Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2018 April 25; 140(16): 5347–5351. doi:10.1021/jacs.8b02462.

Suzuki-Miyaura Coupling of Simple Ketones via Activation of Unstrained Carbon-Carbon Bonds

Ying Xia, Jianchun Wang, and Guangbin Dong*

Department of Chemistry, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, United States

Abstract

Here, we describe that simple ketones can be efficiently employed as electrophiles in Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reactions via catalytic activation of unstrained C–C bonds. A range of common ketones, such as cyclopentanones, acetophenones, acetone and 1-indanones, could be directly coupled with various arylboronates in high site-selectivity, which offers a distinct entry to more functionalized aromatic ketones. Preliminary mechanistic study suggests that the ketone α -C–C bond was cleaved via oxidative addition.

Cross-coupling reactions catalyzed by transition metals have greatly influenced bond-disconnection strategies in modern organic synthesis.¹ In particular, the Suzuki–Miyaura coupling (SMC), the coupling between organoboranes and carbon electrophiles,^{2a} represents one of the most frequently utilized reactions in pharmaceutical, agrichemical and material research,^{2b} which is largely attributed to the wide availability, decent stability and excellent reactivity of boron reagents. Classical SMC reaction employs organohalides or pseudohalides as electrophiles (Scheme 1A). Given the increasing importance of this transformation, substantial attention has been paid on extending the scope of electrophiles for SMC.^{3–5} The recent advance of nickel catalysis has allowed aryl ethers, phenols and esters to serve as electrophiles for SMC through C–O bond activation.³ In addition, use of aryl amides, ammonium salts and nitro compounds has also been demonstrated via a metal-mediated C–N bond activation.⁴ Apart from cleaving the more polarized C–X (X = halogen), C–O and C–N bonds, SMC reactions via activation of less polar, unstrained C–C bonds in common feedstock would be highly valuable, which, however, remain a challenge to date.^{6,7}

Transition metal-catalyzed C–C bond activation has become an emerging area for enabling novel transformations of organic molecules.^{8,9} Small ring systems are commonly employed to drive the C–C bond cleavage process through strain relief,^{9a,b} consequently leading to

*Corresponding Author: gbdong@uchicago.edu.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI:10.1021/jacs.8b02462. Experimental procedures; spectral data (PDF)

ORCID

Guangbin Dong: 0000-0003-1331-6015

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

valuable methods for building bridged and fused rings.^{9c} In contrast, catalytic activation of less- or nonstrained systems has been rather challenging, especially in a constructive manner.^{8d,10} As an initial step toward the goal of developing synthetically useful methods via activating unstrained C–C bonds, we recently reported a catalytic tandem C–C/C–H activation of 3-arylcyclopentanones.¹¹ In this reaction, 3-arylcyclopentanones underwent oxidation addition into α C–C bonds through an *in situ* generated ketimine intermediate, a mode of reactivity originally discovered by Jun,^{8i,10b,c} followed by an intramolecular C–H metalation and reductive elimination, eventually providing functionalized α -tetralones or 1-indanones. This intramolecular reaction shows promise for synthetic applications capitalizing on C–C activation of unstrained systems; however, it would be more broadly useful if an intermolecular cross coupling could be realized with readily available simple ketones as substrates. Hence, we were motivated to merge a transmetalation process and imine-directed C–C activation, and envisaged that subsequent reductive elimination/protonation would furnish a general cross-coupling reaction between simple common ketones and arylboronic acid derivatives (Scheme 1B).

At the outset, cyclopentanone (**1a**) was chosen as the model substrate for the coupling with arylboronate **1b** (Table 1). After an extensive survey of the reaction conditions, the desired coupling product (**1c**) was ultimately obtained in 68% yield (Entry 1). The optimized catalytic system contains 6 mol % [Rh(C₂H₄)₂Cl]₂, 14 mol % ^{Me}IMes, 20 mol % TsOH·H₂O, 45 mol % 2-amino-3-picoline (**C1**) and 25 mol % ethyl crotonate; 2 equiv of H₂O was used as the proton source with MeTHF (2-methyltetrahydrofuran) as the solvent. Note that no additional base was required for this ketone-mediated SMC reaction. A series of control experiments were then carried out to gain more insights to this reaction. It was revealed that the rhodium precatalyst and the amine cocatalyst (**C1**) were both crucial, and no product was produced without either of them (Entries 2 and 3). In the absence of TsOH·H₂O or ^{Me}IMes, **1c** was only formed in less than 10% yield (Entries 4 and 5). H₂O serves as a reactant, and the yield dropped to 20% when no H₂O was added (Entry 6). The catalytic amount of ethyl crotonate can slightly increase the efficiency, which likely plays a role as a π acid to promote the reductive elimination step (Entry 7).¹² Apart from 2-amino-3-picoline (**C1**), several other amine cocatalysts were also tested. Without the methyl group (Entry 8) or having methyl at other positions (Entries 9–11), the amine cocatalysts were much less active. A comparable result was achieved when replacing the methyl with an ethyl group (Entry 12), while no product was produced when the pyridyl group was replaced by a phenyl group (Entry 13). Compared with the ^{Me}IMes ligand, other NHC ligands also promoted this reaction albeit in lower yields (Entries 14–16). When the reaction temperature was lowered to 130 °C or less arylboronate **1b** was used, the coupling product was still obtained in 46% and 56% yields, respectively (Entries 17 and 18). With regard to the arylboron reagents, 1,3-propanediol-driven boronate (**1b**) offers a nice balance between stability and reactivity. Similar reactivity was observed using glycol-derived arylboronate (**1b1**), but other diol-derived arylboronates or boronic acid was less effective (Entries 19–22).

The substrate scope of this ketone-mediated SMC reaction was then investigated (Chart 1). First, a series of 1,3-propanediol arylboronates were tested in the reaction with

cyclopentanone (Chart 1A). In general, more electron-rich arylboronates worked better probably due to a faster transmetalation process, whereas the more electron-deficient ones gave sluggish reactions (Entries 1–20). A range of functional groups that are known to participate in cross coupling reactions, such as trimethylsilyl (TMS) (Entry 9), aryl chloride (Entry 18), aryl fluoride (Entry 13), methyl ethers (Entries 7 and 15) and phenolic hydroxyl group (Entry 20), were found compatible under the optimized conditions. Interestingly, the strained cyclobutane ring remained intact during the reaction (Entry 6). A number of *para* and *meta*-substituted arylboronates were successfully coupled, but the *ortho*-substituted ones are more difficult likely caused by the steric hindrance during the transmetalation step. Nevertheless, coupling with di- and trisubstituted arylboronates smoothly delivered the corresponding poly substituted aromatic ketones in modest to good yields (Entries 21–29).

The reactivity of different types of ketones was inspected. 3-Alkyl and aryl substituted cyclopentanones (**2a–4a**) are competent substrates (Chart 1B), in which both the C1–C2 and C1–C5 bonds can be activated (Entries 30–32). Surprisingly, the site-selectivity slightly favored the more sterically hindered side, though the exact reason is unclear. In addition, 3-ester substituted cyclopentanone (**5a**) and norcamphor (**6a**) showed excellent site-selectivity at the bulkier side to give the desired coupling products, albeit in low yields (Entries 33 and 34). Ring-fused cyclopentanone (**7a**) also worked; the low reactivity is presumably caused by the steric hindrance of the ketone substrate (Entry 35). To extend the scope of the ketone component, substituted 1-indanones were found to be an efficient class of substrates in this reaction (Chart 1C). The discovery was rather unexpected as aryl ketones are typically less reactive due to the larger conjugated π system compared to the corresponding alkyl ketones. To the best of our knowledge, C–C activation of 1-indanones has not been reported to date. Although 1-indanones have two different α -C–C bonds, gratifyingly the C–C cleavage/coupling occurred exclusively at the C(aryl)–C(carbonyl) bond to provide dihydrochalcone derivatives up to 75% isolated yield. The substituents on 1-indanones exhibit great influence on the reactivity, in which the electron-deficient 1-indanones were typically more efficient substrates than the electron-rich ones. When 1-indanone bearing an acetyl group (**13a**) was employed, dihydrochalcone (**41c**) and *p*-methylacetophenone (**42c**) were isolated in 62% total yield with a ratio of 1.8:1, which suggests that the reactivity of the carbonyl in 1-indanone appears to be higher than the one in acetophenone.

Besides cyclic ketones,¹³ a range of acyclic ketones also expressed considerable reactivity (Chart 1D). Various acetophenones (**16a–22a**) can react with arylboronate **1b** to provide the “aryl-exchanged” products. While the conversions were moderate, high brsm (based on recovered starting material) yields were obtained in these reactions. Again, the C–C cleavage occurred exclusively at the C(aryl)–C(carbonyl) bond and the electron-deficient acetophenones gave higher conversion than the electron-rich ones. It is noteworthy that the potential *ortho* C–H arylation directed by the carbonyl group¹⁴ was not observed. Moreover, the simplest ketone, i.e., acetone, was also a suitable substrate to give acceptable yields of the coupling products (Entries 55–57). Finally, the unsymmetrical acyclic aliphatic ketone (**24a**) afforded a mixture of dihydrochalcone (**36c**) and *p*-methylacetophenone (**42c**) with a ratio of 2.8:1 (Entry 58).

The utility of this ketone-mediated SMC reaction was first examined in the derivatization of steroid natural products (Scheme 2A). For examples, reactions between arylboronate **30b** and acetone or 1-indanone **15a** smoothly provided two ketone-derived estrone analogues without the need for strong acylating agents. In addition, the reaction was scalable (Scheme 2B). On a gram scale, the coupling product **44c** was afforded in 65% yield from commercially available 1-indanone **15a**. After reduction or 1,2-addition with ketone **44c**, the resulting alcohols underwent intramolecular nucleophilic aromatic substitution to deliver the corresponding chromane derivatives **52** and **53** in excellent yields. The remaining fluoride on the arene can further undergo Kumada-type coupling with Grignard reagents under Nakamura's conditions.¹⁵ As a result, the whole synthetic sequence provides a rapid and modular approach to access functionalized chromanes, a common structural motif found in bioactive compounds.¹⁶

To gain insights about how the C–C bond is cleaved in this ketone-SMC reaction, control experiments were carried out (Scheme 3). In addition to oxidative addition of Rh(I) into ketone α -C–C bond, a potential alternative way involves 1,2-addition of the aryl nucleophile into the carbonyl or imine intermediate, followed by a β -carbon elimination process.¹⁷ To investigate the hypothetical β -carbon elimination pathway, tertiary alcohol **55** and amine **57** were independently synthesized, which were then subjected to the standard conditions (in the absence of ketone and boronate reactants). The desired SMC product **1c** was not observed; instead, olefin **56** was produced in a quantitative yield. Combining the fact that olefin **56** was not observed in our standard ketone-SMC reactions (Table 1), the β -carbon elimination pathway is unlikely. Hence, the results of these control experiments are consistent with our hypothesis (Scheme 1B) that the C–C bond cleavage involves oxidative addition with a Rh(I) species.

In summary, we describe the development of a SMC reaction between simple ketones and arylboronates via Rh-catalyzed activation of unstrained C–C bonds. While the efficiency of the reaction still has room for further improvement, the general applicability is nevertheless encouraging. The use of unstrained C–C bond as electrophiles in cross couplings should have broad implications. Detailed mechanistic study (experimental and computational) and efforts to accelerate the reaction rate are ongoing.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This project was supported by NIGMS (R01GM109054). Y.X. acknowledges the International Postdoctoral Exchange Fellowship Program 2015 from the Office of China Postdoctoral Council (No. 38 Document of OCPC, 2015).

References

1. (a) Diederich, F., Stang, P.J., editors. *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim, Germany: 1998. (b) de Meijere, A.Bräse, S., Oestreich, M., editors. *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions and More*. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim, Germany: 2014. (c) Negishi, E-i.

- Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2011; 50:6738.(d) Johansson Seechurn CCC, Kitching MO, Colacot TJ, Snieckus V. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2012; 51:5062.
2. (a) Suzuki A. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2011; 50:6722.(b) Brown DG, Boström J. J Med Chem. 2016; 59:4443. [PubMed: 26571338]
3. For recent reviews, see:(a) Yu DG, Li B-J, Shi ZJ. Acc Chem Res. 2010; 43:1486. [PubMed: 20849101] (b) Rosen BM, Quasdorf KW, Wilson DA, Zhang N, Resmerita AM, Garg NK, Percec V. Chem Rev. 2011; 111:1346. [PubMed: 21133429] (c) Tobisu M, Chatani N. Top Curr Chem. 2016; 374:1.(d) Zarate C, van Gemmeren M, Somerville RJ, Martin R. Adv Organomet Chem. 2016; 66:143.For recent examples, see:(e) Chatupheeraphat A, Liao HH, Srimontree W, Guo L, Minenkov Y, Poater A, Cavallo L, Rueping M. J Am Chem Soc. 2018; 140:3724. [PubMed: 29461813] (f) Ben Halima T, Zhang W, Yalaoui I, Hong X, Yang YF, Houk KN, Newman SG. J Am Chem Soc. 2017; 139:1311. [PubMed: 28001393]
4. (a) Takise R, Muto K, Yamaguchi J. Chem Soc Rev. 2017; 46:5864. [PubMed: 28685781] (b) Dander JE, Garg NK. ACS Catal. 2017; 7:1413. [PubMed: 28626599] (c) Blakey SB, MacMillan DWC. J Am Chem Soc. 2003; 125:6046. [PubMed: 12785821] (d) Weires NA, Baker EL, Garg NK. Nat Chem. 2016; 8:75. [PubMed: 26673267] (e) Yadav MR, Nagaoka M, Kashiwara M, Zhong RL, Miyazaki T, Sakaki S, Nakao Y. J Am Chem Soc. 2017; 139:9423. [PubMed: 28678486]
5. For selected examples of other electrophiles used in SMC reactions, see:(a) Schaub T, Backes M, Radius U. J Am Chem Soc. 2006; 128:15964. [PubMed: 17165711] (b) Muto K, Yamaguchi J, Musaev DG, Itami K. Nat Commun. 2015; 6:7508. [PubMed: 26118733] (c) Wang J, Qin T, Chen TG, Wimmer L, Edwards JT, Cornella J, Vokits B, Shaw SA, Baran PS. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2016; 55:9676.(d) Zhang L, Lovinger GJ, Edelstein EK, Szymaniak AA, Chierchia MP, Morken JP. Science. 2016; 351:70. [PubMed: 26721996]
6. For a related coupling of arylboronates using highly strained ketones, see:Matsuda T, Makino M, Murakami M. Org Lett. 2004; 6:1257. [PubMed: 15070311]
7. For seminal works on coupling of arylboronates using 8-acylquinolines, see:(a) Wang J, Chen W, Zuo S, Liu L, Zhang X, Wang J. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2012; 51:12334.(b) Dennis JM, Compagner CT, Dorn SK, Johnson JB. Org Lett. 2016; 18:3334. [PubMed: 27367643]
8. For selected recent reviews, see:(a) Rybtchinski B, Milstein D. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 1999; 38:870. (b) Murakami M, Ito Y. Top Organomet Chem. 1999; 3:97.(c) Ruhland K. Eur J Org Chem. 2012; 2012:2683.(d) Chen F, Wang T, Jiao N. Chem Rev. 2014; 114:8613. [PubMed: 25062400] (e) Dong, G., editor. Topics in Current Chemistry. Vol. 346. Springer; Berlin: 2014. C–C Bond Activation. (f) Murakami, M., Chatani, N., editors. Cleavage of Carbon–Carbon Single Bonds by Transition Metals. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim: 2015. (g) Souillart L, Cramer N. Chem Rev. 2015; 115:9410. [PubMed: 26044343] (h) Murakami M, Ishida N. J Am Chem Soc. 2016; 138:13759.(i) Kim DS, Park WJ, Jun CH. Chem Rev. 2017; 117:8977. [PubMed: 28060495] (j) Fumagalli G, Stanton S, Bower JF. Chem Rev. 2017; 117:9404. [PubMed: 28075115]
9. (a) Seiser T, Saget T, Tran DN, Cramer N. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2011; 50:7740.(b) Mack DJ, Njardarson JT. ACS Catal. 2013; 3:272.(c) Chen P, Billett B, Tsukamoto T, Dong G. ACS Catal. 2017; 7:1340. [PubMed: 29062586]
10. (a) Murakami M, Amii H, Ito Y. Nature. 1994; 370:540.(b) Jun CH, Lee H. J Am Chem Soc. 1999; 121:880.(c) Jun CH, Lee H, Lim SG. J Am Chem Soc. 2001; 123:751. [PubMed: 11456596]
11. (a) Xia Y, Lu G, Liu P, Dong G. Nature. 2016; 539:546. [PubMed: 27806379] (b) Xia Y, Wang J, Dong G. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2017; 56:2376.
12. (a) Yamamoto T, Yamamoto A, Ikeda S. J Am Chem Soc. 1971; 93:3350.(b) Perez-Rodriguez M, Braga AA, Garcia-Melchor M, Perez-Temprano MH, Casares JA, Ujaque G, de Lera AR, Alvarez R, Maseras F, Espinet P. J Am Chem Soc. 2009; 131:3650. [PubMed: 19231862] (c) Motti E, Della Ca N, Deledda S, Fava E, Panciroli F, Catellani M. Chem Commun. 2010; 46:4291.
13. We have attempted the use of cyclohexanone and cycloheptanone as substrates; unfortunately, they do not yield any desired product. The reason is unclear at this moment.
14. Kakiuchi F, Kan S, Igi K, Chatani N, Murai S. J Am Chem Soc. 2003; 125:1698. [PubMed: 12580585]
15. Yoshikai N, Matsuda H, Nakamura E. J Am Chem Soc. 2009; 131:9590. [PubMed: 19522507]
16. Pratap R, Ji Ram V. Chem Rev. 2014; 114:10476. [PubMed: 25303539]

17. (a) Zhao P, Hartwig JF. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2005; 127:11618. [PubMed: 16104735] (b) Zhao P, Incarvito CD, Hartwig JF. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2006; 128:3124. [PubMed: 16522075]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

A. Suzuki-Miyaura coupling (SMC)

B. this work

Scheme 1.

Development of Suzuki-Miyaura Coupling (SMC) Reactions

Scheme 2.
Synthetic Utilities of the Ketone-SMC Reaction

Scheme 3. Control Experiments to Examine an Alternative β -Carbon Elimination Pathway

Chart 1.

Scope of the Ketone SMC Reaction^a

^aAll the reactions were run on 0.2 mmol scale under the standard conditions depicted in Table 1 unless otherwise noted. ^b140 °C using IMes as the ligand. ^cUsing 150 mol % of H₂O. ^dArylboronates were used as the limiting reagents; arylboronate (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and acetone (2 mmol, 10 equiv) were used; ethyl crotonate and H₂O were not added in these

reactions; 0.10 mL MeTHF (instead of 0.15 mL) was used. See Supporting Information for more experimental details. brsm, based on recovered starting material; r.r.: regioisomer ratio.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1

Selected Optimization of Reaction Conditions^a

Entry	Variation	Yield (%) ^b	Entry	Variation	Yield (%) ^b
1	None	68 (67) ^c	10	C4 instead of C1	19
2	w/o [Rh(C ₂ H ₄) ₂ Cl] ₂	0	11	C5 instead of C1	11
3	w/o C1	0	12	C6 instead of C1	67
4	w/o TsOH·H ₂ O	2	13	C7 instead of C1	0
5	w/o MeIMes	7	14	IMes instead of MeIMes	58
6	w/o H ₂ O	20	15	ICy instead of MeIMes	41
7	w/o ethyl crotonate	59	16	IMxy instead of MeIMes	61
8	C2 instead of C1	19	17	130 °C	46
9	C3 instead of C1	<1	18	1.5 equiv. 1b	56

^a All the reactions were run with 0.2 mmol of **1a**, 0.5 mmol of arylboronate, 0.4 mmol of H₂O and 0.15 mL of MeTHF in a 4 mL vial at 150 °C for 120 h.^b Determined by ¹H NMR using 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as the internal standard.^c Isolated yield; the yield was 40% for 60 h and 59% for 96 h. Ts, *p*-toluenesulfonyl; MeTHF, 2-methyltetrahydrofuran.