Published in final edited form as:

J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2018 August ; 67(2): 232–236. doi:10.1097/MPG.0000000000002028.

Recommendations for Diagnosis and Management of Autoimmune Pancreatitis in Childhood: Consensus from INSPPIRE

Isabelle Scheers^{1,2}, Joseph J. Palermo³, Steven Freedman⁴, Michael Wilschanski⁵, Uzma Shah⁶, Maisam Abu-El-Haija³, Bradley Barth⁷, Douglas S. Fishman⁸, Cheryl Garipey⁹, Matthew J. Giefer¹⁰, Melvin B. Heyman¹¹, Ryan W. Himes⁸, Sohail Z. Husain¹², Tom K. Lin³, Quin Liu¹³, Mark Lowe¹⁴, Maria Mascarenhas¹⁵, Veronique Morinville¹⁶, Chee Y. Ooi¹⁷, Emily R. Perito¹¹, David A. Piccoli¹⁵, John F. Pohl¹⁸, Sarah J. Schwarzenberg¹⁹, David Troendle⁷, Steven Werlin²⁰, Bridget Zimmerman²¹, Aliye Uc^{21,*}, and Tanja Gonska^{1,*§}

¹Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

²Cliniques Universitaires St Luc, Brussels, Belgium

³Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH, USA

⁴Harvard Medical School, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA

⁵Hadassah Hebrew University Hospital, Jerusalem, Israel

⁶Harvard Medical School, Massachusetts General Hospital for Children, Boston, MA, USA

⁷University of Texas Southwestern Medical School, Dallas, TX, USA

⁸Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA

⁹Nationwide Children's hospital, Columbus, OH, USA

¹⁰Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA, USA

¹¹University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

¹²Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh of UPMC, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

¹³Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA

¹⁴Washington University School of Medicine, St-Louis, MO, USA

§Corresponding author: Tanja Gonska Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition The Hospital for Sick Children 555 University Avenue Toronto, ON M5G 1H4 Tel: 416 813 2164 Fax: 416 813 6531 tanja.gonska@sickkids.ca.

***Equal senior authors**

Author contributions:

IS: study design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, voting panel, statistical analysis, drafting of the manuscript. JJP, SF, MW, US: member of the AIP working group within INSPPIRE, acquisition of data, voting panel, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

AM, BB, DF, CG, MG, MH, RH, SH, TL, QL, ML, MM, VM, CO, EP, DP, JFP, SS, DT, SW: voting panel, critical revision of the manuscript.

AU: member of the AIP working group within INSPPIRE, voting panel, acquisition of data, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, obtained funding, study supervision.

TG: member of the AIP working group within INSPPIRE, study concept and design, acquisition of data, voting panel, drafting and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, study supervision.

Disclosures: The other authors have no disclosure or conflict of interest.

¹⁵The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, USA

¹⁶Montreal Children's Hospital, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada

¹⁷Discipline of Paediatrics, School of Women's and Children's Health, Medicine, University of New South Wales and Sydney Children's Hospital Randwick, Sydney, Australia

¹⁸University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

¹⁹University of Minnesota Masonic Children's Hospital, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA

²⁰Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA

²¹University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA, USA

Abstract

OBJECTIVES—Autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP) represents a complex immune-mediated pancreas disorder. Pediatric AIP (P-AIP) is rare. We have recently summarized the characteristic features of P-AIP. We now aim to develop recommendation statements to standardize the diagnostic and therapeutic approach to P-AIP and facilitate future research in the field.

METHODS—A panel of pediatric gastroenterologists participating in the **I**nternational **S**tudy **G**roup of **P**ediatric **P**ancreatitis: **I**n search for a **c**u**R**E (INSPPIRE) was formed to discuss and then vote on 15 recommendation statements. A consensus of at least 80% was obtained following 3 voting rounds and revision of the statements.

RESULTS—We have now generated 15 statements to help standardize the approach to diagnosis and management of P-AIP.

CONCLUSIONS—The first P-AIP recommendation statements developed by the INSPPIRE group are intended to bring standardization to the diagnosis and treatment of this rare childhood disorder. These statements may help guide a uniform approach to patient care and facilitate future research studies.

Keywords

autoimmune pancreatitis; pancreatitis; children; lymphoplasmacytic sclerosing pancreatitis; idiopathic duct centric pancreatitis; recommendations

Introduction

Autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP) is a distinct, infrequent form of pancreatitis in children with a poorly understood pathophysiology. In the INSPPIRE population, only 4% of children with chronic pancreatitis have AIP listed as the primary risk factor¹. We have recently published a report combining AIP cases from multiple pediatric sites and cases listed in the literature; and were able to describe the characteristics of pediatric autoimmune pancreatitis (P-AIP)².

We have shown that P-AIP can occur at any age, but most commonly around adolescence and can affect children from all racial and ethnic backgrounds² P-AIP mainly presents with abdominal pain and/or obstructive jaundice. On cross sectional imaging, focal or diffuse

pancreatic enlargement, abnormal (rim-like) gland enhancement and/or pancreatic duct irregularity are commonly found. In cases where pancreas biopsies were obtained, histopathologic features included a combination of granulocytic epithelial lesions (GEL), storiform fibrosis and lymphoplasmacytic infiltration. P-AIP generally responds well to corticosteroids although 10-15% will eventually develop exocrine pancreatic insufficiency (EPI) or diabetes during the course of the disease. A quarter of patients with P-AIP will develop other immune/inflammatory diseases².

In contrast to adult AIP (A-AIP), there are no established guidelines directing a common diagnostic and therapeutic approach for P-AIP. In fact, most previous published case series have relied on adult criteria for P-AIP management decisions. However, in our recent study we recognized differences in the clinical presentation and the disease course in children compared to adults. Furthermore, we evidenced wide variations in diagnosis and management of P-AIP between pediatric centers.

To resolve these issues, we have now made use of the expertise of a large panel of pediatric pancreatologists to develop pediatric-focused clinical recommendations for the definition, diagnosis and treatment approach of P-AIP based on our previously collected data on the disease. We addressed gaps in knowledge and research needs that require further investigation.

Methods

INSPPIRE is the first and largest international multicenter effort studying children with acute recurrent and chronic pancreatitis. INSPPIRE has enrolled over 400 patients with acute recurrent and chronic pancreatitis since 2012, from 22 different sites worldwide with the goal to study the risk factors, natural history and outcome of the disorders in children³.

A working group within INSPPIRE was created in July 2015 with the following members: IS, JJP, SF, MW, US, AU, TG. They were tasked with the critical review of the literature and development of recommendations for P-AIP.

The first part of the project was focused on summarizing the actual clinical experience about AIP in children².

The working group then developed a working definition as well as diagnostic and therapeutic recommendations for P-AIP as follows: (1) the INSPPIRE P-AIP working group drafted 15 statements including definition, diagnosis and management of P-AIP following the review and appraisal of our collected data; (2) The statements were presented to a panel of 30 pediatric gastroenterologists, all within the INSPPIRE group and having a special interest and/or expertise in pediatric pancreatology for review and discussion; (3) 25 panel members participated in the voting process (agree/disagree) and offered comments as necessary. The percentage of agreement on each statement was reported to the panel members. Statements with less than 80% agreement were discussed, revised and then re-circulated for a second vote. Statements 8 and 9 were edited and underwent a 3rd vote to respond to input and discussions from the panel.

Results

Working definition of AIP

There has been increasing awareness of AIP in children over the years, however it is still difficult to unambiguously diagnose P-AIP. The panel members agreed on a specific pediatric working definition as P-AIP has distinct features compared to adults.

Statement 1	91% agreement
AIP in children is a distinct subtype of pancreatitis associated with pancreatic parenchymal changes including lymphoplasmacytic and/or neutrophilic infiltrates and/or parenchymal fibrosis. A feature of the disease is the prompt clinical response to steroids.	

Clinical presentation of pediatric AIP

Whereas A-AIP mainly presents with painless jaundice, children with AIP often complain about abdominal pain and/or jaundice². Other common signs in children include weight loss, fatigue and vomiting.

Statement 2	100% agreement
Children with AIP may present with acute onset of abdominal or back pain, jaundice, fatigue and/or weight loss.	

Diagnosis of AIP in children

Interestingly, serum pancreatic enzyme levels do not always support the diagnosis of P-AIP as amylase and lipase may be normal at the time of diagnosis in 46-57% of children². Although increased serum levels of IgG4 have a high diagnostic value for A-AIP (positive in 68-92% in AIP type 1⁴ and 25% in AIP type 2⁵)⁶, elevated IgG4 levels are uncommon in children (22%)². Other auto-antibodies have been identified in A-AIP, such as antibodies to carbonic anhydrase II or lactoferrin⁷. These have not been systematically studied in the pediatric population.

Statement 3	92% agreement
As a form of pancreatitis, P-AIP is associated with elevated amylase and lipase. However, due to a common sub-acute presentation, these may have already normalized at the time of diagnosis.	

Statement 4	100% agreement
Normal IgG4 levels do not rule out the diagnosis of P-AIP.	

Statement 5	100% agreement
There is lack of data to associate a diagnosis of P-AIP with increased gammaglobulin levels or auto-antibodies such as anti-nuclear antibody (ANA), rheumatoid factor or anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae antibody (ASCA).	

Transabdominal ultrasound (TUS) is an important first line tool for pancreatic imaging in children. This technique is sufficiently sensitive in evaluating pancreatic enlargement or mass formation and rule out other causes of obstructive jaundice. TUS has several limitations including difficulty to visualize the pancreas due to intestinal gas, obesity and operator dependency for interpretation⁸. Specific P-AIP findings on imaging (e.g. capsule-like rim, main pancreatic duct irregularity, common bile duct tapering within an enlarged

pancreatic head – Figure 1) are best appreciated by magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP). Therefore, if AIP is suspected or when TUS resolution is limited, MRCP should be obtained even in young children who will require sedation for the study⁹.

Statement 6 96% agreement
TUS serves as an important first line imaging technique in children presenting with symptoms suggestive of pancreatitis and/or obstructive jaundice. However, high suspicion for AIP, a hypoechoic parenchyma, diffuse or focal enlargement of the pancreas, a pancreatic mass lesion with/without a dilated common bile duct in absence of choledocholithiasis, should prompt an MRI/MRCP.

Statement 7 96% agreement
MRI/MRCP findings seen in P-AIP include (1) focal, segmental or global pancreas enlargement; (2) hypointense pancreas on T1-weighted images; (3) hypointense capsule-like rim on T2-weighted images; (4) main pancreatic duct irregularities or stricture (5) common bile duct stricture or dilatation of the common bile duct which tapers toward an enlarged pancreatic head. Although most of these features are not specific for P-AIP, the presence of more than 1 should raise the suspicion for P-AIP.

Two types of AIP are distinguished in adults: lymphoplasmacytic sclerosing pancreatitis (LPSP, AIP type 1) and idiopathic duct-centric pancreatitis (IDCP, AIP type 2). We found that in P-AIP histopathological features of both types (granulocytic epithelial lesions and lymphoplasmacytes) are often present.

The need for pancreatic histopathology to establish the diagnosis of P-AIP and the use of papilla biopsies to evaluate for IgG4-positive plasma cells generated most of the discussion among the panel members. A histopathological diagnosis is the gold standard for all inflammatory gastrointestinal diseases. Similarly, the diagnosis of P-AIP should ideally be confirmed by the well-described and pathognomonic histopathological features of P-AIP in a pancreas tissue specimen.

Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)-guided pancreatic biopsies may be used to obtain specimens from children. Procedure-related complications have not been systematically evaluated in children but are low in adults (0-2%)¹⁰.

There may be several barriers that limit systematic realization of pancreatic biopsies in children: (1) there are only a handful pediatric endoscopists trained to perform EUS¹¹, (2) there is limited expertise in interpretation of pediatric pancreatic histopathology, and (3) it is challenging to obtain adequate pancreatic tissue with the currently available biopsy needles^{12, 13}.

In adults, pancreatic biopsies are necessary not only to diagnose AIP, but most importantly to rule out cancer. Due to the difficulty to diagnose AIP on a biopsy sample, increased recognition of AIP clinically and with imaging, adult gastroenterologists often skip the biopsy and start a trial with corticosteroids, which itself is used as a diagnostic criterion to confirm AIP^{5, 6}.

Papilla biopsies are found to be less sensitive than EUS-guided pancreatic biopsies in adult studies (65 versus 79%)¹⁴. This has never been evaluated in children.

Statement 8 100% agreement

Histological findings of acute and/or chronic inflammatory cell infiltration around pancreas acini or peri-ductular and/or presence of IgG4-positive plasma cells with or without pancreas fibrosis is suggestive for the diagnosis of P-AIP.

A tissue diagnosis should ideally be obtained prior to initiating therapy. However, barriers exist to recommend routine EUS-guided biopsies for all children (e.g. limited number of EUS-skilled pediatric endoscopists and pediatric pathologists, inadequate biopsy needles). If these barriers cannot be overcome, we suggest that the diagnosis of P-AIP can be made based on the clinical and imaging findings, since the risk for pancreatic cancer in children is extremely low.

Statement 9

More data is needed to determine the utility of major papilla biopsies for the diagnosis of P-AIP.

95% agreement

Therapeutic options for AIP and response to therapy

The current literature on P-AIP favors the use of corticosteroids (methylprednisolone or prednisolone) as the first line therapy. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of any therapy has to be put in perspective with other studies reporting spontaneous resolution without any treatment². Further studies analyzing the short and long-term outcomes of patients receiving medical treatments compared to a wait-and-see approach will be important to understand the true role of steroids in autoimmune pancreatitis. This is particularly important for children where corticosteroid therapy always bears the risk of growth restriction.

Response to corticosteroid therapy should be evaluated based on improvement or resolution of clinical symptom such as reduction in abdominal pain, jaundice and improvement of pancreatic function tests. Furthermore, therapeutic response also needs to be assessed by repeated imaging with MRCP demonstrating normalization of the pancreas parenchyma such as regression of the pancreatic mass lesion.

There is clinical experience, similar to what has been reported for adults, that some children with AIP will relapse. There is currently no data to favor an immunosuppressant drug over the other for a steroid-sparing maintenance regimen.

Statement 10

Some P-AIP patients may have symptom resolution without any therapy. However, there are no long-term data comparing complication or recurrence rate with and without treatment. Thus, as per adult literature and reports of P-AIP, treatment with oral prednisone is recommended for symptomatic patients after establishing the AIP diagnosis.

100% agreement

Statement 11

Oral prednisone, 1 to 1.5 mg/kg/day to a maximum of 40–60 mg given in one or 2 divided daily doses for 2–4 weeks is recommended as first line treatment in P-AIP. Prednisone should then be tapered.

92% agreement

Statement 12

Treatment response to corticosteroid therapy should be assessed as a) clinical response within 2 weeks after starting corticosteroid therapy, b) imaging response by imaging such as transabdominal US, MRI/MRCP or EUS about 3 months after starting corticosteroid therapy.

100% agreement

Statement 13

In case of AIP relapse, a new course of prednisone may be tried. The introduction of an immunomodulator such as 6-mercaptopurine, azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil or infliximab (in patients with a concomitant diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease) can be an alternative to prednisone in biopsy-proven P-AIP patients if maintenance therapy is required. There is insufficient data to suggest one immunomodulator over another.

91% agreement

Other organ involvement

Autoimmune pancreatitis predisposes to the development of other immune/inflammatory disorders (e.g. Crohn's, ulcerative colitis, celiac disease, etc.). It is therefore reasonable to rule out other immune-mediated diseases in the presence of suggestive symptoms.

Statement 14

Children with a diagnosis of AIP are at greater risk to develop other autoimmune or inflammatory diseases.

100% agreement

Mid- and long-term outcome of AIP

The natural history of P-AIP is unknown. About 25% of children relapse over time², but there are no prospective and longitudinal studies of P-AIP patients to predict outcome.

AIP predisposes children to EPI and diabetes, with a short-term frequency of 17% and 11% respectively². Diabetes mellitus can be transient in some patients¹⁵. Ongoing chronic inflammation may favor the development of pancreatic cancer in the future. The voting panel members felt strongly that follow-up of P-AIP patients beyond childhood is essential to identify possible long-term complications.

Statement 15

There is currently insufficient data about the long-term risk of complications such as EPI and diabetes. Hence, patients with P-AIP should be monitored regularly by pediatric gastroenterologists, and when reaching adulthood, by adult gastroenterologists.

100% agreement

Conclusion and future goals

Autoimmune pancreatitis is a very rare and distinct type of pancreatitis. In recent years, the disease of P-AIP has been increasingly recognized but many questions remain regarding its physiopathology, diagnosis and treatment. In this paper, we have provided a working definition of the disease as well as recommendations for the diagnosis and therapy of P-AIP. Our goal was to provide a standardized approach to diagnose, treat and follow patients with P-AIP, bring uniformity to patient care and facilitate future research.

In this work, we have made use of the collective clinical experience of P-AIP that we have published earlier, and have brought together pediatric pancreatologists from our international pancreatitis consortium to establish level III evidence statements for P-AIP.

Further questions that need to be addressed in the future include: (1) understanding the natural history of P-AIP, (2) identifying specific biomarkers of the disease, (3) identifying new diagnostic tools, (4) defining the best therapeutic approaches, and (5) develop tools to predict functional outcome.

We hope that these recommendations will be useful to the clinicians and researchers worldwide, enable collaborations and prospective clinical studies to gain further insights into this rare disease entity. As clinical experience broadens and gaps in research are filled, we

expect that our recommendations will evolve over time to further improve the care of our pediatric patients.

Acknowledgments

Grant support:

This work was supported by NIH DK096327 (AU), DK108334 (AU); by National Pancreas Foundation (AU); INSPPIRE registry was developed by CTSA (2UL1 TR000442) and REDCap. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

ML is consultant for AbbVie, Inc.; Nordmark Arzneimittel GmbH & Co. KG; is in the Board of Directors of the National Pancreas Association; receives royalties from Millipore Inc.

IS is supported by a Restracom Grant and a Fondation St Luc Grant.

TG received a research grant from Vertex Pharmaceuticals.

AU is a member of the American Board of Pediatrics, Subboard of Pediatric Gastroenterology.

Abbreviations

AIP	autoimmune pancreatitis
ANA	anti-nuclear antibody
ASCA	anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae antibody
CUSL	Cliniques Universitaires St Luc
EPI	exocrine pancreatic insufficiency
ERCP	endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography
EUS	endoscopic ultrasound
GEL	granulocytic epithelial lesions
IDCP	idiopathic duct centric pancreatitis
INSPPIRE	International Study Group of Pediatric Pancreatitis: In Search for a Cure
LPSP	lymphoplasmacytic sclerosing pancreatitis
MRCP	magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography
TUS	transabdominal ultrasound

References

1. Schwarzenberg SJ, Bellin M, Husain SZ, et al. Pediatric chronic pancreatitis is associated with genetic risk factors and substantial disease burden. *J Pediatr*. 2015; 166:890–6 e1. [PubMed: 25556020]
2. Scheers I, Palermo JJ, Freedman S, et al. Autoimmune Pancreatitis in Children: Characteristic Features, Diagnosis, and Management. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2017

3. Morinville VD, Lowe ME, Ahuja M, et al. Design and implementation of INSPPIRE. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2014; 59:360–4. [PubMed: 24824361]
4. Okazaki K, Kawa S, Kamisawa T, et al. Amendment of the Japanese Consensus Guidelines for Autoimmune Pancreatitis, 2013 I. Concept and diagnosis of autoimmune pancreatitis. *J Gastroenterol.* 2014; 49:567–88. [PubMed: 24639057]
5. Hart PA, Zen Y, Chari ST. Recent Advances in Autoimmune Pancreatitis. *Gastroenterology.* 2015; 149:39–51. [PubMed: 25770706]
6. Shimosegawa T, Chari ST, Frulloni L, et al. International consensus diagnostic criteria for autoimmune pancreatitis: guidelines of the International Association of Pancreatology. *Pancreas.* 2011; 40:352–8. [PubMed: 21412117]
7. Okazaki K, Uchida K, Ohana M, et al. Autoimmune-related pancreatitis is associated with autoantibodies and a Th1/Th2-type cellular immune response. *Gastroenterology.* 2000; 118:573–81. [PubMed: 10702209]
8. Lin TK, Troendle DM, Wallihan DB, et al. Specialized Imaging and Procedures in Pediatric Pancreatology: A North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition Clinical Report. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2017; 64:472–484. [PubMed: 28230608]
9. Refaat R, Harth M, Proschek P, et al. Autoimmune pancreatitis in an 11-year-old boy. *Pediatr Radiol.* 2009; 39:389–92. [PubMed: 19190899]
10. Adler DG, Jacobson BC, Davila RE, et al. ASGE guideline: complications of EUS. *Gastrointest Endosc.* 2005; 61:8–12. [PubMed: 15672049]
11. Scheers I, Ergun M, Aouattah T, et al. Diagnostic and Therapeutic Roles of Endoscopic Ultrasound in Pediatric Pancreaticobiliary Disorders. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2015; 61:238–47. [PubMed: 25564818]
12. Detlefsen S, Mohr Drewes A, Vyberg M, et al. Diagnosis of autoimmune pancreatitis by core needle biopsy: application of six microscopic criteria. *Virchows Arch.* 2009; 454:531–9. [PubMed: 19238431]
13. Zhang L, Chari S, Smyrk TC, et al. Autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP) type 1 and type 2: an international consensus study on histopathologic diagnostic criteria. *Pancreas.* 2011; 40:1172–9. [PubMed: 21975436]
14. Jung JG, Lee JK, Lee KH, et al. Comparison of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography with papillary biopsy and endoscopic ultrasound-guided pancreatic biopsy in the diagnosis of autoimmune pancreatitis. *Pancreatol.* 2015; 15:259–64. [PubMed: 25891790]
15. Lurz E, Gonska T. Pancreatic Head Mass Leading to Transient Obstructive Jaundice and Diabetes Mellitus in an Adolescent. *Gastroenterology.* 2015

What is Known

- Wide variations exist in the diagnostic evaluation and treatment of children with autoimmune pancreatitis.
- The approach to children with autoimmune pancreatitis is based largely on adult data.

What is New

- A panel of pediatric pancreatologists from INSPPIRE generated recommendations to help standardize the approach for diagnostic evaluation and management of autoimmune pancreatitis in children.
- The gaps in knowledge and research needs to advance the field are highlighted.

Figure 1. Imaging characteristics in pediatric autoimmune pancreatitis patients

A. Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) T1-weighted transversal section evidencing a pathologic hyperintense capsule-like rim at the pancreas periphery. B. MRCP T2-weighted three-dimensional (3D) cholangiogram reconstruction showing a dilated common bile duct (CBD) that is obstructed in its proximal intrapancreatic part (arrow). The main pancreatic duct (MPD) also narrows in the pancreas head. C. Transabdominal ultrasound showing a bulky hypoechoic heterogeneous pancreatic head (arrows). D. MRCP T2-weighted transversal section showing an enlarged pancreas head (arrows) with a hypointense mass lesion (dotted area) inside.