Published in final edited form as:

Psychosom Med. 2018 June ; 80(5): 452–459. doi:10.1097/PSY.0000000000000582.

Neural, Hormonal, and Cognitive Correlates of Metabolic Dysfunction and Emotional Reactivity

Tovah Wolf, M.S.¹, Vera Tsenkova, Ph.D.², Carol D. Ryff, Ph.D.^{2,3}, Richard J. Davidson, Ph.D.^{2,3,4,5}, and Auriel A. Willette, Ph.D.^{1,6,7,8}

¹Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, United States

²Institute on Aging, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, United States

³Department of Psychology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, United States

⁴Center for Healthy Minds, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, United States

⁵Waisman Laboratory for Brain Imaging and Behavior, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, United States

⁶Department of Psychology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, United States

⁷Department of Biomedical Sciences, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, United States

⁸Department of Neurology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, United States

Abstract

Objective—Pre-diabetes and type 2 diabetes (i.e., hyperglycemia) are characterized by insulin resistance (IR). These problems with energy metabolism may exacerbate emotional reactivity to negatively valenced stimuli and related phenomena like predisposition toward negative affect, as well as cognitive deficits. Higher emotional reactivity is seen with hyperglycemia and IR. Yet, it is largely unknown how metabolic dysfunction correlates with related neural, hormonal, and cognitive outcomes.

Methods—Among 331 adults from the Midlife in the United States (MIDUS), we cross-sectionally examined eye-blink response (EBR) to gauge reactivity to negative, positive, or neutrally-valenced pictures from international affect picture system (IAPS) stimuli proximal to an acoustic startle probe. Increased EBR to negative stimuli was considered an index of stress reactivity. Frontal alpha asymmetry, a biomarker of negative affect predisposition, was determined using resting electroencephalography (EEG).

Send Correspondence To: Auriel A. Willette, 224A MacKay Hall, Ames, IA 50011, Phone: (515) 294-3110, Fax: 515-294-6193, awillett@iastate.edu.

Conflicts of Interest:

No potential conflicts of interests relevant to this article to report.

Author contributions: T.W. researched the data, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. A.A.W., V.T., C.D.R., and R.J.D. offered expertise and reviewed and edited the manuscript. A.A.W. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. The data in this article was included in an abstract session at Experimental Biology 2016 and 2017.

Baseline urinary cortisol output was collected. Cognitive performance was gauged using the Brief Test of Adult Cognition by telephone (BTACT). Fasting glucose and insulin characterized hyperglycemia or the homeostatic model assessment of IR (HOMA-IR).

Results—Higher HOMA-IR corresponded to an increased startle response, measured by EBR magnitude, for negative versus positive stimuli [$R^2=0.218$, $F(1,457)=5.48$, $p=.020$, euglycemia: $\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}=.092\pm.776$, hyperglycemia: $\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}=.120\pm.881$]. Participants with hyperglycemia vs. euglycemia showed greater right frontal alpha asymmetry [$F(1,307)=6.62$, $p=.011$, euglycemia: $\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}=.018\pm.167$, hyperglycemia: $\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}=-.029\pm.160$] and worse BTACT arithmetic performance [$F(1,284)=4.25$, $p=.040$, euglycemia: $\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}=2.390\pm1.526$, hyperglycemia: $\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}=1.920\pm1.462$]. Baseline urinary cortisol ($\log_{10}$ $\mu\text{g}/12$ hr) was also dysregulated in individuals with hyperglycemia [$F(1,324)=5.09$, $p=.025$, euglycemia: $\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}=1.052\pm.332$, hyperglycemia: $\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}=.961\pm.362$].

Conclusion—These results suggest that dysmetabolism is associated with increased emotional reactivity, predisposition toward negative affect, and specific cognitive deficits.

Keywords

Insulin resistance; International Affective Picture System; HOMA-IR; Type 2 diabetes; EEG; Cortisol

INTRODUCTION

One-third of Americans are obese (1), where 22 million adults have type 2 diabetes and nearly 40% of middle-aged adults develop pre-type 2 diabetes (2). Pre-type 2 diabetes etiology is characterized by insulin resistance (IR), which is a reduced cellular response to insulin (3). While it is well established that IR and type 2 diabetes contribute to cardiovascular disease and other pathologies, they also affect behavior. For example, IR is related to deficits in cognitive (4) and affective processing, particularly reactivity to psychological stress in humans (5) and monkeys (6). IR in euglycemic or hyperglycemic (i.e., pre-type 2 diabetes and type 2 diabetes) participants is also associated with neural sequelae that affect these behavioral outcomes (7–9). It is unclear how IR affects cognitive and emotional processing. It has been suggested that oxidative stress, neuronal apoptosis, neuroinflammation, and electrophysiological abnormalities can cause architectural changes and contribute to brain dysfunction in type 2 diabetes (10).

IR and hyperglycemia also manifest with major depressive disorder (MDD) and several other anxiety and mood disorders. Participants with MDD showed impaired insulin sensitivity (i.e., IR) after an oral-glucose tolerance test that was resolved after antidepressant treatment (11). Indeed, if depression is resolved, fasting glucose levels tend to improve (12,13). Recent meta-analyses suggest that MDD (14) and bipolar disorder (15) are associated with higher rates of type 2 diabetes. For example, individuals with type 2 diabetes are twice as likely to have MDD (16) and impaired cognitive performance (17,18) compared to those without type 2 diabetes. Conversely, MDD may increase the risk of developing type 2 diabetes (19). Some of this increased risk of MDD, and in general emotional reactivity, may be due to stigma and discrimination toward individuals who are obese (20). However,

IR may be a critical biological mechanism underlying emotional reactivity and psychopathology (9,21,22). It is chronic stress rather than acute stress that has an influence on human physiology (23–25). Animals studies show chronic stress leads to low-grade chronic inflammation in the brain (26), resulting in macrophage infiltration in the the gut that can induce metabolic dysfunction (27).

Thus, it is important to further investigate biological, psychological, and neural correlates examining associations between behavioral reactivity and metabolic dysfunction. The International Affective Picture System (IAPS) is commonly used for experimental investigation of emotion and attention. Brain regions have been examined in regards to which areas are associated with emotional reactivity. Multiple studies have shown the visual cortex being activated when viewing emotional pictures (28,29). The visual cortex has differing activation between the left and right hemisphere in response to emotional stimuli (30,31). Other brain regions showing activation include the amygdala- hippocampal region (28), dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (32), basal ganglia (28), ventromedial prefrontal (vPFC) and medial orbitofrontal cortex (28), and anterior cingulate (28,32). Human (21,22,33,34) and monkey (8) studies have demonstrated that IR is related to brain atrophy, as well as less glucose uptake in humans (35), in most of these areas but particularly vPFC (22). The vPFC is essential for top-down modulation of stress-induced emotional reactivity, as well as medial temporal areas like amygdala and hippocampus that in part grade for threat detection and emotional regulation (36).

One method of examining emotional reactivity is the eyeblink startle response, which is an involuntary periorbital eye reflex to a typically loud acoustic stimulus. Vrana and colleagues (37) initially found that pairing a startle probe with an aversive or pleasant stimulus respectively facilitated or inhibited the automatic eyeblink response, allowing assessment of state and trait affective disposition as well as emotional reactivity (38,39). IAPS have been commonly used as a primary or foreground stimulus paired with acoustic startle (40). It is also the case that emotion-modulated startle varies based on when IAPS stimuli are presented. For example, Larson and co-workers found that startle modulation of the eyeblink response disappears 4–7 seconds after a given picture disappears from the screen (41), suggesting that examining early versus late eyeblink startle response may help better distinguish affect facilitation or inhibition versus a response just due to the startle probe.

Despite associations between stress reactivity and metabolic dysfunction, a full understanding of how this relates to the startle eyeblink response and other neural correlates remains unknown. Therefore, it was worthwhile to determine in otherwise healthy, middle-aged adults if hyperglycemia and IR were related to psychophysiological and behavioral measures of psychological emotional reactivity, or negative affect predisposition. Our central hypothesis is metabolic dysfunction is related to neural biomarkers of emotional reactivity. By using electromyography (EMG) and electroencephalogram (EEG) data from the MIDUS (Midlife in the United States) study (42), differences between healthy adults and those with IR and pre-type 2 diabetes or type 2 diabetes (i.e., hyperglycemia) can be identified. In this study, we investigated if hyperglycemia and IR were associated with: **1)** worse cognitive performance and dysregulated cortisol; and **2)** higher psychophysiological measures of

emotional reactivity, both at rest and during picture presentation paired with acoustic startle using eye-blink response (EBR).

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Participants

The data for this study was obtained from the MIDUS database (www.midus.wisc.edu/midus2). MIDUS II is a cross-sectional study that started in 2002 which was a follow up to the original MIDUS I study launched in 1995. The follow up study was completed by 2009 and included a collection of neuroscience data in a subset of 331 respondents from 1,255 MIDUS participants who were part of the biomarker project within the study. The MIDUS protocols were reviewed by the University of Wisconsin-Madison Institutional Review Board. All participants signed verbal consent for the biomarker project and gave verbal consent for the telephone and mail survey data before the initiation of the study. Participants were excluded from the analysis if biomarker data was missing, or if 2 of 3 EBR measurements were missing. Among the participants with biomarker data, there were no significant differences between age, sex, income level or marital status (43). However, Love et al. indicated that compared to the larger MIDUS sample from which they were drawn, the biomarker participants had significantly higher educational levels, with 52.2% attending high school/some college, and 42.1% being a college graduate or beyond (43). The sample also was predominately white (78.3%), and 13.8% of responders reported that they smoke cigarettes (43).

Biological Measures

As described in the MIDUS protocol (44), fasting blood samples were collected during an overnight stay. Cobas Integra Systems assay (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, USA) was used to measure glycated hemoglobin (HbA_{1c}) with an inter-assay CV of the control 1.1–3.4%, an intra-assay CV of 0.43%, and a reference range of 4.0–5.6%. An enzymatic assay photometrically measured fasting glucose (Roche Modular Analytics P, Indianapolis, USA) and an ADVIA Centaur Insulin immunoassay (Siemens, Malvern, USA) was used to measure fasting insulin. Insulin inter-assay CV of the control was 2.4–4.6%, an intra-assay CV of 2.5–4.0%, and a reference range of 4–27 uIU/mL. Glucose inter-assay CV of the control was 1%, an intra-assay CV of 1%, and a reference range of 70–99 mg/dL. An established formula was used to calculate homeostatic model assessment of IR (HOMA-IR) (45), which is used to measure peripheral IR. Urine was collected over 12 hours to measure neuroendocrine hormones like cortisol and creatinine, which were isolated using high-performance liquid chromatography - mass spectrometry.

Determination of Hyperglycemia (Pre-Type 2 Diabetes, Type 2 Diabetes)

Current criteria from the American Diabetes Association were used to define presence of prediabetes (HbA_{1c} between 5.7–6.5% or glucose between 100–126 mg/dL, and not taking diabetes medications) and diabetes (HbA_{1c} above 6.5%, fasting glucose above 126 mg/dL, or taking medications that lower glucose levels such as Metformin) (46).

Affective Neuroscience Assessments

The neuroscience project of MIDUS II investigated emotional reactivity and recovery by obtaining EMG data and EBR magnitude and amplitude in response to 90 IAPS pictures of 30 positive, 30 neutral or 30 negative emotional valence using EMG (29). Please see Figure S1 (Supplemental Digital Content) for an illustration of stimulus presentation. Facial muscle recordings like EBR provide differential facets of emotional response stemming from processing emotional stimuli (47,48). EB provides objective estimates of time, magnitude, and amplitude of emotional response during and following IAPS.

For the EBR scoring, EBR magnitudes were calculated by subtracting the amount of integrated EMG at reflex onset from that at peak amplitude (maximum amount of integrated EMG between 20 and 120 ms following probe onset). Trials with no detected EBR were assigned a magnitude of zero and included in the analysis. EBR magnitudes were log-transformed to normalize the data, then z- scored to range-correct the data separately for each participant. A participant's data was excluded when the participant did not respond with a detectable EBR on less than 75% of the total number of probes. EBR amplitudes were calculated similarly, except trials with no detectable eyeblink reflex were excluded from the analysis (49). Text S1 (Supplemental Digital Content) describes additional EBR processing.

After accounting for missing EBR and HOMA-IR data, our analysis included approximately 123 euglycemia, 89 pre-type 2 diabetes, and 44 type 2 diabetes individuals. EBR is an objective index of the startle response. The human startle response is commonly used in research studies and in clinical practice to measure central nervous system activity and EMG is frequently used to obtain it.

EBR in response to acoustic startle stimuli was measured by placing two mini electrodes below the eye. The pictures shown to the participants were from the IAPS (29). For a given trial, the acoustic startle stimuli (105 dB) was administered for 50ms during one of three phases: **1)** The “early” phase at 2,900ms after picture onset while the picture was on the screen to assess reactivity; **2)** the “middle” phase at 400ms after picture onset; and **3)** the “late” phase at 1,900ms after picture offset and removal to assess longer-term recovery (Figure S1, Supplemental Digital Content). Schaefer et al. showed across all valences that EBR at a 2nd “middle” phase probe occurring 400ms after picture offset had decreased magnitude, which suggested that pre-pulse inhibition may have affected the 2nd probe magnitude due to close temporal proximity to the picture offset (50,51). Taking their finding into consideration, we dropped this time point from our analysis.

Electroencephalography

EEG data were also collected to assess scalp electrical activity and thereby indirectly assess cortical brain activity. A geodesic electrode net on the scalp with 128 channels of EEG was used to collect the data (www.egi.com). Resting frontal asymmetry was defined as the difference between the right and left side prefrontal cortex activation, as measured by EEG. Higher activation of the left side of the prefrontal cortex compared to the right is related to a predisposition toward positive affect (52), whereas higher activation of the right side of the prefrontal cortex compared to the left is associated with predisposition toward negative

affect (48). This is gauged using Alpha wave frequency. Resting EEG asymmetry was collected before image presentation. To constrain type 1 error, we focused on alpha wave output comparing the f3/f4 and f7/f8 channels, which have been used to assess right frontal asymmetry (52). EEG methodology is further described in Text S2 and the EEG lower and upper alpha bands are shown in Table S1 (Supplemental Digital Content).

Cognitive Assessment

Part of the Brief Test of Adult Cognition by telephone (BTACT) included a number completion series and a category fluency task. Accuracy and total number correct of 1 thru 5 numbers series tasks were recorded and the number of unique words mentioned in a particular category in 15 seconds. Number series tests have been used to measure fluid intelligence and reasoning (53).

Statistics

All analyses were conducted using SPSS 23 (IBM Corp, New York, USA). Fasting labs, including glucose, insulin and HOMA-IR were log transformed to produce a normal distribution. Restricted maximum likelihood linear mixed models were used to analyze the main effects or interactions of HOMA-IR or hyperglycemia on EBR during the early vs. late phase of IAPS stimulus presentation for the following contrasts: 1) negative minus positive; and 2) negative minus neutral. Covariates include age, sex, and waist:hip ratio (54–56). The same model was used to predict resting frontal EEG asymmetry, as well as cognition and cortisol output during the arithmetic task. One subject had predictor values greater than 3 standard deviations from the mean and was excluded from analysis. Significance was determined as $p < .05$.

RESULTS

Data Summary

Table 1 lists demographics, HOMA-IR, EEG, EBR, and other baseline sample characteristics, as well as comparisons between the euglycemia versus hyperglycemia groups.

EMG EBR startle reflex

For EBR startle reflex magnitude, there was a HOMA-IR x Trial Phase interaction [$F(1,457)=5.48$, $p=.020$], indicating that HOMA-IR differentially predicted EBR for various trial phases. Specifically, higher HOMA-IR corresponded to an increased startle response for negative relative to positive stimuli [$R^2=.218$, $p<.001$] (Figure 1a), but not during the late phase after the image disappeared (Figure 1b). For EBR startle reflex amplitude, participants with pre-type 2 diabetes and type 2 diabetes were more responsive for negative relative to neutral stimuli during early picture onset than euglycemic participants [$F(1, 290)=4.06$, $p=.045$].

EEG frontal asymmetry

For resting EEG, subjects with pre-type 2 diabetes or type 2 diabetes had lower alpha wave output in right versus left frontal areas including f3/f4 [$F(1,307)=6.62$, $p=.011$] (Figure 2) and f7/f8 [$F(1,307)=5.99$, $p=.015$] (Euglycemia Mean $\pm$ SEM: .0007 $\pm$.0064; Hyperglycemia Mean $\pm$ SEM: $-0.0210\pm.0062$), which reflects greater right frontal activity. Higher log HOMA-IR was not related to f3/f4 output [$p=.310$], but was modestly associated with greater f7/f8 right frontal asymmetry [$R^2=.030$, $p=.002$].

Basal cortisol

At baseline, a main effect for cortisol urine output showed that baseline urinary cortisol was lower in type 2 diabetes and pre-type 2 diabetes participants compared to those with normal blood glucose levels [$F(1,324)=5.09$, $p=.025$] (Figure 3). Similarly, higher HOMA-IR was related to lower baseline urine cortisol corrected for creatine [$F(1,324)=9.27$, $p=.003$].

Cognitive Assessment

Participants with pre-type 2 diabetes or type 2 diabetes showed lower total performance scores on the arithmetic task than those with euglycemia [$F(1,284)=4.25$, $p=.040$] (Figure 4). Lower repetition scores on the BTACT category fluency task was not related to glycemic status [$F(1,286)=1.27$, $p=.282$].

DISCUSSION

Our results suggest that some degree of metabolic dysfunction is related to brain-based emotional reactivity, urinary cortisol levels, and cognitive function. Individuals with pre-type 2 diabetes and type 2 diabetes showed a heightened startle-related stress response to negative versus positive stimuli during picture presentation, but not after picture offset during the recovery period. These results suggests that IR predicts heightened early stress response for “unpleasant” vs. “pleasant” emotional stimuli. It has been previously shown that IR is related to deficits in cognitive and affective processing among rhesus monkeys (8) and humans (5). The link between stress and insulin is not clear and requires further investigation. Long-term calorie restriction substantially reduces IR and stress reactivity in rhesus monkeys, who do not manifest bias toward obese cage mates, suggesting that the association is at least partly neurobiological in origin (7,8). It is interesting to note that calorie restriction in aged rhesus monkeys reduces IR, emotional reactivity to novel stressors, and related neurodegeneration in the vPFC and hippocampus without affecting activity or attention behavior (6,8). This suggests that weight loss and lower IR may reduce emotional reactivity. It should be noted that WHR was covaried in this report’s statistical models, though, suggesting variance related to weight or adiposity may not be directly affecting associations with IR and glycemic status.

Alternatively, the start of IR could contribute to further weight gain. Pathologies of excess stress may affect eating behaviors, and thus induce obesity (57,58). Most studies have reported that during times of stress, individuals change their eating behaviors to consume more calories rather than less calories (59)(60)(61). Indeed, individuals who are overweight are more likely to gain weight in response to stress than those who are of a normal weight

(61). Stress can induce corticosteroids, which can increase one's appetite for food (62), but stress can also lead to a decrease in food intake (63). Increased insulin levels can be induced by stress, which in turn has been shown to decrease food intake (64). Insulin and leptin receptors in the arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus help sustain energy by governing food intake (65). Other examples of signaling molecules involved are cholecystokinin (66) and tumor necrosis factors (67), as well as lipids (68) and sugars that can affect the hypothalamus but also limbic and autonomic brain regions (69). Some individuals may be predisposed to IR due to epigenetics and genetics. For instance, the fat mass and obesity-related gene (FTO) has been associated with obesity, with an approximately 0.4 kg/m² rise in BMI correlated with each copy of a specific allele (70).

Our study also observed modestly dysregulated cortisol output due to hyperglycemia, suggesting dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis underlying stress perception and response (71). Abraham et al. (72) similarly found weak to moderate associations between metabolic dysfunction markers, cortisol, and self-reported stress. Another study showed individuals with type 2 diabetes had flattened cortisol during the day compared to others in the study who did not have type 2 diabetes (73). The authors suggested individuals with type 2 diabetes showed heightened cortisol levels in the evening when they would normally decline (73). Regardless, hyperglycemia has been related to increased anxiety and depression scores using measures like the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) (74) and the Generalized Anxiety disorder scale (GAD-7) (74).

Resting EEG confirmed our EBR findings, where greater right frontal asymmetry was seen in pre-type 2 diabetes and type 2 diabetes. This is a well-established neural biomarker associated with predisposition toward negative affect (48). Makine et al. similarly found that individuals with type 2 diabetes who are not yet on insulin were more likely to be depressed and have negative attitudes about insulin therapy (75) than non-diabetic controls. Our EEG results showed very modest associations with HOMA-IR compared to pre-type 2 diabetes and type 2 diabetes, suggesting that overt metabolic disease such as type 2 diabetes, but not relatively mild dysfunction such as IR, may be related to a neurobiological predisposition to focus on negative affect (48). More research is needed at the behavioral and biological level that link psychological stress to type 2 diabetes related morbidity.

Our study found that individuals with type 2 diabetes and pre-type 2 diabetes scored worse on a math task than euglycemic individuals. There is strong evidence to suggest that type 2 diabetes is related to worse cognitive performance (18,76), possibly due to greater atrophy, white matter lesions, and infarcts in subcortical brain regions related to executive processes (77). It would be useful to see if deficits in glucose metabolism or lower brain volume mediate these associations.

This study has several limitations and strengths. The participants of the MIDUS study live in a U.S. geographical region that was predominantly white, so it may not be representative of the entire U.S. population. Additionally, the relationships were specific to glycemic status or IR, where hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia have overlapping but specific effects on neural function such as memory formation (33). Since this study is correlational in nature, it cannot be ruled that relationships we found are causal. Longitudinal data acquired in

MIDUS or other cohorts may help to establish more causal relationships. Specifically, data collected over time could help strengthen our understanding of whether variation in IR over time predicts subsequent changes in emotional reactivity, urinary cortisol, and cognitive performance or whether these correlates predict possible subsequent changes in IR. A strength of this research includes the large sample size, robust statistical methods (78), and the consistency of our findings with the existing literature. The relationship of HOMA-IR with the biological facets of emotional reactivity should prompt more research to uncover underlying mechanisms.

This study provides evidence that metabolic dysfunction may be related to the tendency to react more strongly to negative stimuli, and to increase frontal neural asymmetry, a biological measure that has been used to gauge predisposition of part of prefrontal cortex to attend to negative stimuli. This implies that metabolic dysfunction may be a potential mechanism that could partly modulate emotional reactivity to negative stimuli. Positive affect can lead to improved physical and mental health (79), and lifestyle interventions can prevent and delay type 2 diabetes for people at risk more than metformin (80). IR mechanisms of action need to be further explored at a psychological, behavioral and molecular level, to determine if prevention and treatment methods can be utilized to improve cognitive function, emotional reactivity to negative stimuli, and more broadly affective predisposition.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded in part by the College of Human Sciences at Iowa State University, a Big Data Brain Initiative grant through the Iowa State University Office of Vice President for Research, NIH grant AG047282, and the Alzheimer's Association Research Grant to Promote Diversity grant AARGD-17-529552. The data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the MIDUS database (<http://midus.wisc.edu>). As such, the investigators within MIDUS contributed to the design and implementation of MIDUS and/or provided data but they did not participate in analysis or writing of this report. University of Wisconsin-Madison, University of California-Los Angeles and Georgetown University clinical research centers helped conduct this study. The authors also thank Ashley Swanson and Kelsey McLimans of Iowa State University for their comments on an earlier version of this manuscript, McKenzie E. Besch and Ellie L. Schmidt of Iowa State University for assistance with data collation and Brandon Klinedinst for his advice on statistical revisions.

Source of Funding:

Neither funding source had any involvement in the report. MIDUS is funded by the National Institute on Aging (PO1-AG020166; Carol D. Ryff, Principal Investigator). John D. Catherine and Catherine T. MacArthur of the Foundation Research Network on Successful Midlife Development were the supporters of the original project.

Acronyms

BTACT	Brief Test of Adult Cognition by telephone
EBR	Eye-blink response
EEG	electroencephalography
EMG	electromyography

HOMA-IR (log10)	Homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (logarithm base 10)
IAPS	International Affective Picture System
IR	insulin resistance
MDD	major depressive disorder
Pre-T2D	Pre-type 2 diabetes
T2D	Type-2 diabetes
WHR	waist-hip-ratio

References

1. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of childhood and adult obesity in the United States, 2011–2012. JAMA: the journal of the American Medical Association [Internet]. 2014; 311:806–14. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24570244>.
2. American Diabetes Association. National Diabetes Statistics Report, 2014 Estimates of Diabetes and Its Burden in the Epidemiologic estimation methods. National Diabetes Statistics Report. 2014:2009–12.
3. Goldstein BJ. Insulin resistance as the core defect in type 2 diabetes mellitus. The American journal of cardiology. 2002; 90:3G–10G.
4. Talbot K, Wang H, Kazi H, Han L, Bakshi KP, Stucky A, Fuino RL, Kawaguchi KR, Samoyedny AJ, Wilson RS, Arvanitakis Z, Schneider Ja, Wolf Ba, Bennett Da, Trojanowski JQ, Arnold SE. Demonstrated brain insulin resistance in alzheimer's disease patients is associated with IGF-1 resistance, IRS-1 dysregulation, and cognitive decline. Journal of clinical investigation. 2012; 122
5. Rääkkönen K, Keltikangas-Järvinen L, Adlercreutz H, Hautanen a. Psychosocial stress and the insulin resistance syndrome. Metabolism: clinical and experimental [Internet]. 1996; 45:1533–38.
6. Willette AA, Coe CL, Colman RJ, Bendlin BB, Erik K, Field AS, Alexander AL, Allison DB, Richard H. Calorie Restriction reduced psychological stress and reactivity and its association with brain volume and microstructure in aged rhesus monkeys. 2013; 37:903–16.
7. Willette AA, Coe CL, Colman RJ, Bendlin BB, Erik K, Field AS, Alexander AL, Allison DB, Richard H. Calorie Restriction reduced psychological stress and reactivity and its association with brain volume and microstructure in aged rhesus monkeys. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2013; 37:903–16.
8. Willette AA, Bendlin BB, Colman RJ, Kastman EK, Field AS, Alexander AL, Sridharan A, Allison DB, Anderson R, Voytko MLou, Kemnitz JW, Weindruch RH, Johnson SC. Calorie restriction reduces the influence of glucoregulatory dysfunction on regional brain volume in aged rhesus monkeys. Diabetes. 2012; 61:1036–42. [PubMed: 22415875]
9. Kleinridders A, Cai W, Cappellucci L, Ghazarian A, Collins WR, Vienberg SG. Insulin resistance in brain alters dopamine turnover and causes behavioral disorders. 2015
10. Stranahan AM. Models and mechanisms for hippocampal dysfunction in obesity and diabetes. Neuroscience. 2015; 309:125–39. [PubMed: 25934036]
11. Okamura F, Tashiro A, Utumi A, Imai T, Suchi T, Tamura D, Sato Y, Suzuki S, Hongo M. Insulin resistance in patients with depression and its changes during the clinical course of depression: Minimal model analysis. Metabolism: Clinical and Experimental. 2000; 49:1255–60. [PubMed: 11079812]
12. Fakhri O, Fadhli A, El Rawi R. Effect of electroconvulsive therapy on diabetes mellitus. The Lancet. 1980; 316:775–77.
13. Wickström L, Pettersson K. Treatment of diabetics with monoamine-oxidase inhibitors. The Lancet. 1964; 284:995–97.

14. Meurs M, Roest AM, Wolffenbuttel BHR, Stolk RP, De Jonge P, Rosmalen JGM. Association of depressive and anxiety disorders with diagnosed versus undiagnosed diabetes: An epidemiological study of 90,686 participants. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2016; 78:233–41. [PubMed: 26452174]
15. Vancampfort D, Mitchell AJ, De Hert M, Sienaert P, Probst M, Buys R, Stubbs B. Prevalence and predictors of type 2 diabetes mellitus in people with bipolar disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2015
16. Anderson RJ, Freedland KE, Lustman PJ. The prevalence of comorbid depression in adults with diabetes: A meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care*. 2001; 24:1069–78. [PubMed: 11375373]
17. Cukierman-Yaffe T, Gerstein HC, Williamson JD, Lazar RM, Lovato L, Miller ME, Coker LH, Murray A, Sullivan MD, Marcovina SM, Launer L. Relationship between baseline glycemic control and cognitive function in individuals with type 2 diabetes and other cardiovascular risk factors the action to control cardiovascular risk in diabetes-memory in diabetes (ACCORD-MIND) trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2009; 32:221–26. [PubMed: 19171735]
18. Vincent C, Hall PA. Executive Function in Adults With Type 2 Diabetes. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2015; 77:631–42. [PubMed: 25469685]
19. Talbot F, Nouwen A. A review of the relationship between depression and diabetes in adults: is there a link? *Diabetes care*. 2000; 23:1556–62. [PubMed: 11023152]
20. Puhl R, Heuer C. The stigma of obesity: a review and update. *Obesity*. 2009; 17:941–64. [PubMed: 19165161]
21. Willette AA, Bendlin BB, Starks EJ, Birdsill AC, Johnson SC, Christian BT, Okonkwo OC, La Rue A, Hermann BP, Kosciak RL, Jonaitis EM, Sager MA, Asthana S. Association of Insulin Resistance With Cerebral Glucose Uptake in Late Middle-Aged Adults at Risk for Alzheimer Disease. *JAMA neurology*. 2015; 72:1013–20. [PubMed: 26214150]
22. Willette AA, Modanlo N, Kapogiannis D. Insulin Resistance Predicts Medial Temporal Hypermetabolism in Mild Cognitive Impairment Conversion to Alzheimer Disease. *Diabetes*. 2015; 64:1933–40. [PubMed: 25576061]
23. Dickerson SS, Kemeny ME. Acute stressors and cortisol responses: a theoretical integration and synthesis of laboratory research. *Psychological bulletin*. 2004; 130:355–91. [PubMed: 15122924]
24. Gold ES, Underhill DM, Morrisette NS, Guo J, McNiven Ma, Aderem a. Dynamin 2 is required for phagocytosis in macrophages. *The Journal of experimental medicine*. 1999; 190:1849–56. [PubMed: 10601359]
25. Segerstrom SC, Miller GE. Psychological stress and the human immune system: a meta-analytic study of 30 years of inquiry. *Psychological bulletin*. 2004; 130:601–30. [PubMed: 15250815]
26. You Z, Luo C, Zhang W, Chen Y, He J, Zhao Q, Zuo R, Wu Y. Pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines expression in rat's brain and spleen exposed to chronic mild stress: Involvement in depression. *Behavioural Brain Research*. 2011; 225:135–41. [PubMed: 21767575]
27. Soderholm JD, Yang PC, Ceponis P, Vohra A, Riddell R, Sherman PM, Perdue MH. Chronic stress induces mast cell-dependent bacterial adherence and initiates mucosal inflammation in rat intestine. *Gastroenterology*. 2002; 123:1099–1108. [PubMed: 12360472]
28. Takahashi H, Koeda M, Oda K, Matsuda T, Matsushima E, Matsuura M, Asai K, Okubo Y. An fMRI study of differential neural response to affective pictures in schizophrenia. *NeuroImage*. 2004; 22:1247–54. [PubMed: 15219596]
29. Bertron A, Bertron A, Petry M, Petry M, Bruner R, Bruner R, Mcmanis M, Mcmanis M, Zabaldo D, Zabaldo D, Martinet S, Martinet S, Cuthbert S, Cuthbert S, Ray D, Ray D, Koller K, Koller K, Kolchakian M, Kolchakian M, Hayden S, Hayden S. International Affective Picture System (IAPS): Technical Manual and Affective Ratings Lang, P.J., Bradley, M.M & Cuthbert, B.N. NIMH Center for the Study of Emotion and Attention 1997. *Psychology*. 1997
30. Dolan RJ, Fletcher P, Morris J, Kapur N, Deakin JFW, Frith CD. Neural Activation during Covert Processing of Positive Emotional Facial Expressions. *NeuroImage*. 1996; 4:194–200. [PubMed: 9345509]
31. Lang PJ, Bradley MM, Fitzsimmons JR, Cuthbert BN, Scott JD, Moulder B, Nangia V. Emotional arousal and activation of the visual cortex: An fMRI analysis. *Psychophysiology* [Internet]. 1998; 35:199–210. [cited 2016 Oct 15] Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/1469-8986.3520199>.

32. Grimm S, Schmidt CF, Bermpohl F, Heinzel A, Dahlem Y, Wyss M, Hell D, Boesiger P, Boeker H, Northoff G. Segregated neural representation of distinct emotion dimensions in the prefrontal cortex—an fMRI study. *NeuroImage*. 2006; 30:325–40. [PubMed: 16230029]
33. Baker LD, Cross D, Minoshima S, Belongia D, Stennis G, Craft S. Insulin resistance and Alzheimer-like reductions in regional cerebral glucose metabolism for cognitively normal adults with prediabetes or early type 2 diabetes. 2012; 68:51–57.
34. Willette AA, Xu G, Johnson SC, Birdsill AC, Jonaitis EM, Sager MA, Hermann BP, Rue A La, Asthana S, Bendlin BB. Insulin resistance, brain atrophy, and cognitive performance in late middle-aged adults. *Diabetes Care*. 2013; 36:443–49. [PubMed: 23069842]
35. Bingham EM, Hopkins D, Smith D, Pernet A, Hallett W, Reed L, Marsden PK, Amiel SA. The Role of Insulin in Human Brain Glucose Metabolism. *Diabetes*. 2002; 51
36. Banks SJ, Eddy KT, Angstadt M, Nathan PJ, Luan Phan K. Amygdala-frontal connectivity during emotion regulation. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*. 2007; 2:303–12. [PubMed: 18985136]
37. Vrana SR, Spence EL, Lang PJ. The startle probe response: A new measure of emotion? *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* [Internet]. 1988; 97:487–91.
38. Conzelmann A, McGregor V, Pauli P. Emotion regulation of the affect-modulated startle reflex during different picture categories. *Psychophysiology*. 2015; 52:1257–62. [PubMed: 26061976]
39. Cook EW, Davis TL, Hawk LW, Spence EL, Gautier CH. Fearfulness and Startle Potentiation during Aversive Visual Stimuli. *Psychophysiology*. 1992; 29:633–45. [PubMed: 1461954]
40. Lang PJ, Greenwald MK, Bradley MM, Hamm AO. Looking at pictures: Affective, facial, visceral, and behavioral reactions. *Psychophysiology*. 1993; 30:261–73. [PubMed: 8497555]
41. Larson CL, Ruffalo D, Nietert JY, Davidson RJ. Stability of emotion-modulated startle during short and long picture presentation. *Psychophysiology*. 2005; 42:604–10. [PubMed: 16176383]
42. Radler BT. The Midlife in the United States (MIDUS) Series: A National Longitudinal Study of Health and Well-being. *Open Health Data*. 2014; 2:2–5. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5334/ohd.ai>.
43. Dienberg Love G, Seeman TE, Weinstein M, Ryff CD. Bioindicators in the MIDUS national study: protocol, measures, sample, and comparative context. *Journal of aging and health*. 2010; 22:1059–80. [PubMed: 20876364]
44. Ryff CD. National Survey of Midlife Development in the United States (MIDUS II): Biomarker Project, 2004–2009. 2010:2004–9.
45. Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski aS, Naylor Ba, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia*. 1985; 28:412–19. [PubMed: 3899825]
46. Diabetes DOF. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 2010; 33
47. van Reekum CM, Schaefer SM, Lapate RC, Norris CJ, Greischar LL, Davidson RJ. Aging is associated with positive responding to neutral information but reduced recovery from negative information. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*. 2011; 6:177–85. [PubMed: 20385664]
48. Jackson DC, Mueller CJ, Dolski I, Dalton KM, Nitschke JB, Urry HL, Rosenkranz Ma, Ryff CD, Singer BH, Davidson RJ. Now you feel it, now you don't: Front brain electrical asymmetry and individual differences in emotion regulation. *Psychological Science*. 2003; 14:612–17. [PubMed: 14629694]
49. Report F, Sample L, Arbor A, Ryff C, Carr DS, Coe C. National Survey of Midlife Development in the United States (MIDUS II), 2004–2006: Instruments. *Social Research*. 2006:2004–6.
50. Schaefer SM, Morozink Boylan J, van Reekum CM, Lapate RC, Norris CJ, Ryff CD, Davidson RJ. Purpose in life predicts better emotional recovery from negative stimuli. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8:e80329. [PubMed: 24236176]
51. Bradley MM, Cuthbert BN, Lang PJ. Pictures as prepulse: attention and emotion in startle modification. *Psychophysiology*. 1993; 30:541–45. [PubMed: 8416082]
52. Coan JA, Allen JJB. Frontal EEG asymmetry as a moderator and mediator of emotion. *Biological Psychology*. 2004; 67:7–49. [PubMed: 15130524]
53. Salthouse, Ta, Prill, Ka. Inferences about age impairments in inferential reasoning. *Psychology and aging*. 1987; 2:43–51. [PubMed: 3268191]

54. Hardy DS, Stallings DT, Garvin JT, Gachupin FC, Xu H, Racette SB. Anthropometric discriminators of type 2 diabetes among White and Black American adults. 2017; 9:296–307.
55. Pan, SY., Groh, M De, Aziz, A., Morrison, H. Relation of insulin resistance with social-demographics, adiposity and behavioral factors in non-diabetic adult Canadians; Journal of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders. 2016. p. 1-11. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40200-016-0253-7>
56. Effoe VS, Wagenknecht LE, Tcheugui JBE, Chen H, Joseph JJ, Kalyani RR, Bell RA, Wu WH, Casanova R, Bertoni AG. Sex Differences in the Association Between Insulin Resistance and. 2017
57. Sominsky L, Spencer SJ. Eating behavior and stress: A pathway to obesity. Frontiers in Psychology. 2014; 5:1–8. [PubMed: 24474945]
58. Sinha R, Jastreboff AM. Stress as a Common Risk Factor for Obesity and Addiction Obesity and Addiction: The Integral Role of Stress. Biological Psychiatry. 2013; 73:827–35. [PubMed: 23541000]
59. Leigh Gibson E. Emotional influences on food choice: Sensory, physiological and psychological pathways. Physiology and Behavior. 2006; 89:53–61. [PubMed: 16545403]
60. Torres SJ, Nowson CA. Relationship between stress, eating behavior, and obesity. Nutrition. 2007; 23:887–94. [PubMed: 17869482]
61. Ayanian JZ, Block JP, He Y, Zaslavsky AM, Ding L. Psychosocial stress and change in weight among US adults. American Journal of Epidemiology. 2009; 170:181–92. [PubMed: 19465744]
62. Epel E, Lapidus R, McEwen B, Brownell K. Stress may add bite to appetite in women: A laboratory study of stress-induced cortisol and eating behavior. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2001; 26:37–49. [PubMed: 11070333]
63. Oliver G, Wardle J. Perceived Effects of Stress on Food Choice. Physiology & Behavior. 1999; 66:511–15. [PubMed: 10357442]
64. Woods SC, Chavez M, Park CR, Riedy C, Kaiyala K, Richardson RD, Figlewicz DP, Schwartz MW, Porte D, Seeley RJ. The evaluation of insulin as a metabolic signal influencing behavior via the brain. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews. 1996; 20:139–44. [PubMed: 8622820]
65. Baskin DG, Figlewicz Latteman D, Seeley RJ, Woods SC, Porte D Jr, Schwartz MW. Insulin and leptin: dual adiposity signals to the brain for the regulation of food intake and body weight. Brain Research. 1999; 848:114–23. [PubMed: 10612703]
66. Silver AJ, Morley JE. Role of CCK in regulation of food intake. Progress in neurobiology. 1991; 36:23–34. [PubMed: 1998073]
67. Buchanan JB, Johnson RW. Regulation of food intake by inflammatory cytokines in the brain. Neuroendocrinology. 2007; 86:183–90. [PubMed: 17823502]
68. Schwinkendorf DR, Tsatsos NG, Gosnell Ba, Mashek DG. Effects of central administration of distinct fatty acids on hypothalamic neuropeptide expression and energy metabolism. International journal of obesity [Internet]. 2011; 35:336–44. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20714328>.
69. Ahima RS, Antwi DA. Brain Regulation of Appetite and Satiety. Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinics of North America. 2008; 37:811–23. [PubMed: 19026933]
70. Frayling TM, Timpson NJ, Weedon MN, Zeggini E, Freathy RM, Lindgren CM, Perry JRB, Elliott KS, Lango H, Rayner NW, Shields B, Harries LW, Barrett JC, Ellard S, Groves CJ, Knight B, Patch A-M, Ness AR, Ebrahim S, Lawlor DA, Ring SM, Ben-Shlomo Y, Jarvelin M-R, Sovio U, Bennett AJ, Melzer D, Ferrucci L, Loos RJF, Barroso I, Wareham NJ, Karpe F, Owen KR, Cardon LR, Walker M, Hitman GA, Palmer CNA, Doney ASF, Morris AD, Smith GD, Hattersley AT, McCarthy MI. A common variant in the FTO gene is associated with body mass index and predisposes to childhood and adult obesity. Science (New York, N.Y.). 2007; 316:889–94.
71. Burke HM, Davis MC, Otte C, Mohr DC. Depression and cortisol responses to psychological stress: A meta-analysis. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2005; 30:846–56. [PubMed: 15961250]
72. Abraham SB, Rubino D, Sinaii N, Ramsey S, Nieman LK. Cortisol, obesity, and the metabolic syndrome: a cross-sectional study of obese subjects and review of the literature. Obesity (Silver Spring, Md.) [Internet]. 2013; 21:E105–17.

73. Hackett, Ra, Steptoe, A., Kumari, M. Association of diurnal patterns in salivary cortisol with type 2 diabetes in the Whitehall II study. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism* [Internet]. 2014; 99:4625–31.
74. van Dooren FEP, Denollet J, Verhey FRJ, Stehouwer CDA, Sep SJS, Henry RMA, Kremers SPJ, Dagnelie PC, Schaper NC, van der Kallen CJH, Koster A, Pouwer F, Schram MT. Psychological and personality factors in type 2 diabetes mellitus, presenting the rationale and exploratory results from The Maastricht Study, a population-based cohort study. *BMC psychiatry* [Internet]. 2016; 16:17.
75. Makine C, Kar ıdağ Ç, Kadioğlu P, Ilkova H, Kar ıdağ K, Skovlund SE, Snoek FJ, Pouwer F. Symptoms of depression and diabetes-specific emotional distress are associated with a negative appraisal of insulin therapy in insulin-naïve patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus. a study from the European Depression in Diabetes [EDID] Research Consortiu. *Diabetic Medicine*. 2009; 26:28–33. [PubMed: 19125757]
76. Luchsinger JA, Cabral R, Eimicke JP, Manly JJ, Teresi J. Glycemia, Diabetes Status, and Cognition in Hispanic Adults Aged 55–64 Years. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2015; 77:653–63. [PubMed: 26163818]
77. Manschot SM, Brands AMA, Grond J Van Der, Kessels RPC, Algra A, Kappelle LJ, Biessels GJ. Brain Magnetic Resonance Imaging Correlates of Impaired Cognition in Patients With Type 2 Diabete. *Diabetes*. 2006; 55:1106–13. [PubMed: 16567535]
78. Verbeke G, Molenberghs G. Linear mixed models for longitudinal data. Springer series in statistics. 2000:568.
79. Koivumaa-Honkanen H, Koskenvuo M, Honkanen RJ, Viinamäki H, Heikkilä K, Kaprio J. Life dissatisfaction and subsequent work disability in an 11-year follow-up. *Psychological medicine* [Internet]. 2004; 34:221–28.
80. Knowler, William C., MD DrPH, Barrett-Connor, Elizabeth, MD, Fowler, Sarah E., PhD, Hamman, Richard F., MD DrPH, Lachin, John M., ScD, Walker, Elizabeth A., DNSc, Nathan M, David M. Reduction in the Incidence of Type 2 Diabetes with Lifestyle Intervention or Metformin. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2002; 346:393–403. [PubMed: 11832527]

Figure 1. EBR magnitude changes across Time

EBR as predicted by HOMA-IR. The mean EBR magnitude reflects the difference between “pleasant” and “unpleasant” images during either the early or late phases of picture presentation. EBR reflex magnitude in μV was measured during either the early phase (i.e., 2900ms after picture appears) or late phase (i.e., 1900ms after picture disappears). EBR signal was log-transformed to normalize data, then z-scored per subject to control for the large individual differences that often occur with EMG.

*** = $p < .001$. Covariates included age, sex, HOMA-IR, WHR, and diabetes status.

HOMA-IR (log10), Homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance log transformed;

EBR, Eye-blink response

Figure 2. F3/F4 Resting Frontal Asymmetry

Differences in R vs. L frontal EEG alpha power magnitude among individuals with euglycemia or hyperglycemia. Data are means $\pm$ SD. Covariates included age, sex, and WHR. EEG, electroencephalography; L, left; R, right; μV^2 ; microvolts squared; F, frontal; SD, standard deviation; T2D, type 2 diabetes; WHR, waist-hip-ratio

Figure 3. Baseline Urine Cortisol

Differences in baseline urine cortisol (log10 $\mu\text{g}/12\text{ hr}$) adjusted for creatinine among participants with euglycemia or hyperglycemia. Data are means $\pm$ SD. Covariates included age, sex and diabetes status. μg , microgram; g, gram; T2D, type 2 diabetes; log10, logarithm base 10; SD, standard deviation

Figure 4. Total Arithmetic Series Correct

Differences in total arithmetic series answers correct among participants with euglycemia and or hyperglycemia. Data are means $\pm$ SD. Covariates included age, sex, and diabetes status. T2D, type 2 diabetes; SD, standard deviation

Table 1

Demographics and Summary Indices

	Euglycemic (n=150)	Pre-Type 2 Diabetes (n=109)	Type 2 Diabetes (n=65)	All Participants (n=324)
Age (years)	50.55 ± 0.87	53.33 ± 1.05	58.35 ± 1.45	53.05 ± 0.63
Sex (F,M) (n)	89,61	54,55	38,27	181,143
Currently Smoking Cigarettes (n)	21	16	12	49
On glucose lowering medication (n)	0 ^a	0 ^a	40 ^b	40
BMI (kg/m ²)	28.82 ± 0.54	31.08 ± 0.60	33.13 ± 0.88	30.45 ± 0.38
WHR	0.88 ^a ± 0.01	0.91 ^a ± 0.01	0.94 ^b ± 0.01	0.90 ± 0.01
HOMA-IR (log10)	0.30 ± 0.03 ^a	0.52 ± 0.03 ^b	0.74 ± 0.05 ^c	0.44 ± 0.02
Urine Cortisol (log10 µg/12 hr)	1.06 ± 0.03	0.97 ± 0.03	0.93 ± 0.05	1.00 ± 0.02
EEG F3/F4 alpha 1 (µV ²)	0.02 ± 0.01	-0.04 ± 0.02	-0.01 ± 0.02	-0.01 ± 0.01
HbA1c%	5.61 ± 0.02 ^a	6.00 ± 0.04 ^a	7.81 ± 0.24 ^b	6.17 ± 0.07
EBR Mag (Avg Negative z-score)	0.01 ± 0.03	0.07 ± 0.04	0.07 ± 0.05	0.04 ± 0.02
EBR Mag (Avg Neutral z-score)	-0.01 ± 0.03	-0.05 ± 0.04	-0.01 ± 0.05	-0.03 ± 0.02
EBR Mag (Avg Positive z-score)	-0.04 ± 0.03	-0.06 ± 0.03	-0.01 ± 0.04	-0.04 ± 0.02
EBR Amp (Avg Negative z-score)	0.04 ± 0.02	0.02 ± 0.02	0.03 ± 0.03	0.03 ± 0.01
EBR Amp (Avg Neutral z-score)	0.04 ± 0.02	0.04 ± 0.02	0.02 ± 0.03	0.03 ± 0.01
EBR Amp (Avg Positive z-score)	-0.06 ± 0.02 ^a	-0.04 ± 0.02	-0.03 ± 0.03 ^b	-0.05 ± 0.01

Abbreviations: SD = standard deviation; Amp = amplitude; Avg = average; EBR = eye-blink response; EEG = electroencephalography; HOMA-IR (log10) = homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance (logarithm base 10); Mag = magnitude; WHR, waist-hip-ratio.

Variables are shown as mean ± standard error of the mean or frequency count. Superscript letters per row indicate a significant difference of one sub-group versus another sub-group with a different superscript letter, based on a MANOVA with a Sidak post-hoc testing. For example, the type 2 diabetes, pre-diabetes, and euglycemic groups each have a significantly different mean value versus the other groups. For EBR Magnitude (Avg Positive), euglycemic and type 2 diabetes groups differ, whereas the pre-diabetes group shows no difference versus either of those groups.