Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2017 October 06; 19(19): 5190–5193. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.7b02455.

Bulky Dehydroamino Acids Enhance Proteolytic Stability and Folding in β -Hairpin Peptides

Ankur Jalan, David W. Kastner, Kei G. I. Webber, Mason S. Smith, Joshua L. Price*, and Steven L. Castle*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT, 84602, United States

Abstract

The bulky dehydroamino acids dehydrovaline (Δ Val) and dehydroethylnorvaline (Δ Env) can be inserted into the turn regions of β -hairpin peptides without altering their secondary structures. These residues increase proteolytic stability, with Δ Val at the ($i+1$) position having the most substantial impact. Additionally, a bulky dehydroamino acid can be paired with a D-amino acid (i.e., D-Pro) to synergistically enhance resistance to proteolysis. A link between proteolytic stability and peptide structure is established by the finding that a stabilized Δ Val-containing β -hairpin is more highly folded than its Asn-containing congener.

Abstract

Although peptides play crucial roles in medicine,¹ their use as drugs is hampered by rapid proteolytic degradation. Several methods for stabilizing peptides to proteolysis have been devised,^{2–12} but additional strategies are needed if they are to realize their full potential as therapeutic agents. In seminal work, Stammer and co-workers demonstrated that incorporating α,β -dehydroamino acids (Δ AAs) such as Δ Ala, Z -Phe, and Z -Leu into enkephalin mimics protected these peptides from proteolysis.¹³ Presumably, the $A_{1,3}$ strain induced by the trisubstituted alkenes present in Z -Phe and Z -Leu rigidifies the backbones of peptides containing these residues, thereby conferring proteolytic stability by favoring folded states over more flexible random coil conformations.¹⁴ We hypothesized that bulky AAs containing tetrasubstituted alkenes (e.g., Δ Val and its homologue dehydroethylnorvaline, or Δ Env) would be particularly effective at stabilizing peptides to

*Corresponding Authors scastle@chem.byu.edu , jlprice@chem.byu.edu.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

Experimental procedures, compound characterization data, simulated structures, NMR spectra, and HPLC traces (PDF)

notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

proteolysis due to their elevated levels of A_{1,3}-strain caused by the presence of two allylic alkyl groups. We also reasoned that these residues would be less reactive Michael acceptors than AAs possessing di- or trisubstituted alkenes, which are prone to attack by biologically relevant nucleophiles such as thiols.¹⁵ To the best of our knowledge, the impact of bulky

AAs on the proteolysis of peptides that contain them has not been studied previously. Prompted by these considerations and inspired by the presence of Val and Ile in bioactive peptides such as yaku'amides,¹⁶ phomopsins,¹⁷ and myxovalargin A,¹⁸ we investigated the effect of bulky AAs on proteolysis and peptide structure. Herein, we report that Val and Env can impart substantial proteolytic stability to β -hairpin peptides. This result can be at least partially attributed to an increased thermodynamic preference for the folded states of the β -hairpins.

Reports describing the effect of Val on peptide structure are scarce,¹⁹ and we are unaware of published studies involving Env.²⁰ A dipeptide containing Val exhibits a solution conformation that resembles a β -turn,^{19a} and X-ray crystallography data show that Val can adopt dihedral angles compatible with the (*i* + 1) position of a type II β -turn.^{19b,e} Type II' β -turns should be equally accessible given that this residue is achiral. Accordingly, we posited that β -hairpins containing Val or Env in their turn regions would retain their secondary structure while possessing enhanced stability to proteolysis. We selected β -hairpins designed by Waters and co-workers²¹ (**1** and **2**, Figure 1) to test this hypothesis. Close relatives of these peptides are well-folded and somewhat resistant to proteolysis, with the D-Pro-Gly-turn-containing peptide²² analogous to **2** exhibiting the most stability.^{21b} Thus, β -hairpins **1** and **2** presented a stringent test of the ability of bulky AAs to impart rigidity and stability to peptides. Additionally, the amenability of **1** and **2** to study by NMR spectroscopy would yield structural insights that could help explain the increased proteolytic stability that we hoped to observe.

We used our recently published method for opening azlactones (i.e., oxazolones) with resin-bound amines²³ to construct the targeted bulky AA-containing peptides. The requisite azlactone dipeptides were synthesized as shown in Table 1. Coupling of Alloc-protected amino acids **3** with racemic β -OHVal-OEt (**4a**)²⁴ or racemic β -OHEnv-OEt (**4b**)²³ furnished dipeptides **5**. In contrast to our prior work, the use of rigorously purified samples of **4b** enabled EDC•HCl and HOBt to be employed in couplings instead of the more expensive COMU. Saponification was followed by a one-pot dehydration-cyclization sequence mediated by NaOAc and Ac₂O.²⁵ We originally employed Ac₂O as solvent,²³ but challenges in completely removing this high-boiling compound from **5** prior to the ring-opening reaction led us to devise a new procedure utilizing THF as solvent. The yields listed in Table 1 for azlactones **6** were affected by partial decomposition during SiO₂ chromatography. In practice, the purity of the crude azlactones was usually sufficient for use in the ring-opening reactions.

Modifying our published ring-opening procedure²³ by employing Et₃N instead of DMAP facilitated attachment of the bulky AAs to solid-supported peptides (Scheme 1). Slow stirring was required to prevent degradation of the resin during the reaction. Pentapeptide **A** was used as the nucleophile to construct β -hairpins with bulky AAs at the (*i* + 2) position (i.e., "Y" in Scheme 1), whereas hexapeptide **B** was enlisted to synthesize β -hairpins with

Gly at ($i+2$) and bulky AAs at ($i+1$). Subsequent acetylation capped any unreacted resin-bound amines. Alloc deprotection enabled attachment of the remaining residues via standard microwave-promoted solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) protocols.²⁶ Acetylation, cleavage from the resin, and HPLC purification afforded the desired bulky AA-containing peptides **7aa–7cb**. By considering the resin loadings, HPLC traces of the crude peptides, and concentrations of peptide solutions utilized in NMR and proteolysis studies, we estimated the overall yields of **7aa–7cb** that are given in Scheme 1. These approximations suggest that the ring-openings are fairly efficient, with the best results obtained from D-Pro-containing azlactones **6ba** and **6bb** and some attenuation in yield observed with the hindered Asn(Trt)-containing azlactones **6aa** and **6ab**.

The proteolytic stabilities of **1** and its four bulky- AA-containing analogues were determined by exposure to Pronase, an aggressive cocktail of nonspecific proteases from *Streptomyces griseus*.^{21b} Monitoring of the proteolytic degradation by HPLC established that each analogue was substantially more stable than **1**. Peptides **7ca** and **7cb** containing Val and Env, respectively, in place of the ($i+1$) Asn were ca. 6–7 times more stable to proteolysis than **1** (Figure 2). Replacement of the ($i+2$) Gly with either Val (**7aa**) or Env (**7ab**) also improved stability, but was less beneficial than ($i+1$) substitution, resulting in a ca. threefold increase in half-life. Val exhibited a slightly stronger stabilizing effect at ($i+1$) than did Env, and the impact of both bulky AAs at ($i+2$) was identical within experimental error.

These encouraging results caused us to wonder if bulky AAs could work synergistically with other proteolytically stabilizing elements without negatively impacting secondary structure. Thus, analogues of **2** pairing the ($i+1$) D-Pro with either Val (**7ba**) or Env (**7bb**) at ($i+2$) were subjected to the Pronase proteolysis assay (Figure 3). These assays were conducted with higher concentrations of the enzymes than those shown in Figure 2 in order to permit proteolysis of these more stable peptides to occur on a reasonable timescale. While this prevents direct comparison of the two sets of assays, examination of Figure 3 indicates that (1) bulky AAs can impart additional proteolytic stability to peptides that contain a D amino acid, and (2) in this case the stabilizing impact of Val is more pronounced than that of Env.

NMR spectral data (1.0–3.5 mM of peptide in 10% v/v D₂O/H₂O buffered to pD 3.9) demonstrated that bulky AA-containing peptides **7** retain the β -hairpin structures of **1** and **2**. For example, their H α protons were shifted significantly downfield (i.e., 0.2 ppm) relative to random coil reference values,^{27,28} with the exception of residues in the turn and C-terminal regions. The C-terminal regions are likely affected by fraying of the strand. Numerous cross-strand NOEs were also observed.²⁸ The ¹H NMR spectra of **1**, **2**, and most of the peptides **7** were characterized by one set of well-defined signals, which is indicative of either a single dominant low-energy conformation or rapid equilibration between multiple conformations. However, extra signals were present in the spectra of Env-containing peptides **7ab** and **7cb**. Since these compounds are homogenous according to HPLC analysis, they presumably adopt two or more conformations that interconvert slowly relative to the NMR timescale. Finally, CD spectra of **1**, **2**, **7ca**, and **7ba** all showed the minimum at 215 nm that is characteristic of a β -sheet or a β -hairpin.

NOE-restrained structural calculations using CYANA²⁹ revealed further insights regarding the solution structures of peptides **1**, **2**, and **7**. Superimposing the ten lowest-energy conformations of each peptide that fit the NOE data indicated that β -hairpins were formed in all cases.²⁸ Comparison of the structural ensembles for peptides **1** and **7ca** (Figure 4) illustrates the conformational similarities between these compounds. Qualitative examination of the simulated structures suggested that peptides containing Val are more rigid than those containing Env. This observation was supported by RMSD calculations²⁸ and is consistent with the multiple conformations seen in the NMR spectra of **7ab** and **7cb**. Since each Val-containing peptide is either more stable to Pronase exposure than its Env-containing congener or equivalently stable, it appears that proteolytic stability and rigidity of the peptide backbone are correlated for this series of β -hairpins.

Fraction folded values for **1** and its most proteolytically stable analogue **7ca** were determined using ¹H NMR spectroscopy.^{21,30,31} Specifically, the H α chemical shifts of Val3, Val5, Orn8, and Ile10, each of which are engaged in cross-strand hydrogen bonding, were compared to chemical shift values obtained from fully folded (i.e., cyclic) and random coil control peptides.²¹ This method showed **1** and **7ca** to be 77% and 90% folded, respectively. Similar fraction folded values of 73% for **1** and 94% for **7ca** were calculated by measuring the amount of chemical shift separation between the diastereotopic Gly7 α -hydrogens of these peptides.²¹ Conversion of these values into differences in free energy suggests that insertion of Val at the ($i + 1$) position of a β -turn can increase the preference for the folded state by ca. 0.6–1.0 kcal/mol. Thus, the substantially improved resistance to proteolysis of **7ca** relative to **1** can at least partially be attributed to the impact of the bulky

AAs on the equilibrium between the folded and unfolded states. The observed shift of the equilibrium towards the folded state could be accomplished by either stabilizing the folded state or by destabilizing the random coil conformations. Although further study is required to determine which scenario is operative, we suggest that the bulky AAs are destabilizing many of the random coil conformations of β -hairpins **7** through their inherently high levels of A_{1,3} strain.

In conclusion, we have found that the bulky dehydroamino acids dehydrovaline and dehydroethylnorvaline can be inserted into the turn regions of β -hairpins without perturbing secondary structure. These residues enhance proteolytic stability, with more pronounced effects seen at the ($i + 1$) versus the ($i + 2$) position and with Val versus Env. A bulky

AA can also be paired with a D-amino acid (i.e., D-Pro) to synergistically increase resistance to proteolysis. In one case, the greater proteolytic stability of a Val-containing β -hairpin (**7ca**) relative to its parent peptide (**1**) was correlated with a stronger thermodynamic preference for the folded state versus unfolded states. This work adds to the growing number of uses for dehydroamino acids.³² Studies of the impact of bulky AAs on other secondary structures and on the proteolytic stability and efficacy of bioactive peptides are in progress.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank the National Institutes of Health (SLC: R15GM114789) and Brigham Young University (Simmons Center for Cancer Research Fellowship to A.J., Undergraduate Research Awards to D.W.K. and K.G.I.W.) for financial support.

REFERENCES

- (1). (a)Fosgerau K ; Hoffmann T Drug Discovery Today 2015, 20, 122.25450771(b)Kaspar AA ; Reichert JM Drug Discovery Today 2013, 18, 807.23726889(c)Craik DJ ; Fairlie DP ; Liras S ; Price D Chem. Biol. Drug Des 2013, 81, 136.23253135
- (2). (a)Peptoids: Sun J ; Zuckermann RN ACS Nano 2013, 7, 4715.23721608(b)Fowler SA ; Blackwell HE Org. Biomol. Chem 2009, 7, 1508.19343235
- (3). (a) β - and α/β -Peptides: Horne WS ; Gellman SH Acc. Chem. Res 2008, 41, 1399.18590282(b)Seebach D ; Gardiner J Acc. Chem. Res 2008, 41, 1366.18578513(c)Cheng RP ; Gellman SH ; DeGrado WF Chem. Rev 2001, 101, 3219.11710070
- (4). (a)Stapled peptides:Cromm PM ; Spiegel J ; Grossmann TN ACS Chem. Biol 2015, 10, 1362.25798993(b)Walensky LD ; Bird GH J. Med. Chem 2014, 57, 6275.24601557
- (5). Hydrogen bond surrogates: Patgiri A ; Jochim AL ; Arora PS Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1289.18630933
- (6). (a) γ -AApeptides: Teng P ; Shi Y ; Sang P ; Cai J Chem.— Eur. J 2016, 22, 5458.26945679(b)Shi Y ; Teng P ; Sang P ; She F ; Wei L ; Cai J Acc. Chem. Res 2016, 49, 428.26900964
- (7). D-Peptides: Weinstock MT ; Francis JN ; Redman JS ; Kay MS Biopolymers (Pept. Sci.) 2012, 98, 431.
- (8). (a) β -Turn mimics: Lubell WD ; Khashper A Org. Biomol. Chem 2014, 12, 5052.24899358(b)MacDonald M ; Aubé J Curr. Org. Chem 2001, 5, 417.
- (9). α,α -Disubstituted amino acids: Toniolo C ; Formaggio F ; Kaptein B ; Broxterman QB Synlett 2006, 1295.
- (10). (a)PEGylation: Nischan N ; Hackenberger CPR J. Org. Chem 2014, 79, 10727.25333794(b)Harris JM ; Chess RB Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery 2003, 2, 214.12612647
- (11). (a)Conjugation to albumin or antibody fragments: Elsadek B ; Kratz F J. Controlled Release 2012, 157, 4.(b)Pollaro L ; Heinis C Med. Chem. Commun 2010, 1, 319.
- (12). Anchoring to plasma membranes: O'Banion CP ; Nguyen LT ; Wang Q ; Priestman MA ; Holly SP ; Parise LV ; Lawrence DS Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1030.
- (13). (a)English ML ; Stammer CH Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun 1978, 83, 1464.697874(b)English ML ; Stammer CH Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun 1978, 85, 780.216357(c)Shimohigashi Y ; Chen H3C ; Stammer CH Peptides 1982, 3, 985.7167404(d)Shimohigashi Y ; Stammer CH J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 1 1983, 803.
- (14). (a)Daniel RM ; Cowan DA ; Morgan HW ; Curran MP Biochem. J 1982, 207, 641.6819862(b)Imoto T ; Yamada H ; Ueda T J. Mol. Biol. 1986, 190, 647.3783715(c)Parsell DA ; Sauer RT J. Biol. Chem 1989, 264, 7590.2651442(d)Klink TA ; Raines RT J. Biol. Chem 2000, 275, 17463.10747991(e)Ahmad S ; Kumar V ; Ramanand KB ; Rao NM Protein Sci. 2012, 21, 433.22246996
- (15). (a)Yang X ; van der Donk WA ACS Chem. Biol 2015, 10, 1234.25723375(b)Chatterjee C ; Paul M ; Xie L ; van der Donk WA Chem. Rev 2005, 105, 633.15700960
- (16). (a)Ueoka R ; Ise Y ; Ohtsuka S ; Okada S ; Yamori T ; Matsunaga SJ Am. Chem. Soc 2010, 132, 17692.(b)Kuranaga T ; Sesoko Y ; Sakata K ; Maeda N ; Hsayata A ; Inoue MJ Am. Chem. Soc 2013, 135, 5467.(c)Kuranaga T ; Mutoh H ; Sessoko Y ; Goto T ; Matsunaga S ; Inoue MJ Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 9443.
- (17). Culvenor CCJ ; Edgar JA ; Mackay MF ; Gorst-Allman CP ; Marasas WFO ; Steyn PS ; Vleggaar R ; Wessels PL Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 2351.
- (18). (a)Irschik H , Gerth K , Kemmer T , Steinmetz H , Reichenbach H J. Antibiot 1983, 36, 6.6432761(b)Irschik H , Reichenbach HJ Antibiot 1985, 38, 1237.(c)Steinmetz H ; Irschik H ; Reichenbach H ; Höfle G Chem. Pept. Proteins 1989, 4, 13.

- (19). (a) Pietrzyński G ; Rzeszotarska B ; Kubica Z *Int. J. Peptide Protein Res* 1992, 40, 524.1286936(b) Vijayaraghavan R ; Kumar P ; Dey S ; Singh TP *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C* 2001, 57, 1220.11600792(c) Vijayaraghavan R ; Kumar P ; Dey S ; Singh TP *J. Pept. Res* 2003, 62, 63.12823618(d) Makker J ; Dey S ; Mukherjee S ; Vijayaraghavan R ; Kumar P ; Singh TP *J. Mol. Struct* 2003, 654, 119.(e) Siodłak D ; Rzeszotarska B ; Broda MA ; Kozioł AE ; Kołodziejczyk E *Acta Biochim. Pol* 2004, 51, 145.15094835(f) Broda MA ; Siodłak D ; Rzeszotarska B *J. Pept. Sci* 2005, 11, 546.15782429(g) Siodłak D ; Grondys J ; Lis T ; Bujak M ; Broda ; Rzeszotarska B *J. Pept. Sci* 2010, 16, 496.20645424(h) Siodłak D ; Bujak M ; Sta M J. *Mol. Struct* 2013, 1047, 229.
- (20). For a review on bulky AAs, see: Jiang JA ; Ma Z ; Castle, S. L. *Tetrahedron* 2015, 71, 5431.
- (21). (a) Tatko CD ; Waters ML *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004, 126, 2028.14971936(b) Cline LL ; Waters ML *Biopolymers (Pept. Sci.)* 2009, 92, 502.
- (22). Stanger HE ; Gellman SH *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998, 120, 4236.
- (23). Jiang J ; Luo S ; Castle SL *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2015, 56, 3311.
- (24). Ma Z ; Naylor BC ; Loertscher BM ; Hafen DD ; Li JM ; Castle SL *J. Org. Chem.* 2012, 77, 1208.22188212
- (25). Abdel-Motaleb RM ; Bakeer HM ; Tamam GH ; Arafa WA A. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* 2012, 49, 1071.
- (26). Murray JK ; Gellman SH *Org. Lett.* 2005, 7, 1517.15816741
- (27). (a) Wishart DS ; Sykes BD ; Richards FM *J. Mol. Biol.* 1991, 222, 311.1960729(b) Wishart DS ; Sykes BD ; Richards FM *Biochemistry* 1992, 31, 1647.1737021
- (28). See Supporting Information for details.
- (29). Güntert P ; Mumenthaler C ; Wüthrich KJ *Mol. Biol.* 1997, 273, 283.
- (30). (a) Syud FA ; Espinosa JF ; Gellman SH *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999, 121, 11577.(b) Sarnowski MP ; Kang CW ; Elbatrawi YM ; Wojtas L ; Del Valle JR *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* 2017, 56, 2083.
- (31). The multiple conformations in the NMR spectra of 7ab and 7cb precluded calculation of fraction folded values for these peptides. Additionally, the absence of Gly in 7aa, 7ba, and 7bb eliminates one of the two methods of obtaining fraction folded data for these compounds. Accordingly, 7ca and its parent 1 were the only peptides in this study for which the fraction folded could be reliably determined.
- (32). Le DN ; Riedel J ; Kozlyuk N ; Martin RW ; Dong VM *Org. Lett.* 2017, 19, 114.27973857

Figure 1.
Model β -hairpins designed by Waters and co-workers.

Figure 2.
Proteolysis of **1** and analogues by Pronase.

Figure 3.
Proteolysis of **2** and analogues by Pronase.

Figure 4.
Solution-phase structures of **1** (left) and **7ca** (right) as calculated using NOE distance restraints. Side chains have been removed for clarity.

7aa (X = Asn, Y = Δ Val, ca. 15%)

7ba (X = D-Pro, Y = Δ Val, ca. 30%)

7ca (X = Δ Val, Y = Gly, ca. 20%)

7ab (X = Asn, Y = Δ Env, ca. 15%)

7bb (X = D-Pro, Y = Δ Env, ca. 30%)

7cb (X = Δ Env, Y = Gly, ca. 15%)

Scheme 1.

Synthesis of Bulky AA-Containing Peptides

Table 1.
Synthesis of Azlactone Dipeptides

$ \begin{array}{l} \text{3a (Alloc-Asn(Trt)-OH)} \\ \text{3b (Alloc-D-Pro-OH)} \\ \text{3c (Alloc-Val-OH)} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_2\text{N}-\text{CH}(\text{R}^3)-\text{CO}_2\text{Et} \\ \\ \text{OH} \\ \text{R}^3 \end{array} \xrightarrow[\text{DMF}]{\text{EDC}\cdot\text{HCl}, \text{HOBt}} $		
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{4a (R}^3 = \text{Me)} \\ \text{4b (R}^3 = \text{Et)} \end{array} $		
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{AllocN}-\text{CH}(\text{R}^1)-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{NH}-\text{CH}(\text{R}^2)-\text{CO}_2\text{Et} \\ \\ \text{OH} \\ \text{R}^3 \end{array} \xrightarrow[\text{THF}]{\begin{array}{l} 1. \text{LiOH}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O} \\ \text{t-BuOH}-\text{H}_2\text{O} \\ 2. \text{NaOAc}, \text{Ac}_2\text{O} \end{array}} $		
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{R}^3 \\ \text{R}^3 \end{array} $		
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{AllocN}-\text{CH}(\text{R}^1)-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{N}=\text{C}(\text{R}^2)-\text{O}-\text{C}(=\text{O})-\text{CH}(\text{R}^3)-\text{R}^3 \\ \text{6} \end{array} $		
Azlactone	yield of 5 (%)	yield of 6 (%)
6aa	77	70
6ab	71	64
6ba	81	72
6bb	79	73
6ca	84	80
6cb	78	74