethylene Glycol ($30 \text{ m} \times 0.316 \text{ mm} \times 0.25 \mu\text{m}$). Nitrogen was used as a carrier gas. After injection at 170°C , the oven temperature was raised to 210°C at a rate $3.5^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$, then to 250°C at a rate of $7^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$ and held constant for 1 min. The flame ionization was held at 250°C . Fatty acid methyl ester peaks were identified by comparing their retention times with those of authentic standards (Sigma-Aldrich, Alcobendas, Spain). Diets were analyzed in triplicate and milk in duplicate.

Statistical Analysis

The results obtained for fecal digestibility (DM, GE, CP, total dietary fiber, NDF, and SF) and milk composition (DM, ash, CP, fat, and fatty acid profile) were analyzed using a mixed model considering the level of SF, n-6/n-3 ratio, and their interaction as the main sources of variation. Data from total removed, dead, and culled does were analyzed using a logistic regression (GENMOD procedure of SAS, considering a binomial distribution) including the same variables in the model, and the results were transformed from the logit scale. Data from the lactation and body composition trial were analyzed in a factorial arrangement repeated for four parturitions by the mixed procedure of SAS (Littell et al., 1996) including in the model the level of SF, n-6/n-3 ratio, parity order, and their interactions, and the rabbit doe as a random effect. The number of kits in each period was used as covariate in the model for milk production. Initial BW, body composition (protein, fat, moisture, ash), and energy content at 130 d of age were used as covariates in the model used for body composition and energy content along time. A compound symmetry structure was fitted as it showed the lowest value of the Schwarz Bayesian criterion (Littell et al., 1998). It assumes that measures over time on the same animals had the same variance and that all pairs of measures on the same animal had the same correlation. The data are presented as least-squares means. When interactions were significant ($P < 0.05$), comparisons among all the treatment means were made using a *t* test.

RESULTS

Dry matter intake during the digestibility period was higher ($P = 0.038$) in rabbit does fed Ln-6/n-3 diets than in Hn-6/n-3 groups (Table 3). Treatments did not affect fecal DM and GE digestibility, but CP digestibility tended to decrease in HSF compared with LSF groups ($P = 0.072$; Table 3) and led to a reduction of the digestible CP/DE ratio (by 4%; $P < 0.001$). Fecal digestibility of total dietary fiber, NDF, and SF increased in rabbit does fed HSF diets respect to does fed LSF diets (by 17, 12, and 22 percentage units, respectively; $P < 0.001$). The reduction of the n-6/n-3 ratio reduced the total dietary fiber digestibility in rabbit does fed LSF diets, but it had no effect in those fed HSF diets, resulting in an interaction SF $\times$ n-6/n-3 ratio ($P = 0.043$). A similar trend was observed for NDF digestibility ($P = 0.091$). Fecal digestibility of ether extract tended to be higher in does fed LSF_Hn-6/n-3 and HSF_Ln-6/n-3 diets ($P = 0.092$).

Feed intake during the first gestation and during the lactation period was lower in rabbit does fed with HSF than in those fed LSF diets (by 10% and 6%, respectively; $P \leq 0.047$; Table 4). However, treatments had no effect on ADFI among parturitions ($P = 0.16$). It resulted in a minor effect on DE intake along the experiment (only a trend to reduce it in the first gestation in HSF groups), but in a reduction of digestible CP intake among parturitions (by 7%) and throughout the experiment in does fed HSF diets ($P \leq 0.005$, and $P = 0.079$

for the weaning-parturition period). The dietary n-6/n-3 ratio had a minor influence on ADFI, DE, and digestible CP intake. Feed intake from the third weaning to the fourth parturition tended to decrease in Ln-6/n-3 groups, leading to a reduction of DE and digestible CP intake in this period (interaction n-6/n-3 ratio $\times$ parity; $P \leq 0.054$; data not shown). On the opposite, ADFI, DE, and digestible CP intake tended to increase in Ln-6/n-3 groups at the beginning of lactation (parturition-insemination) from the second to the third and fourth parturitions ($P \leq 0.081$; data not shown).

Treatments had no a relevant effect on total number of kits born (either alive or dead), litter or average kit weight at birth (Table 5). Once homogenized litter size, the does fed Ln-6/n-3 diets showed a higher initial number of kits (+0.5; $P = 0.039$) because of the artificial reduction of the standard error, whereas those fed HSF diets tended to reduce it ($P = 0.091$). Rabbit does from LSF_Hn-6/n-3 and HSF_Ln-6/n-3 tended to reduce gradually kit mortality during lactation from the first to the fourth lactation (from 14% to 0%; Figure 1), whereas those fed LSF_Ln-6/n-3 and HSF_Hn-6/n-3 showed a constant mortality along lactations (10.5% on average), resulting in an interaction SF $\times$ n-6/n-3 ratio $\times$ parity ($P = 0.007$). However, treatments had no effect on litter size at weaning ($P \geq 0.29$). The average weight of kits at weaning from LSF_Hn-6/n-3 and HSF_Ln-6/n-3 groups decreased by 6% compared with those from the other two groups

Table 3. Effect of level of SF and n-6/n-3 fatty acids profile on DMI and fecal apparent digestibility in rabbit does

	Diets				Standard error of the mean		<i>P</i> value		
	LSF_Hn-6/n-3	LSF_Ln-6/n-3	HSF_Hn-6/n-3	HSF_Ln-6/n-3	SF and n-6/n-3	SF $\times$ n-6/n-3	SF	n-6/n-3	SF $\times$ n-6/n-3
<i>N</i>	8	8	8	8					
DMI, g DM/d	318	368	319	340	11.5	16.3	0.43	0.038	0.37
Fecal apparent digestibility, %									
DM	62.3	61.4	62.6	64.0	0.85	1.21	0.23	0.83	0.34
GE	62.7	60.7	62.4	63.3	0.86	1.21	0.34	0.64	0.25
CP	69.5	69.2	65.9	66.6	1.17	1.65	0.072	0.90	0.76
Ether extract	73.2	70.3	71.2	74.2	1.17	1.66	0.57	0.98	0.092
Total dietary fiber	29.0 ^a	23.2 ^b	42.3 ^c	43.8 ^c	1.21	1.74	<0.001	0.22	0.043
NDF	24.3	20.0	33.1	35.4	1.31	1.85	<0.001	0.60	0.091
SF	46.4	36.8	64.4	63.8	2.30	2.49	<0.001	0.13	0.18
DE, MJ/kg DM	11.5	11.0	11.3	11.4	0.16	0.22	0.69	0.26	0.19
Digestible CP, % DM	11.7	11.2	11.0	11.0	0.19	0.28	0.11	0.51	0.37
Digestible CP/DE, g/MJ	10.1	10.2	9.70	9.71	0.09	0.12	<0.001	0.61	0.69

^{a-c}Diet mean values in the same row with a different superscript differ, $P < 0.05$.

DMI, dry matter intake.

Table 4. Effect of level of SF and n-6/n-3 fatty acids profile and parity order on DE and digestible CP intake of rabbit does^a

	Diets				Parity order				Standard error of the mean				P value			
	LSF_		HSF_		1		2		SF and		SF × parity		SF ×		SF ×	
	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Hn-6/n-3	Hn-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	n-6/n-3	n-6/n-3	and n-6/n-3 × parity	n-6/n-3	n-6/n-3	n-6/n-3	parity	n-6/n-3 × parity
N	15	18	22	20	75	75	62	40								
ADFI, g/d																
AI 1-parturition I	147	139	131	126	—	—	—	—	4.99	7.06	—	—	0.042	0.38	0.80	—
Parturition-AI	292	310	289	279	232 ^b	300 ^c	314 ^{c,d}	324 ^d	5.57	7.85	6.49	9.13	0.034	0.60	0.069	<0.001
Lactation	340	347	327	324	297 ^b	335 ^c	346 ^{c,d}	361 ^d	6.19	8.73	6.57	9.27	0.047	0.81	0.55	<0.001
Weaning-parturition	200	196	200	190	180 ^b	198 ^c	211 ^c	—	4.42	6.22	4.66	6.56	0.60	0.23	0.65	<0.001
Among parturitions	270	276	268	260	239 ^b	273 ^c	294 ^d	—	4.52	6.37	4.76	6.71	0.16	0.83	0.28	<0.001
DE intake, MJ/d																
AI 1-parturition I	1.57	1.42	1.40	1.33	—	—	—	—	0.05	0.07	—	—	0.074	0.14	0.58	—
Parturition-AI	3.12	3.17	3.09	2.93	2.44 ^b	3.14 ^c	3.30 ^{c,d}	3.41 ^d	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.10	0.11	0.48	0.20	<0.001
Lactation	3.63	3.54	3.49	3.40	3.12 ^b	3.52 ^c	3.63 ^{c,d}	3.80 ^d	0.06	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.13	0.32	0.98	<0.001
Weaning-parturition	2.14	2.00	2.14	1.99	1.89 ^b	2.08 ^c	2.22 ^c	—	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.94	0.028	0.99	<0.001
Among parturitions	2.89	2.81	2.87	2.73	2.51 ^b	2.87 ^c	3.10 ^d	—	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.43	0.11	0.65	<0.001
Digestible CP intake, g/d																
AI 1-parturition I	16.0	14.6	13.5	12.9	—	—	—	—	0.53	0.75	—	—	0.005	0.18	0.57	—
Parturition-AI	31.5	32.6	29.8	28.4	24.3 ^b	31.4 ^c	32.8 ^{c,d}	33.8 ^d	0.59	0.83	0.68	0.96	<0.001	0.86	0.15	<0.001
Lactation	36.8	36.4	33.6	33.1	31.1 ^b	35.0 ^c	36.1 ^{c,d}	37.8 ^d	0.65	0.92	0.69	0.97	<0.001	0.61	0.92	<0.001
Weaning-parturition	21.7	20.5	20.6	19.3	18.8 ^b	20.7 ^c	22.1 ^c	—	0.46	0.64	0.48	0.68	0.079	0.067	0.93	<0.001
Among parturitions	29.3	29.0	27.6	26.5	25.0 ^b	28.5 ^c	30.8 ^d	—	0.47	0.66	0.50	0.70	0.003	0.29	0.54	<0.001

^aNo significant differences were found for the interaction SF × n-6/n-3 × parity ($P \geq 0.50$).^{b-c}Parity order mean values in the same row with a different superscript differ, $P < 0.05$.

Table 5. Effect of level of SF and n-6/n-3 fatty acids profile and parity order on performance of rabbit does and their litters

	Diets										Parity order				Standard error of the mean				P value ^a			
	LSF ₋					HSF ₋					SF and				SF × parity				SF ×			
	Hn-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	LSF ₋	HSF ₋	Ln-6/n-3	Hn-6/n-3	HSF ₋	Ln-6/n-3	HSF ₋	Ln-6/n-3	1	2	3	4	SF and	SF ×	Parity	SF × parity	SF ×	SF ×	SF ×	
N	15	18	22	20	75	75	62	62	40													
Number of kits per litter																						
Total born	11.4	11.6	11.0	11.3	11.8 ^{c,d}	12.5 ^d	10.7 ^e	10.2 ^b	0.43	0.61	0.50	0.71	0.59	0.66	0.87	0.003	0.90	0.95				
Born alive	10.3	11.0	10.0	10.5	10.7	11.4	10.0	9.74	0.44	0.63	0.51	0.72	0.55	0.36	0.90	0.073	0.46	0.97				
Born dead	0.98	0.64	0.95	0.78	1.17	1.04	0.69	0.45	0.19	0.27	0.24	0.33	0.84	0.34	0.75	0.14	0.57	0.88				
Initial number ^e	10.2	10.9	9.87	10.3	10.6 ^{c,d}	11.0 ^d	10.3 ^c	9.36 ^b	0.19	0.27	0.25	0.35	0.091	0.039	0.65	0.001	0.26	0.71				
20-d lactation	9.43	9.60	8.97	9.46	9.27 ^b	10.1 ^c	9.35 ^b	8.76 ^b	0.21	0.29	0.27	0.38	0.32	0.26	0.60	0.005	0.27	0.52				
25 d (weaning)	9.37	9.55	8.91	9.38	9.22 ^b	10.0 ^c	9.27 ^b	8.70 ^b	0.21	0.29	0.27	0.38	0.29	0.27	0.61	0.008	0.31	0.61				
Mortality at birth, %	8.59	7.09	11.0	5.91	9.45	9.50	6.28	7.41	2.04	2.89	2.26	3.18	0.83	0.25	0.53	0.56	0.11	0.83				
Mortality during lactation, %	7.56	11.5	9.60	7.70	12.7	8.50	9.08	6.13	1.55	2.18	2.01	2.82	0.68	0.63	0.18	0.15	0.98	0.77				
Weight of the litter, kg																						
Birth	0.551	0.572	0.554	0.562	0.479 ^b	0.620 ^c	0.570 ^c	0.570 ^c	0.19	0.26	0.23	0.32	0.90	0.60	0.82	<0.001	0.96	0.87				
20 d	2.907	2.983	2.827	2.846	2.588 ^b	2.946 ^c	2.990 ^c	3.039 ^c	0.56	0.79	0.65	0.92	0.18	0.55	0.72	<0.001	0.045	0.64				
25 d (weaning)	3.725	3.823	3.636	3.613	3.215 ^b	3.740 ^c	3.858 ^c	3.984 ^c	0.75	1.06	0.87	1.23	0.17	0.72	0.57	<0.001	0.046	0.67				
Weight of the kits, g																						
Birth	55.1	54.6	55.1	55.9	46.4 ^b	56.6 ^c	58.1 ^c	59.6 ^c	1.12	1.59	1.36	1.91	0.66	0.92	0.67	<0.001	0.35	0.98				
20 d	310	323	325	307	281 ^b	297 ^c	326 ^d	360 ^d	5.68	8.00	7.04	9.92	0.91	0.78	0.053	<0.001	0.90	0.11				
25 d (weaning)	399 ^{b,c}	414 ^{b,c}	423 ^b	391 ^c	351 ^b	379 ^c	425 ^d	473 ^d	7.40	10.4	9.03	12.7	0.95	0.44	0.030	<0.001	0.94	0.16				
Average daily gain of kits 0–25 d, g/d	13.6	14.0	14.4	13.3	11.9 ^b	12.6 ^c	14.5 ^d	16.4 ^d	0.30	0.42	0.35	0.49	0.89	0.44	0.074	<0.001	0.91	0.25				
Kits ADFI 20–25 d, g/d	6.31	6.24	5.99	5.66	6.48 ^{a,d}	6.78 ^c	5.67 ^{b,c}	5.26 ^b	0.29	0.41	0.37	0.51	0.28	0.63	0.76	0.016	0.20	0.35				
Litter ADFI 20–25 d, g/d	59.0	62.1	54.0	53.4	60.3 ^c	68.4 ^d	54.0 ^{b,c}	45.9 ^b	3.16	4.46	3.83	5.39	0.13	0.77	0.68	<0.001	0.047	0.46				
Feed efficiency ^f	0.281	0.298	0.301	0.300	0.263 ^b	0.302 ^c	0.320 ^c	—	0.005	0.007	0.007	0.010	0.11	0.23	0.21	<0.001	0.50	0.98				

^aThe interaction SF × n-6/n-3 × parity only was significant for the kit mortality during lactation ($P = 0.007$; Figure 1), but no other significant differences were found ($P \geq 0.15$).^{b–d}Parity order mean values in the same row with a different superscript differ, $P < 0.05$.^eInitial number once homogenized.^fFeed efficiency = kg weaned/(kg feed doe among parturitions + kits).

Figure 1. Effect of level of SF and n-6/n-3 fatty acids profile over parity order on litter mortality during lactation (LSF_Hn-6/n-3, closed circle; LSF_Ln-6/n-3, open square; HSF_Hn-6/n-3, open circle; HSF_Ln-6/n-3, open triangle). $P_{SF \times n-6/n-3 \times parity} = 0.007$ (standard error of the mean = 2.01).

($P = 0.030$). Furthermore, only in the fourth lactation, kit weight at 20 and 25 d and litter feed intake from 20 to 25 d were higher in LSF than in HSF groups (data not shown; $P \leq 0.047$). Feed efficiency of rabbit does did not differ among different experimental groups ($P \geq 0.11$).

The weight of rabbit does at parturition and at weaning not modified by the experimental diets, but those fed Ln-6/n-3 diets tended to be heavier than those from Hn-6/n-3 group at the day of insemination ($P = 0.10$, Table 6). Fertility and number of parturitions averaged 94.4% and 3.5, respectively, and dietary treatments did not influence them ($P \geq 0.11$). The increase of dietary SF tended to reduce the milk production from 10 to 20 d of lactation ($P = 0.089$) but had no effect on total milk production ($P = 0.12$). The body

chemical composition and body energy content of rabbit does at parturition, AI, and weaning were not affected by the treatments (Table 7). Only at weaning, body protein decreased from the first to the fourth weaning in rabbit does from all treatments, but in those from LSF_Hn-6/n-3 group, it remained constant, leading to an interaction SF $\times$ n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratio $\times$ parity ($P = 0.049$, data not shown). The proportion of total removed does decreased in HSF respect to LSF groups (22.9 vs. 50.0%; $P = 0.005$), because of the trend to reduce the culled and dead rabbit does ($P \leq 0.059$, Table 8). The proportion of total removed does tended to decrease in LSF groups when the n-6/n-3 ratio increased and in HSF diets when the n-6/n-3 ratio decreased ($P = 0.059$). The causes of mortality were reproductive (mainly abortions),

Table 6. Effect of level of SF and n-6/n-3 fatty acids profile and parity order on doe weight, number of parturitions, fertility, and milk production of rabbit does

	Diets				Parity order					Standard error of the mean			P value ^{a,b}			
	LSF_	LSF_	HSF_	HSF_	1	2	3	4	5	SF and	SF $\times$	Parity	SF	n-6/n-3	SF $\times$	Parity
	Hn-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Hn-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3												
<i>N</i>	15	18	22	20	75	75	62	40	40							
Doe weight, g																
Parturition	3939	3952	3999	3995	3910 ^c	3942 ^{c,d}	3979 ^{d,e}	4055 ^e	—	47.9	67.8	40.0	0.45	0.95	0.90	0.002
AI (11 d)	3931	4111	4094	4174	4034	4069	3996	4132	4158	55.3	78.2	53.7	0.15	0.10	0.53	0.066
Weaning (25 d)	4167	4237	4229	4236	4161 ^c	4163 ^c	4240 ^d	4305 ^d	—	48.4	68.4	42.3	0.66	0.58	0.65	0.005
Number of parturitions	3.07	3.72	3.77	3.65	—	—	—	—	—	0.17	0.24	—	0.19	0.27	0.11	—
Fertility, %	92.0	96.1	95.3	94.2	100 ^d	87.2 ^c	90.5 ^c	99.9 ^d	—	1.87	2.63	2.55	0.79	0.58	0.34	<0.001
Milk production, kg																
0–10 d	1.73	1.75	1.70	1.64	1.42 ^c	1.75 ^d	1.80 ^c	1.85 ^d	—	0.029	0.042	0.034	0.11	0.61	0.30	<0.001
10–20 d	2.63	2.69	2.57	2.52	2.35 ^c	2.51 ^d	2.69 ^c	2.86 ^f	—	0.045	0.064	0.053	0.089	0.93	0.38	<0.001
20–25 d	1.35	1.35	1.36	1.28	1.34	1.28	1.33	1.39	—	0.032	0.045	0.038	0.55	0.34	0.39	0.29
0–25 d	5.73	5.80	5.67	5.46	5.09 ^c	5.60 ^d	5.84 ^e	6.13 ^e	—	0.088	0.124	0.099	0.12	0.60	0.26	<0.001

^aNumber of kits at 10 d for 0–10 d milk production, number of kits at 20 d for 10–20 d milk production, number of kits at 25 d for 20–25 d and 0–25 d milk production were significant as covariates ($P \leq 0.001$).

^bNo significant differences were found for the interactions SF $\times$ parity, n-6/n-3 $\times$ parity and SF $\times$ n-6/n-3 $\times$ parity ($P \geq 0.15$).

^{c–f}Parity order mean values in the same row with a different superscript differ, $P < 0.05$.

Table 7. Effect of level of SF and n-6/n-3 fatty acids profile and parity order on body chemical composition and energy content of rabbit does

	Diets										Parity order					Standard error of the mean					P value ^a																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
	LSF_					HSF_					SF and					SF ×					SF ×																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3

^aNo significant differences were found for the interactions SF × parity, n-6/n-3 × parity and SF × n-6/n-3 × parity ($P \geq 0.12$).^bInitial BW, initial proportion of moisture, protein, fat, ash, and energy were not significant as covariates ($P \geq 0.34$).^cParity order mean values in the same row with a different superscript differ, $P < 0.05$.

Table 8. Effect of level of SF and n-6/n-3 fatty acids profile and parity order on the proportion of total removed, dead, and culled does

	Diets				Parity order				P value			
	LSF_	LSF_	HSF_	HSF_	1	2	3	4	SF	n-6/n-3	SF × n-6/n-3	Parity
	Hn-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3	Hn-6/n-3	Ln-6/n-3								
N	24	24	24	24	96	78	64	61				
Total removed, %	62.5	37.5	16.7	29.1	18.7	17.9	4.69	0.00	0.005	0.75	0.056	0.11
Culled, %	20.8	20.8	4.17	12.5	7.29	8.97	0.00	0.00	0.059	0.37	0.37	0.96
Mortality, %	41.7	16.7	12.5	29.2	11.5	8.97	4.69	0.00	0.13	0.38	0.13	0.54

digestive troubles, and other causes that could not be established.

The milk DM and CP contents did not differ among rabbit does fed different dietary treatments ($P \geq 0.13$, Table 9). The reduction of the dietary n-6/n-3 ratio increased the milk fat content by 12% ($P = 0.031$), with no effect of the level of SF. The proportion of milk odd fatty acids and saturated fatty acid (SFA) increased in rabbit does fed the HSF diets compared with those fed LSF diets (by 11% and 3%, respectively; $P \leq 0.037$), whereas the proportion of short and medium chain fatty acids tended also to increase in HSF groups ($P = 0.080$). The sum of all milk odd-chain fatty acids tended to be positively correlated with the fecal digestible total dietary fiber ($r = 0.91$; $P = 0.089$), but it was not observed for the proportion of milk short and medium chain fatty acids ($r = 0.89$; $P = 0.11$). The dietary n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratio had no effect on these proportions.

However, the reduction of the dietary n-6/n-3 ratio reduced the milk C18:1n9 (by 19%; $P < 0.001$) and increased the C18:3n3 proportion (by 306%; $P < 0.001$). The C18:1n9 and C18:3n3 milk concentrations were closely correlated to their concentrations in the diet ($r = 0.95$ and $P = 0.046$ for C18:1n9; $r = 0.99$ and $P = 0.002$ for C18:3n3). Dietary linolenic acid also was positively correlated with milk C18:4n3, C20:5n3, and C22:1n9 contents ($r \geq 0.98$; $P \leq 0.022$). The milk C18:2n6 proportion decreased by 4% in rabbit does fed HSF diets ($P = 0.025$) and increased by 4% in does fed Ln-6/n-3 diets ($P = 0.022$), but it did not correlate with the dietary concentration of C18:2n6. The reduction of the n-6/n-3 ratio in the diet increased the milk concentrations of C18:4n3 (by 710%), C20:3n3 (by 122%), C20:4n3 (by 22%), and C20:5n3 (by 105%) ($P \leq 0.027$) and decreased C20:1n9 concentration ($P = 0.010$). These changes were reflected in a reduction of the milk n-6/n-3 ratio and an increase of PUFA when the dietary n-6/n-3 ratio decreased ($P < 0.001$), with no effect of the level of SF. These changes in milk composition had minor effects on

the body and carcass composition of weaned rabbits (Table 10). The reduction of the n-6/n-3 ratio slightly increased the body protein and moisture but decreased the carcass protein proportion, while the level of SF reduced minimally the body energy content.

The weight of rabbit does at parturition, and at weaning their ADFI, DE and digestible CP intake, and milk production increased progressively from the first until the fourth parturition (Tables 4 and 6; $P < 0.001$). Fertility rate was higher in the first and fourth parturition than in the second and third ones ($P < 0.001$). Total number of kits born per litter and at weaning increased between the first and the second parturition, decreasing from the second to the fourth parturition (Table 5; $P < 0.008$). Weight of litter at birth and at weaning increased in the second parturition respect to the first parturition and remained constant onwards ($P < 0.001$). However, average weight of kits at birth and at weaning increased from the first to the fourth parturition ($P < 0.001$). Feed efficiency increased in the second and third parturition respect to the first parturition ($P < 0.001$).

Body protein proportion at parturition increased in multiparous does compared with nulliparous does ($P < 0.001$, Table 7), whereas no change was found for the fat content. At first insemination, rabbit does had a lower body protein and higher body fat content than multiparous does ($P < 0.001$), although body protein decreased at fourth parturition. At weaning, the body protein remained constant until the fourth parturition where it decreased, while body fat content increased successively from the first to the fourth parturition ($P < 0.001$). The temporal evolution with the productive/reproductive events (five reproductive cycles) of body composition, energy content, and weight of all the rabbit does considered in this study is showed in Figure 2A (time effect: $P < 0.001$ for all traits). In Figure 2B is represented this evolution but only for rabbit does that had five successful and consecutive inseminations ($n = 18$). These highly productive rabbit

Table 9. Effect of level of SF and n-6/n-3 fatty acids profile and parity order on milk composition at the seventh day of lactation of primiparous does

	Diets				Standard error of the mean		P value		
	LSF_Hn-6/n-3	LSF_Ln-6/n-3	HSF_Hn-6/n-3	HSF_Ln-6/n-3	SF and n-6/n-3	SF × n-6/n-3	SF	n-6/n-3	SF × n-6/n-3
<i>N</i>	9	9	9	8					
DM, %	30.0	31.4	30.6	31.5	0.53	0.75	0.65	0.13	0.79
CP, %	9.74	8.98	9.43	9.11	0.37	0.53	0.87	0.31	0.68
Fat, %	14.5	17.1	15.8	16.9	0.58	0.82	0.52	0.031	0.36
Fatty acids, g/100 g of total fatty acids									
C6:0	0.258	0.241	0.255	0.258	0.009	0.040	0.60	0.56	0.44
C8:0	13.31	12.50	14.08	13.70	0.55	0.78	0.22	0.45	0.79
C10:0	14.62	13.81	15.89	15.02	0.46	0.65	0.066	0.20	0.96
C12:0	2.593	2.461	2.909	2.668	0.11	0.16	0.12	0.26	0.74
C12:1	0.011	0.039	0.054	0.015	0.018	0.025	0.72	0.83	0.20
C14:0	1.893	1.966	1.870	1.830	0.06	0.08	0.36	0.85	0.52
C14:1	0.181	0.166	0.163	0.179	0.014	0.020	0.88	0.98	0.45
C15:0	0.359	0.373	0.427	0.422	0.006	0.009	<0.001	0.69	0.32
C15:1	0.042 ^a	0.039 ^a	0.056 ^b	0.066 ^c	0.001	0.001	<0.001	0.13	0.008
C16:0	14.90	16.02	14.23	14.49	0.46	1.29	0.10	0.30	0.51
C16:1n7	1.869	1.878	1.670	1.939	0.16	0.23	0.76	0.55	0.57
C16:1n9	0.218	0.203	0.207	0.192	0.007	0.009	0.28	0.13	0.99
C17:0	0.366	0.373	0.397	0.401	0.008	0.012	0.019	0.64	0.87
C17:1	0.184	0.197	0.177	0.195	0.009	0.013	0.75	0.27	0.86
C18:0	3.042	3.658	3.539	3.534	0.16	0.23	0.43	0.20	0.19
C18:1n9	21.53	17.40	20.44	16.44	0.45	0.64	0.12	<0.001	0.92
C18:1n7	0.668	0.716	0.644	0.755	0.02	0.03	0.80	0.010	0.29
C18:2n6	21.58	22.34	20.64	21.60	0.25	0.36	0.025	0.022	0.78
C18:3n3	1.202	4.361	1.113	5.020	0.16	0.23	0.22	<0.001	0.11
C18:3n6	0.210	0.228	0.245	0.213	0.030	0.040	0.78	0.85	0.51
C18:4n3	0.009	0.071	0.011	0.090	0.004	0.005	0.055	<0.001	0.097
C20:0	0.118	0.118	0.121	0.119	0.002	0.002	0.43	0.76	0.68
C20:1n9	0.195	0.178	0.183	0.167	0.004	0.006	0.059	0.010	0.94
C20:2	0.160	0.153	0.156	0.157	0.004	0.006	0.97	0.60	0.48
C20:3n6	0.063	0.063	0.084	0.059	0.005	0.007	0.26	0.098	0.11
C20:4n6	0.238	0.230	0.234	0.226	0.009	0.013	0.78	0.50	0.98
C20:3n3	0.017	0.032	0.015	0.039	0.002	0.003	0.43	<0.001	0.18
C20:4n3	0.015	0.021	0.022	0.024	0.001	0.002	0.009	0.027	0.18
C20:5n3	0.021	0.037	0.017	0.041	0.003	0.004	0.97	<0.001	0.31
C22:0	0.083	0.081	0.100	0.099	0.010	0.015	0.23	0.93	0.95
C22:1n9	0.037	0.043	0.036	0.042	0.002	0.003	0.83	0.087	0.92
SMCFA ^d	30.8	29.0	33.2	31.7	0.98	1.38	0.080	0.24	0.94
OFA ^e	0.95	0.98	1.06	1.08	0.021	0.030	0.002	0.37	0.96
SFA	51.55	51.59	53.83	52.54	0.52	0.74	0.037	0.40	0.37
Monounsaturated fatty acid	24.94	20.88	23.62	20.00	0.60	0.85	0.21	<0.001	0.80
PUFA	23.5	27.5	22.5	27.5	0.34	0.47	0.26	<0.001	0.34
n-6/n-3	17.5	5.91	18.0	4.26	0.50	0.69	0.43	<0.001	0.13
n-3	1.262	4.530	1.178	5.212	0.17	0.23	0.21	<0.001	0.11
n-6	22.10	22.86	21.19	22.09	0.23	0.33	0.017	0.019	0.84

^{a-c}Diet mean values in the same row with a different superscript differ, $P < 0.05$.

^dShort and medium chain fatty acids included the following: C6:0, C8:0, C10:0, C12:0, and C12:1.

^eOdd fatty acids included the following: C15:0, C15:1, C17:0, and C17:1.

SFA, saturated fatty acid.

Table 10. Effect of level of SF and n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratio on body and carcass chemical composition and energy content of rabbits 1 d after weaning (26 d of age)

	Diets				Standard error of the mean		<i>P</i> value		
	LSF_Hn-6/n-3	LSF_Ln-6/n-3	HSF_Hn-6/n-3	HSF_Ln-6/n-3	SF and n-6/n-3	SF × n-6/n-3	SF	n-6/n-3	SF × n-6/n-3
<i>N</i>	40	40	40	40					
BW, g	447 ^a	496 ^b	471 ^{a,b}	452 ^a	8.83	12.5	0.42	0.23	0.007
Body composition									
Moisture, g/kg	695	703	696	700	2.41	3.41	0.70	0.068	0.51
Protein, g/kg	568	569	564	570	1.27	1.79	0.46	0.044	0.12
Fat, g/kg	259	25.8	25.9	25.6	1.12	1.58	0.59	0.16	0.33
Ash, g/kg	11.1	11.1	11.2	11.1	0.29	0.41	0.038	0.39	0.75
GE, MJ/kg BW	22.1	22.1	22.0	22.1	0.21	0.30	0.036	0.71	0.14
Carcass composition									
Moisture, g/kg	710	715	715	713	1.50	2.13	0.57	0.41	0.10
Protein, g/kg	619	613	622	616	1.94	2.75	0.30	0.021	0.95
Fat, g/kg	226	221	220	223	2.02	2.86	0.49	0.59	0.18
Ash, g/kg	154	159	160	158	2.01	2.84	0.39	0.61	0.15
GE, MJ/kg BW	21.6	21.2	21.2	21.3	0.13	0.18	0.37	0.66	0.20

^{a-b} Diet mean values in the same row with a different superscript differ, $P < 0.05$.

Figure 2. Evolution of body composition, energy, and weight (moisture, closed circle; protein, open square; fat, open circle; ash, asterisk; energy, open triangle; and BW, open diamond) over time (AI, parturition—P, and weaning—W) of all rabbit does considered for productive traits ($n = 75$, A) or only those that had five consecutive parturitions ($n = 18$, B). Values obtained at first AI (AI 1) were considered as 100 (A: moisture: 596 ± 1.92 , protein: 177 ± 0.46 , fat: 157 ± 1.87 , ash: 30.7 ± 0.10 g/kg, energy: 11.4 ± 0.082 MJ/kg BW, BW: 3.85 ± 0.025 kg; B: moisture: 596 ± 3.44 , protein: 178 ± 0.90 , fat: 156 ± 3.87 , ash: 30.6 ± 0.21 g/kg, energy: 11.4 ± 0.15 MJ/kg BW, BW: 3.90 ± 0.033 kg), and the values obtained later were expressed as percentage of the value obtained at AI 1. $P_{\text{Time}} < 0.001$ in both figures. AI 1, AI 2, AI 3, and AI 4: first, second, third, and fourth AI, respectively; P (AI 1), P (AI 2), P (AI 3), P (AI 4), and P (AI 5): parturition corresponding to AI 1, AI 2, AI 3, AI 4, and AI 5, respectively; W (AI 1), W (AI 2), W (AI 3), and W (AI 4): weaning corresponding to AI 1, AI 2, AI 3, and AI 4, respectively.

does did not differ from the whole group in the initial BW, protein, fat and energy content ($P \geq 0.26$, Figure 2A and 2B). Highly productive primiparous

does tended to mobilize a higher proportion of fat from the second insemination until the first weaning compared with the standard does ($P = 0.10$).

DISCUSSION

Dietary SF has demonstrated to reduce the mortality rate of young rabbits after weaning affected by epizootic rabbit enteropathy (Martínez-Vallespín et al., 2011; Trocino et al., 2013). The SF exerts positive effects on the jejunal mucosa and on the ileal starch digestibility in young rabbits (Gómez-Conde et al., 2007). It also increases the ileal flow of mucins in adult rabbits (Abad-Guamán et al., 2015), which might indicate a better gut barrier function in the small intestine. In this study, the increase of the level of SF also reduced the proportion of the rabbit does removed as it tended to decrease the mortality and the culling rate. The higher fecal NDF and SF digestibility in rabbit does fed HSF diets might promote a change in the intestinal microbiota profile. In fact, Delgado et al. (2015) found that the fecal microbiota of these rabbit does differed according to the dietary SF content. If changes in the intestinal microbiome due to a higher amount of fermented fiber are behind the positive effects on rabbit does, health deserves future attention.

Despite the fact that the level of sugar beet pulp inclusion was not high, the increase of SF affected ADFI negatively during the first pregnancy and along the lactation period where ADFI is maximal. This might be explained by the accumulation of digesta in the cecum when sugar beet pulp is included substituting other sources of fiber (Carabaño et al., 1997; Falcao-e-Cunha et al., 2004; Gómez-Conde et al., 2009) reducing the rate of passage of the digesta through the gut (Gidenne et al., 1987). The reduction of ADFI in HSF groups did not affect DE intake, because of the increase of fiber digestibility, but reduced the digestible CP intake throughout the experiment. This effect was also due to the negative effect on CP digestibility of CP-NDF content in HSF diets. However, the performance of rabbit does fed HSF diets did not impair (milk production, litter size and weight at weaning, or body condition) although the initial litter size at the beginning of lactation (once homogenized) tended to be lower. In contrast, the substitution of sugar beet pulp for wheat in highly fibrous diets decreased ADFI, CP, and DE intake in rabbit does, leading to a reduction of milk production and litter weight at weaning (Martínez-Vallespín et al., 2011).

The reduction of the dietary n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratio did not influence the proportion of removed rabbit does and their performance (ADFI, DE and digestible CP intake, milk production, fertility, litter size and weight at birth and at weaning, and body condition). It indicates that in this study, there was

no relevant and practical effect of n-6/n-3 ratio on fetal development during pregnancy (prolificacy or average kit weight at birth) or fertility, although in the latter, the effect might be masked by the protocol used for estrus synchronization and ovulation induction that rendered high fertility values. Only, the number of rabbits per litter at the beginning of the lactation increased, once homogenized the litters, because of the artificial reduction of the variability of this trait. These results might suggest a potential minor effect of the reduction of this ratio on rabbit prolificacy, similar to that reported in sows (Tanghe et al., 2014), although it should be confirmed using a higher number of rabbit does. In contrast, when the reduction of the n-6/n-3 ratio was obtained by the supplementation of fish oil, a punctual reduction of kit mortality and increase of kit size at birth were found (Rebollar et al., 2014; Rodríguez et al., 2017), which might suggest an influence of the type PUFA profile on these traits. These studies (Rebollar et al., 2014; Tanghe et al., 2014; Rodríguez et al., 2017) used a higher dose dietary vitamin E and other antioxidants than those used in this work, which might have enhanced the effects of the n-3 fatty acids, although this effect would require confirmation.

The level of SF and the n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratio interacted and influenced the litter performance during lactation. The average daily gain of kits during lactation tended to decrease with the reduction of n-6/n-3 ratio in the HSF groups, whereas no effect was found in LSF groups. By contrast, the mortality during lactation of litters from LSF_Hn-6/n-3 and HSF_Ln-6/n-3 groups was reduced along the successive lactations compared with the other two groups. There is no clear explanation for these effects, and they do not seem to be related to differences in milk production and/or composition, ADFI before weaning, or body composition at weaning. Besides, in the literature exists some discrepancy regarding the effects of milk fatty acid profile on suckling rabbits survival and performance (Pascual et al., 1999). While for some authors fatty acids such as C8:0 and C10:0 exert a positive effect on pup survival and growth, others claim this effect for C18:2 and C18:3 (Pascual et al., 1999). In addition, it is important to take into account the microbial environment (i.e., fecal and milk microbial profile of rabbit does) on the pup health and performance (Delgado et al., 2015). In fact, the gut microbiota seems to play an important role in the interaction between dietary fermentable carbohydrates (fructooligosaccharides) and n-3 PUFA on growth rate or cecal weight in adult mice

(Pachikian et al., 2011). Moreover, both SF level and the n-6/n-3 ratio of rabbit does diets affected the microbial profile observed in the mesenteric lymph nodes of 5-d old kits (Delgado et al., 2015). Therefore, we cannot exclude the potential effect of the experimental diets on the microbial environment and hence on the suckling rabbits health.

Both dietary factors, level of SF and n-6/n-3 fatty acid ratio, significantly changed the fatty acid profile of milk. However, the observed changes on fatty acid profile did not affect litter performance and survival as already commented. As expected, the dietary n-6/n-3 ratio modified the major fatty acids with 18 carbon atoms or more, having a minor influence the SF on this fraction. It has been previously reported the direct relationship between the fatty acid profile of the dietary fat source and the milk fatty acid composition in rabbit does (Pascual et al., 1999). Therefore, higher C18:1n-9 and C18:3n-3 concentrations were observed in the milk of rabbit does fed the Hn-6/n-3 and Ln-6/n-3, respectively. Besides, the increase of dietary C18:3n3 enhanced the milk C20:5n3 (eicosapentaenoic acid [EPA]) content, confirming its capacity to elongate this fatty acid, although no C22:6n-3 (docosahexaenoic acid [DHA]) was detected. In this regard, milk C20:4n6 (arachidonic acid) was the predominant fatty acid among those of C20 group, with no effect of treatments due to their similar dietary C18:2n6 content. It might be due to the much higher dietary content of C18:2n6 than C18:2n3 and a potential preference for C18:2n6 than for C18:3n3 desaturation. The latter result was already reported for fattening rabbits from a fast-growing strain (Castellini et al., 2016) and might partially account for the limited effect of the dietary n-6/n-3 reduction on the rabbit does performance observed in this study. It was also noticeable the effect of dietary SF on C18:2n-6 concentration, which decreased in the milk of does fed the high SF diets. The higher PUFA content in the Ln-6/n-3 diets might account for the higher milk fat content in these groups. This is in agreement with Pascual et al. (1999) and Maertens et al. (2005) and the result of a higher efficiency of long-chain PUFA incorporation from blood to milk as suggested by Pascual et al. (1999). However, other authors obtained different results (Volek et al., 2014) probably because of the influence of other factors (like the level of added fat).

Rabbit does fed HSF diets increased the proportion of milk odd-chain fatty acids that constitutes a minor proportion of milk fat, with no effect of the n-6/n-3 ratio. Odd-chain fatty acids are synthesized

by the intestinal microbiota through the elongation of propionate and valerate, minor volatile fatty acids derived from microbial fermentation in rabbits, and in ruminants are used as a biomarker of ruminal function (Vlaeminck et al., 2006). In this study, the sum of all milk odd-chain fatty acids tended to be positively correlated with the fecal digestible total dietary fiber, that is another indicator of microbial activity, although it would not represent the whole organic matter fermented (Abad-Guamán et al., 2015). These fatty acids would be transferred to milk through the digestion of microbial fat recycled through cecotrophy or by the direct transference of intestinal bacteria to the milk (Donnet-Hughes et al., 2010; Delgado et al., 2015). The increase of SF also tended to increase the proportion of milk short and medium chain fatty acids, but it was not clearly correlated with digestible total dietary fiber and with no influence of the n-6/n-3 ratio. This might be explained because short and medium fatty acids are mainly synthesized from glucose and acetate (Jones and Parker, 1981), and HSF diets supplied a higher digestible fiber content (and probably of acetic) but lower starch content.

In conclusion, SF reduced the replacement rate of rabbit does with no effect of the n-6/n-3 ratio, while both dietary factors modified milk composition and fatty acid profile with minor influence on litter productivity.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (project AGL2011-23885) for the financial support and the PhD grant obtained by Ms. Rebeca Delgado (BES-2012-055392) and to the Comunidad de Madrid (Project S2009/AGR-1704) and to Dr. Enrique Blas and his team (Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain) for the manufacturing of experimental diets.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abad-Guamán, R., R. Carabaño, M. S. Gómez-Conde, and J. García. 2015. Effect of type of fibre, site of fermentation, and method of analysis on digestibility of soluble and insoluble fibre in rabbits. *J. Anim. Sci.* 93:2860–2871. doi:10.2527/jas.2014-8767
- AOAC. 2000. Official methods of analysis of AOAC international. 17th ed. Washington, DC: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- Boletín Oficial del Estado (BOE). 2013. Real Decreto 53/2013. Normas básicas aplicables para la protección de los animales utilizados en experimentación y otros fines científicos, incluyendo la docencia. BOE. 34:11370–11421. <https://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2013/02/08/pdfs/BOE-A-2013-1337.pdf>. Accessed February 16, 2018.

- Carabaño, R., W. Motta-Ferreira, J. C. de Blas, and M. J. Fraga. 1997. Substitution of sugarbeet pulp for alfalfa hay in diets for growing rabbits. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 65:249–256. doi:10.1016/S0377-8401(96)01073-5
- Casado, C., V. J. Moya, J. J. Pascual, E. Blas, and C. Cervera. 2013. Dietary fatty acid profile: effects on caecal fermentation and performance of young and fattening rabbits. *World Rabbit Sci.* 21:235–242. doi:10.4995/wrs.2013.1437
- Castellini, C., A. Dal Bosco, S. Mattioli, M. Davidescu, L. Corazzi, L. Macchioni, S. Rimoldi, and G. Terova. 2016. Activity, expression and substrate preference of the $\Delta 6$ -desaturase in slow- or fast-growing rabbit genotypes. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 64:792–800. doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.5b05425
- De Blas, C., and G. G. Mateos. 2010. Feed formulation. In: De Blas C., and J. Wiseman, editors, *Nutrition of the rabbit*. 2nd ed. Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing CAB International; p. 222–232.
- Delgado, R., I. Badiola, R. Abad-Guamán, N. Nicodemus, M. J. Villamide, A. Pérez de Rozas, D. Menoyo, R. Carabaño, and J. García. 2015. Effect of level of soluble fibre and omega-6/omega-3 ratio on microbiota colonisation in suckling rabbits. *World Rabbit Sci.* 23:131. doi:10.4995/wrs.2015.3901
- Donnet-Hughes, A., P. F. Pérez, J. Doré, M. Leclerc, F. Levenez, J. Benyacoub, P. Serrant, I. Segura-Roggero, and E. J. Schiffrin. 2010. Prebiotics and probiotics usefulness against pathologies. Potential role of the intestinal microbiota of the mother in neonatal immune education. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 69:407–415. doi:10.1017/S0029665110001898
- Falcão-e-Cunha, L., H. Peres, J. P. Freire, and L. Castro-Solla. 2004. Effects of alfalfa, wheat bran or beet pulp, with or without sunflower oil, on caecal fermentation and on digestibility in the rabbit. *Anim. Feed sci. Technol.* 117:131–149. doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2004.07.014; 10.1371/journal.pone.0087560
- García, J., T. Gidenne, L. Falcão-e-Cunha, and C. de Blas. 2002. Identification of the main factors that influence caecal fermentation traits in growing rabbits. *Anim. Res.* 51:165–173. doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2013.01.007
- Gidenne, T., C. Poncet, and L. Gomez. 1987. Effet de l'addition d'un concentré riche en fibres dans une ration a base de foin, distribuee a deux niveaux alimentaires chez la lapine adulte. 1. Temps de sejour moyen des aliments. *Reprod. Nutr. Develop.* 27:733–743.
- Goehring, H. K., and P. J. Van Soest, 1970. Forage fibre analyses (apparatus, reagents, procedures and some applications). Washington, DC: Agric. Handbook No 379. Agricultural Research Service-United States Department of Agriculture.
- Gómez-Conde, M. S., J. García, S. Chamorro, P. Eiras, P. García-Rebollar, A. Pérez de Rozas, I. Badiola, J. C. De Blas, and R. Carabaño. 2007. Neutral detergent-soluble fiber improves gut barrier function in twenty-five-day-old weaned rabbits. *J. Anim. Sci.* 85:3313–3321. doi:10.2527/jas.2006-777
- Gómez-Conde, M. S., A. Pérez de Rozas, I. Badiola, L. Pérez-Alba, C. de Blas, R. Carabaño and J. García. 2009. Effect of neutral detergent soluble fibre on digestion, intestinal microbiota and performance in twenty five day old weaned rabbits. *Livest. Sci.* 125:192–198. doi:10.1016/j.livsci.2009.04.010
- Jones, C. S., and D. S. Parker. 1981. The metabolism of glucose, acetate and palmitate in the lactating rabbit. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 69B:837–842. doi:10.1016/0305-0491(81)90391-6
- Littell, R. C., P. R. Henry, and C. B. Ammerman. 1998. Statistical analysis of repeated measures data using SAS procedures. *J. Anim. Sci.* 76:1216–1231. doi:10.2527/1998.7641216x
- Littell, R. C., G. A. Milliken, W. W. Stroup, and R. D. Wolfinger. 1996. SAS System for mixed models. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- Maertens, L., J. M. Aerts, and D. L. de Brabander. 2005. Effect d'un aliment riche en acides gras omega-3 sur les performances et la composition du lait des lapines et la viabilité de leur descendance. In: Bolet G., Gigaud V., editors *Proc. 11 èmes Journées de la Recherche Cunicole. INRA-ITAVI*. November 29 to 30, Paris, France p. 205–208.
- Martínez-Vallespín, B., L. Martínez-Paredes, L. Ródenas, C. Cervera, J. J. Pascual, and E. Blas. 2011. Combined feeding of rabbit female and young: partial replacement of starch with acid detergent fibre or/and neutral detergent soluble fibre at two protein levels. *Livest. Sci.* 141:155–165. doi:10.1016/j.livsci.2011.05.014
- Mertens, D. R., M. Allen, J. Carmany, J. Clegg, A. Davidowicz, M. Drouches, K. Frank, D. Gambin, M. Garkie, B. Gildemeister, et al. 2002. Gravimetric determination of amylase-treated neutral detergent fiber in feeds with refluxing in beakers or crucibles: collaborative study. *J. AOAC Int.* 85:1217–1240.
- Mordenti, A. I., L. Sardi, A. Bonaldo, V. Pizzamiglio, N. Brogna, I. Cipollini, M. Tassinari, and G. Zaghini. 2010. Influence of marine algae (*Schizochytrium spp.*) dietary supplementation on doe performance and progeny meat quality. *Livest. Sci.* 128:179–184. doi:10.1016/j.livsci.2009.12.003
- Nicodemus, N., N. Pereda, C. Romero, and P. G. Rebollar. 2009. Évaluatuión de la technique d'impédance bioélectrique (IBE) puor estimer la composition corporelle de lapines reproductrices. In: Bolet, G., Gigaud, V., editors. *Proc. 13èmes Journées de la Recherche Cunicole. INRA-ITAVI*. November 17 to 18, Le Mans, France, p. 109–112.
- Pachikian, B. D., A. M. Neyrinck, L. Portois, F. C. De Backer, F. M. Sohet, M. Hacquebard, Y. A. Carpentier, P. D. Cani, and N. M. Delzenne. 2011. Involvement of gut microbial fermentation in the metabolic alterations occurring in n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids-depleted mice. *Nutr. Metabolism.* 8:44. doi:10.1186/1743-7075-8-44
- Pascual, J. J., Cervera, C., Blas, E., and J. Fernández-Carmona. 1999. Effect of high fat diets on the performance, milk yield and milk composition of multiparous rabbit does. *Anim. Sci.* 68:151–162. doi:10.1017/S1357729800050177
- Pereda, N., 2010. Evaluación de la técnica del Análisis de Impedancia Bioeléctrica (BIA) para predecir la composición corporal: aplicación en conejas sometidas a diferentes sistemas de alimentación durante la recría [PhD thesis]. Madrid: UPM.
- Quintela, L. A., A. I. Peña, M. D. Vega, J. Gullón, M. C. Prieto, M. Barrio, J. J. Becerra, F. Maseda, and P. G. Herradón. 2004. Ovulation induction in rabbit does submitted to artificial insemination by adding buserelin to the seminal dose. *Reprod. Nutr. Develop.* 44:79–88. doi:10.1051/rnd:2004015
- Rebollar, P. G., R. M. García-García, M. Arias-Alvarez, P. Millán, A. I. Rey, M. Rodríguez, N. Formoso-Rafferty,

- S. de la Riva, M. Masdeu, P. L. Lorenzo, and P. García-Rebollar. 2014. Reproductive long-term effects, endocrine response and fatty acid profile of rabbit does fed diets supplemented with n-3 fatty acids. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* 146:202–209. doi:10.1016/j.anireprosci.2014.02.021
- Rebollar, P. G., A. Milanés, N. Pereda, P. Millán, P. Cano, A. I. Esquifino, M. Villarroel, G. Silván, and P. L. Lorenzo. 2006. Oestrus synchronisation of rabbit does at early post-partum by doe-litter separation or ECG injection: reproductive parameters and endocrine profiles. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* 93:218–230. doi:10.1016/j.anireprosci.2005.06.032
- Rodríguez, M., R. M. García-García, M. Arias-Álvarez, N. Formoso-Rafferty, P. Millán, J. López-Tello, P. L. Lorenzo, A. González-Bulnes, and P. G. Rebollar. 2017. A diet supplemented with n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids influences the metabolic and endocrine response of rabbit does and their offspring. *J. Anim. Sci.* 95:2690–2700. doi:10.2527/jas.2017.1429
- Romero, C., N. Nicodemus, C. Martínez de Morentin, A. García, and C. de Blas. 2011. Effect of grinding size of barley and dehydrated alfalfa on performance and body composition of does during their early reproductive cycles. *Livest. Sci.* 140:55–61. doi:10.1016/j.livsci.2011.02.010
- Ruiz-López, N., R. P. Haslam, S. Usher, J. A. Napier, and O. Sayanova. 2015. An alternative pathway for the effective production of the omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturates EPA and ETA in transgenic oilseeds. *Plant Biotech J.* 13(9):1264–1275. doi:10.1111/pbi.12328
- Saiz, A., A. I. García-Ruiz, J. Fuentes-Pila, and N. Nicodemus. 2017. Application of bioelectrical impedance analysis to assess rabbit's body composition from 25 to 77 days of age. *J. Anim. Sci.* 95:2782–2793. doi:10.2527/jas.2016.1196
- Saiz, A., A. I. García-Ruiz, E. Martín, A. Fernández, and N. Nicodemus. 2013a. Application of Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) to study the carcass chemical composition of rabbits from 35 to 63 days of age. *World Rabbit Sci.* 21:208–209. doi:10.4995/wrs.2013.1579
- Saiz, A., A. I. García-Ruiz, E. Martín, A. Fernández, and N. Nicodemus. 2013b. Evaluation of bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) to estimate the carcass chemical composition of rabbits from 35 to 63 days of age. *World Rabbit Sci.* 21:209. doi:10.4995/wrs.2013.1579
- Santomá, G., J. C. de Blas, R. M. Carabaño, and M. J. Fraga. 1987. The effects of different fats and their inclusion level in diets for growing rabbits. *Anim. Prod.* 45:291–300. doi:10.1017/S0003356100018869
- Segura, J., and C. J. López-Bote. 2014. A laboratory efficient method for intramuscular fat analysis. *Food Chem.* 145:821–825. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.08.131
- Sukhija, P. S., and D. L. Palmquist. 1988. Rapid method for determination of total fatty acid content and composition of feedstuffs and feces. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 36:1202–1206. doi:10.1021/jf00084a019
- Tanghe, S., and S. De Smet. 2013. Does sow reproduction and piglet performance benefit from the addition of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids to the maternal diet? *Vet. J.* 197:560–569. doi:10.1016/j.tvjl.2013.03.051
- Tanghe, S., Missotten, J., Raes, K., Vangeyte, J., and S. De Smet. 2014. Diverse effects of linseed oil and fish oil in diets for sows on reproductive performance and pre-weaning growth of piglets. *Livest. Sci.* 164:109–118. doi:10.1016/j.livsci.2014.03.009
- Trocino A., J. García, R. Carabaño, and G. Xiccato. 2013. A meta-analysis on the role of soluble fibre in diets for growing rabbits. *World Rabbit Sci.* 21:1–15. doi:10.4995/wrs.2013.1285
- Vlaeminck, B., V. Fievez, A. R. J. Cabrita, A. J. M. Fonseca, and R. J. Dewhurst. 2006. Factors affecting odd- and branched-chain fatty acids in milk: a review. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 131:389–417. doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2006.06.017
- Volek, Z., M. Marounek, L. Volková, and E. Kudrnová. 2014. Effect of diets containing whole white lupin seeds on rabbit doe milk yield and milk fatty acid composition as well as the growth and health of their litters. *J. Anim. Sci.* 92:2041–2049. doi:10.2527/jas.2013-7120
- Yemm, E. W., and A. J. Willis. 1954. The estimation of carbohydrates in plant extracts by anthrone. *Biochem J.* 57:508–514.