Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2008 February 19; 47(7): 1938–1946. doi:10.1021/bi702006h.

Structural Basis of Metal Specificity for Nickel Regulatory Protein NikR[†]

Christine M. Phillips^{§,||}, Eric R. Schreiter^{§,||}, Yayi Guo[§], Sheila C. Wang[⊥], Deborah B. Zamble[⊥], and Catherine L. Drennan^{§,†,*}

[§]Departments of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

[‡]Departments of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

[⊥]Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada M5S 3H6

Current Address for ERS: University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras Campus

Abstract

In the presence of excess nickel, *Escherichia coli* NikR regulates cellular nickel uptake by suppressing the transcription of the *nik* operon, which encodes for the nickel uptake transporter, NikABCDE. Previously published *in vitro* studies have shown that NikR is capable of binding a range of divalent transition metal ions in addition to Ni²⁺, including Co²⁺, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, and Cd²⁺. To understand how the high-affinity nickel binding site of NikR is able to accommodate these other metal ions, and to better understand NikR's mechanism of binding to DNA, we have determined structures of the metal-binding domain (MBD) of NikR in the apo form and in complex with Cu²⁺ and Zn²⁺ ions and compare them with the previously published structures with Ni²⁺. We observe that Cu²⁺ ions bind in a very similar manner to Ni²⁺, with a square planar geometry but with longer bond lengths. Crystals grown in the presence of Zn²⁺ reveal a protein structure similar to that of apo MBD with a disordered α 3 helix, but with two electron density peaks near the Ni²⁺ binding site corresponding to two Zn²⁺ ions. These structural findings along with biochemical data on NikR support a hypothesis that ordering of the α 3 helix is important for repressor activation.

TOC image

[†]This work was sponsored by the National Institutes of Health Grant GM69857 (C.L.D.), CEHS Grant P30-ES002109, the Gray Fund for Undergraduate Research (Y.G.), the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council Postgraduate Scholarship (S.C.W.), Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada (D.B.Z.) and the Canada Research Chairs Program (D.B.Z.).

Corresponding author: Prof. Catherine L. Drennan, Department of Chemistry, 16-573, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Ave, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA, Phone: 617-253-5622, Fax: 617-258-7847, cdrennan@mit.edu.

^{||}These authors contributed equally to this work.

PDB IDs

Zn-MBD: 3BKF; Cu-MBD: 3BKT; apo-MBD: 3BKU

A number of microorganisms use nickel as an essential cofactor, including the extensively studied *Escherichia coli* and *Helicobacter pylori*. In *E. coli*, nickel is necessary under anaerobic conditions for proper functioning of hydrogenase (1). *H. pylori*, which colonize the acidic environment of the stomach, require the nickel-containing enzymes urease and hydrogenase to maintain a neutral pH within the cell and as an energy source, respectively (2, 3). An excess of nickel ions in either organism can lead to cell death (3, 4). Both organisms use the transcription factor NikR to inhibit the transcription of the nickel-uptake machine in the presence of surplus nickel (5, 6). In *E. coli*, this metal uptake machinery is an ABC-transporter (NikABCDE) (7), while in *H. pylori*, the monomeric metal-uptake protein, NixA is the key player (6). Although the apo form of NikR has no detectable affinity for DNA (8, 9), stoichiometric amounts of nickel induce a binding affinity of 5×10^{-9} M to the *nik* operon in *E. coli* (10) and 5×10^{-8} M to the *nixA* operon in *H. pylori* (11). In addition to controlling the transcription of NixA (6), NikR regulates a number of genes in *H. pylori*, including the urease accessory protein UreA (12) and outer membrane proteins FepA3 (13), FrpB4 (2, 13), and ExbB (14).

Despite the variety of metals in the cell, most metalloproteins bind a given metal with great specificity (15). The origin of this specificity remains an active area of research in the bioinorganic field. For NikR, *in vitro* spectroscopic studies and metal binding experiments seem to contradict this basic principle, as they indicate that NikR binds a number of transition metals including Ni^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , and Cu^{2+} with significant affinity (16). While nickel is best able to stabilize NikR (16-18), a number of different transition metal ions induce substantial DNA binding affinity to NikR (10). To investigate how different metals bind NikR and to explore the issue of specificity we have determined the structures of the *E. coli* NikR metal-binding domain (MBD) with Zn^{2+} and Cu^{2+} bound and with no metals bound.

Structures of NikR from *E. coli* (19, 20), *H. pylori* (21), and *Pyrococcus horikoshii* (22) have provided insight into the tertiary structure of the protein and the changes that occur upon nickel and DNA binding. NikR is a homotetrameric protein arranged with a central tetrameric MBD and two flanking dimeric DNA-binding ribbon-helix-helix (RHH) domains (Figure 1). A comparison between *E. coli* apo-NikR (PDB 1Q5V) and Ni^{2+} -bound MBD and NikR structures (PDBs 1Q5Y and 2HZA) indicates that the $\alpha 3$ helices of the MBD are flexible in the absence of nickel and become structured when nickel binds to the protein (19, 20). An array of structures of Ni^{2+} -NikR from each of the organisms listed above (20-22) compared to the one structure of NikR bound to DNA (20) illustrate that the RHH domains are not pre-arranged into the correct DNA-binding configuration upon nickel binding (Figure 1). Only in the presence of DNA are both RHH domains oriented “down” in a productive DNA binding configuration. In this “down” DNA-binding configuration in *E. coli*, a second metal-binding site, occupied by K^{+} in the crystal structure, is formed at the

interface of the RHH and MBD (20). Binding of a cation such as K^+ to this site may serve to help lock NikR onto its DNA operator (20).

We have previously proposed that the MBD $\alpha 3$ helices play an important role in the metal-dependent response of NikR for DNA (19, 20). Here we test this hypothesis by examining whether metals yielding high NikR-DNA affinity indeed stabilize the $\alpha 3$ helix. The structures we describe here, in combination with previously published biochemical studies, lead to a mechanism for NikR-DNA binding.

Experimental Procedures

Structure determination of apo-MBD NikR

MBD NikR was over-expressed and purified with nickel bound as described previously (19). Nickel was removed from the sample by incubation with 10 mM EDTA (representing a 10-fold molar excess over MBD) at 4°C for 48 hours. The sample changed color from light yellow to colorless. To remove Ni-EDTA and excess EDTA, the sample was passed twice through Micro Bio-Spin P-6 columns (Bio-Rad).

Apo-MBD was crystallized using the hanging drop technique in a drop containing 1.5 μ l of 10 mg/ml apo-MBD in buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl) and 1.5 μ l of precipitant solution (0.2 M sodium tartrate, 20% w/v PEG 3350) at room temperature. Colorless, plate-shaped crystals were cryoprotected in precipitant solution containing 30% ethylene glycol.

Data were collected on beamline X12-B at the National Synchrotron Light Source, Brookhaven National Laboratory at 100 K with an oscillation step size of 0.5° per frame, data collection statistics in Table 1. Reliable reflections were collected to 2.1 Å and reduced using DENZO/SCALEPACK (23).

The structure of apo-MBD was solved by molecular replacement in the program EPMR (24) using the protein component of the Ni^{2+} -MBD structure as a model (PDB ID: 1Q5Y) (19). The structure was refined in CNS (25) with model rebuilding and adjustments made in Xfit (26) or Coot (27) to a final $R_{work} = 28.8\%$ and $R_{free} = 33.5\%$, with refinement statistics in Table 1. Residues 72-78 of chain A and 64-79 in chain D were not observed in electron density maps and are therefore not present in the final model.

Structure determination of Cu^{2+} -MBD NikR

Apo-MBD NikR was obtained as described above. Cu^{2+} was added by slow addition with vortex mixing of a 50 mM $CuCl_2$ stock solution until a stoichiometry of 1.2:1 $CuCl_2$:MBD was reached. Upon addition of $CuCl_2$, the sample became a dark yellow-orange color. Cu^{2+} -MBD was crystallized in the same form and under the same conditions as Ni^{2+} -MBD, the structure of which is published (PDB ID: 1Q5Y) (19). Briefly, 1.5 μ L of 10 mg/mL Cu^{2+} -MBD in 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0 was mixed with 1.5 μ L of 0.2 M disodium tartrate dehydrate, 20% w/v PEG 3350 in a hanging-drop vapor diffusion experiment at room temperature. Deep yellow-orange crystals appeared within 2-4 days.

Crystals were cryoprotected by briefly soaking in a precipitant solution with 20% ethylene glycol. A dataset was collected on beamline 8-BM of the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Lab, at 100 K to 1.5 Å resolution (Table 1). Data were reduced using DENZO/SCALEPACK (23).

Crystals of Ni²⁺-MBD and Cu²⁺-MBD were isomorphous, so the protein component of the Ni²⁺- MBD structure (1Q5Y) was used directly as a starting model for refinement. Refinement was carried out in Refmac 5 from the CCP4 program suite (28). Rigid body refinement of the MBD tetramer and individual subunits was followed by rounds of positional and B-factor refinement and manual refitting of the model in Xfit (26). After refinement of anisotropic thermal displacement parameters and addition of hydrogens in their riding positions, R_{work} = 19.5% and R_{free} = 22.7% (Table 1). The final model contains residues 50-132 of NikR. One molecule of the tetramer is missing residues 64-66, which were not clearly observed in the electron density maps.

Structure determination of Zn²⁺-MBD NikR

Apo MBD was produced as described above and Zn²⁺ ions were added to the protein from a stock of 20 mM Zn-NTA complex to avoid MBD precipitation. The protein was allowed to equilibrate overnight at 4 degrees and then was desalted by passing through a Micro Bio-Spin P-6 column (Bio-Rad) to remove NTA. The final Zn:MBD ratio was 1:1 as confirmed spectrophotometrically with 4-(2-pyridylazo)resorcinol (PAR) (16). Crystals were obtained by mixing 1.5 µL Zn²⁺-MBD solution (5 mg/mL MBD in 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0) with 1.5 µL of precipitant (0.1 M Bis-Tris pH 5.5-6.5, 0.2 M (NH₄)₂SO₄, and 25% w/v PEG 3350) in a hanging drop vapor diffusion experiment at room temperature. Colorless hexagonal crystals with dimensions 140×80×80 µm grew in 2-5 days. Three brief, consecutive soaks in solutions of increasing ethylene glycol concentration (5%–12%–20%) were performed to prevent the crystals from cracking during cryoprotection.

A dataset was collected on the Zn²⁺MBD crystals at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL) in Palo Alto, CA, on beam line 9-2 at 100 K at both the zinc inflection wavelength (1.2829 Å) and a remote wavelength (1.00 Å). Crystals diffracted to 1.9 Å and data were integrated in MOSFLM (29) and scaled in Scala (30).

The protein crystallized as a tetramer as in all other NikR structures, but with one molecule of MBD per asymmetric unit (asu) instead of the typical four. The whole protein component of one MBD monomer from the Ni²⁺-MBD structure (1Q5Y) was used as a search model for molecular replacement using PHASER (31). A good solution was found in P6₂22 (Z-score of 24) and was refined in CNS (25) against the inflection wavelength (1.2829 Å) dataset by rigid body refinement followed by simulated annealing. The α3 helix of the MBD is mostly disordered, as in the apo-MBD and apo-NikR (1Q5V) (19) structures. This helix was removed from the model and alternating cycles of positional and B-factor refinement with manual refitting in Coot (27) were carried out. The final model refined to an R_{work} of 24.9% and R_{free} of 27.3%, and includes one subunit of the MBD NikR (residues 50-61 and 80-130), 22 water molecules, and two zinc ions (Table 1).

All structures were validated using composite omit maps generated in CNS (25) and examined in Xfit (26) or Coot (27).

Criteria for including $\alpha 3$ in model

NikR crystal structures sometimes show little or no electron density in the region of helix $\alpha 3$. In some cases, we have left some or all residues in the $\alpha 3$ helix out of the final coordinates due to a lack of confidence about the positioning of these atoms. Our criteria for deeming $\alpha 3$ too disordered to model are as follows: (1) B-factors are 25 Å² or more higher for $\alpha 3$ residues than for the rest of the structure; (2) 50% or more of the C $_{\alpha}$ atoms are not in 2F_o-F_c density following a few rounds of refinement; (3) more negative F_o-F_c density is around this portion of the structure than is typical; (4) composite omit map density is missing for more than 50% of the helical atoms.

Circular dichroism of Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, and Apo-MBD

The MBD of NikR was expressed and purified on a Ni²⁺-NTA column (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), followed by incubation with EDTA and anion exchange chromatography, as previously described (8, 16). An assay with Ellman's reagent and β -mercaptoethanol as a standard was used to confirm that the MBD was fully reduced, and an HPLC assay was used to confirm that the protein was apo (32). Circular dichroism spectra were collected on a Jasco model J-710 spectropolarimeter in a cylindrical cell of 0.1 cm optical path length over a wavelength range from 260 to 195 nm at room temperature, as previously described (16). Each CD spectrum was the average of five accumulations at a scanning speed of 50 nm/min, a 1.0 nm spectral band width, a data pitch of 0.1 nm, and a 4 s response time. The experiments were performed by using protein stocks dialyzed against 10 mM HEPES, 100 mM KCl, pH 7.6, at concentrations ranging from 5 to 40 μ M. For experiments performed in the presence of metal, the protein was first incubated overnight with stoichiometric amounts of metal sulfate at room temperature. The concentrations of the holo-protein stocks were verified by diluting the protein in 6 M GuHCl and EDTA and measuring the absorbance at 280 nm, using the calculated extinction coefficient of 2680 M⁻¹cm⁻¹ (33). Following data collection, the absorbance at 220 nm was analyzed to determine the percent helicity of each structure using Equation 1.

Equation 1: % alpha-helix = $[(-\theta_{222\text{nm}} + 3000)/39000] \times 100$; where $\theta_{222\text{nm}}$ is the mean residue ellipticity at 222nm (34-36).

RESULTS

Full Length versus MBD NikR Structures

The isolated MBD of NikR is a simple model system that can be used to study the structural consequences of transition metal ion binding to the protein. The MBD of NikR (residues 48-133) contains the residues involved in high-affinity metal binding, but lacks the N-terminal ribbon-helix-helix (RHH) DNA binding domains. This domain retains all key metal-binding features of the full-length NikR while crystallizing much more readily. The isolated MBD is tetrameric and has identical topology to the MBD portion of the full-length NikR protein (Figure 1). The RMSD for structural alignment of Ni²⁺-MBD (PDB 1Q5Y)

(19) and full-length Ni²⁺-NikR (PDB 2HZA) (20) is 0.89 Å for 321 C_α atoms and 1.13 Å for all 2387 common atoms. Similarly, the apo-MBD aligns with the previously described apo-NikR (PDB 1Q5V) (19) with an RMSD of 0.67 Å for 261 C_α atoms and 0.96 Å for all 1910 common atoms. All structural alignments and RMSD calculations were done in LSQMAN (37).

Metal-Binding Sites

The previous crystal structure of Ni²⁺-MBD (PDB 1Q5Y) (19) shows that nickel binds in a square planar geometry at the interface between two subunits of the NikR tetramer, and is coordinated by H87, H89, and C95 of one monomer and H76 of a neighboring monomer (Figure 2a). The Cu²⁺-MBD structure described here illustrates that copper binds with the same square planar geometry and ligands as nickel (Figure 2b), with slightly longer metal-ligand distances than in the Ni²⁺-MBD structure (Table 2). The two distances that lengthen the most are from residues directly opposite each other (H89 and C95), creating a slightly asymmetric square planar site.

The protein used for crystallization contained one Zn²⁺ ion per MBD monomer, yet two zinc binding sites were detected in each monomer through dispersive difference electron density maps (Figure 2c). After refinement of the protein structure, the occupancies of the zinc sites were refined in CNS (25) while restraining the B-factors of the zinc ions to values similar to the surrounding ligands. This refinement provided occupancies for the two zinc sites of 25% and 57%. It should be noted that the refinement of occupancies in this manner is not quantitative as we had to estimate the B-factors of the metals ions based on those of surrounding residues, and were not able to refine B-factors with occupancies simultaneously at this resolution. While the relative occupancy values are meaningful, the total occupancy is less so.

Zinc binding sites are located in the same region of the MBD as the copper and nickel sites, and use three of the same ligands (Figure 2c). Approximately 25% of the monomers in the crystal have Zn in zinc site Zn1, located near H89 (Supplemental Figure 2a). Closer to 57% of the monomers in the crystal have Zn coordinated by residues C95, E97, and H87 in zinc site Zn2 (Supplemental Figure 2b). Both Zn1 and Zn2 are poor zinc binding sites with only 1 or 3 ligands in our structure ordered for coordination, respectively. Zinc ions prefer a tetrahedral environment (38) and neither site has 4 ligands or angles befitting a tetrahedral geometry (Table 2). Water molecules (or another small ligand), which should be visible at 1.9 Å resolution, are not indicated by the presence of positive F_o-F_c density near either zinc ion. In addition, H76 from α3, which ligates both nickel and copper from a neighboring MBD monomer, is disordered and cannot be modeled in the zinc structure. Even the residues that can be modeled at these sites are not well ordered as indicated by the presence of both positive and negative F_o-F_c difference electron density around these residues (Figure 2d). Since the electron density observed is an average of all molecules in the crystal, it is likely that residues H87, H89, and C95 adopt different conformations in molecules that have Zn in site Zn1 than in molecules that have Zn in site Zn2, as indicated by the positive and negative difference electron density shown in Figure 2d. The dominant conformation of the residues is the one that provides better coordination of Zn2 as shown in Figure 2c and Supplemental

Figure 2b. The minor conformation in the crystal, where zinc is bound at Zn1, is shown in Supplemental Figure 2a.

The nickel (19) and copper structures maintain two hydrogen bonding networks that each connect two of the four metal binding sites in the tetrameric MBD. This network stretches across the MBD interface and includes two residues from the $\alpha 3$ helix, but the network is not ordered in the apoNikR (19) or apoMBD structures. Thus, this network could be involved in stabilizing both helix $\alpha 3$ and the overall structure of NikR for DNA binding. In contrast to Ni^{2+} - and Cu^{2+} -MBD structures, this hydrogen bonding network is greatly disturbed in the Zn^{2+} -MBD structure (Figure 3).

Alpha $\alpha 3$ Helix

The $\alpha 3$ helix, residues 65-78, (Figure 1b,c) has been implicated in the mechanism of NikR binding to DNA, due to the fact that nickel binding orders this helix and that there are contacts made by residues of this helix and the preceeding loop to DNA (Figure 1c) (20). Therefore, it is important to consider each metal's ability to order the $\alpha 3$ helix. The Ni^{2+} -MBD and Cu^{2+} -MBD structures have fully-ordered $\alpha 3$ helices (Figure 4a,b; Supplementary Figure S1), with B-factors of the amino acids very similar to those in the rest of the structure (Table 3). The alignment of Ni^{2+} -MBD with Cu^{2+} -MBD indicates no significant change in the overall structure, with an RMSD of only 0.47 Å for all 2469 atoms in the copper and nickel structures.

The $\alpha 3$ helix in the Zn^{2+} -MBD structure, however, is disordered and untraceable in the electron density map (Figure 4c, Supplemental Figure S1). When helix $\alpha 3$ is modeled into the Zn^{2+} -MBD structure there are large regions of the helix in negative $F_o - F_c$ difference electron density, insufficient $2F_o - F_c$ density, and higher atomic B-factors than in the rest of the protein (Table 3, Supplementary Figure S1). Therefore, residues 62-78 were omitted from the Zn^{2+} -MBD structure. The RMSD for 66 C_α atoms in the Zn^{2+} -MBD and Ni^{2+} -MBD monomers is 1.24 Å, and it is 1.98 Å for all 491 identical atoms, much higher than for Cu^{2+} -MBD compared to Ni^{2+} -MBD.

Similar to the full-length apo-NikR structure, the apo-MBD structure (Figure 4d) has poorly ordered $\alpha 3$ helices (Supplemental Figure S1). When modeled into the structure, the helices do not refine well in 2 of the 4 monomers, have insufficient $2F_o - F_c$ density, high B-factors, and are therefore either modeled as incomplete helices (monomer A) or are entirely omitted (monomer D). In no case is there sufficient density to model the sidechains of any $\alpha 3$ helix residue longer than alanine or serine. When the apo-MBD structure is aligned to the Ni^{2+} -MBD structure, the RMSD for 305 C_α atoms is 1.40 Å.

Circular dichroism was used to confirm differences in α helical character of the MBD between the apo and metal-bound forms in solution. The Ni^{2+} - and Cu^{2+} -MBD structures have comparable amounts of α helicity, while the apo- and Zn^{2+} -MBD structures have less α helicity as indicated by the ellipticity at 222 nm (Figure 4e). Using Equation 1, the Ni^{2+} - and Cu^{2+} -MBD have approximately 9% more alpha-helicity than the apo- and Zn^{2+} -MBD forms. A fully formed $\alpha 3$ helix would represent about 50% of the helicity for the MBD. Thus, a decrease of 9% helicity suggests that in solution some but not all of the $\alpha 3$ is

disordered in apo- and Zn²⁺-MBD. This result is consistent with the broken electron density and high B-factors for α 3 residues in these structures.

DISCUSSION

NikR's nickel-specific response in binding the *nik* operon suggests that the protein would be similarly specific to binding nickel over other metals. However, studies have shown that a number of metals are able to bind NikR, some with affinities similar to those of nickel (16). The measured binding affinities using competition assays for copper, nickel, and zinc to full length NikR are, 1×10^{-17} , 9×10^{-13} , and $<1 \times 10^{-12}$ M, respectively (16). These comparable affinities raise the questions of how NikR specifically responds to nickel within the cell. The data presented here allow us to discuss how a metal's ability to order the α 3 helix corresponds to its ability to increase NikR's affinity for DNA.

Metal binding to NikR

EXAFS and XANES studies by the Maroney laboratory have indicated that Ni²⁺ and Cu²⁺ ions bind to NikR in square-planar environments (18). The known Ni²⁺-MBD and full-length Ni²⁺-NikR structures are consistent with this geometry, and now we show that Cu²⁺ also binds in the same site as Ni²⁺ with the same ligands and a nearly identical coordination environment (Figure 2). The Cu²⁺-MBD has slightly longer metal-ligand bond distances than the distances in the Ni²⁺-MBD structure (Table 2), also consistent with the trend observed through the EXAFS studies where the metal-N_{imd} distances were measured as 1.905 Å and 1.928 Å and metal-S distances as 2.130 Å and 2.210 Å for nickel and copper, respectively (18). The absolute values measured from the crystallographic data tend to be longer (Ni-S 2.22 Å; Cu-S 2.43 Å, Ni-N_{imd} 1.93 Å, Cu-N_{imd} 2.16 Å).

The Zn²⁺ sites observed in our structure are partially occupied and the ligands to the metals are highly flexible. The zinc XANES data have been modeled in terms of a single Zn²⁺ ion bound to NikR with four coordinating ligands, interpreted as a tetrahedral coordination environment (18), which is common for divalent zinc ions. We do not observe a tetrahedral site nor can we imagine a ligand rearrangement that would allow for a tetrahedral geometry (see angles in Table 2 and Figure 2c). Reported distances from EXAFS are 2.229 Å for the Zn-S bond and 1.990 Å for the Zn-N_{imd} bonds (18). Crystallographic metal-ligand distances are similar but again somewhat longer (we find 2.32 Å for Zn-S and 2.18 Å for Zn-N/O on average). We also observe four unique ligands to the zinc ions, three of which are N/O donors and one that is a S-donor, again consistent with the EXAFS data (18). Re-evaluation of the Zn²⁺ EXAFS data using our model would determine if the zinc data can be fit as well to a partially occupied dinuclear zinc model as it can to a single tetrahedral zinc site.

Ordering of the α 3 helix is metal-dependent

The initial structures of *E. coli* NikR provided insight into the structural changes nickel induces upon binding to the apo protein (19, 20). In apo-NikR, three of the four α 3 helices are disordered and not traceable in the electron density maps (residues 62-77). The Ni²⁺-bound MBD and full-length NikR structures have complete electron density for all α 3 helices with B-factors similar to the rest of the protein (Table 3). Divalent nickel and copper

bind tightly to NikR and both are able to order the $\alpha 3$ helix, while divalent zinc binds less tightly and is incapable of ordering the $\alpha 3$ helix (Figure 4, Supplementary Figure S1). Circular dichroism experiments (Figure 4e) also indicate that the Zn^{2+} - and apo-MBD complexes contain less α -helicity than the Cu^{2+} - and Ni^{2+} -MBD complexes. In addition, examination of the RMSDs between different structures indicate that apo and Zn^{2+} -MBD are similar to each other, while the Ni^{2+} - and Cu^{2+} -MBD structures are structurally homologous (Table 4).

Our results are consistent with previous experiments investigating the stability of the protein in the presence of different metals. In proteolysis experiments, nickel, and copper to a lesser extent, are able to stabilize residues 66-133, which includes the $\alpha 3$ helix, protecting them from proteolysis, while zinc offers no (or little) protection (17). Chemical and thermal stability assays indicate that nickel-bound NikR requires a larger amount of guanidine HCl or a higher temperature to be denatured in comparison to any other metal-bound NikR, though copper did stabilize the protein more than zinc (16). Hydrogen-deuterium exchange experiments indicate that when nickel or copper are bound to the protein the exchange rate is much slower than when zinc or no metal is bound, which the authors relate to the ordering of the $\alpha 3$ helix (18).

An obvious difference between the Zn^{2+} /apo and the Cu^{2+} / Ni^{2+} structures is the disorder of H76, a residue in $\alpha 3$, in the former and the involvement of this residue in metal ligation in the latter. In Cu^{2+} - and Ni^{2+} -bound MBD, H76 bridges the tetrameric interface by becoming the fourth ligand of the square planar metal site. It is our proposal that nickel and copper's ability to ligate this residue and in turn "tie down" the $\alpha 3$ helix and its preceding loop is related to the ability of Ni^{2+} - and Cu^{2+} -bound NikR's ability to bind DNA. The hydrogen bonding network across the tetrameric interface in the MBD formed only in presence of Ni^{2+} and Cu^{2+} may also be responsible for inducing some ordering of the $\alpha 3$ helix (Figure 4). The disruption of this hydrogen bonding network in the apo or Zn^{2+} -bound NikR forms could play a role in determining the overall flexibility of the $\alpha 3$ helix observed crystallographically and by circular dichroism.

Ordering of $\alpha 3$ helix is linked to DNA binding

Ordering of the $\alpha 3$ helix and loop preceding it (loop 64-65) has previously been implicated in the mechanism of NikR binding to DNA (19, 20). The structure of Ni^{2+} -NikR-DNA illustrates an interaction between the MBD and backbone phosphates on the DNA (marked with * in Figure 1c). Some of the residues of the MBD that make these contacts are in loop 64-65, which, along with the $\alpha 3$ helix, is disordered in the absence of Ni^{2+} . The structures of apo-, Zn^{2+} -, and Cu^{2+} -MBD in combination with previously solved structures and DNA-binding data provide further evidence that the ordering of the $\alpha 3$ helix is an important step in NikR's recognition of DNA.

In vitro DNA foot printing and mobility shift assays indicate that although Cu^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Co^{2+} , and Cd^{2+} are capable of inducing some DNA binding, Cu^{2+} and Ni^{2+} are the most efficient (10). Cu^{2+} - and Ni^{2+} -bound NikR have nanomolar affinity to the *nik* operon, while Zn^{2+} -NikR has a binding affinity two orders of magnitude less for the operon (10). *In vivo*, LacZ reporter assays indicate that only nickel and not Mn, Fe, Co, Cu, or Zn is capable of

repressing transcription of the *nik* operon (18). While the inability of copper to repress transcription *in vivo* is seemingly inconsistent with the DNA-binding (10) and structural data, these latter results are for Cu^{2+} , not Cu^+ , which is the form of copper that would be most abundant in the cell (39, 40). While specificity of a nickel-regulatory protein for nickel makes logical sense, if there is no free Cu^{2+} in the cell there would be no evolutionary pressure for NikR to have evolved such that Cu^{2+} wouldn't activate it (39, 40).

Mechanism of NikR binding to DNA

The data presented here along with previous studies suggest that there are at least three factors that are likely important in forming a stable complex between NikR and the *nik* operator DNA with stoichiometric nickel present: (1) hydrogen bonding and electrostatic interactions formed between MBD and DNA upon $\alpha 3$ ordering; (2) the proper orientation of the RHH domains “down” such that residues of this domain can make specific contacts to DNA; and (3) the binding of K^+ , or a similar cellular abundant cation, to a site between the RHH domains and MBD, stabilizing the RHH domain in a “down”, cis DNA-binding orientation. It should be noted that one turn of helix $\alpha 2$ of the RHH domain must unwind for the “down” RHH conformation to be obtained (20, 22). It has been reported that with excess nickel NikR binds DNA with greater affinity (8, 10). Whether this greater affinity is due to a specific low affinity nickel site in NikR or to a general electrostatic effect is unknown. It is also not known if this ‘low affinity’ nickel effect is physiologically relevant. For simplicity, here we will only consider the mechanistic situation for NikR with stoichiometric nickel and with a second metal cation like K^+ .

Figure 5 shows many possible DNA binding pathways, but the process most likely to occur in the cell on a regular basis consists of steps **I** to **V**. Ni^{2+} binds to the high-affinity site of NikR, stabilizing the $\alpha 3$ helix and its preceding loop (**I** $\rightarrow$ **II**). The NikR molecule makes initial contacts to DNA, stabilized via hydrogen bonds and electrostatic interactions between residues in the loop of the MBD and the phosphate backbone (**II** $\rightarrow$ **III**). The conformationally flexible DNA-binding RHH domains are then capable of finding their binding sites in the major grooves of the DNA, enforcing contacts between the NikR molecule and DNA (**III** $\rightarrow$ **IV**). Finally, an abundant intracellular metal ion such as potassium binds at the interface of the MBD and RHH domains, serving to stabilize this NikR configuration (**IV** $\rightarrow$ **V**).

While there is no experimental evidence to indicate when a cation like K^+ might bind to the RHH-MBD interface, the affinity of NikR for a cation like K^+ should be greater in the NikR-DNA complex where the RHH are stably positioned near the MBD (Figure 5, **IV**). In the absence of DNA, RHH domains are highly mobile and K^+ binding sites only exist transiently when the RHH domains sample cis (**I'**) or trans (**I''**) conformations. Thus, while K^+ may bind weakly to multiple forms of NikR (**I'**, **I''**, **II'** in Figure 5), high occupancy of K^+ is likely only in the NikR-DNA state (**V**). Again, it is not known if the RHH domain or MBD binds DNA first, but we find it easiest to think about the MBD making the first contacts. The interactions between residues in the MBD with backbone phosphates in the DNA are not specific but could initially localize NikR to DNA while the protein searches for the ideal binding site. With NikR localized on DNA, the floppy RHH domains will

occasionally sample a “down” conformation and when they do, they will make specific contacts to DNA when the correct operator sequence is available. The fact that apo-NikR does not bind DNA with measurable affinity suggests that if the RHH domains bind first, they would have weak affinity until a metal-ordered MBD is also stably bound to DNA.

Conclusions

To control nickel concentrations in the cell, Nature developed a transcription factor both flexible enough to bind two half sites separated by two turns of DNA and conformationally regulated by the binding of nickel. Interestingly, the only conformational change observed upon Ni^{2+} binding is the ordering of $\alpha 3$ and its preceding loop (20-22), the RHH domains remain flexible (20-22). To prevent untimely repression of the *nik* operon, it is important that NikR be highly responsive toward nickel ions, but not be activated by other intracellular transition metals. Divalent copper is the only metal known to induce DNA-binding affinities *in vitro* equivalent to that of nickel. Current research suggests that any free copper in the cell is reduced to Cu^+ (39, 40), which would negate any evolutionary pressure for NikR to be able to distinguish between Ni^{2+} and Cu^{2+} . Unlike Cu^{2+} , there is a significant amount of Zn^{2+} within the cell, although the amount of free zinc may be small. While Zn^{2+} can bind NikR with some affinity, it does not induce the ordering of the $\alpha 3$ helix, and Zn^{2+} -NikR's affinity to DNA is decreased compared to Ni^{2+} -NikR. Here we illustrate a correlation between the ability of a metal to order the $\alpha 3$ helix and that metal's ability to induce DNA binding, and use this information along with previous results to describe a mechanism for NikR binding to DNA.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Portions of this research were carried out at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL), a national user facility operated by Stanford University on behalf of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. The SSRL Structural Molecular Biology Program is supported by the Department of Energy, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, and by the National Institutes of Health, National Center for Research Resources, Biomedical Technology Program, and the National Institute of General Medical Sciences. Use of the Advanced Photon Source (Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357) and the National Synchrotron Light Source at Brookhaven National Laboratory (Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886) was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, and Office of Basic Energy Sciences.

Abbreviations

EXAFS	extended X-ray absorption fine structure
HEPES	4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid
GuHCl	guanidine hydrochloride
MBD	the metal-binding domain of NikR, residues 49-133
NTA	nitrilotriacetic acid
PAR	4-(2-pyridylazo)resorcinol

RHH	the ribbon-helix-helix domain of NikR, residues 1-48
RMSD	root-mean-squared deviation
Tris	tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane
XANES	X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy

References

1. Unden G, Bongaerts J. Alternative respiratory pathways of *Escherichia coli*: energetics and transcriptional regulation in response to electron acceptors. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1997; 1320:217–234. [PubMed: 9230919]
2. Davis GS, Flannery EL, Mobley HLT. *Helicobacter pylori* HP1512 is a nickel-responsive NikR-regulated outer membrane protein. *Infect Immun*. 2006; 74:6811–6820. [PubMed: 17030579]
3. Mulrooney SB, Hausinger RP. Nickel uptake and utilization by microorganisms. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*. 2003; 27:239–261. [PubMed: 12829270]
4. Wu LF, Navarro C, de Pina K, Quenard M, Mandrand MA. Antagonistic Effect of Nickel on the Fermentative Growth of *Escherichia coli* K-12 and Comparison of Nickel and Cobalt Toxicity on the Aerobic and Anaerobic Growth. *Environ Health*. 1994; 102:297–300.
5. de Pina K, Desjardin V, Mandrand-Berthelot MA, Giordano G, Wu LF. Isolation and Characterization of the *nikR* Gene Encoding a Nickel-Responsive Regulator in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol*. 1999; 181:670–674. [PubMed: 9882686]
6. Wolfram L, Haas E, Bauerfeind P. Nickel Represses the Synthesis of the Nickel Permease NixA of *Helicobacter pylori*. *J Bacteriol*. 2006; 188:1245–1250. [PubMed: 16452405]
7. Navarro C, Wu LF, Mandrand-Berthelot MA. The *nik* operon of *Escherichia coli* encodes a periplasmic binding-protein-dependent transport system for nickel. *Mol Microbiol*. 1993; 9:1181–1191. [PubMed: 7934931]
8. Chivers PT, Sauer RT. Regulation of high affinity nickel uptake in bacteria. Ni^{2+} -Dependent interaction of NikR with wild-type and mutant operator sites. *J Biol Chem*. 2000; 275:19735–19741. [PubMed: 10787413]
9. Chivers PT, Sauer RT. NikR Repressor: High-Affinity Nickel Binding to the C-Terminal Domain Regulates Binding to Operator DNA. *Chem Biol*. 2002; 9:1141–1148. [PubMed: 12401498]
10. Bloom SL, Zamble DB. Metal-Selective DNA-Binding Response of *Escherichia coli* NikR. *Biochemistry*. 2004; 43:10029–10038. [PubMed: 15287730]
11. Benanti EL, Chivers PT. The N-terminal Arm of the *Helicobacter pylori* Ni^{2+} -dependent Transcription Factor NikR Is Required for Specific DNA Binding. *J Biol Chem*. 2007; 282:20365–20375. [PubMed: 17522054]
12. Ernst FD, Kuipers EJ, Heijens A, Sarwari R, Stoof J, Penn CW, Kusters JG, van Vliet AHM. The Nickel-Responsive Regulator NikR controls Activation and Repression of Gene Transcription in *Helicobacter pylori*. *Infect Immun*. 2005; 73:7252–7258. [PubMed: 16239520]
13. Ernst FD, Stoof J, Horrevoets WM, Kuipers EJ, Kusters JG, van Vliet AHM. NikR Mediates Nickel-Responsive Transcriptional Repression of the *Helicobacter pylori* Outer Membrane Proteins FecA3 (HP1400) and FrpB4 (HP1512). *Infect Immun*. 2006; 74:6821–6828. [PubMed: 17015456]
14. Delany I, Ieva R, Soragni A, Hilleringmann M, Rappuoli R, Scarlato V. In Vitro Analysis of Protein-Operator Interactions of the NikR and Fur Metal-Responsive Regulators of Coregulated Genes in *Helicobacter pylori*. *J Bacteriol*. 2005; 187:7703–7715. [PubMed: 16267295]
15. Pennella MA, Shokes JE, Cosper NJ, Scott RA, Giedroc DP. Structural elements of metal selectivity in metal sensor proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2003; 100:3713–3718. [PubMed: 12651949]
16. Wang SC, Dias AV, Bloom SL, Zamble DB. Selectivity of Metal Binding and Metal-Induced Stability of *Escherichia coli* NikR. *Biochemistry*. 2004; 43:10018–10028. [PubMed: 15287729]

17. Dias AV, Zamble DB. Protease digestion analysis of *Escherichia coli* NikR: evidence for conformational stabilization with Ni(II). *J Bio Inorg Chem*. 2005; 10:605–612. [PubMed: 16133200]
18. Leitch S, Bradley MJ, Rowe JL, Chivers PT, Maroney MJ. Nickel- Specific Response in the Transcriptional Regulator, *Escherichia coli* NikR. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2007; 129:5085–5095. [PubMed: 17397155]
19. Schreiter ER, Sintchak MD, Guo Y, Chivers PT, Sauer RT, Drennan CL. Crystal structure of nickel-responsive transcription factor NikR. *Nat Struct Bio*. 2003; 10:794–799. [PubMed: 12970756]
20. Schreiter ER, Wang SC, Zamble DB, Drennan CL. NikR-operator complex structure and the mechanism of repressor activation by metal ions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006; 103:13676–13681. [PubMed: 16945905]
21. Dian C, Schauer K, Kapp U, McSweeney SM, Labigne A, Terradot L. Structural Basis of the Nickel Response in *Helicobacter pylori*: Crystal Structures of HpNikR in Apo and Nickel-bound States. *J Mol Bio*. 2006; 361:715–730. [PubMed: 16872629]
22. Chivers PT, Tahirov TH. Structure of *Pyrococcus horikoshii* NikR: Nickel Sensing and Implications for the Regulation of DNA Recognition. *J Mol Bio*. 2005; 348:597–607. [PubMed: 15826657]
23. Otwinowski Z, Minor W. Processing of X-ray Diffraction Data Collected in Oscillation Mode. *Methods Enzymol*. 276 Macromolecular Crystallography, part A. 1997:307–326.
24. Kissinger CR, Gehlhaar DK, Fogel DB. Rapid automated molecular replacement by evolutionary search. *Acta Crystallogr D*. 1999; 55:484–491. [PubMed: 10089360]
25. Brünger AT, Adams PD, Clore GM, DeLano WL, Gros P, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Jiang JS, Kuszewski J, Nilges M, Pannu NS, Read RJ, Rice LM, Simonson T, Warren GL. Crystallography & NMR system: A new software suite for macromolecular structure determination. *Acta Crystallogr D*. 1998; 54:905–21. [PubMed: 9757107]
26. McRee DE. XtalView/Xfit – A Versatile Program for Manipulating Atomic Coordinates and Electron Density. *J Struct Bio*. 1999; 125:156–165. [PubMed: 10222271]
27. Emsley P, Cowtan K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr D*. 2004; 60:2126–2132. [PubMed: 15572765]
28. Collaborative Computational Project, N. The CCP4 Suite: Programs for Protein Crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr D*. 1994; 50:760–763. [PubMed: 15299374]
29. Leslie, AGW. MOSFLM, 6.2.5. Cambridge, UK: 2005.
30. Evans, P. Scala, 3.2.5. Cambridge, UK: 2006.
31. Storoni LC, McCoy AJ, Read RJ. Likelihood-enhanced fast rotation functions. *Acta Crystallogr*. 2004; D60:432–438.
32. Atanassova A, Lam R, Zamble DB. A high-performance liquid chromatography method for determining transition metal content in proteins. *Anal Biochem*. 2004; 335:103–111. [PubMed: 15519577]
33. Gill SC, von Hippel PH. Calculation of protein extinction coefficients from amino acid sequence data. *Anal Biochem*. 1989; 182:319–326. [PubMed: 2610349]
34. Greenfield NJ, Fasman GD. Computed circular dichroism spectra for the evaluation of protein conformation. *Biochemistry*. 1969; 8:4108–4116. [PubMed: 5346390]
35. Morrisett JD, David JSK, Pownall HJ, Gotto AM. Interaction of an apolipoprotein (apoLP-alanine) with phosphatidylcholine. *Biochemistry*. 1973; 12:1290–1299. [PubMed: 4348832]
36. Taylor JW, Kaiser ET. Structure-function analysis of proteins through the design, synthesis, and study of peptide models. *Methods Enzymol*. 1987; 154:473–498. [PubMed: 2963202]
37. Kleywegt GJ, Jones TA. A super position. CCP4/ESF-EACBM Newsletter Protein Crystallography. 1994; 31:9–14.
38. Rulisek L, Vondrasek J. Coordination geometries of selected transition metal ions (Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺, and Hg²⁺) in metalloproteins. *J Inorg Biochem*. 1998; 71:115–127. [PubMed: 9833317]

39. Finney LA, O'Halloran TV. Transition metal speciation in the cell: insights from the chemistry of metal ion receptors. *Science*. 2003; 300:931–936. [PubMed: 12738850]
40. Rosenzweig AC. Copper delivery by metallochaperone proteins. *Acc Chem Res*. 2001; 34:119–128. [PubMed: 11263870]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 1.

Structures of *E. coli* NikR. Each monomer composing the tetramer is a different color. (a) Alignment of apo-MBD (in color, this work) and apo full-length NikR (in grey, PDB 1Q5V). (b) Alignment of Ni²⁺-bound structures of MBD (in color, PDB 1Q5Y) and full-length NikR (in grey, PDB 2HZA). (c) DNA- and Ni²⁺-bound full-length NikR (PDB 2HZV). K⁺, which was observed bound in NikR-DNA structure, are represented by black spheres. Asterisks indicate loops and $\alpha 3$ helices that make connections to the DNA.

Figure 2.

Metal binding sites with $2F_o - F_c$ electron density (blue) contoured at 1σ around metal and ligands. One monomer is in magenta and the other in green. (a) Ni^{2+} -MBD, (b) Cu^{2+} -MBD, (c) Zn^{2+} -MBD. The dispersive difference map (orange) was calculated by subtracting data collected at $1.2829 \text{ \AA}$ (zinc inflection wavelength) from $1.00 \text{ \AA}$ (a remote wavelength) and indicates the presence of zinc, contoured at -8.0σ . (d) Zn^{2+} -MBD with $F_o - F_c$ difference density maps around the zinc binding sites with positive difference electron density in green contoured at $+3\sigma$ and negative difference electron density in red contoured at -3σ .

Figure 3.

Hydrogen bonding network connecting two nickel binding sites. Zn^{2+} -MBD colored in cyan and blue aligned to the Ni^{2+} -MBD structure with carbon atoms in green and magenta, oxygen in red, sulfur in yellow, and nitrogen in blue.

Figure 4. Ordering of the α_3 helix. Ribbon diagrams of the (a) Ni²⁺-MBD, (b) Cu²⁺-MBD, (c) Zn²⁺-MBD, (d) apo-MBD; with each monomer represented in a separate color. (e) Circular dichroism spectra of apo-, Ni²⁺-, Cu²⁺-, and Zn²⁺-MBD.

Figure 5. Proposed NikR regulatory mechanism. Dimeric RHH domains are represented by white triangles, each monomer of the MBD is represented in a different color, bold empty rectangles represent an ordered α 3 helix, nickel ions are represented by green circles outlined in black, and potassium ions are represented in large black spheres.

Table 1Data collection and refinement statistics.^a

Crystal	Apo-MBD	Cu ²⁺ -MBD	Zn ²⁺ -MBD	
			Inflection	Remote
Space Group	C2	P2 ₁ 2 ₁ 2 ₁	P6 ₂ 22	P6 ₂ 22
Cell Dimensions				
a (Å)	67.35	45.95	46.22	46.27
b (Å)	59.67	78.44	—	—
c (Å)	75.14	81.46	124.90	125.05
β (°)	94.01	—	—	—
Wavelength (Å)	1.100	0.9791	1.2829	1.0
Resolution Range (Å)	50-2.1 (2.18-2.10)	50-1.5 (1.55-1.5)	40-1.9 (2.0-1.9)	28.9-1.9 (1.9-2.0)
Unique Reflections	17408 (1685)	46684 (4006)	6755 (957)	6765 (965)
Average Redundancy	7.3 (2.6)	8.1 (6.1)	9.8 (6.0)	11.0 (11.4)
Completeness (%)	99.5 (96.7)	97.9 (85.2)	99.5 (99.6)	99.6 (100)
<i>I</i> /σ(<i>I</i>)	17.8 (1.7)	16.9 (5.3)	28.1 (3.4)	30.6 (5.7)
<i>R</i> _{sym} (%) ^b	6.9 (45.1)	5.7 (25.4)	5.2 (34.5)	5.1 (35.6)
<i>R</i> _{cryst} (<i>R</i> _{free}) (%) ^c	28.8 (33.5)	19.5 (22.7)	24.9 (27.3)	
Number of protein atoms	2262	2618	509	
Number of metal ions	0	4	2	
Number of water molecules	15	171	22	
R.m.s. deviations				
Bond lengths (Å)	0.008	0.011	0.005	
Bond angles (°)	1.53	1.35	1.1	
Ramachandran (%)				
Most favored	81.3	92.2	92.9	
Additionally Allowed	18.7	7.8	5.4	
Generously Allowed	0.0	0.0	1.8	
Disallowed	0.0	0.0	0.0	

^aThe number in parentheses is for the highest resolution shell.^b $R_{\text{sym}} = \sum_i |I(hkl) - \langle I(hkl) \rangle| / \sum_i I(hkl)$, where $I(hkl)$ is the i^{th} measured diffraction intensity and $\langle I(hkl) \rangle$ is the mean of the intensity for the miller index (hkl).^c $R_{\text{work}} = \sum_{hkl} \| F_o(hkl) - |F_c(hkl)| \| / \sum_{hkl} |F_o(hkl)|$. $R_{\text{free}} = R_{\text{cryst}}$ for a test set of reflections (5%) not included in refinement.

Table 2

Distances and angles around the metals in the metal-bound MBD structures. Distances and angles were averaged over the four monomers of the Ni²⁺ and Cu²⁺-bound structures. The numbers in parentheses refer to the last digits of the mean and represent the standard deviation of the measurement.

	Ni-MBD	Cu-MBD	Zn1	Zn2
M-H76' (Å)	1.85(7)	2.00(5)		
M-H87 (Å)	1.94(5)	2.09(6)		1.99
M-H89 (Å)	2.01(4)	2.40(2)	2.21	
M-C95 (Å)	2.22(3)	2.43(3)		2.32
M-E97 (Å)				2.34
H76'-M-C95 (°)	88(2)	94(4)		
H76'-M-H87 (°)	175(2)	164(7)		
H76'-M-H89 (°)	88(2)	76(16)		
C95-M-H87 (°)	93(1)	99(3)		131
C95-M-H89 (°)	175(2)	170(3)		
H87-M-H89 (°)	91(1)	84(5)		
H87-M-E97 (°)				90
C95-M-E97 (°)				120

Table 3

Average B-factors (in Å²) for different portions of the apo, Zn²⁺-, Cu²⁺-, and Ni²⁺-bound MBD structures.

	Apo-MBD	Zn ²⁺ -MBD	Cu ²⁺ -MBD	Ni ²⁺ -MBD (19)
Overall Structure	62.2	45.1	21.0	28.0
Protein	62.3	44.6	20.6	26.8
α3 Helix ^a (Res. 65–78)	87.7	97.8	25.2	32.7
Metals	–	47.6	23.9	19.1
Metal Ligands	–	42.2	18.9	22.0
Water	51.7	60.7	27.1	39.3

^aThe B-factor for the Zn structure is an average following a single round of refinement when the α3 helix was modeled into the structure.

Table 4

Root-mean-squared deviations (RMSDs) between the C $_{\alpha}$ atoms in apo and metal-bound MBD NikR structures in Å. The number of aligned atoms is in parentheses.

	Apo MBD	Zn ²⁺ MBD	Ni ²⁺ MBD	Cu ²⁺ MBD
Apo MBD	–	1.18 (65)	1.40 (305)	1.43 (305)
Zn ²⁺ MBD	1.18 (65)	–	1.24 (66)	1.20 (66)
Ni ²⁺ MBD	1.40 (305)	1.24 (66)	–	0.22 (321)
Cu ²⁺ MBD	1.43 (305)	1.20 (66)	0.22 (321)	–