Published in final edited form as:

Anal Bioanal Chem. 2018 July ; 410(18): 4237–4245. doi:10.1007/s00216-018-1071-1.

Nanospray Desorption Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry of Untreated and Treated Probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* Cells

Agbo-Oma Uwakweh¹, Joseph N. Mwangi¹, Daniel Todd¹, Zhenquan Jia², and Norman H. L. Chiu^{1,3,*}

¹Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of North Carolina at Greensboro, Greensboro, North Carolina 27402, USA

²Department of Biology, University of North Carolina at Greensboro, Greensboro, North Carolina 27402, USA

³The Joint School of Nanoscience and Nanoengineering, University of North Carolina at Greensboro, Greensboro, North Carolina 27402, USA

Abstract

Mass spectrometry has proven to be a useful technique for rapid identification of bacterial cells. Among various ionization techniques in mass spectrometry, matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) has been commonly used for the identification of bacterial cells. Recently, MALDI mass spectrometry has also been utilized to distinguish cellular responses. Ambient ionization techniques do support whole bacterial cells analysis, which include desorption electrospray ionization (DESI). Nanospray DESI (nDESI) is a new variant of DESI and its application to whole cell mass spectrometry is limited. In this project, the use of nDESI mass spectrometry to measure probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* (LR) cells is explored. Unique and reproducible mass spectral pattern of untreated LR cells was obtained by using 50% methanol:water as nDESI solvent. The use of nDESI mass spectrometry is further extended to distinguish untreated LR cells from treated LR cells that have been exposed to low pH. These findings demonstrate the feasibility of using nDESI in whole cell mass spectrometry.

Graphical abstract

Keywords

Whole cell mass spectrometry; bacterial cells; nanospray DESI

*Corresponding author, prof.chiu@gmail.com.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Introduction

Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry (MALDI MS) is used widely in clinical laboratories as a means of rapidly identifying bacterial strains.^{1–3} This is accomplished by mixing the bacterial culture with a matrix compound and spotting onto a MALDI sample plate prior to the analysis. A schematic diagram of the MALDI process is shown in Figure 1(A). This direct approach to identify bacterial cells is often referred as whole cell mass spectrometry or intact cell mass spectrometry, because intact bacterial cells are mixed with MALDI matrix prior to the measurements. Bacterial strains are differentiated by detecting specific molecular ions from the cellular samples, and the intensity of their corresponding peaks in the mass spectra can also be taken into consideration. The mass spectral patterns obtained from a variety of known bacterial cells form a spectral library or database that can be referenced when bacterial cells in a particular sample are being identified.^{4–6}

Whole cell mass spectrometry has also been used to monitor the responses from cells that have been exposed to a variety of conditions. This includes detecting bacterial responses to different environmental conditions by measuring the cells directly using MALDI mass spectrometry.^{7,8} Among other applications of whole cell mass spectrometry, it has been used to detect and monitor the responses from mammalian cells. Using MALDI mass spectrometry, the response of mammalian cells which had been exposed to known toxic chemicals were detected by comparing the mass spectral patterns.⁹ Other studies have also shown the capability of MALDI mass spectrometry to detect cellular responses to various toxicants.¹⁰

Mass spectrometric ambient ionization technique enables samples to be ionized outside the mass spectrometer in an open laboratory environment, or in their original condition.^{11–13} The ability of samples to be ionized outside the mass spectrometer reduces the workload and simplify the procedure for preparing the samples before the mass spectrometric analysis can be carried out.^{14,15} Bacterial samples have been studied by using ambient ionization techniques. It has been utilized particularly for identifying bacteria and also for examining colonies of bacteria directly from agar plates.^{14,16,17} Desorption electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (DESI) has been used to rapidly distinguish different types of bacteria.¹⁸ Unlike MALDI mass spectrometry, DESI and other ambient ionization techniques are more suited to studying compounds in low mass ranges.^{19–21}

Nanospray desorption electrospray ionization (nDESI) mass spectrometry is a new variant of DESI source that makes use of a liquid junction to deliver charged analyte ions into the mass spectrometer (Figure 1B). Instead of using a flow of gas to direct a charged solvent in the conventional DESI, a solvent capillary, which is also known as the primary capillary, is utilized to deliver the solvent in small charged droplets to the sample surface, and a secondary capillary (or nanospray capillary) is used to transfer the charged analyte ions into the mass spectrometer.²² The droplets that form on the sample surface bridge the gap between the two capillaries and enables the transfer of sample into the mass spectrometer.^{23,24} Ambient ionization sources like nDESI enable samples to be ionized without any MALDI matrix compound, thus simplifying the protocols for sample preparation. In this

study, the use of nDESI mass spectrometry to measure a specific bacterial cell culture was first explored. This was followed by exploring the use of nDESI mass spectrometry to distinguish untreated bacterial cells from the same cell type but treated with low pH, which is a critical factor for the survival of bacterial cells.

Materials & Methods

Preparation of Bacterial Samples

Lactobacillus reuteri (ATCC 23272) samples were prepared freshly by inoculating 0.5-1 μ L of a stock culture into 5 mL of de Man Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) medium and incubated under 5 % of CO₂ gas at 37 °C in the darkness until OD₆₀₀ reading of ~1.8 was attained, which corresponded to the mid-log phase of LR cells. The blank for the OD₆₀₀ readings was MRS medium without any cells.

Harvesting and Washing Bacterial Cells

To harvest the bacterial cells, the culture was transferred into a 15 mL centrifuge tube and centrifuged at 3,000 g for 3 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was discarded. The cell pellet was re-suspended in 1 mL of fresh ice-cold MRS medium and transferred into a micro-centrifuge tube. After spinning down the cells, the cell pellet was washed two times with 1 mL of autoclaved ice-cold deionized water. Following the last wash, the pellet was re-suspended in about 200-500 μ L of autoclaved ice-cold deionized water.

Treatment of LR Cells at Low pH

The *Lactobacillus reuteri* cells were grown under normal conditions and OD₆₀₀ of ~1.8 was attained before being harvested and re-suspended in an MRS medium that had been adjusted to pH 4 by using hydrochloric acid. After an hour incubation inside the incubator, the bacterial cells were transferred, washed and re-suspended in autoclaved ice-cold deionized water as described above. In order to have a control sample for comparison, a separated and equal volume of cell culture was harvested and re-suspended in fresh MRS medium at the normal pH and handled in the same way as the treated cells.

Nanospray DESI MS analysis

The 2-4 μ L samples that contained whole LR bacterial cells were dried under room temperature on a glass slide. Mass spectrometric measurements were performed by using a Thermo LTQ Orbitrap equipped with a DESI ionization source from Prosolia. Modification of this source was accomplished by extending the length of existing spray capillary, thus allowing droplets of solvent to be delivered onto the sample surface. The length of nanospray capillary was measured and cut to an appropriate length for lining it up with the mass spectrometry inlet and the solvent capillary as shown in Figure 1. The alignment of the nanospray capillary was further adjusted while a control was being measured. The rhodamine dye (443 m/z) in a red Sharpie™ was used as a control in this study. Droplets of 50% methanol:water solvent was delivered to the sample sample by using a 250 μ L syringe with a flow rate of ~3.5 μ L/min. The spray voltage was set to 4.5 kV and the capillary voltage at 55 kV, which were default settings for the Prosolia DESI ionization source. The angle of the solvent capillary also remained at the default position as this is less critical for

nDESI experiments, because a stable signal can be obtained when the solvent capillary and the nanospray capillary form a liquid junction. Each mass spectrum was acquired in positive ion full scan mode with a mass range of 125-1000 m/z for ~3 minutes which resulted in about 325 scans. Negative controls were acquired by obtaining spectra from 50% methanol: water and MRS medium. In order to maintain the signal intensity over the acquisition time, the position of the cellular sample was adjusted via the xy-stage on the nDESI ion source, such that different parts of the same sample were aligned with the nanospray capillary.

Results & Discussion

Acquisition of Unique Mass Spectral Pattern from Untreated *Lactobacillus reuteri* (LR)

To achieve rapid identification of bacterial samples, one important factor is minimal sample preparation. For bacterial cells that are isolated from either liquid cultures or biological samples, the cells ought to be washed in order to reduce the background noise from sample matrix.^{20,25} Hence, the washing step is required in the protocols for preparing the cellular samples that are directly detected by using mass spectrometry. In comparison to the protocol for preparing MALDI cellular samples, which requires the co-existence of a specific MALDI matrix compound in the sample, the preparation of nDESI samples including the bacterial samples in this study is relatively simple.⁹ As shown in the method section, the bacterial samples are ready to be measured right after the washing step is completed. Since nDESI operates under the ambient air pressure, versus loading the samples into a high vacuum MALDI ion source, nDESI method would save time and more compatible to high throughput settings.

Different solvents that would support the DESI process had been previously reported by other research groups.²⁶ By comparing the signals and their signal intensities that could be acquired from LR cells when different solvents were used, the optimum solvent for supporting nDESI was identified to be 50% methanol:water. The optimization of the solvent flow rate as well as the angle between the nanospray capillary and the sample surface did not yield any significant improvement on the signals being acquired from the LR cellular sample. In comparison to the flowrate in a standard nanoESI ion source, 3.5 $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$ flowrate of the selected solvent to support nDESI is relatively high. However, the actual flowrate of the solvent in the nanospray capillary after making contact with the sample in an open space is expected to be lower than 3.5 $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$ (Figure 1(B)).

In order to ensure that the mass spectral pattern obtained with nDESI was unique to LR cells, spectral patterns of 50% methanol:water, and the MRS cell culturing medium were obtained as negative controls. A mass spectral pattern is defined as all the m/z ratio of each detectable peak, i.e. signal-to-noise ratio ≥ 2 , within the predetermined mass range and their corresponding peak intensity. Figure 2(A) shows the spectral pattern acquired from whole LR cells, which is different from those spectral patterns obtained from the negative controls as shown in Figure 2(B) and 2(C). Hence, the LR spectral pattern is unique and corresponds to untreated LR cells. If the LR cells were not washed as described in the method section, the spectral pattern looked similar to the one obtained from MRS medium (Figure 2B). After washing the cells, higher signals could be obtained if the cells were air dried.

Reproducibility of LR Mass Spectral Pattern

To ensure nDESI is suitable for whole cell mass spectrometry, the ability to acquire the same mass spectral pattern from the selected bacterial model of *Lactobacillus reuteri* is critical. To test the reproducibility of nDESI measurements, LR cells were cultured, washed and analyzed on separated days. The results show in Figure 3 indicate the spectral pattern of LR cells is distinct and can be obtained reproducibly. The coefficient of variation of intensities of major peaks among the four spectra in Figure 3 were calculated, and the average was equal to 11.556%. The spectral patterns display small variations in the overall peak intensity, which could be attributed to different amounts of LR cells being measured and/or other factors such as minor changes in the concentration of growth media components that could be caused by autoclaving.¹⁸

Different Spectral Pattern Obtained from Treated LR Cells

As demonstrated in an earlier report from our research group, cellular response to stress conditions could be detected via the changes in MALDI mass spectra obtained from cells that had been exposed to stress conditions.⁹ Using the spectral pattern of untreated LR cells as a reference, variations in the nDESI mass spectrum of LR cells that had been exposed to a low pH condition could be detected. To ensure the spectral pattern of treated LR cells was reproducible, the same experiment of treating the LR cells was repeated. As shown in Figure 4, the results were reproducible. The coefficient of variation of intensities of major peaks among the four spectra in Figure 4 were calculated, and the average was equal to 13.181%.

In order to determine whether the spectral patterns obtained from treated LR cells are correlated to each other, the experimental data was analyzed by using the principal component analysis (PCA). For the PCA calculation, all the m/z value of each detectable peak with 10% peak intensity were considered as observable and their corresponding peak intensity were considered as variable. As shown in the lower right-hand side of Figure 5(A), the Pearson correlation coefficient of the four repeated mass spectrometric measurements (4A-4D) range from 0.933 to 0.960, which indicate the spectral pattern of treated LR cells is statistically reproducible. Also, as shown in the upper right-hand side of Figure 5(A), similar results on the correlation of spectral patterns that corresponded to the repeated measurements of untreated LR cells (3A-3D) are achieved. Equally important, the PCA plot of correlation in Figure 5(A) shows that the reproducible spectral patterns of untreated LR cells (3A-3D) are grouped together but segregated in a different segment in comparison to those correspond to treated LR cells (4A-4D). This represents the spectral patterns of untreated LR cells and treated LR cells are different.

To investigate the differences in the spectral patterns between the untreated and treated LR cells, the PCA scores of each observable (i.e. m/z value) are plotted in Figure 5(B), and the observables with a relative high or low score are labeled. By comparing the spectral patterns between untreated and treated LR cells, an extra peak is detected at 237.99 m/z which has the lowest PCA score in the lower left-hand side of Figure 5(B). In another segment on the upper left-hand side of Figure 5(B), the data points with higher PCA score which correspond to the peaks at 208.00, 221.04 and 219.03 m/z are missing or undetectable in the treated spectral pattern. The remaining labeled data points with higher PCA score in the upper right-

hand side of Figure 5(B) correspond to the peaks at 185.07 and 173.06 m/z have lower intensity in the treated spectral pattern in comparison to the spectral pattern of untreated LR cells.

In order to visualize the differences in the spectral patterns of untreated and treated LR cells, the representative spectra are stacked in Figure 6. The changes in the spectral pattern of treated LR cells may include the presence of additional peak(s) and/or absence of detectable peak(s), plus the changes in peak intensity, both increasing and decreasing peak intensity. Some of these changes in the treated spectral pattern are highlighted in Figure 6(B). The prolonged exposure of LR cells to the same pH condition results in more pronounced changes in the mass spectral pattern (Figure 6(C)). The unique mass spectral pattern of LR cells and its variation resulting from a specific treatment under the low pH do comply with the results in an earlier report, in which changes in the protein level were detected by comparing the gel images of lysates extracted from the same LR cells with or without any low pH treatment.²⁷ Additional differential peaks with their m/z ratios and peak intensities are summarized in Figure 7. In Figure 7(A), it shows the spectral patterns of LR cells (untreated or treated) are free from any signal that corresponds to the components used in the culturing medium (see Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM) Fig. S1). Whereas, in Figure 7(B), it shows the list of differential peaks with either increasing or decreasing peak intensity as the results of treating the LR cells under low pH (see ESM Fig. S2). These peaks further allow the differentiation of spectral patterns in Figure 6, plus supporting a possibility on identifying new biomarkers for differentiating bacterial cellular responses in the future by using this experimental approach.

Conclusions

Based on the initial results of this pilot study, nDESI ion source does support the reproducible acquisition of a unique mass spectrum from whole LR bacterial cells directly. Supported by the results from an orthogonal PCA analysis, the cellular response from LR cells to a treatment at low pH could be detectable by using the nDESI method. This represents the first proof of concept on using nDESI mass spectrometry to distinguish a sample of treated bacterial cells from those that contain untreated cells. The nDESI method is complementary to the current MALDI method in which cellular samples are also measured directly by mass spectrometry. This is because the two ionization techniques are based on entirely different principles, thus the efficiency on ionizing various compounds in the same sample are expected to be different. Also, without the use of any MALDI matrix, the nDESI signals are free from any MALDI matrix interference. These explain why the optimal nDESI signals were acquired in the lower mass range and may not overlap significantly with the MALDI signals. Hence, if both nDESI and MALDI are used to measure the same cellular sample, the two methods do complement with each other and provide a more comprehensive coverage on the sample contents being investigated. Similar to the MALDI method, which has been proven to be useful for identifying bacterial cells in the area of clinical microbiology, the information on the identity of each detectable peak in the nDESI method may not be required. This is simply due to the comparative nature of the nDESI method, which relies on identifying the variations in the mass spectral pattern of a sample of interest in comparison to the spectral pattern obtained from a reference. While

being a simple comparative method, the nDESI method does provide high specificity and accuracy. This is because more than one particular signal in a single mass spectrum are being used to determine whether there is any variation in the spectral patterns. Similar to the conventional DESI methods, the nDESI method is theoretically applicable to the measurements of other cell lines with or without any treatment. With the ease on carrying out bacterial cell culturing in the laboratories and the extensive knowledge on the cellular processes in bacterial cells, the readily available bacterial strains have often been the choice for cellular models in many different research areas. To confirm the identity of a bacterial cell culture and/or determine whether the culture has been contaminated by another type of cells, the direct MALDI MS measurements of bacterial cells has been demonstrated to be a viable approach. By replacing the conventional MALDI ion source with nDESI or other ambient ionization technique, it would simplify the sample preparation process before the MS measurements. This technological development is expected to pave the way for using direct MS measurements of bacterial cells in the ongoing studies of various microbiomes. Similar to the MALDI MS method, the nDESI approach holds the potentials to speed up the identification of bacterial cell cultures as well as investigating the possible responses from bacterial cells after exposing the cells to specific conditions. For the food or pharmaceutical industries, the nDESI approach may represent a way to faster or more frequent quality control testing, which in turn further improves the quality of our consumable products.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to acknowledge the technical supports from Prof. Nick Oberlies, Dr. Vincent Sica, and Mrs. Rima Goswami at UNCG. J.N.M is a recipient of a research award (2017-2019) from the Graduate Diversity Enrichment Program in the Burroughs Wellcome Fund. Z.J. and N.C. are the recipients of a grant from National Institutes of Health (1R15HL12921201A1), which partly supported this work. The DESI source was purchased with a grant received from the North Carolina Biotechnology Center (Grant # 210144); and all other financial supports were received from UNCG, including a regular faculty grant.

References

1. Hillenkamp, F., Karas, M. The MALDI Process and Method. In: Hillenkamp, F., Peter-Katalini, J., editors. MALDI MS: A Practical Guide to Instrumentation, Methods and Applications. Wiley-VCH Inc; 2007. p. 1-28.
2. Welker M, Moore ERB. Applications of whole-cell matrix-assisted laser-desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry in systematic microbiology. *Syst Appl Microbiol*. 2011; 34(1):2–11. [PubMed: 21288677]
3. Singhal N, Kumar M, Kanaujia PK, Viridi JS. MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry: an emerging technology for microbial identification and diagnosis. *Front Microbiol*. 2015; 6:791. [PubMed: 26300860]
4. Bizzini A, Durussel C, Bille J, Greub G, Prod'homme G. Performance of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for identification of bacterial strains routinely isolated in a clinical microbiology laboratory. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2010; 48(5):1549–1554. [PubMed: 20220166]
5. Panda A, Kurapati S, Samantary JC, Srinivasan A, Khalil S. MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry proteomic based identification of clinical bacterial isolates. *Indian J Med Res*. 2014; 140(6):770–7. [PubMed: 25758576]

6. Carbonnelle E, Mesquita C, Bille E, Day N, Dauphin B, Beretti JL, Nassif X. MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry tools for bacterial identification in clinical microbiology laboratory. *Clin Biochem.* 2011; 44(1):104–9. [PubMed: 20620134]
7. Arnold RJ, Karty JA, Ellington AD, Reilly JP. Monitoring the growth of a bacteria culture by MALDI-MS of whole cells. *Anal Chem.* 1999; 71(10):1990–1996. [PubMed: 10361498]
8. Marvin-Guy LF, Parche S, Wagnière S, Moulin J, Zink R, Kussmann M, Fay LB. Rapid identification of stress-related fingerprint from whole bacterial cells of *Bifidobacterium lactis* using matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry. *J Am Soc Mass Spectrom.* 2004; 15(8):1222–1227. [PubMed: 15276169]
9. Chiu NH, Jia Z, Diaz R, Wright P. Rapid differentiation of in vitro cellular responses to toxic chemicals by using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Environ Toxicol Chem.* 2015; 34(1):161–166. [PubMed: 25319019]
10. Kober SL, Meyer-Alert H, Grienitz D, Hollert H, Frohme M. Intact cell mass spectrometry as a rapid and specific tool for the differentiation of toxic effects in cell-based ecotoxicological test systems. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* 2015; 407(25):7721–7731. [PubMed: 26255296]
11. Cooks RG, Ouyang Z, Takats Z, Wiseman JM. Ambient mass spectrometry. *Science.* 2006; 311(5767):1566–1570. [PubMed: 16543450]
12. Weston DJ. Ambient ionization mass spectrometry: current understanding of mechanistic theory; analytical performance and application areas. *Analyst.* 2010; 135(4):661–668. [PubMed: 20309440]
13. Badu-Tawiah AK, Eberlin LS, Ouyang Z, Cooks RG. Chemical aspects of the extractive methods of ambient ionization mass spectrometry. *Annu Rev Phys Chem.* 2013; 64(1):481–505. [PubMed: 23331308]
14. Harris GA, Galhena AS, Fernández FM. Ambient sampling/ionization mass spectrometry: applications and current trends. *Anal Chem.* 2011; 83(12):4508–4538. [PubMed: 21495690]
15. Takáts Z, Wiseman JM, Cooks RG. Ambient mass spectrometry using desorption electrospray ionization (DESI): instrumentation, mechanisms and applications in forensics, chemistry, and biology. *J Mass Spectrom.* 2005; 40(10):1261–1275. [PubMed: 16237663]
16. Chingin K, Liang J, Chen H. Direct analysis of in vitro grown microorganisms and mammalian cells by ambient mass spectrometry. *RSC Adv.* 2014; 4(11):5768–5781.
17. Fang J, Dorrestein PC. Emerging mass spectrometry techniques for the direct analysis of microbial colonies. *Curr Opin Microbiol.* 2014; 19:120–129. [PubMed: 25064218]
18. Meetani MA, Shin YS, Zhang S, Mayer R, Basile F. Desorption electrospray ionization mass spectrometry of intact bacteria. *J Mass Spectrom.* 2007; 42(9):1186–1193. [PubMed: 17628040]
19. Song Y, Talaty N, Datsenko K, Wanner BL, Cooks RG. In vivo recognition of *Bacillus subtilis* by desorption electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (DESI-MS). *Analyst.* 2009; 134(5):838–841. [PubMed: 19381372]
20. Song Y, Talaty N, Tao WA, Pan Z, Cooks RG. Rapid ambient mass spectrometric profiling of intact, untreated bacteria using desorption electrospray ionization. *ChemComm.* 2007; (1):61–63.
21. Venter A, Nefliu M, Cooks RG. Ambient desorption ionization mass spectrometry. *Trends Anal Chem.* 2008; 27(4):284–290.
22. Roach PJ, Laskin J, Laskin A. Nanospray desorption electrospray ionization: an ambient method for liquid-extraction surface sampling in mass spectrometry. *Analyst.* 2010; 135(9):2233–2236. [PubMed: 20593081]
23. Lanekoff I, Laskin J. Imaging of lipids and metabolites using nanospray desorption electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2015; 1203:99–106. [PubMed: 25361670]
24. Watrous J, Roach P, Alexandrov T, Heath BS, Yang JY, Kersten RD, van der Voort M, Pogliano K, Gross H, Raaijmakers JM, Moore BS, Laskin J, Bandeira N, Dorrestein PC. Mass spectral molecular networking of living microbial colonies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2012; 109(26):E1743–E1752. [PubMed: 22586093]
25. Zhou N, Wang N, Xu B, Wang J, Fang J, Dong F, He K, Yang X. Whole-cell matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry for rapid identification of bacteria cultured in liquid media. *Sci China Chem.* 2011; 54(1):48–53.

26. Takáts Z, Wiseman JM, Cooks RG. Ambient mass spectrometry using desorption electrospray ionization (DESI): instrumentation, mechanisms and applications in forensics, chemistry, and biology. *J Mass Spectrom.* 2005; 40(10):1261–1275. [PubMed: 16237663]
27. Lee K, Lee HG, Pi K, Choi YJ. The effect of low pH on protein expression by the probiotic bacterium *Lactobacillus reuteri*. *J Proteom.* 2008; 8(8):1624–1630.

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of (A) matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) and (B) nanospray desorption electrospray ionization. 2–4 μL sample was air-dried on a glass slide. Fused silica capillary with 49 μm internal diameter was $\sim 1\text{--}2\text{mm}$ above the sample, and the flowrate of solvent was 3.5 $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$

Figure 2. Nanospray DESI Mass Spectra of LR Cells and Negative Controls. (A) LR cells were prepared as described in the method, (B) dried MRS cell culturing medium, and (C) 50% methanol: water solvent.

Figure 3.

Reproducibility of mass spectral pattern of untreated LR Cells. 3A-3D are nDESI mass spectra acquired on different days. Coefficient of variation (CV) of peak intensity at selected m/z ratios that are >20% relative abundance are calculated with 3 replicates, except those values with an underline. Outliers are identified by comparing to $\pm 90\%$ confidence interval.

Figure 4.

Reproducibility of mass spectral pattern of 1 hr treated LR Cells. 4A-4D are nDESI mass spectra acquired on different days. Coefficient of variation (CV) of peak intensity at selected m/z ratios that are >20% relative abundance are calculated with 3 replicates, except the value with an underline. Outliers are identified by comparing to $\pm 90\%$ confidence interval.

Figure 5.

Results from principal component analysis (PCA). (A) Plot of correlation. The Pearson correlation coefficient of factor 1 (F1) is plotted against factor 2 (F2). 3A-3D represent the replicates of spectral pattern obtained from control untreated LR cells (Figure 3), and 4A-4D represent the replicates of spectral pattern obtained from LR cells treated for 1 hr (Figure 4). (B) Plot of PCA scores of each selected m/z peak. The labeled data points outside the highlighted area (dotted line) correspond to m/z values that can be used to distinguish between the spectral patterns of untreated and treated LR cells.

Figure 6.

Comparing the differences in spectral pattern obtained from untreated LR cells (A) and LR cells treated for either 1 hr (B) or 4 hr (C) at low pH. One of the peaks with lower intensity as a result of treating the cells is labeled with a dotted downward arrow. The missing peak and extra peak as a result of treating the cells are labeled with a cross and solid upward arrow, respectively.

Figure 7.

Heat maps of peak intensities at selected m/z ratios in mass spectrum of culturing medium, untreated LR cells, 1 hr treated LR cells and 4 hrs treated LR cells. (A) Signals only detected from culturing medium. (B) Differential signals were detected from untreated and treated LR cells, but no signal was detected from culturing medium. Maps were created by using Heatmapper (www2.heatmapper.ca).