Lixisenatide – A New Glucagon-like Peptide 1 Receptor Agonist in the Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes

Josep Vidal

Head, Endocrinology and Nutrition Department, Hospital Clinic, Barcelona, Spain

Abstract

Optimal glycaemic control is essential to managing risks in patients with type 2 diabetes. However, glycaemic control remains poor among type 2 diabetes patients, particularly the control of post-prandial glucose (PPG). Almost half of patients treated with basal insulin and oral anti-diabetic drugs (OADs) do not achieve their glycated haemoglobin (HbA_{1c}) goals, despite achieving fasting plasma glucose (FPG) control. Glycaemic control targets have emphasised FPG targets, but PPG contributes significantly to overall glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes. Glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists have shown substantial efficacy in improving overall glycaemic control but have differing effects on PPG, which is a result of their different mechanisms of action. Lixisenatide is unique among existing GLP-1 receptor agonists in that it is short acting but given as a once daily dose, and exerts its main effects during the prandial period. It has demonstrated efficacy in an extensive clinical trial programme. In particular, it has shown a beneficial effect on PPG compared with existing GLP-1 receptor agonists, probably a result of its effect on slowing gastric emptying. This has provided a strong rationale for its use as add-on therapy to long-acting basal insulin analogues, in cases where the latter is not providing adequate glycaemic control. The additive effects on glycaemic control may lead to a new treatment approach to manage blood glucose and prevent long-term complications in patients with type 2 diabetes.

Keywords

Diabetes, GLP-1 receptor agonist, lixisenatide, post-prandial glucose

Disclosure: The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements: Editorial Assistance was provided by Katrina Mountfort at Touch Medical Media.

Received: 5 June 2013 **Accepted:** 15 July 2013 **Citation:** *European Endocrinology*, 2013;9(2):76–81 DOI:10.17925/EE.2013.09.02.76

Correspondence: Josep Vidal, Head, Endocrinology and Nutrition Department, Hospital Clinic, Villarroel 170, 08036 Barcelona, Spain. E: jovidal@clinic.ub.es

Support: The publication of this article was funded by Sanofi. The views and opinions expressed are those of the author and not necessarily those of Sanofi.

The total number of people with diabetes worldwide is projected to rise from 366 million in 2012 to 552 million in 2030.¹ Optimal glycaemic control is essential to managing risks in patients with type 2 diabetes as lower glycaemic exposure is associated with a 25 % reduction in the risk of microvascular complications.² However, despite improved therapies and medical care, glycaemic control remains poor among a large proportion of type 2 diabetes patients.³

Historically, glycaemic control targets have emphasised fasting plasma glucose (FPG) targets, but post-prandial increases in blood glucose contribute significantly to overall glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes. Recent findings suggest that 40–50 % of patients treated with basal insulin and oral diabetic drugs do not achieve their glycated haemoglobin (HbA_{1c}) goals, despite achieving FPG control.⁴ Importantly, the post-prandial state is the norm for most patients; the true fasting state typically exists only in the 2 hours before breakfast for those who consume three meals a day at relatively regular intervals. Thus, post-prandial glucose (PPG) is increasingly becoming recognised as a therapeutic target for optimising glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes.

In recent years, recommendations for type 2 diabetes have reflected increased awareness of the importance of PPG control: the International Diabetes Federation in Europe recommends a PPG target of ≤ 7.5 mmol/L.⁵

Admittedly, debate persists over the relative importance of FPG and PPG.⁶ It has been suggested that setting targets for PPG is unrealistic and even unsafe because they may increase the risk of hypoglycaemia.⁷ However, recommendations on PPG control are supported by a considerable body of scientific data. The majority of patients with type 2 diabetes have elevated PPG, even when HbA_{1c} is satisfactory (<7 %).⁸ Another study found elevated post-challenge plasma glucose (a surrogate of PPG) in 74 % of individuals with type 2 diabetes.⁹ Finally, PPG has been shown to independently predict incident cardiovascular disease (CVD) in subjects with type 2 diabetes.¹⁰

A study of patients with type 2 diabetes found that as patients move towards their glycaemic target, the relative importance of targeting FPG versus PPG changes.¹¹ FPG levels have a greater impact in those with poor glycaemic control whereas PPG levels make a greater contribution to HbA_{1c} levels in patients with better glycaemic control. For example, at HbA_{1c} levels of <7.3 % PPG contribute around 70 % of the HbA_{1c}. However, at HbA_{1c} levels exceeding 10.2 %, FPG contributes 70 % of the value, and PPG contributes the remaining 30 %. Worsening diabetes control is preceded by changes in daytime post-prandial control, followed by changes during the morning, and finally by changes in nocturnal fasting control.¹² These findings may explain the limited ability of patients to achieve HbA_{1c} goals even when

Table 1: Summary of the Characteristics of European Medicines Agency-approved Glucagon-like Peptide 1 Receptor Agonists

Drug Type	Duration of Action	Mechanism of Action	Dosing	Effect on PPG	Effect on FPG
Exenatide	Short acting	Prandial, slows gastric emptying	Twice daily, before meals	Strong reduction	Modest reduction
Liraglutide	Long acting	Non-prandial, lesser effect on gastric emptying	Once daily, independent of meals	Modest reduction	Strong reduction
Lixisenatide	Short acting	Prandial, slows gastric emptying	Once a day, before meals	Strong reduction	Modest reduction

FPG = fasting plasma glucose; PPG = post-prandial glucose.

FPG levels appear to be controlled and the fact that in treat-to-target trials, decreases in FPG levels were not accompanied by target reductions in HbA_{1c}.^{13,14}

Several studies have shown that improvement of post-prandial hyperglycaemia is associated with reductions of both FPG and HbA_{1c}.¹⁵ One study found that, when PPG goals (<140 mg/dl) were achieved, 94 % of patients reached the HbA_{1c} goal of <7 % compared with only 64 % when FPG goals (<100 mg/dl) were attained and concluded that control of post-prandial hyperglycaemia is essential for achieving recommended HbA_{1c} goals.¹⁶ Thus, a reasonable recommendation for PPG testing and targets is that for patients who present FPG values within target but have HbA_{1c} values above target, monitoring PPG 1 to 2 hours post-meal and treatment aimed at reducing PPG values to <180 mg/dl may help lower HbA_{1c}.¹⁷

As mentioned above, evidence of a strong correlation between high PPG levels and the development of vascular complications underscores the significance of targeting PPG. PPG has been associated with markers of atherosclerosis, inflammation, endothelial dysfunction and oxidative stress,^{18,19} as well as other complications including retinopathy,²⁰ increased cancer risk^{21,22} and impaired cognitive function in elderly patients.²³ Poor control of PPG has been associated with an elevated risk of CVD,^{24,25} particularly in women.²⁶ The association between PPG elevation and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality is independent of FPG.²⁷ Therapy targeted at PPG control has been shown to reduce the progression of atherosclerosis and CV events.²⁸ Therefore, attaining glycaemic control, and reducing CVD burden associated with type 2 diabetes, may be difficult without adequate control of PPG levels.

There is a need to implement intensive glycaemic control as early as possible in the progression of type 2 diabetes to prevent the development of microvascular and cardiovascular complications.^{24,29} Basal insulin therapy achieves good glycaemic control in 50–60 % of patients.³⁰ However, a significant proportion of patients treated with basal insulin therapy who have elevated HbA_{1c} may experience inadequate control of PPG. Traditionally, dual targeting has been achieved with insulin therapy using a basal insulin to target FPG and a prandial insulin for PPG control, either as part of a basal-bolus or biphasic insulin regimen.³¹ However, this approach has limitations, including greater complexity for patients, increased risk of hypoglycaemia and likelihood of leading to weight gain.³² Thus, it could be considered that adequate control of PPG remains an unmet clinical need in diabetes therapy.

Glucagon-like Peptide 1 Receptor Agonists

Mechanisms responsible for hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes include not only a decline in beta-cell function and insulin resistance, but also increased levels of glucagon, resulting in increased production of hepatic glucose and therefore elevated FPG and PPG. It is also known that ingested glucose causes a greater insulin response than glucose

administered intravenously, a result of the release of gastrointestinal hormones – the incretin effect.³³ Glucagon-like peptide (GLP-1) is a naturally occurring incretin hormone that is released by the L-cells located in the gastrointestinal tract within minutes of ingesting glucose. Importantly, GLP-1 counters the effects mechanisms responsible for hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes by suppressing glucagon secretion from pancreatic alpha cells as well as stimulating insulin secretion by beta cells.^{34–36}

Targeting the incretin system has become an important therapeutic approach in type 2 diabetes. In addition to their glucose-lowering effects, GLP-1 receptor agonists possess a number of beneficial clinical characteristics. GLP-1 receptor agonists do not only stimulate insulin secretion and inhibit glucagon output in a glucose-dependent manner, but also slow gastric emptying and decrease appetite. As a result, GLP-1 receptor agonist therapy in type 2 diabetes results not only in improved glycaemic control with low rates of hypoglycaemia, but also in either weight loss or suppression of weight gain.^{37,38} GLP-1 receptor agonists have been associated with improved levels of PPG, but target both FPG and PPG. Furthermore, GLP-1 receptor agonists also have the potential to preserve pancreatic beta cells, which may provide long-term metabolic control.³⁹

Three GLP-1 receptor agonists are currently approved in Europe for use in type 2 diabetes. Other GLP-1 receptor agonists (albiglutide, dulaglutide and semaglutide) are in clinical development. The first commercially available GLP-1 agonist, exenatide (Byetta®, Amylin Pharmaceuticals) was approved by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) in 2006 and is administered twice daily as a subcutaneous injection.^{40,41} In some European countries, exenatide is also available as an extended-release formulation, which is given as a once-weekly injection.⁴² Liraglutide, administered once daily, (Victoza®, Novo Nordisk) received EMA approval in 2009 and provides improved glycaemic control compared with exenatide.^{43,44}

Lixisenatide (Lyxumia®, Sanofi) is a once-daily prandial GLP-1 receptor agonist for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. Lixisenatide is a 44-amino acid peptide that is amidated at the C-terminal end and shares some structural elements with exendin-4, the main difference being the addition of six lysine residues at the C-terminus.⁴⁵ Lixisenatide is short acting but given as a once-daily dose.⁴⁶ A number of studies have demonstrated that lixisenatide affects numerous factors involved in glucose regulation.^{47–50} In 2013, the use of lixisenatide was approved by the EMA for the treatment of type 2 diabetes to achieve glycaemic control in combination with oral glucose-lowering products and/or basal insulin when these, together with diet and exercise, do not provide adequate glycaemic control, and it has been submitted for US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval.

GLP-1 receptor agonists provide significant improvements in glycaemic control. However, they have widely differing pharmacokinetic and

Figure 1: Changes in Post-prandial Glucose and Insulin after 4 Weeks' Administration of Lixisenatide or Liraglutide

Mean post-prandial glucose (PPG) change after a standardised breakfast test at baseline and at day 28. Test drug administered at baseline. Test drug administered at 0.5 hours. Source: Kapitza et al.⁶⁰

Figure 2: Changes in 2-hour Post-prandial Glucose following Treatment with Lixisenatide Either as Monotherapy (GetGoal-Mono) or in Combination with Oral Anti-diabetic Drugs (GetGoal-M, GetGoal-F1, GetGoal-S)

Two-hour post-prandial glucose (PPG) indicates plasma glucose levels taken 2 hours after a standardised meal, at baseline and follow up. Mean changes from baseline are presented with last observations carried forward. Source: Raccah.⁶⁷

pharmacodynamic profiles.^{51,52} GLP-1 receptor agonists have been categorised as either short- or long-acting compounds. Short-acting GLP-1 receptor agonists, such as exenatide and lixisenatide, activate the GLP-1 receptor for only around 6 hours after each injection.^{46,53} The recommended dosing intervals are twice daily for exenatide (before breakfast and dinner) and once daily for lixisenatide (usually before breakfast).⁵¹ Long-acting compounds (such as liraglutide, albiglutide, dulaglutide and exenatide extended release [ER]), require only daily dosing (see Table 1).

In order to optimise the use of GLP-1 receptor agonists, an understanding of their differing mechanisms of action is necessary.⁵⁴ While all GLP-1 receptor agonists share the same fundamental mechanism of action on the GLP-1 receptor, they also exhibit important differences. Lixisenatide and exenatide primarily exert their main effects during the prandial period. Their effects on PPG are not mediated by stimulation of insulin secretion; in fact, they reduce post-prandial insulin secretion. Their effect on PPG is a result of delayed gastric emptying, which decreases the rate of entry of glucose into the duodenum and subsequently into the circulation.⁵⁵ This concept has been verified using native GLP-1.⁵⁶ Longer-acting GLP-1 agonists are subject to tachyphylaxis for their initial effect

to slow gastric emptying owing to their sustained receptor activation, whereas short-acting agent have a sustained and substantial effect.

The effect of lixisenatide on gastric emptying was confirmed in a recent study. Patients were randomised to lixisenatide (20 µg daily) and placebo, respectively. In the lixisenatide group, a reduction in PPG was seen when compared with placebo throughout the day: after breakfast ($p < 0.0001$), lunch ($p < 0.0001$) and dinner ($p < 0.05$). Gastric emptying (50 % emptying time) increased substantially from baseline with lixisenatide, but not with placebo (change from baseline $\pm$ SD: -24.1 ± 133.1 minutes for placebo and 211.5 ± 278.5 minutes for lixisenatide; $p < 0.01$).⁵⁰ Despite its relatively short half-life, morning administration of lixisenatide exhibited a pharmacodynamic effect on blood glucose throughout the day. Lixisenatide is therefore suitable for once-daily dosing.

Long-lasting GLP-1 receptor agonists such as liraglutide and exenatide ER can be administered at any time of day and show elevations in plasma levels of the drug throughout the period between doses. However, their mode of action differs from the short-acting, prandial agents. While it results in a more continuous activation of the GLP-1 receptor, the effect of liraglutide on gastric emptying is short lived.⁵⁷ This is probably a result of tachyphylaxis, meaning that the effect on gastric emptying decreases rapidly with time, owing to continuous activation of the GLP-1 receptor. A comparison of twice-daily exenatide and exenatide ER taken once weekly, showed that the former had the greater effect on gastric emptying.⁵⁴ Liraglutide has a stronger effect on FPG, which is mediated by its effect on beta cell function.^{58,59} As a result of these differing mechanisms of action, GLP-1 receptor agonists have differing effects on PPG and FPG. A comparative study of liraglutide and exenatide found that liraglutide had a greater impact on FPG levels, while exenatide primarily affected PPG.^{43,60} Lixisenatide has demonstrated particular efficacy in lowering PPG.⁵⁰ A comparative study found that lixisenatide provided a significantly greater reduction of PPG compared with liraglutide during a standardised solid meal test (see Figure 1).⁶⁰ The properties of the three EMA-approved GLP-1 receptor agonists are summarised in Table 1.

Antibody formation has been reported in patients treated with GLP-1 receptor agonists.⁶¹ It is possible that exenatide and lixisenatide may result in greater antibody formation, possibly because of a lower homology with native GLP-1. However, there is a lack of antibody data for lixisenatide, and the relevance of antibody formation remains unclear.

Combined Use of Basal Insulin with Glucagon-like Peptide 1 Receptor Agonists

Because of their complementary mechanisms of action, GLP-1 agonists are being increasingly used in combination with basal insulin analogues. Basal insulin therapy primarily targets FPG, and insulin-based strategies targeting PPG including the addition of rapid acting insulin to basal insulin have been associated with hypoglycaemia and weight gain.³¹ On the other hand, GLP-1 receptor agonists may target FPG or PPG and their use is associated with low rates of hypoglycaemia and modest weight loss. Clinical data have demonstrated the effectiveness of the combined basal insulin and GLP-1 agonist regimens.⁶²⁻⁶⁶ Of interest, the addition of a prandial GLP-1 receptor agonist (targeting PPG) with basal insulin (targeting FPG) offers a reduced risk of hypoglycaemia compared with combined insulin regimes and may offer a new treatment paradigm for type 2 diabetes. Future studies should formally compare the efficacy of basal plus prandial insulin regimes with combined regimens involving basal insulin and GLP-1 receptor agonists.

Table 2: Overview of the GetGoal Phase III Clinical Trial Programme

Trial Name	n	Study Duration (Weeks)	Concomitant Therapy	Treatment Arm	Effect on HbA _{1c} and 2-hour PPG	Adverse Events	Reference
GetGoal-Mono	361	12	None	LIXI 20 µg qd (one and two-step dose increase schedule) placebo	HbA _{1c} <7.0 % in 46.5 % (one step) and 52.2 % (two step) vs 26.8 % (placebo) (p=0.0013 and p<0.0001). PPG: mean treatment difference vs placebo 3.7 mmol/l vs -3.1 mmol/l for one and two-step, respectively	GI events 31.9 % (one step) vs 32.5 % (two step) vs 13.9 % placebo. Hypoglycaemia 0.8 % (one step) vs 2.5 % (two dose) vs 1.6 % placebo	68
GetGoal-S	859	24	LIXI 20 µg qd + vs sulphonylurea ± metformin	LIXI 20 µg qd (two dose) placebo	HbA _{1c} -0.74 difference versus placebo (p<0.0001) PPG: mean treatment difference vs placebo of -6.0 mmol/l	GI events 40.9 % vs 20.0 % placebo. Hypoglycaemia 12.2 % vs 8.1 % placebo	69
GetGoal-F1	482		Metformin	LIXI 20 µg qd (one and two step) placebo	HbA _{1c} difference vs placebo: -0.41 % (two step) -0.49 % (one step); both p<0.0001	GI events 41.6 % (one step) vs 47.2 % (two step) vs 21.9 % placebo. Hypoglycaemia 1.9 % (one and two step) vs 0 % placebo	72
GetGoal-M	680	24	Metformin	LIXI 20 µg qd (two step, morning and evening dosing) placebo	HbA _{1c} <7.0 % in 43 % (morning dosing) 41 % (evening) and 22 % (placebo). PPG: mean treatment difference of -4.51 mmol/l (morning)	GI events 36.5 % (morning) vs 41.2 % (evening) vs 25.9 % placebo. Hypoglycaemia 2.4 % (morning) vs 5.1 % (evening) vs 0.6 % placebo	71
GetGoal-P	484	24	Pioglitazone ± metformin	LIXI 20 µg qd placebo	HbA _{1c} <7.0 % in 52 % vs 26 % (placebo) (p<0.0001). PPG: mean treatment difference of -0.9 mmol/l vs placebo	GI events 36.5 % vs 28.6 % placebo. Hypoglycaemia 20.2 % vs 11.7 % placebo	81
GetGoal-X	634	24	Metformin	LIXI 20 µg qd (two step, morning) exenatide 10 µg bid	Non-inferiority to exenatide demonstrated	GI events 43.1 % vs 50.6 % (exenatide) Hypoglycaemia 2.2 % versus 6.3 % with exenatide; p<0.5	79
GetGoal-Duo 1	446	24	Titrated insulin glargine, metformin ± thiazolidinedione	LIXI 20 µg qd (morning) placebo	HbA _{1c} <7.0 % in 56 % vs 39 % (placebo). PPG: mean treatment difference of -3.2 mmol/l vs placebo	GI events 39.9 % vs 16.1 % (placebo) Hypoglycaemia 20.2 % versus 11.7 % placebo	66
GetGoal-L	493	24	Basal insulin ± metformin	LIXI 20 µg qd (morning) placebo	HbA _{1c} <7.0 % in 28 % vs 12 % (placebo) (p<0.001). PPG: mean treatment difference of -3.8 mmol/l vs placebo	GI events 40.2 % vs 20.4 % (placebo). Hypoglycaemia 26.5 % versus 21.0 % placebo	73
GetGoal-L Asia	311	24	Basal insulin ± sulphonylurea	LIXI 20 µg qd (two step, morning) placebo	HbA _{1c} <7.0 % in 36.5 % vs 5.2 % (placebo). PPG: mean treatment difference of -7.2 mmol/l	GI events 61.0 % vs 14.6 % (placebo). Hypoglycaemia 38.3 % versus 20.4 % placebo	75

Bid = twice daily; GI = gastrointestinal; HbA_{1c} = glycated haemoglobin; LIXI = lixisenatide; PPG = post-prandial glucose; qd = once daily.

Lixisenatide Clinical Trial Data

A large body of clinical trial data has been amassed from the GetGoal clinical development programme. More than 5,000 patients with type 2 diabetes were enrolled in GetGoal globally and it comprised 11 phase III trials that assessed the efficacy and safety of lixisenatide in monotherapy, as add-on therapy to metformin, sulphonylureas or thiazolidinediones, in combination with basal insulin and a comparative trial with exenatide.^{52,67} Results of these trials are summarised in Table 2. In all studies, lixisenatide resulted in significant improvements in HbA_{1c} and PPG, as well as modest beneficial effect on body weight, compared with placebo.

In GetGoal-Mono, which assessed the efficacy and safety of lixisenatide as monotherapy, lixisenatide had a marked impact on PPG, particularly after breakfast, with substantial reductions in 2-hour PPG excursion compared with placebo (see Figure 2).⁶⁸ This study also established that only small differences in HbA_{1c} reduction were seen when lixisenatide was administered as one-step dose increase (10 µg for 2 weeks, then 20 µg) and a two-step dose increase (10 µg for 1 week, 15 µg for 1 week, then 20 µg).

Lixisenatide has also demonstrated efficacy as add-on therapy to oral anti-diabetic drugs (OADs). GetGoal-S evaluated the efficacy and safety

Figure 3: Changes in 2-hour Post-prandial Glucose following Treatment with Lixisenatide in Combination with Insulin (GetGoal-L, GetGoal-L Asia, GetGoal-Duo 1)

Two-hour post-prandial glucose (PPG) indicates plasma glucose levels taken 2 hours after a standardised meal, at baseline and follow up. Mean changes from baseline are presented with last observations carried forward. Source: Raccah.⁶⁷

of lixisenatide in patients insufficiently controlled on sulphonylurea and/or metformin (see Figure 2).⁶⁹ As an add-on therapy to sulphonylurea

with or without metformin, lixisenatide significantly reduced HbA_{1c} levels and improved 2-hour PPG and FPG levels. GetGoal-P demonstrated the efficacy of lixisenatide as an add-on therapy to pioglitazone with or without metformin.⁷⁰ GetGoal-M found that, as add-on therapy to metformin, lixisenatide, given as a morning or evening dose, significantly improved glycaemic control in patients whose diabetes was inadequately controlled with metformin.⁷¹ GetGoal-F further established the efficacy of lixisenatide as add-on therapy to metformin and investigated a one-step dose increase versus a two-step dose increase. The study found that a one-step dose increase regimen may be the best option for treatment initiation.⁷²

The GetGoal clinical trial programme also included a comprehensive assessment of the combined use of basal insulin and lixisenatide (GetGoal-L, GetGoal-Duo-1 and GetGoal L-Asia) and demonstrated significant benefits in patients that do not achieve glycaemic control on basal insulin analogues alone (see *Figure 3*) either in early insulinised patients or in those treated with a stable insulin regimen.

In GetGoal-Duo-1, insulin glargine was titrated in a 12-week run-in phase. Patients whose HbA_{1c} was >7 % were randomly assigned to once-daily lixisenatide at or placebo for 24 weeks while insulin glargine titration continued.⁶⁶ In GetGoal L, patients with long-standing type 2 diabetes and inadequate metabolic control (HbA_{1c} 8.4 %) while on basal insulin therapy were randomised to the addition of lixisenatide 20 µg once daily or placebo for 24 weeks.⁷³ GetGoal L-Asia included a study of lixisenatide as an add-on to basal insulin in Asian patients with type 2 diabetes. Asian patients often have insulin deficiency rather than insulin resistance and impaired secretion of GLP-1.⁷⁴ GetGoal-L-Asia found that the addition of lixisenatide as add on to basal insulin therapy improved HbA_{1c} and PPG and demonstrated the safety and efficacy of lixisenatide with or without a sulphonylurea.⁷⁵ In all trials, lixisenatide significantly decreased mean HbA_{1c} and improved PPG.^{66,71,73,76–78}

In all the completed studies, lixisenatide was generally well tolerated: as monotherapy treatment-related adverse effects (AEs) were similar to placebo (53.6 % versus 45.1 %). The most common AEs were gastrointestinal (32.5 % versus 13.9 % placebo), with nausea being the most frequent (22.2 % versus 4.1 %), although the majority of these AEs were rated mild to moderate and resolved without the need for treatment.⁶⁸

When administered as monotherapy or as an add-on to OAD therapy, lixisenatide did not increase the frequency of hypoglycaemia compared with placebo and was associated with very low rates of severe hypoglycaemia. In GetGoal-F1 and GetGoal-S, lixisenatide was associated with weight reductions of approximately 1 kg over the 24-week study periods.^{69,72} The use of lixisenatide has also been associated with improved gastrointestinal tolerability, with fewer gastrointestinal events (especially nausea, 24.5 versus 35.1 %; $p < 0.05$) and lower

incidence of symptomatic hypoglycaemia compared with exenatide (2.5 versus 7.9 %; $p < 0.05$, GetGoal X).⁷⁹ The safety and tolerability of lixisenatide was also shown to be consistent across all age groups.⁷⁸

In addition to the GetGoal programme, a recent trial comparing lixisenatide with liraglutide found that pre-breakfast lixisenatide showed a significantly greater reduction in PPG during a morning test meal versus pre-breakfast liraglutide, and showed significant decreases in post-prandial insulin, C-peptide (versus an increase with liraglutide) and glucagon, and better gastrointestinal tolerability than liraglutide (see *Figure 1*).⁶⁰

As well as providing data demonstrating its efficacy and safety on glycaemic control, the clinical development programme of lixisenatide also includes a CV outcomes trial. The effects of lixisenatide on cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes who have recently experienced a cardiac event are being evaluated in 6,000 patients in the 44-month Evaluation of Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes After Acute Coronary Syndrome During Treatment With AVE0010 (Lixisenatide) (ELIXA) trial,⁸⁰ with estimated completion of the study in 2014.

Summary and Concluding Remarks

Although the treatment of type 2 diabetes has focused on the control of FPG and HbA_{1c}, recent findings suggest that FPG does not always correlate well with HbA_{1c} and that PPG plays a greater role in glycaemic control. The development of GLP-1 receptor agonists has increased the treatment options for patients with type 2 diabetes, offering improved glycaemic control with a reduced risk of hypoglycaemia compared with other treatment options. The currently approved GLP-1 receptor agonists differ both in their duration and mechanism of action. Lixisenatide offers advantages over both liraglutide and exenatide respectively in that it is relatively short acting and effectively targets PPG and only requires daily dosing. Data from the GetGoal Phase III programme has demonstrated that treatment with lixisenatide optimises glycaemic management by controlling PPG. Daily treatment with lixisenatide provides a simple, convenient treatment option for patients with type 2 diabetes, particularly in patients for whom the risk of hypoglycaemia is a concern.

The pronounced effect of lixisenatide on PPG provides a strong rationale for combining it with long-acting basal insulin analogues, in cases where the latter is not providing adequate glycaemic control. Patients who have met their FPG target but not their HbA_{1c} goals require prandial therapy to fill this unmet need. Lixisenatide, as add-on therapy to basal insulin therapy, can help meet this need. Its additive effects on glycaemic control combined with a potential benefit on beta cells, beneficial effect on body weight and limited additional risk of hypoglycaemia may lead to a new treatment approach to manage blood glucose and prevent long-term complications in patients with type 2 diabetes. ■

1. IDF Diabetes Atlas. Update 2012. Available at: <http://www.idf.org/diabetesatlas/5e/Update2012> (accessed 15 July 2013).
2. Stratton IM, Adler AI, Neil HA, et al., Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study, *BMJ*, 2000;321:405–12.
3. Ali MK, Bullard KM, Saaddine JB, et al., Achievement of goals in U.S. diabetes care, 1999–2010, *N Engl J Med*, 2013;368:1613–24.
4. Colclough H, Percy J, Benford M, Levels of FPG and HbA_{1c} control and the relationship to BMI in T2D patients treated with basal insulin and OAD therapy, presented at the 2012 72nd Scientific Session at the American Diabetes Association Meeting, 8–12 June 2012, Philadelphia, USA. Abstract 2416-PO, 01-D.
5. Ceriello A, Colaguri S, Gerich J, et al., Guideline for management of postmeal glucose http://www.idf.org/webdata/docs/Guideline_PMG_final.pdf, 2007 (accessed 23 July 2013).
6. Schrott R, Targeting Plasma Glucose: Preprandial Versus Postprandial, *Clinical Diabetes*, 2004;22:169–72.
7. Parkin C, Is Postprandial Glucose Control Important? Is It Practical In Primary Care Settings?, *Clinical Diabetes*, 2002;20:71–6.
8. Bonora E, Calcaterra F, Lombardi S, et al., Plasma glucose levels throughout the day and HbA_{1c} interrelationships in type 2 diabetes: implications for treatment and monitoring of metabolic control, *Diabetes Care*, 2001;24:2023–9.
9. Erlinger TP, Brancati FL, Postchallenge hyperglycemia in a national sample of U.S. adults with type 2 diabetes, *Diabetes Care*, 2001;24:1734–8.
10. Cavalot F, Pagliarino A, Valle M, et al., Postprandial blood glucose predicts cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality in type 2 diabetes in a 14-year follow-up: lessons from the San Luigi Gonzaga Diabetes Study, *Diabetes Care*, 2011;34:2237–43.
11. Monnier L, Lapinski H, Colette C, Contributions of fasting and postprandial plasma glucose increments to the overall diurnal hyperglycemia of type 2 diabetic patients: variations with increasing levels of HbA(1c), *Diabetes Care*, 2003;26:881–5.
12. Monnier L, Colette C, Dunseath GJ, et al., The loss of postprandial glycemic control precedes stepwise deterioration of fasting with worsening diabetes, *Diabetes Care*, 2007;30:263–9.
13. Malone JK, Kerr LF, Campaigne BN, et al., Combined therapy

- with insulin lispro Mix 75/25 plus metformin or insulin glargine plus metformin: a 16-week, randomized, open-label, crossover study in patients with type 2 diabetes beginning insulin therapy, *Clin Ther*, 2004;26:2034–44.
14. Raskin P, Allen E, Hollander P, et al., Initiating insulin therapy in type 2 Diabetes: a comparison of biphasic and basal insulin analogs, *Diabetes Care*, 2005;28:260–65.
15. Bastyr EJ, 3rd, Stuart CA, Brodows RG, et al., Therapy focused on lowering postprandial glucose, not fasting glucose, may be superior for lowering HbA_{1c}. IOEZ Study Group, *Diabetes Care*, 2000;23:1236–41.
16. Woerle H, Neumann C, Zschau S, et al., Impact of fasting and postprandial glycemia on overall glycemic control in type 2 diabetes: importance of postprandial glycemia to achieve HbA levels, *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*, 2007;77:280–85.
17. American Diabetes Association, Executive summary: standards of medical care in diabetes–2011, *Diabetes Care*, 2011;34(Suppl. 1):S4–10.
18. Monnier L, Mas E, Ginet C, et al., Activation of oxidative stress by acute glucose fluctuations compared with sustained chronic hyperglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes, *JAMA*, 2006;295:1681–7.
19. Gerich JE, Clinical significance, pathogenesis, and management of postprandial hyperglycemia, *Arch Intern Med*, 2003;163:1306–16.
20. Shiraiwa T, Kaneto H, Miyatsuka T, et al., Postprandial hyperglycemia is a better predictor of the progression of diabetic retinopathy than HbA_{1c} in Japanese type 2 diabetic patients, *Diabetes Care*, 2005;28:2806–7.
21. Stattin P, Bjor O, Ferrari P, et al., Prospective study of hyperglycemia and cancer risk, *Diabetes Care*, 2007;30:561–7.
22. Michaud DS, Skinner HG, Wu K, et al., Dietary patterns and pancreatic cancer risk in men and women, *J Natl Cancer Inst*, 2005;97:518–24.
23. Abbatecola AM, Rizzo MR, Barbieri M, et al., Postprandial plasma glucose excursions and cognitive functioning in aged type 2 diabetics, *Neurology*, 2006;67:235–40.
24. Ceriello A, Colagiuri S, Gerich J, et al., Guideline for management of postmeal glucose, *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*, 2008;18:S17–33.
25. Yu PC, Bosnyak Z, Ceriello A, The importance of glycated haemoglobin (HbA_{1c}) and postprandial glucose (PPG) control on cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes, *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*, 2010;89:1–9.
26. Cavalot F, Petrelli A, Traversa M, et al., Postprandial blood glucose is a stronger predictor of cardiovascular events than fasting blood glucose in type 2 diabetes mellitus, particularly in women: lessons from the San Luigi Gonzaga Diabetes Study, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2006;91:813–9.
27. Bonora E, Postprandial peaks as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease: epidemiological perspectives, *Int J Clin Pract Suppl*, 2002;5:11.
28. Leiter LA, Ceriello A, Davidson JA, et al., Postprandial glucose regulation: new data and new implications, *Clin Ther*, 2005;27(Suppl B):S42–56.
29. Ceriello A, Postprandial hyperglycemia and cardiovascular disease: is the HEART2D study the answer?, *Diabetes Care*, 2009;32:S21–2.
30. Riddle MC, Rosenstock J, Gerich J, et al., The treat-to-target trial: randomized addition of glargine or human NPH insulin to oral therapy of type 2 diabetic patients, *Diabetes Care*, 2003;26:3080–6.
31. Raccach D, Bretzel RG, Owens D, et al., When basal insulin therapy in type 2 diabetes mellitus is not enough—what next?, *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*, 2007;23:257–64.
32. Holman RR, Farmer AJ, Davies MJ, et al., Three-year efficacy of complex insulin regimens in type 2 diabetes, *N Engl J Med*, 2009;361:1736–47.
33. Baggio LL, Drucker DJ, Biology of incretins: GLP-1 and GIP, *Gastroenterology*, 2007;132:2131–57.
34. Zander M, Madsbad S, Madsen JL, et al., Effect of 6-week course of glucagon-like peptide 1 on glycaemic control, insulin sensitivity, and beta-cell function in type 2 diabetes: a parallel-group study, *Lancet*, 2002;359:824–30.
35. Balkan B, Li X, Portal GLP-1 administration in rats augments the insulin response to glucose via neuronal mechanisms, *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*, 2000;279:R1449–54.
36. Nauck M, Stockmann F, Ebert R, et al., Reduced incretin effect in type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes, *Diabetologia*, 1986;29:46–52.
37. Russell-Jones D, The safety and tolerability of GLP-1 receptor agonists in the treatment of type-2 diabetes, *Int J Clin Pract*, 2010;64:1402–14.
38. Madsbad S, Exenatide and liraglutide: different approaches to develop GLP-1 receptor agonists (incretin mimetics)—preclinical and clinical results, *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2009;23:463–77.
39. Buteau J, GLP-1 receptor signaling: effects on pancreatic beta-cell proliferation and survival, *Diabetes Metab*, 2008;34(Suppl. 2):S73–7.
40. Heine RJ, Van Gaal LF, Johns D, et al., Exenatide versus insulin glargine in patients with suboptimally controlled type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial, *Ann Intern Med*, 2005;143:559–69.
41. Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Byetta prescribing information, http://documents.byetta.com/Byetta_PI.pdf 2012 (accessed 23 July 2013).
42. Malone J, Trautmann M, Wilhelm K, et al., Exenatide once weekly for the treatment of type 2 diabetes, *Expert Opin Investig Drugs*, 2009;18:359–67.
43. Buse JB, Rosenstock J, Sesti G, et al., Liraglutide once a day versus exenatide twice a day for type 2 diabetes: a 26-week randomised, parallel-group, multinational, open-label trial (LEAD-6), *Lancet*, 2009;374:39–47.
44. Novo Nordisk, Victoza prescribing information, 2012. Available at: <http://www.novo-pi.com/victoza.pdf> (accessed 23 July 2013).
45. Werner U, Haschke G, Herling AW, et al., Pharmacological profile of lixisenatide: A new GLP-1 receptor agonist for the treatment of type 2 diabetes, *Regul Pept*, 2010;164:58–64.
46. Barnett AH, Lixisenatide: evidence for its potential use in the treatment of type 2 diabetes, *Core Evid*, 2011;6:67–79.
47. Becker R, Kapitza C, Stechl J, et al., Restitution of glucose deposition with lixisenatide in T2DM subjects, *Diabetes*, 2012;61:A212–A344. Abstract 1081-P.
48. Becker R, Stechl J, Kapitza C, et al., Augmentation of 1st-phase insulin release with lixisenatide in non-diabetic subjects, *Diabetes*, 2012;61:A212–A344. Abstract 1149-P.
49. Lorenz M, Pfeiffer C, Steinstrasser A, et al., Effects of lixisenatide once daily on gastric emptying and relationship to postprandial glycemia in type 2 diabetes mellitus, *Diabetes*, 2012;61:A212–A344. Abstract 1085-P.
50. Lorenz M, Pfeiffer C, Steinstrasser A, et al., Effects of lixisenatide once daily on gastric emptying in type 2 diabetes - Relationship to postprandial glycemia, *Regul Pept*, 2013;185C:1–8.
51. Meier JJ, GLP-1 receptor agonists for individualized treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus, *Nat Rev Endocrinol*, 2012;8:728–42.
52. Horowitz M, Rayner CK, Jones KL, Mechanisms and clinical efficacy of lixisenatide for the management of type 2 diabetes, *Adv Ther*, 2013;30:81–101.
53. Buse JB, Henry RR, Han J, et al., Effects of exenatide (exendin-4) on glycemic control over 30 weeks in sulfonylurea-treated patients with type 2 diabetes, *Diabetes Care*, 2004;27:2628–35.
54. Fineman MS, Cirincione BB, Maggs D, et al., GLP-1 based therapies: differential effects on fasting and postprandial glucose, *Diabetes Obes Metab*, 2012;14:675–88.
55. Woerle HJ, Albrecht M, Linke R, et al., Importance of changes in gastric emptying for postprandial plasma glucose fluxes in healthy humans, *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, 2008;294:E103–9.
56. Nauck MA, Kemmeries G, Holst JJ, et al., Rapid tachyphylaxis of the glucagon-like peptide 1-induced deceleration of gastric emptying in humans, *Diabetes*, 2011;60:1561–5.
57. Jelsing J, Vrang N, Hansen G, et al., Liraglutide: short-lived effect on gastric emptying – long lasting effects on body weight, *Diabetes Obes Metab*, 2012;14:531–8.
58. Ahren B, Holst JJ, Mari A, Characterization of GLP-1 effects on beta-cell function after meal ingestion in humans, *Diabetes Care*, 2003;26:2860–4.
59. Kjemis LL, Holst JJ, Volund A, et al., The influence of GLP-1 on glucose-stimulated insulin secretion: effects on beta-cell sensitivity in type 2 and nondiabetic subjects, *Diabetes*, 2003;52:380–6.
60. Kapitza C, Forst T, Coester HV, et al., Pharmacodynamic characteristics of lixisenatide once daily versus liraglutide once daily in patients with type 2 diabetes insufficiently controlled on metformin, *Diabetes Obes Metab*, 2013;15:642–9.
61. Buse JB, Garber A, Rosenstock J, et al., Liraglutide treatment is associated with a low frequency and magnitude of antibody formation with no apparent impact on glycemic response or increased frequency of adverse events: results from the Liraglutide Effect and Action in Diabetes (LEAD) trials, *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, 2011;96:1695–702.
62. Tobin GS, Cavaghan MK, Hoogwerf BJ, et al., Addition of exenatide twice daily to basal insulin for the treatment of type 2 diabetes: clinical studies and practical approaches to therapy, *Int J Clin Pract*, 2012;66:1147–57.
63. Buse JB, Bergenstal RM, Glass LC, et al., Use of twice-daily exenatide in basal insulin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, controlled trial, *Ann Intern Med*, 2011;154:103–12.
64. DeVries JH, Bain SC, Rodbard HW, et al., Sequential intensification of metformin treatment in type 2 diabetes with liraglutide followed by randomized addition of basal insulin prompted by A1C targets, *Diabetes Care*, 2012;35:1446–54.
65. Thong KY, Jose B, Sukumar N, et al., Safety, efficacy and tolerability of exenatide in combination with insulin in the Association of British Clinical Diabetologists nationwide exenatide audit*, *Diabetes Obes Metab*, 2011;13:703–10.
66. Riddle MC, Forst T, Aronson R, et al., Adding Once-Daily Lixisenatide for Type 2 Diabetes Inadequately Controlled With Newly Initiated and Continuously Titrated Basal Insulin Glargine: A 24-Week, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Study (GETGOAL-DUO-1), *Diabetes Care*, 2013 [Epub ahead of print].
67. Raccach D, Efficacy and safety of lixisenatide in the treatment of Type 2 diabetes mellitus: a review of Phase III clinical data, *Expert Rev Endocrinol Metab*, 2013;8:105–21.
68. Fonseca VA, Alvarado-Ruiz R, Raccach D, et al., Efficacy and safety of the once-daily GLP-1 receptor agonist lixisenatide in monotherapy: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in patients with type 2 diabetes (GetGoal-Mono), *Diabetes Care*, 2012;35:1225–31.
69. Ratner RE, Hanefeld M, Shamanna P, et al., Efficacy and safety of lixisenatide once-daily versus placebo in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus insufficiently controlled on sulfonylurea ± metformin (GetGoal-S), presented at the 47th annual meeting of the European Association for the Study of Diabetes, September 14, 2011, Lisbon, Portugal, Abstract 785.
70. Pinget M, Goldenberg R, Niemoeller E, et al., Efficacy and Safety of Lixisenatide Once Daily Versus Placebo in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Insufficiently Controlled on Pioglitazone (GetGoal-P), presented at the 2012 72nd Scientific Session at the American Diabetes Association Meeting, 8–12 June 2012, Philadelphia, USA. Poster 1010-P.
71. Ahren B, Leguizamo Dimas A, Miossec P, et al., Efficacy and Safety of Lixisenatide Once-Daily Morning or Evening Injections in Type 2 Diabetes Inadequately Controlled on Metformin (GetGoal-M), *Diabetes Care*, 2013 [Epub ahead of print].
72. Bolli G, Munteanu M, Dotsenko S, et al., Long-Term (Up to 2 Years) Safety of Lixisenatide Once Daily vs Placebo in T2DM Insufficiently Controlled on Metformin (GetGoal-F1), presented at the 47th European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) Annual Meeting, 12–16 September 2011, Lisbon, Portugal 2.
73. Riddle MC, Aronson R, Home P, et al., Adding Once-Daily Lixisenatide for Type 2 Diabetes Inadequately Controlled by Established Basal Insulin: A 24-week, randomized, placebo-controlled comparison (GetGoal-L), *Diabetes Care*, 2013 [Epub ahead of print].
74. Zhang F, Tang X, Cao H, et al., Impaired secretion of total glucagon-like peptide-1 in people with impaired fasting glucose combined impaired glucose tolerance, *Int J Med Sci*, 2012;9:574–81.
75. Seino Y, Min KW, Niemoeller E, et al., Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of the once-daily GLP-1 receptor agonist lixisenatide in Asian patients with type 2 diabetes insufficiently controlled on basal insulin with or without a sulfonylurea (GetGoal-L-Asia), *Diabetes Obes Metab*, 2012;14:910–17.
76. Rosenstock J, Forst T, Aronson R, et al., Efficacy and Safety of Once-Daily Lixisenatide Added on to Titrated Glargine plus Oral Agents in Type 2 Diabetes: GetGoal-Duo 1 Study, presented at the 2012 72nd Scientific Session at the American Diabetes Association Meeting, 8–12 June 2012, Philadelphia, USA, abstract 062-OR.
77. Riddle M, Home, P, Marre M, et al., Efficacy and Safety of Once-Daily Lixisenatide in Type 2 Diabetes Insufficiently Controlled with Basal Insulin ± Metformin: GetGoal-L Study, presented at the 2012 72nd Scientific Session at the American Diabetes Association Meeting, June 8 – 12, 2012, Philadelphia, USA, abstract 983-P.
78. Raccach D, Miossec P, Esposito V, et al., Efficacy and Safety of Lixisenatide in Elderly (>65 yr) and Very Elderly (>75 yr) Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: An Analysis from the GetGoal Phase 3 Program, presented at the 2012 72nd Scientific Session at the American Diabetes Association Meeting, June 8 – 12, 2012, Philadelphia, USA, abstract 972-P.
79. Rosenstock J, Raccach D, Koranyi L, et al., Efficacy and Safety of Lixisenatide Once Daily Versus Exenatide Twice Daily in Type 2 Diabetes Inadequately Controlled on Metformin: A 24-Week, Randomized, Open-Label, Active-Controlled Study (GetGoal-X), *Diabetes Care*, 2013 [Epub ahead of print].
80. Evaluation of Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes After Acute Coronary Syndrome During Treatment With AVE0010 (Lixisenatide) (ELIXA), 2013. Available at: clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01147250 (accessed 15 July 2013).
81. Pinget M, Goldenberg R, Niemoeller E, et al., Efficacy And Safety Of Lixisenatide Once Daily Versus Placebo In Type 2 Diabetes Insufficiently Controlled On Pioglitazone (Getgoal-P), *Diabetes Obes Metab*, 2013 [Epub ahead of print].