Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2018 March 28; 140(12): 4204–4207. doi:10.1021/jacs.7b13681.

Lewis Acid Activation of the Ferrous Heme–NO Fragment Towards the N–N Coupling Reaction with NO to Generate N₂O

Erwin G. Abucayon[†], Rahul L. Khade[‡], Douglas R. Powell[†], Yong Zhang^{*‡}, and George B. Richter-Addo^{*†}

[†]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019, United States

[‡]Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Stevens Institute of Technology, Castle Point on Hudson, Hoboken, New Jersey 07030, United States

Abstract

Bacterial NO reductase (bacNOR) enzymes utilize a heme-nonheme active site to couple two NO molecules to N₂O. We show that BF₃ coordination to the nitrosyl O-atom in (OEP)Fe(NO) activates it towards N–N bond formation with NO to generate N₂O. ¹⁵N-isotopic labeling reveals a reversible nitrosyl exchange reaction and follow-up N–O bond cleavage in the N₂O formation step. Other Lewis acids (B(C₆F₅)₃ and K⁺) also promote the NO coupling reaction with (OEP)Fe(NO). These results, complemented by DFT calculations, provide experimental support for the *cis:b₃* mechanism in bacNOR.

Graphical Abstract

The conversion of nitric oxide (NO) to the greenhouse gas nitrous oxide (N₂O) is an important component of the global N cycle.¹ Elucidating the N–N bond forming reaction between two NO molecules to generate N₂O remains one of the high impact research goals in NO bioinorganic chemistry. Bacterial NO reductases (bacNOR) utilize a di-Fe heme/non-heme active site (heme *b₃*:non-heme Fe_B) to couple two NO molecules to generate N₂O and the μ -oxo (heme)Fe–O–Fe_B that is reused in the reaction cycle.^{2–3} X-ray crystal structures of

Corresponding Authors: yong.zhang@stevens.edu (DFT), and grichteraddo@ou.edu (experimental).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information.

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

Experimental, crystallography details (CCDC 1813020), additional figures, tables, and computational details (PDF)

bacNOR enzymes are available,²⁻⁴ and provide a framework for the elucidation of the NO coupling reaction.

Three mechanisms for the NO coupling reaction catalyzed by bacNOR have been proposed (Figure 1), and all involve the formation of a new N–N bond and a hyponitrite intermediate. In the *cis:b₃* mechanism, the second (external) NO molecule attacks the heme-nitrosyl N atom to form the hyponitrite intermediate. In the *trans* mechanism, both active site Fe atoms first bind one NO each prior to N–N bond formation. In the *cis:Fe_B* mechanism, both NO molecules bind at the non-heme Fe_B site prior to the coupling reaction.

Detailed computational investigations on the bacNOR active site by Blomberg are in support of the *cis:b₃* pathway, where the heme-NO moiety is stabilized by an O atom interaction with the Fe_B center.⁵⁻⁶ Definitive experimental evidence for this *cis:b₃* mechanism is, however, lacking. Spectroscopic studies on heme models have suggested the *trans* mechanism as a viable mechanism for NO coupling by bacNOR.⁷ The operative mechanism for NO reduction by the di-Fe bacNOR enzyme is, however, still under active debate^{3, 8-9}

Importantly, outstanding work by Lu^{8, 10} and Karlin¹¹ on engineered myoglobins and on synthetic dinitrosyl (por)Fe(NO)₂/Cu^I/acid systems, respectively, have clearly established the requirement for the second non-heme metal to enable N₂O formation. Given the current high interest in elucidating the mechanism(s) of NO reduction to generate N₂O, it is imperative that molecular-level experimental insight into factors that control the initial N–N bond formation be obtained.

It is interesting to note that two of the three proposed mechanisms for NO reduction by bacNOR (the *cis*: b_3 and *trans* mechanisms) involve the ferrous (por)Fe(NO) fragment, whose participation is central to both these mechanisms. Curiously, however, the (por)Fe(NO) compounds in isolation are unreactive towards external NO for N₂O generation.^{11–14} We thus sought to probe experimental factors that could activate the isolated (por)Fe(NO) fragment towards N–N bond formation with external NO to result in the generation of N₂O. In this article, we demonstrate experimentally, complemented by DFT calculations, that Lewis acid addition to a synthetic (por)Fe(NO) compound enables activation of the FeNO moiety for engagement in a three-way synergistic reaction with external NO for the critical N–N bond formation step to generate N₂O.

Addition of 2.5 equiv. of the Lewis acid $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ to an anaerobic CH_2Cl_2 solution of $(\text{OEP})\text{Fe}(\text{NO})$ (ν_{NO} 1664 cm^{-1}) at 0 °C results in a ~32–35% decrease in ν_{NO} intensity and the appearance of a new IR band at 1425 cm^{-1} (sh) that shifts to 1406 cm^{-1} when $(\text{OEP})\text{Fe}(^{15}\text{NO})$ is used (Figure 2). It is intriguing to note that the large ν_{NO} shift of -241 cm^{-1} that occurs when the Lewis acid is added to the $\{\text{FeNO}\}^7$ precursor $(\text{OEP})\text{Fe}(\text{NO})$ to form the $(\text{OEP})\text{Fe}(\text{NO}) \cdot \text{BF}_3$ adduct is similar to those observed for the 1-electron reduced $\{\text{FeNO}\}^8$ anions (Table 1) whose ν_{NO} s are also of weak-to-medium intensities.^{15–18} This large ν_{NO} shift in $(\text{OEP})\text{Fe}(\text{NO}) \cdot \text{BF}_3$ is suggestive of a significant increase in backdonation of electron density from the Fe center to the nitrosyl ligand as a result of Lewis acid coordination to the terminal nitrosyl O atom.^{19–21} A smaller yet still significant negative

ν_{NO} shift of $\sim 50 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ has been documented for the engineered mononitrosyl di-Fe myoglobin mutant, $\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_B\text{Mb(NO)}$.²²

We then proceeded to evaluate the effect of the Lewis acid addition on the reaction of $(\text{OEP})\text{Fe(NO)}$ with external NO. When the ^{15}N -labeled $(\text{OEP})\text{Fe}(^{15}\text{NO})$ is reacted with ^{14}NO in the absence of Lewis acid, a nitrosyl exchange reaction occurs (eq 1) as determined by IR spectroscopy, consistent with an earlier report.²³ Further, and consistent with previous results for related $(\text{por})\text{Fe(NO)}$ compounds,^{11, 13–14} we find that $(\text{OEP})\text{Fe(NO)}$ does not react with NO to generate N_2O .¹²

When $(\text{OEP})\text{Fe(NO)}$ is reacted with NO *in the presence* of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$, however, we observed the ready formation of the N–N coupled product N_2O ($\nu_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}$ 2237/2212 cm^{-1} ; Figure 3A top) in 55–63% yields as determined by IR spectroscopy.²⁴ When ^{15}N -labeled $(\text{OEP})\text{Fe}(^{15}\text{NO})$ is reacted with unlabeled ^{14}NO in the presence of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$, the mixed labeled $^{15}\text{N}^{14}\text{NO}$ and $^{14}\text{N}^{15}\text{NO}$ products form in addition to $^{14}\text{N}_2\text{O}$ and $^{15}\text{N}_2\text{O}$ (Figure 3A bottom),²⁵ due to the exchange process in eq. 1. In this reaction, $[(\text{OEP})\text{Fe(NO)}]\text{BF}_3\text{OH}$ (ν_{NO} 1839 cm^{-1}) is isolated as the byproduct in 59–64% unoptimized isolated yield.²⁶

The requirement for BF_3 in the reaction suggests that the Lewis acid plays an important role in activating $(\text{OEP})\text{Fe(NO)}$ towards reactivity with NO, most likely via the pathway shown in Figure 4.

We employed density functional theory calculations using an unsubstituted porphine macrocycle (P; see SI for details) to probe the proposed involvement of $\mathbf{1}\text{-NO} \cdot \text{BF}_3$ and $\mathbf{1}\text{-(NO)}_2 \cdot \text{BF}_3$ in the N–N coupling reaction depicted in Figure 4. The optimized structures are shown in Figure 5 (*right*), and are compared with those for the non- BF_3 containing analogs (*left*).

The interaction of BF_3 with the terminal O atom in $\mathbf{1}\text{-NO} \cdot \text{BF}_3$ results in a lengthening of both the Fe–NO (from 1.718 Å to 1.911 Å) and FeN–O (1.157 Å to 1.225 Å) bonds. Interestingly, the NO moiety in $\mathbf{1}\text{-NO} \cdot \text{BF}_3$ becomes partially anionic ($-0.239e$), compared with a partially cationic charge ($+0.131e$) in the precursor $\mathbf{1}\text{-NO}$. This helps explain the significant lowering of the experimental ν_{NO} by -241 cm^{-1} (Table 1) upon BF_3 coordination to the FeNO moiety in $(\text{OEP})\text{Fe(NO)} \cdot \text{BF}_3$ with substantial Fe^{III} and nitroxyl character (Tables S1 and S2). We note that a related ν_{NO} of -228 cm^{-1} was observed for the 1-electron reduced $\{\text{FeNO}\}^8$ anion $[(\text{OEP})\text{Fe(NO)}]^-$ (as its $\text{K}^+(2.2.2)$ salt), whose N–O bond length increased by $\sim 0.02\text{--}0.04$ Å from that of the neutral precursor.¹⁵ A similar nitroxyl character was proposed for the protein model $\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}\text{-Fe}_B\text{Mb(NO)}$.²² Importantly, the spin density on the nitrosyl N atom of $\mathbf{1}\text{-NO} \cdot \text{BF}_3$ is $-1.108e$, which is substantially increased from that of its precursor $\mathbf{1}\text{-NO}$ ($+0.401e$) (Table S2), rendering it susceptible to attack by external NO.

As mentioned above, (OEP)Fe(NO) in the absence of the Lewis acid BF₃ does not engage in the N–N coupling reaction with external NO to generate N₂O. Likely structures of **1**-(NO)₂ (bottom left of Figure 5) were probed. The reaction ΔG of 11.90 kcal/mol (Table S4) for NO coupling essentially shows no catalytic role of the (P)Fe unit alone for NO coupling.^{27–28}

Inclusion of BF₃ in the reaction of (OEP)Fe(NO) with external NO leads to the successful generation of N₂O which necessitates the consideration of the N–N bond-forming step. The optimized structure of **1**-(NO)₂•BF₃ is shown in Figure 5 (bottom right). In the presence of BF₃, the N–N coupling reaction has a large decrease in ΔG from ~12 kcal/mol (without BF₃ present) to –0.54 kcal/mol (with BF₃ present). The reductions in other energy terms are also pronounced and result in –13.53, –10.60, and –11.62 for reaction ΔE , zero-point-energy-corrected ΔE , and ΔH , respectively. The data clearly show a strong enthalpic driving force to help overcome the big entropy drop due to NO coupling. Both the Fe–N and (Fe)N–O(B) distances become longer in **1**-(NO)₂•BF₃ (by 0.284 and 0.122 Å, respectively) than those in the precursor **1**-NO•BF₃, thus weakening these interactions upon binding of the second NO. The effect of BF₃ addition on the nature of the NO-coupled intermediate is even more striking when one considers that without BF₃ (i.e., in **1**-(NO)₂), both the proximal NO (–0.338e charge; directly bound to Fe) and distal NO (–0.257e charge) groups are negatively charged, which hinders NO coupling due to Coulombic repulsion and explains the lack of NO coupling determined experimentally. In contrast, however, when BF₃ is attached to the proximal O atom in **1**-(NO)₂•BF₃, it induces a large negative charge of –0.539e to this proximal NO moiety, whereas the distal NO moiety changes to be positively charged (+0.146e), which results in a strong favorable Coulombic attraction to facilitate the NO coupling reaction as observed experimentally. The resulting short N–N bond distance of 1.240 Å in **1**-(NO)₂•BF₃ is indicative of a strong N–N bond typical of that determined in stable hyponitrite [O=N=N–O]–salts.^{29–30} Additional results in the SI show that BF₃ alone does not enable NO coupling (nor does NO by itself),³¹ so it is the *synergistic effect* of both iron porphyrin and Lewis acid that is critical for NO coupling, which may help understand the biological NOR mechanism in systems where both heme and nearby Lewis acid are available.

Our results provide experimental support for the *cis:b₃* mechanism for the NO-coupling reaction, where it is the original heme nitrosyl FeN–O(Lewis acid) bond in the **1**-(NO)₂•BF₃ intermediate that, is cleaved to give N₂O. The observed N₂O may derive from two isomers of the *cis*-hyponitrite intermediate, with the second (*O*-coordinated) form (Figure 6; *middle*) having a slightly lower energy (by ~1 kcal/mol; Table S1 footnote) but a clearly longer proximal N–O bond (by 0.081 Å). This latter intermediate can explain both the facile regioselective N–O cleavage and formation of the (OEP)Fe(μ -OH)B(C₆F₅)₃ product (isolated in ~55% yield when B(C₆F₅)₃ was used as the Lewis acid) whose X-ray structure was obtained. Such a *cis*-hyponitrite isomerization has recently been proposed for bacNOR based on DFT calculations.⁶ Investigations are on-going to determine the detailed energetics of all possible pathways for these processes, and will be the subject of a follow-up paper.

In summary, our experimental results, supported by DFT calculations, demonstrate for the first time that Lewis acid activation of a synthetic monoheme-NO model, via coordination of the Lewis acid to the terminal nitrosyl O-atom, renders the FeNO moiety susceptible to

attack by external NO to generate a new N–N bond en route to N₂O formation. Importantly, we show that it is *the synergistic and not the separate* effects of (por)Fe and Lewis acid BF₃ that is critical for the NO coupling reaction. Our preliminary extensions to other Lewis acids such as [K(2.2.2)]OSiMe₃ (Figure 3B) and B(C₆F₅)₃ (Figure S2) show that they also enable the stoichiometric {heme-¹⁵NO + ¹⁴NO} coupling reaction. Indeed, our results suggest that a redox-active (second) metal is not necessarily required for the *first but critical* stoichiometric N–N bond formation step for NO coupling with the (por)Fe(NO) fragment. However, in the bacNOR catalysis, a second redox center in the active site would be required to complete the reaction to generate both N₂O and water, and be catalytically competent while maintaining strict control of this biological heme-NO activation. These results lend the first chemical support for the proposed *cis-b₃* mechanism as a viable, but not necessarily exclusive, pathway for NO coupling by the bacterial NO reductase enzymes and related systems where both heme and nearby metal ions/Lewis acids are in close proximity.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the National Science Foundation (CHE-1566509 to GBR-A) and the National Institutes of Health (GM085774 to YZ) for funding for this work.

References

1. Wasser IM, de Vries S, Moenne-Loccoz P, Schroder I, Karlin KD. Chem Rev. 2002; 102:1201–1234. [PubMed: 11942794]
2. Tosha T, Shiro Y. IUBMB Life. 2013; 65:217–226. [PubMed: 23378174]
3. Shiro Y, Sugimoto H, Tosha T, Nagano S, Hino T. Phil Trans R Soc B. 2012; 367:1195–1203. [PubMed: 22451105]
4. Sato N, Ishii S, Sugimoto H, Hino T, Fukumori Y, Sako Y, Shiro Y, Tosha T. Proteins. 2014; 82:1258–1271. [PubMed: 24338896]
5. Blomberg LM, Blomberg MRA, Siegbahn PEM. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2006; 1757:240–252. [PubMed: 16774734]
6. Blomberg MRA, Siegbahn PEM. J Comput Chem. 2016; 37:1810–1818. [PubMed: 27130561]
7. Collman JP, Dey A, Yang Y, Decreau RA, Ohta T, Solomon EI. J Am Chem Soc. 2008; 130:16498–16499. [PubMed: 19049449]
8. Chakraborty S, Reed J, Sage JT, Branagan NC, Petrik ID, Miner KD, Hu MY, Zhao JY, Alp EE, Lu Y. Inorg Chem. 2015; 54:9317–9329. [PubMed: 26274098]
9. Blomberg MRA. Biochemistry. 2017; 56:120–131. [PubMed: 27959492]
10. Lin YW, Yeung N, Gao YG, Miner KD, Tian S, Robinson H, Lu Y. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010; 107:8581–8586. [PubMed: 20421510]
11. Wang J, Schopfer MP, Pulu SC, Sarjeant AAN, Karlin KD. Inorg Chem. 2010; 49:1404–1419. [PubMed: 20030370]
12. Wayland,¹³ Ford,¹⁴ and Karlin¹¹ have shown that (por)Fe(NO) compounds react with NO to generate the *trans* dinitrosyls (por)Fe(NO)₂.
13. Wayland BB, Olson LW. J Am Chem Soc. 1974; 96:6037–6041. [PubMed: 4415859]
14. Lorkovic I, Ford PC. J Am Chem Soc. 2000; 122:6516–6517.
15. Kundakarla N, Lindeman S, Rahman MH, Ryan MD. Inorg Chem. 2016; 55:2070–2075. [PubMed: 26885766]

16. Wei ZC, Ryan MD. *Inorg Chem.* 2010; 49:6948–6954. [PubMed: 20604563]
17. Pellegrino J, Hubner R, Doctorovich F, Kaim W. *Chem Eur J.* 2011; 17:7868–7874. [PubMed: 21626580]
18. Goodrich LE, Roy S, Alp EE, Zhao JY, Hu MY, Lehnert N. *Inorg Chem.* 2013; 52:7766–7780. [PubMed: 23746143]
19. Similar ν_{NO} shifts of -118 to -261 cm^{-1} have been noted for crystallographically characterized coordination compounds with metal-NO-BX₃ linkages.^{20–21}
20. Lee KE, Arif AM, Gladysz JA. *Chem Ber.* 1991; 124:309–320.
21. Choualeb A, Blacque O, Schmalle HW, Fox T, Hildebrand T, Berke H. *Eur J Inorg Chem.* 2007:5246–5261. and refs therein.
22. Hayashi T, Miner KD, Yeung N, Lin YW, Lu Y, Moenne-Loccoz P. *Biochemistry.* 2011; 50:5939–5947. [PubMed: 21634416]
23. Yoshimura T. *Inorg Chim Acta.* 1984; 83:17–21.
24. Saier EL, Pozefsky A. *Anal Chem.* 1954; 26:1079–1080.
25. Dubowski Y, Harush D, Shaviv A, Stone L, Linker R. *Soil Sci Soc Am J.* 2014; 78:61–69. and references therein.
26. This [(OEP)Fe(¹⁴NO)]BF₃OH product likely forms from the attack of excess added ¹⁴NO on an [(OEP)Fe](O(H)BF₃) intermediate after N₂O loss. The related ferric nitrosyl [(OEP)Fe(NO)](B(C₆F₅)OH·B(C₆F₅)), obtained from the analogous B(C₆F₅)₃ reaction has been characterized by X-ray crystallography (see Supporting Information Figure S5).
27. Yi J, Morrow BH, Campbell ALOC, Shen JK, Richter-Addo GB. *Chem Commun.* 2012; 48:9041–9043.
28. Heinecke JL, , Khin C, , Iretskii AV, , Ford PC. Poster presentation INOR-465 at the 237th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society; Salt Lake City, UT, USA. March 2009;
29. Arulsamy N, Bohle DS, Imonigie JA, Sagan ES. *Inorg Chem.* 1999; 38:2716–2725.
30. Feldmann C, Jansen M. *Z Kristallogr.* 2000; 215:343–345.
31. Dimerization of NO has been discussed extensively in the literature: Urban B, Strobel A, Bondybey VE. *J Chem Phys.* 1999; 111:8939–8949., and references therein.

Figure 1.
The three common proposed mechanisms for NO coupling by bacterial NO reductases.

Figure 2.

IR spectra showing the reduction in intensity of the ν_{NO} band of the starting (OEP)Fe(NO) (ν_{NO} 1664 cm⁻¹) in CH₂Cl₂ upon reaction with BF₃•Et₂O. *Inset:* IR spectrum of the product mixture (as a NaCl plate) when (OEP)Fe(NO) (solid line) is reacted with BF₃•OEt₂. The corresponding IR spectrum for the (OEP)Fe(¹⁵NO) reaction is shown as a dashed line. The new bands are obscured in the reaction solvent (CH₂Cl₂) mixture.

Figure 3.

(A) *Top*: IR spectrum of the headspace from the reaction of (OEP)Fe(NO) with $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ and NO, showing the formation of N_2O ($2237/2212 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). *Bottom*: Headspace IR spectrum when (OEP)Fe(^{15}NO) is reacted with $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$ and unlabeled ^{14}NO , to give the mixed labeled $^{15}\text{N}^{14}\text{NO}$ ($\sim 2212/2194 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and $^{14}\text{N}^{15}\text{NO}$ ($\sim 2194/2170 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) products as well as $^{14}\text{N}_2\text{O}$ and $^{15}\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ($\sim 2167/2145 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). These products also arise when (OEP)Fe(^{14}NO) is reacted with ^{15}NO . (B) Corresponding spectra when $[\text{K}(2,2,2)]\text{OSiMe}_3$ was used instead of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$. NO_2 ($\nu_{\text{NO}_2} \sim 1618 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) was not formed in these reactions, but appeared over time when leakage of the gas IR cell occurred if excess NO was present. The isotopic N_2O products were identified by their characteristic IR spectra.²⁵

Figure 4.

Proposed pathway for the N-N coupling reaction enabled by the Lewis acid BF_3 .

Figure 5. Calculated geometries (in Å and deg) and selected NPA charges (in e units) of the optimized precursor (P)Fe(NO) (1-NO) and products from its reactions with NO and/or BF₃. Bond distances are in italics-underline, and atomic charges in bold font.

Figure 6.
Proposed pathway for the formation of N_2O .

Table 1

NO stretching frequencies (cm^{-1}) for (OEP)Fe(NO) •BF₃ and anionic {FeNO}⁸ iron porphyrins.

system	ν_{NO}	ν_{NO}^a	ref
(OEP)Fe(NO) •BF ₃	1425	−241	t.w.
[(OEP)Fe(NO)] [−]	1445	−228	15
[(TPP)Fe(NO)] [−]	1496	−185	16
[(TFPPBr ₉)Fe(NO)] [−]	~1450	−165	17
[(3,5-Me-BAFP)Fe(NO)] [−]	~1466	−218	18
[(T α -F ₂ PP)Fe(NO)] [−]	1473	−214	18

^a ν_{NO} with respect to the neutral {FeNO}⁷ precursors.