Published in final edited form as:

Psychooncology. 2018 February ; 27(2): 563–568. doi:10.1002/pon.4519.

Physical activity and exercise self-regulation in cancer survivors: A qualitative study

Edward Tsai, MPH^{1,2}, Michael C. Robertson^{1,2}, Elizabeth J. Lyons, PhD, MPH³, Maria C. Swartz, PhD, MPH, RD⁴, and Karen Basen-Engquist, PhD, MPH²

¹Department of Health Promotion & Behavioral Science, University of Texas School of Public Health, Houston, TX

²Center for Energy Balance in Cancer Prevention & Survivorship, Department of Behavioral Sciences, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX

³Department of Nutrition & Metabolism, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX

⁴Division of Rehabilitation Sciences Center for Recovery, Physical Activity, & Nutrition, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX

Abstract

Objective—Despite the benefits of physical activity, many cancer survivors do not adhere to clinically recommended levels. This qualitative study investigated factors of self-regulation contributing to survivor physical activity patterns.

Methods—Participants attended focus groups with prompts on exercise habits and self-regulation based on Social Cognitive Theory, Self-Determination Theory, and Self-Regulation Theory. Content analysis with *a priori* codes was conducted to identify emergent themes.

Results—Participants (n=35) were predominately older (63.7 years±10.8), female (69%), white (71%), and breast cancer (60%) survivors, with 41% not meeting activity guidelines. Emergent themes included exercise goal development, selection, and attainment; exercise planning; and self-reward. Participants tended to develop values-based, general goals rather than action-based, measurable goals. Goal attainment success emerged as a facilitator of future goal performance; completing a current goal facilitated subsequent goal attainment, while failure hindered future goal completion. Rather than having deliberate intentions to schedule exercise, participants exercised if expedient in the context of normal daily activities. Food consumption emerged as a major mechanism for self-reward.

Conclusions—Our findings suggest values-based goals, unplanned activity, influence from previous goal attempts, and self-reward were important factors related to self-regulation. Interventions designed to improve self-regulation may consider facilitating development of autonomous, value-based goals, assisting in development of strategies for future goals if current goals are not met, endorsing exercise as a scheduled activity, and introducing healthy self-reward alternatives.

Disclosures
None

Keywords

behaviors; cancer; exercise; oncology; survivors

Background

Advancements in detection and treatment of cancer are leading to a steadily growing cancer survivor population. As of 2016, there were an estimated 15.5 million survivors in the U.S., a number expected to increase to 26.1 million by 2040.¹ Survivors are living longer with improved quality-of-life, but persistent threat of recurrence and associated comorbidities remain. As such, public health initiatives have focused on lifestyle interventions to increase health-promoting behaviors, including total physical activity (PA) and exercise (planned, intentional activity).

Current literature linking PA to overall health is robust, with well-documented benefits to physical and mental/psychosocial health.^{2,3,4} PA has been associated with reduced recurrence rates of some cancers and improved cardiovascular health; this is particularly important as cardiovascular and recurrence-related issues represent the leading causes of mortality among survivors.^{5,6} PA additionally has beneficial effects for quality-of-life specific to survivors, such as reducing post-treatment fatigue.⁷ Despite benefits, survivor adherence to recommended levels of activity remains low. Only an estimated 30-47% of survivors meet American Cancer Society PA guidelines.⁸

In developing interventions helping survivors increase activity, it is critical to understand the mental states and cognitive processes involved in behavior change. Self-Regulation Theory (SRT) outlines cognitive processes involved in behavior, theorizing that mental representations of potential future behavior manifest as overt goals.⁹ Goal selection, goal setting, goal maintenance, and goal evaluation act as central components of self-regulation that repeat iteratively, while self-monitoring, self-reflection, self-rewarding, and social support represent related influential factors.⁷ Closely tied to self-regulation are the Social Cognitive Theory (SCT) constructs self-efficacy and outcome expectations, and the concept of motivation outlined by Self-Determination Theory (SDT).¹⁰ SDT postulates that meeting goals and changing behavior are more likely if motivation is autonomous.⁸ SCT constructs are related in that meeting incremental goals builds self-efficacy and mastery, while positive outcome expectations for the behavior facilitate goal attainment.¹¹

There is growing evidence self-regulation is a predictor of engagement with exercise. Studies show frequent regulatory behaviors (daily monitoring) result in positive outcomes.^{12,13} Additionally, there is now greater understanding on neurological mechanisms of cognitive control of behavior; Buckley et al. (2014) demonstrated that cognitive training to increase self-regulation resulted in greater sustained PA.¹⁴

While self-regulation is important for behavior change, adherence to interventions seeking to improve regulation and other psychosocial factors can be low, and fully engaging with PA/exercise interventions can be challenging for survivors.^{15,16} Evidence indicates that the strongest predictors for intervention adherence and engagement are generally pre- levels of

psychosocial factors (including self-regulation), as well as previous exercise behavior; those with low self-regulation encounter greater difficulties.^{15,17} However, there is limited research investigating how survivors specifically self-regulate exercise; more knowledge is needed to understand the processes through which survivors develop and set goals, and what allows some to be more successful than others.¹⁸ The purpose of this study is to therefore gain insight into exercise regulation, focusing on elucidating how survivors select, develop, and react to goal attainment.

Methods

Participants

Participants (N=35) were recruited for a study investigating exercise initiation and maintenance in cancer survivors. Eligibility criteria included being a survivor of Stage I, II, or III breast, colorectal, prostate, or endometrial cancer, >18 years of age, and having completed primary treatment.

Patients meeting criteria were recruited by telephone through the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center (UTMDACC) patient database. Additionally, participants were recruited at the UTMDACC Cancer Survivorship Conference, a large event drawing survivors from outside UTMDACC's patient population. Study information was posted on bulletin boards at UTMDACC clinics.

Data Collection and Analysis

Upon providing written informed consent, participants attended 1 of 5 focus group sessions (lasting 105 minutes) and completed a demographic and behavioral questionnaire. PA was assessed using the short-form International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ).¹⁹ A senior research coordinator experienced in qualitative methods led focus groups with the aid of an interview guide (developed using SCT, SDT, and SRT) featuring 15 open-ended questions about exercise habits (e.g., "How do you feel about your current exercise habits?") and regulation (e.g., "How do you react when you do or don't reach your goals?"). These questions were used to facilitate conversation, but the trained moderator actively attempted to limit assumptions and encourage candid responses. Participants were compensated with a \$20 grocery giftcard. Focus groups were conducted until saturation.²⁰ The study was approved by the UTMDACC IRB (#2013-0501).

Focus group recordings were transcribed by a professional coding company and checked for accuracy. The initial qualitative approach was not inductive, and coding was performed by ET and MCR using codes determined *a priori*. This coding round was performed using constructs from the three health behavior theories on which the interview guide was based. This *a priori* code list included 1) self-regulation, 2) self-efficacy, 3) motivation, 4) outcome expectations, and 5) knowledge. Content analysis was then conducted on sections involving determinants of exercise relating to the self-regulation code; important quotes were identified and organized into clusters of related concepts to create emergent theme groups. Following initial separate readings, a second reading was performed with all authors

resolving coding differences through consensus. Qualitative analysis was conducted using ATLAS.ti, version 7.

Results

Participants were older ($M=63.7$ years ± 10.8), well-educated (63% Bachelors, female (69%), white (71%), and breast cancer survivors (60%). 41% did not meet ACS PA guidelines and average time since diagnosis was 148 months (± 6.7).

Exercise goal selection

Participants tended to set goals that were broad and general rather than focused on specific actions or activities. Reflecting the preference of general goals, one participant remarked:

“I’m just trying to increase my activity level.” That takes me a long way toward getting back in the routine rather than specific workouts.”[M,68]

If PA was brought up, it was in the context of day-to-day activities involving incidental PA more than dedicated exercise. As an example of this, one participant said:

“For me right now, my goal is very general: ... increase the time that I spend walking my dog.”[F,58]

Another said:

“[For exercise] my goals are pretty simple. I’m an outdoor person when I can be outdoors. And it’s all around the weather. This time of year, I’m outdoors every minute if I can be outdoors. And when it gets to summer, not so much. So my exercise routine revolves around what the weather’s like outside.”[M,61]

Survivors tended to develop values-based goals capturing the reason behavior change was desired as it related to personal values, or other factors important in the person’s life. Examples included setting a goal to exercise to serve as a family role model, or staying active to build energy to spend time with family. One participant said:

“Well I have grandchildren so my goal is to be able to see them at least graduate from college and married, if I could, and first dance with my grandson. That would be my goal. But be healthy to at least to do that.”[F,65]

Overall, goal-setting was viewed as an introspective process where goals were formed from personal sources of motivation and freely chosen based on what the consequences of behavioral change would have to their lives. Reflecting the importance of autonomy and free choice, one participant remarked:

“I don’t want somebody telling me what to do. I don’t want somebody telling me my goals. I don’t want a [physical activity fitness] app telling me.”[F,58]

Both exercisers and non-exercisers set value-based goals, although exercisers also set specific implementation goals to achieve broader value-based goals. These implementation goals still largely revolved around general activity rather than specific exercise bouts.

Action planning

Participants tended to not set formal schedules for exercise. Instead, most who had intentions viewed activity as part of a plan to be completed only if convenient within the course of normal, daily activities. Exercise or activity time, for example, revolved around gardening or pet needs. As one participant said:

“[For physical activity] as far as working in the yard, which I do, and being outside, no. You don’t plan that particularly. It just comes with the seasons.”[F,83]

Changes in schedules of participants disrupting these types of activities meant that activity did not occur. Contingency/backup plans for rescheduling missed activity opportunities were not generally made.

Survivors tended to not treat exercise like an appointment as something to be written on a calendar/planner. Formally scheduling exercise was generally perceived as unappealing. For participants, exercise was something that should not necessitate formal planning; it should be enjoyable and occur within the frame of already existing activities. This was reflected in one participant’s comment:

“I don’t plan [exercise activities], I don’t plan that particularly, certain things have to be done at certain times...the last thing I’d want is to feel regimented.”[F,83]

Another participant echoed:

“It’s because we can. I don’t think we really mean to be so complacent about exercise. But it’s that we have this freedom and we want to exercise it, meaning we want to take full advantage. I don’t have to listen to anyone else. I’m my own boss. I set my own hours.”[F,58]

While a majority of participants in the sample were retired, an employed subset did tend to schedule more. This was attributed to limited time during a workday they could fit in activity. Conversely, retired participants without workdays preferred less structure and more freedom, despite potentially having more time to plan with.

Feedback and self-monitoring

Successful performance of past goals emerged as indicators for how successful survivors would be in meeting future goals. Attainment of goals was therefore not independent; goal attainment influenced the success of subsequent exercise goals. Among participants, success in previous goals emerged as an important facilitator and motivator for future goals, with the positive affect after meeting a goal acting as a motivator to drive future performance. Reflecting this, participants said:

“There is nothing like success to breed more success.”[F,67]

and

“For me, success breeds success, which makes me stay with it more. The opposite is true. Which is you’ve got to get back on that treadmill. For me it’s more just a guilt of you didn’t make the good choices. I didn’t manage my time well enough to fit in those times. So it’s sort of just a failure.”[F,59]

Failure on previous goals became a barrier for future goals. In this case, negative feelings and discouragement resulted in an additional obstacle to meet future exercise goals. As one participant said:

“It’s hard to start over again or continue [if I don’t reach my goal]. Because if you have really tried and the goal has not been reached, then you’re like, well, what was I doing all this for?”[F,59]

This type of reaction towards failure/success was most common among non-exercisers attempting to initiate behavior change. Among exercisers, there was less of an affective response to missing a particular goal, but also not a greater likelihood to create make-up plans covering for missed exercise.

Self-reward

Self-monitoring of goal performance is a central component of self-regulation, including responding to performance feedback through self-rewards or punishments. Self-punishment did not emerge as a consequence of goal failure. One participant remarked:

“I don’t really like the use of punishment...I really dig in my heels if I feel like I’m being lectured to or punished. So it doesn’t work for me.”[M,61]

The reverse was true for self-reward. Participants frequently used self-reward as validation for being active. For example, one participant said:

“I have rewards all the time in my life. Are you kidding?...Food.”[F,58]

Self-reward through food consumption, including eating sugary/sweet foods emerged as a primary validation source. As one participant said:

“[I reward myself] with eating a chocolate bar, a chocolate cake, and then I feel good. I don’t feel guilty.”[F,65]

There was also the perception that food rewards would be allowable because exercise counterbalanced unhealthy foods. Reflecting this, one participant remarked:

“I have rewards...[for meeting goals] Food rewards. I mean, when I truly exercise, I could eat whatever I wanted.”[F,57]

Both exercisers and non-exercisers self-rewarded through food. Exercisers planned rewards for meeting goals (e.g. a small piece of chocolate), while non-exercisers self-rewarded on a larger scale based on affective reactions (e.g. feeling good about activity and self-rewarding with a restaurant dinner).

Discussion

Understanding exercise self-regulation among survivors is an important step for intervention development, and this study provides insight into these processes. We found survivors develop and structure goals differently from how they are often conceptualized in a research setting (broad and value-centered rather than on a specific, measureable activity). Additionally, survivors valued free choice and autonomy in developing goals rather than having goals prescribed. An optimal intervention approach may thus involve helping

survivors autonomously develop broader values-based goals to establish a core reason for behavior change, followed by more specific implementation goals to achieve that change. This combines the importance of autonomy in building motivation specified in SDT with evidence that achievable initial goals building incrementally are more likely to be successfully fulfilled over time.^{8,21} Results suggest Acceptance Commitment Therapy (ACT) may be a promising intervention strategy. ACT (a cognitive therapy technique) addresses several of the themes, including promoting and identifying values as foundations for behavior change, and developing psychological flexibility in regulating affect resulting from goal attainment.²² Early evidence indicates ACT may increase enjoyment of exercise, improve exercise affect, and reduce perceived effort.^{22,23}

Preference for unstructured activity emerged over planned bouts of exercise. Interventions could thus focus on developing exercise activities more conveniently integrated into survivors' lives, rather than separate supervised sessions. Alternatively, interventions may attempt to change attitudes towards scheduling exercise by highlighting benefits of treating exercise as a structured activity. Evidence has linked higher efficacy for scheduling activity to increased exercise, and scheduling has been identified as a significant intervention component in a recent review of community-based PA/diet interventions.^{24,25,26}

Performance on past goals emerged as a facilitator or barrier to subsequent goals; successful goal attainment paved the way for future goals, while failing to meet current goals made achieving future goals more difficult. This follows the conceptualization of self-efficacy in SCT, where incremental successes act as a growing foundation to overall strengthening of self-perception of ability.²⁷ Interventionists may consider incorporating strategies to help overcome discouraging effects of failing to meet past goals, followed by incrementally building on an initial, achievable goal to strengthen self-efficacy for more challenging goals.

Finally, intervention developers may consider strategies changing food as self-reward to healthier alternatives (e.g. tailored food preparation instruction for healthier options). Another strategy might be to cultivate intrinsic motivation eliminating externalized forms of validation such as food rewards. Research indicates intrinsic motivation is significantly associated with greater long-term exercise adherence.²⁸ Werle et al. (2014) additionally demonstrated individuals engaging in intrinsically motivated exercise tended to engage in less post-activity eating than individuals perceiving exercise as less naturally enjoyable.²⁹

Study Limitations

Study results should be considered with several limitations, including generalizability and inter-participant influence within focus groups. Participants were drawn primarily from a database of survivors participating in a larger study, therefore, respondents may have been inherently more motivated and interested in exercise. Characteristics of the sample (primarily female breast cancer survivors) may also limit generalizability. As to intergroup influence, focus group composition was heterogeneous with regards to exercise level. Exercisers may have exerted a more vocal influence in the focus groups because of their success with this behavior.

Clinical Implications

Understanding self-regulation for lifestyle behaviors in survivors is important for providers involved in long term post-treatment survivorship care. The Institute of Medicine recommends patients be provided a personalized survivorship care plan (SCP), which can act as a healthy lifestyle guide.³⁰ Evidence exists suggesting patients with SCPs may potentially have better outcomes in some areas, and developing a successful SCP may involve first understanding how survivors feel about and engage with health promoting behaviors such as exercise.^{31,32} Understanding how survivors self-regulate may thus allow providers to work more collaboratively with their patients in developing patient-centered plans with goals that are more realistic and achievable.

Acknowledgments

Funding

Supported by the Center for Energy Balance & Survivorship, Duncan Family Institute and the Patient-Reported Outcomes, Survey & Population Research (PROSPR) Shared Resource UTMDACC (CA016672). The principal author is supported by the UTSPH Cancer Education & Career Development Program – NCI/NIH Grant R25 CA57712. Dr. Lyons was supported by a Mentored Research Scholar Grant in Applied and Clinical Research (MRSG-14-165-01-CPPB) from ACS. Dr. Swartz was supported by the Comparative Effectiveness Research on Cancer in Texas grant (RP140020) from CPRIT and Interdisciplinary Rehabilitation Research Training Program (90AR5009) from NIDILRR. Content is solely the responsibility of authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of NCI/NIH.

References

1. Bluethmann SM, Mariotto AB, Rowland JH. Anticipating the “Silver Tsunami”: Prevalence trajectories and comorbidity burden among older cancer survivors in the United States. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention*. 2016; 25:1029–36.
2. Warburton DE, Nicol CW, Bredin SS. Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*. 2006; 174:801–9. [PubMed: 16534088]
3. Penedo FJ, Dahn JR. Exercise and well-being: a review of mental and physical health benefits associated with physical activity. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*. 2005; 18:189–93. [PubMed: 16639173]
4. Saxena S, Van Ommeren M, Tang KC, Armstrong TP. Mental health benefits of physical activity. *Journal of Mental Health*. 2005; 14:445–51.
5. Patnaik JL, Byers T, DiGiuseppi C, Dabelea D, Denberg TD. Cardiovascular disease competes with breast cancer as the leading cause of death for older females diagnosed with breast cancer: a retrospective cohort study. *Breast Cancer Research*. 2011 Jun 20.13(3):R64. [PubMed: 21689398]
6. Harris SR. Physical activity and breast cancer mortality. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing*. 2009 Sep 30; 13(4):233–4. [PubMed: 19656724]
7. Courneya KS, Friedenreich CM, Sela RA, Quinney HA, Rhodes R, Handman M. The group psychotherapy and home-based physical exercise (group-hope) trial in cancer survivors: physical fitness and quality of life outcomes. *Psycho-Oncology*. 2003; 12:357–74. [PubMed: 12748973]
8. Blanchard CM, Courneya KS, Stein K. Cancer survivors’ adherence to lifestyle behavior recommendations and associations with health-related quality of life: results from the American Cancer Society’s SCS-II. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2008; 26:2198–204. [PubMed: 18445845]
9. Maes S, Karoly P. Self-Regulation assessment and intervention in physical health and illness: a review. *Applied Psychology*. 2005; 54:267–99.
10. Ryan RM, Deci EL. Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*. 2000; 5:68.
11. Bandura A. Social cognitive theory: An agentic perspective. *Annual review of psychology*. 2001 Feb; 52(1):1–26.

12. Janssen V, De Gucht V, van Exel H, Maes S. A self-regulation lifestyle program for post-cardiac rehabilitation patients has long-term effects on exercise adherence. *Journal of behavioral medicine*. 2014 Apr 1; 37(2):308–21. [PubMed: 23334387]
13. Janssen V, De Gucht V, van Exel H, Maes S. Beyond resolutions? A randomized controlled trial of a self-regulation lifestyle programme for post-cardiac rehabilitation patients. *European Journal of Preventive Cardiology*. 2013 Jun; 20(3):431–41. [PubMed: 22396248]
14. Buckley J, Cohen JD, Kramer AF, McAuley E, Mullen SP. Cognitive control in the self-regulation of physical activity and sedentary behavior. 2014; 8:9–23.
15. Husebø AM, Dyrstad SM, Søreide JA, Bru E. Predicting exercise adherence in cancer patients and survivors: A systematic review and meta-analysis of motivational and behavioural factors. *Journal of clinical nursing*. 2013 Jan 1; 22(1–2):4–21. [PubMed: 23163239]
16. Kampshoff CS, Jansen F, van Mechelen W, May AM, Brug J, Chinapaw MJ, Buffart LM. Determinants of exercise adherence and maintenance among cancer survivors: a systematic review. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*. 2014 Jul 2.11(1):80. [PubMed: 24989069]
17. Kampshoff CS, Mechelen W, Schep G, Nijziel MR, Witlox L, Bosman L, Chinapaw MJ, Brug J, Buffart LM. Participation in and adherence to physical exercise after completion of primary cancer treatment. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*. 2016 Sep 9.13(1): 100. [PubMed: 27612561]
18. Pinto BM, Ciccolo JT. Physical activity motivation and cancer survivorship. *Physical Activity and Cancer*. 2010:367–387.
19. Hagströmer M, Oja P, Sjöström M. The International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ): a study of concurrent and construct validity. *Public Health Nutrition*. 2006; 9:755–62. [PubMed: 16925881]
20. Morse JM. The significance of saturation. *Qualitative Health Research*. 1995; 5:147–9.
21. Locke EA, Shaw KN, Saari LM, Latham GP. Goal setting and task performance: 1969–1980. *Psychological Bulletin*. 1981; 90:125.
22. Lynch BM, Courneya KS, Sethi P, Patrao TA, Hawkes AL. A randomized controlled trial of a multiple health behavior change intervention delivered to colorectal cancer survivors: Effects on sedentary behavior. *Cancer*. 2014 Sep 1; 120(17):2665–72. [PubMed: 24816611]
23. Ivanova E, Jensen D, Cassoff J, Gu F, Knäuper B. Acceptance and commitment therapy improves exercise tolerance in sedentary women. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2015 Jun 1; 47(6):1251–8. [PubMed: 25290743]
24. Rodgers WM, Hall CR, Blanchard CM, McAuley E, Munroe KJ. Task and scheduling self-efficacy as predictors of exercise behavior. *Psychology and Health*. 2002; 17:405–16.
25. Rodgers WM, Sullivan MJ. Task, coping, and scheduling self-efficacy in relation to frequency of physical activity. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*. 2001; 31:741–53.
26. Pearson ES. Goal setting as a health behavior change strategy in overweight and obese adults: a systematic literature review examining intervention components. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 2012; 87:32–42. [PubMed: 21852063]
27. Bandura, A. Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. Prentice-Hall, Inc; 1986.
28. Teixeira PJ, Silva MN, Mata J, Palmeira AL, Markland D. Motivation, self-determination, and long-term weight control. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*. 2012; 9:1. [PubMed: 22233712]
29. Werle CO, Wansink B, Payne CR. Is it fun or exercise? The framing of physical activity biases subsequent snacking. *Marketing Letters*. 2015; 2:691–702.
30. Stricker CT, Jacobs LA, Risendal B, Jones A, Panzer S, Ganz PA, Syrjala KL, McCabe MS, Baker KS, Miller K, Casillas J. Survivorship care planning after the institute of medicine recommendations: how are we faring? *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*. 2011 Dec 1; 5(4):358–70. [PubMed: 21968543]
31. Earle CC. Failing to plan is planning to fail: improving the quality of care with survivorship care plans. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2006 Nov 10; 24(32):5112–6. [PubMed: 17093272]

32. Brennan ME, Gormally JF, Butow P, Boyle FM, Spillane AJ. Survivorship care plans in cancer: a systematic review of care plan outcomes. *British journal of cancer*. 2014 Nov 11; 111(10):1899–908. [PubMed: 25314068]

Table 1

Participant characteristics

Characteristic		N (%)
Race	Black	5 (14.29)
	Asian	4 (11.43)
	White	25 (71.43)
	Other	1 (2.86)
Education	< High school	1 (2.86)
	Some college/2-year degree	12 (34.28)
	Bachelors	7 (20.00)
	Masters	12 (34.29)
	Further advanced degree	3 (8.57)
Cancer type	Breast	21 (60.00)
	Colorectal	4 (11.43)
	Endometrial	3 (8.57)
	Prostate	9 (25.71)
	Other	1 (2.86)
Gender	Male	11 (31.43)
	Female	24 (68.57)
Current employment status	Full-time	11 (31.43)
	Part-time	1 (2.86)
	Not employed, not seeking employment	5 (14.29)
	Not employed, but seeking employment	3 (8.57)
	Retired	18 (51.43)
	Homemaker	4 (11.43)
	Student	1 (2.86)
Physical activity (IPAQ)	High	5 (14.71)
	Moderate	15 (44.12)
	Low	14 (41.81)