CORRECTIONS

Author Correction

The October 10, 2010, article by Zhu et al, entitled, “Prognostic and Predictive Gene Signature for Adjuvant Chemotherapy in Resected Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer” (J Clin Oncol 28: 4417-4424, 2010), contained errors.

In Figures 2E and 2F, the *x*-axes were erroneously labeled as “Time (months),” whereas they should have been labeled “Time (years).” The authors apologize to the readers for the mistakes.

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.33.5513

Journal Corrections

The August 1, 2009, editorial by Platz and Lippman, entitled, “Selenium, Genetic Variation, and Prostate Cancer Risk: Epidemiology Reflects Back on Selenium and Vitamin E Cancer Prevention Trial” (J Clin Oncol 27:3569-3572, 2009), contained an error in the online version.

The second author, Scott M. Lippman, was inadvertently omitted from the author list.

Journal of Clinical Oncology apologizes to the authors and readers for the mistake.

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.33.5539

The April 1, 2010, article by Feldman et al, entitled, “TI-CE High-Dose Chemotherapy for Patients With Previously Treated Germ Cell Tumors: Results and Prognostic Factor Analysis” (J Clin Oncol 28:1706–1713, 2010), contained errors.

In Table 3, the second-to-last column was labeled as “Range,” whereas it should have been labeled “95% CI.” Also, in the row labeled “Einhorn favorable prognosis,” the total number of patients was originally given as 19 (Yes) and 88 (No). However, because none of the patients received a favorable prognosis, the data and the accompanying footnote for “Einhorn favorable prognosis” should have been omitted from the table.

In Table A2, the second-to-last column was labeled as “Range,” whereas it should have been labeled “95% CI.” Also, in the row labeled “Einhorn favorable prognosis,” the total number of patients was originally given as 17 (Yes) and 90 (No). However, because none of the patients received a favorable prognosis, the data and the accompanying foot-

note for “Einhorn favorable prognosis” should have been omitted from the table.

In the Results section, under “Prognostic Factor Analysis,” the second-to-last sentence of the first paragraph was originally given as:

“Cisplatin sensitivity ($P = .52$), Einhorn “favorable prognosis” ($P = .37$), histology (seminoma *v* nonseminoma), and target carboplatin AUC did not have a significant impact on DFS.”

whereas it should have read:

“Cisplatin sensitivity ($P = .52$), histology (seminoma *v* nonseminoma), and target carboplatin AUC did not have a significant impact on DFS.”

The online version has been corrected in departure from the print. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* and the authors apologize to readers for the mistakes.

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.33.5547