Finally, the variables BCLC stage, Child-Pugh class, HBeAg, HBV DNA, AFP, BML, total bilirubin, direct bilirubin, albumin, ALT, AST, GGT, alkaline phosphatase, and α -fucosidase were collected before surgery, whereas the remaining variables were postoperatively identified. This should have been indicated in Tables 1-3 and Tables A1-A3. Because of their length, the corrected tables are available in their en-

tirety in the Publisher's Note that accompanies the online version of the article, which has been corrected in departure from the print version.

The authors apologize for the mistakes.

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2014.56.5358; published May 1, 2014

The article by Halabi et al, entitled, "Updated Prognostic Model for Predicting Overall Survival in First-Line Chemotherapy for Patients With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer," was published online January 21, 2014 (doi: 10.1200/JCO.2013.52.3696), and in print March 1, 2014 (J Clin Oncol 31:671-677, 2014), with errors.

In the online version of the article, the first sentence of the second paragraph of the Data Analysis heading in the Methods section listed, "Twenty-two previously defined predictors of OS or baseline clinical parameters." The twelfth item in the list was given as, "LDH > 1 upper limit of normal (ULN)". It should have been given as, "**LDH > 1 $\times$ upper limit of normal (ULN)**". This was corrected for print.

In the online and print versions of the article, the first sentence of the first paragraph of the Multivariable heading in the Results section incorrectly referenced Figure 2. It should have referenced **Figure A1**.

In the online and print versions of Figure 2 the LDH values were given as, " ≤ 1 ULN" and " > 1 ULN." They should have been given as, " **$\leq 1 \times$ ULN**" and " **$> 1 \times$ ULN**," respectively.

In the print version of the article, in the Results section under the heading Multivariable Model, the first sentence of the second paragraph provided a list of eight variables. The fourth variable, "LDH > 1 $\times$ ULN (denoted hereafter as 1 ULN)," was given improperly. It should have been given as, "**LDH > 1 $\times$ ULN**."

In the online and print versions of the article, the first sentence of the first paragraph under the heading Predicting OS in the Results section referenced Figure 3. It should have referenced **Figure 2**.

In the online and print versions of the article, the last sentence of the second paragraph under the heading Predicting OS in the Results section referenced Figure 4. It should have referenced **Figure A2**.

In the online version of the article, Table 2 gave the Factor "LDH > ULN (yes ν no)" incorrectly. In the print version of the article, this appeared as "LDH > 1 ULN (yes ν no)." It should have been given as, "**LDH > 1 $\times$ ULN (yes ν no)**."

In the online version of the Results section under the heading Risk Groups, the second and third sentences of the third paragraph were given as, "The median OS times were 17.1 months (95% CI, 16.0 to 20.2) and 30.8 months (95% CI, 26.7 to 37.2). The HR was 2.24 (95% CI, 1.73 to 2.89, log-rank test $P < .001$).". They should have been given as, "The median OS times were 17.1 months (95% CI, 16.0 to 20.2) and 30.8 months (95% CI, 26.7 to 37.2) **in the high-risk and low-risk groups, respectively**, with an HR of 2.24 (95% CI, 1.73 to 2.89; log-rank test $P < .001$).". This was corrected for print.

In the online and print versions of the article, the second sentence of the paragraph under the heading Comparison to Previous Models in the Results section referenced Figure A2. It should have referenced **Figure 4**.

In the online and print versions, the last sentence of the first paragraph in the Discussion section listed "eight factors prognostic of OS." The third factor was given as, "LDH (defined as > 1 ULN)," which in the print version was changed to "LDH (defined as > 1 ULN)." These should have been given as, "LDH (defined as **$> 1 \times$ ULN**).".

In the online version of the article, the Acknowledgments section should have included the following sentence, "**We also thank Brian Smith and Mark Peedin from Duke University for programming the prognostic model and making it publicly available.**" This was corrected on March 1, 2014.

In the online version, Appendix Table A1 gave the status "LDH > ULN," and in the print version, this was given as, "LDH > 1 ULN." The status should have been given as, "**LDH > 1 $\times$ ULN**."

The online and print versions of Figure A1, Panel B, gave the LDH values as, " ≤ 1 ULN" and " > 1 ULN." These should have been given as, " **$\leq 1 \times$ ULN**" and " **$> 1 \times$ ULN**," respectively.

Finally, the fourth author's name was given incorrectly as Karim S. Fizazi. It should have been given as **Karim Fizazi**.

Journal of Clinical Oncology apologizes for the mistakes.

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2014.56.5366; published May 1, 2014