CORRECTIONS

Author Corrections

The February 20, 2006, article by Khong et al, entitled “White Matter Anisotropy in Post-Treatment Childhood Cancer Survivors: Preliminary Evidence of Association With Neurocognitive Function” (J Clin Oncol 24:884-890, 2006), contained an error in the spelling of the eighth author’s name. It was origi-

nally given as Grainne McAlanon and should have been Grainne McAlonan. The authors apologize to the readers for the mistake.

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.33.2197

The August 1, 2010, article by Konstantinopoulos et al entitled “Gene Expression Profile of BRCAness That Correlates With Responsiveness to Chemotherapy and With Outcome in Patients With Epithelial Ovarian Cancer” (J Clin Oncol 28:3555-3561), contained errors.

In Figure 2b, patients 1, 2, and 4 were incorrectly labeled as revertant. Also, patient 3 was originally labeled as BRCA-2 mutation/BRCA-2 revertant, whereas the label should have read **BRCA-1 mutation and BRCA-2 mutation/BRCA-1 mutation and BRCA-2 revertant**.

In the Abstract, under Results, the first sentence contained an error and should have read: “The BRCAness profile accurately predicted **platinum responsiveness** in eight out of 10 patient-derived tumor specimens, and between PARP-inhibitor sensitivity and resistance in four out of four Capan-1 clones.”

In the Methods section, under Patient Samples, the second sentence of the first paragraph should have read: “The first included six EOC patients with BRCA-1/-2 germline mutations, **three of whom** have been previously described.”

In the Results section, under BRCAness Profile Distinguishes Between Platinum-Sensitive and Platinum-Resistant Tumor Biopsy Samples, the third sentence of the first paragraph should have read: “**One of these patients** was previously reported to have reversion of the BRCA genotype upon develop-

ment of platinum resistance.” In the same paragraph, the last sentence should have read: “Thus, these samples afforded us with an opportunity to determine how the BRCAness profile correlated with **platinum responsiveness**.”

In the same section, in the last paragraph, references to revertant (functional) BRCA gene status should have been removed.

In the legend for Figure 2b, the last sentence should have read: “The BRCAness profile accurately distinguished between platinum sensitivity and platinum resistance in eight out of 10 tumor specimens.”

In the Acknowledgments section, the following text should have been included: “and Elizabeth Swisher, MD, for her assistance in clarifying the BRCA mutation status of patients shown in Figure 2.”

In the Appendix, under Patient Samples, the following text in the first paragraph should have been omitted: “In each case, the development of platinum resistance was associated with reversion to functional BRCA1 and BRCA2 protein.”^{23,30}

The online version has been corrected in departure from the print. The authors apologize to the readers for the mistakes.

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.33.2205

Journal Correction

The October 1, 2010, article by Bianchini et al, entitled “Molecular Anatomy of Breast Cancer Stroma and Its Prognostic Value in Estrogen Receptor–Positive and –Negative Cancers” (J Clin Oncol 28: 4316-4323), contained errors.

The labels for the y-axes of Figure 3 were originally given as B-Cell Cluster Score, and should have been **B-cell/plasma cell Metagene Score**. Also, the labels for the x-axes were inadver-

tently transposed, and should have read: **ER- No Relapse, ER-Relapse, ER+ No Relapse, ER+ Relapse**.

The online version has been corrected in departure from the print. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* apologizes to the authors and readers for the mistakes.

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2010.33.2213