Published in final edited form as:

Subst Abus. 2017 ; 38(1): 48–54. doi:10.1080/08897077.2016.1268240.

Public health messages about perinatal marijuana use in an evolving policy context

Marian Jarlenski, PhD, MPH^a, Jennifer Zank, MD^{a,b,c}, Jill Tarr^d, and Judy C. Chang, MD, MPH^{d,e}

^aDepartment of Health Policy and Management, University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

^bMagee-Womens Hospital, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

^cChildren's Hospital of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

^dMagee-Womens Research Institute, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

^eDepartments of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences and Internal Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

Abstract

Background—Marijuana is a commonly used substance in pregnancy in the United States. It is unknown what public health messages about perinatal marijuana use are being disseminated in the changing policy landscape.

Methods—The authors systematically searched 51 state and 5 federal public health agencies' Web sites in February 2016 for information about perinatal marijuana use. Of these, 1 federal agency and 10 state agencies had published information about perinatal marijuana use. Content analysis was performed by 2 investigators, with excellent interrater reliability (mean $\kappa = 0.87$).

Results—Most content was targeted to the public, although 3 agencies had content targeted to health = care providers. Common messages about health effects included adverse outcomes in infants and children exposed in utero and that marijuana can be passed via breast milk to infants. Eight sites mentioned health effects of marijuana use during breastfeeding, 5 included resources to stop using marijuana, 5 mentioned implications of marijuana use for infant safety, and 3 mentioned potential legal consequences.

Conclusions—The volume of public health messages about perinatal marijuana use is low, content of messages differs across state agencies, and perinatal marijuana is seldom addressed in content published by federal agencies.

CONTACT: Marian Jarlenski, PhD, MPH, marian.jarlenski@pitt.edu, Department of Health Policy and Management, University of Pittsburgh Graduate School of Public Health, 130 DeSoto Street, A647, Pittsburgh, PA 15261, USA.

Author contributions

Dr. Jarlenski conceptualized the study design, contributed to data collection, analyzed the data, and approved the final version of the manuscript. Dr. Zank contributed to data collection, critically revised the manuscript for intellectual content, and approved the final version of the manuscript. Ms. Tarr and Dr. Chang contributed to the study design and interpretation of data, critically revised the manuscript for intellectual content, and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Keywords

Health policy; marijuana; perinatal care; public health

Introduction

Marijuana is a commonly used substance during pregnancy in the United States. Seven percent of pregnant women self-report using marijuana during the prior 2–12 months, and among pregnant women who use marijuana, 16% report near-daily use.¹ There have been mixed findings with respect to whether perinatal marijuana use is causally associated with adverse obstetric or neonatal outcomes.^{2–5} A growing body of evidence, however, suggests that risks outweigh benefits of perinatal marijuana use.⁶ The American Academy of Pediatrics has concluded that perinatal marijuana exposure has negative effects on short-term infant neurobehavior and longer-term behavior and cognition in childhood.⁷ In July 2015, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists issued a committee opinion concluding that marijuana use in pregnancy may be associated with impaired fetal neurodevelopment and that pregnant women be screened for and, where relevant, treated for, marijuana use.⁸ Additionally, there may be important behavioral pathways through which infants or young children have increased health risks because of parents' marijuana use.⁹

As of October 2016, 26 states permitted marijuana for medical use, and of those states, 5 also permitted marijuana for recreational use (including the District of Columbia). An additional 8 states approved medical or recreational use in voter referenda in the fall 2016 election; notably, California approved recreational marijuana use. Concurrently with state policy changes, public opinion about the risk-benefit ratio of marijuana use is also changing, with recent public opinion data indicating that 58% of the US public supports legalization of marijuana use.¹⁰ Public health agencies may play a role in communicating information to the public about perinatal marijuana use. A large literature has documented the impact of communications content and presentation on the public's knowledge and attitudes.^{11–15} To our knowledge, no research has examined communications from public health agencies pertaining to perinatal marijuana use. Our objective was to analyze the volume and content of published health communication about perinatal marijuana use by state and federal public health agencies.

Methods

The theoretical framework for this study is the mental models framework, which posits that risk communications can iteratively address the gaps between the public's mental model and the experts' mental model of a given issue.¹⁶ The mental models approach has proven especially valuable in areas that are fraught with scientific uncertainty¹⁷ or value judgments,^{18–20} as is the case with perinatal marijuana use, because the approach can flexibly incorporate subjective determinants of health behavior decisions. The mental models approach to risk communication aims to ensure that the public can understand not only the basic information about a health risk but how well science understands the risk and its magnitude.¹⁶

We conducted a systematic content analysis of public health Web sites in the United States for information regarding marijuana use and its health impact. We included 50 states and the District of Columbia and 5 federal public health agencies' Web sites (Administration for Children and Families [ACF], Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], Health Resources and Services Administration [HRSA], National Institute on Drug Abuse [NIDA], and Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration [SAMSHA]). We used the search function on each agency's Web site to search for the terms (marijuana or cannabis or hemp) and (pregnancy or pregnant or prenatal or perinatal). If the agency's search function did not produce any results, we additionally conducted a Google search with the aforementioned search terms in addition to the name of the public health agency to ensure that we identified all relevant content. We saved all Web content as a PDF file to be used for coding, and so that we are able to access the item in the future, as Web links are not stable over time.²¹ (A comprehensive list of all Web pages that we accessed, the corresponding dates the searches were conducted, and a PDF file of any content, as of the date accessed, is available from the authors upon request.)

Coding instrument development

We use directed content analysis methods to identify and code content about the risks or benefits of perinatal marijuana use, which allowed us to create categories of content related to our a priori outcomes of interest, as well as to address related categories of content that occur in the data.²² We developed a 12-item coding instrument that included codes related to content about target populations (nonpregnant adult, pregnant women/fetus/infant, partner to pregnant woman, male reproductive, or childhood); mode of consumption (combustible inhalation, edible, transdermal, or noncombustible inhalation); or perinatal health (legal implications, quitting, or safety/parenting). These content domains included in our coding instrument were developed based on prior qualitative research with pregnant women who used marijuana and obstetric providers. Specifically, our prior qualitative work with pregnant women revealed that they often used Web searching as a tool to obtain information about marijuana's effects on fetal and infant health and that they felt that health care providers were not providing adequate information about marijuana use.²³ Prior work with obstetric providers showed that they were not aware of identified risks of perinatal marijuana use.²⁴ We created a coding scheme that included codes for a priori outcomes. We pilot-tested the coding instrument in a random sample of 14 Web pages about perinatal marijuana use that were not from US public health agencies (i.e., content from English language non-US public health agencies or content from consumer medical Web sites). Two authors (M.J., J.Z.) separately coded the first 5 pilot media items, met to compare codes, discussed inconsistency in coding approaches, and then altered or added codes for content not related to predetermined outcomes. They repeated this process for the remaining items to develop the coding instrument (Table A1 shows the full coding instrument).

After pilot testing of the instrument was complete, 2 authors (M.J., J.Z.) then independently coded all content about perinatal marijuana use published by state and federal US public health agencies. We used prevalence- and bias-adjusted kappa statistics, which provide a measure of interrater reliability that is adjusted to assess reliability for binary items where "yes" and "no" values are not evenly distributed.²⁵ Interrater reliability was moderate to

excellent, with prevalence- and bias-adjusted κ ranging from 0.58 to 1.00 and mean $\kappa = 0.87$. (Table A2 shows raw agreement, Cohen's κ , and prevalence= κ and bias-adjusted κ for each specific item.) Where there was disagreement in coding for specific items, the 2 coders discussed and reached agreement for the final analytic dataset.

Analysis

We conducted descriptive statistics to assess the characteristics of public health agency Web sites with perinatal marijuana content and to determine the frequency with which specific quantitative items were mentioned. For information related to perinatal use, we also grouped text into themes about health effects, legal implications, quitting marijuana use, and safety/parenting and present illustrative quotes for these themes.

Results

Ten state public health agencies and 1 federal agency (National Institute on Drug Abuse [NIDA]) had published content pertaining to perinatal marijuana use. Among the state agencies with published information about perinatal marijuana, 5 states did not permit marijuana use, 4 states permitted marijuana for medical and recreational use, and 1 state permitted marijuana for medical use only. Most public health agency content (8 agencies) was targeted to the lay public, although 3 agencies had published content about perinatal marijuana use targeted to health care providers. The mean word count of published content was 1149 (SD: 168).

Figure 1 show the frequency with which public health agency Web sites' content pertaining to perinatal marijuana included information on specific topics. Among the 11 agencies with perinatal marijuana use content, 2 mentioned marijuana use among women's partners, 2 mentioned marijuana use and male reproductive health, and 3 mentioned in utero exposure to marijuana among children aged 1–9. Most agencies mentioned combustible inhalation of marijuana ($n = 10$) or edible marijuana ($n = 8$). Eight of 11 agencies mentioned marijuana use and breastfeeding, 5 mentioned quitting marijuana in the perinatal period, 5 mentioned safety or parenting and marijuana use, and 3 mentioned legal implications of perinatal use.

Content for lay public audiences

Content published by public health agencies typically highlighted effects on infants and children, although the specific health effects mentioned varied across states (Table 1). Among published content about perinatal health effects targeted to the lay public, information ranged from blanket statements (e.g., "There is no known safe amount of marijuana use during pregnancy") to lists of adverse effects (e.g., "there is an increased chance for pregnancy complications such as: premature birth, low birth weight, stillbirth and small length and small head circumference"). Three agencies explicitly described scientific uncertainty about the causal effects of perinatal marijuana use, and 1 state mentioned the difficulty in drawing inference from observational data: "It can be difficult to draw conclusions from these studies because most of the women who used marijuana also used other substances at the same time or had other factors that may have increased their chance for these defects." All 8 agencies mentioning breastfeeding stated that marijuana can be

passed to infants via breast milk. Some agencies ($n = 3$) mentioned that infants exposed to marijuana might have trouble feeding.

Five public health agency Web sites mentioned that pregnant women should stop using marijuana. These agencies universally directed women to talk to a doctor or other health care provider. Colorado, where marijuana has been legal for medical and recreational purposes since January 2014, also included a toll-free telephone number that pregnant or breastfeeding women could call for help to stop using marijuana.

Content for health care providers

Three states had information targeted to health care providers. Notably, these states (Alaska, Colorado, Washington) all have laws permitting recreational use of marijuana among adults. These documents generally discussed health effects of marijuana use in the perinatal period; for example, one state agency noted that “emerging research ... suggests there is an association between marijuana and decreased fetal growth, development and executive functioning and mood disorders in children.” Content targeted to health care providers also included information about states’ policies pertaining to the circumstances under which health care providers are required to report prenatal substance use to child protective agencies. Two state agencies noted that mandatory reporting is required if health care providers “have a suspicion of abuse or neglect (i.e., that the health or welfare of a child is threatened).” The third state agency noted that reporting to a child protective agency is required for “newborns identified as being AFFECTED by illegal substance abuse or withdrawal symptoms resulting from prenatal drug exposure.”

Discussion

In our study of all state public health agency Web sites and 5 federal health agency Web sites, we found that 11 contained published content about perinatal marijuana use. All state agencies included information about health effects related to in utero exposure to marijuana, and most ($n = 8$) also stated that marijuana can be passively consumed by an infant during breastfeeding. Content pertaining to health effects of perinatal marijuana use varied across state agencies, with public health messages ranging from broad statements about risk to more narrow messages about specific health effects. Fewer agencies had published messages about behaviorally based health risks to infants that occur with safety/parenting and marijuana use. Although public health agencies’ content generally conveyed that perinatal marijuana use is associated with adverse effects, less than half of the content included resources to help individuals stop using marijuana in the perinatal period.

In light of emerging scientific evidence about the health risks to women, infants, and children from perinatal marijuana use, public health agencies face a challenging decision about whether or how to communicate potentially conflicting data to the lay public or health care providers. That we found only 10 state public health agencies had published such communications might reflect this challenge. In general, those states with published content often included information about health risks of perinatal marijuana use, but less frequently addressed the issue of scientific uncertainty in public communications. Public health agencies also face a challenge in disseminating credible information to the public about

potential risks of perinatal marijuana use in an era when youth and younger adults increasingly believe marijuana use to be low risk or beneficial.²⁶ Nevertheless, there are at least 2 important reasons why public health agencies should consider disseminating information about perinatal marijuana use. First, current public opinion is likely to be heavily shaped by political and commercial messages about marijuana—for example, the public is already being exposed to marketing communications intended to promote marijuana use for recreational purposes.²⁷ This suggests a role for public health agencies to monitor and communicate unbiased findings. Second, women who have used marijuana during pregnancy report that they desire information about the risks of perinatal marijuana use and report actively seeking such information on the Internet or from family and friends.²³ Such research suggests that pregnant women would be receptive to information about how marijuana use might affect the health and well-being of infants and children.

In the 3 states where recreational marijuana is legal, public health agencies have published content about perinatal marijuana use for health care providers. Content aimed at providers included scientific information about health risks and treatment for dependent patients. Dissemination of such information to providers may be useful because of women's and infants' frequent engagement with the health care system during the perinatal period. Recent research suggests that obstetric providers describe limited knowledge about potential consequences of perinatal marijuana use,²⁴ and their responses to pregnant patients' disclosure of use of marijuana use often focus more on punitive consequences than medical implications.²⁸ Public health communications targeted to providers may serve a valuable role in summarizing emerging evidence pertaining to both health effects of perinatal marijuana use as well as best practices for screening and treatment.

This study has limitations. First, our analysis included state and federal public health agencies in the United States, so findings are likely not generalizable to other media about perinatal marijuana use, such as blogs or news Web sites. Second, our data were collected during one point in time (early 2016), so we are not able to assess changes over time in the public health messages about perinatal marijuana use. Given that policies legalizing marijuana for recreational use are relatively recent, however, we would expect that our data reasonably represent public health messages since the enactment of such policies. Third, our study is descriptive in nature, and we are unable to identify what proportion of pregnant or postpartum women are exposed to the public health messages about perinatal marijuana use or whether such messages lead to behavior changes. A strength of our study is that our content analysis methods involved the development of a codebook to identify common elements in text publications, and we used well-established methods to develop codes and provide quantitative estimate of high interrater reliability.

Conclusion

This systematic content analysis of public health agency Web sites in the United States found that of 56 state and federal agencies, 1 federal agency and 10 state agencies had published information about perinatal marijuana use. Public health messages commonly focused on potential adverse health effects, although the detail in such information varied

across states. Fewer than half of agency Web sites provided resources to stop using marijuana in the perinatal period.

Acknowledgments

Funding

This research was supported by the Building Interdisciplinary Research Careers in Women's Health (BIRCWH) Program (K12 HD043441). The funding organization had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication. The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest.

Appendix

Table A1

Full instrument used to code public health content about perinatal marijuana use.

Section 1. Characteristics of Web pages		
Item name	Item	Code
studyid	Unique Web page ID (e.g., CO_01, CO_02)	[XXX]
state	State (e.g., CO or US for federal Web page)	[XX]
audience	Target audience	0 = Public
	Code the target audience.	1 = Health care providers
	Code health care provider if content includes language such as: "Tell patients about..."	2 = Both mentioned
	Code both mentioned if the audience seems to be both public and health care providers.	
word	Word count	XXXX
Section 2. Content of Web pages		
Item name	Item	Code
Information about the following populations:		
Q1	Nonpregnant adults	0 = No
	If content is about marijuana use, and does not specify pregnant, infant, child, adolescent, code yes.	1 = Yes
	If content mentions effects of prenatal exposure into adulthood, code yes.	
Q2	Pregnant women/fetus/infant age <1 year	0 = No
	If content mentions effects on fetal and child development, code both pregnancy/fetus and child.	1 = Yes
	Code if mentions "baby, infant, neonate, postneonatal."	
Q3	Partner to pregnant woman (male or female or not specified)	0 = No
	Code if content refers to effects of a pregnant woman's partner's use of marijuana.	1 = Yes
Q4	Male reproductive health/male partner effect on fetus	0 = No
	Code if content specifically refers to male reproductive health.	1 = Yes
	Code if content specifically refers to effects of the biological father's marijuana use on a fetus/infant/child.	
Q5	Childhood (ages 1–9 years)	0 = No
	If content mentions effects on fetal and child development, code both pregnancy/fetus and child.	1 = Yes
	Code if mentions "toddler, child, children, kids, elementary school, pre-schooler."	

Section 2. Content of Web pages

Item name	Item	Code
	Mention of the following modes of marijuana consumption:	
Q6	Combustible inhalation	0 = No
	Code if specifically mentions smoked marijuana or dried marijuana.	1 = Yes
	Code if mentions "smoking."	
	Code if mentions "Lighting a joint/blunt" or "puffing a joint/blunt."	
Q7	Edibles	0 = No
	Code if specifically mentions eating marijuana or edibles.	1 = Yes
Q8	Transdermal exposure (e.g., oil, cream, gel)	0 = No
	Code if specifically mentions marijuana oil or extract oils.	1 = Yes
	Code if mentions creams or gels.	
	Code if mentions "putting on your skin."	
Q9	Non-combustible inhalation (e.g., vaporizer or vaping)	0 = No
	Code if mentions vaping or using a vaporizer.	1 = Yes
	Information related to perinatal marijuana use:	
Q10	If information about maternal/fetus/infant perinatal health effects, which health effects?	[Copy and Paste text]
	Copy and paste illustrative quote	
Q11	If information about breastfeeding health effects, which health effects?	[Copy and Paste text]
	Copy and paste illustrative quote	
Q12	Any information about legal implications of perinatal use?	0 No 1 = Yes
	Code yes if information about child welfare services involvement, prosecution, or driving under the influence if related to perinatal use.	=
Q13	If yes to Q12, what information about legal implications?	[Copy and Paste text]
	Copy and paste illustrative quotes.	
Q14	Any information about quitting and perinatal use?	0 = No
	Content must suggest habitual/regular use followed by quitting to be coded.	1 = Yes
	Code if mentions "trying to quit."	
	Code if mentions "dependence."	
	Code if mentions "regular use."	
	Code if mentions talking to doctor about treatment; e.g., "If you use marijuana, talk to your doctor about quitting."	
	Code yes if pregnant person or partner/family/friends, as long as relates to perinatal period.	
Q15	If yes to Q14, what information about quitting?	[Copy and Paste text]
	Copy and paste illustrative quotes.	
Q16	Any information about safety/parenting and perinatal use?	0 = No
	Content must pertain to children/infants and perinatal use.	1 = Yes
	– If in the same paragraph as perinatal use or if entire document is about perinatal use, code yes.	
	– If mentions safety and "newborn/infant/baby," code yes.	
	Code yes if "keep out of reach of children."	
	Code yes if "overdose."	
	Code yes if mentions safety risk of driving, e.g., traffic injuries or fatalities.	

Section 2. Content of Web pages

Item name	Item	Code
	Code yes if pertains to ability to parent.	
	Code yes if mentions “co-sleeping.”	
Q17	If yes to Q16, what information about safety parenting?	[Copy and Paste text]
	Copy and paste illustrative quotes	

Table A2

Interrater reliability for quantitative coding of public health agency Web site content pertaining to perinatal marijuana use.

Item ^a	Raw agreement, %	Cohen's κ	Prevalence- and bias-adjusted κ ^b
Mentions effects of marijuana use among the following populations:			
Nonpregnant adults	89	0.78	0.79
Pregnant women/fetus/infant	95	0.64	0.89
Partner to pregnant woman	95	0.77	0.89
Male reproductive health	100	1.00	1.00
Childhood (ages 1–9 years)	95	0.89	0.89
Mentions the following forms of marijuana consumption:			
Combustible inhalation	84	0.58	0.68
Edible	100	1.00	1.00
Transdermal	100	1.00	1.00
Noncombustible inhalation	95	0.89	0.89
Mentions the following:			
Legal implications of perinatal use	95	0.83	0.89
Quitting and perinatal use	95	0.90	0.89
Safety/parenting and perinatal use	79	0.55	0.58

^a All items are binary measures. Two coders independently coded 19 unique documents from 10 state and 1 federal Web site pertaining to perinatal marijuana use.

^b Prevalence- and bias-adjusted kappa values account for some items that have very high or very low prevalence.

References

1. Ko JY, Farr SL, Tong VT, Creanga AA, Callaghan WM. Prevalence and patterns of marijuana use among pregnant and non-pregnant women of reproductive age. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2015; 213:201e1–201. [PubMed: 25772211]
2. Warshak CR, Regan J, Moore B, Magner K, Kritzer S, Van Hook J. Association between marijuana use and adverse obstetrical and neonatal outcomes. *J Perinatol.* 2015; 35:991–995. [PubMed: 26401751]
3. Varner MW, Silver RM, Rowland Hogue CJ, et al. Association between stillbirth and illicit drug use and smoking during pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2014; 123:113–125. [PubMed: 24463671]
4. El-Mohandes A, Herman AA, Nabil El-Khorazaty M, Katta PS, White D, Grylack L. Prenatal care reduces the impact of illicit drug use on perinatal outcomes. *J Perinatol.* 2003; 23:354–360. [PubMed: 12847528]

5. Conner SN, Bedell V, Lipsey K, Macones GA, Cahill AG, Tuuli MG. Maternal marijuana use and adverse neonatal outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2016; 128:713–723. [PubMed: 27607879]
6. Metz TD, Stickrath EH. Marijuana use in pregnancy and lactation: a review of the evidence. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2015; 213:761–778. [PubMed: 25986032]
7. Behnke M, Smith VC, Committee on Substance Abuse, Committee on Fetus and Newborn. Prenatal substance abuse: short- and long-term effects on the exposed fetus. *Pediatrics.* 2013; 131:e1009–e1024. [PubMed: 23439891]
8. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee on Obstetric Practice. Committee Opinion No. 637: Marijuana Use During Pregnancy and Lactation. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2015; 126:234–238. [PubMed: 26241291]
9. Wang GS, Roosevelt G, Heard K. Pediatric marijuana exposures in a medical marijuana state. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2013; 167:630–633. [PubMed: 23712626]
10. Jones, J. In U S ,58% back legal marijuana use. <http://www.gallup.com/poll/186260/back-legal-marijuana.aspx>. Published 2015, Accessed April 19, 2016
11. Iyengar, S., Kinder, DR. *News That Matters: Television and American Opinion.* Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press; 1987.
12. Farrelly MC, Heaton CG, Davis KC, Messeri P, Hersey JC, Haviland ML. Getting to the truth: evaluating national tobacco countermarketing campaigns. *Am J Public Health.* 2002; 92:901–907. [PubMed: 12036775]
13. Popova L, Ling PM. Nonsmokers' responses to new warning labels on smokeless tobacco and electronic cigarettes: an experimental study. *BMC Public Health.* 2014; 14:997. [PubMed: 25253295]
14. Finnegan, J., Viswanth, K. Communication theory and health behavior change: the media studies framework. In: Glanz, K.Rimer, RB., Lewis, FM., editors. *Health Behavior and Health Education: Theory, Research and Practice.* San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 2002. p. 363–384.
15. Randolph W, Viswanath K. Lessons learned from public health mass media campaigns: marketing health in a crowded media world. *Annu Rev Public Health.* 2004; 25:419–437. [PubMed: 15015928]
16. Morgan, MG. *Risk Communication: A Mental Models Approach.* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 2002.
17. Fischhoff B, de Bruin WB, Guvenc U, Caruso D, Brilliant L. Analyzing disaster risks and plans: an avian flu example. *J Risk Uncertainty.* 2006; 33:131–149.
18. Krishnamurti T, Eggers SL, Fischhoff B. The impact of over-the-counter availability of “Plan B” on teens' contraceptive decision making. *Soc Sci Med.* 2008; 67:618–627. [PubMed: 18538457]
19. Vogt C, Schaefer M. Seeing things differently: expert and consumer mental models evaluating combined oral contraceptives. *Psychol Health.* 2012; 27:1405–1425. [PubMed: 22519728]
20. Newman PA, Logie C, James L, et al. “Speaking the dialect”: understanding public discourse in the aftermath of an HIV vaccine trial shutdown. *Am J Public Health.* 2011; 101:1749–1758. [PubMed: 21778490]
21. Lepore J. The cobweb: can the Internet be archived? *The New Yorker.* :34–41. Published January 26, 2015.
22. Hsieh HF, Shannon SE. Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. *Qual Health Res.* 2005; 15:1277–1288. [PubMed: 16204405]
23. Jarlenski M, Tarr JA, Holland CL, Farrell D, Chang JC. Pregnant women's access to information about perinatal marijuana use: a qualitative study. *Womens Health Issues.* 2016; 26:452–459. [PubMed: 27131908]
24. Holland CL, Nkumsah MA, Morrison P, et al. “Anything above marijuana takes priority”: obstetric providers' attitudes and counseling strategies regarding perinatal marijuana use. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2016; 99:1446–1451. [PubMed: 27316326]
25. Byrt T, Bishop J, Carlin JB. Bias, prevalence and kappa. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1993; 46:423–429. [PubMed: 8501467]
26. Schmidt LA, Jacobs LM, Spetz J. Young people's more permissive views about marijuana: local impact of state laws or national trend? *Am J Public Health.* 2016:e1–e6.

27. MacCoun RJ, Mello MM. Half-baked—the retail promotion of marijuana edibles. *N Engl J Med*. 2015; 372:989–991. [PubMed: 25760351]
28. Holland CL, Rubio D, Rodriguez KL, et al. Obstetric health care providers' counseling responses to pregnant patient disclosures of marijuana use. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2016; 127:681–687. [PubMed: 26959210]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 1.

Frequency of specific content items in public health agency websites pertaining to perinatal marijuana use. Data based on Internet searches conducted in February 2016 of public health agencies for 50 states and the District of Columbia, and 5 federal public health agencies.

Table 1

Illustrative examples of content pertaining to perinatal marijuana use contained in public health agency Web sites.

Theme	Illustrative example
Health effects among women, fetuses, or infants	<p><i>Public audience:</i> “Some studies have suggested that among women who smoke marijuana cigarettes regularly, there is an increased chance for pregnancy complications such as: premature birth, low birth weight, stillbirth and small length and small head circumference. Babies that are born prematurely or with low birth weight can have higher rates of infant deaths, learning problems or other disabilities. Similar to what is seen with cigarette smoking, smoking marijuana may increase carbon monoxide levels in the blood, which can decrease the amount of oxygen the baby receives, and may also affect the growth of the baby.”</p> <p><i>Health care provider audience:</i> “Use of marijuana during pregnancy is associated with negative effects on exposed children, no matter when it is used during pregnancy. The negative effects include decreased academic ability, cognitive function and attention. These effects may not appear until adolescence.”</p>
Health effects during breastfeeding	<p><i>Public audience:</i> “Marijuana can be passed to infants through their mother’s breast milk. Marijuana may also affect the quality and quantity of breast milk that you make.”</p> <p><i>Health care provider audience:</i> “Discuss importance of cessation of marijuana and other potentially harmful substances during pregnancy and breastfeeding and offer support if needed ... Discuss patient’s plan for marijuana use after pregnancy. ...Discuss breastfeeding and marijuana.”</p>
Legal implications of perinatal use	<p><i>Public audience:</i> “Some hospitals test babies after birth for drugs. If your baby tests positive for THC at birth, [state] law says child protective services must be notified.”</p> <p><i>Health care provider audience:</i> “...[H]ealth care providers are mandated reporters and required to notify [child protective services] when there is reasonable cause to believe a child has been abused or neglected. If a newborn has been identified as substance exposed or affected, this may indicate child abuse/neglect and should be reported. It is critical that mandated reporters provide as much information regarding concerning issues/behaviors, risk factors or positive supports that were observed during the interaction with the family.”</p>
Quitting marijuana in the perinatal period	<p><i>Public audience:</i> “Talk to your doctor if you’re pregnant, thinking about becoming pregnant, or breastfeeding and need help to stop using marijuana.”</p> <p><i>Health care provider audience:</i> “Treatment for substance abuse during pregnancy can be more effective than at other times in a woman’s life. ... Pregnant women often describe their healthcare providers as the best source of information and generally follow their advice.”</p>
Perinatal use and safety or parenting	<p><i>Public audience:</i> “Marijuana can make children very sick. Look for problems walking or sitting up, starting to be sleepy or having a hard time breathing.”</p> <p><i>Health care provider audience:</i> “Marijuana use can affect a person’s ability to care for a baby. It is appropriate to ask about marijuana or other substance use before letting a person care for a baby.”</p>