Published in final edited form as:

J Org Chem. 2018 June 01; 83(11): 6110–6126. doi:10.1021/acs.joc.8b00899.

Total Synthesis of the Marine Phosphomacrolide, (–)-Enigmazole A, Exploiting Multicomponent Type I Anion Relay Chemistry (ARC) in Conjunction with a Late Stage Petasis-Ferrier Union/Rearrangement

Yanran Ai, Mariya V. Kozytska, Yike Zou, Anton S. Khartulyari, William A. Maio, and Amos B. Smith III*

Department of Chemistry, Laboratory for Research on the Structure of Matter, and Monell Chemical Senses Center, University of Pennsylvania 19104, United States

Abstract

An effective late-stage large-fragment union/rearrangement exploiting the Petasis-Ferrier protocol, in conjunction with multicomponent Type I Anion Relay Chemistry (ARC) to access advanced intermediates, permits completion of a convergent, stereocontrolled total synthesis of the architecturally complex phosphomacrolide (–)-enigmazole A (1).

Graphical Abstract

Introduction

The Petasis-Ferrier rearrangement¹ comprises a versatile protocol for the construction of multi-substituted tetrahydropyrans that comprise diverse structural components widely found in bioactive natural products.^{2,3} Modification of this reaction sequence early on in our laboratory led to a three-stage protocol for the construction of polyketides⁴ that possess *cis*-disubstituted tetrahydropyran rings (Scheme 1).⁵

Partnering the Petasis-Ferrier union/rearrangement protocol with Anion Relay Chemistry (ARC),⁶ also developed in our laboratory, extends considerably this construction paradigm.

*Corresponding Author: smithab@sas.upenn.edu.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Supporting Information

Spectroscopic and analytical data for all new compounds. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS publication website at ****

With these tactics in hand, we initiated a synthetic program to access members of the novel polyketide phosphomacrolide family of marine toxins, known as the enigmazoles. The first member of this family, (–)-enigmazole A (**1**, Figure 1), disclosed by Gustafson *et al.* at the National Cancer Institute in 2010, exhibited potent activity across the NCI 60-cell line assay with a mean GI50 value of 1.7 μm .⁷ Pure enigmazole A (**1**) however did not display the selective inhibition of cells expressing mutant C-Kit as originally observed for the crude extract of the marine sponge, *Cinachyrella enigmatica*.⁷ Subsequent extensive chemical investigations of the crude sponge extract by Gustafson *et al.* suggested that a structurally related metabolite, (–)-enigmazole B (**2**) was responsible for the selective C-Kit inhibition.^{7b,7c} While sharing the common structural features of (–)-enigmazole A (**1**), including the unprecedented phosphate substituent on the macrolide ring, (–)-enigmazole B (**2**) was found to possess a tri-substituted dihydropyran moiety with a methyl dienoate moiety attached to the dihydropyran core which may well represent the distinguishing structural feature that contributes to the differential selective C-Kit activity.

Synthetic as well as additional structural interests in the enigmazole family of phosphomacrolides first appeared with back to back publications⁸ in 2010 on the total synthesis of (–)-enigmazole A (**1**) by the Molinski group and a full structural account by Gustafson *et al.* More recently total syntheses were reported in 2015 by our group,⁹ by the Fürstner group in 2016,¹⁰ and by the Fuwa group in 2018.¹¹ Herein we provide a full account on the development and execution of the Penn total synthesis of (–)-enigmazole A (**1**) that exploits the effective combination of a late-stage large fragment Petasis-Ferrier union/rearrangement in conjunction with construction of the requisite advanced acyclic intermediate exploiting Type I Anion Relay Chemistry (ARC).

Result and Discussion

From the retrosynthetic perspective our intent for the (–)-enigmazole A (**1**) program was to establish a general strategy leading to the construction of a common enigmazole macrocyclic precursor not only for (–)-enigmazole A (**1**), but also for potential analogues (Scheme 2). Ideal here appeared to be use of the Petasis-Ferrier union/rearrangement tactic comprising an unprecedented late-stage coupling of large fragments to construct the requisite substituted pyran functionality, that would not only lead to (–)-enigmazole A (**1**) after macrolactonization and further elaboration, but to potential analogs. Towards this end, the requisite advanced pyran intermediate **3** was envisioned to be constructed from a western hemisphere aldehyde (**4**) that would arise from commercially available (*R*)-(–)-Roche ester, and a carboxylic acid eastern hemisphere (**5**), comprising the C9 to C24 fragment of the (–)-enigmazole A skeleton, that would be constructed via a three-component Type I ARC tactic. The oxazole-containing component **6** in turn would be elaborated via a Negishi cross-coupling¹² employing vinyl iodide **9** and commercially available chloride **10**.

We initiated the synthesis with construction of oxazole **6** for the eastern hemisphere (Scheme 3). Commercially available (*R*)-3-butyn-2-ol [(+)-**11**] was first subjected to a copper catalyzed carbometalation/iodination reaction¹³ followed by methylation to generate Zolefin (+)-**12**. Subsequential Negishi coupling was then achieved via exploiting a finely-tuned solvent system that permitted union of an in situ generated zincate with aryl chloride

10 to deliver the desired product (+)-**13** in 80% yield. Following a chelation controlled DIBAL reduction, the derived aldehyde (+)-**14** was subjected to Brown allylation to furnish homoallylic alcohol (+)-**15** with high diastereoselectivity (>20:1). Subsequent carbamation provided (+)-**16** that was submitted to a modified Bartlett iodocarbonate cyclization, via a protocol developed earlier in our laboratory,¹⁴ to furnish iodocarbonate (+)-**17**. Treatment of the latter with potassium carbonate in methanol then furnished the β -hydroxyl epoxide (+)-**18**, which was protected as the TBS silyl ether to yield the oxazole component (+)-**6** in near quantitative yield.

With the oxazole component (+)-**6** in hand, we next explored the three-component Type I ARC union of commercially available dithiane **7** with enantiomeric enriched epoxide (–)-**8**¹⁵ (Scheme 4). Crucial factors that often dictate the success of ARC transformations,⁶ such as the solvent system (i.e., Et₂O, Et₂O/HMPA, THF, THF/HMPA, etc.), bases (*n*-BuLi, *t*-BuLi, *t*-BuLi/KO*t*-Bu, etc.), as well as various temperature regimes (–78 °C to rt) were extensively screened. The desired union product **19** however was not observed.

In the event, our typical ARC conditions (e.g., *n*-BuLi, HMPA, Et₂O, –78 °C to rt) resulted in an 80–90% recovery of epoxide (–)-**8**, in conjunction with a complex mixture of products that derive from vinyl oxazole (+)-**6**, presumably due to the acidic protons at C-23 and C-25. We therefore took advantage of one of the significant attributes of the ARC protocol, namely the ability to reverse the reactivity of the nucleophile and electrophile, recognizing that the oxazole ring might stabilize the requisite negative charge at C-17 and thus led to the desired alkylation product (Scheme 5). This revision required a revised synthetic plan for the eastern hemisphere **5** to accommodate the acidic protons at the allylic positions.

To this end, disconnection at the C-16/17 junction of **5** would permit construction from the previously available aldehyde (+)-**14** upon conversion to the corresponding dithane **20** and epoxide **21**, again exploiting a three-component Type I ARC tactic with epoxides (–)-**22** and (–)-**23** as electrophiles and dithiane **7** as the nucleophile.

We began with construction of epoxide (–)-**21** (Scheme 6, Route A). Deprotonation of dithiane **7** with *n*-BuLi in diethyl ether at 0 °C generated the dithiane anion which upon addition of epoxide (–)-**22** followed by 1,4-Brook rearrangement triggered by increasing the temperature to –30 °C and addition of the second electrophile epoxide (–)-**23** in DMPU furnished the desired tri-component adduct (–)-**24** in 90% yield as a single diastereomer. Importantly this transformation could be carried out on up to the 10-gram scale! The dithiane was then removed via Raney nickel hydrogenolysis to deliver (–)-**25**. Interestingly, the 4-methoxybenzyl ether (PMB) protection remained intact. However after purification the same hydrogenolysis conditions permitted conversion to diol (–)-**26**. Possibly in the first hydrogenolysis the Raney Nickel was poisoned by the sulfur from the dithiane. Epoxidation exploiting the one-step Fraser-Reid protocol¹⁶ then furnished fragment (–)-**21** in high yield as a single diastereomer.

As demonstrated above, a major advantage of the ARC strategy is the efficient construction of multiple stereocenters in a *fully stereocontrolled* manner from simple enantiomeric

enriched linchpins. In fact, an earlier devised route to epoxide fragment (–)-**21** (Scheme 6, Route B) had resulted in a mixture of diastereomers.¹⁷

We next turned to construct oxazole **20** (Scheme 7). Aldehyde (+)-**14** was condensed with 1,3-propanedithiol to provide dithiane (+)-**20** for the proposed alkylation with epoxide (–)-**21**. Initial attempts (entries 1–5) however only provided moderate yields of the desired union product (+)-**29**, accompanied with the C-23 allylic epimer (+)-**30**, along with the double-alkylated product (+)-**31**. We reasoned that the amount of *n*-BuLi was critical; excess would lead to deprotonation at the C-23 allylic proton and thus a second alkylation with the unreacted epoxide to give (+)-**31** as well as the undesired epimer (+)-**30**. Not surprisingly in our initial synthetic route (Scheme 3), more basic nucleophiles such as alkyl dithiane anions generated via a 1,4-Brook rearrangement had induced decomposition of the vinyl oxazole electrophile (+)-**6**. Pleasingly optimal reaction conditions were obtained upon screening such variables as the electrophile and *n*-BuLi stoichiometry, solvent, and reaction temperature regimes, to furnish the desired union product (+)-**29** in 77% yield (entry 9).

Continuing with the synthesis, application of the recently developed iron-mediated oxidative hydrolysis protocol¹⁸ on dithiane (+)-**29** led to ketone (+)-**32** (Scheme 8), which in turn was submitted to the Narasaka-Prasad reduction¹⁹ to provide diol (+)-**33** with high diastereoselectivity (single diastereomer, dr>20:1).

Next, upon formation of the PMP acetal (–)-**34** employing *p*-anisaldehyde dimethyl acetal and CSA, we were pleased to discover that DIBAL reduction led to the secondary alcohol (+)-**35** as a single isomer. Although more sterically hindered, the observed regioselectivity is likely the result of strong chelation of the α-oxygenated oxazole with the aluminum of the DIBAL, thereby permitting delivery of the hydride to the desired site. Following chemoselective formation of the primary TBS ether alcohol (+)-**36** with HF·Py, TEMPO mediated oxidation²⁰ provided carboxylic acid (+)-**37**, albeit with inconsistent efficiency likely due to competitive oxidation at the C-17 position.

To avoid this inconsistency/chemoselectivity issue, we adjusted the synthetic sequence (Scheme 9). Diol (+)-**33** was first converted to the PMP acetal with removal of the TBS group in single flask operation to deliver alcohol (–)-**38** in 88% yield. Subsequent TEMPO mediated oxidation now consistently led to carboxylic acid (+)-**39** in nearly quantitative yield. A chelation controlled regioselective reduction with DIBAL then permitted access to alcohol (+)-**37** with the carboxylic acid group intact, the latter due to the strength of the chelation of the α-oxygenated oxazole with aluminum hydride. Final deprotection then afforded the desired eastern hemisphere carboxylic acid (+)-**5**. The overall yield for this 12-step sequence was 27%.

We next initiated construction of the western hemisphere **4** (Scheme 10) with commercially available (–)-*R*-Roche ester, employing a three-step protection/reduction/iodination sequence²¹ to produce iodide (–)-**40**, which in turn was employed in a two-step Myers alkylation/reduction protocol²² exploiting pseudoephedrine amide (–)-**41** to furnish the 1,3-dimethyl alcohol (–)-**42** in near quantitative yield. The diastereoselectivity proved excellent (single diastereomer, dr > 20:1). Following in turn a Parikh-Doering oxidation and a Brown

allylation olefin (–)-**43** was produced as a single diastereomer, that was then protected as the TIPS ether to give (–)-**44**. Osmium promoted dihydroxylation in conjugation with oxidative cleavage of the olefin (NaIO₄) completed construction of the requisite western hemisphere (–)-**4**.

With both late-stage intermediates (–)-**4** and (+)-**5** secure and readily available, we began exploring the critical strategic level transformation: a three-stage Petasis-Ferrier union/rearrangement to construct the entire carbon skeleton of (–)-engimazole A (**1**). At the outset, union of (–)-**4** and (+)-**5** (i.e., Stage 1) employing our standard conditions of HMDS and TMSOTf⁵ resulted only a trace amount of the desired product (entry 1, Scheme 11), along with recovered starting material (–)-**4** and a mixture of silylated (+)-**5**. Increasing the reactivity by elevating the temperature proved detrimental (entry 2–5). However, during these trials we occasionally obtained a dramatic increase in the yield (ca. 40–80%). This occurred when a frequently employed older sample of the reagent TMSOTf was used. We reasoned that a trace amount of triflic acid in the TMSOTf reagent might facilitate the key union transformation. Such an observation had in fact occurred in our earlier (+)-zampanolide synthesis, where addition of a catalytic amount of TfOH significantly increased the yield, particularly for reactions on larger scale.²³ In the current case however addition of a catalytic amount of TfOH was not profitable (0–16%, entry 6–7) with no starting material recovered! Careful NMR analysis of the reaction mixture (entry 6) suggested that the desired dioxanone moiety of (–)-**45** was in fact generated, albeit with removal of both the TMS and/or PMB groups presumably due to the strong acidic character of TfOH. Eventually we discovered that introduction of a trace amount of water into the reaction mixture to mimic a frequently used source of TMSOTf dramatically increased the yield (ca. 95%) of (–)-**45** (entry 9 and 10), now in a controlled manner (see Experimental Section).²⁴

With dioxanone (–)-**45** now available, we moved to Stage 2 of our Petasis-Ferrier Union-Rearrangement (i.e., olefination; Scheme 12). Initial attempts utilizing 0.05 M Petasis reagent²⁵ led to enol acetal (–)-**46** in 40–50% yield (entry 1, Scheme 12). Further investigation indicated that the concentration of the Petasis reagent and precise heating (i.e., microwave irradiation) proved critical (entry 6), and as such furnished (–)-**46** in 87% yield.

Turning to Stage 3 of the Petasis-Ferrier Union/Rearrangement protocol, we explored the use of Me₂AlCl, well known in this venue⁵ (Scheme 13, entries 1 to 7); unfortunately, only decomposition resulted presumably via a retro-Michael fragmentation.²⁶ Extensive experimentation proved uneventful; we were not able to isolate the desired, standard ketone product. We concluded however that the reaction sequence might proceed to advanced olefin (–)-**47**, via in situ capture of the rearranged/generated ketone with methylene ylide (PPh₃=CH).

Pleasingly under these conditions an 84% yield of (–)-**47** was obtained for the third stage with complete stereocontrol.⁵ The requisite three-stage Petasis-Ferrier union/rearrangement protocol had thus been demonstrated by utilizing highly functionalized late-stage synthetic intermediates (–)-**4** and (+)-**5**, in conjunction with the methyl ylide to deliver advanced union product (–)-**47** in 69% overall yield with complete stereochemical control for (–)-engimazole A (**1**).

With all eight stereocenters embedded in (–)-**47**, we advanced to the elaboration of (+)-**48** requiring removal of both the TBDPS and TMS groups, and then macrocyclization (Scheme 14). Use of KOH/18-crown-6 in water proved highly selective for the latter. The primary hydroxyl group was next oxidized chemoselectively to the carboxylic acid under TEMPO mediated oxidation conditions to furnish seco-acid (+)-**3**. Initial trials with the Keck macrolactonization condition²⁷ although providing the macrolactone (+)-**49**, the yield was only moderate (40–50%). Pleasingly the macrocyclization could be improved to 89% by applying the Yamaguchi protocol.²⁸

The next critical issue was selective removal of the TIPS group in order to install the requisite phosphate group. Initial attempts with various deprotection conditions proved challenging (Scheme 15). Conditions such as HF/acetonitrile, TBAF, TASF or TBAT in various solvents led to total decomposition, or to δ -lactone (–)-**50** obtained via intramolecular transesterification. The latter unfortunately could not be converted to the desired macrolactone **51** via treatment with various acids such as trifluoroacetic acid, but instead provided diol (–)-**52** upon removal of the PMB group. Eventually however we discovered that HF buffered with pyridine (entry 8) led to the successful selective removal of the TIPS group to generate (+)-**51** in 70% yield accompanied with only a small amount of (–)-**50** (<5%).

Turning to installation of the phosphate substituent, we employed the conditions of *i*-Pr₂NP(OFm)₂/1H-tetrazole on (+)-**51** (Scheme 16),²⁹ followed by DDQ removal of the PMB group to deliver the desired tertiary alcohol (–)-**53**. Final deprotection applying potassium carbonate then furnished the dipotassium phosphate of (–)-enigmazole A (–)-**54**. Surprisingly, multiple inconsistencies in the proton resonances were observed upon comparing the NMR spectrum of synthetic (–)-**54** with the natural product (e.g., H2 and H5, difference > 0.11 ppm; see Supporting Information). We reasoned that different ionic forms (i.e., sodium, potassium, mono- and the bis- salt form) of (–)-enigmazole A may result in different sets of 1H-NMR data. We thereby conducted ion exchange experiments in addition to acidification to furnish a series of salts [i.e., (–)-**54**, (–)-**55**, (–)-**56** and (–)-enigmazole A (**1**)]. Eventually, we were able to determine that the monosodium phosphate of (–)-**56** displayed excellent agreement (¹H, ¹³C, ³¹P NMR spectra) with the previous reports from both Gustafson^{8a} and Molinski.^{8b} Thus the total synthesis of (–)-enigmazole A (**1**) as well as a series of salts had been achieved.

Summary

The total synthesis of the marine phosphomacrolide (–)-enigmazole A (**1**), along with the mono- and di-sodium phosphate salts, is reported. The overall yield was 4.4%, proceeding in a longest linear sequence of 22 steps from commercially available (*R*)-3-butyn-2-ol. Application of a late-stage Petasis-Ferrier union/rearrangement in conjunction with construction of advanced intermediates via multi-component Type 1 Anion Relay Chemistry (ARC), proved highly effective for the total synthesis of (–)-enigmazole A (**1**). Further exploitation of the Petasis-Ferrier union/rearrangement and various ARC tactics continue in our laboratory.

Experimental Section

Materials and Methods

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Acros, or TCI America, unless otherwise referenced. Anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF), diethyl ether (Et₂O), dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂), and toluene were acquired from a Pure Solve PS-400 system. Triethylamine, diisopropylamine, diisopropylethylamine, and DMPU were freshly distilled from calcium hydride under a nitrogen atmosphere. Commercial *n*-butyllithium (*n*-BuLi) and *tert*-butyllithium (*t*-BuLi) solutions were titrated by using diphenylacetic acid. All reactions that require anhydrous conditions were performed in oven- or flame-dried glassware under N₂ or Ar atmosphere. High vacuum (0.05 torr) was created by using an oil pump (Nandor Model 1400N). All reactions were stirred magnetically unless otherwise mentioned. Ice/water bath created 0 °C reaction temperature; dry ice/acetonitrile bath created –40 °C reaction temperature; dry ice/acetone bath created –78 °C reaction temperature. All yields refer to spectroscopically pure compounds unless otherwise mentioned. Microwave reactions were carried out in a Biotage Initiator microwave reactor with employed vials and septa caps purchased from Biotage (Microwave Reaction Kits), and the temperature was monitored with external surface sensor. Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) with 250 mm pre-coated silica gel plates purchased from Silicycle Technology, and C18 Silica gel thin layer chromatography (C18 TLC) with 100 mm pre-coated C18 silica gel plates purchased from Sorbent Technologies. Flash chromatography was conducted by using ACS grade solvents and silica gel which was purchased from Silicycle Technology. Preparative thin layer chromatography (PTLC) with 500 mm or 1000 mm pre-coated silica gel plates purchased from Silicycle Technology. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was conducted by using Gilson 333/334 Pumps equipped with an UV-Vis dual wavelength detector and a C18 column (Vydac™ 5 µm C18, 250*10 mm). All melting points were obtained on a Thomas-Hoover apparatus and were uncorrected. All Infrared spectra were recorded on a Jasco Model FT/IR-480 Plus spectrometer. All optical rotations were measured on a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter. ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and ³¹P NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance III 500 MHz spectrometer equipped with either an Oxford cryomagnet or a Spectrospin/Bruker cryomagnet (500MHz/ 52mm) with a 5 mm dual cryo probe by using either 5 mm or 3 mm NMR tubes. All chemical shifts (δ, in ppm) reported were referred to chloroform (δ 7.26) benzene (δ 7.16) or methanol (δ 3.30) for ¹H NMR and chloroform (δ 77.23), benzene (δ 128.39) or methanol (δ 49.00) for ¹³C NMR. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were acquired either on a Waters LC-TOF mass spectrometer (model LCT-XE Premier) or on a waters GCT Premier spectrometer at the University of Pennsylvania.

Preparation of All New Compounds

Compound (+)-12—To a stirred solution of (*R*)-3-butyn-2-ol (+)-11 (12.4 g, 177 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in dry toluene (330 mL) at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere, CuI (17.4g, 88.8 mmol, 0.5 equiv) was added portionwise. After complete addition, the temperature was decreased to –78 °C before the dropwise addition of MeMgBr solution (1.4 M in THF/Toluene, 1:3, 456 mL, 638 mmol, 3.6 equiv) over 2 hours. Then the reaction mixture was warmed gradually to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction was

quenched with the dropwise addition of I₂ solution (162 g of I₂ in 250 mL THF, 638 mmol, 3.6 equiv) at -40 °C. The resulting mixture was washed with a saturated aqueous solution of Na₂S₂O₃ (250 mL). The organic layer was removed and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (50 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated carefully (volatile!), the resulting oil was purified using a column chromatography (pentane/Et₂O, 5:1) to afford a hydroxyl vinyl iodide in a mixture with toluene (50 g). The mixture was moved to next step without further purification to a stirred suspension of NaH (24.0 g, 600 mmol, 60% in mineral oil, 3.4 equiv) in 250 mL of Et₂O at 0 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere, a solution of the hydroxyl vinyl iodide [50 g, mixture with toluene made from 177 mmol of (+)-**11**] in 100 mL Et₂O was added slowly. After complete addition, the temperature was increased to room temperature and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 20 min before it was recooled to 0 °C. Methyl iodide (96.4 mL, 679 mmol, 3.8 equiv) was added dropwise, followed by very slow addition (over 40 min) of 15-crown-5 (30.5 g, 141 mmol, 0.8 equiv) at 0 °C. The resulted mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature and quenched at 0 °C by very careful addition of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (100 mL over 1 hour). The organic layer was removed and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (50 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated carefully (volatile!), the resulting oil was purified by reduced pressure distillation (80 °C at 5 mm Hg) to give iodide (+)-**12** (30.7 g, 136 mmol, 76.8%, 2 steps) as a colorless liquid. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +9.5$ (c 2.2, CHCl₃); IR (film) 2979, 2927, 2900, 2819, 1654, 1443, 1370, 1338, 1281, 1206, 1145, 1115, 1098, 1031, 968, 866, 774, 655 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.03 (d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (q, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 1.80 (d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 3H), 1.20 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 147.5, 80.9, 75.7, 56.5, 18.6, 18.0; high resolution mass spectrum (CI) *m/z* 225.9852 [(M)⁺ calcd for C₆H₁₁IO, 225.9855].

Compound (+)-13—To a solution of iodide (+)-**12** (19.0 g, 84.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in Et₂O (70 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere at -78 °C, *t*-BuLi (99 mL, 1.7 M in pentane, 168.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) was added dropwise over 30 min. The resulting heterogeneous mixture was stirred for one hour at the same temperature, then ZnCl₂ solution (100 mL, freshly prepared from solid flame-dried ZnCl₂, 1 M in THF, 100.0 mmol, 1.19 equiv) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over a 30 min period. At this point pentane and diethyl ether were removed from the reaction under reduced pressure and nitrogen atmosphere. A solution of oxazolyl chloride **8** (14.9 g, 84.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (2.0 g, 1.73 mmol, 0.02 equiv) was separately prepared in THF (80 mL) and was added drop-wise. The resulting mixture was vigorously stirred at reflux for two hours, then cooled to room temperature and quenched by the addition of a saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution (50 mL). The mixture was diluted with diethyl ether. The organic layer was removed, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (30 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (20 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate/hexanes 10:90, 15:85, 20:80) to furnish ester (+)-**13** (16.1 g, 67.3 mmol, 80.0%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +43.9$ (c 0.5, CHCl₃); IR (film) 2980, 1743, 1722, 1654,

1576, 1448, 1370, 1316, 1178, 1113, 1025, 839, 770 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 8.11 (s, 1H), 6.26 (s, 1H), 5.14 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 1.93 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 3H), 1.38 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.32 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 161.4, 161.0, 153.2, 142.8, 134.2, 112.7, 74.8, 61.2, 56.5, 19.4, 17.9, 14.4; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) m/z 262.1051 $[(\text{M}+\text{Na})^+]$ calcd for $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{17}\text{NO}_4\text{Na}$, 262.1055].

Compound (+)-14—A solution of ester (+)-**13** (9.00 g, 37.6 mmol, 1 equiv) in 200 mL CH_2Cl_2 was treated with DIBAL-H (1 M in hexanes, 75.2 mL, 75.2 mmol, 2.0 equiv) at -78°C under nitrogen atmosphere, followed by stirring at that temperature for 30 min. The resulted mixture was quenched with MeOH (20 mL) at -78°C before it was warmed to room temperature. Saturated aqueous Rochelle's salt (80 mL) was then added and the mixture was stirred vigorously for one hour. The resulting mixture was diluted with 100 mL diethyl ether. The organic layer was removed, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (50 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (20 mL), dried over MgSO_4 , filtered, and concentrated, the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , ethyl acetate/hexanes 10:90, 15:85, 20:80) to furnish aldehyde (+)-**14** (6.35 g, 32.7 mmol, 87.0%) as a white solid. mp 55.0 – 57.0°C ; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ = $+25.2$ (c 1.0, CHCl_3); IR (film) 2978, 2924, 1699, 1651, 1562, 1114 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 9.95 (s, 1H), 8.18 (s, 1H), 6.23 (s, 1H), 5.24 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (s, 3H), 1.94 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 3H), 1.33 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 184.8, 161.5, 154.8, 143.0, 141.7, 112.2, 75.0, 56.8, 19.4, 18.1; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) m/z 196.0971 $[(\text{M}+\text{H})^+]$ calcd for $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{14}\text{NO}_3$, 196.0974].

Compound (+)-15—To a solution of (–)-*B*-methoxydiisopinocampheylborane (0.78 g, 2.47 mmol, 3.2 equiv) in Et_2O (10 mL) under argon atmosphere at -5°C was added allylmagnesium bromide solution (2.1 mL, 1.0 M in Et_2O) dropwise via syringe over 10 min. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for one hour. This mixture was cooled down to -78°C and a solution of aldehyde (+)-**14** (0.150 g, 0.77 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in Et_2O (3 mL) was added over 15 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 3 hours and then MeOH (5 mL) was added. the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and treated with 0.2 N NaOH (1 mL) and 30% H_2O_2 (2 mL) (exothermic, use water bath). The mixture was stirred for 10 hours and the organic phase was separated. Aqueous part was extracted with diethyl ether (3×20 mL) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried (MgSO_4), and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Purification using column chromatography (SiO_2 , hexanes/ EtOAc , 5:1) gave pure alcohol (+)-**15** (0.164 g, 0.69 mmol, 90.0%). $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ = $+27.3$ (c 1.0, CHCl_3); IR (film) 3407 (br), 3052, 2978, 2931, 2821, 1656, 1541, 1446, 1381, 1206, 1153, 1095, 973, 915, 861 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 7.44 (d, J = 0.6 Hz, 1H), 6.16 (dq, J = 1.4, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 5.81 (dddd, J = 17.0, 10.1, 7.1, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (dddd, J = 17.0, 2.0, 1.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (dq, J = 6.5, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (dddd, J = 10.1, 2.0, 1.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.71–4.67 (m, 1H), 3.18 (s, 3H), 2.99 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (dddd, J = 14.3, 7.1, 7.1, 1.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (dddd, J = 14.3, 7.1, 7.1, 1.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H); 1.88 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 3H), 1.28 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H) ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 160.4, 150.7, 143.8, 133.9,

133.1, 118.3, 113.3, 74.7, 66.4, 56.4, 40.8, 19.1, 17.5; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) m/z 260.1258 [(M + Na)⁺ calcd for C₁₃H₁₉NO₃Na, 260.1263].

Compound (+)-16—To an ice-cold solution of alcohol (+)-**15** (3.04 g, 12.8 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in dry toluene (60 mL) was dropwise added *n*-BuLi (5.2 mL, 2.5 M in hexanes). After stirring for 15 min, BOC₂O (3.10 g, 14.2 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added and stirring was continued for 2 hours at room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution and the organic phase separated. The aqueous part was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 100 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography of the residue on silica (hexanes/EtOAc, 20:1) gave 3.89 g (11.53 mmol, 90.1%) of the desired product as yellow oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +2.5$ (*c* 0.85, CHCl₃); IR (neat) 3052, 2979, 2932, 2819, 1741, 1644, 1546, 1449, 1369, 1280, 1254, 1163, 1095, 972, 919, 845, 791, 759 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.45 (d, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (dq, *J* = 1.4, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 5.76 (dddd, *J* = 17.0, 10.3, 7.1, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 5.62 (ddd, *J* = 7.1, 6.3, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (dq, *J* = 6.5, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (dddd, *J* = 17.0, 2.0, 1.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.07 (dddd, *J* = 10.3, 2.0, 1.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 2.78 (dddd, *J* = 14.3, 6.3, 6.3, 1.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.71 (dddd, *J* = 14.3, 7.1, 7.1, 1.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 1.88 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 3H), 1.47 (s, 9H), 1.29 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 160.3, 152.9, 151.0, 139.9, 134.8, 132.8, 118.2, 113.2, 82.3, 74.7, 71.0, 56.3, 37.6, 27.8, 19.1, 17.6; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) m/z 338.1956 [(M + H)⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₂₈NO₅, 338.1967].

Compound (+)-17—Iodine monobromide (20 mL, 10.0 equiv, 1.0 M in CH₂Cl₂) was slowly added dropwise to a solution of *tert*-butylcarbonate (+)-**16** (0.68 g, 2.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in dry toluene (20 mL) at -85 °C. After 24 hours the mixture was diluted with Et₂O and poured into mixture of NaHCO₃/Na₂S₂O₃ aqueous solutions (1:1). After stirring for 15 min the organic phase was separated and inorganic part was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 50 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried with MgSO₄, and concentrated. The crude material was purified using column chromatography on silica (hexanes/EtOAc, 1:1, *R_f* = 0.5) to give 0.45 g (1.11 mmol, 55.3%) of iodocarbonate (+)-**17**. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +28.5$ (*c* 1.2, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3052, 2977, 2930, 2819, 1749, 1653, 1540, 1447, 1383, 1241, 1186, 1112, 971, 856, 760 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.65 (s, 1H), 6.17 (s, 1H), 5.49 (dd, *J* = 11.7, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (q, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (dddd, *J* = 11.7, 7.3, 4.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.44 (dd, *J* = 10.7, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (dd, *J* = 10.7, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 2.75 (ddd, *J* = 14.5, 3.0, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.15 (ddd, *J* = 14.5, 11.7, 11.7 Hz, 1H), 1.90 (s, 3H), 1.3 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 160.9, 152.3, 147.6, 138.1, 134.7, 112.6, 77.2, 74.6, 73.0, 56.4, 32.5, 19.1, 17.6, 5.0; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) m/z 408.0302 [(M+H)⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₁₉INO₅, 408.0308].

Compound (+)-18—A solution of iodocarbonate (+)-**17** (0.45 g, 1.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in dry methanol (7.5 mL) at room temperature was treated with potassium carbonate (0.51 g, 3.7 mmol, 3.4 equiv) and stirred for 2 hours. The mixture was diluted with ether and quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl. The aqueous layer was then extracted with Et₂O (3 × 50 mL) and the combined extracts were washed with brine, dried with MgSO₄, and

concentrated under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc, 1: 1, R_f =0.35) provided the desired epoxy alcohol (+)-**18** (0.24 g, 84%). $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +45.0$ (c 0.5, CHCl_3); IR (film) 3433 (br), 3052, 2978, 2925, 2820, 1656, 1590, 1543, 1447, 1369, 1205, 1153, 1093, 971, 855 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 7.53 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (dq, J = 1.6, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (dq, J = 6.5, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.95–4.88 (m, 1H), 3.19 (s, 3H), 3.1 (brs, 1H), 3.09 (dddd, J = 6.9, 4.2, 4.2, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (dd, J = 5.0, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.55 (dd, J = 5.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.22 (ddd, J = 14.5, 4.6, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 1.93 (ddd, J = 14.5, 7.5, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.88 (d, J = 1.6, 3H), 1.31 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 160.6, 151.0, 143.7, 133.2, 113.2, 74.7, 65.7, 56.4, 49.9, 46.7, 39.0, 19.1, 17.6; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) m/z 276.1216 $[(M+H)^+]$ calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{19}\text{NO}_4\text{Na}$, 276.1212].

Compound (+)-6—To an ice-cold solution of alcohol (+)-**18** (0.24 g, 0.95 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and triethylamine (0.58 mL, 0.42 g, 4.1 mmol) in DMF (10 mL) was added TBSCl (0.58 g, 3.85 mmol, 4.1 equiv) followed by DMAP (10 mg). The resulted mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight and then was poured into an ice-cold saturated aqueous NH_4Cl , and extracted with Et_2O (3×20 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried with MgSO_4 , concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified using flash chromatography on silica (hexanes/EtOAc, 5:1, R_f =0.5) to give 0.34 g (0.93 mmol, 98%) of product (+)-**6**. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +13.3$ (c 1.35, CHCl_3); IR (film) 3052, 2953, 2929, 2888, 2857, 2820, 1654, 1592, 1541, 1472, 1447, 1362, 1255, 1206, 1153, 1097, 969, 872, 836, 778 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 7.44 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.17 (dq, J = 1.4, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (dq, J = 6.5, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 4.90 (ddd, J = 5.8, 5.8, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (s, 3H), 3.03 (dddd, J = 6.0, 6.0, 4.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.74 (dd, J = 5.0, 4.0, 1H), 2.49 (dd, J = 5.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.10 (ddd, J = 14.1, 6.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 1.91 (ddd, J = 14.1, 5.8, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 1.68 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 3H), 1.28 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.90 (s, 9H), 0.09 (s, 3H), 0.03 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 160.2, 150.5, 144.9, 133.5, 113.4, 74.8, 66.9, 56.4, 49.3, 46.9, 40.5, 25.7, 19.5, 18.1, 17.6, -4.8, -5.0; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) m/z 368.2249 $[(M+H)^+]$ calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{34}\text{NO}_4\text{Si}$, 368.2257].

Compound (-)-24—A solution of *tert*-butyl(1,3-dithian-2-yl)dimethylsilane (4.12g, 17.6 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in diethyl ether (30mL) was treated dropwise with *n*-BuLi (7.70 mL, 19.3 mmol, 2.5 M in hexanes, 1.3 equiv) at 0 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was kept at 0 °C for 15 min, warmed to room temperature, and then stirred for 18 min before it was cooled to -78 °C. Epoxide (-)-**22** (4.00 g, 19.8 mmol, 1.35 equiv) in 40 mL of diethyl ether was added to the reaction mixture dropwise via a syringe followed by warming to -30 °C and stirring at that temperature for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled back to -78 °C, and the epoxide (-)-**24** (2.85 g, 14.7 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 25 mL of diethyl ether and 5 mL of DMPU was added dropwise via a syringe. The reaction mixture was kept at -78 °C for 30 min and then slowly warmed to room temperature overnight without removing the bath. The resulting mixture was quenched with the addition of saturated aqueous NH_4Cl (20 mL) and diluted with diethyl ether (30 mL). The organic layer was removed, and the aqueous layer extracted with diethyl ether (15 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , ethyl acetate/hexanes 10:90, 15:85, 20:80) to

furnish dithiane (–)-**24** (8.30 g, 13.2 mmol, 89.8%) as a light yellow oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -3.8$ (*c* 4.0, CHCl₃); IR(film) 3466, 2954, 2928, 2856, 1613, 1514, 1471, 1250, 1097, 1038, 836, 775, 664 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.27 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 4.28–4.20 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.75–3.67 (m, 2H), 3.43 (dd, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.39 (dd, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (s, 1H), 2.97–2.91 (m, 1H), 2.89–2.75 (m, 3H), 2.31 (m, 2H), 2.10 (m, 2H), 1.98 (m, 2H), 1.92 (m, 1H), 1.76 (m, 1H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.12 (s, 3H), 0.11 (s, 3H), 0.07 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 159.4, 130.4, 129.5, 112.9, 74.4, 73.0, 68.0, 67.6, 59.8, 55.4, 51.6, 47.1, 43.1, 42.2, 26.7, 26.3, 26.2, 26.1, 24.9, 18.3, 18.2, –3.6, –4.0, –5.1, –5.14; high resolution Mass-spectrum (ESI) *m/z* 653.3168 [(M+Na)⁺ calcd for C₃₁H₅₈O₅S₂Si₂Na, 653.3162].

Compound (–)-25—Commercially available Raney nickel (19.0 g with water, Grade: Raney 2800 nickel from Aldrich) as a slurry in water was weighed into the 200 mL round-bottomed flask and washed with anhydrous ethanol (20 mL) three times under a nitrogen atmosphere. Dithane (–)-**24** (1.00 g, 1.59 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 35 mL ethanol was added via syringe to the slurry mixture before hydrogen gas was bubbled through for 20 min. The mixture was heated to 80 °C and kept at that temperature for 2.5 hours with stirring under a hydrogen atmosphere before it was brought to room temperature. Then the liquid phase was carefully transferred using a pipet to separate the desired product from residual flammable Raney nickel and washed with ethanol (15 mL) four times. The combined liquid was concentrated and purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate/hexanes 10:90, 15:85, 20:80) to furnish alcohol (–)-**25** (0.79 g, 1.50 mmol, 94.5 %) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -4.1$ (*c* 5.0, CHCl₃); IR (film): 3466, 2953, 2929, 2857, 1613, 1514, 1463, 1388, 1361, 1302, 1251, 1093, 1039, 836, 775, 664 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.25 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.48 (s, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.80–3.75 (m, 2H), 3.64 (m, 2H), 3.47 (dd, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.28 (t, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.31 (brs, 1H), 1.63 (q, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 1.55–1.30 (m, 6H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.87 (s, 9H), 0.04 (s, 9H), 0.03 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 159.4, 130.2, 129.4, 113.9, 74.4, 73.0, 70.3, 69.2, 60.0, 55.2, 40.1, 37.5, 33.4, 26.1, 26.0, 21.2, 18.3, 18.2, –4.3, –4.5, –5.2; high resolution mass-spectrum (ESI) *m/z* 549.3394 [(M+Na)⁺ calcd for C₂₈H₅₄O₅Si₂Na, 549.3407].

Compound (–)-26—Commercially available Raney nickel (9.0 g with water, Grade: Raney 2800 nickel from Aldrich) as a slurry in water was weighed out into the 100 mL round-bottomed flask and washed with anhydrous ethanol (10 mL) three times under a nitrogen atmosphere. Alcohol (–)-**25** (0.50 g, 0.95 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 25 mL ethanol was added via syringe to the slurry mixture before hydrogen gas was bubbled through over 20 min. The mixture was heated to 80 °C and kept at that temperature for 15 hours with stirring under a hydrogen atmosphere before it was allowed to attain room temperature. The liquid phase was transferred carefully using a pipet to separate it from residual flammable Raney nickel and washed with ethanol (8 mL) 4 times. The combined liquid was concentrated and purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate/hexanes, 20:80, 30:70, 40:60) to furnish did (–)-**26** (337 mg, 0.83 mmol, 87.3 %) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -7.5$ (*c* 5.0, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3379, 2953, 2929, 2857, 1472, 1361, 1255, 1094, 938, 836, 774, 664 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 3.81 (m, 1H), 3.71 (m, 1H), 3.70–3.63 (m, 3H), 3.43

(dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 1.95–1.75 (brs, 2H), 1.65 (ddd, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 6.5 Hz, 11Hz, 2H), 1.58–1.42 (m, 5H), 1.42–1.35 (m, 1H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.04 (s, 6H), 0.04(s, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 72.4, 69.3, 66.9, 60.2, 40.2, 37.5, 33.5, 26.2$ (3C), 26.1 (3C), 21.3, 18.5, 18.3, –4.2, –4.4, –5.1; high resolution mass-spectrum (ESI) m/z 429.2844 $[(\text{M}+\text{Na})^+ \text{ calcd for } \text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{46}\text{O}_4\text{Si}_2\text{Na}, 429.2832]$.

Alternative Route for Compound (–)-26 (Synthesis via Scheme 2, Route B)—To

a solution of olefin (–)-28 (4.70 g, 12.62 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 80 mL *t*-BuOH and 80 mL water, a mixture of (DHQ)₂PHAL (500 mg, 0.642 mmol, 5 mol %), K_2CO_4 (5.23 g, 37.86 mmol, 3.0 equiv), $\text{K}_3\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$ (12.50g, 37.86 mmol, 3.0 equiv) and potassium osmate(VI) dehydrate (100 mg, 0.271 mmol, 2 mol %) was added at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 hours at 0 °C before it was diluted with ethyl acetate (200 mL). The organic layer was removed, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate (50 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , ethyl acetate: hexanes 20:90, 30:70) to furnish diol (–)-26 with its diastereomer (4.66 g, 11.5 mmol, 91.0%) as a colorless oil. The product exists as a mixture of inseparable diastereomers with the same ^1H NMR spectra and slightly different ^{13}C NMR spectra.

Compound (–)-21—To a solution of diol (–)-26 (1.57 g, 3.87 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 40 mL THF 223 mg of NaH (95 weight-%, 8.83 mmol, 2.28 equiv) was added at 0 °C in nitrogen atmosphere, followed by stirring at room temperature for 20 min. The reaction mixture was then cooled to 0 °C and solid TrisIm (1.54 g, 4.62 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added in one portion. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 hour before it was quenched with the addition of 10 mL saturated aqueous NH_4Cl and diluted with diethyl ether. The organic layer was removed, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (15 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with the saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (10 mL) and brine (10 mL), dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated. The resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , ethyl acetate/hexanes 5:95, 10:90) to furnish epoxide (–)-21 (1.50 g, 3.86 mmol, quantitative) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = -10.3$ (*c* 4.4, CHCl_3); IR (film) 2954, 2929, 2857, 1472, 1388, 1361, 1255, 1095, 1006, 938, 836, 774, 664 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 3.83$ (m, 1H), 3.71 (td, $J = 6$ Hz, 3.5 Hz, 2H), 2.90 (m, 1H), 2.75 (t, $J = 4.5$ Hz, 1H), 2.46 (dd, $J = 5.2$ Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.65 (ddd, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 6.5 Hz, 2.3 Hz, 2H), 1.58 – 1.42 (m, 6H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.05 (s, 3H), 0.04 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 69.1, 59.9, 52.3, 47.0, 40.1, 37.3, 32.8, 26.1, 26.0, 21.7, 18.4, 18.2, -4.3, -4.4, -5.2$; high resolution mass-spectrum (ESI) m/z 411.2729 $[(\text{M}+\text{Na})^+ \text{ calcd for } \text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{44}\text{O}_3\text{Si}_2\text{Na}, 411.2727]$.

Compound (+)-27—To a solution of epoxide (–)-22 (1.80 g, 8.90 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and copper(I) iodide (0.70 g, 3.67 mmol, 0.41 equiv) in 30 mL Et_2O at –78 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere, a solution of but-3-en-1-ylmagnesium bromide (1 M in Et_2O , 26.7 mL, 26.7 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was added dropwise. After complete addition, the temperature was increased to room temperature, and the reaction mixture was continued to stir for 4 hours before it was recooled to 0 °C. The resulting mixture was quenched at 0 °C by addition of saturated aqueous NH_4Cl (20 mL). The organic layer was removed and the aqueous layer

was extracted with diethyl ether (30 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , ethyl acetate: hexanes 10:90, 15:85, 20:80) to furnish alcohol (+)-**27** (2.14 g, 8.28 mmol, 93.0%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = +14.40$ (c 1.40, CHCl_3); IR (film) 3443, 3077 2928, 2858, 1641, 1472, 1463, 1256, 1090, 1005, 910, 837, 777 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 5.77$ (m, 1H), 4.97 (d, $J = 17.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.91 (d, $J = 10.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.86 (m, 1H), 3.78 (m, 2H), 3.44 (s, 1H), 2.04 (m, 2H), 1.61 (m, 2H), 1.48 – 1.60 (m, 2H), 1.39 – 1.48 (m, 2H), 0.87 (s, 9H), 0.05 (s, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 139.0$, 114.6, 72.1, 63.0, 38.5, 37.1, 33.9, 26.0, 25.0, 18.3, –5.39, –5.41; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) m/z 259.2088 $[(\text{M}+\text{H})^+ \text{ calcd for } \text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{31}\text{O}_2\text{Si}, 259.2093]$

Compound (–)-28—To a solution of alcohol (+)-**27** (3.70 g, 14.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 80 mL dichloromethane at $0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere, 2,6-lutidine (3.5 mL, 30.1 mmol, 2.1 equiv) was added followed by TBSOTf (4.0 g, 15.15 mmol, 1.06 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours at $0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ before it was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (20 mL). The organic layer was removed, and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (20 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , ethyl acetate: hexanes 2:98, 5:95, 10:90) to furnish olefin (–)-**28** (4.93 g, 13.2 mmol, 92.4%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = -9.45$ (c 3.00, CHCl_3); IR (film) 2956, 2928, 2858, 1472, 1256, 1094, 839, 833, 775 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 5.80$ (m, 1H), 5.00 (d, $J = 17.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.94 (d, $J = 10.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.81 (brs, 1H), 3.66 (m, 2H), 2.04 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 2H), 1.65 (q, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H), 1.48 – 1.52 (m, 4H), 0.89 (s, 18H), 0.04 (s, 12H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 139.1$, 114.6, 69.4, 60.2, 40.3, 37.1, 34.1, 26.18, 26.15, 24.7, 18.5, 18.3, –4.2, –4.4, –5.1; high resolution mass spectrum (ES) m/z 373.2955 $[(\text{M}+\text{H})^+ \text{ calcd for } \text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{45}\text{O}_2\text{Si}_2, 373.2958]$

Compound (+)-20—A solution of aldehyde (+)-**14** (2.30 g, 11.7 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 60 mL CH_2Cl_2 was treated with 1,3-propanedithiol (1.75 mL 17.51 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and $\text{BF}_3\cdot\text{OEt}_2$ (0.55 mL, 4.08 mmol, 0.35 equiv) respectively at $0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere, followed by stirring at that temperature for 2 hours. The resulting mixture was quenched by the addition of a 2 M NaOH aqueous solution (20 mL), and diluted with 30 mL Et_2O . The organic layer was removed, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et_2O (30 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with the 2 M aqueous NaOH (15 mL) three times, saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (20 mL), and brine (20 mL), dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , ethyl acetate/hexanes 10:90, 15:85, 20:80) to furnish dithiane (+)-**14** (3.21 g, 11.2 mmol, 96.1%) as an amorphous white solid. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = +43.7$ (c 4.75, CHCl_3); IR (film) 2977, 2931, 2900, 2819, 1654, 1542, 1446, 1422, 1368, 1273, 1204, 1149, 1112, 1095, 971.0, 875, 760 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 7.60$ (s, 1H), 6.21 (s, 1H), 5.16 (q, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.13 (s, 1H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 3.06–2.95 (m, 4H), 2.17 (m, 1H), 2.01 (m, 1H), 1.89 (d, $J = 1.5$ Hz, 3H), 1.31 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 160.2$, 151.1, 140.6, 134.4, 113.2, 74.7, 56.4, 41.3, 30.3, 25.3, 19.2, 17.6; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) m/z 286.0940 $[(\text{M}+\text{H})^+ \text{ calcd for } \text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{20}\text{NO}_2\text{S}_2, 286.0935]$.

Compound (+)-29—To a solution of dithiane (+)-**20** (3.30 g, 11.6 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 40 mL of Et₂O at –78 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere, a solution of *n*-BuLi (2.30 M in hexanes, 5.79 mL, 13.3 mmol, 1.15 equiv) was added over a 5 min period. After complete addition, the reaction mixture was stirred for 20 minutes at –78 °C. Epoxide (–)-**21** (4.50 g, 11.57 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 20 mL of Et₂O was added to reaction mixture dropwise at the same temperature over 5 minutes. The resulting mixture was gradually allowed to come to 0 °C over 2 hours before it was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (20 mL). The organic layer was removed, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (30 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate/hexanes 15:85, 20:80, 30:70) to furnish dithiane (+)-**29** (6.01 g, 8.92 mmol, 77.0%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +16.0$ (*c* 1.7, CHCl₃); IR (film) 2928, 2856, 1468, 1255, 1096, 836, 774 cm^{–1}; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.71 (s, 1H), 6.21 (s, 1H), 5.11 (q, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (brs, 1H), 3.78 (m, 1H), 3.64, (dd, *J* = 10.5 Hz, 6.5 Hz, 2H), 3.49 (s, 1H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 2.78–2.92 (m, 4H), 2.22–2.35, (m, 2H), 2.01 (m, 2H), 1.90 (s, 3H), 1.45 (m, 2H), 1.47 – 1.52 (m, 6H), 1.31 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.87 (s, 9H), 0.03 (s, 12H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 160.9, 152.1, 143.9, 136.5, 113.3, 75.1, 69.4, 68.5, 60.2, 56.8, 49.5, 40.2, 37.9, 37.7, 27.8, 27.6, 26.2, 26.1, 25.0, 21.4, 19.4, 18.5, 18.3, 17.9, –4.2, –4.4, –5.09, –5.10; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) *m/z* 674.3765 [(M+H)⁺ calcd for C₃₃H₆₄NO₅S₂Si₂, 674.3764]. In earlier condition screening, side product (+)-**30** was inseparable with desired product (+)-**29**. The approximate yield shown in Scheme 7 was calculated based on crude NMR spectra. Side product (+)-**31** exists as a mixture of two inseparable diastereomers with multiple other minor side products. The structure was proposed based on the absence of C-23 proton (5.1–5.2 ppm, q) in ¹H-NMR spectra as well as high resolution mass spectra (ESI) *m/z* 1062.6581 [(M+H)⁺ calcd for C₅₃H₁₀₈NO₈S₂Si₄, 1062.6593].

Note: Similar yield (75%–77%) was achieved when the reaction was carried out in ca. 1 mmol scale.

Compound (+)-32—To a solution of dithiane (+)-**29** (2.55 g, 3.77 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in ethyl acetate (40 mL) and water (40 mL), Fe(acac)₃ (271 mg, 0.757 mmol, 0.2 equiv), sodium iodide (848 mg, 5.66 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and 30% hydrogen peroxide (1.3 mL aqueous solution, 11.31 mmol, 3.0 equiv) were added sequentially at 0 °C under an air atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at the same temperature before it was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous Na₂S₂O₃. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (20 mL) three times. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate: hexanes 15:85, 20:80, 30:70) to furnish ketone (+)-**32** (1.65 g, 2.83 mmol, 75.0%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +24.6$ (*c* 1.4, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3465, 2928, 2856, 1686, 1562, 1463, 1386, 1255, 1096, 968, 836, 774, 664 cm^{–1}; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.15 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.24 (s, 1H), 5.19 (q, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (brs, 1H), 3.83 (brs, 1H), 3.67 (brs, 2H), 3.25 (s, 3H), 3.13 (d, *J* = 17.5 Hz, 2H), 3.01 (d, *J* = 17.5 Hz, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 1.95 (s, 3H),

1.66 (q, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 1.35–1.68 (m, 6H), 1.34 (dd, J = 6.5 Hz, 1.0 Hz, 3H), 0.891 (s, 9H), 0.887 (s, 9H), 0.05 (s, 12H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 196.1, 160.7, 154.2, 141.4, 141.2, 112.4, 75.1, 69.4, 67.9, 60.2, 56.8, 46.9, 40.2, 37.5, 37.2, 26.2, 26.1, 21.3, 19.4, 18.5, 18.3, 18.1, –4.2, –4.4, –5.1; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) m/z 584.3802 $[(\text{M}+\text{H})^+]$ calcd for $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{58}\text{NO}_6\text{Si}_2$, 584.3803].

Compound (+)-33—To a solution of ketone (+)-**32** (1.70 g, 2.91 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 30 mL of THF/MeOH (4:1), a solution of Et_2BOMe (1M in THF, 6.11 mL, 6.11 mmol, 2.1 equiv) was added dropwise at -78°C under a nitrogen atmosphere. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 15 minutes at the same temperature, NaBH_4 (250 mg, 6.58 mmol, 2.25 equiv) was added in one portion. The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 hours before it was diluted by MeOH (20 mL). The temperature was then allowed to increase to 0°C followed by the addition of saturated aqueous Rochelle's salt solution (30 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes before it was diluted by ethyl acetate (50 mL). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (20 mL) three times. The combined organic layers were washed with 2 M aqueous NaOH (20 mL) for three times, saturated aqueous NH_4Cl (20 mL), and brine (20 mL), then dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated. The resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , ethyl acetate/hexanes 20:80, 30:70, 50:50) to furnish diol (+)-**33** (1.62 g, 2.76 mmol, 95.0%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ = +12.8 (c 1.0, CHCl_3); IR (film) 3388, 2929, 2857, 1655, 1472, 1363, 1255, 1095, 836, 775 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 7.48 (s, 1H), 6.18 (s, 1H), 5.13 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (brs, 1H), 3.81 (brs, 2H), 3.66 (brs, 2H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 3.13 (brs, 1H), 1.98 (d, J = 14.5 Hz, 1H), 1.88 (s, 3H), 1.86 (m, 1H), 1.64 (q, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 1.32–1.58 (m, 6H), 1.29 (dd, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.874 (s, 9H), 0.868 (s, 9H), 0.03 (s, 12H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 160.7, 151.1, 144.7, 133.1, 113.5, 75.0, 72.4, 69.4, 68.5, 60.2, 56.7, 43.0, 40.2, 38.5, 37.5, 26.2, 26.1, 21.0, 19.5, 18.5, 18.3, 17.9, –4.2, –4.4, –5.1; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) m/z 586.3953 $[(\text{M}+\text{H})^+]$ calcd for $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{60}\text{NO}_6\text{Si}_2$, 586.3959].

Compound (–)-34—To a solution of diol (+)-**33** (610 mg, 1.04 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 20 mL of dichloromethane, CSA (20 mg, 0.086 mmol, 0.083 equiv) was added as one portion followed by addition of *p*-methoxybenzaldehyde dimethyl acetate (304 mg, 1.67 mmol, 1.60 equiv.) at 0°C under an air atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred for 20 minutes at the same temperature before it was quenched by saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (10 mL). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane (10 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , ethyl acetate: hexanes 5:95, 10:90, 20:80) to furnish PMP acetal (–)-**34** (585 mg, 0.83 mmol, 80.0%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ = –1.62 (c 0.77, CHCl_3); IR (film) 2929, 2856, 1616, 1518, 1463, 1362, 1251, 1097, 1037, 835, 774 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 7.54 (s, 1H), 7.46 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.21 (s, 1H), 5.65 (s, 1H), 5.17 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (brs, 1H), 3.81 (brs, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.66 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 2H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 2.00 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 1.89 (s, 3H), 1.73 (m, 2H), 1.65 (q, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 1.39 – 1.61 (m, 5H), 1.31 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H),

0.89 (s, 18H), 0.04 (s, 12H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 160.5, 160.1, 150.7, 142.5, 134.0, 131.4, 127.7, 113.8, 113.7, 101.1, 75.0, 73.1, 69.4, 60.2, 56.7, 55.5, 40.3, 37.6, 36.6, 36.3, 26.2, 26.1, 20.9, 19.5, 18.5, 18.3, 17.8, -4.2, -4.3, -5.1; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) m/z 704.4380 $[(\text{M}+\text{H})^+]$ calcd for $\text{C}_{38}\text{H}_{66}\text{NO}_7\text{Si}_2$, 704.4378].

Compound (+)-35—To a solution of PMP acetal (–)-**34** (250 mg, 0.355 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 10 mL of dichloromethane, a DIBAL-H solution (1 M in hexanes, 1.24 mL, 1.24 mmol, 3.5 equiv) was added dropwise at -78°C under a nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred for 100 minutes at the same temperature before it was quenched with MeOH at -78°C . The temperature was then allowed to increase to 0°C , at which point a saturated aqueous Rochelle's salt solution (10 mL) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes before it was diluted by ethyl acetate (20 mL). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (10 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , ethyl acetate: hexanes 10:90, 30:70) to furnish acid (+)-**35** (230 mg, 0.327 mmol, 92.0%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ = +27.72 (c 1.00, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 7.44 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.19 (s, 1H), 5.16 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.84 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (s, 1H), 3.85–3.74 (m, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.67 (m, 2H), 3.22 (s, 3H), 2.07 (dt, J = 11.0 Hz, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 1.93–1.89 (m, 1H), 1.89 (s, 3H), 1.65 (m, 4H), 1.51–1.37 (m, 3H), 1.30 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.90 (s, 9H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.06 (s, 6H), 0.05 (s, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 160.5, 159.6, 150.3, 144.9, 133.3, 130.2, 129.8, 114.2, 113.7, 79.5, 74.9, 70.6, 69.3, 68.3, 60.1, 56.6, 55.4, 41.1, 40.2, 37.9, 33.9, 26.11, 26.07, 20.3, 19.4, 18.4, 18.3, 17.7, -4.2, -4.4, -5.1; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) m/z 706.4501 $[(\text{M}+\text{H})^+]$ calcd for $\text{C}_{38}\text{H}_{68}\text{NO}_7\text{Si}_2$, 706.4534].

Compound (+)-36—To a solution of alcohol (+)-**35** (227 mg, 0.322 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 5 mL of THF in a 50 mL plastic container, 4.7 mL of the mixture of HF-pyridine complex/pyridine/THF (1:1.5:2.5) was added dropwise at 0°C . The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at the same temperature for 45 minutes before it was slowly quenched by saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 . After dilution with ethyl acetate (20 mL), the organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (20 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated. The resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , ethyl acetate: hexanes 20:80, 30:70, 40:60) to furnish diol (+)-**36** (152 mg, 0.258 mmol, 80.0%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ = +21.11 (c 1.33, CHCl_3); IR(film) 3407, 2929, 2856, 1613, 1514, 1250, 1095, 1036, 856, 775 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 7.44 (s, 1H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.19 (s, 1H), 5.16 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (dd, J = 8.9 Hz, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.02–3.92 (m, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.78 (m, 2H), 3.72 (m, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 2.07 (dt, J = 11.0 Hz, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 1.92 (m, 1H), 1.88 (s, 3H), 1.77 (m, 1H), 1.55 (m, 2H), 1.63 (m, 4H), 1.38 (m, 2H), 1.29 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.09 (s, 3H), 0.07 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 160.5, 159.6, 150.4, 144.8, 133.3, 130.2, 129.7, 114.2, 113.7, 79.0, 74.9, 71.5, 70.6, 67.9,

60.2, 56.6, 55.4, 41.0, 38.1, 37.3, 33.8, 26.0, 20.5, 19.4, 18.1, 17.7, -4.2, -4.5; high resolution mass spectrum (ES) m/z 592.3652 $[(M+H)^+]$ calcd for $C_{32}H_{54}NO_7Si$, 592.3670]

Compound (-)-38—To a solution of diol (+)-**33** (790 mg, 1.35 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 20 mL of dichloromethane, CSA (30 mg, 0.13 mmol, 0.096 equiv) was added in one portion followed by addition of *p*-methoxybenzaldehyde dimethyl acetate (345 mg, 1.89 mmol, 1.4 equiv) at 0 °C under an air atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred for 10 minutes at the same temperature before addition of TBAF (1.0 M in THF, 10.80 mL, 10.80 mmol, 8.0 equiv) and acetic acid (473 mg, 6.75 mmol, 5.0 equiv). The resulting mixture was allowed to increase to room temperature and was allowed to stir for 16 hours before it was quenched with brine. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (20 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were dried over $MgSO_4$, filtered and concentrated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , ethyl acetate/hexanes 5:95, 10:90, 20:80) to furnish alcohol (-)-**38** (700 mg, 1.19 mmol, 88.0%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -3.4$ (c 1.6, $CHCl_3$); IR (film) 3435, 2929, 2856, 1615, 1518, 1463, 1368, 1336, 1250, 1171, 1112, 1035, 835, 775 cm^{-1} ; 1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ = 7.54 (s, 1H), 7.45 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.20 (s, 1H), 5.64 (s, 1H), 5.17 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.92 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (brs, 2H), 3.81 (brs, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.72 (brs, 1H), 3.22 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 3H), 2.34 (brs, 1H), 2.00 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 1H), 1.89 (s, 3H), 1.81 (m, 1H), 1.75 (m, 1H), 1.68 (m, 2H), 1.58 (m, 4H), 1.42 (m, 1H), 1.30 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.09 (s, 3H), 0.07 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ = 160.5, 160.1, 150.6, 142.4, 133.9, 131.2, 127.6, 113.7, 113.6, 101.1, 76.8, 74.8, 72.9, 71.6, 60.3, 56.6, 55.4, 38.0, 36.9, 36.5, 36.1, 26.0, 21.0, 19.4, 18.1, 17.7, -4.3, -4.5; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) m/z 590.3506 $[(M+H)^+]$ calcd for $C_{32}H_{52}NO_7Si$, 590.3513].

Compound (+)-39—To a solution of alcohol (-)-**38** (184 mg, 0.312 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 2.5 mL of *t*-BuOH and 0.25 mL of pH 7 buffer solution, TEMPO solution (0.025 M in CH_3CN , 5 mL, 0.125 mmol, 0.4 equiv) was added at room temperature under an air atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred for 20 minutes at the same temperature before the subsequent addition of $NaClO_2$ (1 M in water, 0.624 mL, 0.624 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and $NaClO$ (0.025 M in water, 12.5 mL, 0.312 mmol, 1.0 equiv) solution. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 3 hours before it was quenched by saturated aqueous Na_2SO_3 (10 mL). After dilution with ethyl acetate (20 mL), the organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (20 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried over $MgSO_4$, filtered and concentrated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , ethyl acetate/hexanes 5:95, 10:90, 20:80, 30:70) to furnish carboxylic acid (+)-**39** (184 mg, 0.296 mmol, 95.0%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +4.8$ (c 1.0, $CHCl_3$); IR (film) 2930, 1711, 1518, 1250, 1114, 834, 776 cm^{-1} ; 1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ = 7.55 (s, 1H), 7.45 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.23 (s, 1H), 5.64 (s, 1H), 5.15 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (brs, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 2.50 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H), 2.00 (d, J = 12.8 Hz, 1H), 1.89 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 3H), 1.72 (m, 1H), 1.70 (m, 1H), 1.59 (m, 4H), 1.45 (brs, 1H), 1.30 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.87 (s, 9H), 0.08 (s, 3H), 0.07 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ = 176.4, 160.5, 160.0, 150.8, 142.3, 134.0, 131.2,

127.6, 113.7, 113.6, 101.1, 76.7, 75.0, 72.8, 69.4, 56.6, 55.4, 42.1, 37.4, 36.4, 36.0, 25.9, 20.8, 19.4, 18.1, 17.7, -4.4, -4.7; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) m/z 626.3118 [(M + Na)⁺ calcd for C₃₂H₄₉NO₈SiNa, 626.3125].

Compound (+)-37—To a solution of PMP acetal (+)-**39** (1.25 g, 2.07 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 50 mL of dichloromethane, a DIBAL-H solution (1 M in hexanes, 9.93 mL, 9.93 mmol, 4.8 equiv) was added dropwise at -78 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred for 100 minutes at the same temperature before it was quenched with MeOH at -78 °C. The temperature was then allowed to increase to 0 °C followed by the addition of saturated aqueous Rochelle's salt (30 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes before it was diluted by ethyl acetate (50 mL). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (30 mL) six times. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated. The resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate/hexanes 20:80, 30:70, 50:50, 80:20) to furnish carboxylic acid (+)-**37** (1.17 g, 1.93 mmol, 93.0%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +32.4$ (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (film) 2930, 1712, 1514, 1245, 1096, 836 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.43 (s, 1H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.19 (s, 1H), 5.11 (q, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (dd, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (m, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.75 (m, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 2.28 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 2H), 2.05 (dt, *J* = 11.0 Hz, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 1.95 (m, 1H), 1.88 (s, 3H), 1.73 (m, 2H), 1.67 (m, 2H), 1.42 (m, 2H), 1.29 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.86 (s, 9H), 0.07 (s, 3H), 0.06 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 175.9, 160.7, 159.6, 150.8, 144.6, 133.5, 130.2, 129.8, 114.2, 113.6, 78.8, 75.0, 70.7, 69.4, 67.6, 56.6, 55.5, 42.2, 40.8, 37.7, 33.6, 26.0, 20.3, 19.5, 18.2, 17.8, -4.3, -4.6; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) m/z 606.3466 [(M+H)⁺ calcd for C₃₂H₅₂NO₈Si, 606.3462].

Alternative Route for Compound (+)-37 (Scheme 5)—To a solution of diol (+)-**36** (58 mg, 0.098 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 0.775 mL of *t*-BuOH and 0.5 mL of pH 7 buffer solution, a TEMPO solution (0.025 M in CH₃CN, 1.18 mL, 0.029 mmol, 0.3 equiv) was added at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred for 20 minutes at the same temperature before the subsequent addition of NaClO₂ (1 M in water, 0.196 mL, 0.196 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and NaClO (0.025 M in water, 4.0 mL, 0.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 3 hours before it was quenched with saturated aqueous Na₂SO₃ solution (5 mL). After dilution with ethyl acetate (10 mL); the organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (10 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate; hexanes 20:80, 30:70, 50:50, 80:20) to furnish acid (+)-**37** (36 mg, 0.059 mmol, 60.0%) as a colorless oil. (Note: The yield for this TEMPO oxidation is not always reproducible due to competitive oxidation at the C-17 position.)

Compound (+)-5—To a solution of carboxylic acid (+)-**37** (800 mg, 1.32 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 30 mL of THF, TBAF (1.0 M in THF, 9.24 mL, 9.24 mmol, 7.0 equiv) was added dropwise followed by acetic acid (400 mg, 6.60 mmol, 5.0 equiv) at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred for 8 hours before it was quenched with brine. The organic

layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (20 mL) six times. The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , ethyl acetate/hexanes 50:50, 80:20 then ethyl acetate: acetic acid 99:1) to furnish β -hydroxy acid (+)-**5** (569 mg, 1.16 mmol, 87.7%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +56.2$ (c 1.47, CHCl_3); IR (film) 3421, 2934, 1719, 1612, 1514, 1443, 1249, 1177, 1094, 1035, 822 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 7.46 (s, 1H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.21 (s, 1H), 5.03 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (dd, J = 9.3 Hz, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (brs, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.75 (brs, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 2.50 (m, 2H), 2.13 (d, J = 14.3 Hz, 1H), 1.89 (m, 1H), 1.89 (s, 3H), 1.72 (m, 1H), 1.63 (m, 1H), 1.38–1.58 (m, 4H), 1.29 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 176.1, 160.9, 159.5, 151.5, 144.2, 133.8, 130.2, 129.7, 114.1, 113.2, 79.1, 75.1, 70.5, 68.0, 67.4, 56.6, 55.4, 41.4, 40.7, 36.8, 33.3, 21.0, 19.5, 17.8; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) m/z 490.2440 $[(\text{M}-\text{H})^-]$ calcd for $\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{36}\text{NO}_8$, 490.2441].

Compound (–)-42—To a suspended solution of diisopropylamine (7.81 g, 77.2 mmol, 4.3 equiv) and flame dried LiCl (9.59 g, 226 mmol, 12.6 equiv) in 80 mL of THF, a *n*-BuLi solution (2.33 M in hexanes, 30.8 mL, 71.8 mmol, 4.0 equiv) was added dropwise over 15 minutes at 0 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred for 10 minutes at the same temperature before it was cooled to –78 °C. To the resulting mixture, amide (–)-**41** (8.35 g, 37.7 mmol, 2.1 equiv) in 80 mL of THF was then added dropwise at –78 °C over 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was then warmed to room temperature before the addition of iodide (–)-**40** (7.87g, 18.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 30 mL of THF over 20 minutes. The resulting mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature before it was quenched by saturated aqueous NH_4Cl (30 mL) and diluted by EtOAc (200 mL). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (50 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (30 mL) and brine (20 mL), dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , ethyl acetate/hexanes 90:10, 80:20, 60:40) to furnish the amide with small amount of (–)-**41** derived impurity as an amorphous solid. The mixture was moved to next step without further purification. To a solution of diisopropylamine (10.6 mL, 75.4 mmol, 4.2 equiv) in 60 mL of THF, a *n*-BuLi solution (2.33 M in hexanes, 30 mL, 70.0 mmol, 3.9 equiv) was added dropwise over 10 minutes at 0 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred for 15 minutes at the same temperature before the addition of ammonium-borane solid (2.22 g, 71.8 mmol, 4.0 equiv) in one portion at 0 °C. The temperature was increased to room temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 minutes before it was recooled to 0 °C. A solution of the amide [made from 18.0 mmol of iodide (–)-**40**] in 90 mL of THF was then added dropwise, and the temperature was allowed to increase to room temperature after the addition. The resulting mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 2 hours before it was slowly quenched with 100 mL of 3 N HCl aqueous solution over 20 minutes at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for a further 30 minutes before the dilution with Et_2O (200 mL). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et_2O (50 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (50 mL) and brine (30 mL), dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated, and the resulting oil was

purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate/hexanes 90:10, 80:20, 60:40) to furnish alcohol (–)-**42** (6.60 g, 17.81 mmol, 99.2% over 2 steps) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = -15.7$ (*c* 3.2, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3342, 2929, 2857, 1417, 1427, 1389, 1112, 1036, 824, 740, 701, 613.3 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.72–7.65 (m, 4 H), 7.45–7.35 (m, 6H), 3.52–3.47 (m, 3H), 3.40 (m, 1H), 1.79 (m, 1H), 1.70 (m, 1H), 1.22 (ddd, *J* = 13.5 Hz, 9.0 Hz, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.14 (ddd, *J* = 13.5 Hz, 9.0 Hz, 4.5 Hz, 1.0 H), 1.08 (s, 9H), 0.90 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 135.8, 134.3, 134.2, 129.7, 127.8, 68.8, 69.2, 37.0, 33.31, 33.26, 27.1, 19.5, 16.8, 16.6; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) *m/z* 371.2390 [(M+H)⁺ calcd for C₂₃H₃₅O₂Si, 371.2406].

Compound (–)-43—To a solution of alcohol (–)-**42** (6.50 g, 17.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 70 mL dichloromethane, diisopropylethylamine (9.15 mL, 52.5 mmol, 3.0 equiv) and DMSO (6.21 mL, 87.5 mmol, 5.0 equiv) were added followed by portionwise addition of SO₃·pyridine (8.36 g, 52.5 mmol, 3.0 equiv) at 0 °C under an air atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 hour at the same temperature before it was quenched by 2 M NaHSO₄ aqueous solution (30 mL). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (50 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (50 mL) and brine (30 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated, and the resulting aldehyde was moved to the next step as a colorless oil without further purification. To a solution of (–)-*B*-methoxydiisopinocampheylborane (9.41 g, 29.8 mmol, 1.7 equiv) in 50 mL Et₂O, allylmagnesium bromide solution (1.0 M in Et₂O, 21.87 mL, 21.87 mmol, 1.25 equiv) was added dropwise over 20 minutes at –78 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 hour at the same temperature. The temperature was allowed to increase to room temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour before it was recooled to –78 °C. A solution of corresponding aldehyde of alcohol (–)-**42** (17.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv, crude) in 35 mL of Et₂O was then added dropwise to the reaction mixture at –78 °C over 20 minutes. The resulting mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 1 hour before the temperature was allowed to increase to 0 °C. A pH 7 buffer solution (30 mL) was then added followed by the careful addition of 30% aqueous H₂O₂ (7 mL) over 20 minutes at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was then stirred at room temperature overnight before it was diluted by Et₂O. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (60 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ (30 mL) and brine (30 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate/hexanes 10:90, 20:80, 30:70) to furnish olefin (–)-**43** (6.53 g, 15.93 mmol, 91.0% over 2 steps) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = -13.4$ (*c* 2.86, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3325, 3071, 2959, 2930, 2858, 1709, 1640, 1589, 1471, 1428, 1389, 1112, 914, 824, 702 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.68–7.62 (m, 4 H), 7.41–7.31 (m, 6H), 5.81 (dddd, *J* = 17.5 Hz, 9.7 Hz, 7.2 Hz, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 5.18–5.08 (m, 2H), 3.53–3.48 (m, 3H), 2.31–2.25 (m, 1H), 2.15 (m, 1H), 1.76 (brs, 1H), 1.62 (brs, 1H), 1.35–1.25 (m, 1H), 1.21–1.11 (m, 1H), 1.07 (s, 9H), 0.91 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.87 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 135.9, 135.7, 134.29, 134.27, 129.7, 127.8, 118.0, 74.7, 69.8, 39.2, 36.8, 35.3, 33.4, 27.1, 19.5, 16.7, 14.1; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) *m/z* 411.2717 [(M+H)⁺ calcd for C₂₆H₃₉O₂Si, 411.2719].

Compound (–)-44—To a solution of olefin (–)-43 (1.03 g, 2.51 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 20 mL of dichloromethane at 0 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere, 2, 6-lutidine (0.7 mL, 6.02 mmol, 2.4 equiv) was added, followed by the dropwise addition of TIPSOTf (0.80 g, 3.01 mmol, 1.2 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours at 0 °C before it was quenched by addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (10 mL). The organic layer was removed, and the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (10 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated, the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate/hexanes 2:98, 5:95, 10:90) to furnish olefin (–)-44 (1.29 g, 2.28 mmol, 90.7%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -10.0$ (c 2.22, CHCl₃); IR (film) 3072, 2942, 2865, 1463, 1428, 1388, 1112, 882, 824, 784, 701, 678, 613 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.71–7.68 (m, 4 H), 7.43–7.36 (m, 6H), 5.78 (dddd, J = 17.2 Hz, 9.8 Hz, 7.2 Hz, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 5.04 (dd, J = 17.2 Hz, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.99 (dt, J = 9.8 Hz, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (m, 1H), 3.49 (m, 2H), 2.28 (m, 2H), 1.80–1.68 (m, 2H), 1.41 (td, J = 9.8 Hz, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.37 (td, J = 9.8 Hz, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.12–1.02 (m, 30 H), 0.89 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.83 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 136.1, 135.87, 135.86, 134.39, 134.37, 129.7, 127.8, 116.6, 76.7, 70.0, 39.3, 36.4, 34.9, 33.5, 27.1, 19.5, 18.6, 18.5, 16.6, 13.9, 13.2; high resolution mass spectrum (ESI) m/z 589.3871 [(M+Na)⁺ calcd for C₃₅H₅₈O₂Si₂Na, 589.3873].

Compound (–)-4—To a solution of olefin (–)-44 (570 mg, 1.01 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 35 mL of acetone/*tert*-butanol/water (3:3:1), NMO (357 mg, 3.0 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was added as one portion followed by potassium osmate(VI) dihydrate (30 mg, 0.08 mmol, 0.08 equiv) at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature before it was quenched with 10 g of solid Na₂S₂O₃. The resulting heterogeneous mixture was stirred for a further 30 minutes before it was diluted by ethyl acetate (20 mL). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (30 mL) three times. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (10 mL) and brine (5 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated. The resulting diol was moved to the next step as a black oil without further purification. To a solution of the corresponding diol of (–)-44 (1.01 mmol, crude) in 25 mL of acetone/water (4:1), NaIO₄ (750 mg, 3.50 mmol, 3.50 equiv) was added as one portion at room temperature under air atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred at the same temperature for three hours before it was diluted with ethyl acetate (30 mL). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated, the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate/hexanes 2:98, 5:95, 10:90) to furnish aldehyde (–)-4 (436 mg, 0.75 mmol, 75.0% over 2 steps) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -21.7$ (c 1.75, CHCl₃); IR(film) 2943, 2865, 1727, 1463, 1427, 1389, 1112, 882, 824, 740, 702, 667 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 9.87 (t, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.71–7.68 (m, 4 H), 7.46–7.39 (m, 6H), 4.34 (m, 1H), 3.51 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.54 (m, 2H), 1.82 (m, 1H), 1.73 (m, 1H), 1.39 (td, J = 13.0 Hz, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.27 (m, 1H), 1.09 (m, 30 H), 0.91 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.85 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 202.4, 135.8, 134.17, 134.15, 129.7, 127.3, 72.6, 70.0, 47.7, 36.8, 34.4, 33.3, 27.0, 19.4, 18.4, 18.3, 16.1, 15.2, 12.9; high resolution mass (ESI) m/z 591.3664 [(M+Na)⁺ calcd for C₃₄H₅₆O₃Si₂Na, 591.3666].

Compound (–)-45—To a solution of β -hydroxy acid (+)-**5** (58.0 mg, 0.118 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 0.9 mL of THF, 0.6 mL of HMDS was added as one portion at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred for 22 hours at 40 to 45 °C before the HMDS/THF was removed under high vacuum (pressure < 5 Torr). The mixture was then azeotropically purified with toluene to remove the residual amount of HMDS, applying high vacuum with no access of air followed by stirring overnight at 40 to 45 °C under the same high vacuum conditions. The resulting tris-silylated ester was dissolved in 2 mL of dichloromethane before the addition of aldehyde (–)-**4** (80.0 mg, 0.138 mmol, 1.17 equiv) solution in 2 mL of dichloromethane at –78 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. TMSOTf (50.0 mg, 0.225 mmol, 1.90 equiv of a newly opened bottle purchased from Sigma-Aldrich®) was then added to the reaction mixture at –78 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 hour at –78 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere before allowing access to air via insertion of a needle (1.2 mm diameter) at –78 °C for 10 minutes to introduce small amount of moisture (H₂O). The reaction mixture was then stirred at –78 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere for 50 minutes before it was slowly diluted by 5 mL of dichloromethane at the same temperature. The resulting mixture was then quenched with 100 mg of 2,6-lutidine and attached to the high vacuum to partially remove solvent at 5 to 10 °C. The resulting solution (1 mL) was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate/hexanes, 10:90, 20:80) to furnish dioxanone (–)-**45** (125 mg, 0.112 mmol, 95.1%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -3.3$ (c 1.15, CHCl₃); IR (film) 2930, 2864, 1752, 1513, 1463, 1428, 1388, 1250, 1112, 883, 824, 740, 702 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆) δ = 7.81–7.79 (m, 4H), 7.30 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.27–7.24 (m, 7H), 6.85 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.16 (s, 1H), 5.58 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (m, 1H), 3.67 (m, 1H), 3.63–3.53 (m, 2H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 3.30–3.25 (m, 1H), 3.12 (s, 3H), 2.40–2.33 (m, 1H), 2.20–2.10 (m, 2H), 2.06–1.96 (m, 2H), 1.87–1.81 (m, 1H), 1.74 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 3H), 1.48–1.25 (m, 6H), 1.39 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.19 (s, 9H), 1.17–1.13 (m, 21 H), 1.12–1.05 (m, 3H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.92–0.89 (s, 1H), 0.83 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.14 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆) δ = 166.7, 161.3, 160.4, 152.3, 146.9, 136.7, 135.0, 134.3, 132.3, 130.6, 130.1, 129.2, 114.7, 113.9, 102.0, 76.0, 75.7, 75.1, 73.7, 71.4, 70.9, 66.9, 56.9, 55.4, 43.3, 40.1, 37.1, 36.9, 36.6, 35.22, 35.18, 34.3, 27.8, 21.4, 20.2, 20.0, 19.21, 19.15, 18.2, 16.9, 15.4, 13.0, 0.9; high resolution mass (ESI) m/z 1114.6652 [(M+H)⁺ calcd for C₆₃H₁₀₀NO₁₀Si₃, 1114.6655]. Note: Employing similar reaction conditions (HMDS, newly purchased/opened bottle of TMSOTf, –78 °C), without introduction of air, gave the desired product in very low yield (<5%), with most of the starting materials, namely aldehyde (–)-**4** and a mixture of silylated (+)-**5** (mono-, bis-, or tris-) being recovered. Interestingly, the yield of the union reaction significantly increased (ca. 40–80%) through utilizing TMSOTf from frequently used bottles. This observation suggested that a trace amount of TfOH derived from TMSOTf facilitated the union. We therefore added a catalytic amount of either TfOH or H₂O to our reaction mixture at –78 °C. Unfortunately, the yield of desired product was unsatisfactory (5–25%); moreover no starting material could be recovered. The NMR analysis of multiple major side products suggested that although the dioxanone moiety had been generated, an undesired loss of the TMS group or both the TMS and PMB groups had also occurred. The derived alcohol or diol then underwent further reactions with aldehyde (–)-**4** to form multiple complex side products.

This result confirmed that addition of TfOH was necessary but the substrates were sensitive under the acidic conditions utilizing measurable amount of TfOH or H₂O. Surprisingly however, slow introduction of moisture (H₂O) via simple exposure of the –78 °C reaction mixture to room temperature air via the insertion of a needle (1.2 mm diameter) for 5 to 10 minutes proved successful, and remarkably led to the desired product (–)-**45** in excellent yield (95%) as a single diastereomer, with no side products observed! The possibilities of the involvement of other components of the introduced wet air, namely N₂ and O₂ gas, had been excluded through attempts of the union reaction in either dry N₂ or dry O₂ atmosphere (yield < 5%).

Compound (–)-46—To a solution of dioxanone (–)-**45** (200 mg, 0.180 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 2.3 mL of THF in a glass microwave vial (2–5 mL), 0.08 mL of 2,6-lutidine was added followed by 2.4 mL of Petasis reagent (0.25 M in THF/toluene, freshly prepared, 0.60 mmol, 3.35 equiv) at room temperature under an air atmosphere. The resulting mixture was sealed and stirred for 3 hours at 100 °C in microwave at the “high” level of absorption setting before it was cooled down (three levels of absorption in Biotage microwave reactor: medium, high, very high). The resulting mixture was diluted by 20 mL of hexanes and stirred for 10 minutes before it was filtered and concentrated. The resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate/hexanes, 5:95, 10:90) to furnish enol acetal (–)-**46** (174 mg, 0.157 mmol, 87.2%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -0.9$ (*c* 1.43, CHCl₃); IR (film) 2930, 2864, 1512, 1462, 1428, 1388, 1249, 1112, 883, 738, 702, 666 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆) δ = 7.81–7.77 (m, 4H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.26–7.22 (m, 7H), 6.83 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.15 (s, 1H), 5.59 (q, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (q, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (s, 1H), 4.53–4.43 (m, 2H), 4.24 (brs, 1H), 4.10 (s, 1H), 3.72–3.67 (m, 1H), 3.61–3.53 (m, 2H), 3.48–3.44 (m, 1H), 3.31 (s, 3H), 3.12 (s, 3H), 2.40–2.33 (m, 1H), 2.17–2.06 (m, 3H), 1.91–1.85 (m, 2H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.64–1.46 (m, 6H), 1.39 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.21–1.12 (m, 33H), 1.01 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.98–0.94 (m, 1H), 0.89 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.14 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, C₆D₆) δ = 161.2, 160.3, 158.0, 152.1, 146.9, 136.7, 135.0, 134.2, 132.4, 130.5, 130.0, 129.1, 114.6, 113.9, 101.7, 93.6, 77.3, 76.2, 75.7, 74.0, 71.3, 70.8, 66.9, 56.8, 55.3, 43.3, 40.2, 37.1, 36.8, 35.87, 35.83, 35.3, 34.3, 27.8, 21.6, 20.2, 20.0, 19.24, 19.19, 18.2, 17.1, 15.2, 14.0, 0.9; high resolution mass (ESI) *m/z* 1112.6841 [(M+H)⁺ calcd for C₆₄H₁₀₂NO₉Si₃, 1112.6862].

Compound (–)-47—To a solution of methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (475 mg, 1.33 mmol) in 8 mL of THF, 2 mL of KO^{*t*}Bu solution (1.0 M solution in THF, 2.0 mmol) was added dropwise at 0 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at the same temperature to give the ylide solution (0.133 M in THF, 10 mL). To a solution of enol acetal (–)-**46** (70 mg, 0.0629 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 7 mL of THF, 0.31 mL of dimethylaluminum chloride solution (1.0 M in hexanes, newly opened, 0.31 mmol, 4.9 equiv) was added over 3 seconds at –78 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred for 30 seconds at –78 °C before the addition of freshly prepared ylide solution (0.133 M in THF, 4.2 mL, 0.559 mmol, 8.9 equiv) at the same temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred for a further 10 minutes at –78 °C before it was quenched by 10 mL of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution. The resulting mixture was diluted by 20 mL of ethyl acetate. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with

ethyl acetate (10 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , ethyl acetate/hexanes 2:98, 5:95, 10:90) to furnish methylene tetrahydropyran (–)-**47** (59 mg, 0.0531 mmol, 84.4%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = -4.1$ (c 0.83, CHCl_3); IR (film) 2930, 2864, 1589, 1513, 1462, 1428, 1388, 1249, 1112, 883, 740, 702 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6) δ = 7.83–7.80 (m, 4 H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.28–7.23 (m, 7H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.16 (s, 1H), 5.63 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 5.14 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 2H), 4.53 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 4.28–4.25 (m, 1H), 3.80–3.73 (m, 1H), 3.66–3.56 (m, 3H), 3.32 (s, 3H), 3.32–3.29 (m, 1H), 3.13 (s, 3H), 2.44–2.37 (m, 1H), 2.25–2.19 (m, 1H), 2.14 (d, J = 13.1 Hz, 2H), 2.01 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 1.92 (t, J = 11.7 Hz, 2H), 1.82–1.70 (m, 3H), 1.75 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.72–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.60–1.53 (m, 2H), 1.44 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H), 1.25–1.12 (m, 34H), 1.03 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.95–0.91 (m, 1H), 0.91 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 0.17 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, C_6D_6) δ = 160.6, 159.7, 151.5, 146.5, 145.6, 136.1, 134.6, 133.7, 132.0, 130.0, 129.5, 128.6, 114.0, 113.4, 108.4, 78.6, 75.8, 75.5, 75.2, 74.5, 70.8, 70.6, 66.4, 56.3, 54.8, 42.9, 42.2, 41.3, 40.4, 37.3, 36.8, 35.0, 34.1, 33.8, 27.2, 21.8, 19.6, 19.5, 18.8, 18.7, 17.7, 16.3, 15.5, 13.6, 0.4; high resolution mass (ESI) m/z 1110.7103 $[(\text{M}+\text{H})^+]$ calcd for $\text{C}_{65}\text{H}_{104}\text{NO}_8\text{Si}_3$, 1110.7070].

Compound (+)-48—To a solution of methylene tetrahydropyran (–)-**47** (47.0 mg, 0.0424 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 16.5 mL of THF/ H_2O (45:1), 18-crown-6 (550 mg, 2.08 mmol, 49.0 equiv) was added followed by KOH (420 mg, 7.49 mmol, 177 equiv) at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred for 3 hours at the same temperature before it was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NH_4Cl solution (10 mL). The resulting mixture was diluted by 20 mL of ethyl acetate. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (10 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , ethyl acetate/hexanes 30:70, 40:60, 50:50) to furnish diol (+)-**48** (28.4 mg, 0.0355 mmol, 83.7%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = +3.1$ (c 1.5, CHCl_3); IR (film) 2932, 2864, 1727, 1514, 1463, 1249, 1092 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 7.44 (s, 1H), 7.26 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.18 (s, 1H), 5.12 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (s, 2H), 4.60 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (brs, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.79–3.77 (brs, 1H), 3.52–3.47 (m, 1H), 3.42–3.39 (m, 1H), 3.34 (t, J = 10.1 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (s, 3H), 3.20–3.17 (m, 1H), 2.18 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 2.15–2.03 (m, 2H), 1.99–1.87 (m, 3H), 1.87 (s, 3H), 1.80–1.73 (brs, 1H), 1.70–1.59 (m, 4H), 1.59–1.50 (m, 2H), 1.49–1.40 (m, 3H), 1.32–1.25 (m, 1H), 1.29 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.20 (m, 1H), 1.11–0.99 (m, 21H), 0.87 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.80 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 160.6, 159.6, 150.4, 145.2, 144.8, 133.4, 130.2, 129.8, 114.2, 113.8, 108.4, 79.3, 78.3, 75.6, 75.0, 74.1, 70.5, 69.4, 68.3, 56.6, 55.5, 41.9, 41.0, 40.3, 36.9, 36.2, 34.3, 33.7, 33.4, 20.9, 19.5, 18.6, 18.51, 18.48, 17.8, 16.2, 15.0, 13.3; high resolution mass (ESI) m/z 800.5486 $[(\text{M}+\text{H})^+]$ calcd for $\text{C}_{46}\text{H}_{78}\text{NO}_8\text{Si}$, 800.5497].

Compound (+)-3—To a solution of diol (+)-**48** (15.8 mg, 0.0199 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 0.5 mL of *t*-BuOH and 0.2 mL of pH 7 buffer solution, TEMPO solution (0.025 M in CH_3CN , 0.88 mL, 0.022 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added at room temperature under an air atmosphere.

The resulting mixture was stirred for 20 minutes at the same temperature before the subsequent addition of NaClO₂ (1 M in water, 0.068 mL, 0.0677 mmol, 3.4 equiv) and NaClO (0.025 M in water, 1.44 mL, 0.036 mmol, 1.8 equiv) solution. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 3 hours before it was quenched by saturated aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ solution (3 mL), after dilution with ethyl acetate (5 mL), the organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (5 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (2 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate/hexanes 20:80, 30:70, 50:50, 80:20) to furnish acid (+)-**3** (12.1 mg, 0.0149 mmol, 74.7%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +3.1$ (*c* 0.3, CHCl₃); IR (film) 2926, 2865, 1735, 1707, 1514, 1463, 1381, 1248, 883, 821, 666 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.46 (s, 1H), 7.27 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.22 (s, 1H), 5.03 (q, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.61 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 4.40 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (m, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.80–3.77 (brs, 1H), 3.27–3.20 (m, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 3.20–3.13 (m, 1H), 2.48 (m, 1H), 2.21–2.10 (m, 3H), 2.01–1.97 (m, 1H), 1.89 (s, 3H), 1.89–1.87 (m, 1H), 1.78–1.65 (m, 5H), 1.61–1.50 (m, 5H), 1.48–1.35 (m, 2H), 1.29 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.30–1.25 (m, 1H), 1.20–1.14 (m, 4H), 1.11–1.03 (m, 19H), 1.02–0.93 (m, 1H), 0.87 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 180.4, 160.9, 159.5, 151.1, 145.0, 144.5, 133.7, 130.1, 129.7, 114.1, 113.4, 108.4, 79.7, 78.6, 75.9, 75.0, 73.3, 70.5, 68.0, 56.6, 55.4, 42.0, 41.2, 41.0, 40.8, 37.9, 36.8, 36.6, 36.3, 33.7, 21.6, 19.5, 18.5, 18.4, 18.0, 17.8, 14.6, 13.3; high resolution mass (ESI) *m/z* 814.5298 [(M+H)⁺ calcd for C₄₆H₇₆NO₉Si, 814.5289].

Compound (+)-49—To a solution of carboxylic acid (+)-**3** (24 mg, 0.030 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 0.75 mL of THF, Hunig's base (0.06 mL) was added followed by 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride (54 mg, 0.22 mmol, 7.5 equiv) at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred for 3 hours at the same temperature before it was diluted by toluene (3 mL). This resulting activated macrolide precursor solution was stored at room temperature for further use. To a solution of DMAP (120 mg, 0.98 mmol, 33 equiv) in 30 mL of toluene, the activated macrolide precursor solution was slowly added using a syringe pump over 5 hours at reflux temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred for a further 12 hours at the same temperature before it was cooled to room temperature. The resulting mixture was filtered and concentrated, the resulting mixture was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate: hexanes 5:95, 10:90, 20:80) to give the crude macrolide, which was then purified by PTLC (SiO₂, ethyl acetate/hexanes 10:90) to furnish macrolide (+)-**49** (20.8 mg, 0.0261 mmol, 88.5%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +10.3$ (*c* 0.33, CHCl₃); IR (film) 2927, 2856, 1750, 1653, 1613, 1577, 1548, 1513, 1464, 1378, 1248, 1160, 1097, 883, 820, 666 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.44 (s, 1H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.20 (s, 1H), 5.96 (dd, *J* = 12.6 Hz, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (q, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (s, 2H), 4.64 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (dd, *J* = 11.1 Hz, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.42 (t, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (t, *J* = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 3.10 (t, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 2.73 (td, *J* = 13.3 Hz, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 2.69–2.63 (m, 1H), 2.13–2.03 (m, 3H), 1.99–1.93 (m, 1H), 1.91 (d, *J* = 1.3 Hz, 3H), 1.89–1.85 (m, 1H), 1.83–1.70 (m, 4H), 1.66–1.58 (m, 4H), 1.50–1.55 (m, 1H), 1.48–1.35 (m, 2H), 1.31 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 1.30–1.25 (m, 2H), 1.14 (d, *J* =

6.8 Hz, 3H) 1.12–1.05 (m, 18H), 0.95–0.90 (m, 1H), 0.85 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 175.0, 160.7, 159.5, 151.4, 145.0, 141.3, 134.0, 130.9, 129.7, 114.1, 113.4, 108.7, 76.1, 75.9, 75.0, 74.5, 71.8, 71.3, 65.0, 56.7, 55.5, 42.5, 41.8, 41.5, 39.2, 38.6, 37.6, 35.2, 34.5, 31.6, 20.8, 19.4, 18.6, 18.5, 18.1, 17.9, 14.3, 13.4; high resolution mass (ESI) m/z 796.5194 [(M+H) $^+$ calcd for $\text{C}_{46}\text{H}_{74}\text{NO}_8\text{Si}$, 796.5184].

Compound (–)-50— δ -lactone (–)-50 was isolated as a side product of TIPS removal reaction of macrolide (+)-49. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ = –3.5 (c 0.7, CHCl_3); IR (film) 2923, 2852, 1738, 1553, 1514, 1463, 1379, 1249, 1174, 1099, 1036, 804 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 7.45 (s, 1H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 6.18 (s, 1H), 5.13 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (s, 2H), 4.62 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (s, 1H), 3.80 (s, 4H), 3.57 (t, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 3.30–3.24 (m, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 2.63–2.57 (m, 1H), 2.22–2.18 (m, 2H), 2.07 (d, J = 14.3 Hz, 1H), 2.06–1.98 (m, 1H), 1.98–1.82 (m, 3H), 1.90 (s, 3H), 1.75–1.52 (m, 5H), 1.51–1.42 (m, 4H), 1.32 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 174.8, 160.6, 159.6, 150.5, 144.9, 144.3, 133.4, 130.2, 129.8, 114.2, 113.8, 109.1, 80.3, 79.2, 78.2, 75.0, 74.2, 70.5, 68.2, 56.7, 55.5, 41.5, 41.02, 40.98, 40.0, 36.7, 36.0, 33.5, 31.5, 30.6, 20.7, 19.5, 18.1, 17.8, 11.9; high resolution mass (ESI) m/z 640.3859 [(M+H) $^+$ calcd for $\text{C}_{37}\text{H}_{54}\text{NO}_8$, 640.3849].

Compound (+)-51—To a solution of TIPS ether (+)-49 (9.0 mg, 0.0113 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 3.5 mL of THF in a plastic container, pyridine (3.9 mL) was added followed by HF/pyridine complex (1.0 mL) at room temperature in an air atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred for 48 hours at 45 °C before it was quenched slowly with saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (12.0 mL) at room temperature. The resulting mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (20 mL). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (8 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated, the resulting mixture was purified by column chromatography (SiO_2 , ethyl acetate/hexanes, 30:70, 40:60, 50:50) to furnish alcohol (+)-51 (5.1 mg, 0.0080 mmol, 70.5%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ = +4.9 (c 0.42, CHCl_3); IR (film) 2917.8, 2849.3, 1708.1, 1552.4, 1513.4, 1463.2, 1378.4, 1149.9, 1096.8, 807.1, 720.3 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 7.49 (s, 1H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.20 (s, 1H), 5.84 (dd, J = 12.6 Hz, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 4.68 (s, 2H), 4.60 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.41 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 4H), 3.47 (t, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (q, J = 10.4 Hz, 2H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 2.75 (td, J = 12.6 Hz, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 2.70–2.64 (m, 1H), 2.13 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 2H), 2.04–1.95 (m, 2H), 1.90 (s, 3H), 1.86–1.76 (m, 3H), 1.76–1.60 (m, 5H), 1.48–1.33 (m, 4H), 1.30 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.18 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.85 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 175.9, 160.6, 159.4, 151.2, 145.0, 140.6, 134.7, 130.7, 129.7, 114.0, 113.4, 108.5, 76.1, 75.7, 75.03, 74.95, 71.0, 68.9, 65.8, 56.7, 55.4, 41.9, 41.7, 41.5, 38.0, 37.0, 36.5, 35.4, 33.8, 31.6, 21.1, 19.4, 17.8, 16.7, 13.1; high resolution mass (ESI) m/z 640.3849 [(M+H) $^+$ calcd for $\text{C}_{37}\text{H}_{54}\text{NO}_8$, 640.3849].

Compound (–)-52—To a solution of PMB ether (–)-50 (4.0 mg, 0.0063 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) in 1.5 mL of dichloromethane, a mixture of TFA/ H_2O (0.4 mL, TFA: H_2O 1:1) was added

dropwise at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 hour at the same temperature before it was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (5.0 mL) at room temperature. The resulting mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (10 mL). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (5 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated, and the resulting mixture was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate: hexanes, 40:60, 50:50, 70:30) to furnish δ -lactone (–)-**52** (2.2 mg, 0.00419 mmol, 67.0%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -12.58$ (*c* 0.20, CHCl₃); IR (film) 2920, 1727, 1649, 1551, 1449, 1379, 1323, 1204, 1097 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ = 7.69 (s, 1H), 6.23 (s, 1H), 5.23 (q, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (m, 1H), 4.73 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 2H), 4.68 (ddd, *J* = 9.5, 2.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (m, 1H), 3.46 (t, *J* = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (m, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 2.64 (m, 1H), 2.24 (s, 1H), 2.22 (s, 1H), 2.10–2.00 (m, 2H), 1.95–1.85 (m, 4H), 1.89 (s, 3H), 1.78–1.72 (m, 2H), 1.65 (m, 1H), 1.60 (m, 1H), 1.52–1.42 (m, 5H), 1.28 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.24 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 1.01 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD) δ = 177.0, 161.5, 151.7, 145.7, 145.6, 135.3, 114.1, 108.8, 81.8, 79.4, 75.9, 75.5, 70.5, 66.2, 56.5, 44.1, 42.0, 41.6, 40.2, 38.3, 37.0, 36.2, 32.3, 31.4, 22.3, 19.3, 17.9, 17.3, 11.8; high resolution mass (ES) *m/z* 520.3254 [(M+H)⁺ calcd for C₂₉H₄₆NO₇, 520.3274].

Compound (–)-53—To a solution of alcohol (+)-**51** (3.5 mg, 0.0055 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 0.2 mL of acetonitrile, 1*H*-tetrazole solution (0.45 M in acetonitrile, 0.195 mL, 0.088 mmol, 16.0 equiv) was added followed by *i*-Pr₂NP(OFm)₂ solution (57.5 mg in 0.2 mL dichloromethane, 0.110 mmol, 20.0 equiv) at room temperature in an argon atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred for 50 minutes at the same temperature before it was cooled to 0 °C. 0.2 mL of H₂O₂ solution (50% in water) was added to the reaction mixture at 0 °C, allowing the resulting mixture to be stirred at the same temperature for 30 minutes. The resulting mixture was quenched by saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (3.0 mL) at room temperature. The resulting mixture was diluted by ethyl acetate (5 mL). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (5 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated, and the resulting mixture was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate/hexanes, 40:60, 50:50, 60:40) to furnish the phosphorus ester together with inseparable phosphate impurity as a colorless oil. The mixture was moved to next step without further purification. To a solution of this phosphoric ester [made from 0.0055 mmol of alcohol (+)-**51**] in CH₂Cl₂/PH 7 aqueous buffer solution (4.4 mL, 10:1), DDQ (8.0 mg) was added in one portion at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at the same temperature before it was diluted by diethyl ether (10 mL). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether (2 mL) twice. The combined organic layers were washed with brine (1 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated, and the resulting mixture was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, ethyl acetate/hexanes, 50:50, 60:40, 80:20) to furnish alcohol (–)-**53** (3.2 mg, 0.00334 mmol, 61.0% over two steps) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -4.00$ (*c* 0.6, CHCl₃); IR(film) 2925, 2853, 1729, 1555, 1451, 1381, 1252, 1076, 1012, 741 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.76–7.68 (m, 4H), 7.56–7.49 (m, 3H), 7.46 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.41–7.31 (m, 4H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.30–7.20 (m, 4H), 6.16 (s, 1H), 5.98 (dd, *J* = 11.8, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (q, *J* = 6.5 Hz,

1H), 4.72 (d, $J = 3.1$ Hz, 2H), 4.60 (m, 1H), 4.30–4.23 (m, 2H), 4.23–4.15 (m, 2H), 4.15–4.10 (m, 2H), 3.63 (brt, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.30 (t, $J = 10.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.22 (s, 1H), 3.07 (t, $J = 9.1$ Hz, 1H), 2.71 (m, 1H), 2.49 (m, 1H), 2.10 (d, $J = 13.5$ Hz, 1H), 2.03 (d, $J = 13.5$ Hz, 1H), 1.94–1.82 (m, 2H), 1.89 (s, 3H), 1.75 (dd, $J = 13.5, 4.4$ Hz, 2H), 1.72–1.60 (m, 4H), 1.86–1.76 (m, 3H), 1.47–1.39 (m, 2H), 1.39–1.30 (m, 2H), 1.28 (d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 3H), 1.09 (m, 1H), 0.99 (d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 3H), 0.89 (d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 174.4, 160.7, 151.6, 144.6, 143.38, 143.35, 143.32, 143.29, 141.5, 141.2, 133.9, 128.13, 128.11, 128.09, 127.38, 127.36, 127.31, 125.4, 125.3, 125.24, 125.22, 120.32, 120.27, 120.25, 113.2, 108.9, 78.81, 78.75, 75.1, 75.0, 74.6, 69.55, 69.48, 69.43, 69.21, 69.17, 64.9, 56.7, 48.23, 48.21, 48.18, 48.14, 42.0, 41.5, 41.4, 39.0, 38.4, 38.2, 35.2, 33.8, 33.7, 33.1, 20.9, 19.4, 17.9, 17.8, 14.3$; ^{31}P NMR (202 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = -1.53$; high resolution mass (ESI) m/z 956.4521 [(M+H) $^+$ calcd for $\text{C}_{57}\text{H}_{67}\text{NO}_{10}\text{P}$, 956.4503].

Dipotassium Phosphate Form of Enigmazole A (–)-54: To a solution of phosphoric ester (–)-53 (1 mg, 0.001 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 0.33 ml of MeOH/ H_2O (10:1), 2.9 mg of K_2CO_3 was added at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred for 6 hours at the same temperature, at which time C18 TLC indicated the completion of the reaction. The mixture was diluted with H_2O (0.7 mL) before it was extracted with pentane (0.5 mL) for three times. The resulting mixture was purified by a C18 silica column chromatography (0.4 g of 40–75 μm , 70 Å, C18 silica gel, purchased from Sorbent Technology, was packed into a Fisher-brand 9-inch Pasteur pipet, 100% H_2O then 2:3 H_2O /MeOH) to give 0.3 mg of enigmazole A (–)-54 (dipotassium phosphate form). $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = -0.8$ (c 0.03, MeOH)

Dipotassium phosphate (–)-54 displayed the same ^1H NMR spectrum as the disodium phosphate (–)-55 [see the NMR data for (–)-55].

Free Acid Form of Enigmazole A (–)-1—To a solution of phosphorus ester (–)-53 (2.0 mg, 0.0021 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 0.4 ml of MeOH/ H_2O (2:1), 6 mg of Na_2CO_3 was added at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred for 24 hours at the same temperature before the addition of CD_3COOD (15 mg). The resulting mixture then stirred at the same temperature for 10 minutes before it was extracted with pentane (0.5 mL) for three times. The solvent and CD_3COOD was removed under high vacuum from the mixture to furnish enigmazole A (–)-1 together with CD_3COONa . The further purification was achieved by RP HPLC (VydacTM 5 μm C18, 250*10 mm, 5% MeCN/95% H_2O with 0.1% TFA to 40% MeCN/60% H_2O with 0.1% TFA linear gradient over 15 min, then 40% MeCN/60% H_2O with 0.1% TFA for 15 min, 10 mL/min, $\lambda = 261$ nm) to give enigmazole A free acid form (–)-1 (1.4 mg, 0.0020 mmol, 95.0%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = -1.7$ (c 0.2, MeOH); UV (MeCN/ H_2O , 0.5% TFA) λ_{max} 261 nm; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CD_3OD) $\delta = 7.70$ (s, 1H), 6.21 (s, 1H), 5.97 (dd, $J = 12.8, 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.24 (q, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.72 (s, 2H), 4.58 (m, 1H), 3.58 (td, $J = 10.1$ Hz, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (s, 3H), 3.14 (m, 1H), 2.87 (m, 1H), 2.51 (td, $J = 13.7$ Hz, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 2.20–2.13 (m, 2H), 2.01–1.94 (m, 3H), 1.89 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.86–1.83 (m, 1H), 1.78–1.70 (m, 4H), 1.69–1.60 (m, 2H), 1.48 (q, $J = 12.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.44–1.37 (m, 1H), 1.37–1.30 (m, 1H), 1.26 (d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 3H), 1.11 (d, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 3H), 1.05–1.01 (m, 1H), 0.98 (d, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 3H), Note: H11 was overlapped by CD_3OD ; ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CD_3OD) $\delta = 176.2, 161.9, 152.8, 146.2, 142.2, 136.0, 114.0, 109.0, 77.8$ (d, $J =$

5.8 Hz), 76.9, 76.2, 75.9, 69.8, 65.9, 56.8, 43.0, 42.6, 42.4, 40.1, 39.6, 39.2, 36.1, 34.6 (d, $J=5.2$ Hz), 33.6, 21.9, 19.5, 18.1, 17.7, 15.6.

Monosodium Phosphate Form of Enigmazole A (–)-56—To the neat phosphoric acid (–)-**1** (1.4 mg) in a 3 mm glass NMR tube, 0.2 mL of saturated $\text{NaHCO}_3/\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ solution was added to furnish monophosphate (–)-**56** in CD_3OD solution. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = -2.1$ (c 0.2, MeOH); IR (film) 3411 (br) 2934, 2851, 1681, 1538, 1438, 1382, 1208, 1139, 1080, 967, 909, 726, 653 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CD_3OD) $\delta = 7.68$ (s, 1H), 6.21 (s, 1H), 5.95 (dd, $J=12.8, 2.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.24 (q, $J=6.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, $J=1.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.69 (d, $J=1.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.42 (m, 1H), 3.62 (td, $J=10.1$ Hz, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (s, 3H), 3.12 (dd, $J=10.2$ Hz, 8.9 Hz, 1H), 2.98 (m, 1H), 2.50 (td, $J=13.4$ Hz, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 2.21 (d, $J=12.8$ Hz, 1H), 2.13 (d, $J=12.8$ Hz, 1H), 2.10 (m, 1H), 1.97 (t, $J=12.2$, 1H), 1.89 (s, 3H), 1.88–1.83 (m, 3H), 1.80–1.72 (m, 3H), 1.66–1.60 (m, 2H), 1.54 (q, $J=12.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.39 (t, $J=11.3$ Hz, 1H), 1.37 (t, $J=11.1$ Hz, 1H), 1.26 (d, $J=6.4$ Hz, 3H), 1.10 (d, $J=6.6$ Hz, 3H), 1.02 (td, $J=12.0$ Hz, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 0.97 (d, $J=6.6$ Hz, 3H) Note: H11 was overlapped by CD_3OD ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CD_3OD) $\delta = 176.5, 161.9, 152.7, 146.6, 142.4, 136.0, 113.9, 108.6, 77.6, 76.2, 75.7, 75.2$ (d, $J=6.4$ Hz), 69.8, 65.7, 56.8, 43.0, 42.6, 42.5, 40.1, 39.6, 39.3, 36.2, 34.7 (d, $J=6.6$ Hz), 33.6, 21.8, 19.4, 18.3, 17.7, 15.0; ^{31}P (202 MHz, CD_3OD) $\delta = 1.45$; high resolution mass (ESI) m/z 598.2759 [(M-H) $^-$ calcd for $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{45}\text{NO}_{10}\text{P}$, 598.2781].

Disodium Phosphate Form of Enigmazole A (–)-55—To a solution of enigmazole A (monophosphate form) (–)-**56** (1.4 mg) in 0.2 mL of saturated $\text{NaHCO}_3/\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ solution in 3 mm glass NMR tube, 0.02 mL of 1 M NaOH CD_3OD solution was added to furnish enigmazole A (disodium phosphate form) (–)-**55** in CD_3OD solution. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} = -1.8$ (c 0.2, MeOH); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CD_3OD) $\delta = 7.68$ (s, 1H), 6.21 (s, 1H), 5.93 (dd, $J=13.1, 2.9$ Hz, 1H), 5.23 (q, $J=6.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.67 (s, 2H), 4.31 (m, 1H), 3.64 (td, $J=10.8$ Hz, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (s, 3H), 3.14 (m, 1H), 3.09 (m, 1H), 2.50 (td, $J=12.3$ Hz, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (m, 1H), 2.14–2.09 (m, 1H), 2.06–1.95 (m, 2H), 1.88 (d, $J=1.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.87–1.78 (m, 2H), 1.78–1.70 (m, 2H), 1.78–1.67 (m, 2H), 1.63–1.51 (m, 2H), 1.48 (q, $J=12.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.40–1.47 (m, 2H), 1.26 (d, $J=6.6$ Hz, 3H), 1.12 (d, $J=6.5$ Hz, 3H), 1.06–0.99 (m, 1H), 0.97 (d, $J=6.7$ Hz, 3H), Note: H11 was overlapped by CD_3OD ; ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CD_3OD) $\delta = 177.4, 161.9, 152.6, 147.0, 142.5, 135.9, 114.0, 108.3, 78.0, 76.3, 75.7, 73.6, 69.8, 65.7, 56.8, 43.2, 42.7, 42.6, 40.3, 39.8, 39.5, 36.3, 34.9$ (d, $J=6.8$ Hz), 33.7, 21.8, 19.5, 18.6, 17.7, 15.4 Note: Na_2CO_3 peak is shown in 161.5.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial support was provided by National Institutes of Health (National Cancer Institute) through CA-19033. We also thank the late Dr. George Furst and Drs Jun Gu and Rakesh Kohli, at the University of Pennsylvania for assistance in obtaining high-resolution NMR, and mass spectral, respectively.

References

1. (a) Ferrier RJ, Overend WG, Ryan AE. Reaction Between 3,4,6-Tri-O-acetyl-D-glucal and p-Nitrophenol. *J Chem Soc.* 1962; 0:3667–3670. (b) Ferrier RJ. Unsaturated Carbohydrates. 21. Carbocyclic Ring-closure of a Hex-5-enopyranoside Derivative. *Journal of the Chemical Society-Perkin Transactions 1.* 1979:1455–1458. (c) Petasis NA, Lu SP. Stereocontrolled Synthesis of Substituted Tetrahydropyrans from 1,3-Dioxan-4-ones. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1996; 37:141–144.
2. (a) Gade AB, Patil NT. GoId(I)-Catalyzed Hydroaminaloxylation and Petasis-Ferrier Rearrangement Cascade of Aminoalkynes. *Org Lett.* 2016; 18:1844–1847. [PubMed: 27028540] (b) Terada M, Komuro T, Toda Y, Korenaga T. Mechanistic Studies of Highly Enantio- and Diastereoselective Aza-Petasis-Ferrier Rearrangement Catalyzed by Chiral Phosphoric Acid. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2014; 136:7044–7057. [PubMed: 24746095] (c) Terada M, Toda Y. Double Bond Isomerization/Enantioselective Aza-Petasis-Ferrier Rearrangement Sequence as an Efficient Entry to Anti- and Enantioenriched β -Amino Aldehydes. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2009; 131:6354–6355. [PubMed: 19374414]
3. Jiang GJ, Wang Y, Yu ZX. DFT Study on the Mechanism and Stereochemistry of the Petasis-Ferrier Rearrangements. *J Org Chem.* 2013; 78:6947–6955. [PubMed: 23768096]
4. For the first example see: Smith AB III, Verhoest PR, Minbiolo KP, Schelhaas M. Total Synthesis of (+)-Phorboxazole A Exploiting the Petasis-Ferrier Rearrangement. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2001; 123
5. Smith AB, Fox RJ, Razler TM. Evolution of the Petasis-Ferrier Union/rearrangement Tactic: Construction of Architecturally Complex Natural Products Possessing the Ubiquitous cis-2,6-Substituted Tetrahydropyran Structural Element. *Acc Chem Res.* 2008; 41:675–687. [PubMed: 18489082]
6. (a) Smith AB III, Boldi AM. Multicomponent Linchpin Couplings of Silyl Dithianes via Solvent-Controlled Brook Rearrangement. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1997; 119:6925–6926. (b) Smith AB III, Pitram SM, Boldi AM, Gaunt MJ, Sfougataki C, Moser WH. Multicomponent Linchpin Couplings. Reaction of Dithiane Anions with Terminal Epoxides, Epichlorohydrin, and Vinyl Epoxides: Efficient, Rapid, and Stereocontrolled Assembly of Advanced Fragments for Complex Molecule Synthesis. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2003; 125:14435–14445. [PubMed: 14624591] (c) Smith AB III, Xian M. Anion Relay Chemistry: An Effective Tactic for Diversity Oriented Synthesis. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2006; 128:66–67. [PubMed: 16390124] (d) Nguyen MH, Imanishi M, Kurogi T, Smith AB III. Total Synthesis of (–)-Mandelalide A Exploiting Anion Relay Chemistry (ARC): Identification of a Type II ARC/CuCN Cross-Coupling Protocol. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2016; 138:3675–3678. [PubMed: 26954306]
7. Oku, N., Gustafson, KR., Fuller, RW., Wilson, JA. Book of abstracts of “Symposium on the chemistry of natural products”; Kyoto, Japan. September 15th, 2006; p. 73 (b) Oku N, Takada K, Fuller RW, Wilson JA, Peach ML, Pannell LK, McMahon JB, Gustafson KR. Isolation, Structural Elucidation, and Absolute Stereochemistry of Enigmazole A, a Cytotoxic Phosphomacrolide from the Papua New Guinea Marine Sponge *Cinachyrella enigmatica*. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2010; 132:10278–10285. [PubMed: 20590096] (c) Orbin, AM. PhD Dissertation. University of Pennsylvania; 2012. Studies Directed toward the Total Synthesis of (–)-Enigmazole B.
8. (a) Oku N, Takada K, Fuller RW, Wilson JA, Peach ML, Pannell LK, McMahon JB, Gustafson KR. Isolation, Structural Elucidation, and Absolute Stereochemistry of Enigmazole A, a Cytotoxic Phosphomacrolide from the Papua New Guinea Marine Sponge *Cinachyrella enigmatica*. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2010; 132:10278–10285. [PubMed: 20590096] (b) Skepper CK, Quach T, Molinski TF. Total Synthesis of Enigmazole A from *Cinachyrella enigmatica*. Bidirectional Bond Constructions with an Ambident 2,4-Disubstituted Oxazole Synthon. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2010; 132:10286–10292. [PubMed: 20590095]
9. Ai Y, Kozytska MV, Zou Y, Khartulyari AS, Smith AB III. Total Synthesis of (–)-Enigmazole A. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2015; 137:15426–15429. [PubMed: 26632969]
10. Ahlers A, de Haro T, Gabor B, Fürstner A. Concise Total Synthesis of Enigmazole A. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2016; 55:1406–1411.
11. Sakurai K, Sasaki M, Fuwa H. Total Synthesis of (–)-Enigmazole A. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2018; 57:5143–5146.

12. King AO, Okukado N, Negishi E-i. Highly General stereo-, regio-, and chemo-Selective Synthesis of Terminal and Internal Conjugated Enynes by the Pd-catalysed Reaction of Alkynylzinc Reagents with Alkenyl Halides. *J Chem Soc, Chem Commun.* 1977; 0:683–684.
13. Lu Z, Ma S. Studies on the Cu(I)-Catalyzed Regioselective anti-Carbometallation of Secondary Terminal Propargylic Alcohols. *J Org Chem.* 2006; 71:2655–2660. [PubMed: 16555817]
14. (a) Bartlett PA, Meadows JD, Brown EG, Morimoto A, Jernstedt KK. Carbonate Extension. a Versatile Procedure for Functionalization of Acyclic Homoallylic Alcohols with Moderate Stereocontrol. *J Org Chem.* 1982; 47:4013–4018. (b) Duan JJW, Smith AB. III Iodine Monobromide (IBr) at Low Temperature: Enhanced Diastereoselectivity in Electrophilic Cyclizations of Homoallylic Carbonates. *J Org Chem.* 1993; 58:3703–3711.
15. Zemribo R, Mead KT. Stereocontrolled synthesis of the CD subunit of the marine macrolide althohyrin A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998; 39:3895–3898.
16. Hicks DR, Fraser-Reid B. Selective Sulphonylation with N-Tosylimidazole. A One-Step Preparation of Methyl 2, 3-Anhydro-4, 6-O-benzylidene- α -D-mannopyranoside. *Synthesis.* 1974; 3:203–203.
17. (a) Sharpless KB, Amberg W, Bennani YL, Crispino GA, Hartung J, Jeong KS, Kwong HL, Morikawa K, Wang ZM. The Osmium-catalyzed Asymmetric Dihydroxylation: a New Ligand Class and a Process Improvement. *J Org Chem.* 1992; 57:2768–2771. (b) Crispino GA, Jeong KS, Kolb HC, Wang ZM, Xu D, Sharpless KB. Improved Enantioselectivity in Asymmetric Dihydroxylations of Terminal Olefins Using Pyrimidine Ligands. *J Org Chem.* 1993; 58:3785–3786.
18. Kirihaara M, Suzuki S, Ishizuka Y, Yamazaki K, Matsushima R, Suzuki T, Iwai T. Environmentally Benign Deprotection of Dithioacetals Using 30% Hydrogen Peroxide Catalyzed by Fe (acac)₃ and Sodium Iodide. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2013; 54:5477–5480.
19. Narasaka K, Pai F-C. Stereoselective Reduction of β Hydroxyketones to 1, 3-Diols Highly Delective 1, 3-Asymmetric Induction via Boron Chelates. *Tetrahedron.* 1984; 40:2233–2238.
20. Hirota M, Tamura N, Saito T, Isogai A. Oxidation of Regenerated Cellulose with NaClO₂ Catalyzed by TEMPO and NaClO Under Acid-neutral Conditions. *Carbohydr Polym.* 2009; 78:330–335.
21. (a) Yao G, Steliou K. Synthetic Studies toward Bioactive Cyclic Peroxides from the Marine Sponge *Plakortis angulospiculatus*. *Org Lett.* 2002; 4:485–488. [PubMed: 11843572] (b) Smith AB III, Basu K, Bosanac T. Total Synthesis of (–)-Okilactomycin. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2007; 129:14872–14874. [PubMed: 17997560]
22. Myers AG, Yang BH, Chen H, McKinsty L, Kopecky DJ, Gleason JL. Pseudoephedrine as a Practical Chiral Auxiliary for the Synthesis of Highly Enantiomerically Enriched Carboxylic Acids, Alcohols, Aldehydes, and Ketones. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1997; 119:6496–6511.
23. Smith AB III, Safonov IG, Corbett RM. Total Syntheses of (+)-Zampanolide and (+)-Dactylolide Exploiting a Unified Strategy. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2002; 124:11102–11113. [PubMed: 12224958]
24. See the Experimental Section for the detailed operation procedure.
25. Petasis NA, Bzowej EI. Titanium-mediated Carbonyl Olefinations I. Methylenations of Carbonyl Compounds with Dimethyltitanocene. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1990; 112:6392–6394.
26. Yahata K, Ye N, Iso K, Ai Y, Lee J, Kishi Y. Stereocontrolled Synthesis of Left Halves of Halichondrins. *J Org Chem.* 2017; 82:8808–8830. [PubMed: 28741354]
27. Boden EP, Keck GE. Proton-transfer Steps in Steglich Esterification: a Very Practical New Method for Macrolactonization. *J Org Chem.* 1985; 50:2394–2395.
28. Inanaga J, Hirata K, Saeki H, Katsuki T, Yamaguchi M. A Rapid Esterification by Means of Mixed Anhydride and Its Application to Large-ring Lactonization. *Bull Chem Soc Jpn.* 1979; 52:1989–1993.
29. Uhlmann E, Engels J. Chemical 5'-Phosphorylation of Oligonucleotides valuable in Automated DNA Synthesis. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1986; 27:1023–1026.

Figure 1.
Enigmazole Family of Molecules

Scheme 1.
Petasis-Ferrier Union/Rearrangement Protocol

Scheme 2.
Retrosynthetic Analysis of (-)-Enigmazole A.

Scheme 3.
Synthesis of (+)-Oxazole **6**

Scheme 4.

Initial Study of the Three-Component Type I ARC Union

Scheme 5.

A Revised Retrosynthetic Analysis for the Eastern Hemisphere Intermediate 5.

Scheme 6.
Synthesis of the Epoxide Fragment **21**

Scheme 7. Installation of the Oxazole-Containing Fragment^a^a. Reactions shown in the table were carried out on *ca.* 1 mmol scale

Scheme 8.

Elaboration Toward the Synthesis of Eastern Hemisphere

Scheme 9.
Adjusted Synthetic Sequence to the Eastern Hemisphere (**5**).

Scheme 10.
Synthesis of the Western Hemisphere Fragment (**4**)

Scheme 11. Study on the Union Stage^a^a. Reactions were carried out on *ca.* 0.1 mmol scale.

Stage 2. Olefination

Entry	Cp_2TiMe_2 (Conc.)	Temp.	Time	Additives	Heating Conditions	Yield of (-)-46
1	0.05 M	70 °C	15h	2,6-lutidine	conventional	46%
2	0.125 M	70 °C	10h	2,6-lutidine	conventional	55%
3	0.125 M	70 °C	10h	2,6-lutidine, ethyl pivalate	conventional	53%
4	0.2 M	70 °C	10h	2,6-lutidine	conventional	51%
5	0.125 M	90 °C	4h	2,6-lutidine	microwave	72%
6	0.125 M	100 °C	3h	2,6-lutidine	microwave	87%
7	0.125 M	110 °C	3h	2,6-lutidine	microwave	78%

Scheme 12.Study on the Olefination Stage^a^aReactions were carried out on *ca.* 0.15 mmol scale.

Stage 3. Rearrangement **Scheme 13.**Study on the Rearrangement Stage^a^aReactions were carried out in *ca.* 0.05 mmol scale.

Scheme 14.
Late Stage Elaboration I of (-)-Enigmazole A

Conditions	Results ^b	Conditions	Results ^b
1. HF in MeCN	(-)-50	6. CSA in CH ₂ Cl ₂	decomp.
2. TBAF/THF/AcOH	no reaction	7. HF/Py./THF	(-)-50
3. TBAF in THF	decomp.	(HF:Py. 1:1.5)	
4. TASF in DMF	(-)-50	8. HF/Py./THF	(-)-50
5. TBAT in CH ₃ CN	no reaction	(HF:Py. 1:6)	(+)-51, (70%)

Scheme 15.Late Stage Elaboration II of (-)-Enigmazole A^a^aReactions were carried out on *ca.* 0.01 mmol scale.^bResults were determined by NMR and isolation yields

Scheme 16.
End Game of (-)-Enigmazole A