ERRATA

The ASCO Special Article by Basch et al, entitled, “Antiemetics: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline Update” (J Clin Oncol 29:4189-4198, 2011), contained errors.

In Table 3, “Antiemetic Dosing by Chemotherapy Risk Category,” in the third row under the high emetic risk category (“Corticosteroid”), dexamethasone dosing information for patients treated with fosaprepitant was inadvertently omitted. The dexamethasone dosing information given in the previous version of the table applied only to patients treated with aprepitant. The corrected rows are reproduced below.

Risk Category	Dosing on Day of Chemotherapy	Dosing on Subsequent Days
Corticosteroid if aprepitant is used†		
Dexamethasone	12 mg oral or IV	8 mg oral or IV; days 2 and 3 or days 2-4
Corticosteroid if fosaprepitant is used†		
Dexamethasone	12 mg oral or IV 8 mg oral or IV day 2; 8 mg oral or IV twice per day on days 3 and 4	

In addition, the second sentence of the footnote associated with this information (†) was given as “If patients do not receive aprepitant, the dexamethasone dose should be adjusted to 20 mg on day 1 and 16 mg on days 2 to 4,” whereas it should have read, “If patients do not receive an **NK₁ antagonist**, the dexamethasone dose should be adjusted to 20 mg on day 1 and 16 mg on days 2 to 4.”

The online version has been corrected in departure from the print. The authors apologize for the mistake.

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2014.57.5266; published July 1, 2014

The June 1, 2014, review by J.H. Kwon entitled, “Overcoming Barriers in Cancer Pain Management” (J Clin Oncol 32: 1727-1733, 2014), contained errors. The citations in the second to last and last sentences of the first paragraph in the section Strategies to Overcome Barriers to Cancer Pain Management were given incorrectly. The citations were given as 56-58 in the second to last sentence, and 59-61 in the last sentence of the paragraph. They should have been given as, **8, 56, 57** in the

second to last sentence and **58-60** in the last sentence of the paragraph.

Additionally, the references were numbered incorrectly. Reference 59 should have been given as **58**, 60 should have been given as **59**, and 61 should have been given as **60**.

The author apologizes for the mistakes.

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2014.57.5274; published July 1, 2014