Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/mjafi

Original Article

Evaluation of nasal obstruction in lowlander males in high altitude

Lt Col Ravi Roy^{a,*}, Brig N. Ramakrishnan^b, Lt Col Tanaji Wankhede^c,
Maj Kumari Nitu Roy^d

^aClassified Specialist (ENT), Command Hospital (Eastern Command), Kolkata, India

^bProfessor and Head (ENT), Command Hospital (Eastern Command), Kolkata, India

^cMedical Officer (Physiology), Section Hospital, Indian Military Academy, Dehradun 248007, India

^dMedical Officer (Physiology), Command Hospital (Eastern Command), Kolkata, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 June 2016

Accepted 7 March 2017

Available online 5 October 2017

Keywords:

High altitude

NOSE scale

Rhinomanometry

ABSTRACT

Background: Nasal symptoms are a major problem affecting the quality of life of lowlanders deployed at high altitude. Study was carried out in fresh male inductees inducted in high altitude of 11,500 ft (3500 m) above sea level to evaluate the nasal obstruction using the subjective Nasal obstruction and symptom evaluation (NOSE) score and rhinomanometry during the stay in high altitude.

Methods: A prospective study was carried out in 100 males inducted into high altitude. The subjects were evaluated using the subjective assessment tool, NOSE scale and rhinomanometry on induction and after 2 months. The data were analysed for NOSE scale in the 1st and 2nd visit by test for equality of proportions and the total nasal airway resistance (Pa) has been expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation and compared across severity of NOSE score using one way ANOVA and between 1st and 2nd visit using paired t test.

Results and conclusions: Out of the 100 subjects, 77 came for the 2nd review after 2 months. There was statistically significant worsening in the subjective feeling of nasal obstruction during the stay in high altitude without any significant change in the nasal airway resistance.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Director General, Armed Forces Medical Services.

Introduction

Ladakh is an arid mountainous region with an average altitude of approximately 11,500 ft (3500 m) above sea level. It has temperatures ranging from -30°C to 30°C , relative humidity of 25–55% and reduced partial pressure of oxygen in

atmosphere. The low oxygen pressure increases the respiratory effort and large volume of dry air has to be inspired to maintain the normal oxygen concentration in blood especially during physical stress. This hyperventilation has an impact on the upper airway especially the nose which on exposure to cold and dry air leads to symptoms of excessive dryness, crusting, nasal obstruction, epistaxis and mouth breathing.^{1–3}

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: rroy76@yahoo.co.in (R. Roy).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mjafi.2017.03.001>

0377-1237/© 2017 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Director General, Armed Forces Medical Services.

The duration of stay at high altitude also brings about microscopic changes in the nasal mucosa; according to Rostovshchikov,⁴ a stay for more than one month resulted in reversible metaplastic changes of respiratory epithelium into multilayer squamous epithelium.

Nasal obstruction is a complex nasal symptom encompassing the anatomical and psychological factors to it.⁵ The nasal airflow is a sensation of flow of air in the nose and various validated subjective scales/questionnaires have been made to measure the nasal symptom. Nasal obstruction and symptom evaluation (NOSE) Scale has been developed and validated by Stewart et al.⁶ for subjective assessment of surgical outcome for deviated nasal septum. However the questionnaire has been used in wide varieties of nasal pathologies for evaluation of nasal patency.^{7,8} For objective assessment of nasal patency, Rhinomanometer is used. Rhinomanometry is a dynamic measurement of nasal airway resistance to flow of air through the nasal cavity during respiration. Only a few studies have been done till date to evaluate nasal obstruction in lowlander inductees to high altitude. Most of these studies have been done to evaluate the acute changes in the nasal airway from low to high altitude and have shown varied findings subjectively and objectively.^{9,10} However the physiological aspect of change in nasal airway resistance during the stay in high altitude is not well understood. So a study was designed to evaluate the severity of nasal obstruction during the stay of two months at high altitude using a validated subjective scale (NOSE scale) and objective tool (active anterior rhinomanometric test) in fresh lowlander males inducted into high altitude.

Material and methods

An observational study was carried out at ENT centre between September and December 2012 at an altitude of 11,500 ft. The study population comprised of fresh male inductees inducted into high altitude by air route. Exclusion criteria consisted of individuals with history of naso-bronchial allergy, acute/chronic rhinosinusitis, atrophic rhinitis, granulomatous disease of nose or any nasal surgery in the past. Individuals with history of hypertension, diabetes and pulmonary tuberculosis, COPD and cardiac diseases were also excluded from the study. A complete ENT examination was done including an anterior rhinoscopy and nasal endoscopy to rule out deviated nasal septum, septal spur or perforation, nasal polyp/growth or signs of nasal infection. All subjects enrolled in this study were advised not to smoke or consume drugs like oral antihistaminic/decongestants and nasal steroid.

All 100 fresh lowlander male inductees free of the above diseases who initially reported in first 48 h for high altitude induction medical checkup at our centre were selected for inclusion in the study. Informed written consent was taken from all of them. First they were handed over a Performa of Nasal Obstruction and symptom evaluation (NOSE) Scale for self-assessment for their nasal patency. The questionnaire comprised of five questions each was graded in scales from 0 to 4 depending on the severity. No symptom scored 0, score of 1–5 was graded as mild, 6–10 was graded as moderate and 11–20 was graded as severe.^{6,8} After having recorded the subjective

assessment of nasal symptoms, the objective measurement of nasal airflow resistance was done using ATMOS Rhinomanometer 300 which is an EN ISO 9001 certified active anterior rhinomanometer. The subjects were explained about the procedure, anterior rhinoscopy was done before the test. Rhinomanometry was performed according to recommendations of the International Standardization Committee of Rhinomanometry (ISCR) for nasal airways. The active anterior rhinomanometry was carried out for both the nasal cavities on inspiration separately. All the inductees who were enrolled in the study were asked to review after 2 months and the tests were repeated in the same manner. The total nasal airway resistance at 150 Pa before decongestion has been evaluated for the present study as all anatomical variation in nasal cavity that will affect nasal patency had been excluded from the study.

The total nasal airway resistance was evaluated and recorded for the purpose of the study and did not use the unilateral nasal airflow resistance as it varied widely with nasal cycle.¹¹

Severity of NOSE score was expressed as Number of patients and percentage of patients and compared between 1st and 2nd visit by test for equality of proportions. Total nasal airway resistance at 150 Pa has been expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation and compared across severity of NOSE score using one way ANOVA/unpaired t-test wherever applicable and between 1st and 2nd visit using paired t test. The statistical software SPSS version 20 has been used for the analysis and an alpha level of 5% has been taken, i.e. if any ($p < 0.05$) it has been considered as significant for the study at 95% CI.

Results

The present study was done in 100 subjects who were fresh lowlander male inducted into high altitude. An analysis of the study population was undertaken and it was observed that the subjects mean age was 28.77 years with a minimum age of 20 years and maximum of 49 years out of which 77 subjects came for the second review.

All the 77 subjects responded to the NOSE scale questionnaire on induction and after 2 months of stay at high altitude. 73 (94.8%) of the 77 subjects expressed mild nasal obstruction on NOSE scale on induction to high altitude. 36 (46.8%) of 77 subjects showed worsening of symptoms on review after 2 months, which was statistically significant (Table 1). The mean of NOSE score on induction was 1.05 and on 2nd visit was 5.78 which was significant worsening of the score.

Based on the questionnaire it was observed that 79% of patients had worsening of nasal blockage in varied severity, 78% had nasal stuffiness and 65% had trouble sleeping (Fig. 1).

Table 1 – NOSE score using test for equality of proportions: significant change in NOSE score from 1st to 2nd visit.

NOSE score	1st visit	2nd visit	p value	Significance
Normal (0)	4 (5.19)	0 (0)	0.040	Significant
Mild (1–5)	73 (94.81)	44 (57.14)	<0.001	Significant
Moderate (6–10)	0 (0)	26 (33.77)	<0.001	Significant
Severe (11–20)	0 (0)	7 (9.09)	0.006	Significant

Fig. 1 – Subjects that showed worsening of symptoms in NOSE scale at 2nd visit.

On rhinomanometric evaluation on induction the mean total nasal airway resistance at 150 Pa in non-decongested nose was 0.31 ± 0.25 Pa/cm³/s and mean total airway resistance at 150 Pa after 2 months was 0.32 ± 0.21 Pa/cm/s, where the change in nasal airway resistance was not significant (*p* value 0.758) (Table 2). The two values fall within the normal range of total nasal airway at 150 Pa.

A comparison study was done between the NOSE scale and the total nasal airway resistance at 150 Pa between the two visits. The change in total nasal airway resistance from induction to second visit was not statistically significant for subjects who showed no change or worsening on NOSE scale (*p* value 0.312 using unpaired *t* test) and among the subjects whose NOSE score had worsened from 1st to 2nd visit also, there was no statistical change in the total nasal airway resistance (*p* value 0.474 using paired *t* test).

Discussion

One of the major ENT problems in fresh inductees to high altitude is associated with nasal complaints such as nasal obstruction, crusting and epistaxis which adversely affect the quality of living. This observational study was carried out to evaluate change in severity of nasal symptoms using the subjective assessment test (NOSE scale) and nasal airway resistance using active anterior rhinomanometry (AARM) during the stay at high altitude.

The study has shown that fresh inductees inducted into high altitude had mild nasal symptoms in first 48 h of induction and this worsened after a stay of two months at high altitude which was statistically significant, the severity score was relatively more for symptoms such as nasal obstruction followed by nasal stuffiness. A study by Datta et al.³ where they used the RQLQ score has also shown significant worsening in nasal symptoms in form of nasal

obstruction, crusting, discharge and stuffiness over a stay of 3 months.

Evaluation of total airway resistance (pre-decongestion) on induction and after 2 months was found to be within the normal range without any significant change during the stay at high altitude. According to Karatas et al.¹⁰ the total nasal airway resistance in climbers to high altitude in short stay of less than a week were within the normal physiological limits and this is in agreement with the present study. Further on in the present study the same subjects evaluated after 2 months of stay at high altitude had shown no change in total nasal airway resistance. There are multiple factors that affect the nasal mucosa such as cold air which is seen to increase nasal airway resistance¹² and hypercapnia induced by rarified atmosphere at high altitude causing vasoconstriction of nasal mucosa, interplay to keep the total airway resistance unaltered.¹⁰ Other factors like antihistaminics, nasal steroids and smoking habits are also known to affect nasal airway resistance and so the subjects were asked to avoid them in the present study.

In a study by Ivarsson et al.¹³ it has been found that relative humidity does not alter the nasal airway resistance but dry air exposure causes desiccation of nasal mucosa and delays in nasal muco-ciliary transit time (NMTT)^{3,14} resulting in accumulation of nasal secretion and crusts mostly in the nasal valve region which was seen in many of the subjects who had come for the 2nd review but these crusts and secretions were cleared as per the recommendations of ISCR before performing AARM. The nasal crusting and secretions could have contributed to compromised nasal airway which was missed on rhinomanometry. Secondly inhaled air and nasal mucosa contact is an important factor for the perception of nasal patency. According to the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) the maximal contact between the air and the mucosa was seen in the nasal vestibule and nasal valve area.¹⁵ The crusting and nasal secretion in these regions may reduce the contact between the two resulting in the subjective feeling of nasal obstruction. The reduced stimulation of cold receptors possibly mediated by transient receptor potential channel melastatin 8 (TRPM8) in the nasal cavity which is influenced by the various factors such as cold temperature, low relative humidity, rate of mucosal cooling and individual variation of trigeminal sensitivity¹⁵ would also contribute to subjective feeling of nasal obstruction. All these factors worsen the feeling of nasal obstruction without observable change in nasal airway resistance.

Conclusion

The harsh environmental conditions affect the quality of life of lowlanders in high altitude. The study has shown significant worsening in the subjective sensation of nasal airway patency

Table 2 – Total nasal airway resistance (before decongestion) at 150 Pa on induction and after 2 months.

	2nd visit Mean $\pm$ std. deviation	1st visit Mean $\pm$ std. deviation	<i>p</i> value	Significance
Total nasal airway resistance at 150 Pa	0.32 ± 0.21	0.31 ± 0.25	0.758	Not significant

in fresh lowlander inductees during the stay in high altitude using the NOSE scale. Nasal obstruction and stuffiness were the commonest complaints perceived by the subjects, while objectively there was no change in the nasal airway resistance. The factor such as nasal crusting could also have led to increase nasal airway resistance but was the limitation of the study as all subjects were cleared off the crusts before performing the rhinomanometry as per the ISCR guideline. In light of the above findings, further studies need to be done to evaluate the various subjective and objective factors which may alter the nasal patency in high altitude as it will go a long way in improving the quality of life and increase the human productivity in the extreme climatic condition.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have none to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Ward MP, Milledge JS, West JB. *High Altitude Medicine and Physiology*. 2nd ed. London: Chapman & Hall; 1995:221–225.
2. Assanasen P, Naclerio RM. Cold, dry air and hyperosmolar challenges in rhinitis. *Allergy Front Clin Manif*. 2009;3:157–171.
3. Datta R, Panwar SS. Impact of nasal symptoms on the quality of life of fresh inductees in high altitude. *Med J Armed Forces India*. 2008;64(July (3)):214–217.
4. Rostovshchikov AS. Pathomorphology of the mucous membrane of the human nose exposed to high altitudes. *Arkh Patol*. 1983;45(9):23–30.
5. Andre RF, Vuyk HD, Ahmed A, Graamans K, Nolst Trenite GJ. Correlation between subjective and objective evaluation of the nasal airway. A systematic review of the highest level of evidence. *Clin Otolaryngol*. 2009;34:518–525.
6. Stewart MG, Witsell DL, Smith TL, Weaver EM, Yueh B, Hannley MT. Development and validation of the Nasal Obstruction Symptom Evaluation (NOSE) scale. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2004;130(2):157–163.
7. Kahveci OK, Miman MC, Yucel A, Yucedag F, Okur E, Altuntas A. The efficiency of Nose Obstruction Symptom Evaluation (NOSE) scale on patients with nasal septal deviation. *Auris Nasus Larynx*. 2012;39(June (3)):275–279.
8. Menegat F, Monnazzi MS, Silva BN, de Moraes M, Gabrielli MA, Pereira-Filho VA. Assessment of nasal obstruction symptoms using the NOSE scale after surgically assisted rapid maxillary expansion. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2015;44 (November (11)):1346–1350.
9. Barry PW, Mason NP, O'Callaghan C. Nasal mucociliary transport is impaired at altitude. *Eur Respir J*. 1997;10(January (1)):35–37.
10. Karatas D, Ketenci İ, Şentürk M, Yüksel F. Rhinomanometric assessment of the impact of high altitude on nasal airway resistance. *Eur J Gen Med*. 2014;11(1):24–28.
11. Gupta S, Bansal A, Ramnani S, Kumar S, Goyal NK. Assessment of nasal airflow resistance in the healthy population of Chattisgarh by active anterior rhinomanometry. *Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2012;64 (December (4)):338–340.
12. Cole P, Forsyth R, Haight JS. Effects of cold air and exercise on nasal patency. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol*. 1983;92(2 Pt 1):196–198.
13. Ivarsson A, Malm L. Nasal airway resistance at different climate exposures: description of a climate aggregate and its use. *Am J Rhinol*. 1990;4:211–213.
14. Salah B, Dinh Xuan AT, Fouilladieu JL, Lockhart A, Regnard J. Nasal mucociliary transport in healthy subjects is slower when breathing dry air. *Eur Respir J*. 1998;1:852–855.
15. Zhao K, Blacker K, Luo Y, Bryant B, Jiang J. Perceiving nasal patency through mucosal cooling rather than air temperature or nasal resistance. *PLoS ONE*. 2011;6(10): e24618.