Published in final edited form as:

Magn Reson Med. 2018 February ; 79(2): 654–662. doi:10.1002/mrm.26705.

Simultaneous Measurement of T_2 and Apparent Diffusion Coefficient (T_2 +ADC) in the Heart With Motion-Compensated Spin Echo Diffusion-Weighted Imaging

Eric Aliotta^{1,2}, Kévin Moulin¹, Zhaohuan Zhang^{1,3}, and Daniel B. Ennis^{1,2,3,*}

¹Department of Radiological Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA

²Biomedical Physics Interdepartmental Program, University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA

³Department of Bioengineering, University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA

Abstract

Purpose—To evaluate a technique for simultaneous quantitative T_2 and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) mapping in the heart (T_2 +ADC) using spin echo (SE) diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI).

Theory and Methods— T_2 maps from T_2 +ADC were compared with single-echo SE in phantoms and with T_2 -prepared (T_2 -prep) balanced steady-state free precession (bSSFP) in healthy volunteers. ADC maps from T_2 +ADC were compared with conventional DWI in phantoms and in vivo. T_2 +ADC was also demonstrated in a patient with acute myocardial infarction (MI).

Results—Phantom T_2 values from T_2 +ADC were closer to a single-echo SE reference than T_2 -prep bSSFP ($-2.3 \pm 6.0\%$ vs $22.2 \pm 16.3\%$; $P < 0.01$), and ADC values were in excellent agreement with DWI ($0.28 \pm 0.4\%$). In volunteers, myocardial T_2 values from T_2 +ADC were significantly shorter than T_2 -prep bSSFP (35.8 ± 3.1 vs 46.8 ± 3.8 ms; $P < 0.01$); myocardial ADC was not significantly (N.S.) different between T_2 +ADC and conventional motion-compensated DWI (1.39 ± 0.18 vs 1.38 ± 0.18 mm²/ms; $P = \text{N.S.}$). In the patient, T_2 and ADC were both significantly elevated in the infarct compared with remote myocardium (T_2 : 40.4 ± 7.6 vs 56.8 ± 22.0 ; $P < 0.01$; ADC: 1.47 ± 0.59 vs 1.65 ± 0.65 mm²/ms; $P < 0.01$).

Conclusion— T_2 +ADC generated coregistered, free-breathing T_2 and ADC maps in healthy volunteers and a patient with acute MI with no cost in accuracy, precision, or scan time compared with DWI.

Keywords

Cardiac; T_2 Mapping; DWI

*Correspondence to: Daniel B. Ennis, Ph.D., Department of Radiological Sciences, University of California, Peter V. Ueberroth Building, Suite 1471, Room B, 10945 Le Conte Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA. daniel.ennis@ucla.edu.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiac diffusion-weighted imaging (cDWI) has high potential diagnostic value for quantifying the extent and degree of diffuse and focal myocardial fibrosis (1,2) without the need for a gadolinium-based contrast agent. cDWI measures the self-diffusion of water molecules in soft tissues and can detect fibrosis by increases in the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) that accord with the increase in extracellular volume. This can enable myocardial infarction (MI) evaluation for the ~40% of cardiovascular disease patients with impaired renal function in whom the use of gadolinium-based contrast agents is contraindicated (3,4).

Conventional DWI approaches are extremely sensitive to bulk motion, but recent developments in gradient hardware and the emergence of bulk motion-compensated (MOCO) diffusion encoding techniques have enabled robust DWI in the heart (5,6). In this study, we use convex optimized diffusion encoding (CODE) (7) with first-(M_1) and second-order (M_2) motion compensation (CODE- M_1M_2). CODE- M_1M_2 simultaneously imparts insensitivity to bulk motion and improves pulse sequence acquisition efficiency, by minimizing the echo time (TE) for a given b-value. The CODE approach enables higher resolution or higher signal-to-noise (SNR) cardiac MOCO DWI with shorter TEs than other techniques.

Quantitative T_2 mapping is also a valuable tool for myocardial tissue characterization. For example, increases in T_2 can indicate the presence of myocardial edema (8,9), and decreases in T_2 have been observed in iron overload (10), which can occur in thalassemia as well as in hemorrhagic MI (11). The combination of quantitative T_2 maps for detecting edema or iron overload and DWI maps for identifying myocardial fibrosis can potentially be used to differentiate infarcts and score the extent and degree of focal and diffuse fibrosis for a variety of pathologies.

Herein, we describe a free-breathing technique that jointly measures cardiac T_2 and ADC (T_2 +ADC), thereby generating maps that are perfectly coregistered and acquired at the same cardiac phase. T_2 +ADC requires only minor modification to the spin-echo DWI acquisition and does not increase scan time compared to conventional ADC mapping alone. In this study, T_2 +ADC was evaluated through Bloch equation simulations, validated with quantitative phantom imaging, and demonstrated in healthy volunteers and in a patient with acute MI.

THEORY

DWI acquisitions typically use a spin-echo (SE) sequence with a single-shot echo planar imaging (EPI) readout wherein several images are acquired at a fixed TE with diffusion weighting along multiple directions. The diffusion weighting is characterized by the pulse sequence b-value (b , s/mm²) and the gradient direction ($\vec{G}$) along which it is applied. This produces a series of images with combined T_2 and diffusion weighting that can be defined by a monoexponential signal dependence on b and the underlying tissue diffusivity (D , mm²/ms). In a classical DWI experiment, diffusion-weighted images are normalized by a non-

diffusion-weighted acquisition (ie, $b = 0$) in order to extract only the diffusivity from all other sources of contrast. However, considering the T_2 weighting of the spin-echo pulse sequence, the overall signal behavior can be described by a biexponential (Eq. [1])

$$S(b, TE, \vec{G}) = S_0 e^{-TE/T_2} e^{-bD_{\vec{G}}} \quad [1]$$

where S_0 is the non-diffusion- and non- T_2 -weighted signal intensity, which is predominantly proton density weighted given a sufficiently long repetition time (TR). $D_{\vec{G}}$ is the diffusivity

along the diffusion encoding direction, $\vec{G}$. The resulting estimate of diffusivity from all sampled directions is denoted the ADC. In the proposed T_2 +ADC technique, DWI was acquired with multiple TEs and T_2 and ADC were jointly reconstructed using Equation [1].

Because the SNR of cDWI images can be very low (<10), signal averaging is typically used to suppress noise (6,12,13). However, the non-diffusion-weighted reference images ($b = 0$) have significantly higher SNR than those with higher b -values and thus do not require as much signal averaging. Furthermore, whereas conventional DWI uses the same TE for all images to avoid mixing of T_2 and diffusion weighting, a shorter TE is always possible for $b = 0$ attributed to the absence of diffusion-encoding gradients. T_2 +ADC leverages the shorter minimum TE when $b = 0$ to improve SNR and enable the estimation of joint T_2 +ADC maps. By varying the TE of the non-diffusion-weighted images across the repetitions that are necessary to improve SNR for $b > 0$, T_2 +ADC mapping can be acquired with no increase in scan time compared with an analogous ADC mapping acquisition with a single, fixed TE.

METHODS

Bloch Simulations

Bloch equation simulations (14) were used to evaluate and optimize the T_2 +ADC acquisition for measurement precision and accuracy. The simulations were designed to fulfill two principal objectives: 1) determine the minimum TR necessary for T_2 +ADC to be insensitive to T_1 ($<1\%$ T_2 bias) and thus to changes in heart rate and 2) determine the optimal distribution of signal averages for each of the TEs to optimize measurement accuracy under the constraint of fixed scan time.

Simulation Parameters— T_2 +ADC acquisitions were simulated using a system of 500 independent spins. The signal amplitude during a spin-echo sequence was simulated for two TEs ($TE_1 = 25$ ms; $TE_2 = 65$ ms) and two b -values ($b = 0$ and 350 s/mm²). TE_1 and TE_2 were the minimum TEs for the desired $2.0 \times 2.0 \times 5.0$ mm spatial resolution with $b = 0$ and 350 s/mm², respectively. These specific TEs accorded well with published sampling guidelines for T_2 mapping of the range of expected myocardial T_2 values (15,16). The b -value was chosen to balance SNR, motion insensitivity, and diffusion weighting and was similar to previous cardiac DWI studies (17,18). To incorporate T_2^* effects, the spin system contained a range of off-resonance frequencies ± 50 Hz (uniformly distributed,

corresponding to $T_2^* \sim 20\text{ms}$). Repeated simulations were performed over a range of T_1 ($T_1 = 500, 1,000, \text{ and } 1,500 \text{ ms}$) and T_2 values ($T_2 = 30, 40, 50, \text{ and } 60 \text{ ms}$). The spin ensemble's signal amplitude was measured over a duration surrounding TE corresponding to the duration of the EPI readout ($T_{\text{EPI}} = 30 \text{ ms}$). These signal amplitudes were used to modulate the k-space of a simulated left ventricle (LV) as shown in Figure 1. Diffusion encoding ($D = 1.4 \text{ mm}^2/\text{ms}$, isotropic) was applied to the simulated signals directly using Equation [1] along three directions (x, y, and z). Simulated T_2 and ADC maps were then generated from the resultant signals using Equation [1].

Impact of T_1 on T_2 +ADC—To evaluate the impact of T_1 on T_2 and ADC accuracy, the simulation was performed over a range of 10 TRs from 500 to 5,000 ms for every combination of T_1 and T_2 evaluated. Mean T_2 and ADC values were then calculated for each TR within the simulated LV. T_2 and ADC accuracy were evaluated by the percent difference between the mean T_2 and ADC and the predefined input values.

Evaluating Signal Average Distribution—To define the distribution of signal averages for subsequent in vivo acquisitions, complex Gaussian noise was added to the simulated images such that $\text{SNR} = 50$ for $b = 0$ and $\text{TE} = 25 \text{ ms}$. Scan time was held constant by maintaining the total number of acquired images (10 signal averages per direction for $b = 350 \text{ s/mm}^2$ and 10 total averages for $b = 0$ and both TE_1 and TE_2). The ratio of averages between TE_1 and TE_2 was, however, varied (ie, $N_{\text{avg},\text{TE}_1}:N_{\text{avg},\text{TE}_2} = 9:1, 8:2, 7:3, \text{ etc.}$). Measurement precision was quantified for each acquisition by the standard deviation (SD) of T_2 and ADC values within the simulated LV.

Phantom Experiments

T_2 +ADC was acquired in a phantom containing vials of water with varying concentrations of agar and CuSO_4 , which produced a range of T_1 and T_2 values ($T_1 = 400\text{--}2,000 \text{ ms}$; $T_2 = 30\text{--}150 \text{ ms}$) on a 3 Tesla (T) MRI scanner (Prisma; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). The T_2 +ADC protocol used $\text{TE}_1 = 25 \text{ ms}$ and $\text{TE}_2 = 65 \text{ ms}$; $\text{TR} = 4,000 \text{ ms}$; and $b = 0$ and $b = 350 \text{ s/mm}^2$ with CODE- M_1M_2 diffusion encoding along three directions (x, y, and z). Three “dummy” cycles (ie, repetitions of the $b = 0$ sequence without readout) were played to ensure the signal reached a steady state before acquiring the first $b = 0$ image. Additional T_2 maps were generated for comparison using: 1) spin-echo imaging with five TEs ($\text{TE} = 12, 25, 55, 85, \text{ and } 100 \text{ ms}$; $\text{TR} = 12 \text{ seconds}$) and 2) T_2 -prepared (T_2 -prep) balanced steady-state free precession (bSSFP) with three T_2 -prep durations ($t_{\text{prep}} = 0, 25, \text{ and } 55 \text{ ms}$; $\text{TR} = 3,000 \text{ ms}$), an established technique for myocardial T_2 mapping (19). For comparison, a conventional ADC map was generated using a DWI protocol matched to the T_2 +ADC protocol, but with a single TE ($\text{TE} = 65 \text{ ms}$). Additional protocol details are shown in Table 1.

T_2 , T_2 +ADC and T_2 ,bSSFP were compared to the reference $T_{2,\text{SE}}$ using regression analysis on mean T_2 values within each of the 10 phantom regions. $\text{ADC}_{T_2+\text{ADC}}$ was similarly compared with the conventional ADC_{DWI} .

Volunteer Experiments

Healthy volunteers ($N = 8$) were then imaged in an institutional review board–approved study after obtaining written statements of informed consent. Localizers were first acquired to obtain a mid-ventricular short-axis slice that was used for all subsequent imaging. Cine bSSFP images were acquired and visually inspected to determine the timing of the diastolic quiescent period during which bulk cardiac motion was minimized. This subject-specific trigger delay (TD_{DIA}) was used for all subsequent diastolic imaging.

T_2 +ADC—Free breathing T_2 +ADC images were acquired with respiratory triggering to end-expiration using a liverdome navigator. Protocol details were: $2.0 \times 2.0 \times 5.0$ mm resolution; field of view (FOV) = 260×200 mm; 6/8 partial Fourier (PF), and $2 \times$ parallel imaging acceleration using generalized autocalibrating partially parallel acquisitions (20). Based on simulation results, the non-diffusion-weighted images were acquired with three averages for TE_1 and seven averages for TE_2 ; $b = 350$ s/mm² images were acquired at $TE = 65$ ms with 10 averages using CODE- M_1M_2 . Imaging was triggered to at least every fourth heartbeat such that $TR = 4,000$ ms and three dummy cycles were played before acquiring the first $b = 0$ image. Inner volume excitation was used to reduce the FOV in the phase encode direction, thereby shortening the readout duration and reducing image distortions (21). TE_2 ($b = 0$ and 350 s/mm²) was acquired at mid-systole using $TD_{SYS} = 100$ ms and at late diastole using TD_{DIA} , whereas TE_1 was shifted ($TD_{SYS} + 40$ ms and $TD_{DIA} + 40$ ms) such that imaging occurred at the same cardiac phase (Fig 2). Acquiring 40 images per phase required a total scan time of ~ 10 minutes.

Image reconstruction was then performed using custom MATLAB code (The Mathworks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA). Before averaging, all images were coregistered using a rigid transformation to correct for respiratory motion and motion-corrupted voxels were removed using a constrained reconstruction algorithm (22) to correct for artifactual bulk motion signal dropout in the DWI. In this algorithm, a single-gradient direction ADC projection, ADC_0 , was calculated for each image, and any voxels in which ADC_0 exceeded 3.0 mm²/ms (the free diffusivity of water at 37°C , a fundamental limit for diffusion in soft tissue) were discarded.

The T_2 +ADC reconstruction was then performed using two methods: 1) a nonlinear fit (NLF) to Equation [1] and 2) a linear fit (LF) to the natural log of Equation [1]. For each fitting method, mean T_2 (μ_{T_2}), T_2 SD (σ_{T_2}), mean ADC (μ_{ADC}), and ADC SD (σ_{ADC}) were calculated within the LV.

Independent T_2 Mapping—For comparison to T_2 +ADC, breath-held T_2 -prep bSSFP maps were acquired at the same slice location at mid-systole and diastole in separate breath holds with $1.5 \times 1.5 \times 5.0$ mm resolution, $TE = 1.17$, $TR = 3$ heartbeats, linear k-space encoding, and three T_2 -prep durations ($t_{prep} = 0, 25$, and 55 ms). T_2 maps were reconstructed using a least squares linear fit.

Conventional ADC Mapping—ADC maps were also generated from the CODE- M_1M_2 DWI acquired for T_2 +ADC, but using only $TE = 65$ ms at both systole and diastole. ADC values were reconstructed using a least squares linear fit.

T₂ and ADC Map Comparisons—LV masks were manually defined and were used to determine μ_{T_2, T_2+ADC} , σ_{T_2, T_2+ADC} , $\mu_{T_2, bSSFP}$, and $\sigma_{T_2, bSSFP}$ for each subject at both systole and diastole. μ_{T_2} and σ_{T_2} reported by each technique were compared using a paired *t* test across the 8 subjects.

The same analysis was performed on the ADC maps to measure μ_{ADC, T_2+ADC} , $\mu_{ADC, DWI}$, σ_{ADC, T_2+ADC} , and $\sigma_{ADC, DWI}$ for each subject at both cardiac phases.

Patient Imaging

T₂+ADC were acquired on a patient undergoing a clinically indicated cardiac MRI examination at 3.0T (Siemens Prisma) with a nonreperfused acute MI in the inferolateral wall, impaired LV ejection fraction (22%), and a pericardial effusion after a failed rescue percutaneous coronary intervention. Imaging parameters were identical to the volunteer experiments, but the acquisition was limited to a single mid-ventricular slice at mid-systole (TD = 100 ms) and the number of averages was reduced to shorten scan time ($N_{avg, TE1} = 2$; $N_{avg, TE2} = 3$; scan time, ~5 minutes). Postcontrast late gadolinium enhanced (LGE) and cine (bSSFP) images were also acquired for reference. Median T₂ and ADC values were measured in manually defined regions of remote and infarcted myocardium as defined on LGE.

RESULTS

Simulations

T₂+ADC Accuracy—T₂+ADC Bloch simulation results are shown in Figure 3. T₂ errors were observed in measurements made with short TRs, but these became negligible (<1%) for all simulated T₁ and T₂ values when TR = 4,000 ms (Fig 3A). For TR = 1,000 ms (approximately one heart beat), T₂ error was as high as 4.6% (for T₁ = 2,000 ms; T₂ = 30 ms). TR had no impact on ADC accuracy (ADC accuracy < 0.1% for all simulated T₁ and T₂ values; Fig 3B).

T₂+ADC Precision—Both T₂ and ADC precision were dependent on the ratio of $N_{avg, TE1} : N_{avg, TE2}$ (Fig 3C,D). The precision of both the T₂ and ADC maps was greatest when $N_{avg, TE1} : N_{avg, TE2} = 9:1$. σ_{ADC} decreased monotonically as $N_{avg, TE1} : N_{avg, TE2}$ decreased, but with minimal change when $N_{avg, TE1} : N_{avg, TE2} = 4:6$. σ_{T_2} was a concave function of $N_{avg, TE1}$ and reached a minimum at $N_{avg, TE1} : N_{avg, TE2} = 3:7$ for all T₁ values.

Phantom Experiments

Compared with the reference T_{2, SE} maps, T₂+ADC underestimated T₂ ($T_{2, T_2+ADC} = 1.01 * T_{2, SE} - 1.9$ ms; $R^2 = 0.99$) whereas bSSFP overestimated T₂ ($T_{2, bSSFP} = 1.02 * T_{2, SE} + 8.8$ ms; $R^2 = 0.97$) for T₂ values between 30 and 150 ms (Fig 4A). Across all T₂ reference values, T_{2, T_2+ADC} was closer to T_{2, SE} and had a lower variance than T_{2, bSSFP} ($-2.3 \pm 6.0\%$ vs $22.2 \pm 16.3\%$; $P = 2 \times 10^{-7}$). Very high agreement was observed in the diffusion phantom between ADC_{T₂+ADC} and conventional ADC_{DWI} ($ADC_{T_2+ADC} = 1.01 * ADC_{DWI} - 0.02$ mm²/ms; $R^2 = 0.99$; mean ADC difference, $0.14 \pm 0.39\%$; Fig 4B).

Volunteer Experiments

Impact of Fitting Algorithm— T_2 and ADC maps were successfully acquired in all 8 volunteers during both systole and diastole using T_2 +ADC. The choice of fitting algorithm had no significant impact on the population mean or variance of the T_2 maps (LF- μ_{T_2} = 37.7 ± 3.8 vs NLF- μ_{T_2} = 37.7 ± 3.8 ms; $P=1.00$; LF- σ_{T_2} = 7.4 ± 1.2 vs NLF- σ_{T_2} = 7.4 ± 1.2 ms; $P=0.99$). NLF and LF mean ADC values were not significantly different (LF- μ_{ADC} = 1.58 ± 0.28 vs NLF- μ_{ADC} = 1.53 ± 0.25 mm²/ms; $P=0.56$), but the NLF ADC variance was significantly lower than LF (LF- σ_{ADC} = 0.63 ± 0.21 vs NLF- σ_{ADC} = 0.46 ± 0.15 mm²/ms; $P=0.01$). With conventional DWI, mean ADC from T_2 +ADC mapping was not significantly different from NLF or LF ($\mu_{ADC,DWI}$ = 1.51 ± 0.26 mm²/ms; $\mu_{ADC,NLF}$ = 1.53 ± 0.25 mm²/ms; $P=0.48$; $\mu_{ADC,LF}$ = 1.58 ± 0.28 mm²/ms; $P=0.28$). ADC variance from T_2 +ADC mapping was significantly lower than LF ($\sigma_{ADC,DWI}$ = 0.47 ± 0.15 vs $\sigma_{ADC,LF}$ = 0.63 ± 0.21 mm²/ms; $P=0.02$), but not different from NLF ($\sigma_{ADC,DWI}$ = 0.47 ± 0.15 vs $\sigma_{ADC,NLF}$ = 0.46 ± 0.15 mm²/ms; $P=0.80$). T_2 and ADC maps generated using both NLF and LF fitting algorithms are shown in Figure 5. All subsequent analysis of T_2 +ADC was performed using NLF because of the lower NLF ADC variance.

In Vivo T_2 and ADC Mapping—Representative T_2 maps from T_2 +ADC and T_2 -prep bSSFP at both mid-systole and diastole are shown in Figure 6. ADC maps from T_2 +ADC and DWI in the same subject are shown in Figure 7. Mean myocardial T_2 and ADC values (μ_{T_2} and μ_{ADC}) as well as myocardial T_2 and ADC variances (σ_{T_2} and σ_{ADC}) from each technique are shown in Figures 6 and 7 as well as in Table 2.

Mean myocardial T_2 values from T_2 +ADC were significantly lower than bSSFP at both systole and diastole. There were no significant differences in T_2 between systole and diastole within either technique. T_2 variance was significantly lower with T_2 +ADC than with T_2 -prep bSSFP at both systole and diastole.

There were no significant differences in mean myocardial ADC between T_2 +ADC and conventional DWI at either systole or diastole. However, ADC was significantly lower during systole than diastole within both T_2 +ADC ($P=0.02$) and DWI ($P=0.03$). No significant differences were observed in ADC variance between T_2 +ADC and DWI at systole or diastole.

Patient Imaging

T_2 and ADC maps and histograms from T_2 +ADC are shown in Figure 8 along with companion bSSFP and LGE. LGE revealed a large region of enhancement in inferolateral LV free wall. T_2 +ADC showed a significant increase in T_2 within the infarct compared with remote myocardium ($T_{2,Remote}$ = 40.4 ± 7.6 vs $T_{2,Infarct}$ = 56.8 ± 22.0 ms; $P<0.01$) as well as a significant increase in ADC (ADC_{Remote} = 1.47 ± 0.59 vs $ADC_{Infarct}$ = 1.65 ± 0.65 mm²/ms; $P<0.01$).

DISCUSSION

T_2 +ADC permitted quantitative estimates of T_2 from cardiac DWI acquisitions with no significant impact on ADC measurement and no increase in scan time compared with

conventional DWI. Whereas the simulations indicated that a $TR = 4$ seconds (ie, approximately four heart beats) should be used to eliminate T_1 effects, this significantly limits acquisition efficiency. Although a single-slice acquisition was used in this study, T_2 +ADC is compatible with multislice imaging approaches (eg, slice following (23)), which would substantially improve acquisition efficiency.

Whereas the linear biexponential fit in T_2 +ADC did increase ADC variance compared with conventional DWI, the loss in precision was mitigated by using an NLF in place of the conventional log-linear fit. When using the NLF, there were no significant differences in the mean or variance of myocardial ADC with T_2 +ADC compared with DWI. This indicates that T_2 +ADC can generate both maps with no cost in scan time compared with DWI and without affecting ADC measurement.

Myocardial T_2 values measured using T_2 +ADC were significantly shorter than those reported by bSSFP in both the phantom and in vivo experiments. However, in the phantom, T_2 +ADC was closer to the SE reference than bSSFP. This is consistent with reports of bSSFP overestimating T_2 , which are likely attributed to T_1 signal weighting (24). It is possible that T_2^* decay during the single-shot SE-EPI readout caused T_2 +ADC to underestimate T_2 . However, this effect did not bias T_2 measurements in simulations and did not lead to significant errors compared to SE measurements in the phantom study. We expect that the SE sequence produces accurate T_2 measurements because it is free of any stimulated echo effects that are known to lead to errors in multiecho spin-echo T_2 mapping (25–28).

In our experience, the M_1 + M_2 nulled diffusion-encoding approach used in this work performs best during systolic imaging because of the consistent and coherent motion during that phase (6,7). Experience shows that diastolic motion tends to be less consistent and varies with changes in heart rate, which can lead to artificially high diastolic ADC values. This was reflected in the higher and more variable ADC values observed in diastole with both T_2 +ADC and DWI despite the constrained image reconstruction algorithm that eliminates the most severe bulk motion artifacts.

Slightly shorter T_2 values were reported at mid-systole compared to diastole when measured with T_2 +ADC, whereas no such decrease was observed in bSSFP. This difference could also be caused by bulk motion sensitivity in the SE-EPI pulse sequence. The second-order moment of the crusher gradients surrounding the refocusing pulse used for the $b = 0$ acquisitions depends on their timing within the pulse sequence (29). Consequently, acquisitions with long TEs are more bulk-motion sensitive than those with short TEs. This could lead to additional signal decay for longer TEs, which would shorten the apparent T_2 from T_2 +ADC, as observed in mid-systole compared with diastole. Furthermore, inconsistent motion during diastole may have led to the increase in T_2 variability in diastole.

One drawback of T_2 mapping with T_2 +ADC compared with T_2 -prep bSSFP is the impact of single-shot SE EPI on image quality, which has known issues with distortion and chemical shift in the heart (30). The use of inner volume excitation combined with high-performance imaging gradients significantly mitigates these issues by shortening the EPI readout, but

further work is necessary to improve image quality. Furthermore, the minimum TE achievable in T_2 +ADC is directly linked to the EPI readout duration, which, in turn, limits spatial resolution for a given TE. Parallel imaging and PF were used to shorten the EPI readout and thereby decrease the minimum achievable TE and mitigate EPI distortions. However, this impacts SNR, which necessitated signal averaging. In the present study, the minimum TE of 25 ms appeared to be sufficiently short for healthy myocardial T_2 quantification, but this may present a problem with shortened T_2 values in conditions such as thalassemia (31). These drawbacks could potentially be avoided by adapting T_2 +ADC to a diffusion prepared acquisition (5) at the cost of a significantly longer temporal footprint attributed to the duration of a single or multishot bSSSP readout compared with single-shot EPI.

The preliminary T_2 +ADC mapping results in acute MI indicate that this technique is applicable in severely ill patients and can detect the presence of fibrosis and edema. Notably, there was good agreement between ADC values in remote myocardium for this patient and those measured in volunteers. Remote T_2 values were slightly longer than what was observed in volunteers at mid-systole, which indicates the presence of global inflammation which is expected after acute MI (32). Infarct T_2 values were consistent with those reported by Giri et al (9), but infarct ADC values were lower than values observed by Nguyen et al (18).

CONCLUSION

T_2 +ADC is a novel technique for simultaneously estimating T_2 and ADC in the heart during a free-breathing acquisition. T_2 +ADC generated perfectly coregistered maps and had no impact on ADC accuracy, ADC precision, or scan time compared with conventional DWI while making precise measurements of myocardial T_2 .

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Drs Pierre Croisille and Magalie Viallon for the clinical data set presented in this work.

Grant sponsor: Graduate Program in Bioscience at UCLA; Grant sponsor: Department of Radiological Sciences at UCLA; Grant sponsor: NIH; Grant number: R01HL131975; Grant sponsor: AHA; Grant number: 16PRE27380023.

References

1. Wehrli FW, Saha PK, Gomberg BR, Song HK, Snyder PJ, Benito M, Wright A, Weening R. Role of magnetic resonance for assessing structure and function of trabecular bone. *Top Magn Reson Imaging*. 2002; 13:335–355. [PubMed: 12464746]
2. Nguyen C, Fan Z, Xie Y, Dawkins J, Tseliou E, Bi X, Sharif B, Dharmakumar R, Marban E, Li D. In vivo contrast free chronic myocardial infarction characterization using diffusion-weighted cardiovascular magnetic resonance. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2014; 16:68. [PubMed: 25230598]
3. Perazella MA, Rodby RA. Gadolinium-induced nephrogenic systemic fibrosis in patients with kidney disease. *Am J Med*. 2007; 120:561–562. [PubMed: 17602923]
4. Saran R, Li Y, Robinson B, et al. US Renal Data System 2014 Annual Data Report: Epidemiology of Kidney Disease in the United States. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2015; 65(6 Suppl 1):A7.
5. Nguyen C, Fan Z, Sharif B, He Y, Dharmakumar R, Berman DS, Li D. In vivo three-dimensional high resolution cardiac diffusion-weighted MRI: a motion compensated diffusion-prepared balanced

- steady-state free precession approach. *Magn Reson Med*. 2013; 72:1257–1267. [PubMed: 24259113]
6. Stoeck CT, von Deuster C, Genet M, Atkinson D, Kozerke S. Second-order motion-compensated spin echo diffusion tensor imaging of the human heart. *Magn Reson Med*. 2016; 75:1669–1676. [PubMed: 26033456]
 7. Aliotta E, Wu HH, Ennis DB. Convex optimized diffusion encoding (CODE) gradient waveforms for minimum echo time and bulk motion compensated diffusion weighted MRI. *Magn Reson Med*. 2017; 77:717–729. [PubMed: 26900872]
 8. Higgins CB, Herfkens R, Lipton MJ, Sievers R, Sheldon P, Kaufman L, Crooks LE. Nuclear magnetic resonance imaging of acute myocardial infarction in dogs: alterations in magnetic relaxation times. *Am J Cardiol*. 1983; 52:184–188. [PubMed: 6858909]
 9. Giri S, Chung YC, Merchant A, Mihai G, Rajagopalan S, Raman SV, Simonetti OP. T2 quantification for improved detection of myocardial edema. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2009; 11:56. [PubMed: 20042111]
 10. Guo H, Au WY, Cheung JS, Kim D, Jensen JH, Khong PL, et al. Myocardial T2 quantitation in patients with iron overload at 3 Tesla. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2009; 30:394–400. [PubMed: 19629983]
 11. Kali A, Cokic I, Kumar A, Tsaftaris S, Tang RL, Friedrich MG, Dharmakumar R. Acute reperfusion intramyocardial hemorrhage leads to regional chronic iron deposition in the heart. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2013; 15(Suppl 1):174.
 12. NIELLES-Vallespin S, Mekkaoui C, Gatehouse P, Reese TG, Keegan J, Ferreira PF, et al. In vivo diffusion tensor MRI of the human heart: reproducibility of breath-hold and navigator-based approaches. *Magn Reson Med*. 2013; 70:454–465. [PubMed: 23001828]
 13. McGill LA, Scott AD, Ferreira PF, et al. Heterogeneity of fractional anisotropy and mean diffusivity measurements by in vivo diffusion tensor imaging in normal human hearts. *PLoS One*. 2015; 10:e0132360. [PubMed: 26177211]
 14. Hargreaves, BA. Bloch Equation Simulator. 2002. Available at: <http://mrsrl.stanford.edu/~brian/mritools.html>. Accessed October 1, 2016
 15. Fleysher L, Fleysher R, Liu S, Zaaraoui W, Gonen O. Optimizing the precision-per-unit-time of quantitative MR metrics: examples for T1, T2, and DTI. *Magn Reson Med*. 2007; 57:380–387. Accessed October 1, 2016. [PubMed: 17260375]
 16. Zhang, Z., Aliotta, E., Ennis, D. Optimized acquisition for joint T2 and ADC mapping in the Heart. Proceedings of the 24th Annual Meeting & Exhibition of ISMRM; Singapore. 2016. Abstract 3159
 17. Gamper U, Boesiger P, Kozerke S. Diffusion imaging of the in vivo heart using spin echoes—considerations on bulk motion sensitivity. *Magn Reson Med*. 2007; 57:331–337. [PubMed: 17260376]
 18. Nguyen C, Lu M, Fan Z, Bi X, Kellman P, Zhao S, Li D. Contrast-free detection of myocardial fibrosis in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy patients with diffusion-weighted cardiovascular magnetic resonance. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2015; 17:107. [PubMed: 26631061]
 19. Huang TY, Liu YJ, Stemmer A, Poncelet BP. T2 measurement of the human myocardium using a T2-prepared transient-state TrueFISP sequence. *Magn Reson Med*. 2007; 57:960–966. [PubMed: 17457877]
 20. Griswold MA, Jakob PM, Heidemann RM, Nittka M, Jellus V, Wang J, Kiefer B, Haase A. Generalized autocalibrating partially parallel acquisitions (GRAPPA). *Magn Reson Med*. 2002; 47:1202–1210. [PubMed: 12111967]
 21. Feinberg DA, Hoenninger JC, Crooks LE, Kaufman L, Watts JC, Arakawa M. Inner volume MR imaging: technical concepts and their application. *Radiology*. 1985; 156:743–747. [PubMed: 4023236]
 22. Aliotta, E., Rapacchi, S., Hu, P., Ennis, D. Increased maximum gradient amplitude improves robustness of spin-echo cardiac diffusion-weighted MRI. Proceedings of the 18th Annual SCMR Scientific Sessions; France: 2015. p. 4-7.
 23. Moulin K, Croisille P, Feiweier T, Delattre BM, Wei H, Robert B, Beuf O, Viallon M. In vivo free-breathing DTI and IVIM of the whole human heart using a real-time slice-followed SE-EPI

- navigator-based sequence: a reproducibility study in healthy volunteers. *Magn Reson Med*. 2016; 76:70–82. [PubMed: 26301785]
24. Salerno M, Kramer CM. Advances in parametric mapping with CMR imaging. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2013; 6:806–822. [PubMed: 23845576]
25. Majumdar S, Orphanoudakis SC, Gmitro A, O'Donnell M, Gore JC. Errors in the measurements of T2 using multiple-echo MRI techniques. II. Effects of static field inhomogeneity. *Magn Reson Med*. 1986; 3:562–574. [PubMed: 3747818]
26. Majumdar S, Orphanoudakis SC, Gmitro A, O'Donnell M, Gore JC. Errors in the measurements of T2 using multiple-echo MRI techniques. I. Effects of radiofrequency pulse imperfections. *Magn Reson Med*. 1986; 3:397–417. [PubMed: 3724419]
27. Crawley AP, Henkelman RM. Errors in T2 estimation using multislice multiple-echo imaging. *Magn Reson Med*. 1987; 4:34–47. [PubMed: 3821477]
28. Sussman MS, Vidarsson L, Pauly JM, Cheng HL. A technique for rapid single-echo spin-echo T2 mapping. *Magn Reson Med*. 2010; 64:536–545. [PubMed: 20665797]
29. Bernstein, MA., King, KF., Zhou, ZJ. Handbook of MRI pulse sequences. Amsterdam; Boston, MA: Academic; 2004. p. 320
30. Ferreira PF, Gatehouse PD, Mohiaddin RH, Firmin DN. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance artefacts. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson*. 2013; 15:41. [PubMed: 23697969]
31. He T, Gatehouse PD, Anderson LJ, Tanner M, Keegan J, Pennell DJ, Firmin DN. Development of a novel optimized breathhold technique for myocardial T2 measurement in thalassemia. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2006; 24:580–585. [PubMed: 16892203]
32. Manrique A, Gerbaud E, Derumeaux G, Cribier A, Bertrand D, Lebon A, Dacher JN. Cardiac magnetic resonance demonstrates myocardial oedema in remote tissue early after reperfused myocardial infarction. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis*. 2009; 102:633–639. [PubMed: 19786267]

FIG. 1.

T_2 +ADC Bloch equation simulation framework. (a) T_2^* weighting was generated using Bloch equation simulations of the signal during the spin echo acquisition, which generated (b) the k-space weighting that modulated line by line the k-space (c) corresponding to a simulated LV for two TEs (25 and 65 ms) and two b-values ($b = 0$ and 350 s/mm^2) (d). Complex Gaussian noise was added to the resultant k-space signals to generate noisy images (e) that were then used to estimate T_2 and ADC (f) according to Equation [1].

FIG. 2.

T_2 +ADC pulse sequence diagram. T_2 +ADC consists of SE EPI DWI with $b = 0$ reference images at $TE=25$ (**a**) and $TE=65$ ms (**b**) to enable T_2 mapping. (**c**) Bulk motion robust CODE- M_1M_2 gradients were used ($b = 350$ s/mm²; $TE = 65$ ms) to obtain cardiac DWI within a reasonable TE. ECG trigger delays were defined for each acquisitions such that imaging always occurred at the same cardiac phase. ECG, electrocardiogram.

FIG. 3.

Bloch simulation results for joint T_2 +ADC mapping. T_2 accuracy (a) was $<1\%$ when TR 4000 ms whereas ADC accuracy (b) was $<1\%$ for all TR s. T_2 precision (c) was minimized when $N_{avg,TE1}=3$ ($TE_1=25$ ms) and $N_{avg,TE2}=7$ ($TE_2=65$ ms) for all T_1 ($N_{avg,TE1}+N_{avg,TE2}=10$). ADC precision (d) decreased with decreasing $N_{avg,TE1}$, but the change was negligible with $N_{avg,TE1} \geq 4$.

FIG. 4.

(a) T₂ phantom validation results comparing T₂+ADC (blue) and T₂-prepared bSSFP (red) to conventional SE T₂-mapping. (b) compares ADC from T₂+ADC to conventional DWI. Good agreement was observed between T₂ techniques, but bSSFP overestimated T₂ whereas T₂+ADC slightly underestimated T₂ compared with conventional SE. Very high agreement was observed between ADC maps. The dashed black line is the line of unity.

FIG. 5.

Example T₂ and ADC maps from T₂+ADC generated using linear fitting (a) and nonlinear fitting (b). The choice of fitting algorithm had no significant impact on the mean or variance of the T₂ maps. Nonlinear fitting had no significant impact on mean ADC, but led to ADC maps with significantly lower variance ($P=0.01$).

FIG. 6.

Representative T₂ maps measured in a healthy volunteer using T₂+ADC and T₂-prepared bSSFP (a) at a mid-systolic (top row) and diastolic (bottom row) cardiac phase. The mean myocardial T₂ values (μT₂) measured by each technique for 8 (N = 8) subjects are also shown in (b). The box edges represent the population mean ± 1 SD and individual points represent the mean intrasubject value. Consistent with phantom results, T₂+ADC reported significantly lower T₂ values than T₂-prep bSSFP at both systole ($P=6\times10^{-4}$) and diastole ($P=1\times10^{-3}$).

FIG. 7.

ADC maps (a) from the healthy volunteer shown in Figure 6 using T₂+ADC and DWI at a systolic (top row) and diastolic (bottom row) cardiac phase. The mean myocardial ADC values (μ_{ADC}) measured by each technique for 8 ($N = 8$) subjects are also shown in (b). The box edges represent the population mean ± 1 SD and individual points represent the mean intrasubject value. No significant differences were observed in the ADC values reported by T₂+ADC and DWI at either phase.

FIG. 8.

A representative diffusion weighted image (a), T₂ map (b), ADC map (c), cine image (d), and LGE (e) from a patient with acute MI. T₂ and ADC were both elevated in the infarct region (lateral wall) compared to the remote myocardium (septal wall; T_{2,Infarct} = 56.8 ± 22.0 vs T_{2,Remote} = 40.4 ± 7.6 ms; $P < 0.01$; ADC_{Infarct} = 1.65 ± 0.65 vs ADC_{Remote} = 1.47 ± 0.59 mm²/ms; $P < 0.01$).

Table 1

Protocol Details for Each of the T₂ and ADC Mapping Sequences

	Resolution [mm]	FOV [mm]	TE [ms]	T _{prep} [ms]	TR [ms]	b [s/mm ²]	Undersampling
T ₂ +ADC	2.0 × 2.0 × 5.0	260 × 130	25, 65	N/A	4,000	0, 350	6/8 PF, iPAT x2
DWI	2.0 × 2.0 × 5.0	260 × 130	65	N/A	4,000	0, 350	6/8 PF, iPAT x2
bSSFP	1.5 × 1.5 × 5.0	312 × 312	1.17	0, 25, 55	3,000	N/A	6/8 PF
SE	1.0 × 1.0 × 5.0	200 × 200	12, 25, 55, 85, 100	N/A	10,000	N/A	N/A

N/A, not applicable.

Table 2

Quantitative In Vivo Results

	Mid-Systole				Diastole			
	μ_{T2} [ms]	σ_{T2} [ms]	μ_{ADC} [mm ² /ms]	σ_{ADC} [mm ² /ms]	μ_{T2} [ms]	σ_{T2} [ms]	μ_{ADC} [mm ² /ms]	σ_{ADC} [mm ² /ms]
T2+ADC	35.8 ± 3.1	6.9 ± 1.1	1.39 ± 0.18	0.41 ± 0.09	39.2 ± 5.4	8.0 ± 1.0	1.64 ± 0.31	0.51 ± 0.18
DWI	N/A	N/A	1.38 ± 0.18	0.41 ± 0.08	N/A	N/A	1.65 ± 0.32	0.52 ± 0.19
bSSFP	46.8 ± 3.8 ^a	12.2 ± 3.2 ^a	N/A	N/A	46.8 ± 3.4 ^a	14.0 ± 4.1 ^a	N/A	N/A

^aIndicates significant differences from T2+ADC.

N/A, not applicable.