Published in final edited form as:

J Psychosom Res. 2018 September ; 112: 9–12. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2018.06.006.

Predictors of completion of a psychological-behavioral intervention in acute coronary syndrome patients

Kirsti A. Campbell, M.D.^{1,2}, Brian C. Healy, Ph.D.^{1,3}, Christopher M. Celano, M.D.^{1,2}, Ariana Albanese, B.A.², Rachel A. Millstein, Ph.D.^{1,2}, and Jeff C. Huffman, M.D.^{1,2}

¹Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

²Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

³Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

Abstract

Objective—Dropout from health behavior interventions in patients with heart disease is a major clinical issue that can impact recovery and prognosis. Positive psychology (PP)-based treatments have the potential to promote health behaviors, but predictors of PP intervention completion have not been examined in persons with heart disease.

Methods—Among 128 patients receiving a phone-based PP intervention to promote physical activity among acute coronary syndrome (ACS) patients, numerous baseline sociodemographic, medical, and psychological variables, along with self-reported pre/post improvements in happiness and optimism (on 0-10 Likert scales) associated with an initial PP exercise, were examined as potential predictors of intervention completion. Logistic and linear regression analyses were used to assess relationships between these predictors and (a) intervention completion (at least 4 of 8 sessions completed) and (b) number of total sessions completed.

Results—No patient characteristic was associated with greater likelihood of completing the PP intervention. However, immediate pre-post change in optimism following the initial exercise was associated with intervention completion (odds ratio=1.98; 95% confidence interval: 1.28-3.05; $p=.002$; mean post-exercise increase in optimism 0.79 [SD 1.52] in completers vs. mean optimism decrease of 0.59 [SD 1.42] in non-completers).

Conclusions—Pre-post change in optimism with a single PP activity predicted completion of an 8-week PP-based health behavior intervention in post-ACS patients. This information could be used clinically by having potential enrollees complete a single PP activity to assess intervention fit.

Corresponding Author and Address for Correspondence: Jeff C. Huffman, M.D., Massachusetts General Hospital 55 Fruit Street, Boston, MA, USA 02114, Phone: (617) 724-2910 Fax: 617-724-9150 jhuffman@partners.org.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Trial registry: [Clinicaltrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov): NCT02754895

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no other relevant conflicts of interest to report.

Keywords

Acute coronary syndrome; cardiovascular disease; dropout; health behaviors; optimism; positive psychology

Introduction

Failure to complete health behavior interventions in cardiac patients is important because suboptimal adherence in this population is linked with recurrent events and mortality [1]. Intensive cardiac rehabilitation programs are effective, but a minority of cardiac patients enroll in them and roughly one-quarter drop out [2–4]. For more remotely-delivered behavioral interventions, rates of non-completion have likewise been as high as 83% [5, 6]. Dropout from physical activity programs is associated with gender, intervention characteristics, and baseline medical characteristics, and psychological factors appear even more important than baseline characteristics [7, 8]. Increasingly, there are also calls to customize intervention approaches based on specific patient factors [9]. These issues underscore the need to identify patient-level factors associated with completion of cardiac health behavior interventions.

One approach to promoting health behaviors in cardiac patients may involve psychological well-being. Optimism and related constructs are linked with participation in health behaviors and superior cardiac outcomes [10, 11]. Positive psychology (PP) interventions utilize deliberate completion of activities to promote well-being, and in healthy populations have been well-accepted and associated with improved mood and positive affect [12]. These interventions are simple, require minimal interventionist training, and have been delivered remotely. Such factors suggest that PP interventions could enhance psychological factors linked to cardiac health behaviors and be implemented in a variety of settings.

There has been minimal study of factors linked to rates of PP intervention completion in patients with heart disease or other conditions. Such knowledge would help in understanding which cardiac patients may be well-suited to a remote PP intervention targeting health behaviors. Accordingly, through a trial testing a PP intervention in post-acute coronary syndrome (ACS) patients, we examined baseline and early-treatment factors to assess whether they were associated with PP intervention completion or number of completed sessions.

Methods

Participants

The Positive Emotions after Acute Coronary Events-III (PEACE-III) study was a factorial design trial of an 8-week, phone-delivered PP intervention among patients hospitalized at two academic medical centers for an ACS. Methods [13] and main results [14] of the study have been reported. In short, the goal of this 2×2×2 factorial trial was to optimize the intervention by comparing intervention components (booster sessions beyond 8 weeks vs. no boosters; PP alone vs. addition of motivational interviewing; daily vs. weekly PP activities) to assess which were associated with greater improvements in physical activity and other

behaviors; all participants received an active PP intervention. Enrollment occurred in hospital. Eligible participants met consensus criteria for ACS, had low baseline activity, and did not have cognitive, language-related, or physical limitations that precluded participation (see Supplementary Table 1 for details). Participants completed PP activities in a treatment manual and discussed them at weekly phone sessions with a study interventionist; the first exercise was typically completed in the hospital. Across all study arms, the PP intervention was associated with improvements in well-being, activity, and other health behaviors. Of note, assignment to study condition was not associated with completion [16]. Our healthcare system's Institutional Review Board approved the study, and participants provided written informed consent.

Procedures

Baseline variables were examined to assess their association with intervention completion (i.e., performance of at least half of the PP exercises). These included sociodemographic factors (gender, age, race, marital status, living alone), clinical variables (prior ACS, peak troponin T, left ventricular ejection fraction, duration of hospitalization, Charlson [medical] comorbidity index [15]), and baseline psychological/behavioral measures (Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale [16], Life-Orientation Test—Revised [optimism] [17], Perceived Stress Scale-4 [18], Positive and Negative Affect Schedule [positive affect items; PANAS] [19], and Medical Outcomes Study [MOS] Specific Adherence Scale items for physical activity and diet [20]). In addition, prior to completing the first exercise (recalling positive life events), participants rated their optimism and happiness on 0-10 Likert scales; the ratings were then repeated immediately following completion of the exercise. These pre/post ratings were additionally examined as predictors of intervention completion.

We selected these sociodemographic variables based on prior clinical cardiac programs or behavioral intervention studies finding them to be linked with completion [2, 21–25]. Likewise, medical factors and psychological variables have been linked with completion of such programs [2, 22–26]. Finally, initial response to mental health interventions is associated with greater intervention adherence/engagement [27, 28], and we therefore included these early-treatment ratings following the first PP exercise as predictors.

Analyses

We first examined predictors of *intervention completion* via separate univariable analyses using logistic regression. Completion was considered as a dichotomous variable, defined as completing 4+ of 8 possible core intervention sessions, which we selected based on our assessment that completing 4 core sessions of a PP program would provide sufficient information/practice to promote well-being and given the success of other 4-session PP-type programs [29, 30]; we repeated these analyses using 5 and 6 completed sessions as the cutoff for completion without meaningful effects on outcomes. We did not include booster sessions because not all participants were assigned boosters). We defined an intervention session as completed if the PP exercise was completed by the participant and subsequently reviewed with the study trainer during the weekly phone session [13].

We then examined predictors of *total completed sessions* (out of 8) using linear regression. For each continuous predictor, the reported odds ratio or regression coefficient corresponds to a one standard deviation increase in the predictor. We included all 128 participants whenever possible to maximize power to detect predictors of completion. For pre-post changes in happiness/optimism following an initial exercise, we were only able to include those who had completed the exercise. Based on the number of dropouts observed ($n=45$), our analyses had 80% power to detect an effect size of 0.52 using a two-sample t-test with two-sided $\alpha=0.05$. Analyses were completed via Stata 15 (StataCorp: College Station, TX); $p<.05$ was considered significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics of study participants ($N=128$) are provided in Supplementary Table 2; there were no significant differences between completers and non-completers. The associations between each baseline characteristic and intervention/session completion are provided in Table 1. No significant associations were observed between sociodemographic, medical, and psychological predictors, and intervention completion/completed sessions.

However, among participants who completed at least one exercise ($n=99$), immediate pre-post change in optimism was associated with intervention completion (odds ratio=2.94; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.48-5.87; $p=.002$). Participants who ultimately completed the intervention reported greater optimism benefit from the initial exercise (mean post-exercise increase in optimism 0.79 [SD 1.52] in completers vs. mean optimism decrease of 0.59 [SD 1.42] in non-completers; $p=.009$; Supplementary Figure 1). The area under the ROC curve examining discrimination between the groups based on optimism change was 0.75. Greater changes in optimism were also associated with more sessions completed (estimated coefficient for one-SD increase=0.79; 95% CI: 0.34-1.24; $p=.001$; $R^2=.11$). Pre-post change in happiness was not associated with intervention completion or sessions completed.

Discussion

Baseline participant characteristics failed to predict completion of a post-ACS, phone-based, PP health behavior intervention. However, change in optimism following an initial exercise was associated with intervention completion.

The finding that baseline characteristics did not predict completion is in some contrast to literature finding that age, race, medical comorbidity, and psychological factors have been associated with adherence to cardiac rehabilitation and other health behavior interventions [4, 22–26]. Our findings may differ because our intervention's intensity (completion of simple PP exercises), modality (phone-based), and target (psychological well-being) differed from many of these programs. These findings could suggest that a PP intervention is applicable to a wide range of cardiac patients irrespective of baseline sociodemographic, medical, or psychological factors.

The association between optimism change with the first exercise and likelihood of intervention completion indicates that this metric may signal patients' likelihood of completing the program. This finding is consistent with findings from other mental health-

related treatments (medication-based and psychological) that early treatment response is associated with completion [27, 28]. It suggests that those who find minimal change or reduction in optimism with completion of a single PP exercise may not be an ideal match for the program.

Limitations of this analysis included enrollment at academic centers, moderate sample size that limited power to identify true predictors of dropout, variation in the PP intervention across participants, and the potential for Type I error. We did not account for additional factors, including baseline activity, motivation, musculoskeletal disease, time constraints, or subjective somatic symptom burden. Representativeness may be limited by inclusion of patients who self-selected participation in a PP-based program, and post-ACS patients may be more motivated to complete an activity intervention than those with more chronic illnesses. Finally, our analyses focused on predicting completion of the intervention only, rather than predicting who would receive benefit from completing the intervention, because we did not have sufficient data to estimate this completion-associated benefit.

In conclusion, pre-post change in optimism following an initial PP exercise, but not baseline patient characteristics, predicted completion of a phone-based PP intervention. This suggests that an individual's initial response to a single exercise may predict engagement and likelihood of completion. Such findings may provide substantial assistance in delivering these interventions to patients who are most likely to engage in them.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Source of Funding

Funding: this research project was supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute through grant R01HL113272 (to Dr. Huffman). Time for analysis and article preparation was also funded by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute through grant K23HL123607 (to Dr. Celano). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. The sponsor had no role in the design, analysis, interpretation, or publication of the study.

References

1. Chow CK, Jolly S, Rao-Melacini P, Fox KA, Anand SS, Yusuf S. Association of diet, exercise, and smoking modification with risk of early cardiovascular events after acute coronary syndromes. *Circulation*. 2010; 121(6):750–8. [PubMed: 20124123]
2. Turk-Adawi KI, Oldridge NB, Tarima SS, Stason WB, Shepard DS. Cardiac rehabilitation patient and organizational factors: what keeps patients in programs? *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2013; 2(5):e000418. [PubMed: 24145743]
3. Mikkelsen T, Thomsen K, Korsgaard, Tchijevitch O. Non-attendance and drop-out in cardiac rehabilitation among patients with ischaemic heart disease. *Dan Med J*. 2014; 61(10):A4919. [PubMed: 25283618]
4. Yohannes AM, Yalfani A, Doherty P, Bundy C. Predictors of drop-out from an outpatient cardiac rehabilitation programme. *Clin Rehabil*. 2007; 21(3):222–9. [PubMed: 17329279]

5. Dunn TL, Casey LM, Sheffield J, Newcombe P, Chang AB. Dropout from computer-based interventions for children and adolescents with chronic health conditions. *J Health Psychol.* 2012; 17(3):429–42. [PubMed: 21890540]
6. Coa K, Patrick H. Baseline Motivation Type as a Predictor of Dropout in a Healthy Eating Text Messaging Program. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth.* 2016; 4(3):e114. [PubMed: 27688034]
7. Vancampfort D, Mugisha J, Richards J, De Hert M, Lazzarotto AR, Schuch FB, Probst M, Stubbs B. Dropout from physical activity interventions in people living with HIV: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS Care.* 2017; 29(5):636–643. [PubMed: 27794625]
8. Moroshko I, Brennan L, O'Brien P. Predictors of dropout in weight loss interventions: a systematic review of the literature. *Obes Rev.* 2011; 12(11):912–34. [PubMed: 21815990]
9. Chorpita BF, Daleiden EL, Weisz JR. Identifying and selecting the common elements of evidence based interventions: a distillation and matching model. *Mental health services research.* 2005; 7(1): 5–20. [PubMed: 15832690]
10. DuBois CM, Beach S, Kashdan T, Nyer M, Park E, Celano C, Huffman J. Positive psychological attributes and cardiac outcomes: associations, mechanisms, and interventions. *Psychosomatics.* 2012; 53(4):303–18. [PubMed: 22748749]
11. DuBois CM, Lopez OV, Beale EE, Healy BC, Boehm JK, Huffman JC. Relationships between positive psychological constructs and health outcomes in patients with cardiovascular disease: a systematic review. *Int J Cardiol.* 2015; 195:265–280. [PubMed: 26048390]
12. Bolier L, Haverman M, Westerhof GJ, Riper H, Smit F, Bohlmeijer E. Positive psychology interventions: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *BMC Public Health.* 2013; 13:119. [PubMed: 23390882]
13. Huffman JC, Albanese AM, Campbell KA, Celano CM, Millstein RA, Mastromauro CA, Healy BC, Chung WJ, Januzzi JL, Collins LM, Park ER. The Positive Emotions after Acute Coronary Events behavioral health intervention: Design, rationale, and preliminary feasibility of a factorial design study. *Clin Trials.* 2017; 14:128–139. [PubMed: 28079394]
14. Celano CM, Albanese AM, Millstein RA, Mastromauro CA, Chung W, Campbell KA, Legler S, Park ER, Healy BC, LM C, JL J, Huffman JC. Optimizing a positive psychology intervention to promote health behaviors following an acute coronary syndrome. *Psychosom Med.* 2018 in press.
15. Charlson M, Szatrowski TP, Peterson J, Gold J. Validation of a combined comorbidity index. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1994; 47(11):1245–51. [PubMed: 7722560]
16. Bjelland I, Dahl AA, Haug TT, Neckelmann D. The validity of the hospital anxiety and depression scale. An updated literature review. *J Psychosom Res.* 2002; 52(2):69–77. [PubMed: 11832252]
17. Scheier MF, Carver CS, Bridges MW. Distinguishing optimism from neuroticism (and trait anxiety, self-mastery, and self-esteem): a reevaluation of the Life Orientation Test. *J Pers Soc Psychol.* 1994; 67(6):1063–78. [PubMed: 7815302]
18. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. *J Health Hum Behav.* 1983; 24(4):385–396.
19. Watson D, Clark LA, Tellegen A. Development and validation of brief measures of positive and negative affect: the PANAS scales. *J Pers Soc Psychol.* 1988; 54(6):1063–1070. [PubMed: 3397865]
20. DiMatteo MR, Sherbourne CD, Hays RD, Ordway L, Kravitz RL, McGlynn EA, Kaplan S, Rogers WH. Physicians' characteristics influence patients' adherence to medical treatment: results from the Medical Outcomes Study. *Health Psychol.* 1993; 12(2):93–102. [PubMed: 8500445]
21. Murray KA, Murphy DJ, Clements SJ, Brown A, Connolly SB. Comparison of uptake and predictors of adherence in primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease in a community-based cardiovascular prevention programme (MyAction Westminster). *Journal of public health.* 2014; 36(4):644–50. [PubMed: 24338795]
22. Laustsen S, Hjortdal VE, Petersen AK. Predictors for not completing exercise-based rehabilitation following cardiac surgery. *Scand Cardiovasc J.* 2013; 47(6):344–51. [PubMed: 24295291]
23. Shen BJ, Wachowiak PS, Brooks LG. Psychosocial factors and assessment in cardiac rehabilitation. *Eura Medicophys.* 2005; 41(1):75–91. [PubMed: 16175773]
24. Wittmer M, Volpatti M, Piazzalunga S, Hoffmann A. Expectation, satisfaction, and predictors of dropout in cardiac rehabilitation. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* 2012; 19(5):1082–8. [PubMed: 21788251]

25. Pardaens S, De Smedt D, De Bacquer D, Willems AM, Verstreken S, De Sutter J. Comorbidities and Psychosocial Characteristics as Determinants of Dropout in Outpatient Cardiac Rehabilitation. *J Cardiovasc Nurs.* 2017; 32(1):14–21. [PubMed: 26422639]
26. Forhan M, Zagorski BM, Marzonlini S, Oh P, Alter DA. Predicting exercise adherence for patients with obesity and diabetes referred to a cardiac rehabilitation and secondary prevention program. *Canadian journal of diabetes.* 2013; 37(3):189–94. [PubMed: 24070842]
27. Schindler A, Hiller W, Witthoft M. What predicts outcome, response, and drop-out in CBT of depressive adults? a naturalistic study. *Behav Cogn Psychother.* 2013; 41(3):365–70. [PubMed: 23211066]
28. Wagner S, Engel A, Engelmann J, Herzog D, Dreimuller N, Muller MB, Tadic A, Lieb K. Early improvement as a resilience signal predicting later remission to antidepressant treatment in patients with Major Depressive Disorder: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2017; 94:96–106. [PubMed: 28697423]
29. Olivo EL, Dodson-Lavelle B, Wren A, Fang Y, Oz MC. Feasibility and effectiveness of a brief meditation-based stress management intervention for patients diagnosed with or at risk for coronary heart disease: a pilot study. *Psychol Health Med.* 2009; 14(5):513–23. [PubMed: 19844830]
30. Celano CM, Gomez-Bernal F, Mastromauro CA, Beale EE, DuBois CM, Auerbach RP, Huffman JC. A positive psychology intervention for patients with bipolar depression: a randomized pilot trial. *J Ment Health.* 2018 in press.

Highlights

- It is vital to identify predictors of completion for behavioral interventions
- We examined completion predictors for a phone-based intervention in cardiac patients
- Increase in optimism after an initial activity predicted program completion

Figure 1.
Number of exercises completed by participants in PEACE-III

Table 1

Regression estimates for dropout/completion outcomes with each baseline predictor

	Completion of intervention		Number of completed sessions:	
	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	β (95% CI)	<i>p</i>
<i>Sociodemographic factors</i>				
Male gender	1.61 (0.76, 3.43)	.22	0.83 (−0.36, 2.03)	.17
Age *	1.07 (0.75, 1.54)	.71	0.26 (−0.33, 0.85)	.39
White	0.63 (0.26, 1.51)	.30	−0.57 (−1.94, 0.79)	.41
Married	1.65 (0.79, 3.42)	.18	0.60 (−0.59, 1.78)	.32
Lives alone	0.77 (0.35, 1.72)	.53	−0.40 (−1.71, 0.92)	.55
<i>Cardiac characteristics</i>				
Prior acute coronary syndrome	1.70 (0.75, 3.84)	.20	1.02 (−0.23, 2.26)	.11
Left ventricular ejection fraction *	1.00 (0.68, 1.48)	>.99	−0.06 (−0.67, 0.55)	.85
Peak Troponin T *	1.12 (0.73, 1.71)	.62	0.15 (−0.51, 0.81)	.65
Length of stay *	0.98 (0.68, 1.41)	.91	0.09 (−0.51, 0.70)	.76
Charlson Comorbidity Index *	1.03 (0.71, 1.49)	.88	0.09 (−0.50, 0.69)	.76
<i>Psychological and behavioral measures at baseline</i>				
Depression (HADS-D) *	1.15 (0.78, 1.68)	.48	0.15 (−0.44, 0.74)	.61
Anxiety (HADS-A) *	1.05 (0.72, 1.51)	.82	−0.04 (−0.63, 0.55)	.90
Optimism (LOT-R) *	0.97 (0.67, 1.40)	.86	0.05 (−0.54, 0.64)	.88
Perceived stress (PSS-4) *	0.99 (0.68, 1.43)	.94	−0.09 (−0.68, 0.50)	.77
Positive affect (PANAS) *	0.79 (0.54, 1.16)	.22	−0.23 (−0.82, 0.36)	.44
Physical activity (MOS-1) *	0.80 (0.56, 1.15)	.23	−0.33 (−0.92, 0.26)	.27
Diet (MOS-2) *	0.94 (0.65, 1.35)	.73	−0.11 (−0.71, 0.48)	.71
<i>Characteristics of first exercise</i>				
Pre-post change in optimism *	2.95 (1.48, 5.87)	.002	0.79 (0.34, 1.24)	.001
Pre-post change in happiness *	1.77 (0.55, 5.67)	.34	0.55 (−0.42, 1.52)	.27

Legend. HADS-A=Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale—Anxiety Subscale, HADS-D= Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale—Depression Subscale, LOT-R=Life Orientation Test—Revised, MOS=Medical Outcomes Study Specific Adherence Scale Items, OR=odds ratio; PANAS=Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (positive affect subscale), PSS-4=Perceived Stress Scale-4.

* For each continuous predictor, the reported OR or β refers to a one standard deviation increase in the continuous predictor.