Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron. 2018 February 22; 74(8): 769–772. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2017.12.027.

Rapid access to the core skeleton of the [3 + 2]-type dimeric pyrrole–imidazole alkaloids by triplet ketone-mediated C–H functionalization

Lin You^a and Chuo Chen^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Biochemistry, UT Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Boulevard, Dallas, TX 75390-9038, USA

Abstract

The ability of triplet ketones to abstract a hydrogen atom from hydrocarbons is reminiscent of that of the high-spin metal-oxo complexes in C–H oxidation enzymes. In practice, the reactivity of triplet ketones is easier to control and applicable to promoting a wider range of reactions. We demonstrate herein the synthetic utility of triplet ketone-mediated C-addition of methanol to cyclopentenone derivatives with an expedient synthesis of the core skeleton of the [3+2]-type dimeric pyrrole–imidazole alkaloids. Remarkably, this photochemical C–H functionalization reaction is highly regioselective and can tolerate a good range of functional groups.

Graphical Abstract

Keywords

C–H functionalization; Photochemistry; Triplet ketone; Radical reaction; Conjugate addition

1. Introduction

The [3+2]-type dimeric pyrrole–imidazole alkaloids such as massadine (**1**) possess a unique molecular skeleton that has fascinated synthetic chemists for decades (Fig. 1).¹ Numerous labs have developed synthetic approaches to construct their cyclopentyl core structure. The

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-215-648-5048; fax: +1-214-648-6455; Chuo.Chen@UTSouthwestern.edu.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary data (NMR spectra of **7–11**) associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2013.11.012>.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Baran lab, the Namba and Tanino labs, and our lab have further accomplished the syntheses of various members of this family of natural products.^{2–4} Previously, we followed the Molinski–Romo and Scheuer biosynthetic hypotheses to guide our synthesis of **1**.⁴ We report herein an alternative approach to assemble its core skeleton **2** using a triplet ketone-mediated C–H functionalization reaction.

Photoexcited carbonyl (carbon-oxo) compounds are functional equivalent to the metal-oxo complexes in C–H oxidation enzymes as both species can abstract a hydrogen atom from unactivated C–H groups.⁵ Whereas the reactivity of metal-oxo complexes is difficult to control, that of triplet ketones can be tuned easily by changing the n/π^* energy gap through modifying the ketone substituent groups. This unique feature has inspired us to develop a new photochemical C–H halogenation reaction.⁶ We have also demonstrated that CFL-irradiation of cyclopentenone (**3**) with isopropanol (**4**) gave the C–C coupling product **5** directly.^{6b} This photochemical C–H functionalization reaction was first reported by Schenck,⁷ and its synthetic utility has been explored briefly by Fraser-Reid⁸ and Mann.⁹ We now show that this photoreaction helps provide a rapid access of **2**.

2. Results/discussion

In our previous synthesis of the dimeric pyrrole–imidazole alkaloids, we used a single-electron oxidation reaction to generate a radical species that undergoes tandem cyclization to produce the core skeleton of **1**.¹⁰ While it has allowed us to examine the Molinski–Romo biosynthetic hypothesis,¹¹ the lengthy synthesis and low overall yield prompted us to develop a new synthetic approach to support functional studies of this class of natural products.

We envisioned that sequential introduction of the C1- and C15-side-chains to a cyclopentyl ring using triplet ketone-mediated ethereal C–H functionalization to generate **2** would form the basis for a facile synthesis of **1**. Our new synthesis starts from installation of a handle at the C2-position of **3** to enable the construction of the C3–C7 cyclic guanidine group and to control the stereochemistry of the subsequent C–H functionalization reactions. Specifically, we used a Morita–Baylis–Hillman reaction¹² of **3** and Garner's aldehyde (**6**) to produce enone **7** following in situ protection of the newly generated hydroxyl group (Fig. 2). A combination of a substoichiometric amount of tri-*n*-butylphosphine and racemic 1,1'-bi-2-naphthol (*rac*-BINOL)¹³ promoted this reaction efficiently giving **7** in 73% yield. Using either (*R*)- or (*S*)-BINOL did not provide improved diastereoselectivity. Performing this reaction in toluene, *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF), or tetrahydrofuran (THF) instead of methylene chloride resulted in reduced diastereoselectivity and/or yield. However, 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) also promoted this reaction effectively.

With **7** in hand, we searched for conditions suitable for C-addition of methanol^{8,9,14} to the enone group to introduce the C1-side-chain. In contrast to our previous reaction of **3** and **4** (Fig. 1), no reaction occurred when irradiating **7** in methanol directly with light generated from a CFL lamp (Fig. 3). Whereas addition of benzophenone alone did not facilitate the reaction, together with switching to using 16 broadband UV-visible lamps (360–750 nm, λ_{max} 575 nm) led to the formation of **8** in 25% yield. Irradiation with violet light (375–465

nm, λ_{max} 419 nm) provided improved conversion. Specifically, irradiation of a 1:1 mixture of **7** and benzophenone in methanol with violet light at room temperature gave the desired product **8** in 55–65% yield together with des-acetonide-**8** in about 10% yield. In contrast, irradiation with UV light (300–420 nm, λ_{max} 350 nm; or 250–375 nm, λ_{max} 300 nm) gave a faster reaction rate but a lower yield of **8** due to decomposition. Among all other C–H abstracting ketones examined, only acetophenone was effective under these reaction conditions, providing **8** in 15% yield after 96 h of reaction time. It is noteworthy that the chiral C2-side-chain provided a good stereochemical control (d.r. >10:1) of the formation of the C1-and C2-stereocenters.

After installation of the C1-side-chain, we introduced an enone group to the C14–C15 position of **8** to enable the installation of the C15-side-chain. We first protected the hydroxyl group of **8** as a trimethylsilyl (TMS) ether and then treated the reaction mixture with lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (LiHMDS) to give a lithium enolate that was directly reacted with *N-tert*-butylbenzenesulfinimidoyl chloride to give enone **9** in 65% yield (Fig. 4). Unreacted alcohol **8** was also recovered in 25% yield. Whereas attempts to react the lithium enolate with phenylselenenyl chloride followed by oxidation with hydrogen peroxide failed to produce **9**, protection of the hydroxyl group of **8** as a *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl (TBS) ether provided a more stable substrate that could be oxidized by the phenylselenenyl chloride/hydrogen peroxide method. However, after TBS-deprotection, the overall yield of **9** for this four-step procedure is only 24%.

To install the C15-side-chain by photochemical ethereal C–H functionalization, we irradiated **9** and benzophenone in methanol with violet light and obtained a 46% yield of **10** together with a 24% yield of its C15-diastereomer (Fig. 5). The stereoselectivity of this photoreaction could be improved by increasing the size of the C1 side-chain. For example, reaction of the TBS-protected **9** gave a 3.5:1 mixture of the diastereomeric products. The diastereoselectivity could be further improved to 4.7:1 by triisopropylsilyl (TIPS) protection. However, the overall yield of **10** remains comparable due to the additional protection and deprotection steps. Additionally, longer reaction time is needed for the more hindered substrates. The reaction was impractically slow for TBS-**9** when performed at 100 mg scale. Converting the hydroxyl group to an azide allowed for differentiation of the two side-chains but led to slightly decreased diastereoselectivity. Attempts to use non-C–H abstracting sensitizers such as eosin Y failed to induce the reaction, supporting the hypothesis that photoexcited benzophenone served as a C–H abstraction reagent instead of a photosensitizer to promote the formation of triplet **9** that was responsible for ethereal C–H abstraction.^{6b,8c}

Finally, the two hydroxyl groups of **10** could be converted into azides easily. Consistent with results from our previous studies,⁴ in situ mesylation and azide substitution proceeded smoothly (Fig. 6). Sodium mesylate is the only byproduct formed from this reaction and can be removed easily by aqueous work-up. The 2D ROESY NMR spectrum of **11** supports the assignment of the indicated relative stereochemistry.

3. Conclusion

The diradical characteristics of triplet ketones makes them functional equivalent to the metal-oxo species of C–H oxidation enzymes. However, they have broader utility and can be used to promote the construction of C–C bonds in the presence of various functional groups. Coupling selective C–H abstraction with radical conjugate addition allows for rapid access to the core skeleton of the [3+2]-type dimeric pyrrole–imidazole alkaloids as illustrated herein. Bis-azide **11** can be prepared from cyclopentenone (**3**) easily in 5 steps. This new synthetic approach for **1** is significantly more efficient than our previously reported biomimetic approach.

4. Experimental section

4.1. Synthesis of enone **7**

To a stirred solution of 1,1'-bi-2-naphthol (2.62 g, 9.16 mmol) in dichloromethane (300 mL) was added 2-cyclopenten-1-one (**3**) (9.21 mL, 110 mmol), Garner's aldehyde (**6**) (21.0 g, 91.6 mmol) and tri-*n*-butylphosphine (4.52 mL, 18.3 mmol) at room temperature. After stirring overnight, pyridine (22.0 mL, 274 mmol) and triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (37 mL, 137.4 mmol) were added at 0 °C and the mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight before concentrated and purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexanes = 1:10) to give **7** as a colorless oil (32.6 g, 76% yield). R_f 0.8 (ethyl acetate/hexanes = 1:1). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3 , 50 °C) δ 7.61 (s, 1H), 4.79 (brs, 1H), 4.19 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (brs, 1H), 3.81 (dd, J = 8.9, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (dd, J = 6.2, 3.2 Hz, 2H), 2.37 (q, J = 5.5, 4.6 Hz, 2H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.05 (s, 21H); ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl_3 , 50 °C) δ 206.7, 160.2, 152.7, 147.9, 79.8, 66.8, 64.4, 62.5, 34.9, 28.3, 27.1, 26.5, 24.6, 18.0, 12.7 ppm; MS(ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{46}\text{NO}_5\text{Si}$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 468.3, found 468.3.

4.2. Synthesis of alcohol **8**

A degassed solution of enone **7** (100 mg, 0.21 mmol) and benzophenone (38 mg, 0.21 mmol) in the methanol (6 mL) was irradiated in a Rayonet photoreactor equipped with 16 RPR-4190Å lamps at room temperature for 64 h. The mixture was then concentrated and purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexanes = 3:7) to give **8** as a colorless oil (67.5 mg, 63% yield). R_f 0.7 (ethyl acetate/hexanes = 1:1). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3 , 50 °C) δ 4.58 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (dd, J = 8.9, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (dd, J = 12.1, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (dd, J = 9.1, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (dd, J = 12.1, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (q, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (ddd, J = 18.8, 8.5, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 2.13 (dd, J = 18.8, 10.2 Hz, 1H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 1.53 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 9H), 1.08 (s, 21H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3 , 50 °C) δ 219.3, 154.4, 94.2, 81.5, 70.7, 64.7, 62.9, 61.1, 53.1, 40.2, 37.6, 28.3, 28.3, 27.5, 24.7, 22.4, 18.1, 18.0, 13.4 ppm; MS(ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{50}\text{NO}_6\text{Si}$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 500.3, found 500.3.

4.3. Synthesis of enone **9**

To a solution of **8** (390 mg, 0.78 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (8 mL) was added triethylamine (326 μL , 2.34 mmol) and trimethylsilyl chloride (0.1 mL, 0.78 mmol) at room temperature.

After stirring for 1 h, lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (1.0 M in THF, 1.95 mL, 1.95 mmol) was added at -78°C and the mixture was stirred for 1 h before *N*-*tert*-butylbenzenesulfinimidoyl chloride (200 mg, 0.94 mmol) was added. After stirring at -78°C for 30 min, the reaction was quenched by saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (8 mL), and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (10 mL $\times$ 3). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, stirred with silica gel (2 g) for 2 h, concentrated, and purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexanes = 1:4) to give **9** (254 mg, 65% yield) as a yellow oil and recovered **8** (98 mg, 25% yield). R_f 0.4 (ethyl acetate/hexanes = 1:2). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3 , 50°C) δ 7.58 (dd, J = 5.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.17 (dd, J = 5.8, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (dd, J = 9.3, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (ddd, J = 11.4, 7.8, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (dd, J = 9.2, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (dt, J = 12.1, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (dt, J = 5.9, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.41 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 1.55 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 9H), 1.03 (m, 21H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3 , 50°C) δ 210.3, 166.2, 154.4, 133.9, 94.3, 81.6, 71.2, 64.3, 64.0, 61.0, 52.7, 45.9, 28.3, 18.1, 13.4 ppm; MS(ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{48}\text{NO}_6\text{Si}$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 498.3, found 498.3.

4.4. Synthesis of diol 10

A degassed solution of enone **9** (66 mg, 0.13 mmol) and benzophenone (24 mg, 0.13 mmol) in methanol (6 mL) was irradiated in a Rayonet photoreactor equipped with 16 RPR-4190Å lamps at room temperature for 44 h. The mixture was then concentrated and purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexanes = 1:1) to give **10** (32.3 mg, 46% yield) as a colorless oil and 15-*epi*-**10** (17 mg, 24%). R_f = 0.35 (ethyl acetate/hexanes = 1:1). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3 , 50°C) δ 4.57 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (dd, J = 8.9, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (m, 2H), 3.80 (m, 2H), 3.77 (m, 1H), 2.49 (m, 3H), 2.33 (m, 1H), 2.08 (m, 1H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.53 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 9H), 1.07 (m, 21H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3 , 50°C) δ 216.7, 154.4, 94.2, 81.6, 70.5, 64.8, 63.9, 61.2, 42.9, 41.1, 30.6, 28.3, 28.3, 27.4, 24.7, 18.2, 18.0, 18.0, 17.6, 13.4, 12.3 ppm; MS(ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{52}\text{NO}_7\text{Si}$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 530.8, found 530.8.

4.5. Synthesis of bisazide 11

To a solution of **10** (188 mg, 0.355 mmol) in dichloromethane (4 mL) was added triethylamine (0.3 mL, 2.13 mmol) and methanesulfonyl chloride (0.11 mL, 1.42 mmol) at 0°C . After stirring at room temperature for 30 min, the mixture was concentrated and dimethyl sulfoxide (5 mL) and sodium azide (230 mg, 3.55 mmol) were added. After stirring at 60°C for overnight, water (10 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (10 mL $\times$ 3). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated, and purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexanes = 1:10) to give **11** as a colorless oil (148 mg, 72% yield); R_f = 0.6 (ethyl acetate/hexanes = 1:3). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3 , 50°C) δ 4.52 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (dd, J = 9.1, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (q, J = 5.1, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (dd, J = 12.8, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (dd, J = 9.2, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (dd, J = 12.4, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (dd, J = 12.8, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (dd, J = 12.4, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (dd, J = 18.0, 7.8 Hz, 2H), 2.42 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 2.23 (m, 1H), 2.07 (dd, J = 18.6, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 1.58 (s, 3H), 1.49 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 9H), 1.10 (m, 21H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3 , 50°C) δ 214.0, 153.6, 93.9, 80.5, 70.6, 63.8, 60.8, 56.6, 53.8,

52.9, 42.2, 40.4, 38.0, 28.3, 27.2, 18.2, 18.1, 13.3 ppm; MS(ESI) calcd for C₂₇H₅₂NO₇Si [M + H]⁺ 580.4, found 580.4.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank NIH/NIGMS (R01-GM079554), the Welch Foundation (I-1868), K. C. Wong Education Foundation, and UT Southwestern for financial support.

References and notes

- (a) Ma Y, De S, Chen C. *Tetrahedron*. 2015; 71:1145–1173. [PubMed: 25684829] (b) Wang X, Ma Z, Wang X, De S, Ma Y, Chen C. *Chem Commun*. 2014; 50:8628–8639. (c) Al-Mourabit A, Zancanella MA, Tilvi S, Romo D. *Nat Prod Rep*. 2011; 28:1229–1260. [PubMed: 21556392] (d) Heasley B. *Eur J Org Chem*. 2009:1477–1489. (e) Forte B, Malgesini B, Piutti C, Quartieri F, Sclaro A, Papeo G. *Mar Drugs*. 2009; 7:705–753. [PubMed: 20098608] (f) Arndt HD, Riedrich M. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2008; 47:4785–4788. (g) Weinreb SM. *Nat Prod Rep*. 2007; 24:931–948. [PubMed: 17898890] (h) Köck M, Grube A, Seiple IB, Baran PS. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2007; 46:6586–6594. (i) Du H, He Y, Sivappa R, Lovely CJ. *Synlett*. 2006:965–992. (j) Jacquot DEN, Lindel T. *Curr Org Chem*. 2005; 9:1551–1565. (k) Hoffmann H, Lindel T. *Synthesis*. 2003; 35:1753–1783.
- (a) Yamaguchi J, Seiple IB, Young IS, O'Malley DP, Maue M, Baran PS. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2008; 47:3578–3580. (b) O'Malley DP, Yamaguchi J, Young IS, Seiple IB, Baran PS. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2008; 47:3581–3583. (c) Su S, Seiple IB, Young IS, Baran PS. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2008; 130:16490–16491. [PubMed: 19049446] (d) Seiple IB, Su S, Young IS, Lewis CA, Yamaguchi J, Baran PS. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2010; 49:1095–1098. (e) Su S, Rodriguez RA, Baran PS. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2011; 133:13922–13925. [PubMed: 21846138] (f) Seiple IB, Su S, Young IS, Nakamura A, Yamaguchi J, Jørgensen L, Rodriguez RA, O'Malley DP, Gaich T, Köck M, Baran PS. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2011; 133:14710–14726. [PubMed: 21861522] (g) Rodriguez RA, Steed DB, Kawamata Y, Su S, Smith PA, Steed TC, Romesberg FE, Baran PS. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2014; 136:15403–15413. [PubMed: 25328977]
- Namba K, Takeuchi K, Kaihara Y, Oda M, Nakayama A, Nakayama A, Yoshida M, Tanino K. *Nat Commun*. 2015; 6:8731. [PubMed: 26530707]
- (a) Ma Z, Wang XL, Wang X, Rodriguez RA, Moore CE, Gao S, Tan X, Ma Y, Rheingold AL, Baran PS, Chen C. *Science*. 2014; 346:219–224. [PubMed: 25301624] (b) Ma Z, Wang X, Ma Y, Chen C. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2016; 55:4763–4766.
- Chen C. *Org Biomol Chem*. 2016; 14:8641–8647. [PubMed: 27517138]
- (a) Xia JB, Zhu C, Chen C. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2013; 135:17494–17500. [PubMed: 24180320] (b) Xia JB, Zhu C, Chen C. *Chem Commun*. 2014; 50:11701–11704. (c) Han L, Xia JB, You L, Chen C. *Tetrahedron*. 2017; 73:3696–3701. [PubMed: 28717256]
- Schenck GO, Koltzenburg G, Grossmann H. *Angew Chem*. 1957; 69:177–178.
- (a) Fraser-Reid B, Holder NL, Yunker MB. *J Chem Soc, Chem Commun*. 1972:1286–1287. (b) Walker DL, Fraser-Reid B. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1975; 97:6251–6253. [PubMed: 1176734] (c) Benko Z, Fraser-Reid B. *J Org Chem*. 1988; 53:2066–2072.
- (a) Mann J, Weymouth-Wilson A. *Carbohydr Res*. 1992; 216:511–515. (b) Mann J, Weymouth-Wilson AC. *J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans I*. 1994:3141–3148.
- (a) Tan X, Chen C. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2006; 45:4345–4348. (b) Wang X, Wang XL, Tan X, Lu J, Cormier KW, Ma Z, Chen C. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2012; 134:18834–18842. [PubMed: 23072663] (c) Wang XL, Gao Y, Ma Z, Rodriguez RA, Yu ZX, Chen C. *Org Chem Front*. 2015; 2:978–984. [PubMed: 26328059]

11. (a) Stout EP, Wang YG, Romo D, Molinski TF. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2012; 51:4877–4881.(b) Stout EP, Morinaka BI, Wang YG, Romo D, Molinski TF. *J Nat Prod.* 2012; 75:527–530. [PubMed: 22455452]
12. Wei Y, Shi M. *Chem Rev.* 2013; 113:6659–6690. [PubMed: 23679920]
13. Yamada YMA, Ikegami S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000; 41:2165–2169.
14. (a) Liu W, Yang X, Zhou ZZ, Li CJ. *Chem.* 2017; 2:688–702.(b) Jin J, MacMillan DWC. *Nature.* 2015; 525:87–90. [PubMed: 26308895] (c) Huff CA, Cohen RD, Dykstra KD, Streckfuss E, DiRocco DA, Krska SW. *J Org Chem.* 2016; 81:6980–6987. [PubMed: 27315015]

Metal-oxo complexes

reactivity tuned by the ligands

Photoexcited organic ketones

reactivity tuned by the Ar and R groups

Figure 1.

The core skeleton of massadine (**1**) can be accessed by triplet ketone-catalyzed C–H functionalization of methanol easily. Triplet ketones have diradical characteristics and are functionally equivalent to the high-spin metal-oxo species that are frequently used to catalyze C–H oxidation. In addition to catalyzing C–H oxidation, triplet ketone can also catalyze C–C formation.

Figure 2.

Introduction of a chiral handle at the C2-position allows for the construction of the C3–C7 cyclic guanidine group and controlled formation of the C1- and C2-stereogenic centers in the subsequent photoreactions.

diaryl ketone	light source	time	yield
none	1 × CFL	64 h	no reaction
benzophenone	1 × CFL	64 h	no reaction
benzophenone	16 × RPR-5750 Å	64 h	25%
benzophenone	16 × RPR-4190 Å	64 h	63%
benzophenone	16 × RPR-3500 Å	12 h	31%
benzophenone	16 × RPR-3000 Å	6 h	decomposition
acetone	16 × RPR-4190 Å	64 h	no reaction
acetophenone	16 × RPR-4190 Å	96 h	15%
fluorenone	16 × RPR-4190 Å	96 h	no reaction
xanthone	16 × RPR-4190 Å	96 h	trace amount
anthraquinone	16 × RPR-4190 Å	96 h	trace amount

Figure 3.

The C3- and C7-stereogenic centers of **7** control the selective installation of the C1- and C2-stereocenters in the photochemical C–H functionalization reaction.

Figure 4.

Introduction of the C14–C15-enone group by sulfinimidoyl chloride-mediated oxidation set the stage for the second photochemical C–H functionalization.

Figure 5.
The C1-stereogenic center of **9** controls the selective installation of the C15-side-chain.

Figure 6.

The hydroxyl groups of **10** can be converted into azides easily by in situ mesylation and azide substitution.