Published in final edited form as:

Physiol Behav. 2018 September 01; 193(Pt B): 223–231. doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2018.02.011.

Homeostatic and non-homeostatic controls of feeding behavior: distinct vs. common neural systems

Clarissa M. Liu^{a,b} and Scott E. Kanoski^{a,b,*}

^aUniversity of Southern California, Neuroscience Graduate Program, Los Angeles, CA, United States

^bUniversity of Southern California, Department of Biological Sciences, Human and Evolutionary Biology Section, Los Angeles, CA, United States

Abstract

Understanding the neurobiological controls of feeding behavior is critical in light of the growing obesity pandemic, a phenomenon largely based on excessive caloric consumption. Feeding behavior and its underlying biological substrates are frequently divided in the literature into two separate categories: [1] homeostatic processes involving energy intake based on caloric and other metabolic deficits, and [2] non-homeostatic processes that involve feeding driven by environmental and cognitive factors. The present review summarizes both historic and recent research examining the homeostatic regulation of feeding with specific emphasis on hypothalamic and hindbrain circuitry that monitor and regulate various metabolic signals. Regarding non-homeostatic controls, we highlight higher-order brain structures that integrate feeding-relevant external, interoceptive, and cognitive factors, including sensory cortical processing, learned associations in the hippocampus, and reward-based processing in the nucleus accumbens and interconnected mesolimbic circuitry. Finally, the current review focuses on recent evidence that challenges the traditional view that distinct neural systems regulate homeostatic vs. non-homeostatic controls of feeding behavior. Specifically, we highlight several feeding-related endocrine systems that act on both lower- and higher-order substrates, present evidence for the modulation of learned and cognitive feeding-relevant behaviors by lower-order brain regions, and highlight data showing that apparent homeostatic-based feeding behavior is modulated by higher-order brain regions. Our concluding perspective is that the classic dissociation between homeostatic and non-homeostatic constructs in relation to feeding behavior is limited with regards to understanding the complex integrated neurobiological systems that control energy balance.

Keywords

Food intake; hypothalamus; energy balance; obesity; hippocampus; food reward; dopamine

*Corresponding author: kanoski@usc.edu (S.E. Kanoski).

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1. Introduction

The regulation of feeding behavior is often divided into two separate categories: homeostatic and non-homeostatic controls. Homeostatic mechanisms control feeding in response to general energy deficit or other types of metabolic need, whereas non-homeostatic mechanisms include learning, memory, and cognitive processes that can affect feeding based on previous learned experiences and hedonic aspects of food. The latter, of course, is of more relevance to the excessive food intake that is driving up obesity rates in the U.S. and other modern Westernized countries, as cognitive and hedonic factors are thought to override inhibitory mechanisms regulating caloric intake. Much progress has been made in the past several decades with regards to identifying the neural substrates and biological pathways that control feeding behavior. While the control of homeostatic and non-homeostatic aspects of ingestive behavior has traditionally been attributed to distinct neural pathways, a number of recent findings suggest that the neural substrates involved in these two putative distinct domains of feeding behavior are not entirely dissociable. Here, we describe a classic model whereby hindbrain and ventromedial hypothalamic circuits primarily regulate homeostatic controls of feeding behavior, whereas non-homeostatic substrates include brain regions involved in memory, cognition, and reward (e.g. hippocampus, cortical, and mesolimbic dopamine circuitry). Finally, we present evidence that challenges the notion that these two feeding behavior constructs can be dissociated as being regulated by fundamentally distinct neural systems.

2. Homeostatic Control of Energy Balance

In the 1850s, Claude Bernard proposed the concept of the milieu intérieur, the internal environment that is maintained stable independent of the external environment [1]. Approximately 80 years later, Walter Cannon expanded on this idea and popularized the concept of homeostasis. He defined it as “a fairly constant or steady state, maintained in many aspects of the bodily economy even when they are beset by conditions tending to disturb them” [2, 3]. His work in defining homeostasis as the maintenance of a specific “set point” shifted focus towards identifying the specific control factors that maintain a steady internal environment. Core body temperature regulation is perhaps the most classic biological system considered to operate in a homeostatic manner, with a clear negative feedback system in place to preserve a set point (i.e., approximately 37 degrees Celsius). Energy balance (energy intake vs. energy expenditure) involves a system that, while often described as being regulated by homeostatic processes (hence the common phrase “energy homeostasis”), does not necessarily fit neatly within the Cannon model of homeostatic systems. For example, while mammals are quite effective in defending against lower limits of energy reserves via alterations in behavior (e.g., food seeking, foraging, elevated energy intake) and metabolism (e.g., ketogenesis, gluconeogenesis), defense against the upper limits of adiposity are clearly poorly controlled in light of the pandemic of obesity and its related negative health co-morbidities. According to Speakman’s “drifty gene” hypothesis, body adiposity as humans evolved was regulated by a system that involves lower (risk of starvation) and upper (risk of predation) intervention limits [4]. The genes defining the upper intervention point, however, have been subject to random mutation and “genetic drift” over the past 2 million years based on advances such as social behavior, weapons, and fire that

minimize excess adiposity as risk for predation. Thus, unlike other biological systems that revolve around the maintenance of a specific “set point”, energy balance control has likely evolved with limited control for defending against excessive caloric intake and adiposity.

2.1 Hindbrain Controls

The hindbrain plays an important role in processing and integrating energy balance-relevant information, particularly with regards to meal size regulation and thermogenesis. The caudal brainstem integrates direct inputs from vagally-mediated gastrointestinal satiation signals, alterations in glucose and other circulating metabolites, and descending neural, neuroendocrine, and neuropeptidergic signals from the midbrain and forebrain. These energy status cues are subsequently relayed to other ascending neural sites to control behavior, autonomic, and endocrine responses [5]. A powerful illustration of the importance of brainstem circuitry in controlling fundamental feeding behavior comes from seminal chronic decerebrate rat studies from Grill, Norgren, and colleagues, revealing that decerebrate rats show relatively normal satiation processing (demonstrated via orofacial rejection of orally infused nutrients) in the absence of forebrain neural processing [6, 7]. Specifically, taste reactivity responses following intraoral sucrose stimuli were examined under deprived and non-deprived conditions, and satiation was marked by termination of ingestion through the active or passive removal of the sucrose stimulus. This classic study revealed that both groups demonstrated similar intake in the sated condition, and most often satiation was characterized by a quinine-like rejection sequence [7].

Levels of circulating blood glucose and other feeding-related peripherally-derived hormones, such as ghrelin and leptin, are thought to be closely monitored for the central control of energy homeostasis. Consistent with the hindbrain being a critical neural substrate for homeostatic aspects of energy balance, Ritter, Slusser, and Stone [8] conducted an important study revealing that obstruction of the cerebral aqueduct and subsequent infusion of 5-thioglucose, an antimetabolic glucose analogue, to the fourth ventricle (restricted to hindbrain), but not the lateral ventricle (restricted to forebrain with aqueduct obstruction) effectively increased food intake and hyperglycemia via acute glucoprivation. They concluded that glucoreceptors that respond to cerebral glucoprivation are located in the caudal brainstem. In addition to blood-borne information, the medial nucleus tractus solitarius (mNTS) of the caudal brainstem also receives direct input from the vagus nerve that conveys information from the gastrointestinal tract about the nutritive, osmotic, and volumetric properties of food [9, 10]. For example, the vagus nerve sends information about the volumetric-mechanical distension of the stomach, and stretch and tension of the stomach are communicated via vagal afferent pathways projecting to the NTS. Physiological levels of gastric distension prompt the vascular release of serotonin (5-HT), which results in neural activation (detected via c-Fos expression) in the NTS. Furthermore, distension-induced c-Fos expression in the NTS is completely blocked by truncal vagotomy or perivagal capsaicin treatment [11], thereby highlighting a critical interaction between peripheral vagal nerve signaling and hindbrain regulation of feeding and metabolism. In summary, the hindbrain responds to circulating nutrients, hunger- and satiation-related hormones, and volumetric properties of food in order to control feeding and energy homeostasis.

In addition to integrating energy status information, the NTS also produces outputs to the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus (DMX) to control parasympathetic gastrointestinal responses, such as insulin secretion and gastric emptying [12–15]. Additionally, the DMX is central to ingestive consummatory behaviors, such as movements of the tongue, jaw, pharynx, and facial muscles, as well as oral motor behaviors that include licking, chewing, swallowing, and food rejection [16]. The NTS also projects to forebrain regions affecting appetite and food reward [17–19] and to the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVH) to influence neuroendocrine responses [20–22].

2.2 Hypothalamic Controls

An important forebrain substrate that is critically involved in maintaining energy homeostasis is the ventromedial region of the hypothalamus, particularly the arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus (ARH). Within the ARH, the most attention with regards to energy balance has been given to leptin signaling and its integration with the central melanocortin system. The ARH contains two antagonistic cell groups: the anorexigenic proopiomelanocortin (POMC)-expressing neurons and the orexigenic agouti-related protein (AgRP)/neuropeptide Y (NPY)-coexpressing neurons. POMC is cleaved into alpha-melanocyte stimulating hormone (alpha-MSH) which binds to and activates melanocortin-4 receptors (MC4R) expressed in the PVH and other regions, thereby decreasing food intake and increasing energy expenditure [23–25]. In support of this ARH system being critical for energy balance, genetic mutations in the POMC gene or disruption of the melanocortin-4 receptor yields an obese phenotype in both humans and experimental rodent models [26, 27]. In contrast, NPY is released by AgRP/NPY neurons and binds to NPY receptors to increase appetite and feeding [28]. Furthermore, AgRP directly blocks alpha-MSH activation of the MC4R, thereby inhibiting the anorexigenic effect of POMC neurons. In mice, acute ablation using diphtheria toxin of AgRP/NPY neurons blunts appetite and leads to starvation [29–31].

The POMC and AgRP/NPY neurons within the ARH express receptors for and are modulated by various hunger and satiety hormones important in the regulation of homeostasis, including leptin, insulin, peptide YY (PYY), and ghrelin [32–36]. Theoretically, modulation by these hormones allow for appropriate changes in feeding behavior for the maintenance of energy homeostasis. In addition to hunger and satiety hormones, specific nutrients have also been found to modulate hypothalamic control of energy homeostasis and influence appetite based on the composition of a diet. For example, the hypothalamus senses changes in levels of plasma fatty acids, which subsequently affects hepatic gluconeogenesis and glucose homeostasis [37, 38].

AgRP neurons have been shown to integrate complex nutritional, hormonal, and neuronal signals, in order to modulate gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)-ergic signaling in the pontine parabrachial nucleus (PBN) to stimulate feeding [39]. Conversely, POMC neurons that co-express oxytocin receptor regulate glutamate signaling in MC4R-expressing neurons in the PVH to rapidly trigger satiation and satiety [40]. These data provide further evidence that the ARH possesses bi-directional control of fundamental feeding behavior. Indeed, the ARH has historically received more attention than any other brain region with regards to the

regulation of food intake and body weight, and generally speaking ARH circuits are thought to integrate rapid nutrient sensing with endocrine signals related to energy reserves (e.g., leptin) to control homeostatic aspects of energy balance [41–43].

3. Learned and cognitive controls of feeding behavior

In contrast with classic homeostatic-regulated metabolic systems, like core body temperature and plasma calcium regulation, energy balance explicitly and constantly requires complex goal-directed and consummatory behaviors. Immediately prior to, during, and after feeding-relevant behavioral experiences, animals integrate the sensory processing of ingestion (taste, prandial gastrointestinal signaling) with interoceptive (hunger, satiety, and other metabolic states) and external cues (spatial location, social cues) to form learned associations that influence future behaviors relevant to food procurement and consumption. In the modern obesogenic environment, conditioned food cues associated with consumption of highly palatable and calorically dense foods are particularly prevalent, and can trigger eating in the absence of energy or metabolic deficits [44–46]. Various forebrain regions and networks, some of which are discussed below, are implicated in encoding rewarding, learned, and contextual information to influence feeding behavior.

3.1 Cortical Regulation

The prefrontal cortex (PFC) is implicated in inhibitory control mechanisms related to food intake. For example, individuals with binge-eating disorder and some forms of obesity have decreased baseline activity in the PFC [47, 48]. Moreover, in obese patients with congenital leptin deficiency, leptin treatments enhance activation of the PFC in response to exposure to food stimuli [49]. Rodent model studies also provide data consistent with the notion that the PFC influences feeding by inhibiting impulsive responding for palatable food [50–52], as well through the inhibition of environmental cue-driven eating [46, 53, 54].

The insular cortex has been shown to contain taste-responsive neurons that project to the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) where there are ‘secondary taste neurons’. This pathway is implicated in the integration of taste, olfactory, visual, and cognitive inputs [55], all of which are modulated by hunger [56–58]. The secondary taste neurons of the OFC encode the predictive reward value of palatable foods to influence motivational aspects of feeding [59, 60]. Additionally, the insular cortex encodes the perceived pleasantness of taste [61] and internal state [62–64], thereby modulating food value through manipulation of expectations [65, 66]. These findings indicate that the primary taste cortex responds to homeostatic signals to reduce pleasantness or value of food and promote meal termination [67].

The OFC is also implicated in sensory-specific satiety, the behavioral phenomenon whereby an animal’s satisfaction for a specific food declines with consumption of that food, but rebounds when other foods are presented that have not been eaten within that eating episode. A functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) study showed decreased cerebral blood flow in the OFC in response to presentation of an odor of a food already eaten to satiety, yet no similar decrease in cerebral blood flow was observed for an odor of a different food not eaten in the meal [68]. Additionally, neural activity of the OFC in humans is related to

reward value and pleasantness of taste stimuli [69, 70], supporting the notion that the OFC is involved in the hedonic regulation of feeding.

3.2 Mesolimbic dopamine pathway

“Reward systems” that influence motivational processes are thought to override physiological hunger and satiety cues to influence feeding behavior. Dopaminergic input to the nucleus accumbens (ACB) from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) is involved in encoding the rewarding properties of food, and consumption of palatable food can cause an increase in extracellular dopamine (DA) levels in the ACB [71]. In vivo brain microdialysis studies indicate that DA release significantly increases in the ACB during consumption of a palatable meal, and 20h food deprivation further enhances the magnitude of this increase [72]. In addition to these feeding-based effects, DA is involved in the control of conditioned incentive motivation, as conditioned cues associated with food reward can evoke ACB DA release [73–77]. Pecina and Berridge also revealed that both DA and opioid signaling in the core and medial shell of the ACB amplify the reactivity of mesocorticolimbic circuits, and enhance the incentive salience or “wanting” of a reinforced conditioned stimulus [78]. The increased rewarding aspects of food, as well as the increased incentive salience, both augment motivation to work for food. For example, pharmacological increases in DA signaling in the ACB increase the extent to which an animal will work for food in an operant lever pressing procedure, and increases sensitivity to high ratio (i.e., high demand) operant requirements [79–82]. Overall, these studies show that VTA dopaminergic input to the ACB encode conditioned rewarding properties of food, and that these rewarding aspects further enhance incentive salience and motivation to acquire palatable foods.

Both orexigenic (ghrelin) and anorexigenic (glucagon-like peptide 1 [GLP-1], leptin) peptides modulate cue-induced dopaminergic signaling from VTA to the ACB under conditions of food-restriction, where leptin and GLP-1 inhibit firing and ghrelin increases firing rate [83, 84]. While ghrelin signaling in the hypothalamic ARH is implicated in metabolic deficit-based feeding behavior, ghrelin signaling in the VTA is involved in hedonic aspects of feeding behavior. For example, ghrelin injections in the VTA and ACB cause a dose-dependent increase in food intake [85]. Further, ghrelin signaling in the VTA increases food motivation and intake of rewarding “palatable” food in rodents [86–88]. Such effects are further modulated by hunger and satiety states, as DA spikes in the VTA (measured via fast-scan cyclic voltammetry) under conditions of food restriction increase in response to feeding [89]. These ghrelin-mediated ACB DA spikes are also engaged by learned food-predictive cues, as ghrelin modulates phasic DA fluctuations in response to a reinforced conditioned stimulus (but not in response to a non-reinforced conditioned stimulus) [90]. These findings indicate that ghrelin signaling in the ACB is critical in the modulation of learned aspects of food reward.

Conversely, GLP-1 receptor signaling in the VTA and ACB suppresses food intake and motivation to work for food [91–94], and the adipocyte hormone leptin also acts in the VTA and ACB to decrease feeding behaviors. VTA DA neurons express the leptin receptor (*LepRb*), and direct administration of leptin to the VTA activates the JAK-STAT intracellular signaling pathway resulting in phosphorylation of STAT3 and a decrease in DA neuron firing

rate. Furthermore, activation of VTA *LepRb* decreases food intake and RNAi-mediated genetic knockdown of the *LepRb* in the VTA increases food intake. These findings implicate a role for VTA *LepRb* in the regulation of rewarding aspects of feeding behavior [95, 96].

Apart from VTA dopaminergic input to the ACB, GABA- and glutamate-conveying information from corticolimbic and thalamic afferents act directly within the ACB to cause changes in feeding behavior and learning. Classic experiments conducted by Ann Kelley and colleagues revealed that GABA agonists applied directly to the ACB medium spiny neurons increase feeding even in sated animals [97]. Furthermore, application of a glutamate antagonist also induces voracious feeding [98]. These GABAergic and glutamatergic inputs may also act synergistically with VTA dopaminergic input for learned responses. For example, coincident activation of dopaminergic and glutamatergic receptors in the ACB core have been shown to mediate appetitive response learning [99]. Thus, the mesolimbic DA circuit combined with input from various corticolimbic and thalamic afferents modulate rewarding and conditioned properties of feeding that can often override homeostatic signals.

3.3 Hippocampus

The hippocampus has recently been identified as a food intake control center that integrates [1] the external visuospatial environment, [2] the internal context (interoceptive cues that inform about energy status), and [3] previous learned experience in the regulation of feeding behavior [100]. Among its various mnemonic control processes, the hippocampus encodes episodic meal-related memories that are strongly impacted by both interoceptive and external environmental factors and can potently modulate feeding behavior. For example, amnesic patients with bilateral hippocampal damage who show deficits in episodic meal-related memory formation will consume second and third meals only minutes after the first [101–103]. In non-amnesic subjects, memories related to a recent eating occasion is a powerful factor with regards to making decisions about subsequent food intake (e.g., when to eat) and the amount consumed [104]. In an elegant study, human volunteers were shown two different amounts of soup, while actual portions of soup were manipulated with a computer-controlled peristaltic pump designed to withdraw or refill soup in a covert manner. When subjects were later asked to rate hunger level, independent of the actual amount of soup consumed, subjects rated hunger based on the amount of soup they perceived to have consumed [105]. In addition to manipulating meal-related sensory information, distracted eating also distorts perceptions of the amount of food consumed. For example, Higgs and Woodward [106] discovered that subjects who watched television while eating lunch were also more likely to eat more cookies later on in comparison to subjects who were not distracted while eating lunch. Thus, appetite and consumption are influenced by hippocampal-dependent episodic meal-related memories that are subject to an individual's visual and other sensory perception during the eating occasion.

While episodic memory is not directly accessible to study in animal models, pharmacological manipulations that disrupt memory consolidation of an eating episode reduce the subsequent latency to feed and increase the size of the next meal [107]. Other research in rodents reveals that the hippocampus is important for conditional learned associations between food-related external and interoceptive stimuli, and hippocampal

mechanisms are thought to be involved in utilizing energy balance signals to modulate feeding behavior [108]. Recent evidence converges on the idea that one of the critical roles of the hippocampus is to resolve ‘predictable ambiguities,’ where a stimulus predicts different outcomes depending on the presence or absence of another cue or context [109]. Consistent with this perspective, rodents with complete hippocampal lesions are impaired in learning a negative occasion setting task, where a conditioned stimulus is reinforced only when presented in the absence of a different cue (i.e. negative occasion setter). Instead, rats with hippocampal lesions respond to the conditioned stimulus to a similar amount whether or not the negative occasion setter is present [110]. This hippocampal-dependent modulatory learning procedure can be considered analogous to interoceptive state cues (e.g., satiety) informing about when eating in response to ambiguous food cues will be followed by reduced postingestive reinforcement (as compared to eating in the absence of satiety [108, 111]).

The ventral subregion of the hippocampus (vHP) in rodents has been shown to process endocrine feeding-related signals to regulate food intake and conditioned aspects of feeding. For example, the vHP densely expresses the ghrelin receptor (growth hormone secretagogue receptor 1-A [GHSR1A]), and administration of ghrelin to the vHP, but not the dorsal hippocampus, increases food intake via an increase in both meal frequency and size [112]. Additionally, activation of vHP GHSR1A also increases the frequency of meal initiation in response to conditioned discrete auditory cues [112]. Subsequent research identified neurons in the lateral hypothalamic area (LHA) that produce the neuropeptide, orexin, as being a functionally-relevant downstream target of vHP ghrelin signaling [113].

In addition to the LHA, the vHP’s role in integrating and consolidating feeding-relevant learned associations and endocrine signals involves downstream engagement of additional forebrain areas, including the lateral septum and the medial PFC. For example, using either chemogenetic or optogenetic activation of targeted pathways, Sweeney and Yang identified an excitatory vHP (field CA3) to lateral septum circuit that when activated leads to suppression of feeding [114]. Similarly, we’ve shown that GLP-1 receptor signaling in the vHP (field CA1) potentially reduces food intake and meal size, and these effects are blocked following chemogenetic synaptic silencing of vHP projections to the medial PFC [115]. Collectively these findings identify the hippocampus as a critical substrate that integrates learned experiences with endocrine signaling to modulate conditioned aspects of feeding behavior, and that these effects involve via downstream communication with both hypothalamic (LHA) and telencephalic (medial PFC, lateral septum) regions associated with food intake control.

4. Interactions and dissociations between homeostatic and cognitive substrates

Separating the neurobiology underlying the homeostatic and non-homeostatic mechanisms controlling feeding behavior into separate nodes is a convenient heuristic for understanding the diversity of energy balance-related behaviors. However, the reality is that these psychological and biological constructs are not composed of fundamentally distinct

neurobiological systems. Rather, the complexity of feeding behavior requires reciprocal communication between various “lower-order” and “higher-order” brain regions to integrate diverse feeding-relevant information to ultimately guide complex, goal-directed behavior. In this section, we provide an overview of recent literature highlighting the inherent complexity of separating homeostatic and non-homeostatic mechanisms controlling feeding into distinct neural pathways.

4.1 Gastrointestinal and vagus nerve modulation of cognition

Vagal afferents innervate the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, including the pancreas, liver, stomach, and intestines, where energy balance relevant signals are detected and transmitted to the brain [12]. GI signals can activate vagal nerve signaling through specialized ionotropic and G protein-coupled receptors that are expressed on terminals of the vagus nerve and in the nodose ganglia (cell bodies of the afferent vagal fibers). The vagus nerve provides direct sensory input to the NTS in the hindbrain to influence satiation processing [5]. Several gut peptides communicate with the brain to affect food intake and regulate short- and long-term energy homeostasis, in part, through paracrine signaling to the vagal afferent terminals [12, 116]. In addition to potentially regulating the fundamental control of meal size, GI signaling (both vagally- and nonvagally-mediated) has recently been shown to affect higher-order brain functions. For example, Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery in obese patients, which yields partial GI vagal denervation [117], led to improved hippocampal-dependent memory and other cognitive functions, including attention, executive function, memory (verbal list learning), and language, up to 36-months post-operatively [118, 119]. Furthermore, intragastric nutrient infusion (mimicking the rate of intake and emptying of a liquid meal) and gastric distension (a vagally-mediated satiation signal) in rats each induce changes in blood-oxygen-level dependent (BOLD) fMRI signal intensity in brain regions linked with limbic and cognitive control (hippocampus, caudate putamen, cerebral cortex) [120, 121]. Additionally, vagal nerve stimulation in the rat increases hippocampal dentate gyrus BrdU-mediated neurogenesis by 50% [122], and increases extracellular concentrations of norepinephrine in both the cortex and hippocampus [123]. Consistent with these studies, vagotomy decreases BrdU incorporation in the hilus and capsaicin-mediated vagal deafferentation decreases BrdU incorporation in the granular cell layer of the hippocampus [124]. Additionally, subdiaphragmatic vagal deafferentation in rats reduces anxiety-like behavior and facilitates discrimination reversal learning [125, 126]. Further work is needed to determine the exact mechanisms and neurobiological pathways through which GI-derived vagus nerve signaling affects higher-order brain substrates.

4.2 Endocrine signals influence both homeostatic and higher-order aspects of feeding behavior

In addition to vagally-mediated signaling, circulating endocrine signals are transmitted across the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and act on various brain regions. In particular, two peripherally-derived signals highlighted in this review, ghrelin and leptin, communicate via humoral pathways from the vasculature to the brain and directly modulate both homeostatic and non-homeostatic-related processes to control food intake. GLP-1 will also be discussed, which influences both homeostatic and non-homeostatic feeding processes via both

peripheral- and/or central-derived signaling pathways, as GLP-1 is produced both in the distal intestines and in the mNTS.

Often referred to as a “hunger hormone,” ghrelin is a peptide hormone secreted from the stomach and binds to the GHSR, which is densely expressed throughout the neuraxis. Among the various regions that express GHSR, ghrelin acts in the ARH to stimulate food intake in a dose-dependent manner, an effect that is 55–60% reduced by NPY receptor blockade [127–129]. Furthermore, intraperitoneal injection of peripheral ghrelin increases c-Fos expression in NPY-synthesizing neurons in the mouse ARH, and this effect is significantly greater in fasted than in fed rats [130, 131]. Additionally, ghrelin also acts in the hindbrain to control feeding, as unilateral administration of ghrelin in the dorsal vagal complex significantly increases food intake in rats and subdiaphragmatic vagotomy completely blocks deprivation-induced ghrelin rise [132, 133]. These data collectively suggest that ghrelin acts to increase feeding behaviors by acting directly in brain regions (ARH, caudal brainstem) that are traditionally associated with homeostatic control of energy balance.

Recent literature indicates that ghrelin also acts to control learned/conditioned aspects of feeding behavior, acting as an anticipatory signal for meal initiation whose secretion is stimulated by circadian and external conditioned cues associated with meal access [134]. For example, Cummings and colleagues [135] observed a robust increase in circulating ghrelin levels immediately before each scheduled meal in humans fixed on an eating schedule, which was followed by a suppression 1-h after each meal. Additionally, food-related orosensory stimulation can affect circulating ghrelin levels, even in the absence of postingestive nutritive consequences [112, 136, 137]. Evidence from our laboratory revealed that pharmacological blockade of vHP GHSRs (via pharmacological GHSR blockade) prior to 4-h food consumption in meal entrained animals reduced food intake, yet the same dose had no effect on food intake in rats that were not meal entrained [113]. In addition to the vHP, GHSR expression is also found in various limbic and mesolimbic reward systems, such as the VTA, ACB, amygdala, and medial PFC [35, 138]. Importantly, GHSR action in the VTA has potent effects on stimulating feeding – not just food intake in the home cage – but also conditioned food reward-motivated behaviors [see Skibicka and Dickson [139] for review].

Another peripherally-derived endocrine signal that potently regulates feeding primarily via CNS action is leptin. Produced and secreted by white adipocytes, leptin acts, in part, as a satiation signal to reduce meal size. Work by Morton and colleagues indicates that leptin acts in the ARH to reduce food intake and body weight. Using Koletsky (fa^k/fa^k) rats, which develop severe obesity due to a *LepRb* mutation, they found that the mutated rats demonstrated significantly increased meal size and reduced satiety in response to the gut peptide cholecystokinin (CCK), and that restoration of *LepRb* in the ARH via gene therapy normalized this response [140]. *LepRb*-expressing neurons in the mNTS, which directly receives vagal afferent signaling from the GI tract, are activated by gastric distension [141]. Using an RNA-interference approach to knockdown *LepRb* specifically in the mNTS and area postrema (AP), Hayes, Grill, and colleagues revealed that mNTS/AP *LepRb* signaling is physiologically relevant for food intake and body weight regulation, in part by reducing meal size and enhancing the effectiveness of GI-related satiation signals [142, 143].

Collectively, these data indicate that leptin potentially regulates feeding behavior via action in brain regions traditionally associated with the homeostatic control of energy balance.

While the mNTS is thought to be fundamental hub for basic satiation and meal size control, leptin action in this site has also been shown to affect conditioned aspects of feeding behavior. Leptin administration to the mNTS reduced operant lever pressing for palatable sucrose under increasing work demands (progressive ratio schedule of reinforcement), as well as conditioned food-seeking behavior in the conditioned place preference procedure [144]. Thus, these findings indicate a novel role for hindbrain leptin in conditioned appetitive and motivational aspects of feeding. Moreover, in addition to ARH and the mNTS, LepRb relevance to feeding has been shown in various other forebrain sites associated with non-homeostatic energy balance control. For example, bilateral leptin administration in the vHP suppresses food intake and body weight, blocks expression of conditioned place preference for food, and increases latency to search for food in an operant runway paradigm [145]. Additional forebrain sites for leptin action include the VTA and substantia nigra, both part of the midbrain DA pathway that mediate reward-seeking behavior [146–149]. Leptin action in the LHA also reduces feeding via interaction with the mesolimbic DA system [150]. Overall these findings show that leptin not only acts in regions associated with both homeostatic (ARH, mNTS) and non-homeostatic (vHP, VTA) feeding processes, but also can signal in the mNTS, a brain region traditionally associated with basic meal size control, to influence learned and motivational aspects of appetitive behavior.

GLP-1 is primarily produced and secreted by intestinal enteroendocrine L-cells and by neurons in the mNTS. The GLP-1 analog, liraglutide (trade name Victoza or Saxenda), is used for Type 2 diabetes and weight loss treatment in humans. Endogenous mNTS GLP-1 receptor activation suppresses food intake by enhancing gastric satiation signaling via activation of PKA and MAPK activity [151, 152]. Consistent with a role for GLP-1 in satiation processing, the feeding effects of peripheral GLP-1 require the vagal-brainstem-hypothalamic pathway, as subdiaphragmatic vagal afferent signaling is required for meal size reduction by peripheral GLP-1 injections [153], and peripheral GLP-1-mediated food intake suppression is abolished following brainstem-hypothalamic transections in rodents [154].

GLP-1 also acts in forebrain regions associated with cognitive and reward-related processes. GLP-1 neurons in the NTS project directly to the VTA and ACB and GLP-1 receptor activation in these regions reduces food intake (especially for highly-palatable foods) and reduces motivated responding for palatable food [91, 155, 156]. In humans, administration of a GLP-1 analog acutely decreased fMRI brain responses to food pictures in appetite- and reward-related brain regions, specifically the insula, amygdala, putamen, and orbitofrontal cortex [157]. GLP-1 also acts in the vHP, where it engages glutamatergic signaling to medial prefrontal cortex to control motivated operant responding for palatable food [115]. Together, these results indicate that GLP-1 acts in various brain regions that are traditionally involved in both homeostatic (mNTS) and non-homeostatic (vHP, VTA, ACB) aspects of food intake control. As was previously discussed for leptin, GLP-1R activation in the mNTS not only reduces food intake by augmenting the effectiveness of GI-derived satiation signals, but also

reduces conditioned appetitive behaviors related to obtaining palatable food, including operant responding for sucrose and expression of conditioned place preference for palatable food [158].

4.3 Arcuate nucleus signaling mediates conditioned aspects of appetite

The ARH, primarily considered a homeostatic feeding substrate, has also been recently shown to have a fundamental role in conditioned aspects of appetite. The ARH receives information regarding nutritional and hunger state to motivate feeding behaviors and regulate overall energy balance. However, the ARH is also modulated by sensory and hedonic information to mediate conditioned aspects of appetite, as evident by findings that activity of AgRP and POMC neurons are regulated not only by energy status, but also by food palatability [159]. In addition, in a recent paper by Betley, Sternson, and colleagues [160] it was shown that optogenetic-mediated inhibition of AgRP neurons conditioned a preference for both flavors and physical/external locations. Importantly, using deep-brain calcium imaging, this paper further revealed that activity of AgRP neurons not only rapidly reduced in response to feeding, but also following exposure to conditioned food-related cues. Thus, the ARH, which is classically linked with homeostatic food intake control, also plays an important role in conditioned and hedonic aspects of feeding behavior. More recent findings from Betley and colleagues extend this work by revealing that the maximal AgRP neural activity suppression occurs at a much slower rate after consuming novel foods (or after direct gastrointestinal infusion of nutrients) compared to the suppression rate observed when consuming a known, familiar caloric food [161]. Collectively these findings clearly indicate that the interplay between feeding and AgRP neural responding is potently modulated by both hedonic evaluation as well as previous learned experiences.

4.4 Mesolimbic reward signaling is modulated by energy and micronutrient deficiencies

Energy balance-related endocrine signals (i.e. leptin, insulin, and ghrelin) also modulate reward processing [162]. For example, brain stimulation reward (BSR), or the operant self-administration of electrical brain stimulation, has long been used to assess the reward properties of various experimental manipulations. In an elegant study conducted by Fulton and colleagues [163], rats were trained to self-stimulate by pressing a lever that triggered pulses to the lateral hypothalamus. They found that chronic food restriction enhanced the rewarding effect of BSR, and that intracerebroventricular infusion of leptin attenuated this effect. Thus, chronic energy depletion engages reward processing in the lateral hypothalamus and interconnected circuitry to increase appetitive behavior, an effect modulated by leptin, a correlate of long-term energy sufficiency. Conversely, ghrelin, a correlate of short-term energy depletion, stimulates DA release from VTA neurons [164, 165] and potentiates conditioned cue-evoked DA release in the ACB [90]. Cone, McCutcheon, and Roitman further discovered that ghrelin directly activates orexin receptors in the VTA to stimulate food-evoked DA release [89]. These studies suggest that both short- and long-term energy status cues engage the mesolimbic reward circuitry. Congruent with these studies, elevated DA signaling in rats is observed in response to sodium in a sodium deplete state [166]. Thus, in addition to being modulated by short- and long-term energy status, mesolimbic “reward” signaling appears to be modulated by micronutrient status as well. Collectively, these findings blur the distinction between homeostatic energy regulatory

mechanisms (i.e., energy intake in direct response to energy restriction) and non-homeostatic mechanisms (i.e., modulation of feeding by incentive and reward), as in addition to learned and cognitive factors, energy and micronutrient status pull the proverbial puppet strings for the brain's mesolimbic reward circuitry.

5. Conclusions

Feeding behavior is controlled by a number of complex regulatory mechanisms involving both homeostatic (lower-order) and non-homeostatic (high-order) processes. Previous research has predominantly linked hypothalamic and caudal brainstem signaling in the control of homeostatic aspects of feeding behavior, particularly within the hypothalamic ARH (POMC and AgRP/NPY neurons) and caudal brainstem (GI meal-related vagal nerve signaling to the mNTS). In the literature [e.g., [167–169]], these homeostatic feeding circuits are often distinguished from brain substrates involved in the non-homeostatic (hedonic and learned) control of food intake, which include cortical, mesolimbic DA, and hippocampal circuits that mediate the integration of sensory and hedonic properties with previous learned experiences to regulate conditioned and motivated aspects of food intake control. However, in this review we have highlighted several recent findings suggesting that the homeostatic and non-homeostatic neural pathways regulating feeding behavior are not easily dissociable. First, traditional homeostatic neural substrates are also critical in the control of higher-order functions relevant to feeding, as evidenced by GI and vagus nerve modulation of cognition and memory, as well as by potent mNTS and ARH influences on conditioned appetitive aspects of feeding behavior. On the other hand, traditional non-homeostatic regions in the midbrain and forebrain (hippocampus, mesolimbic circuitry) have also been shown to be interact with hindbrain and hypothalamic circuitry to regulate meal size, and to be modulated by both overall energy and micronutrient deficiencies. Additionally, various endocrine signals modulate food intake control by acting in both classic homeostatic and non-homeostatic neural substrates, and in some cases, to subserve similar and seemingly redundant feeding-related behavioral outcomes via signaling across multiple levels of the neuraxis. These findings taken together with the hypothesis that humans did not evolve with effective mechanisms for defending against the upper limits of body weight (e.g., obesity) suggest that energy balance is not explicitly regulated by homeostatic mechanisms, and therefore the classic dissociation between homeostatic and non-homeostatic controls of feeding may not be a useful heuristic for understanding the complex neurobiological systems that interact for feeding control and the maintenance of energy balance.

Acknowledgments

Funding provided by 1R01DK104897 (SE Kanoski).

Works Cited

1. Bernard C. *Leçons sur les Phénomènes de la Vie Communs aux Animaux et aux Végétaux* Baillière. Paris: 1878.
2. Cooper SJ. From Claude Bernard to Walter Cannon. Emergence of the concept of homeostasis. *Appetite*. 2008; 51:419–27. [PubMed: 18634840]
3. Cannon WB. *The wisdom of the body*. Norton; New York: 1932. Homeostasis.

4. Speakman JR. Thrifty genes for obesity, an attractive but flawed idea, and an alternative perspective: the 'drifty gene' hypothesis. *International journal of obesity*. 2008; 32:1611–7. [PubMed: 18852699]
5. Grill HJ, Hayes MR. Hindbrain neurons as an essential hub in the neuroanatomically distributed control of energy balance. *Cell metabolism*. 2012; 16:296–309. [PubMed: 22902836]
6. Grill HJ, Kaplan JM. Sham feeding in intact and chronic decerebrate rats. *Am J Physiol*. 1992; 262:R1070–4. [PubMed: 1621860]
7. Grill HJ, Norgren R. Chronically decerebrate rats demonstrate satiation but not bait shyness. *Science*. 1978; 201:267–9. [PubMed: 663655]
8. Ritter RC, Slusser PG, Stone S. Glucoreceptors controlling feeding and blood glucose: location in the hindbrain. *Science*. 1981; 213:451–2. [PubMed: 6264602]
9. Wang FB, Powley TL. Topographic inventories of vagal afferents in gastrointestinal muscle. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*. 2000; 421:302–24. [PubMed: 10813789]
10. Grill HJ, Kaplan JM. Caudal brainstem participates in the distributed neural control of feeding. In: Edward M, editor. *Stricker*. NY, US: Plenum Press; 1990.
11. Mazda T, Yamamoto H, Fujimura M, Fujimiya M. Gastric distension-induced release of 5-HT stimulates c-fos expression in specific brain nuclei via 5-HT₃ receptors in conscious rats. *American Journal of Physiology-Gastrointestinal and Liver Physiology*. 2004; 287:G228–G35. [PubMed: 14684379]
12. Berthoud HR. The vagus nerve, food intake and obesity. *Regulatory peptides*. 2008; 149:15–25. [PubMed: 18482776]
13. Reimann F, Habib AM, Tolhurst G, Parker HE, Rogers GJ, Gribble FM. Glucose sensing in L cells: a primary cell study. *Cell metabolism*. 2008; 8:532–9. [PubMed: 19041768]
14. Rinaman L, Card JP, Schwaber JS, Miselis RR. Ultrastructural demonstration of a gastric monosynaptic vagal circuit in the nucleus of the solitary tract in rat. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 1989; 9:1985–96. [PubMed: 2723763]
15. Hayes MR, Skibicka KP, Grill HJ. Caudal brainstem processing is sufficient for behavioral, sympathetic, and parasympathetic responses driven by peripheral and hindbrain glucagon-like-peptide-1 receptor stimulation. *Endocrinology*. 2008; 149:4059–68. [PubMed: 18420740]
16. Blessing WW. *The lower brainstem and bodily homeostasis*. Oxford University Press; USA: 1997.
17. Alhadeff AL, Holland RA, Zheng H, Rinaman L, Grill HJ, De Jonghe BC. Excitatory Hindbrain–Forebrain Communication Is Required for Cisplatin-Induced Anorexia and Weight Loss. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2017; 37:362–70. [PubMed: 28077715]
18. Berthoud HR, Sutton GM, Townsend RL, Patterson LM, Zheng H. Brainstem mechanisms integrating gut-derived satiety signals and descending forebrain information in the control of meal size. *Physiology & behavior*. 2006; 89:517–24. [PubMed: 16996546]
19. Norgren R, Hajnal A, Mungarndee S. Gustatory reward and the nucleus accumbens. *Physiology & behavior*. 2006; 89:531–5. [PubMed: 16822531]
20. Date Y, Shimbara T, Koda S, Toshinai K, Ida T, Murakami N, et al. Peripheral ghrelin transmits orexigenic signals through the noradrenergic pathway from the hindbrain to the hypothalamus. *Cell metabolism*. 2006; 4:323–31. [PubMed: 17011505]
21. Li AJ, Ritter S. Glucoprivation increases expression of neuropeptide Y mRNA in hindbrain neurons that innervate the hypothalamus. *European Journal of Neuroscience*. 2004; 19:2147–54. [PubMed: 15090041]
22. Ritter S, Dinh TT, Li AJ. Hindbrain catecholamine neurons control multiple glucoregulatory responses. *Physiology & behavior*. 2006; 89:490–500. [PubMed: 16887153]
23. Schwartz MW. Brain pathways controlling food intake and body weight. *Experimental Biology and Medicine*. 2001; 226:978–81. [PubMed: 11743132]
24. Morton G, Cummings D, Baskin D, Barsh G, Schwartz M. Central nervous system control of food intake and body weight. *Nature*. 2006; 443:289. [PubMed: 16988703]
25. Cone R, Cowley M, Butler A, Fan W, Marks D, Low M. The arcuate nucleus as a conduit for diverse signals relevant to energy homeostasis. *International Journal of Obesity*. 2001; 25:S63.

26. Yaswen L, Diehl N, Brennan MB, Hochgeschwender U. Obesity in the mouse model of pro-opiomelanocortin deficiency responds to peripheral melanocortin. *Nature medicine*. 1999;5.
27. Huszar D, Lynch CA, Fairchild-Huntress V, Dunmore JH, Fang Q, Berkemeier LR, et al. Targeted disruption of the melanocortin-4 receptor results in obesity in mice. *Cell*. 1997; 88:131–41. [PubMed: 9019399]
28. Ida T, Nakahara K, Katayama T, Murakami N, Nakazato M. Effect of lateral cerebroventricular injection of the appetite-stimulating neuropeptide, orexin and neuropeptide Y, on the various behavioral activities of rats. *Brain research*. 1999; 821:526–9. [PubMed: 10064841]
29. Gropp E, Shanabrough M, Borok E, Xu AW, Janoschek R, Buch T, et al. Agouti-related peptide-expressing neurons are mandatory for feeding. *Nature neuroscience*. 2005; 8:1289. [PubMed: 16158063]
30. Luquet S, Perez FA, Hnasko TS, Palmiter RD. NPY/AgRP neurons are essential for feeding in adult mice but can be ablated in neonates. *Science*. 2005; 310:683–5. [PubMed: 16254186]
31. Flier JS. Obesity wars: molecular progress confronts an expanding epidemic. *Cell*. 2004; 116:337–50. [PubMed: 14744442]
32. Cowley MA, Smart JL, Rubinstein M, Cerdán MG. Leptin activates anorexigenic POMC neurons through a neural network in the arcuate nucleus. *Nature*. 2001; 411:480. [PubMed: 11373681]
33. Werther GA, Hogg A, Oldfield BJ, McKinley MJ, Figdor R, Allen AM, et al. Localization and characterization of insulin receptors in rat brain and pituitary gland using in vitro autoradiography and computerized densitometry. *Endocrinology*. 1987; 121:1562–70. [PubMed: 3653038]
34. Strubbe J, Mein C. Increased feeding in response to bilateral injection of insulin antibodies in the VMH. *Physiology & behavior*. 1977; 19:309–13. [PubMed: 607241]
35. Zigman JM, Jones JE, Lee CE, Saper CB, Elmquist JK. Expression of ghrelin receptor mRNA in the rat and the mouse brain. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*. 2006; 494:528–48. [PubMed: 16320257]
36. Cowley MA, Smith RG, Diano S, Tschöp M, Pronchuk N, Grove KL, et al. The distribution and mechanism of action of ghrelin in the CNS demonstrates a novel hypothalamic circuit regulating energy homeostasis. *Neuron*. 2003; 37:649–61. [PubMed: 12597862]
37. Lam TK, Pocai A, Gutierrez-Juarez R, Obici S, Bryan J, Aguilar-Bryan L, et al. Hypothalamic sensing of circulating fatty acids is required for glucose homeostasis. *Nature medicine*. 2005; 11:320.
38. Sleeth ML, Thompson EL, Ford HE, Zac-Varghese SE, Frost G. Free fatty acid receptor 2 and nutrient sensing: a proposed role for fibre, fermentable carbohydrates and short-chain fatty acids in appetite regulation. *Nutrition research reviews*. 2010; 23:135–45. [PubMed: 20482937]
39. Wu Q, Clark MS, Palmiter RD. Deciphering a neuronal circuit that mediates appetite. *Nature*. 2012; 483:594. [PubMed: 22419158]
40. Fenselau H, Campbell JN, Versteegen AM, Madara JC, Xu J, Shah BP, et al. A rapidly acting glutamatergic ARC→ PVH satiety circuit postsynaptically regulated by α -MSH. *Nature neuroscience*. 2017; 20:42. [PubMed: 27869800]
41. Berthoud HR. Neural control of appetite: cross-talk between homeostatic and non-homeostatic systems. *Appetite*. 2004; 43:315–7. [PubMed: 15527935]
42. Berthoud HR. The neurobiology of food intake in an obesogenic environment. *The Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*. 2012; 71:478–87. [PubMed: 22800810]
43. Moran TH. Hypothalamic nutrient sensing and energy balance. *Forum of nutrition*. 2010; 63:94–101. [PubMed: 19955777]
44. Weingarten HP. Meal initiation controlled by learned cues: Basic behavioral properties. *Appetite*. 1984; 5:147–58. [PubMed: 6517568]
45. Weingarten HP. Stimulus control of eating: Implications for a two-factor theory of hunger. *Appetite*. 1985; 6:387–401. [PubMed: 3911890]
46. Petrovich GD, Holland PC, Gallagher M. Amygdalar and prefrontal pathways to the lateral hypothalamus are activated by a learned cue that stimulates eating. *J Neurosci*. 2005; 25:8295–302. [PubMed: 16148237]
47. Moore CF, Sabino V, Koob GF, Cottone P. Neuroscience of Compulsive Eating Behavior. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*. 2017; 11:469. [PubMed: 28883784]

48. Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Telang F, Fowler JS, Goldstein RZ, Alia-Klein N, et al. Inverse association between BMI and prefrontal metabolic activity in healthy adults. *Obesity*. 2009; 17:60–5. [PubMed: 18948965]
49. Baicy K, London ED, Monterosso J, Wong ML, Delibasi T, Sharma A, et al. Leptin replacement alters brain response to food cues in genetically leptin-deficient adults. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2007; 104:18276–9.
50. Warthen DM, Lambeth PS, Ottolini M, Shi Y, Barker BS, Gaykema RP, et al. Activation of pyramidal neurons in mouse medial prefrontal cortex enhances food-seeking behavior while reducing impulsivity in the absence of an effect on food intake. *Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience*. 2016;10. [PubMed: 26903827]
51. Sokolowski JD, Salamone JD. Effects of dopamine depletions in the medial prefrontal cortex on DRL performance and motor activity in the rat. *Brain research*. 1994; 642:20–8. [PubMed: 8032881]
52. Selleck RA, Lake C, Estrada V, Riederer J, Andrzejewski M, Sadeghian K, et al. Endogenous opioid signaling in the medial prefrontal cortex is required for the expression of hunger-induced impulsive action. *Neuropsychopharmacology: official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2015; 40:2464–74. [PubMed: 25865930]
53. Petrovich GD, Ross CA, Holland PC, Gallagher M. Medial prefrontal cortex is necessary for an appetitive contextual conditioned stimulus to promote eating in sated rats. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2007; 27:6436–41. [PubMed: 17567804]
54. Cole S, Hobin MP, Petrovich GD. Appetitive associative learning recruits a distinct network with cortical, striatal, and hypothalamic regions. *Neuroscience*. 2015; 286:187–202. [PubMed: 25463526]
55. Rolls ET. Taste, olfactory, and food texture processing in the brain, and the control of food intake. *Physiol Behav*. 2005; 85:45–56. [PubMed: 15924905]
56. Rolls ET, Sienkiewicz ZJ, Yaxley S. Hunger modulates the responses to gustatory stimuli of single neurons in the caudolateral orbitofrontal cortex of the macaque monkey. *European Journal of Neuroscience*. 1989; 1:53–60. [PubMed: 12106174]
57. Critchley HD, Rolls ET. Hunger and satiety modify the responses of olfactory and visual neurons in the primate orbitofrontal cortex. *Journal of neurophysiology*. 1996; 75:1673–86. [PubMed: 8727405]
58. Rolls ET, Baylis LL. Gustatory, olfactory, and visual convergence within the primate orbitofrontal cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 1994; 14:5437–52. [PubMed: 8083747]
59. Gottfried JA, O'Doherty J, Dolan RJ. Encoding predictive reward value in human amygdala and orbitofrontal cortex. *Science*. 2003; 301:1104–7. [PubMed: 12934011]
60. Kringelbach ML. The human orbitofrontal cortex: linking reward to hedonic experience. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2005; 6:691–702. [PubMed: 16136173]
61. Small DM, Gregory MD, Mak YE, Gitelman D, Mesulam MM, Parrish T. Dissociation of neural representation of intensity and affective valuation in human gustation. *Neuron*. 2003; 39:701–11. [PubMed: 12925283]
62. Small DM, Zatorre RJ, Dagher A, Evans AC, Jones-Gotman M. Changes in brain activity related to eating chocolate: from pleasure to aversion. *Brain*. 2001; 124:1720–33. [PubMed: 11522575]
63. Smeets PA, de Graaf C, Stafleu A, van Osch MJ, Nievelstein RA, van der Grond J. Effect of satiety on brain activation during chocolate tasting in men and women. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2006; 83:1297–305. [PubMed: 16762940]
64. Haase L, Cerf-Ducastel B, Murphy C. Cortical activation in response to pure taste stimuli during the physiological states of hunger and satiety. *Neuroimage*. 2009; 44:1008–21. [PubMed: 19007893]
65. Berns GS, McClure SM, Pagnoni G, Montague PR. Predictability modulates human brain response to reward. *Journal of neuroscience*. 2001; 21:2793–8. [PubMed: 11306631]
66. Nitschke JB, Dixon GE, Sarinopoulos I, Short SJ, Cohen JD, Smith EE, et al. Altering expectancy dampens neural response to aversive taste in primary taste cortex. *Nature neuroscience*. 2006; 9:435–42. [PubMed: 16462735]

67. Small DM. Taste representation in the human insula. *Brain Structure and Function*. 2010; 214:551–61. [PubMed: 20512366]
68. O'doherty J, Rolls ET, Francis S, Bowtell R, McGlone F, Kobal G, et al. Sensory-specific satiety-related olfactory activation of the human orbitofrontal cortex. *Neuroreport*. 2000; 11:399–403. [PubMed: 10674494]
69. Grabenhorst F, Rolls ET. Value, pleasure and choice in the ventral prefrontal cortex. *Trends in cognitive sciences*. 2011; 15:56–67. [PubMed: 21216655]
70. Rolls ET, Grabenhorst F. The orbitofrontal cortex and beyond: from affect to decision-making. *Progress in neurobiology*. 2008; 86:216–44. [PubMed: 18824074]
71. Hernandez L, Hoebel BG. Feeding and hypothalamic stimulation increase dopamine turnover in the accumbens. *Physiology & behavior*. 1988; 44:599–606. [PubMed: 3237847]
72. Wilson C, Nomikos GG, Collu M, Fibiger HC. Dopaminergic correlates of motivated behavior: importance of drive. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 1995; 15:5169–78. [PubMed: 7623143]
73. Roitman MF, Wheeler RA, Carelli RM. Nucleus accumbens neurons are innately tuned for rewarding and aversive taste stimuli, encode their predictors, and are linked to motor output. *Neuron*. 2005; 45:587–97. [PubMed: 15721244]
74. Roitman MF, Stuber GD, Phillips PE, Wightman RM, Carelli RM. Dopamine operates as a subsecond modulator of food seeking. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2004; 24:1265–71. [PubMed: 14960596]
75. Carelli RM, Ijames SG, Crumling AJ. Evidence that separate neural circuits in the nucleus accumbens encode cocaine versus “natural”(water and food) reward. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2000; 20:4255–66. [PubMed: 10818162]
76. Schultz W. Predictive reward signal of dopamine neurons. *Journal of neurophysiology*. 1998; 80:1–27. [PubMed: 9658025]
77. Schultz W. Getting formal with dopamine and reward. *Neuron*. 2002; 36:241–63. [PubMed: 12383780]
78. Peciña S, Berridge KC. Dopamine or opioid stimulation of nucleus accumbens similarly amplify cue-triggered ‘wanting’ for reward: entire core and medial shell mapped as substrates for PIT enhancement. *European Journal of Neuroscience*. 2013; 37:1529–40. [PubMed: 23495790]
79. Baldo BA, Kelley AE. Discrete neurochemical coding of distinguishable motivational processes: insights from nucleus accumbens control of feeding. *Psychopharmacology*. 2007; 191:439–59. [PubMed: 17318502]
80. Salamone JD, Correa M, Mingote S, Weber S. Nucleus accumbens dopamine and the regulation of effort in food-seeking behavior: implications for studies of natural motivation, psychiatry, and drug abuse. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*. 2003; 305:1–8. [PubMed: 12649346]
81. Salamone JD, Cousins MS, McCullough LD, Carrierio DL, Berkowitz RJ. Nucleus accumbens dopamine release increases during instrumental lever pressing for food but not free food consumption. *Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior*. 1994; 49:25–31.
82. Aberman J, Salamone J. Nucleus accumbens dopamine depletions make rats more sensitive to high ratio requirements but do not impair primary food reinforcement. *Neuroscience*. 1999; 92:545–52. [PubMed: 10408603]
83. Van der Plasse G, Van Zessen R, Luijendijk M, Erkan H, Stuber GD, Ramakers G, et al. Modulation of cue-induced firing of ventral tegmental area dopamine neurons by leptin and ghrelin. *International journal of obesity (2005)*. 2015; 39:1742. [PubMed: 26183405]
84. Van Zessen R, Van Der Plasse G, Adan R. Contribution of the mesolimbic dopamine system in mediating the effects of leptin and ghrelin on feeding. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*. 2012; 71:435–45. [PubMed: 22800867]
85. Naleid AM, Grace MK, Cummings DE, Levine AS. Ghrelin induces feeding in the mesolimbic reward pathway between the ventral tegmental area and the nucleus accumbens. *Peptides*. 2005; 26:2274–9. [PubMed: 16137788]
86. Egicioglu E, Jerlhag E, Salomé N, Skibicka KP, Haage D, Bohlooly-Y M, et al. PRECLINICAL STUDY: FULL ARTICLE: Ghrelin increases intake of rewarding food in rodents. *Addiction biology*. 2010; 15:304–11. [PubMed: 20477752]

87. Skibicka KP, Hansson C, Alvarez-Crespo M, Friberg PA, Dickson SL. Ghrelin directly targets the ventral tegmental area to increase food motivation. *Neuroscience*. 2011; 180:129–37. [PubMed: 21335062]
88. Skibicka KP, Hansson C, Egecioglu E, Dickson SL. Role of ghrelin in food reward: impact of ghrelin on sucrose self-administration and mesolimbic dopamine and acetylcholine receptor gene expression. *Addiction biology*. 2012; 17:95–107. [PubMed: 21309956]
89. Cone JJ, McCutcheon JE, Roitman MF. Ghrelin acts as an interface between physiological state and phasic dopamine signaling. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2014; 34:4905–13. [PubMed: 24695709]
90. Cone JJ, Roitman JD, Roitman MF. Ghrelin regulates phasic dopamine and nucleus accumbens signaling evoked by food-predictive stimuli. *Journal of neurochemistry*. 2015; 133:844–56. [PubMed: 25708523]
91. Alhadeff AL, Rupprecht LE, Hayes MR. GLP-1 neurons in the nucleus of the solitary tract project directly to the ventral tegmental area and nucleus accumbens to control for food intake. *Endocrinology*. 2012; 153:647–58. [PubMed: 22128031]
92. Mietlicki-Baase EG, Ortinski PI, Rupprecht LE, Olivos DR, Alhadeff AL, Pierce RC, et al. The food intake-suppressive effects of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor signaling in the ventral tegmental area are mediated by AMPA/kainate receptors. *American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 2013; 305:E1367–E74. [PubMed: 24105414]
93. Dossat AM, Lilly N, Kay K, Williams DL. Glucagon-like peptide 1 receptors in nucleus accumbens affect food intake. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2011; 31:14453–7. [PubMed: 21994361]
94. Dickson SL, Shirazi RH, Hansson C, Bergquist F, Nissbrandt H, Skibicka KP. The glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) analogue, exendin-4, decreases the rewarding value of food: a new role for mesolimbic GLP-1 receptors. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2012; 32:4812–20. [PubMed: 22492036]
95. Hommel JD, Trinko R, Sears RM, Georgescu D, Liu ZW, Gao XB, et al. Leptin receptor signaling in midbrain dopamine neurons regulates feeding. *Neuron*. 2006; 51:801–10. [PubMed: 16982424]
96. Morton GJ, Blevins JE, Kim F, Matsen M, Figlewicz DP. The action of leptin in the ventral tegmental area to decrease food intake is dependent on Jak-2 signaling. *American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 2009; 297:E202–E10. [PubMed: 19435852]
97. Stratford TR, Kelley AE. GABA in the nucleus accumbens shell participates in the central regulation of feeding behavior. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 1997; 17:4434–40. [PubMed: 9151760]
98. Maldonado-Irizarry CS, Swanson CJ, Kelley AE. Glutamate receptors in the nucleus accumbens shell control feeding behavior via the lateral hypothalamus. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 1995; 15:6779–88. [PubMed: 7472436]
99. Smith-Roe SL, Kelley AE. Coincident activation of NMDA and dopamine D1Receptors within the nucleus accumbens core is required for appetitive instrumental learning. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2000; 20:7737–42. [PubMed: 11027236]
100. Kanoski SE, Grill HJ. Hippocampus Contributions to Food Intake Control: Mnemonic, Neuroanatomical, and Endocrine Mechanisms. *Biological psychiatry*. 2017; 81:748–56. [PubMed: 26555354]
101. Rozin P, Dow S, Moscovitch M, Rajaram S. What causes humans to begin and end a meal? A role for memory for what has been eaten, as evidenced by a study of multiple meal eating in amnesic patients. *Psychological Science*. 1998; 9:392–6.
102. Parent MB, Darling JN, Henderson YO. Remembering to eat: hippocampal regulation of meal onset. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*. 2014; 306:R701–R13.
103. Hebber N, Corkin S, Eichenbaum H, Shedlack K. Diminished ability to interpret and report internal states after bilateral medial temporal resection: case HM. *Behavioral neuroscience*. 1985; 99:1031. [PubMed: 3843537]
104. Higgs S. Cognitive influences on food intake: the effects of manipulating memory for recent eating. *Physiology & behavior*. 2008; 94:734–9. [PubMed: 18486159]
105. Brunstrom JM, Burn JF, Sell NR, Collingwood JM, Rogers PJ, Wilkinson LL, et al. Episodic memory and appetite regulation in humans. *PloS one*. 2012; 7:e50707. [PubMed: 23227200]
106. Higgs S, Woodward M. Television watching during lunch increases afternoon snack intake of young women. *Appetite*. 2009; 52:39–43. [PubMed: 18692103]

107. Henderson YO, Smith GP, Parent MB. Hippocampal neurons inhibit meal onset. *Hippocampus*. 2013; 23:100–7. [PubMed: 22927320]
108. Davidson TL, Kanoski SE, Schier LA, Clegg DJ, Benoit SC. A potential role for the hippocampus in energy intake and body weight regulation. *Current opinion in pharmacology*. 2007; 7:613–6. [PubMed: 18032108]
109. Morris R. Theories of Hippocampal Function. In: Anderson P, Morris R, Amaral D, Bliss T, O'Keefe J, editors *The Hippocampus Book*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2007. 872
110. Holland PC, Lamoureux JA, Han JS, Gallagher M. Hippocampal lesions interfere with Pavlovian negative occasion setting. *Hippocampus*. 1999; 9:143–57. [PubMed: 10226775]
111. Davidson TL, Jarrard LE. A role for hippocampus in the utilization of hunger signals. *Behavioral and neural biology*. 1993; 59:167–71. [PubMed: 8476385]
112. Kanoski SE, Fortin SM, Ricks KM, Grill HJ. Ghrelin signaling in the ventral hippocampus stimulates learned and motivational aspects of feeding via PI3K-Akt signaling. *Biological psychiatry*. 2013; 73:915–23. [PubMed: 22884970]
113. Hsu TM, Hahn JD, Konanur VR, Noble EE, Suarez AN, Thai J, et al. Hippocampus ghrelin signaling mediates appetite through lateral hypothalamic orexin pathways. *eLife*. 2015; 4:e11190. [PubMed: 26745307]
114. Sweeney P, Yang Y. An excitatory ventral hippocampus to lateral septum circuit that suppresses feeding. *Nature communications*. 2015:6.
115. Hsu T, Noble E, Liu C, Cortella A, Konanur V, Suarez A, et al. A hippocampus to prefrontal cortex neural pathway inhibits food motivation through glucagon-like peptide-1 signaling. *Molecular psychiatry*. 2018
116. Schwartz MW, Woods SC, Porte D Jr, Seeley RJ, Baskin DG. Central nervous system control of food intake. *Nature*. 2000; 404:661. [PubMed: 10766253]
117. Gautron L, Zechner J, Aguirre V. Vagal innervation patterns following Roux-en-Y gastric bypass in the mouse. *International Journal of Obesity*. 2013; 37:1603–7. [PubMed: 23609934]
118. Alosco ML, Spitznagel MB, Strain G, Devlin M, Cohen R, Paul R, et al. Improved memory function two years after bariatric surgery. *Obesity*. 2014; 22:32–8. [PubMed: 23625587]
119. Alosco ML, Galioto R, Spitznagel MB, Strain G, Devlin M, Cohen R, et al. Cognitive function after bariatric surgery: evidence for improvement 3 years after surgery. *The American Journal of Surgery*. 2014; 207:870–6. [PubMed: 24119892]
120. Min D, Tuor U, Chelikani P. Gastric distention induced functional magnetic resonance signal changes in the rodent brain. *Neuroscience*. 2011; 179:151–8. [PubMed: 21284950]
121. Min DK, Tuor UI, Koopmans HS, Chelikani PK. Changes in differential functional magnetic resonance signals in the rodent brain elicited by mixed-nutrient or protein-enriched meals. *Gastroenterology*. 2011; 141:1832–41. [PubMed: 21802388]
122. Revesz D, Tjernstrom M, Ben-Menachem E, Thorlin T. Effects of vagus nerve stimulation on rat hippocampal progenitor proliferation. *Experimental neurology*. 2008; 214:259–65. [PubMed: 18804463]
123. Roosevelt RW, Smith DC, Clough RW, Jensen RA, Browning RA. Increased extracellular concentrations of norepinephrine in cortex and hippocampus following vagus nerve stimulation in the rat. *Brain research*. 2006; 1119:124–32. [PubMed: 16962076]
124. Ronchi G, Ryu V, Fornaro M, Czaja K. Hippocampal plasticity after a vagus nerve injury in the rat. *Neural regeneration research*. 2012; 7:1055. [PubMed: 25722695]
125. Klarer M, Arnold M, Günther L, Winter C, Langhans W, Meyer U. Gut vagal afferents differentially modulate innate anxiety and learned fear. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2014; 34:7067–76. [PubMed: 24849343]
126. Klarer M, Weber-Stadlbauer U, Arnold M, Langhans W, Meyer U. Cognitive effects of subdiaphragmatic vagal deafferentation in rats. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*. 2017; 142:190–9. [PubMed: 28499738]
127. Lu S, Guan JL, Wang QP, Uehara K, Yamada S, Goto N, et al. Immunocytochemical observation of ghrelin-containing neurons in the rat arcuate nucleus. *Neuroscience letters*. 2002; 321:157–60. [PubMed: 11880196]

128. Mondal MS, Date Y, Yamaguchi H, Toshinai K, Tsuruta T, Kangawa K, et al. Identification of ghrelin and its receptor in neurons of the rat arcuate nucleus. *Regulatory peptides*. 2005; 126:55–9. [PubMed: 15620414]
129. Bagnasco M, Tulipano G, Melis MR, Argiolas A, Cocchi D, Muller EE. Endogenous ghrelin is an orexigenic peptide acting in the arcuate nucleus in response to fasting. *Regulatory peptides*. 2003; 111:161–7. [PubMed: 12609764]
130. Wang L, Saint-Pierre DH, Taché Y. Peripheral ghrelin selectively increases Fos expression in neuropeptide Y-synthesizing neurons in mouse hypothalamic arcuate nucleus. *Neuroscience letters*. 2002; 325:47–51. [PubMed: 12023064]
131. Kohno D, Gao HZ, Muroya S, Kikuyama S, Yada T. Ghrelin directly interacts with neuropeptide-Y-containing neurons in the rat arcuate nucleus. *Diabetes*. 2003; 52:948–56. [PubMed: 12663466]
132. Faulconbridge LF, Cummings DE, Kaplan JM, Grill HJ. Hyperphagic effects of brainstem ghrelin administration. *Diabetes*. 2003; 52:2260–5. [PubMed: 12941764]
133. Williams DL, Grill HJ, Cummings DE, Kaplan JM. Vagotomy dissociates short-and long-term controls of circulating ghrelin. *Endocrinology*. 2003; 144:5184–7. [PubMed: 14525914]
134. Hsu TM, Suarez AN, Kanoski SE. Ghrelin: A link between memory and ingestive behavior. *Physiology & behavior*. 2016; 162:10–7. [PubMed: 27072509]
135. Cummings DE, Purnell JQ, Frayo RS, Schmidova K, Wisse BE, Weigle DS. A preprandial rise in plasma ghrelin levels suggests a role in meal initiation in humans. *Diabetes*. 2001; 50:1714–9. [PubMed: 11473029]
136. Monteleone P, Serritella C, Martiadis V, Maj M. Deranged secretion of ghrelin and obestatin in the cephalic phase of vagal stimulation in women with anorexia nervosa. *Biological psychiatry*. 2008; 64:1005–8. [PubMed: 18474361]
137. Monteleone P, Serritella C, Scognamiglio P, Maj M. Enhanced ghrelin secretion in the cephalic phase of food ingestion in women with bulimia nervosa. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2010; 35:284–8. [PubMed: 19631473]
138. Mani BK, Walker AK, Lopez Soto EJ, Raingo J, Lee CE, Perelló M, et al. Neuroanatomical characterization of a growth hormone secretagogue receptor-green fluorescent protein reporter mouse. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*. 2014; 522:3644–66. [PubMed: 24825838]
139. Skibicka KP, Dickson SL. Ghrelin and food reward: the story of potential underlying substrates. *Peptides*. 2011; 32:2265–73. [PubMed: 21621573]
140. Morton GJ, Blevins JE, Williams DL, Niswender KD, Gelling RW, Rhodes CJ, et al. Leptin action in the forebrain regulates the hindbrain response to satiety signals. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 2005; 115:703. [PubMed: 15711637]
141. Huo L, Maeng L, Bjørnbæk C, Grill HJ. Leptin and the control of food intake: neurons in the nucleus of the solitary tract are activated by both gastric distension and leptin. *Endocrinology*. 2007; 148:2189–97. [PubMed: 17317774]
142. Hayes MR, Skibicka KP, Lechner TM, Guarnieri DJ, DiLeone RJ, Bence KK, et al. Endogenous leptin signaling in the caudal nucleus tractus solitarius and area postrema is required for energy balance regulation. *Cell metabolism*. 2010; 11:77–83. [PubMed: 20074530]
143. Kanoski SE, Zhao S, Guarnieri DJ, Dileone RJ, Yan J, De Jonghe BC, et al. Endogenous leptin receptor signaling in the medial nucleus tractus solitarius affects meal size and potentiates intestinal satiation signals. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*. 2012; 303:E496–503. [PubMed: 22693203]
144. Kanoski SE, Alhadeff AL, Fortin SM, Gilbert JR, Grill HJ. Leptin signaling in the medial nucleus tractus solitarius reduces food seeking and willingness to work for food. *Neuropsychopharmacology: official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2014; 39:605. [PubMed: 24002186]
145. Kanoski SE, Hayes MR, Greenwald HS, Fortin SM, Gianessi CA, Gilbert JR, et al. Hippocampal leptin signaling reduces food intake and modulates food-related memory processing. *Neuropsychopharmacology: official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2011; 36:1859–70. [PubMed: 21544068]

146. Figlewicz DP, Evans S, Murphy J, Hoen M, Baskin D. Expression of receptors for insulin and leptin in the ventral tegmental area/substantia nigra (VTA/SN) of the rat. *Brain research*. 2003; 964:107–15. [PubMed: 12573518]
147. Thompson JL, Borgland SL. Presynaptic leptin action suppresses excitatory synaptic transmission onto ventral tegmental area dopamine neurons. *Biological psychiatry*. 2013; 73:860–8. [PubMed: 23305991]
148. Trinko R, Gan G, Gao XB, Sears RM, Guarnieri DJ, DiLeone RJ. Erk1/2 mediates leptin receptor signaling in the ventral tegmental area. *PloS one*. 2011; 6:e27180. [PubMed: 22076135]
149. Hommel JD, Trinko R, Sears RM, Georgescu D, Liu ZW, Gao XB, et al. Leptin receptor signaling in midbrain dopamine neurons regulates feeding. *Neuron*. 2006; 51:801–10. [PubMed: 16982424]
150. Leininger GM, Jo YH, Leshan RL, Louis GW, Yang H, Barrera JG, et al. Leptin acts via leptin receptor-expressing lateral hypothalamic neurons to modulate the mesolimbic dopamine system and suppress feeding. *Cell metabolism*. 2009; 10:89–98. [PubMed: 19656487]
151. Hayes MR, Bradley L, Grill HJ. Endogenous hindbrain glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor activation contributes to the control of food intake by mediating gastric satiation signaling. *Endocrinology*. 2009; 150:2654–9. [PubMed: 19264875]
152. Hayes MR, Lechner TM, Zhao S, Lee GS, Chowansky A, Zimmer D, et al. Intracellular signals mediating the food intake-suppressive effects of hindbrain glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor activation. *Cell metabolism*. 2011; 13:320–30. [PubMed: 21356521]
153. Ruttimann EB, Arnold M, Hillebrand JJ, Geary N, Langhans W. Intrameal hepatic portal and intraperitoneal infusions of glucagon-like peptide-1 reduce spontaneous meal size in the rat via different mechanisms. *Endocrinology*. 2009; 150:1174–81. [PubMed: 18948395]
154. Abbott CR, Monteiro M, Small CJ, Sajedi A, Smith KL, Parkinson JR, et al. The inhibitory effects of peripheral administration of peptide YY 3–36 and glucagon-like peptide-1 on food intake are attenuated by ablation of the vagal–brainstem–hypothalamic pathway. *Brain research*. 2005; 1044:127–31. [PubMed: 15862798]
155. Dossat AM, Lilly N, Kay K, Williams DL. Glucagon-like peptide 1 receptors in nucleus accumbens affect food intake. *J Neurosci*. 2011; 31:14453–7. [PubMed: 21994361]
156. Dickson SL, Shirazi RH, Hansson C, Bergquist F, Nissbrandt H, Skibicka KP. The glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) analogue, exendin-4, decreases the rewarding value of food: a new role for mesolimbic GLP-1 receptors. *J Neurosci*. 2012; 32:4812–20. [PubMed: 22492036]
157. van Bloemendaal L, IJzerman RG, Jennifer S, Barkhof F, Konrad RJ, Drent ML, et al. GLP-1 receptor activation modulates appetite-and reward-related brain areas in humans. *Diabetes*. 2014; 63:4186–96. [PubMed: 25071023]
158. Alhadeff AL, Grill HJ. Hindbrain nucleus tractus solitarius glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor signaling reduces appetitive and motivational aspects of feeding. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2014; 307:R465–70. [PubMed: 24944243]
159. Chen Y, Lin YC, Kuo TW, Knight ZA. Sensory detection of food rapidly modulates arcuate feeding circuits. *Cell*. 2015; 160:829–41. [PubMed: 25703096]
160. Betley JN, Xu S, Cao ZFH, Gong R, Magnus CJ, Yu Y, et al. Neurons for hunger and thirst transmit a negative-valence teaching signal. *Nature*. 2015; 521:180–5. [PubMed: 25915020]
161. Su Z, Alhadeff AL, Betley JN. Nutritive, Post-ingestive Signals Are the Primary Regulators of AgRP Neuron Activity. *Cell reports*. 2017; 21:2724–36. [PubMed: 29212021]
162. Palmiter RD. Is dopamine a physiologically relevant mediator of feeding behavior? *Trends in neurosciences*. 2007; 30:375–81. [PubMed: 17604133]
163. Fulton S, Woodside B, Shizgal P. Modulation of brain reward circuitry by leptin. *Science*. 2000; 287:125–8. [PubMed: 10615045]
164. Abizaid A. Ghrelin and dopamine: new insights on the peripheral regulation of appetite. *J Neuroendocrinol*. 2009; 21:787–93. [PubMed: 19523165]
165. Jerlhag E, Egencioglu E, Dickson SL, Douhan A, Svensson L, Engel JA. Preclinical study: ghrelin administration into tegmental areas stimulates locomotor activity and increases extracellular concentration of dopamine in the nucleus accumbens. *Addiction biology*. 2007; 12:6–16. [PubMed: 17407492]

166. Cone JJ, Fortin SM, McHenry JA, Stuber GD, McCutcheon JE, Roitman MF. Physiological state gates acquisition and expression of mesolimbic reward prediction signals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2016; 113:1943–8.
167. Berthoud HR. Neural systems controlling food intake and energy balance in the modern world. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. 2003; 6:615–20. [PubMed: 14557790]
168. Begg DP, Woods SC. The endocrinology of food intake. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*. 2013; 9:584–97. [PubMed: 23877425]
169. Grill HJ, Skibicka KP, Hayes MR. Imaging obesity: fMRI, food reward, and feeding. *Cell Metab*. 2007; 6:423–5. [PubMed: 18054310]