Published in final edited form as:

Gynecol Oncol. 2017 April ; 145(1): 37–40. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2017.01.027.

Activity of bevacizumab-containing regimens in recurrent low-grade serous ovarian or peritoneal cancer: A single institution experience

Heather J. Dalton^{1,2}, Nicole D. Fleming¹, Charlotte C. Sun¹, Priya Bhosale³, Kathleen M. Schmeler¹, and David M. Gershenson¹

¹Department of Gynecologic Oncology and Reproductive Medicine, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX

³Department of Diagnostic Radiology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX

Abstract

Objective—The aim of this study was to evaluate the activity of bevacizumab in a cohort of women with recurrent low-grade serous carcinoma of the ovary or peritoneum.

Methods—This single-institution retrospective study assessed all patients at MD Anderson Cancer Center with recurrent low-grade serous ovarian or peritoneal cancer who received bevacizumab from 2007 to 2016. Study endpoints included best response, median progression-free survival, median overall survival, and toxicity.

Results—Forty patients received 45 separate “patient-regimens.” Most received bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy. Complete response (CR) was seen in 7.5%, while 40% had partial responses (PR) and 30% achieved stable disease (SD). Disease progression occurred in nine patients (22.5%). Overall response rate (CR + PR) to bevacizumab-containing regimens was 47.5%. Clinical benefit (CR + PR + SD) was seen in 77.5% of patients. Median progression free survival was 10.2 months (95% CI 7.9, 12.4). Median overall survival was 34.6 months (95% CI 29.5, 39.7). Fifteen patients discontinued bevacizumab related to toxicity.

Conclusions—Bevacizumab, most often in combination with chemotherapy, has activity in recurrent low-grade ovarian cancer and should be considered a treatment option for these patients. Further investigation into the most effective chemotherapeutic agent in combination with bevacizumab is warranted.

Corresponding Author: David M. Gershenson, MD, Department of Gynecologic Oncology and Reproductive Medicine, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Unit 1362, 1155 Pressler Drive, Houston, TX 77030, dgershen@mdanderson.org. Tel: 1 (713) 745-2565; Fax: 1 (713) 792-7586.

²Present Address: Arizona Oncology, 2222 E. Highland Ave, Phoenix, AZ;

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Introduction

Low-grade serous ovarian cancer (LGSOC) or peritoneal cancer (LGSPC) presents unique clinical challenges. While this histology accounts for only 10% of serous ovarian cancers, these tumors are more chemoresistant than their high-grade serous counterparts [1–5]. Standard platinum-based chemotherapy is less effective in this subtype, prompting the exploration of alternative treatment approaches, including greater emphasis on surgical resection, the use of hormonal therapies, alternative chemotherapy combinations, and targeted agent therapies [6–11].

Given the importance of angiogenesis in tumor growth, therapies targeting VEGF and other angiogenic pathways have been the subject of intense investigation. Bevacizumab (Avastin®, Roche) is a monoclonal VEGF-A antibody with demonstrated activity in ovarian cancer, both alone and in combination with chemotherapeutic agents. Although several trials have demonstrated activity of bevacizumab in multiple clinical settings including primary; recurrent, platinum-sensitive; and recurrent, platinum-resistant epithelial ovarian cancer [12–18], the majority of patients included had high-grade serous ovarian cancer.

In 2010, Schmeler et al. reported our preliminary experience in treating 17 women with recurrent low-grade serous carcinoma of the ovary or peritoneum with bevacizumab [19]. In that report, an objective response rate of 39% and an overall clinical benefit rate of 62% were observed. Subsequent retrospective cohort studies of bevacizumab in low-grade serous carcinoma reported similar findings [20,21]. The purpose of this study is to examine our single-institution, updated experience with the use of bevacizumab in the treatment of recurrent low-grade serous carcinoma of the ovary or peritoneum.

Methods

An institutional review board–approved longitudinal database—the Low-Grade Serous Tumor Database—was established in 2007. Data collection is both retrospective and prospective in nature. A waiver of informed consent was granted for patients who had not been seen at our institution for 1 year. All others provided written informed consent. Eligibility criteria for inclusion in this study were: 1) pathologically confirmed, recurrent low-grade serous carcinoma of the ovary or peritoneum, 2) treatment with bevacizumab, and 3) adequate clinical information.

Pathology slides of all patients in the database were reviewed by MD Anderson gynecologic pathologists and documented as LGSOC or LGSPC. Criteria for the diagnosis of low-grade serous carcinoma have been previously reported [22,23].

Database elements included demographic information, number of prior treatment regimens, details of the bevacizumab-containing regimen, duration and dates of bevacizumab therapy, platinum status at the time of treatment with bevacizumab, reasons for discontinuation of bevacizumab, associated adverse events of bevacizumab therapy, date of disease progression on bevacizumab, disease status at date of last contact, and date of last contact or death.

Imaging studies were reviewed by a single radiologist (PB), and clinical response was determined using RECIST 1.1 criteria [24]. Stable disease (SD) was reported for those patients who met RECIST 1.1 criteria for a minimum of 12 weeks.

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics (version 21, Armonk, NY). Progression-free survival (PFS) was calculated from the date of the start of bevacizumab therapy to date of disease progression or the date of death, whichever occurred first. Overall survival (OS) was calculated from the date of the start of bevacizumab therapy to date of last contact or death resulting from any cause. Cumulative distributions of OS and PFS were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method [25].

Results

Between 2007 and 2016, 40 patients evaluated at our institution with recurrent lowgrade serous carcinoma of the ovary or peritoneum received bevacizumab therapy. Five of these 40 patients received bevacizumab on two separate occasions, for a total of 45 “patient-regimens.” Characteristics of these 40 patients are summarized in Table 1. The median age of patients was 43.8 years (range 20.8–80.2 years). The median number of prior regimens was 4 (range, 1–15). The average duration of bevacizumab treatment was four months, with a range of 0.8 to 25.4 months. Of the 45 patient-regimens administered, ten (22.2%) were platinum-sensitive at the initiation of bevacizumab treatment, while 35 (77.8%) were considered platinum-resistant.

Of the 45 patient-regimens, 40 were evaluable for response while five patients had no measurable disease. The specific regimens and response data are presented in Table 2. Complete responses (CR) were seen in three patients (7.5%). Sixteen patients (40.0%) had partial responses (PR), while 12 patients (30.0%) achieved stable disease (SD) (Table 2). Disease progression occurred in nine patients (22.5%). Overall response rate (CR + PR) to bevacizumab-containing regimens was 47.5%. Clinical benefit (CR + PR + SD) was achieved in 77.5% of patients. For the nine patients with measurable platinum-sensitive disease, two (22.2%) had a CR, two (22.2%) had a PR, two (22.2%) had SD, and three (33.3%) had PD, for an overall response rate of 44.4% and a clinical benefit rate of 66.7%. For the 31 patients with platinum-resistant disease, one (3.2%) had a CR, 14 (45.2%) had a PR, 10 (32.3%) had SD, and six (19.4%) had PD, for an overall response rate of 48.4% and a clinical benefit rate of 80.6%.

Median progression-free survival (PFS) was 10.2 months (95% CI 7.9, 12.4). Median overall survival was 34.6 months (95% CI 29.5, 39.7). Two patients remained on bevacizumab treatment at last contact at 14.3 and 43.9 months.

Reasons for discontinuation of bevacizumab and associated adverse events are shown in Table 3. The most common reason for discontinuation of bevacizumab was progressive disease (20/45, 44.4%). Attending physicians discontinued bevacizumab therapy in four patients who were responding to therapy (1 CR and 3 PR) and in one patient who began therapy without measurable disease and was clinically disease-free after 4 months of treatment. Fifteen patients discontinued bevacizumab for treatment-related adverse events.

Bowel perforation was seen in two patients (4.4%), with an additional patient developing an enterocutaneous fistula. Severe hypertension, requiring cessation of treatment, was seen in two patients (4.4%). Other adverse effects are shown in Table 3. Three patients discontinued bevacizumab for miscellaneous reasons (increasing ascites (1), indication for secondary cytoreductive surgery (1), and lost insurance coverage (1)). Two patients were continuing bevacizumab at the time of this report.

As noted, three patients (6.7%) developed bowel perforation or enterocutaneous fistula. All had an original diagnosis of stage IIIC LGSOC and received a bevacizumab-containing regimen for recurrence following several prior lines of therapy. Following 4 cycles of bevacizumab and cyclophosphamide, a 33 year-old woman developed an enterocutaneous fistula that was managed non-operatively. A 27 year-old patient developed a perforation of the distal ileum approximately 2 weeks following her first cycle of bevacizumab and sorafenib; she was also treated non-operatively with a drainage procedure. A 46 year-old woman presented with an intra-abdominal abscess following her second cycle of bevacizumab, temsirolimus, and sorafenib, and underwent an ileostomy for a perforation of the distal ileum.

Discussion

The clinical management of LGSOC remains challenging. In this large series from a single institution, we demonstrate the activity of bevacizumab, most commonly in combination with other agents, in a heavily pretreated population. The overall response rate was substantial at 47.5%, with clinical benefit seen in 77.5% of patients. Notably, 7.5% of patients had a complete response. However, in one third of patients, bevacizumab therapy was discontinued related to adverse events.

For all epithelial ovarian cancers, prospective clinical trials have demonstrated improved outcomes for women treated with bevacizumab in the front-line setting [17,18], the relapsed setting (both platinum-sensitive and platinum-resistant) [13,14], the platinum-sensitive relapsed setting [15], and the platinum-resistant relapsed setting [12,16]. Although there have not been any prospective clinical trials specifically for women with low-grade serous carcinoma, a few retrospective series have been reported.

Bidus et al. reported two cases of patients with recurrent low-grade serous carcinoma who responded to bevacizumab therapy [26]. In 2010, Schmeler et al. reported our initial experience in treating 17 women with recurrent low-grade serous carcinoma with bevacizumab [19]. Of 13 patients with measurable disease, five (38.4%) had a partial response, and three (23%) had stable disease. The present study represents an expanded and updated experience of women with recurrent low-grade serous carcinoma seen at our institution and treated with bevacizumab.

Two other groups have also reported their single-institution experience in treating this rare subtype with bevacizumab. Grisham and colleagues reported 17 patients—4 with serous borderline tumors and 13 with low-grade serous carcinoma of the ovary or peritoneum—who were treated with bevacizumab [20]. Two of the patients received single-agent

bevacizumab, and the others received the drug in combination with various chemotherapeutic agents. Of the 15 patients with evaluable disease, six (40%) had a partial response, and five (33.3%) had stable disease lasting 3 months or more, for a clinical benefit rate of 73.3%. Among the subgroup with low-grade serous carcinoma, the response rate was 55%, which is similar to our experience. Median PFS was not reported for this cohort. Rose et al. subsequently described 12 patients with low-grade serous carcinoma treated with bevacizumab [21]. This cohort was less homogeneous than ours or that of Grisham et al. in that three patients received bevacizumab as firstline therapy. In addition, 11 of the 12 patients received bevacizumab alone. Of the nine patients with measurable disease, only one (11%) had a partial response. The median PFS was 48 months. It is unclear whether the use of single-agent bevacizumab rather than combination with chemotherapy possibly contributed to the low observed response rate.

As noted, three patients (6.7%) in our study experienced a bowel perforation or enterocutaneous fistula. For studies involving all ovarian cancer subtypes, gastrointestinal perforation has been reported in association with bevacizumab therapy in all treatment settings, including first-line (1.3–2.8%) [17,18], relapsed (both platinum-sensitive and platinum-resistant) (0–5.7%) [13,14], recurrent platinum-sensitive (< 1%) [15], and recurrent platinum-resistant (2.2–11%) [16]. For reports of bevacizumab in the treatment of LGSOC or LGSPC, the rate of bowel perforation or fistula has ranged from 0–5.9% [20,21,26].

Limitations of this study include those associated with a retrospective database investigation, including incomplete data, referral bias, and a long study period. Additionally, while the number of patients available for analysis is large compared to previous studies, the sample size remains small. Treatment regimens varied widely, potentially confounding our observed results. Given the rarity of this disease, however; prospective data collection is limited, and the activity of bevacizumab seen in this study is provocative. These results, while retrospective, are encouraging and warrant further investigation in a prospective clinical trial setting.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by The Sara Brown Musselman Fund for Serous Ovarian Cancer Research and the MD Anderson Cancer Center Support Grant from the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health (NIH/NCI P30 CA016672).

References

1. Gershenson DM, Sun CC, Lu KH, Coleman RL, Sood AK, Malpica A, et al. Clinical behavior of stage II–IV low-grade serous carcinoma of the ovary. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2006 Aug; 108(2):361–8. [PubMed: 16880307]
2. Schmeler KM, Sun CC, Bodurka DC, T Deavers M, Malpica A, Coleman RL, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy for low-grade serous carcinoma of the ovary or peritoneum. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2008; 108(3):510–4. [PubMed: 18155273]
3. Gershenson DM, Sun CC, Bodurka D, Coleman RL, Lu KH, Sood AK, et al. Recurrent low-grade serous ovarian carcinoma is relatively chemoresistant. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2009; 114(1):48–52. [PubMed: 19361839]

4. Gershenson DM, Bodurka DC, Lu KH, Nathan LC, Milojevic L, Wong KK, et al. Impact of age and primary disease site on outcome in women with low-grade serous carcinoma of the ovary or peritoneum: Results of a large single-institution registry of a rare tumor. *J Clin Oncol.* 2015; 33(24): 2675–82. [PubMed: 26195696]
5. Grabowski JP, Harter P, Heitz F, Pujade-Lauraine E, Reuss A, Kristensen G, et al. Operability and chemotherapy responsiveness in advanced low-grade serous ovarian cancer. An analysis of the AGO Study Group metadatabase. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2016; 140(3):457–62. [PubMed: 26807488]
6. Gershenson DM, Sun CC, Iyer RB, Malpica AL, Kavanagh JJ, Bodurka DC, et al. Hormonal therapy for recurrent low-grade serous carcinoma of the ovary or peritoneum. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2012; 125(3):661–6. [PubMed: 22406638]
7. Nickles Fader A, Java J, Ueda S, Bristow RE, Armstrong DK, Bookman MA, et al. Survival in women with grade 1 serous ovarian carcinoma. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2013; 122:225–32. [PubMed: 23969788]
8. Crane, EK., Sun, CC., Ramirez, PT., Schmeler, KM., Malpica, A., Gershenson, DM. *Gynecol Oncol.* Vol. 136. Elsevier Inc; 2015. The role of secondary cytoreduction in low-grade serous ovarian cancer or peritoneal cancer; p. 25-9.
9. Farley J, Brady WE, Vathipadiekal V, Lankes HA, Coleman R, Morgan MA, et al. Selumetinib in women with recurrent low-grade serous carcinoma of the ovary or peritoneum: an open-label, single-arm, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2013; 14(2):134–40. [PubMed: 23261356]
10. Hyman DM, Puzanov I, Subbiah V, Faris JE, Chau I, Blay J-Y, et al. Vemurafenib in multiple nonmelanoma cancers with BRAFV600 mutations. *N Engl J Med.* 2015; 373(8):726–36. [PubMed: 26287849]
11. Groen RS, Gershenson DM, Fader AN. Updates and emerging therapies for rare epithelial ovarian cancers: One size no longer fits all. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2015; 136(2):373–83. [PubMed: 25481800]
12. Cannistra SA, Matulonis UA, Penson RT, Hambleton J, Dupont J, Mackey H, et al. Phase II study of bevacizumab in patients with platinum-resistant ovarian cancer or peritoneal serous cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007; 25(33):5180–6. [PubMed: 18024865]
13. Burger RA, Sill MW, Monk BJ, Greer BE, Sorosky JJ. Phase II trial of bevacizumab in persistent or recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer or primary peritoneal cancer: A Gynecologic Oncology Group study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007; 25(33):5165–71. [PubMed: 18024863]
14. Garcia AA, Hirte H, Fleming G, Yang D, Tsao-Wei DD, Roman L, et al. Phase II clinical trial of bevacizumab and low-dose metronomic oral cyclophosphamide in recurrent ovarian cancer: A trial of the California, Chicago, and Princess Margaret Hospital Phase II Consortia. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008; 26(1):76–82. [PubMed: 18165643]
15. Aghajanian C, Blank SV, Goff BA, Judson PL, Teneriello MG, Husain A, et al. OCEANS: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III trial of chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab in patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent epithelial ovarian, primary peritoneal, or fallopian tube cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012; 30(17):2039–45. [PubMed: 22529265]
16. Pujade-Lauraine E, Hilpert F, Weber B, Reuss A, Poveda A, Kristensen G, et al. Bevacizumab combined with chemotherapy for platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer: The AURELIA open-label randomized phase III trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014; 32(13):1302–8. [PubMed: 24637997]
17. Burger RA, Brady MF, Bookman MA, Fleming GF, Monk BJ, Huang H, et al. Incorporation of bevacizumab in the primary treatment of ovarian cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2011; 365(26):2473–83. [PubMed: 22204724]
18. Perren TJ, Swart A-M, Pfisterer J, Ledermann JA, Pujade-Lauraine E, Kristensen G, et al. A phase 3 trial of bevacizumab in ovarian cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2011; 365(26):2484–96. [PubMed: 22204725]
19. Schmeler KM, Tao X, Sun CC, Malpica A, Deavers M, Sood A, et al. Encouraging responses with bevacizumab in recurrent low-grade serous ovarian cancer. *Proc ASCO.* 2010; 28 (15(abstr #e15503)).
20. Grisham RN, Iyer G, Sala E, Zhou Q, Iasonos A, DeLair D, et al. Bevacizumab shows activity in patients with low-grade serous ovarian and primary peritoneal cancer. *Int J Gynecol Cancer.* 2014; 24(6):1010–4. [PubMed: 24978709]

21. Rose PG, Mahdi H, Jernigan A, Yang B. Activity of bevacizumab in patients with low-grade serous ovarian carcinoma. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2016; 26(6):1048–52. [PubMed: 27258727]
22. Malpica A, Deavers MT, Lu K, Bodurka DC, Atkinson EN, Gershenson DM, et al. Grading ovarian serous carcinoma using a two-tier system. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2004; 28(4):496–504. [PubMed: 15087669]
23. Schmeler, KM., Sun, CC., Malpica, A., Deavers, MT., Bodurka, DC., Gershenson, DM. *Gynecol Oncol*. Vol. 121. Elsevier Inc; 2011. Low-grade serous primary peritoneal carcinoma; p. 482-6.
24. Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: Revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). *Eur J Cancer*. 2009; 45(2):228–47. [PubMed: 19097774]
25. Kaplan EL, Meier P. Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. *J Am Stat Assoc*. 1958; 53:457–481.
26. Bidus MA, Webb JC, Seidman JD, Rose GS, Boice CR, Elkas JC. Sustained response to bevacizumab in refractory well-differentiated ovarian neoplasms. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2006; 102(1):5–7. [PubMed: 16697451]

Highlights

- Bevacizumab has significant activity in recurrent low-grade serous carcinoma
- A significant proportion of women discontinued bevacizumab due to adverse events.
- Based on this large, retrospective experience, a prospective clinical trial is warranted.

Table 1

Patient characteristics (N=40)

Characteristic	Median (range)
Age at start of bevacizumab (years)	43.8 (20.8, 80.2)
Number of prior regimens	4.0 (1,15)
^I Duration on bevacizumab (months)	4.0 (0.7, 43.9)
Characteristic	n (%)
Primary site	
Ovary	28 (70)
Peritoneum	12 (30)
^I Platinum status at time of treatment with bevacizumab	
Sensitive	10 (22.2)
Resistant	35 (77.8)

^IBased on 45 “patient-regimens” for 40 patients

Table 2

Best response by regimen

Regimen	Total No. Pts.	CR	PR	SD	PD	NE
Bev + paclitaxel/carboplatin	4	2	0	2	0	0
Bev + gemcitabine/carboplatin	2	0	1	0	1	0
Bev + docetaxel/carboplatin	1	0	0	0	0	1
Bev + pegylated liposomal doxorubicin/carboplatin	1	0	1	0	0	0
Bev + carboplatin	1	0	0	0	1	0
Bev + cyclophosphamide	8	0	3	3	1	1
Bev + weekly paclitaxel	4	0	1	2	0	1
Bev + docetaxel	3	0	3	0	0	0
Bev + gemcitabine	2	0	0	0	2	0
Bev + topotecan	2	0	1	1	0	0
Bev alone	3	0	0	2	0	1
Bev + gemcitabine + fulvestrant	1	0	0	1	0	0
Bev + tamoxifen + carboplatin	1	0	0	0	1	0
Bev + aromatase inhibitor	3	1	0	1	1	0
Bev + leuprolide acetate	1	0	0	0	1	0
Bev + pegylated liposomal doxorubicin + temsirolimus	1	0	1	0	0	0
Bev + sorafenib	3	0	2	0	0	1
Bev + temsirolimus + sorafenib	1	0	1	0	0	0
Bev + everolimus	1	0	1	0	0	0
Bev + sorafenib + temsirolimus	1	0	1	0	0	0
Bev + autologous vaccine	1	0	0	0	1	0

Abbreviations: CR = complete response; PR = partial response; SD = stable disease; PD = progressive disease; NE = not evaluable for response; Bev=bevacizumab

Table 3

Reasons for discontinuation of bevacizumab and associated adverse events

Reason for stopping bevacizumab	No. of events	%
Progressive Disease (PD)	20	44.4
Treatment-related adverse events	15	33.3
Complete response (CR)	1	2.2
Partial response (PR)	3	6.7
No measurable disease after 4 months	1	2.2
Miscellaneous	3	6.7
Remain on bevacizumabas at last contact	2	4.4
Adverse events associated with treatment		
GI:		
Perforation	2	4.4
Enterocutaneous fistula	1	2.2
SBO	1	2.2
hematemesis	1	2.2
GI side effects	1	2.2
Renal:		
Acute renal failure	1	2.2
Hypertension	1	2.2
Hypertension, hematuria	1	2.2
Proteinuria	1	2.2
Infectious:		
Breast lymphangitis	1	2.2
Epidural abscess	1	2.2
Pelvic abscess	1	2.2
Abdominal wall cellulitis	1	2.2
Delayed wound healing	1	2.2

Abbreviations: PD = progressive disease; CR = complete response; PR = partial response