Effect of kaolin silver complex on the control of populations of *Brettanomyces* and acetic acid bacteria in wine

P. M. Izquierdo-Cañas¹ · R. López-Martín² · E. García-Romero¹ ·
L. González-Arenzana² · S. Mínguez-Sanz³ · P. Chatonnet⁴ ·
A. Palacios-García⁵ · A. Puig-Pujol⁶

Revised: 13 February 2018 / Accepted: 26 February 2018 / Published online: 19 March 2018
© Association of Food Scientists & Technologists (India) 2018

Abstract In this work, the effects of kaolin silver complex (KAgC) have been evaluated to replace the use of SO₂ for the control of spoilage microorganisms in the winemaking process. The results showed that KAgC at a dose of 1 g/L provided effective control against the development of *B. bruxellensis* and acetic acid bacteria. In wines artificially contaminated with an initial population of *B. bruxellensis* at 10⁴ CFU/mL, a concentration proven to produce off flavors in wine, only residual populations of the contaminating yeast remained after 24 days of contact with the additive. Populations of acetic bacteria inoculated into wine at concentrations of 10² and 10⁴ CFU/mL were reduced to negligible levels after 72 h of treatment with KAgC. The antimicrobial effect of KAgC against *B. bruxellensis* and acetic bacteria was also demonstrated in a wine naturally contaminated by these microorganisms, decreasing their population in a similar way to a chitosan

treatment. Related to this effect, wines with KAgC showed lower concentrations of acetic acid and 4-ethyl phenol than wines without KAgC. The silver concentration from KAgC that remained in the finished wines was below the legal limits. These results demonstrated the effectiveness of KAgC to reduce spoilage microorganisms in winemaking.

Keywords Acetic acid bacteria · *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* · Chitosan · Kaolin silver · Wine

Introduction

Wine quality is greatly influenced by the microorganisms that occur through the winemaking process. Among those that have a negative impact, yeasts belonging to the species *Dekkera bruxellensis* (anamorph; *Brettanomyces bruxellensis*) have been associated with ethyl phenols and other off-flavor compounds such as those described as animal, farmyards, horse sweat, medicine and animal leather odorants (Rubio et al. 2015; Schumaker et al. 2017). Traditionally, barrel aging has been considered a source of spoilage. Nevertheless, this problem could occur even in stainless steel tanks (Oelofse et al. 2008).

Brettanomyces bruxellensis-associated issues such as the formation of volatile phenols (4-ethyl phenol and 4-ethyl-guaiacol) or the production of acetic acid have become more magnificent in recent years because of the reduction of the use of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) during winemaking. Additionally, due to climate change, pH of wines tends to be increasingly high and it involves lower SO₂ efficiency. This favors the growth of *B. bruxellensis* during aging. Several authors have shown that controlling the growth of this microorganism is one of the most important challenges

✉ P. M. Izquierdo-Cañas
pmizquierdo@jccm.es

¹ Instituto Regional de Investigación y Desarrollo Agroalimentario y Forestal de Castilla-La Mancha (IRIAF-IVICAM), Crta. Toledo-Albacete s/n, 13700 Tomelloso, Ciudad Real, Spain

² Instituto de Ciencias de la Vid y el Vino, ICVV (Gobierno de la Rioja, Universidad de la Rioja, CSIC), Finca La Grajera, Ctra de Burgos, km 6, 26007 Logroño, Spain

³ CERPTA-Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona, 08193 Cerdanyola, Barcelona, Spain

⁴ Laboratoire Excell, Parc Innolin, 10 rue du Golf, 33700 Mérignac, France

⁵ Laboratorios Excell Ibérica S.L, Planillo, 12, Pabellón B, Polígono La Portalada II, 26006 Logroño, Spain

⁶ Institut Català de la Vinya i del Vi (INCAVI-IRTA), Plaça Àgora, 2, 08720 Vilafranca del Penedès, Barcelona, Spain

of the current winemaking process (Capozzi et al. 2016; Wedral et al. 2010).

On the other hand, acetic acid bacteria (AAB) also play a negative role in winemaking because of their undesirable production of acetic acid, acetaldehyde, ethyl acetate and dihydroxyacetone (Guillamón and Mas 2011). Until now, SO₂ addition is the most effective way to reduce spoilages. However, the continuous use of SO₂ which causes allergies and some others health concerns, and possible sensory alterations has led winemakers to restrict its use (García-Ruiz et al. 2013). Due to this, there is a growing interest within the scientific community in the development of alternatives to the traditional use of SO₂ in winemaking (Izquierdo-Cañas et al. 2012; González-Arenzana et al. 2016).

Among these alternatives, chitosan has received a considerable attention due to the approval of its use as treatment against *Brettanomyces* by the International Organization of Vine and Wine in OIV Resolution 338A-2009 (OIV 2009a). The effectiveness of chitosan against *B. bruxellensis* in wines has been examined in mixed culture fermentations (Gómez-Rivas et al. 2004), in vitro conditions (Petrova et al. 2016), a wine-model synthetic medium (Taillandier et al. 2014), real vinifications, and commercially produced wines (Petrova et al. 2016). However, wines treated with chitosan are not completely stable, as populations of this yeast species eventually increase after treatment (Petrova et al. 2016). Furthermore, chitosan can negatively affect some physicochemical characteristics of wine (Ferreira et al. 2013).

An alternative to the addition of SO₂, from the point of view of its antimicrobial action, is the use of silver. Recent studies have shown that silver nanomaterials are antimicrobial towards a broad spectrum of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and exert some antifungal and antiviral activities (García-Ruiz et al. 2015). Despite the great interest in applying these materials in the field of enology, so far, studies on the use of silver as an antimicrobial in winemaking have been very scarce (Monge and Moreno-Arribas 2016).

This study shows the results of two trials that examine the effects of kaolin silver complex (KAgC) on the control of populations of *B. bruxellensis* and acetic acid bacteria and their metabolites (acetic acid and volatile phenols) in winemaking and provides a comparison with chitosan for *B. bruxellensis* control. The aim of this work was to demonstrate the possibility of KAgC as an alternative to the use of SO₂ to prevent or reduce the presence of the main spoilage yeast and bacteria during the winemaking process.

Materials and methods

Microbial culture

Brettanomyces bruxellensis

Experimental red wine was inoculated with a mixed culture of four *B. bruxellensis* strains isolated from a naturally contaminated wine that contained 742 µg/L of 4-ethyl phenol. To obtain a sufficient population for inoculation into the wine, these 4 strains were initially grown in YPD (1% yeast extract, 2% Peptone, 2% Dextrose) medium. The experiment was carried out with a culture of *B. bruxellensis* with an initial concentration of 1.7×10^7 CFU/mL. Different volumes of this culture were added to the wine to get a population of 1.0×10^2 CFU/mL, 1.0×10^4 CFU/mL or 1.0×10^6 CFU/mL.

Determination of the internal transcribed spacers (ITS1 and ITS2) region of the 5.8S rRNA was used to identify the four strains at the species level. DNA from each culture was isolated and amplified by PCR following the protocol described by Guillamón et al. 1998 and Esteve-Zarzoso et al. 1999. PCR products were revealed on 1.4% agarose (Roche Diagnostics, Spain), stained with ethidium bromide and visualized with a UV trans-illuminator (GelDoc, Bio-Rad) (Fig. 1). Amplicon size results were compared with those described in the bibliography (Guillamón et al. 1998

Fig. 1 Amplification of the internal transcribed spacers (ITS1 and ITS2) of the rRNA 5.8S of four *B. bruxellensis* strains used in the study. Lanes 1–4: strain 1, strain 2, strain 3, strain 4. MWM: molecular weight marker 100 pb Ladder

and Esteve-Zarzoso et al. 1999) and identified as *B. bruxellensis*.

Acetic acid bacteria (AAB)

Gluconobacter oxydans (CECT 360) and *Acetobacter aceti* (CECT 298), obtained from the Spanish Collection of Type Cultures (CECT), were also inoculated to the wine. Bacteria were grown on Mannitol medium (0.5% yeast extract, 0.3% peptone, 2.5% Mannitol) to obtain a sufficient population to be subsequently inoculated into wine to reach a concentration of 1.0×10^2 , 1.0×10^4 or 1.0×10^6 CFU/mL.

Kaolin silver complex (KAgC)

KAgC is produced under patent (PCT/ES2015/070532). It is a gray powder with a particle size of around 30 nm, and it is insoluble in ethanol and water, composed of an inorganic inert material (kaolin) used as a support, on the surface of which silver nanoparticles (< 10 nm) are deposited (colloidal silver). KAgC was supplied in permeable bags that contained 1 g of KAgC.

Initial wines

Two experiments were performed: (a) Trial 1: with artificially contaminated wine; and (b) Trial 2: with naturally contaminated wine.

In Trial 1, a filter-sterilised red wine was used (Table 1, Wine 1) which had been produced without the addition of sulfur dioxide but with natural presence as a secondary metabolite of total SO_2 (≤ 4 mg/L). The wine was fined with egg white and filtered through 0.22 microns in order to eliminate any naturally occurring contaminating microorganisms in the wine. The sterile red wine was distributed into 36 aliquots of 1 L in previously sterilized glass bottles,

with a magnetic stirrer in their interior to produce gentle agitation (100 rpm).

In Trial 2, a naturally contaminated red wine (Table 1, Wine 2) with a population of *B. bruxellensis* yeast of 1.0×10^4 CFU/mL and a population of AAB of 1.1×10^5 CFU/mL was used. According to the results of the microbiological analysis, this wine did not contain lactic acid bacteria. The wine had moderately high volatile acidity related to the presence of AAB. Also, the initial wine had high ethyl phenol and ethyl guaiacol content related to the presence of the *B. bruxellensis* yeast.

Experimental design

In Trial 1, two experimental series were conducted, one with wine artificially contaminated with *B. bruxellensis* and one with wine artificially contaminated with AAB. Each series consisted of 12 bottles containing Wine 1. Three bottles were inoculated with *B. bruxellensis* cultures to obtain 1.0×10^2 CFU/mL; the same was done with concentrations of 1.0×10^4 and 1.0×10^6 CFU/mL. To carry out the second series, three bottles were inoculated with AAB cultures to obtain 1.0×10^2 CFU/mL; the same was done with concentrations of 1.0×10^4 and 1.0×10^6 CFU/mL. One bag (1 g) of KAgC was added to these bottles, so the dose of KAgC was 1 g/L. Additionally, in each experimental series (*B. bruxellensis* or AAB), 3 bottles without KAgC were used as a control.

The bottles were gently stirred (100 rpm) in order to put the bag that contained KAgC in contact with the whole volume of the wine. Samples were taken at different contact times and plate counts, and the acetic acid content and 4-ethyl phenol content were evaluated. The duration of the *B. bruxellensis* inactivation experiment was 24 days, and it was 3 days for AAB.

In Trial 2, three batches of three bottles (triplicate) were prepared using Wine 2 (Table 1). One batch, without KAgC, was used as a control; 1 g/L of KAgC was added to the bottles of the second batch and 7 g/HL of chitosan (NoBrettInside®, Lallemand, Montreal, Canada) to the last batch. Each bottle was stirred daily, and *B. bruxellensis* and AAB populations were measured at day 10 of the treatment.

Microbiological counts

CFU counts

In Trial 1, the *B. bruxellensis* population was assessed at day 0, just after being inoculated, and at 3, 10, 17 and 24 days after the treatment. Serial dilutions (from 10^{-1} to 10^{-6}) in sterile saline solution were plated onto Sabouraud-chloramphenicol agar plates (Cultimed, Panreac,

Table 1 Physicochemical parameters of initial wines

	Wine 1	Wine 2
Alcohol content (% v/v)	11.48	14.26
Volatile acidity (g/L of acetic acid)	0.50	0.76
Total acidity (g/L of tartaric acid)	6.40	5.35
pH	3.48	3.66
Glucose + fructose (g/L)	0.20	0.25
Total SO_2 (mg/L)	4	85
Free SO_2 (mg/L)	n.d.	7
4-Ethylphenol ($\mu\text{g/L}$)	n.d.	1087
4-Ethylguaiacol ($\mu\text{g/L}$)	n.d.	146

n.d. not detected

Barcelona, Spain). Plates were incubated under aerobic conditions at 28 °C for 10 days. After this time, colonies were counted and the results were expressed as colony forming units (CFU) per milliliter of wine.

The AAB population in Trial 1 was counted in each bottle at day 0, just after being inoculated into the wine, and at 1, 2 and 3 days after starting the treatment with KAgC. Samples of 0.1 mL were taken, and serial dilutions (from 10^{-1} to 10^{-6}) in sterile saline solution were spread onto plates of GYC medium (5% glucose, 1% yeast extract, 0.5% calcic carbonate, 2% agar) to which 50 mg/L nystatin (Sigma-Aldrich) was added. The plates were incubated under aerobic conditions at 30 °C for 5 days.

PCR detection

In Trial 2, cell populations were evaluated by qPCR based on Scorpions (Umiker et al. 2013). qPCR detection was performed using the Scorpions Wine Spoilage Systems module (ETS Laboratories, St. Helena, CA).

After mixing, a 1.5-mL sample was removed and centrifuged ($9000\times g$). The pellet was suspended in $1\times$ wash buffer from the lysis module (LYR-50-01) and centrifuged. The pellet was then suspended in 15 mL of $1\times$ wash buffer prior to transfer to a 15-mL centrifuge tube for recentrifugation. Cell lysis was accomplished by suspending cell pellets in 200 μ L of $1\times$ lysis reagent (LYR-50-01). Pellets were then incubated at 37 °C for 30 min, mixed and incubated for an additional 30 min. Next, 20 μ L of Proteinase K with 200 μ L of PBS and 200 μ L of buffer AL (DX Reagent Qiagen Pack for QIAxtractor #950107, Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, CA) were added to the suspension, which was incubated for 30 min at 55 °C, mixed and incubated for an additional 30 min. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation ($15,000\times g$ for 6 min) before removal of 420 μ L of supernatant for DNA extraction and purification using the QIAxtractor and DX reagent pack according to the manufacturer's instructions.

For *B. bruxellensis*, purified nucleic acid (5 μ L) was combined with 20 μ L Scorpions Yeast Assay Multiplex Master Mix and 5 μ L Scorpions Reagent (YDR1-50-01) along with 15 μ L Taq Polymerase Master Mix containing dNTPs, $MgCl_2$ and supplied buffer. For acetic acid bacteria, purified nucleic acid (5 μ L) was combined with 20 μ L Scorpions Bacteria I Assay Multiplex Master Mix and 5 μ L Scorpions Reagent. Amplification and detection of DNA were conducted with a Q-Gene thermocycler (Qiagen, Inc.). Samples were quantified, and the efficacy of the assay was determined using standard curves generated by isolating DNA from serial dilutions (10^6 – 10^1) of *Brettanomyces* and AAB cultures individually grown in wine. The Scorpions Yeast and Bacteria Multiplex assays contain an internal control reaction consisting of primers and a

probe to amplify target DNA spiked into the Master Mix. The signal strength of the internal control reaction is monitored to avoid false negatives due to the presence of PCR inhibitors.

For positive controls, samples with known populations of *B. bruxellensis* and acetic bacteria in wine were lysed, extracted and amplified along with the samples being analyzed. A no template control consisting of 20 μ L yeast Scorpions Assay Multiplex Master Mix and 5 μ L of molecular biology grade ddH₂O was also conducted. Populations of *B. bruxellensis* were calculated by the analysis software provided with the Q-Gene thermocycler.

Determination of acetic acid and volatile phenols

Acetic acid content was analyzed at each sampling in Trial 1 by enzymatic methods in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC 2676/1990, E.E.C., 1990) and the International Organization of Vine and Wine (OIV 2018).

Ethyl phenol in the wine was analyzed by gas chromatography (Chatonnet et al. 1995) at the end of Trial 1 (day 24) in the *Brettanomyces* inactivation treatment with KAgC. Dichloromethane was used to extract the analytes of 10 mL of wine.

Analysis of the silver ion content in wines

The content of silver was determined at the end of the experiments in all wines using an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer Agilent 7500ce Series, using Argon as the carrier gas and helium as the collision gas, analyzing isotope 107. Prior to analysis, 1 mL of wine was diluted with 3% nitric acid until 20 g. Calibration was carried out with solutions containing silver concentrations between 0.4 and 100 μ g/kg using Rh (103) as an internal standard.

Statistical analysis

Data were subjected to Student's *t* test and the Student–Newman–Keuls test to identify any statistically significant differences between treatments using SPSS software (version 12.0).

Results and discussion

Inactivation of *B. bruxellensis*

Figure 2 shows the evolution of the viable population of *B. bruxellensis* in CFU/mL at 0, 3, 10, 17 and 24 days of Trial 1. When the wine was inoculated with a population of 1.0×10^2 CFU/mL (Fig. 2a), a reduction of almost 1 log

Fig. 2 Populations of *B. bruxellensis* in wine artificially contaminated along 24 days after KAgC treatment [*statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$)]

unit of the initial population of *this yeast species* was observed in the wines treated with KAgC 3 days after contact with the product. In the control wine, without KAgC, an increase in the population of *B. bruxellensis* was detected from day 3, further increasing to 4 log units on day 10. In wines with KAgC, a small increase was also

observed on days 10 and 17, probably due to growth inertia of the vegetative cells caused by growth of a culture in synthetic medium (YPD). But this growth ceased, and the population clearly decreased in the sample taken at day 24 after the treatment when the count in the wine with KAgC indicated a reduction of more than 2.5 log units compared

to the one conducted on day 17, more than 1.5 log units compared to the baseline population and approximately 5 log units compared to the control wine without KAgC.

Figure 2b shows the behavior of *B. bruxellensis* when the initial population was about 1.0×10^4 CFU/mL. The KAgC showed strong action at day 3, reducing almost 3 log units with respect to the initial population. This inactivation was virtually total at day 10 from the start of treatment. After this sampling, the population of *B. bruxellensis* remained well below the initial concentration of cells added to the wine: from 14,000 CFU/mL at day 0 to only 49 CFU/mL at day 24 (reduction of 2.45 log units).

Holding *B. bruxellensis* populations from 1.0×10^2 to 1.0×10^4 CFU/mL, the treatment with KAgC resulted in significantly smaller populations of these microorganisms. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Pires et al. (2016), who verified that the addition of silver nanoparticles to the Ti/TiO₂ electrodes used in photoelectrocatalysis caused an increase in disinfection of aqueous environments.

In wines with a population of 1.0×10^6 CFU/mL (Fig. 2c), the level of the *B. bruxellensis* population inactivation in the previous experiments was not achieved, a result that is in agreement with reports from Franci et al. (2015) and Vazquez-Muñoz et al. (2017) demonstrating that when the microbial population is very complex, silver nanoparticles are not so efficient at inactivating the microorganisms. Nonetheless, a smaller population (between 1 and 1.5 log units) was found on day 24 in treated wines, while the control maintained the same initial population at 24 days. It should be mentioned that it is very difficult to find such high populations of *B. bruxellensis* in real conditions, in naturally contaminated wines (Puig et al. 2011). In these cases, the results indicate that perhaps it would be necessary to treat the wines with doses of KAgC over 1 g/L.

Acetic acid and 4-ethyl phenol are parameters that could be indicative of contamination of wine with *Brettanomyces* (Garijo et al. 2017). Table 2 shows the values of these parameters in wines from Trial 1 with different initial concentrations of *B. bruxellensis* cells, with or without KAgC (control). Control wines were those in which acetic acid had a considerable increase over the 24 days of the trial, reaching values between 1.20 and 1.56 g/L.

In the case of wines inoculated with *B. bruxellensis* populations of 1.0×10^2 and 1.0×10^4 CFU/mL where KAgC was added, no significant increases in acetic acid were detected during the 24 days of the study. In the KAgC wines initially inoculated with populations of 1.0×10^6 CFU/mL where high numbers of *B. bruxellensis* cells were detected, the acetic acid also increased, which demonstrates that the strains used in the trial produced acetic acid. In this case, higher concentrations of KAgC (above 1 g/L)

or a combination of KAgC with other antimicrobial substances or techniques may be required to stop *B. bruxellensis* growth.

Data about 4-ethyl phenol concentrations at the end of the trial (24 days) are shown in Table 2. The content of 4-ethyl phenol was higher in control wines than in those with 1 g/L of KAgC added, whatever the initial inoculum of *B. bruxellensis*. Values of this metabolite in control wines with 1.0×10^4 or 1.0×10^6 *B. bruxellensis* cells initially exceeded the perception threshold of 425 µg/L (Chatonnet et al. 1992). In wines treated with KAgC, although 4-ethyl phenol was produced by *Brettanomyces* cells, it never exceeded this threshold. The concentration of this metabolite in the samples with KAgC was 79% lower than in the control wine starting from an initial *B. bruxellensis* inoculate of 1.0×10^2 CFU/mL, 95% lower in the case of 1.0×10^4 CFU/mL and 55% less starting from a population of 1.0×10^6 CFU/mL. These results show that KAgC was able to slow the growth and viability of *B. bruxellensis* and, consequently, decrease the possibility of unpleasant odors being produced due to this contaminating yeast, which would have a negative effect on the sensory profile of the wine.

In Trial 2, both KAgC and chitosan treatments allowed a significant reduction of the *Brettanomyces* population (Table 3). Thus, when 1 g/L of KAgC was added to the Tempranillo wine naturally contaminated with 1.0×10^4 GU/mL (Genomic Units) *B. bruxellensis*, populations declined to 1.2×10^2 GU/mL 10 days after addition; however, in the control wines at the same period, *B. bruxellensis* increased 0.57 log units. When 7 g/HL of fungal chitosan was added to the same initial wine, populations of *B. bruxellensis* declined to 3.0×10^2 GU/mL 10 days after addition. There were no significant differences between samples treated with KAgC or chitosan. Hence, according to these data, both KAgC and chitosan would reduce, but not eliminate, this spoilage yeast. Regarding chitosan, similar results were obtained by Petrova et al. (2016) when they inoculated 8.8×10^5 CFU/mL of *B. bruxellensis* into a Merlot wine. In that trial, populations of *B. bruxellensis* declined to 10^2 CFU/mL 11 days after addition of 4 or 10 g/HL fungal chitosan. Blateyron-Pic et al. (2012), in wines naturally contaminated with 10^5 CFU/mL *B. bruxellensis*, found a residual population 10 days after treatment of nearly 100 CFU/mL with 4 g/HL chitosan. Ferreira et al. (2013) found that the anti-yeast activity of chitosan was strain dependent because when they inoculated two *B. bruxellensis* strains at 7 log units CFU/mL into a red wine from the Alentejo region of Portugal, one yeast strain was inactivated, while the other yeast strain was more resistant (3 log units reduction).

Therefore, according to the data obtained by Q-PCR, both treatments would give the impression of being

Table 2 Acetic acid and 4-ethyl phenol concentration in wines artificially contaminated with increasing amounts of *B. bruxellensis*

Initial (CFU/mL)	1.0×10^2		1.0×10^4		1.0×10^6	
Time (days)	Control	KAgC	Control	KAgC	Control	KAgC
Acetic acid (g/L)						
0	0.51 ± 0.01^a	0.51 ± 0.01^a	0.50 ± 0.01^a	0.50 ± 0.01^a	0.49 ± 0.01^a	0.50 ± 0.01^a
24	1.20 ± 0.30^b	0.53 ± 0.01^a	1.56 ± 0.15^b	0.61 ± 0.20^a	1.50 ± 0.09^b	1.39 ± 0.03^b
4-Ethyl phenol ($\mu\text{g/L}$)						
0	32.5 ± 5.2^a	29.1 ± 6.4^a	34.2 ± 4.3^a	30.7 ± 6.1^a	33.8 ± 4.6^a	33.8 ± 4.6^a
24	143.4 ± 10.6^b	30.1 ± 5.8^a	516 ± 19.1^b	26.3 ± 7.8^a	785 ± 50.8^b	352 ± 31.8^b

Different superscripts ^(a,b,c) in the same line for each populations the *B. bruxellensis* indicate significant differences for $\alpha = 0.05$ according to the Student–Newman–Keuls test. Values are the mean of triplicates

Table 3 Populations of *B. bruxellensis* and acetic acid bacteria (GU/mL) in wine naturally contaminated before and after 10 days of treatments with KAgC and chitosan

	<i>B. bruxellensis</i>		Acetic acid bacteria	
	Initial wine	10 days after treatment	Initial wine	10 days after treatment
Control	1.0×10^4	$3.7 \times 10^{4(b)}$	1.1×10^5	$8.3 \times 10^{5(b)}$
KAgC	1.0×10^4	$1.2 \times 10^{2(a)}$	1.1×10^5	$1.7 \times 10^{3(a)}$
Chitosan	1.0×10^4	$3.0 \times 10^{2(a)}$	1.1×10^5	$3.5 \times 10^{3(a)}$

Different superscripts ^(a,b) indicate significant differences in the same column for $\alpha = 0.05$ according to the Student–Newman–Keuls test. Values are the mean of triplicates

effective at reducing populations of *B. bruxellensis* in a naturally contaminated wine but would not obtain their elimination completely. It is therefore of interest to check the status of the *B. bruxellensis* residual population after treatment with KAgC or chitosan.

Inactivation of acetic acid bacteria

Figure 3 shows the evolution of the population of acetic acid bacteria in CFU/mL at 0, 1, 2 and 3 days of Trial 1. When the wine was inoculated with a population of 1.0×10^2 CFU/mL (Fig. 3a), the population of AAB fell 2 log units during the first day of treatment with KAgC, and no culturable cells were detected by 3 days after the commencement of the experiment. Although there was also a decrease in the control wines on the third day (1 log unit) due to the inhibitory effect that the wine itself has on AAB, this drop was not as great as that in the samples with KAgC.

The evolution of the population with a baseline AAB concentration of 1.0×10^4 CFU/mL (Fig. 3b) was similar to the previous case. There was a reduction in the population of 1.89 log units during the first 24 h of contact with the KAgC complex and 3.37 log units after 48 h. After the third day, the inactivation of the AAB was complete in KAgC wines. In the control wine, the population also fell slightly, 0.62 log units in 3 days.

In wines with a population of 1.0×10^6 CFU/mL (Fig. 3c), the anti-bacterial effect of KAgC was detected

only 1 day after its addition with a reduction of 1.9 log units, the same as with the initial concentration of 1.0×10^4 CFU/mL and very similar to that with 1.0×10^2 CFU/mL. In the control wine, over the same period, the loss of viability was only 0.52 log units. After 3 days of contact with KAgC, a decrease in AAB of 2.9 log units was achieved, while in the control batch, the reduction was 0.97 log units.

Similar results were obtained by Izquierdo-Cañas et al. (2012) when a colloidal silver complex at the dose of 1 g/kg was applied to Merseguera and Monastrell musts, achieving a decrease between one and two orders of magnitude in AAB populations at the end of alcoholic fermentation. Garde-Cerdán et al. (2013) compared the action of colloidal silver particles (KAgC) and SO_2 on viable AAB counts in the Tempranillo winemaking process in must at 24 h after treatment with SO_2 or KAgC and concluded that the addition of SO_2 did not affect the AAB population, whereas the presence of KAgC reduced it by 2 log CFU/mL. Finally, García-Ruiz et al. (2015), evaluating silver-based biocompatible nanoparticles for their antimicrobial activity against enological AAB among other microorganisms, also demonstrated the efficiency of ionic Ag in controlling microbial processes in winemaking. In this sense, Đolic et al. (2015) found that the antimicrobial activity of the sorbent zeolite activated by silver ions caused cell removal against *S. aureus* and *E. coli* of 98.8 and 93.5%, respectively.

In our study, the data showed that KAgC had a rapid antimicrobial effect on a group of wine spoilage

Fig. 3 Populations of acetic acid bacteria in wine artificially contaminated along 3 days after KAgC treatment [*statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$)]

microorganisms such as the AAB, achieving irrespective of the initial population a fall of almost 2 log units during the first day of contact with KAgC and a reduction between 2.3 and 3.97 log units by the third day.

Moreover, the action of KAgC on these spoilage bacteria reveals an additional advantage compared to other alternatives to SO_2 in microbiological control, such as lysozyme, which only acts against gram-positive bacteria and not against gram-negative ones such as AAB.

As in the case of *B. bruxellensis*, if the initial AAB concentration was around 1.0×10^6 CFU/mL, it would be necessary to test the effect of a KAgC treatment at a concentration above 1 g/L.

In trial 2, both KAgC and chitosan treatments allowed a significant reduction of the acetic acid bacteria population (Table 3). Thus, when 1 g/L of KAgC was added to a Tempranillo red wine naturally contaminated with an acetic acid bacteria population of 1.1×10^5 GU/mL, the population declined to 1.72×10^3 GU/mL. Thus, as occurred with *Brettanomyces*, KAgC reduced acetic acid bacteria by 2 log units, although it did not completely eliminate these spoilage bacteria. When fungal chitosan at 7 g/HL was added to the same initial wine, the population of acetic acid bacteria declined to 3.5×10^3 GU/mL 10 days after addition. In this sense, when Valera et al. (2017) compared the effects of chitosan and SO_2 on wines artificially contaminated with two strains of the acetic acid bacteria species *Acetobacter*, they detected that their viability decreased with the application of chitosan. In our study, there were no significant differences between samples treated with KAgC or chitosan. Hence, according to these data, both KAgC and chitosan would reduce, but not eliminate, these spoilage bacteria.

Concentration of ionic Ag in the final wines

Regarding the silver content in the final wines, it was far below the legal limit of 100 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (0.1 mg/L) established by the OIV-OENO 145-2009, (OIV 2009b). This corroborates the results of Izquierdo-Cañas et al. (2012) who studied the application of colloidal silver complex in winemaking.

Conclusion

According to these results, the viability of the yeast *B. bruxellensis* and AAB, the main microorganisms that can affect wine's organoleptic features, were reduced by the presence of KAgC. In the case of *B. bruxellensis* and AAB populations of 1.0×10^6 CFU/mL, the effect was less marked and it would be necessary to test whether a greater concentration of KAgC would have the desired effect.

In the case of acetic acid produced by strains of *Brettanomyces*, it has been shown that the presence of KAgC decreased the risk of their production, although in the wine, there may be small residual populations of this yeast. In the same way, the risk of producing 4-ethyl phenol is decreased in the presence of KAgC in correlation with the inactivation of the strains of *Brettanomyces* that produce this metabolite.

The effectiveness of KAgC at reducing populations of *B. bruxellensis* and AAB has been demonstrated by two methods of microbiological analysis: plate counts and Q-PCR. Its action on *Brettanomyces* cells in naturally

contaminated wines was very similar to that achieved with chitosan.

References

- Blateyron-Pic L, Bornet A, Brandam C, Jentzer JB, Granes D, Heras JM, Joannis-Cassan C, Pillet O, Sieczkowski N, Taillandier P (2012) Le chitosane d'origine fongique, un nouvel outil de choix pour lutter contre *Brettanomyces* dans les vins. *Rév Oenol* 143:27–28
- Capozzi V, Di Toro MR, Grieco F, Michelotti V, Salma M, Lamontanara A, Russo P, Orrù L, Alexandre H, Spano G (2016) Viable but not culturable (VBNC) state of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* in wine: new insights on molecular basis of VBNC behaviour using a transcriptomic approach. *Food Microbiol* 59:196–204
- Chatonnet P, Dubourdieu D, Boidron J, Pons M (1992) The origin of ethylphenols in wines. *J Sci Food Agric* 60:165–178
- Chatonnet P, Dubourdieu D, Boidron JN (1995) The influence of *Brettanomyces/Dekkera* sp. yeasts and lactic acid bacteria on the ethylphenol content of red wines. *Am J Enol Vitic* 46:463–468
- Đolic MB, Rajakovic-Ognjanovic VN, Strbac SB, Rakocevic ZLJ, Veljovic DN, Dimitrijevic SI, Rajakovic LV (2015) The antimicrobial efficiency of silver activated sorbents. *Appl Surf Sci* 357:819–831
- Esteve-Zarzoso B, Belloch C, Uruburu F, Querol A (1999) Identification of yeasts by RFLP analysis of the 5.8S rRNA gene and the two ribosomal internal transcriber spacers. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 49:329–337
- Ferreira D, Moreira D, Costa EM, Silva S, Pintado MM, Couto JA (2013) The antimicrobial action of chitosan against the wine spoilage yeast *Brettanomyces/Dekkera*. *J Citin Chitosan Sci* 1:240–245
- Franci G, Falanga A, Galdiero S, Palomba L, Rai M, Morelli G, Galdiero M (2015) Silver nanoparticles as potential antibacterial agents. *Molecules* 20:8856–8874
- García-Ruiz A, Requena T, Peláez C, Bartolomé B, Moreno-Arribas MV, Martínez-Cuesta MC (2013) Antimicrobial activity of lactacin 3147 against oenological lactic acid bacteria. Combined effect with other antimicrobial agents. *Food Control* 32(2):477–483
- García-Ruiz A, Cespo J, López-de-Luzuriaga JM, Olmos ME, Monge M, Rodríguez-Alfaro MP, Martín-Álvarez PJ, Bartolomé B, Moreno-Arribas MV (2015) Novel biocompatible silver nanoparticles for controlling the growth of lactic acid bacteria and acetic acid bacteria in wines. *Food Control* 50:613–619
- Garde-Cerdán T, González-Arenzana L, López N, López R, Santamaría P, López-Alfaro I (2013) Effect of different pulsed electric field treatments on the volatile composition of Graciano, Tempranillo and Grenache grape varieties. *Innov Food Sci Emerg Technol* 20:91–99
- Garijo P, Gutiérrez AR, López R, Santamaría P, González-Arenzana L, López-Alfaro I, Garde-Cerdán T, Olarte C, Sanz S (2017) Comparison of *Brettanomyces* yeast presence in young red wines in two consecutive vintages. *Eur Food Res Technol* 243:827–834
- Gómez-Rivas L, Escudero-Abarca BI, Aguilar-Uscanga MG, Hayward-Jones PM, Mendoza P, Ramírez M (2004) Selective antimicrobial action of chitosan against spoilage yeasts in mixed culture fermentations. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol* 31:16–22
- González-Arenzana L, Sevenich R, Rauh C, López R, Knorr D, López-Alfaro I (2016) Inactivation of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* by high hydrostatic pressure technology. *Food Control* 59:188–195
- Guillamón JM, Mas A (2011) Acetic Acid Bacteria. In: Carrascosa AV, Muñoz R, González R (eds) *Molecular wine microbiology*. Academic Press, San Diego, pp 227–255
- Guillamón JM, Sabaté J, Barrio E, Cano J, Querol A (1998) Rapid identification of wine yeast species based on RFLP analysis of the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region. *Arch Microbiol* 169:387–392
- Izquierdo-Cañas PM, García Romero E, Huertas-Nebreda B, Gómez Alonso S (2012) Colloidal silver complex as alternative to sulphur dioxide in winemaking. *Food Control* 23:73–81
- Monge M, Moreno-Arribas MV (2016) Applications of nanotechnology in wine production and quality and safety control. In: Moreno-Arribas MV, Sualdea BB (eds) *Wine safety, consumer preference, and human health*. Springer, Berlin, pp 51–69
- Oelofse A, Pretorius IS, Du Toit M (2008) Significance of *Brettanomyces* and *Dekkera* during winemaking: a synoptic review. *S Afr J Enol Vitic* 29(2):129–144
- OIV International Organization of Vine and Wine (2009a) OIV/OENO 338A/2009: International code of oenological practices. Wines-treatment using chitosan. OIV, Paris. <http://www.oiv.int/public/medias/1082/oiv-oeno-338a-2009-en.pdf>
- OIV International Organization of Vine and Wine (2009b) OIV/OENO 145/2009: International Code of oenological Practices. Treatment with silver chloride. OIV, Paris. <http://www.oiv.int/public/medias/1071/oiv-oeno-145-2009-en.pdf>
- OIV International Organization of Vine and Wine (2018) Compendium of international methods of wine and must analysis, vol 1–2. OIV, Paris. <http://oiv.int/en/technical-standards-and-documents/methods-of-analysis>
- Petrova B, Cartwright ZM, Edwards ChG (2016) Effectiveness of chitosan preparations against *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* grown in culture media and red wines. *J Int Sci Vigne Vin* 50(1):49–56
- Pires RH, Bruguera MF, Zanon MVB, Giannini MJSM (2016) Effectiveness of photoelectrocatalysis treatment for the inactivation of *Candida parapsilosis* sensu stricto in planktonic cultures and biofilms. *Appl Catal A Gen* 511:149–155
- Puig A, Bertran A, Franquet R, Garcia J, Mínguez S (2011) *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* prevalence in wines produced and marketed in Spain. *Ann Microbiol* 61:145–151
- Rubio P, Garijo P, Santamaría P, López R, Martínez J, Gutiérrez AR (2015) Influence of oak origin and ageing conditions on wine spoilage by *Brettanomyces* yeasts. *Food Control* 54:176–180
- Schumaker MR, Chandra M, Malfeito-Ferreira M, Ross CF (2017) Influence of *Brettanomyces* ethylphenols on red wine aroma evaluated by consumers in the United States and Portugal. *Food Res Int* 100:161–167
- Taillandier P, Joannis-Cassan C, Jentzer JB, Gautier S, Sieczkowski N, Granes D, Brandam C (2014) Effect of fungal chitosan preparation on *Brettanomyces bruxellensis*, a wine contaminant. *J Appl Microbiol* 118:123–131
- Umiker NL, Descenzo RA, Lee J, Edwards CG (2013) Removal of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* from red wine using membrane filtration. *J Food Process Preserv* 37:799–805
- Valera MJ, Sainz F, Mas A, Torija MJ (2017) Effect of chitosan on SO₂ viability of *Acetobacter* strains in wine. *Int J Food Microbiol* 246:1–4
- Vazquez-Muñoz R, Borrego B, Juárez-Moreno K, García-García M, Mota Morales JD, Bogdanchikova N, Huerta-Saquero A (2017) Toxicity of silver nanoparticles in biological systems: does the complexity of biological systems matter? *Toxicol Lett* 276:11–20
- Wedral D, Shewfelt R, Frank J (2010) The challenge of *Brettanomyces* in wine. *LWT Food Sci Technol* 43:1474–1479