Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2018 January 17; 140(2): 598–601. doi:10.1021/jacs.7b12213.

2-Azadienes as Reagents for Preparing Chiral Amines: Synthesis of 1,2-Amino Tertiary Alcohols by Cu-Catalyzed Enantioselective Reductive Couplings with Ketones

Kangnan Li[‡], Xinxin Shao[‡], Luke Tseng, and Steven J. Malcolmson^{*}

Department of Chemistry, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, United States

Abstract

We introduce a new strategy for synthesis of chiral amines: couplings of α -aminoalkyl nucleophiles generated by enantioselective migratory insertion of 2-azadienes to a Cu–H. In this report, we demonstrate its application in catalytic reductive coupling of 2-azadienes and ketones to furnish 1,2-amino tertiary alcohols with vicinal stereogenic centers.

Graphical abstract

New methods for the stereoselective synthesis of chiral amines are highly valuable as these units are found within numerous natural products, pharmaceuticals, ligands for metals, and fine chemicals. Classic C–C bond-forming approaches to chiral amines rely on nucleophilic addition to imines.¹ Yet several classes of chiral amines, including 1,2-amino alcohols,² are challenging to prepare by this normal polarity paradigm. A traditional reverse polarity strategy that addresses this issue utilizes nitroalkanes as a means of accessing N-substituted carbanions;³ however, this tactic requires subsequent nitro group reduction to form the amine, adversely affecting step/redox economy.⁴ A streamlined approach would enantioselectively assemble the desired amine building block via C–C bond formation with all atoms in the correct oxidation state.

^{*}Corresponding Author: steven.malcolmson@duke.edu.

[‡]These authors contributed equally.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.7b12213.

Experimental procedures (PDF)

Analytical data for new compounds (PDF)

X-ray crystallographic data (CIF)

ORCID

Steven J. Malcolmson: 0000-0003-3229-0949

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Direct enantioselective α -lithiation of alkylamines for addition to electrophiles provides one path, but these methods rely on strong alkylolithium bases and often stoichiometric quantities of sparteine or its analogues.⁵ Catalytic formation of an α -aminoalkyl transition metal reagent (Scheme 1) is a powerful means of generating chiral amines with several established approaches. Deprotonation to form a 2-azaallyl anion and addition to a Pd catalyst⁶ or alternatively transmetalation of an α -amino zinc⁷ or α -amino borate⁸ to Ni or Pd has enabled aryl and alkyl cross-coupling reactions. Metal-catalyzed C–H functionalization at the N- α -position has also permitted aryl cross-coupling,⁹ allylic substitution reactions,¹⁰ or addition to olefins.¹¹ Finally, catalytic formation of an α -amino radical, followed by recombination with a Ni or Fe catalyst, has allowed a variety of aryl, alkyl, or acyl cross-couplings,¹² borylations,¹³ or conjugate additions to take place.¹⁴ In each approach, enantioselective reactions are uncommon.^{6b,7,10b,11b,c,12d}

In this work, we introduce a new strategy for catalytically forming an α -aminoalkyl transition metal for the enantioselective synthesis of amines. 2-Azadienes, which have rarely been used in synthesis,¹⁵ undergo migratory insertion at their least-hindered π -bond with a Cu–H to generate a 2-azaallyl-Cu intermediate, which may participate in stereoselective addition to a carbon electrophile. This reaction modality thus constitutes umpolung reactivity of an enamine. We demonstrate the feasibility of this approach in reductive coupling^{16–19} with ketones to furnish 1,2-amino tertiary alcohols in up to 87% yield, >20:1 dr, and >99:1 er.^{20–23}

1,2-Amino tertiary alcohols are important building blocks for synthesis, but enantioselective construction of this functionality is all but unknown. Enantioselective Henry reactions with ketone electrophiles are few.²⁴ The direct catalytic enantioselective synthesis of amino tertiary alcohols is limited.^{25,26} Furthermore, there are few examples where this functionality bears vicinal stereogenic centers. Typically this moiety is prepared by stepwise stereoselective addition of organometallics to α -amino acid derivatives.²⁷

We envisioned that enantioselective Cu-catalyzed reductive coupling of 2-azadienes and ketones,^{16a,d} followed by hydrolytic workup, would directly form a 1,2-amino tertiary alcohol (Scheme 1). We therefore began by examining the reaction of terminal azadiene **1a**, acetophenone **2a**, and a silane reducing agent (Table 1) and quickly identified Ph-BPE (**L1**) as uniquely effective at delivering desired product **3a** (entry 1).^{16d} Other ligands (entries 2–6) afford <2% **3a** while generating significant amounts of ketone reduction product **4a** and/or iminopyrrolidine **5**, formed by reductive dimerization of **1a**.²⁸ In contrast, within 1.5 h **L1** gives >98% conv to **3a** (entry 7), which is formed in 4:1 dr as the major product (<10% ketone hydrosilylation). After imine reduction and ether desilylation (for ease of handling/assay purposes), the major diastereomer **6a** is solely isolated in 73% yield and 99:1 er.^{29,30}

Several aryl/alkyl ketones undergo efficient reductive coupling with azadiene **1a** under the optimized conditions (Table 2); the major diastereomer may be selectively isolated after the reductive/desilylative workup and chromatographic purification.²⁹ A variety of substituents on the aromatic ring are tolerated (**6b–k**),³¹ including N-heterocycles (**6d**) and free hydroxyl (**6g**) functionality (entries 1–10). For aromatic rings bearing *ortho* groups (**6h–i**), diastereoselectivity is significantly higher. For example, **6h** is formed as a single

stereoisomer and **6i** is generated in 13:1 dr. Enantioselectivity is high in all cases (96.5:3.5 to >99:1 er) and the major product stereoisomer is isolated in 45–87% yield. Ketones containing aromatic heterocycles deliver amino alcohols **6l–n** in good diastereoselectivity and excellent enantioselectivity (5–9:1 dr and 95:5 to >99:1 er, entries 11–13). Longer alkyl chains within the ketone (**2o–p**) generate amino alcohols with improved diastereoselectivity (8–10:1 dr, entries 14–15) and with high enantioselectivity. Diaryl ketones undergo efficient azadiene coupling but with poor diastereoselectivity. For example, fenofibrate adduct **6q** is formed in only 1:1 dr. The isomers may be separately isolated; each is generated in 99:1 er (entry 16). 2-Indanone undergoes reductive coupling to form **6r** in 73% yield, 8:1 dr, and 97:3 er. Azadiene addition to the fragrance celestolide delivers **6s** as a single stereoisomer in 72% yield.

A number of 4-alkyl-substituted 2-azadienes efficiently react with ketone **2i** to afford α -alkyl chiral amines **7a–j** as a single diastereomer in 43–59% yield (Table 3). The added steric hindrance imposed by the alkyl group necessitates a 12 h reaction time and leads to competitive ketone reduction, a pathway which is exacerbated with less-hindered ketones (e.g., acetophenone leads to >90% ketone reduction). A variety of functional groups are tolerated, such as thioether (entry 4), ether (entries 6–8), ester (entry 9), and halogen (entry 10).

Carbamates **8–9** (Scheme 2) may be obtained by the sequential reductive coupling of azadiene **1a** and ketones **2h–i** with desilylative workup, imine hydrolysis under mildly acidic conditions,²⁷ and Boc protection of the resulting primary amine (40–60% overall yield for the three-step sequence). The stereochemistry of the major isomer of **9** has been assigned as (*R*) at the amino center and (*S*) at the hydroxyl center. The free amine (**10**) may also be utilized for C–N cross-coupling reactions such as the Ullman coupling to generate aniline **11**. The amine and hydroxyl group can instead both be engaged to form heterocycles such as oxazoline **12**.

Although we have been able in most cases to separate the two product diastereomers, we have not successfully isolated the minor stereoisomer of aryl/alkyl ketone addition in order to secure its stereochemical assignment. Experiments with symmetrical ketones (Scheme 3), however, suggest that the minor isomer differs in its stereochemistry at the hydroxyl-containing center. Both benzophenone and acetone³² undergo coupling with **1a** with significantly higher enantioselectivity (**6t–u** formed in 95:5 to 97.5:2.5 er) than the diastereoselectivity observed in most other reactions (Tables 1–2). Additionally, unlike for **6q**, where each diastereomer is formed in equal enantiopurity, in the case of **6v** (Scheme 3), the major (2*S*,3*R*)-diastereomer is formed in >99:1 er but the minor, likely (2*R*,3*R*)-isomer, is furnished in only 95.5:4.5 er.

Based on the available data, a working model that accounts for the stereochemical outcome of the azadiene/ketone couplings is proposed in Scheme 3. Coordination of azadienes to [(*S,S*)-Ph-BPE]Cu–H occurs to place the benzophenone imine portion in the least hindered quadrant, leading to insertion into the *Re*-face, consistent with previous models.^{16d,e} Stereoretentive addition of the alkyl–Cu to the ketone's *Re*-face delivers the major

stereoisomer. The minor isomer arises from addition to the ketone's *Si*-face, and all other stereoisomers are generated by stereoinvertive alkyl–Cu addition.

2-Azadienes are a promising class of reagents for preparation of chiral amines. Here, through reductive coupling with ketones, they have enabled catalytic enantioselective construction of 1,2-amino tertiary alcohols that have previously been inaccessible. Application of 2-azadienes for preparing other challenging amine scaffolds is underway.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank the NIH (GM124286), ACS Petroleum Research Fund (56575-DNI1), and Duke University for financial support. K. L. is grateful to the Duke Chemistry Department for a Burroughs-Welcome Fellowship. We thank Dr. Roger Sommer (NC State) for X-ray crystallographic analysis.

References

1. Kobayashi S, Mori Y, Fossey JS, Salter MM. Chem Rev. 2011; 111:2626. [PubMed: 21405021]
2. For reviews on preparing 1,2-amino alcohols, see:(a) Bergmeier SC. Tetrahedron. 2000; 56:2561.(b) Burchak ON, Py S. Tetrahedron. 2009; 65:7333.(c) Karjalainen OK, Koskinen AMP. Org Biomol Chem. 2012; 10:4311. [PubMed: 22535485]
3. For reviews, see:(a) Palomo C, Oiarbide M, Laso A. Eur J Org Chem. 2007; 2007:2561.(b) Blay G, Hernández-Olmos V, Pedro JR. Synlett. 2011; 2011:1195.(c) Matsunaga S, Shibasaki M. Chem Commun. 2014; 50:1044.
4. Burns NZ, Baran PS, Hoffmann RW. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2009; 48:2854.
5. For reviews, see:(a) Beak P, Basu A, Gallagher DJ, Park YS, Thayumanavan S. Acc Chem Res. 1996; 29:552.(b) O'Brien P. Chem Commun. 2008; 44:655.
6. (a) Li M, Yücel B, Adrio J, Bellomo A, Walsh PJ. Chem Sci. 2014; 5:2383. [PubMed: 25396041] (b) Zhu Y, Buchwald SL. J Am Chem Soc. 2014; 136:4500. [PubMed: 24621247] (c) Li M, Berritt S, Walsh PJ. Org Lett. 2014; 16:4312. [PubMed: 25093713]
7. (a) Campos KR, Klapars A, Waldman JH, Dormer PG, Chen C-y. J Am Chem Soc. 2006; 128:3538. [PubMed: 16536525] (b) Cordier CJ, Lundgren RJ, Fu GC. J Am Chem Soc. 2013; 135:10946. [PubMed: 23869442]
8. (a) Molander GA, Hiebel MA. Org Lett. 2010; 12:4876. [PubMed: 20879742] (b) Awano T, Ohmura T, Sugimoto M. J Am Chem Soc. 2011; 133:20738. [PubMed: 22129167] (c) Hong K, Morken JP. J Am Chem Soc. 2013; 135:9252. [PubMed: 23763463]
9. (a) Pastine SJ, Gribkov DV, Sames D. J Am Chem Soc. 2006; 128:14220. [PubMed: 17076471] (b) Spangler JE, Kobayashi Y, Verma P, Wang DH, Yu JQ. J Am Chem Soc. 2015; 137:11876. [PubMed: 26322957] (c) Li M, González-Esguevillas M, Berritt S, Yang X, Bellomo A, Walsh PJ. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2016; 55:2825.
10. (a) Trost BM, Mahapatra S, Hansen M. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2015; 54:6032.(b) Trost BM, Li X. Chem Sci. 2017; 8:6815. [PubMed: 29147506]
11. (a) Herzon SB, Hartwig JF. J Am Chem Soc. 2008; 130:14940. [PubMed: 18937477] (b) Eisenberger P, Ayinla RO, Lauzon JMP, Schafer LL. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2009; 48:8361.(c) Reznichenko AL, Hultsch KC. J Am Chem Soc. 2012; 134:3300. [PubMed: 22264172]
12. (a) Zuo Z, Ahneman DT, Chu L, Terrett JA, Doyle AG, MacMillan DWC. Science. 2014; 345:437. [PubMed: 24903563] (b) El Khatib M, Serafim RAM, Molander GA. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2016; 55:254.(c) Joe CL, Doyle AG. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2016; 55:4040.(d) Zuo Z, Cong H, Li W, Choi J, Fu GC, MacMillan DWC. J Am Chem Soc. 2016; 138:1832. [PubMed: 26849354] (e) Qin T, Cornella J, Li C, Malins LR, Edwards JT, Kawamura S, Maxwell BD, Eastgate MD, Baran PS.

- Science. 2016; 352:801. [PubMed: 27103669] (f) Johnston CP, Smith RT, Allmendinger S, MacMillan DWC. Nature. 2016; 536:322. [PubMed: 27535536] (g) McCarver SJ, Qiao JX, Carpenter J, Borzilleri RM, Poss MA, Eastgate MD, Miller MM, MacMillan DWC. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2017; 56:728.
13. Li C, Wang J, Barton LM, Yu S, Tian M, Peters DS, Kumar M, Yu AW, Johnson KA, Chatterjee AK, Yan M, Baran PS. Science. 2017; 356 No. eaam7355.
14. Qin T, Malins LR, Edwards JT, Merchant RR, Novak AJE, Zhong JZ, Mills RB, Yan M, Yuan C, Eastgate MD, Baran PS. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2017; 56:260.
15. (a) Govindan CK, Taylor G. J Org Chem. 1983; 48:5348. (b) Movassaghi M, Hill MD. J Am Chem Soc. 2006; 128:4592. [PubMed: 16594694] (c) Leijendekker LH, Weweler J, Leuther TM, Streuff J. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2017; 56:6103.
16. For pioneering examples of enantioselective Cu-catalyzed reductive C–C couplings, see: (a) Saxena A, Choi B, Lam HW. J Am Chem Soc. 2012; 134:8428. [PubMed: 22563725] (b) Wang YM, Buchwald SL. J Am Chem Soc. 2016; 138:5024. [PubMed: 27042864] (c) Bandar JS, Asci E, Buchwald SL. J Am Chem Soc. 2016; 138:5821. [PubMed: 27121395] (d) Yang Y, Perry IB, Lu G, Liu P, Buchwald SL. Science. 2016; 353:144. [PubMed: 27284169] (e) Yang Y, Perry IB, Buchwald SL. J Am Chem Soc. 2016; 138:9787. [PubMed: 27454393] (f) Han JT, Jang WJ, Yun J, Kim N. J Am Chem Soc. 2016; 138:15146. [PubMed: 27808507] (g) Lee J, Torker S, Hoveyda AH. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2017; 56:821. (h) Zhou Y, Bandar JS, Buchwald SL. J Am Chem Soc. 2017; 139:8126. [PubMed: 28565905] (i) Gui YY, Hu N, Chen XW, Liao LL, Ju T, Ye JH, Zhang Z, Li J, Yu DG. J Am Chem Soc. 2017; 139:17011. [PubMed: 29125759]
17. For Cu–H reviews, see: (a) Rendler S, Oestreich M. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2007; 46:498. (b) Lipshutz BH. Synlett. 2009; 2009:509. (c) Jordan AJ, Lalic G, Sadighi JP. Chem Rev. 2016; 116:8318. [PubMed: 27454444]
18. For examples of 1,3-diene/aldehyde reductive couplings, see: (a) Zbieg JR, Yamaguchi E, McInturff EL, Krische M. Science. 2012; 336:324. [PubMed: 22442385] (b) McInturff EL, Yamaguchi E, Krische MJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2012; 134:20628. [PubMed: 23234459]
19. For reviews of enantioselective reductive C–C couplings, see: (a) Montgomery J. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2004; 43:3890. (b) Bower JF, Kim IS, Patman RL, Krische MJ. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2009; 48:34. (c) Standley EA, Tasker SZ, Jensen KL, Jamison TF. Acc Chem Res. 2015; 48:1503. [PubMed: 25905431] (d) Nguyen KD, Park BY, Luong T, Sato H, Garza VJ, Krische MJ. Science. 2016; 354 No. aah5133.
20. For a review on enantioselective Cu-catalyzed synthesis of tertiary alcohols, see: Shibasaki M, Kanai M. Chem Rev. 2008; 108:2853. [PubMed: 18570481]
21. For enantioselective aldehyde/ketone reductive couplings, see: (a) Horwitz MA, Tanaka N, Yokosaka T, Uraguchi D, Johnson JS, Ooi T. Chem Sci. 2015; 6:6086. [PubMed: 26508995] (b) Horwitz MA, Zavesky BP, Martinez-Alvarado JJ, Johnson JS. Org Lett. 2016; 18:36. [PubMed: 26667068]
22. For enantioselective aldehyde/ketone cross-benzoin reactions, see: (a) Goodman CG, Johnson JS. J Am Chem Soc. 2014; 136:14698. [PubMed: 25299730] For enantioselective cross-aza-benzoin reactions, see: (b) DiRocco DA, Rovis T. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2012; 51:5904.
23. Murray SA, Green JC, Tailor SB, Meek SJ. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2016; 55:9065.
24. (a) Christensen C, Juhl K, Hazell RG, Jørgensen KA. J Org Chem. 2002; 67:4875. [PubMed: 12098300] (b) Tosaki, S-y, Hara, K., Gnanadesikan, V., Morimoto, H., Harada, S., Sugita, M., Yamagiwa, N., Matsunaga, S., Shibasaki, M. J Am Chem Soc. 2006; 128:11776. [PubMed: 16953615]
25. (a) Silverio DL, Fu P, Carswell EL, Snapper ML, Hoveyda AH. Tetrahedron Lett. 2015; 56:3489. [PubMed: 28775388] (b) Wang C, Qin J, Shen X, Riedel R, Harms K, Meggers E. Angew Chem, Int Ed. 2016; 55:685.
26. For non-enantioselective allenamide/aldehyde reductive coupling to generate 1,2-amino secondary alcohols, see: Skucas E, Zbieg JR, Krische MJ. J Am Chem Soc. 2009; 131:5054. [PubMed: 19317402]
27. Ooi T, Takeuchi M, Kato D, Uematsu Y, Tayama E, Sakai D, Maruoka K. J Am Chem Soc. 2005; 127:5073. [PubMed: 15810842]

28. For additional ligand and other screening results, see the Supporting Information.
29. The minor diastereomer is removed by chromatography and its fate is unclear at this time.
30. *t*-BuOH addition increases the quantity of **4a** relative to **3a**.
31. Ketones **2c** and **2e** undergo a more competitive reduction compared to C–C bond formation, which adversely affects yield.
32. >90% ketone reduction is observed with other dialkyl ketones.

■ **α -Amino Anions, α -Amino Zincs, & α -Amino Borates**

■ **α -C-H Functionalizations**

■ **α -Radical Generation**

■ **This Work: Migratory Insertion of 2-Azadienes**

Scheme 1.

Methods and Uses for Catalytically-Generated α -Aminoalkyl-Substituted Transition Metals

Scheme 2.
Derivatization of Coupled Products

Scheme 3.

Implications for Stereochemistry of the Minor Diastereomer and Stereochemical Model

^aStandard catalysis conditions; see Table 2. ^b3.0 equiv acetone, 5.0 equiv silane, 5 mol % Cu(OAc)₂, 6 mol % **L1**, THF, 22 °C, 1 h.

Table 1

Ligand Identification for Reductive Coupling of Acetophenone and 2-Azadiene 1a^a

<div>5 mol % Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O 6 mol % L1-L6 3 equiv Me(OMe)₂SiH THF, 0 to 22 °C, 1 h</div> <div></div>					
entry	ligand	conv of 2a (%) ^b	3a:4a:5^c dr of 3a^c	er of 6a^d	
1	L1	82 (60) ^e	9:1:0	5:1	99:1
2	L2	<2	—	—	—
3	L3	<2	—	—	—
4	L4	60	0:6:1	—	—
5	L5	>98	0:1:0	—	—
6	L6	>96	0:1:0	—	—
7 ^f	L1	>98 (73) ^e	11:1:0	4:1	99:1

^aReaction under N₂ with 0.1 mmol ketone **2a** for 1 h.^bDetermined by 400 MHz ¹H NMR spectroscopy according to remaining **2a** in comparison to an internal standard.^cDetermined by 400 MHz ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the unpurified mixture.^dDetermined by HPLC analysis of purified **6a**.

^e Isolated yield of amino alcohol **6a** (>20:1 dr).

^f Reaction with 0.2 mmol ketone **2a** for 1.5 h, [Si] = Si(OMe)₂Me.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2

Ketone Variation for Cu-Catalyzed Enantioselective Reductive Couplings with Azadiene 1a^a

entry	product, Ar, R	dr of 3 ^b	yield (%) ^c	er of 6 ^d
1 ^e	6b , 4-MeOC ₆ H ₄ , Me	3.5:1	60	96.5:3.5
2	6c , 4-F ₃ CC ₆ H ₄ , Me	4.0:1	50	>99:1
3	6d , 4-N-pyrazolylC ₆ H ₄ , Me	4.0:1	62	>99:1
4 ^e	6e , 3-BrC ₆ H ₄ , Me	3.5:1	45	>99:1
5 ^e	6f , 3-ClC ₆ H ₄ , Me	4.5:1	57	>99:1
6	6g , 3-HOC ₆ H ₄ , Me	7.5:1	62	>99:1
7 ^e	6h , 2-BrC ₆ H ₄ , Me	>20:1	77	99:1
8	6i , 2-MeOC ₆ H ₄ , Me	13.0:1	87	97:3
9	6j , 2-naphthyl, Me	3.5:1	65	99:1
10	6k , 3,4-dioxolatoC ₆ H ₃ , Me	5.5:1	61	98.5:1.5
11	6l , 2-furyl, Me	5.0:1	55	>99:1
12	6m , 3-thiophenyl, Me	9.0:1	83	99:1
13	6n , 3-pyrrolyl(NTs), Me	5.5:1	58	95:5
14	6o , Ph, Et	10.0:1	67	99:1
15	6p , Ph, CH ₂ CH ₂ Ph	8.0:1	63	98:2
16	6q , 4-ClC ₆ H ₄ , 4-(<i>i</i> -PrO ₂ CCMe ₂ O)C ₆ H ₄	1.0:1	39, 37 ^f	99:1, 99:1 ^g

^aReaction with 0.2 mmol ketone **2**.^bDiastereomeric ratio of **3** determined by 400 MHz ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the unpurified mixture prior to workup.^cIsolated yield of purified **6** (>20:1 dr).^dEnantiomeric ratio determined by HPLC analysis of **6**.^eCu(OAc)₂·H₂O used.^fIsolated yield of each diastereomer.^gEnantiomeric ratio of each isomer.

Table 3Substituted 2-Azadienes for Enantioselective Additions to Ketones^a

entry	product, R	dr of 3 ^b	yield (%) ^c	er ^d
1	7a , <i>n</i> -Bu	>20:1	43	96.5:3.5
2 ^e	7b , (CH ₂) ₂ Ph	>20:1	54	98.5:1.5
3	7c , (CH ₂) ₂ (3-thiophenyl)	>20:1	52	>99:1
4 ^f	7d , (CH ₂) ₂ SMe	>20:1	52	98.5:1.5
5	7e , (CH ₂) ₃ Ph	>20:1	45	98:2
6	7f , (CH ₂) ₃ OBn	>20:1	47	98:2
7	7g , (CH ₂) ₃ OPh	>20:1	59	99:1
8	7h , (CH ₂) ₃ OTBS	>20:1	45	98.5:1.5
9	7i , (CH ₂) ₄ OBz	>20:1	46	99:1
10	7j , (CH ₂) ₄ Cl	>20:1	48	98.5:1.5

^aReaction of (*E*)-azadiene **1** unless otherwise noted.^bDetermined by 400 MHz ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the unpurified mixture prior to workup.^cIsolated yield of purified **7**.^dEnantiomeric ratio determined by HPLC analysis of **7**.^e(*E*)- and (*Z*)-azadienes **1c** deliver identical results.^fFrom (*Z*)-**1e**.