Published in final edited form as:

J Midwifery Womens Health. 2016 May ; 61(3): 370–375. doi:10.1111/jmwh.12443.

Screening for Intimate Partner Violence

Mary T. Paterno, CNM, RN, PhD and

An assistant professor at the University of Massachusetts Amherst in Amherst, MA and practices nurse-midwifery at Baystate Medical Practices Pioneer Women's Health in Greenfield, Massachusetts

Jessica E. Draughon, RN, PhD, SANE-A

An assistant professor at the Betty Irene Moore School of Nursing at UC Davis and is a certified Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner

Background

Intimate partner violence (IPV) refers to emotional, physical, or sexual violence from a current or former intimate partner, including experience of coercion, stalking, and psychological aggression.¹ Globally, 30% of women experience physical or sexual IPV in their lifetime, increasing to 50% of women when including emotional/psychological violence.² Beyond immediate injuries, women exposed to IPV experience an array of poor health outcomes compared to non-abused women.² IPV is associated with chronic pain, depression, anxiety, post-traumatic stress disorder, and substance use.²

Further, IPV is a serious concern during pregnancy. IPV during pregnancy is associated with many adverse outcomes including preterm birth,^{2,3} intrauterine growth restriction,² low birthweight,^{2,3} and neonatal intensive care admission.³ Maternal health outcomes include increased risk for gestational hypertension,³ vaginal bleeding,³ urinary tract infections,³ severe nausea,³ vomiting or dehydration,³ and prenatal and postpartum depression.⁴ For some, pregnancy is protective against IPV; for others, IPV begins during pregnancy or increases in severity.⁴ Violence during pregnancy is associated with young age, separation/divorce during pregnancy, substance use, financial difficulties, and unplanned pregnancy.^{2,4} This article reviews evidence-based best practice to implement routine screening for and response to IPV.

Screening for Intimate Partner Violence

Screening for IPV is effective when done in a therapeutic and systematic manner. The United States Preventive Services Taskforce (USPSTF) released updated recommendations for screening in 2012,⁵ concluding that screening can identify women experiencing IPV and screening has minimal adverse effects. The USPSTF joins various professional groups,

Corresponding author: Mary T. Paterno, CNM, RN, PhD, Assistant Professor, University of Massachusetts Amherst, College of Nursing, 651 N. Pleasant Street, Skinner Hall, Room 130, Amherst, MA 01003, (413) 545-1243, (413) 577-2550, mtpaterno@nursing.umass.edu, mary.t.paterno@gmail.com.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

including the American College of Nurse-Midwives, in recommending screening women of reproductive age for IPV and providing referrals.^{5,6}

Barriers to Screening

Although routine IPV screening is recommended, not all clinicians do so. A recent systematic review reported only 9%-40% of clinicians routinely screen for IPV.⁷ The literature documents several barriers to screening, including personal discomfort with the topic; inadequate resources; lack of time, training, or privacy to screen; perceptions that IPV screening is not the clinician's role; fear of offending patients; and not knowing how to respond to a woman disclosing IPV.⁸ Many of these barriers can be addressed through proper training and development of a systematic screening protocol. Certified nurse-midwives and certified midwives, as primary care providers of women's health, play a crucial role in identifying and responding to IPV.

Best Practices for Screening For Intimate Partner Violence

Be Systematic

O'Reilly et al⁹ identified practices that increase IPV identification, including using a systematic screening protocol and consistently using the same screening tool. The protocol should include: 1) who will conduct the screening, 2) the method of screening that will be used, 3) who will respond to a positive screen, and 4) how often to screen. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists¹⁰ and other experts on IPV¹¹ recommend every woman of reproductive age be screened upon entry to health care and that IPV screening be repeated at all well-visits and annual exams. In addition, they recommend pregnant women be screened once per trimester as well as at a postpartum visit.¹⁰ There is no clear consensus in the literature as to who should conduct the screen (ie, midwife versus other staff) or the best method for conducting screening (ie, on paper, verbally).

Develop a Script

By developing an IPV screening script in advance, midwives can practice screening with friends and colleagues in order to sound natural and engaging and reduce potential anxiety and discomfort. Starting with a normalizing statement legitimizes the need for a conversation about IPV and effectively communicates to the woman she is not alone in her experiences.^{7,12} A sample script is presented in Table 1. Clinicians should avoid using potentially stigmatizing terms such as “battered”, “abused”, and “raped”.¹⁰ Using behaviorally specific terms is preferred, such as hit, slapped, or kicked, versus “abused” or “domestic violence”.¹ A woman may not recognize herself as a “victim” of IPV and use of value-laden terms may decrease her ability to define experiences as abusive or violent, which can negatively impact her willingness to disclose IPV.

Screening Instruments

Using a valid and reliable screening tool is essential; there are many valid and reliable screening tools developed for use and tested in primary care or episodic care settings⁵ (Table 2). One commonly used tool is the Abuse Assessment Screen,¹³ which is included in Table 1. A “yes” response to any question indicates a positive IPV screen and completing the

screening requires less than one minute when all responses are “no.”¹³ Clinicians should avoid asking questions that guarantee a negative response such as “You’re not abused are you?”. In addition, asking a single question to screen for IPV is insufficient. A common screening question is “Do you feel safe at home?”. While relevant, this question has low sensitivity for IPV⁵; there are many reasons a woman may not feel safe at home that are unrelated to IPV, for example neighborhood violence or unstable housing structures. A woman who feels unsafe at home may not be experiencing IPV, and a woman who is experiencing IPV may feel safe at home.

Mandatory Reporting

Prior to implementing routine IPV screening, clinicians should be aware of mandatory reporting laws in their practice state/jurisdiction. When practicing in a state that requires reporting of all IPV-related injuries, as opposed to only life-threatening or injuries involving a weapon, it is imperative to inform women of mandated reporting requirements *before* conducting the screening. This promotes and respects women's autonomy to decide whether to disclose IPV with sufficient information to make such a decision.^{12,14}

Privacy

IPV screening should be conducted in private, one-on-one, and without children or other persons present.⁷ A woman accompanied by her abuser will most likely be unable to answer screening questions honestly. Women also may be unwilling to disclose IPV in front of family members or friends.⁷ Informing the woman and those accompanying her that it is clinic/hospital policy to always speak with patients alone creates opportunities for screening privately. Alternatively, screening in private could occur when escorting the woman to the bathroom. The need for privacy highlights the importance of using an official medical translator when language barriers are present, as opposed to bilingual family members. Trained medical translators keep conversations confidential and increase the fidelity of patient-care encounters.

Therapeutic Communication

Finally, therapeutic communication is an important component of IPV screening. Creating a lasting and trusting relationship with a woman is a major clinical goal. One relevant consideration is to meet a woman at eye-level versus standing over her, especially in the confines of small patient rooms.¹² Invasion of personal space may be a trigger for anxiety and other post-traumatic stress symptoms,¹⁵ which could interfere with her willingness to disclose or her comfort in disclosing IPV. With the proliferation of computers or tablets in patient-care settings, it is easy and often expedient to direct attention toward an electronic device. Focusing on the computer detracts from the therapeutic relationship,¹² and women are less likely to disclose IPV to a person whom they do not trust.^{7,16}

Responding to a Positive Screen for Intimate Partner Violence

Women have diverse reasons for not disclosing IPV at any given interaction and her autonomy to make this decision should be respected.¹² In the event of a positive screen, time will be necessary for the response. The midwife's time will be invested in working with the

woman who *does* disclose IPV, tailoring the interaction to that individual in order to improve general health, maternal, and fetal outcomes.

Immediate Response

The first response is to thank the woman for answering, and to acknowledge the step she has taken in sharing her experiences, whether current or past.¹² Consider a statement such as “Thank you for answering. I’m sorry to hear that this has happened/is happening to you. You deserve to be treated with respect and no one should be hurt by their partner.” See Tables 1 and 3 for additional examples. It is important to avoid statements that could incite fear of her partner discovering she disclosed IPV. Making an immediate offer to call police is well intentioned but women have found this response to be unhelpful¹⁶; concerns about police involvement has been found to be a contributor to nondisclosure of IPV.¹⁴ Instead: 1) *ask* the woman if she wants help at this time, 2) *offer* assistance and choices, and 3) *encourage* safety planning without coercion.¹² Subtle differences in language such as “may I share some resources with you” instead of “let me give you some resources” can help build trust. If a woman does not desire assistance after disclosure, a compassionate and non-judgmental response *communicates* that resources and help are available if she changes her mind.¹² See Table 3 for example language.

Next Steps

Following a positive screen, the clinician has the responsibility to take the following additional actions: 1) complete additional assessments, 2) offer safety planning, and 3) offer referrals.^{11,17} Gathering detailed information through additional assessment to clarify the woman's experience and situation is necessary. Before completing additional assessments, remind the woman about confidentiality limits if proceeding might involve notifying legal authorities. Determining timing of the last abusive event and whether sexual violence was involved will direct provision of referrals to sexual assault/forensic nursing services, as the jurisdiction where the assault occurred will have evidence collection time limits which are usually 72-120 hours. There is a strong correlation between IPV and depression,¹¹ post-traumatic stress,¹¹ substance use,^{11,17} traumatic brain injury,¹¹ and reproductive coercion.¹⁰ Thus, screening for depression and substance abuse are also recommended. Because women often underestimate the level of danger in their relationship,¹⁸ the addition of a lethality assessment, such as the Danger Assessment,¹⁸ which identifies risk factors for intimate partner homicide in the next 12 months, would be prudent. This 20-item self-report tool provides a lethality risk stratification score (variable, increased, severe, extreme),¹⁸ which may serve as a natural segue into discussing safety planning. Information about the Danger Assessment and other resources are listed in Table 4.

Many women are not ready to leave an abusive relationship at the time of screening.¹⁶ Strategies to enhance safety while remaining in the abusive relationship are critical, as is creating a plan to implement if and when she decides to leave, because attempting to leave an abuser without a safety plan can actually increase danger.^{16,18} Strategies may include keeping copies of vital records (eg, birth certificates, social security cards, passports) and money hidden or at a trusted friend's house for a quick escape, discussing ways to protect young children, and knowing/taking steps for obtaining a restraining order. This may seem

an overwhelming amount of content to review for busy clinicians; if time is constrained the clinician can refer the woman to someone who provides safety planning,^{11,17} such as a community-based intimate partner violence advocate group or crisis hotline.

Referrals to local resources such as a social worker, advocacy group, domestic violence specialist, forensic nursing program, branch of the health department, or a shelter can be given. There may be limited local resources in rural areas but national resources, such as the National Domestic Violence Hotline (1-800-799-SAFE) and the National Sexual Assault Hotline (1-800-656-HOPE), are always available (Table 3). Best practice includes offering to make an electronic or telephonic connection to resources with the woman at the time of screening,^{11,12} which could involve offering to make a call on her behalf and/or sitting with her during a phone referral. It is not a clinical failure if a woman does not want help or seem receptive to receiving assistance. In this situation, the goal is to communicate with a warm, non-judgmental response that the woman is in a safe place in which to discuss and receive assistance related to IPV when she is ready.¹²

Conclusion

All clinicians should routinely screen women for IPV. The development of a systematic screening protocol for use in clinical practice, which includes a plan for immediate response and action items when a woman discloses IPV, will assist in providing evidence-based screening. Prior to implementing a screening protocol, clinicians can practice screening with friends and colleagues, and identify IPV resources available locally and nationally for both clinicians and women. Through all of this, therapeutic and non-judgmental communication is key to creating an optimal environment where women are empowered to share their experiences and get help.

Acknowledgments

J. Draughon was supported by T32NR007081 from the National Institute of Nursing Research, National Institutes of Health.

References

1. BreidingMJ, , BasileKC, , SmithSG, , BlackMC, , MahendraR. Intimate Partner Violence Surveillance Uniform Definitions and Recommended Data Elements, Version 2.0Atlanta, GA: National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2015<http://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pdf/intimatepartnerviolence.pdf> [Accessed July 29, 2015]
2. World Health OrganizationGlobal and Regional Estimates of Violence against Women: Prevalence and Health Effects of Intimate Partner Violence and Non-Partner Sexual ViolenceGeneva: World Health Organization; 2013http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/85239/1/9789241564625_eng.pdf?ua=1 [Accessed October 24, 2015]
3. Silverman JG, Decker MR, Reed E, Raj A. Intimate partner violence victimization prior to and during pregnancy among women residing in 26 U.S. states: associations with maternal and neonatal health. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2006; 195:140–148. [PubMed: 16813751]
4. Taillieu TL, Brownridge DA. Violence against pregnant women: prevalence, patterns, risk factors, theories, and directions for future research. *Aggress Violent Behav.* 2010; 15(1):14–35.

5. Nelson HD, Bougatsos C, Blazina I. Screening women for intimate partner violence: a systematic review to update the 2004 U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation. *Ann Intern Med.* 2012; 156(11):796–808. [PubMed: 22565034]
6. American College of Nurse-Midwives Position Statement: Violence Against Women Silver Spring, MD; American College of Nurse-Midwives; 2013 <http://www.midwife.org/ACNM/files/ACNMLibraryData/UPLOADFILENAME/0000000000091/Violence-Against-Women-Sept-2013.pdf> [Accessed July 6, 2015]
7. Todahl J, Walters E. Universal screening for intimate partner violence: a systematic review. *J Marital Fam Ther.* 2011; 37(3):355–369. [PubMed: 21745237]
8. Sprague S, Madden K, Simunovic N, et al. Barriers to screening for intimate partner violence. *Women Health.* 2012; 52(6):587–605. [PubMed: 22860705]
9. O'Reilly R, Beale B, Gillies D. Screening and intervention for domestic violence during pregnancy care: a systematic review. *Trauma Violence Abuse.* 2010; 11(4):190–201. [PubMed: 20688785]
10. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG Committee opinion no. 554: reproductive and sexual coercion. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2013; 121(2 Pt 1):411–415. [PubMed: 23344307]
11. Ghandour RM, Campbell JC, Lloyd J. Screening and counseling for intimate partner violence: a vision for the future. *J Womens Health.* 2015; 24(1):57–61.
12. Iverson KM, Huang K, Wells SY, Wright JD, Gerber MR, Wiltsey-Stirman S. Women veterans' preferences for intimate partner violence screening and response procedures within the Veterans Health Administration. *Res Nurs Health.* 2014; 37(4):302–311. [PubMed: 24990824]
13. Laughon K, Renker P, Glass N, Parker B. Revision of the abuse assessment screen to address nonlethal strangulation. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs.* 2008; 37(4):502–507.
14. World Health Organization Responding to Intimate Partner Violence and Sexual Violence against Women: WHO Clinical and Policy Guidelines Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013 http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/85240/1/9789241548595_eng.pdf [Accessed October 24, 2015]
15. Bogovic A, Mihanovic M, Jokic-Begic N, Svagelj A. Personal space of male war veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Environ Behav.* 2013; 46(8):929–945.
16. Morse DS, Lafleur R, Fogarty CT, Mittal M, Cerulli C. “They told me to leave”: how health care providers address intimate partner violence. *J Am Board Fam Med.* 2012; 25(3):333–342. [PubMed: 22570397]
17. Sutherland MA, Fontenot HB, Fantasia HC. Beyond assessment: examining providers' responses to disclosures of violence. *J Am Assoc Nurse Pract.* 2014; 26(10):587–573.
18. Campbell JC, Webster DW, Glass NE. The danger assessment: validation of a lethality risk assessment instrument for intimate partner femicide. *J Interpers Violence.* 2009; 24(4):653–674. [PubMed: 18667689]
19. Sohal H, Eldridge S, Feder G. The sensitivity and specificity of four questions (HARK) to identify intimate partner violence: a diagnostic accuracy study in general practice. *BMC Fam Pract.* 2007; 8:49. doi: 10.1186/1471-2296-8-49 [PubMed: 17727730]
20. Feldhaus KM, Koziol-McLain J, Amsbury HL, et al. Accuracy of 3 brief screening questions for detecting partner violence in the Emergency Department. *J Am Med Assoc.* 1997; 277(17):1357–1361.
21. Paranjape A, Liebschutz J. STaT: a three-question screen for intimate partner violence. *J Womens Health (Larchmt).* 2003; 12(3):233–239. [PubMed: 12804354]

Table 1
Sample Script for Routine IPV Screening with Use of the Abuse Assessment Screen

Part of Script	Example Language
Normalizing statement	Next I am going to ask you questions about intimate partner violence. These are questions we ask all of our patients.
Mandatory Reporting(if applicable)	Before I ask you these questions, I do need to tell you that I am a mandated reporter of intimate partner violence. What that means, is that if you answer yes to any of the following questions, I will have to file a report with the police on your behalf.
Normalizing statement	We know that all couples argue. When you and your partner argue...
Abuse Assessment Screen (AAS) ^a	(1) Within the last year, have you been pushed, shoved, slapped, hit, kicked, choked or otherwise physically hurt by your partner or ex-partner?
	(2) Since you've been pregnant, have you been hit, slapped, kicked, or otherwise physically hurt by someone?
	(3) Within the last year, has anyone forced you to have sexual activities that you did not want?
	(4) Are you afraid of anyone?
Negative Screen:	Thank you for answering. We like to make sure women know this is a safe place to discuss intimate relationships.
Positive Screen:	Thank you for sharing with me, I know it can be difficult to talk about these topics.
Additional empowering statements	I believe you. This was not your fault. No one deserves to be treated this way. There is help.

^a A "yes" to any question of the AAS constitutes a positive screen for IPV.

AAS questions reprinted with permission from Laughon et al.¹³

Table 2
Select Intimate Partner Violence Screening Tools^a

Authors	Screening Tool	Validity	Pros/Cons
Laughon et al, 2008 ¹³	Abuse Assessment Screen (AAS)	Sensitivity: 32-93% Specificity: 55-99% Positive predictive value: 33% Negative predictive value: 97% ⁵	<u>Pro</u> : 4 questions Assesses sexual violence Assesses non-fatal strangulation Developed for and tested in pregnant women <u>Con</u> : Inconsistent psychometrics
Sohal et al, 2007 ¹⁹	Humiliation, Afraid, Rape, Kick (HARK)	Sensitivity: 81% Specificity: 95% Positive predictive value: 83% Negative predictive value: 94%	<u>Pro</u> : 4 questions Assesses sexual violence High sensitivity and specificity ⁵ <u>Con</u> : Since it is a relatively newer tool, there is less research supporting its use.
Feldhaus et al, 1997 ²⁰	Partner Violence Screen (PVS)	Sensitivity: 64.5-71.4% Specificity: 80.3-84.4% Positive predictive value: 51.3-63.4% Negative predictive value: 87.6-88.7%	<u>Pro</u> : 3 questions <u>Con</u> : Does not assess sexual violence
Paranjape et al, 2003 ²¹	Slapped, Threatened, and Throw (Things) (STaT)	Sensitivity: 64-96% Specificity: 75-100%	<u>Pro</u> : 3 questions High sensitivity and specificity ⁵ <u>Con</u> : Does not assess sexual violence Some articles report 2 yes responses yields higher sensitivity and specificity

^aFor all tools, a single “yes” response constitutes a positive screen for IPV. All tools include questions about physical and psychological violence.

Table 3
Sample Responses for a Positive IPV Screen

Part of Response	Example Language
<i>Ask</i> the woman if she wants assistance at this time	Thank you for answering. I know it can be hard to discuss this topic. Would you like me to help you with this?
<i>Offer</i> assistance and choices	(1) May I share some resources with you?(2) I'd be happy to talk with you about this now, or if you prefer we can make a follow-up appointment.(3) Would you like me to help you make a call to a hotline that helps women in this situation? If you would prefer to speak privately, I can set you up with a phone in a private room.(4) There are several local community resources for women in similar situations; if you would like, I can help you make an appointment, or we can call one of them together.
<i>Encourage</i> safety planning without coercion	I would like to help you to remain safe while in your relationship. May I share some safety planning techniques with you?
<i>Communicate</i> that resources and help are available	It's okay if you don't want help now. If you change your mind and want assistance or resources in the future, I am here and I am happy to help. You are not alone.

Table 4
Web and National Resources for Screening and Responding to IPV

Name of Resource	Where to Access	Description
Danger Assessment	https://www.dangerassessment.org/	Training on use of the danger assessment to assess risk for homicide.
Futures Without Violence	http://www.futureswithoutviolence.org/	Training webinars, resources for clinicians, links to screening resources.
National Domestic Violence Hotline	1-800-799-SAFE	24-7 hotline for crisis intervention and safety planning.
National Network to End Domestic Violence	http://nnedv.org/	Links to state coalitions, safety planning guides, and get help resources for victims.
National Sexual Assault Hotline	1-800-656-HOPE	Confidential phone support and connection to local resources.
Nursing Network on Violence Against Women	http://nnvawi.org/	Links to tools for abuse assessment.
National Online Resource Center on Violence Against Women	http://www.vawnet.org/	Tools for training, intervention, prevention, program development, research.