ERRATA

The February 1, 2014, article by Karia et al entitled “Evaluation of American Joint Committee on Cancer, International Union Against Cancer, and Brigham and Women’s Hospital Tumor Staging for Cutaneous Squamous Cell Carcinoma” (J Clin Oncol 32:327-334, 2014) contained errors.

In the abstract, under Results, the fifth sentence was given as “Cumulative incidences of poor outcomes were low for BWH low-stage (T1/T2a) tumors (LR, 1.4% [95% CI, 1% to 2%]; NM, 0.6% [95% CI, 0% to 1%]; DSD, 0.2% [95% CI, 0% to 0.5%]) and higher for high-stage (T2b/T3) tumors (LR, 24% [95% CI, 16% to 34%]; NM, 24% [95% CI, 16% to 34%]; and DSD, 16% [95% CI, 10% to 25%], which validated an earlier study of an alternative staging system.”

However, it should have been given as “Cumulative incidences of poor outcomes were low for BWH low-stage (T1/T2a) tumors (LR, 1.4% [95% CI, 1% to 2%]; NM, 0.6% [95% CI, 0% to 1%]; and DSD, 0.2% [95% CI, 0% to 0.5%]) and higher for high-stage (T2b/T3) tumors (**LR, 28% [95% CI, 19% to 38%]; NM, 25% [95% CI, 16% to 35%]; and DSD, 22% [95% CI, 13% to 34%]**), which validated an earlier study of an alternative staging system.”

In the discussion section, last paragraph, the fifth sentence was given as “In this cohort, the 10-year incidence of LRs, NMs, and DSDs is 24%, 24%, and 16%, respectively, for BWH T2b and T3 tumors.” However, it should have read as “In this cohort, the 10-year incidence of LRs, NMs, and DSDs is **28%, 25%, and 22%**, respectively for BWH T2b and T3 tumors.”

Table 3 contained errors as well. The estimates provided in Table 3 did not match up with the cumulative incidence probabilities shown in Figure 1 (y-axis).

There were also errors in Figure 1A, B, and C. Previously, the curves in Figures 1A-1C did not match the numbers provided in Table 3 as the curves and axes were off as the result of a statistical program error. The figures now match the numbers provided in Table 3, and the axes have been corrected.

The online version has been corrected in departure from the print. The authors apologize for the errors.

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2016.69.5221; published August 20, 2016

The April 20, 2016, article by Hart et al entitled “Trends in Health-Related Quality of Life After a Diagnosis of Ductal Carcinoma In Situ” (J Clin Oncol 34:1323-1329, 2016) was published with errors.

In Figure 3, the x-axis is missing in parts A, B, and C for PCS Score and parts A, B, and C for MCS Score. The x-axis for column 1 should read **Controls**, the x-axis for column 2 should read **< 2**, the x-axis for column 3 should read **2-4**, the x-axis for column 4 should read **5-9**, and the x-axis for column 5 should read **≥ 10**.

In Figure 4, parts A and B, the x-axis is also missing. In both parts A and B, the x-axis for column 1 should read **20-44**, the x-axis for column 2 should read **45-54**, the x-axis for column 3 should read **55-64**, and the x-axis for column 4 should read **65-74**.

The online version has been corrected in departure from the print. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* apologizes for the error.

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2016.69.4604; published August 20, 2016