Published in final edited form as:

Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2018 March ; 89: 239–249. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2017.12.005.

Anteroventral bed nuclei of the stria terminalis neurocircuitry: Towards an integration of HPA axis modulation with coping behaviors - Curt Richter Award Paper 2017

Jason J. Radley* and Shane B. Johnson

Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences and Interdisciplinary Neuroscience Program,
University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, United States

Abstract

A network of interconnected cell groups in the limbic forebrain regulates hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis activation and behavioral responses to emotionally stressful experiences, and chronic disruption of these systems chronically is implicated in the pathogenesis of psychiatric illnesses. A significant challenge has been to unravel the circuitry and mechanisms providing for regulation of HPA activity, as these limbic forebrain regions do not provide any direct innervation of HPA effector cell groups in the paraventricular hypothalamus (PVH). Moreover, information regarding how endocrine and behavioral responses are integrated has remained obscure. Here we summarize work from our laboratory showing that anteroventral (av) bed nuclei of the stria terminalis (BST) acts as a point of convergence between the limbic forebrain and PVH, receiving and coordinating upstream influences, and restraining HPA axis output in response to inescapable stressors. Recent studies highlight a more expansive modulatory role for avBST as one that coordinates HPA-inhibitory influences while concurrently suppressing passive behavioral responses via divergent pathways. avBST is uniquely positioned to convey endocrine and behavioral alterations resulting from chronic stress exposure, such as HPA axis hyperactivity and increased passive coping strategies, that may result from synaptic reorganization in upstream limbic cortical regions. We discuss how these studies give new insights into understanding the systems-level organization of stress response circuitry, the neurobiology of coping styles, and BST circuit dysfunction in stress-related psychiatric disorders.

Keywords

Bed nuclei of the stria terminalis; Paraventricular hypothalamus; HPA axis; Prelimbic cortex;
Behavioral coping; Immobility

*Corresponding author at: Department of Psychology, University of Iowa, W322 Seashore Hall, Iowa City, IA 52242, United States. Jason-Radley@uiowa.edu (J.J. Radley).

Conflicts of interest

The authors, Jason Radley and Shane Johnson, have no conflicts of interest to report.

1. Introduction

Stress may be broadly defined as the constellation of physiological and behavioral responses to any challenge that overwhelms, or is perceived to overwhelm, selective homeostatic systems of the individual (Day, 2005; Selye, 1980). Integral to the stress response is the induction of neuroendocrine (hypothalamo–pituitary–adrenal or HPA) and autonomic (sympatho-adrenal) systems for the mobilization and redistribution of bodily resources to facilitate and complement situation-specific adaptations for the particular challenge at hand. Glucocorticoid end-products of the HPA axis (cortisol in humans, corticosterone in rodents) are potent hormonal mediators that act broadly, and over extended time periods, to help prepare the individual for emergency conditions. Recruitment of this response system facilitates coping with acute insults, but since prolonged glucocorticoid exposure can produce catabolic, immunosuppressive, and even neurotoxic effects, sustained or repeated stress exposure may be accompanied with significant health risks. This is true of one major class of experimental stress paradigms, termed emotional stresses, which are generally viewed as modeling the set of physiological and behavioral alterations that result from challenges encountered by humans in modern existence. The identity of, and degree to which, a given response may be engaged by emotionally stressful events requires an evaluative function to compare the current threat with past experience and/or the engagement of species-specific behavioral responses. Such appraisals, and their capacity to modulate stress responses, are the domain of an interconnected network of cerebral cortical and limbic regions of the telencephalon.

The past quarter century has seen a substantial degree of progress in unraveling the neural pathways and mechanisms accounting for how the limbic forebrain modulates the HPA axis response to emotional stressors. Emphasis on studying this particular aspect of the stress response is based on the far-reaching health consequences that come with HPA axis dysregulation and aberrant glucocorticoid secretion. Further insight into stress-related disease susceptibility will likely derive from elucidating the neural basis of individual variability in HPA axis reactivity, and how chronic challenges produce differential adaptations of HPA output (i.e., habituation, sensitization). Early work featured the hippocampus as the key modulator and target of stress-induced HPA activity and glucocorticoids, whereas subsequent studies highlight a broader interconnected array of limbic forebrain structures (e.g., prefrontal cortex, hippocampus, paraventricular nucleus of the thalamus) capable of providing HPA-inhibitory influences. That these influences are largely mediated via indirect, predominantly GABAergic relays, before converging onto HPA neurosecretory neurons in paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVH), casts light onto a basic organizational principle governing top-down control of HPA effector systems.

Interestingly, the key elements of this limbic-HPA modulatory “network” are more often regarded in behavioral regulation as opposed to neuroendocrine functioning. That much of the past work investigating limbic forebrain involvement of HPA activity or behavior has been conducted in isolation from one another, has hampered our understanding of where these and other functions are integrated. Nevertheless, the examination of individual variability in stress reactivity and coping styles raises the possibility that nodal points exist

for the coordination of behavioral with endocrine responses (de Boer et al., 2016). For example, reactive/passive behavioral response strategies have been widely associated with elevated HPA activity, however the neural mechanisms accounting for the coordination of these functions have remained elusive.

In this review, we will highlight recent findings from our laboratory that the anteroventral (av) bed nuclei of the stria terminalis (BST) acts as a neural hub for coordinating restraining influences on both the HPA axis and passive behavioral responses to inescapable challenges. The avBST itself resides within an anatomical network of cell groups commonly regarded as the central extended amygdala. This network is comprised of interconnected circuits involving the central nucleus of the amygdala and multiple subdivisions within the BST, that share common morphological and neurochemical characteristics and have been widely implicated in the processing fear- and anxiety-related information (Alheid and Heimer, 1988; Fox et al., 2015; Swanson and Petrovich, 1998; Walker et al., 2003a). Evidence will suggest that avBST's place in this network appears to be more important for coordinating neuroendocrine with behavioral responses than other components of the central extended amygdala. Moreover, avBST is uniquely positioned to convey differential adaptations resulting from chronic exposure to stress, such as HPA axis sensitization and increased passive behaviors, that result from synaptic reorganization in upstream limbic cortical regions, such as medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) and hippocampus. We will discuss the implications of a pathway capable of integrating specific features of the stress response in terms of reactive coping styles and disease susceptibility. Whereas BST is involved in the coordination of anxiety-related autonomic and behavioral responses, we propose that much of these functions hail from more dorsal aspects of this structure. When considered in the context of the current discussion, we propose a regional differentiation for avBST involvement in more neuroendocrine-related psychiatric contexts than is currently regarded.

2. Limbic modulation of the HPA axis– a framework for understanding the central organization of stress modulatory systems

Evidence for avBST involvement as a coordinator of adaptive responses to stress were borne out of attempts to understand the central organization of HPA axis control during stress (Dayas et al., 2001; Herman et al., 2003; Radley, 2012; Sawchenko et al., 2000; Spencer et al., 2005; Ulrich-Lai and Herman, 2009; Van de Kar and Blair, 1999). Emotional stressors require interpretation by exteroceptive sensory systems, as well as integration with distinct cognitive and affective information processing systems in the brain (Dayas et al., 2001; Herman and Cullinan, 1997; Sawchenko et al., 2000). Whereas this class of stressors ultimately engage brainstem and hypothalamic effectors for activation of the sympatho-adrenal and HPA axis output, they also manifest widespread activation in the limbic forebrain, corresponding to a broad array of behavioral (e.g., vigilance, fear), physiological, and endocrine changes that help to facilitate adaptive coping as required by the particular environmental demand (Campeau and Watson, 1997; Dayas et al., 2001; Herman et al., 1995b; Li and Sawchenko, 1998). Past functional and lesion studies implicate a network of limbic forebrain circuitry in the inhibitory control of HPA activation during emotional stress (Akana et al., 2001; Christoffel et al., 2011; Cullinan et al., 1995; Herman and Cullinan,

1997; Jaferi and Bhatnagar, 2006). While the amygdaloid complex, hippocampal formation and mPFC are prominent in HPA axis modulation in the rodent brain (Allen and Allen, 1974; Diorio et al., 1993; Sapolsky et al., 1984), other structures such as septum and paraventricular thalamic nucleus are also implicated (e.g., Anthony et al., 2014; Bhatnagar and Dallman, 1998).

Few cell groups in the limbic forebrain provide substantial direct innervation of the HPA effector neurons in the PVH. However, anatomical tract-tracing studies have identified a number of candidate regions within the basal forebrain and hypothalamus that might serve as nodal points of relay between these higher-order regions and PVH (Cullinan et al., 1993; Herman et al., 2003; Roland and Sawchenko, 1993; Van de Kar and Blair, 1999). Moreover, several stress-inhibitory regions in the limbic forebrain, such as mPFC and hippocampus, give rise to predominantly excitatory glutamatergic projections (Cullinan et al., 2008; Walaas and Fonnum, 1980), suggesting that at least some of the proximate relays to PVH are inhibitory (GABAergic) in nature. Thus one organizing principle of HPA control during emotional stress is that one or multiple intermediaries provide the interface for communication between higher-order modulatory centers and pools of effector neurons. However, a complicating factor concerns the extent to which these intermediaries may serve as simple relays, as opposed to playing a more dynamic role in integrating convergent information from multiple sources before reaching the PVH. Additionally, whether the circuits charged with modulating the HPA stress axis are overlapping or distinct from those involved in behavioral adaptations, and the extent to which these pathways are differentially engaged during disparate environmental demands, have not been directly examined. Below we highlight recent studies that may illuminate these questions.

To address these issues, we first examined the nature of mPFC involvement in acute emotional stress-induced HPA activation. The rodent mPFC is implicated in a wide array of higher cognitive functions that are analogous to the primate prefrontal cortex (Wise, 2008), including decision-making, working memory, and perceptual set-shifting (Arnsten et al., 2010; Brown and Bowman, 2002; Divac, 1971; Otto and Eichenbaum, 1992). mPFC is anatomically positioned to modulate both amygdaloid and hippocampal activity, providing a means for top-down regulation of limbic information processing. Nevertheless, mPFC is also poised to modulate adaptive responses to stress via relays in the basal forebrain, hypothalamus, and midbrain (Crane et al., 2003; Myers et al., 2016; Neafsey, 1990; Radley et al., 2006a; Radley et al., 2009; Radley and Sawchenko, 2011; Sullivan and Gratton, 1999; van Eden and Buijs, 2000). In keeping with the theme of indirect limbic modulation of HPA activity, none of the mPFC subfields contain any appreciable projections to HPA effectors in PVH. Although mPFC effects on stress-induced HPA activation are generally inhibitory (Diorio et al., 1993; Figueiredo et al., 2003; Radley et al., 2009; Weinberg et al., 2010), other evidence suggests at least some degree of functional differentiation by cortical subfield (McKlveen et al., 2015; Radley et al., 2006a; Sullivan and Gratton, 1999).

Multiple lines of evidence from our laboratory have identified a cluster of GABAergic (i.e., expressing mRNA for glutamic acid decarboxylase [GAD] 65 and/or 67 kDa isoforms) neurons distributed within avBST i.e., encompassing subcommissural, dorsomedial, and fusiform subdivisions as based upon Dong and colleagues (2001) that form a disynaptic

relay between PL and PVH (Johnson et al., 2016; Radley, 2012; Radley et al., 2009). First, anatomical tract-tracing experiments indicated that extrinsic projections from PL converge onto stress-sensitive, PVH-projecting neurons in the avBST (Fig. 1). In rats bearing excitotoxin lesions in PL, PVH-projecting GABAergic neurons in avBST showed diminished functional (i.e., Fos) activation following acute restraint stress that corresponding with enhanced HPA activation. Follow-up experiments involving discrete immunotoxin (Radley et al., 2009) or excitotoxin injections (Johnson and Radley, unpublished observations) centered within avBST produced augmented HPA activation in response to acute stressors (restraint and tail suspension). Finally, optogenetic silencing and activation of avBST cell bodies during 10 min of tail suspension augmented and attenuated HPA activation, respectively (Fig. 2) (Johnson et al., 2016).

It is important to note that, although the vast majority (> 90%) of avBST neurons are GABAergic (Cullinan et al., 1993; Poulin et al., 2009; Kudo et al., 2012), there is also evidence for a small subpopulation of glutamatergic neurons (Hur and Zaborsky, 2005; Poulin et al., 2009; Kudo et al., 2012), some of which are PVH-projecting (Csáki et al., 2000). In spite of this small proportional representation, avBST glutamatergic neurons have been implicated in several different physiological and behavioral contexts (Georges and Aston-Jones, 2001, 2002; Jalabert et al., 2009; Choi et al., 2007; Jennings et al., 2013). Nevertheless, the anatomical and functional evidence that we have gathered endorses a predominantly GABAergic inhibitory phenotype with regard to stress-modulatory circuitry that we have described. First, our quantification of PVH-projecting neurons in avBST identified via retrograde labeling showed that 86% expressed GAD mRNA (Radley and Sawchenko, 2011). Confocal laser-scanning microscopic analysis of anterogradely-labeled axons and terminals in PVH revealed extensive (87%) immunolocalization with the GABA synthetic enzyme GAD-65, and notably in close apposition to CRF-immunoreactive secretory neurons (Fig. 2)(Johnson et al., 2016). By contrast, immunohistochemical staining for vGLUT2 revealed no colocalization with YFP-positive terminals originating in avBST (Johnson et al., 2016).

Subsequent evidence suggests that avBST figures more prominently in integrating, rather than merely relaying, information from multiple limbic forebrain cell groups in modulating stress-induced HPA activity. Past work of ours employing tract-tracing and functional neuroanatomical approaches endorses two important themes regarding avBST modulation of the HPA stress axis: (1) that it receives convergent inputs from multiple limbic forebrain cell groups implicated in HPA inhibition; (2) it is capable of integrating these influences (Radley and Sawchenko, 2011) (Fig. 3). For example, rats bearing excitotoxin lesions in the ventral subiculum (vSUB; i.e., the portion of the hippocampal formation that provides the bulk of subcortical outputs from hippocampus proper) showed similar diminished functional activation (i.e., as following PL lesions) in GABAergic PVH-afferent cell groups in avBST following acute restraint stress as well as increased CRF mRNA in PVH proper. In the same series of experiments, vSUB lesions were also noted to increase restraint-induced HPA activation, as previously reported (Herman et al., 1995b; Herman et al., 1992). Animals given dual anterograde tracer injections in PL and vSUB, and a retrograde tracer injection into PVH, revealed extensive convergence between PL and vSUB terminal fields and stress-sensitive PVH-projecting cell groups throughout avBST. Next, rats bearing dual excitotoxin

lesions of both PL and vSUB were found to exhibit more exaggerated central indices of stress-induced HPA responses (i.e., CRF mRNA and AVP hnRNA expression in PVH) as compared to lesions of only PL. Finally, ablation of GABAergic cell groups in avBST produced a greater enhancement of HPA activation in response to acute restraint, as compared to animals with vSUB lesions alone. Collectively, these studies support the idea that avBST is positioned, not merely as a relay for, but as a key integrator of HPA-inhibitory influences emanating from the limbic cortex.

While additional basal forebrain and/or hypothalamic regions are likely to act as relays of limbic cortical information in parallel with avBST for conveyance to PVH/HPA modulation (e.g., see Cullinan et al., 1993; Dayas et al., 1999; Myers et al., 2016; Nyhuis et al., 2016a), the current studies highlight several important ideas. First, our studies have localized an important source of HPA-inhibitory influences of BST to within the anteroventral subdivision, and thus provide a functional distinction between ventral and dorsal (autonomic/behavioral) subregions of BST. Second, it was not appreciated from past studies that information from the limbic forebrain converges onto a circumscribed cell group within the basal forebrain. This “convergence” model contrasts with other possible di-/multi-synaptic arrangements that have been proposed to account for how limbic forebrain regions may interface with and modulate PVH (Cullinan et al., 1993; Roland and Sawchenko, 1993; Ulrich-Lai and Herman, 2009; Van de Kar and Blair, 1999). Third, is the prospect that intermediaries between the limbic forebrain and PVH are not simply relays or signal converters (e.g., from excitation to inhibition), but play an important role in integrating stress-related information.

Another consideration is the fact that, in addition to the highlighted circuitry, much of the limbic forebrain network is inhibitory in nature, yet its modulatory influences appear to be manifest only during stressor exposure. On the one hand it is clear that PVH receives excitatory glutamatergic input (Boudaba et al., 1997; Cole and Sawchenko, 2002; Flak et al., 2009), yet the identity of the neural pathways accounting for HPA activation during emotional stressors has not been forthcoming (although for insight into this issue, see Hewitt et al., 2009; Nyhuis et al., 2016b). Finally, as will be discussed below, the existence of a neural hub for the integration of descending influences on neuroendocrine output may have important implications for understanding how such responses are coordinated with behavioral adaptations during acute stress, and how synaptic and functional alterations in this circuit may account for differential adaptations following chronic or protracted challenges.

3. Expansion of HPA-modulatory circuit concept to stress coping behavior

When animals and humans are exposed to threatening or stressful situations, they demonstrate a set of highly conserved defensive behavioral responses designed to promote adaptation. In rodents, each of these response strategies involves the engagement of neural systems that mediate active (defensive, escape) or passive (immobility, freezing) behaviors (Keay and Bandler, 2001). Links between behavioral response strategies and HPA activity are based upon numerous observations that active and passive behaviors relate with low and high HPA activity, respectively (Koolhaas, 2008; Veenema et al., 2004; Veenema et al.,

2003; Walker et al., 2009a). Moreover, individual variability in these response “types” (especially passive coping and elevated HPA activity) are widely regarded to increase susceptibility for stress-related diseases (de Kloet et al., 2005; Koolhaas et al., 2007; Koolhaas et al., 1999; Olff, 1999). The existence of coping styles that may combine HPA reactivity with specific types of behavioral responses raises the possibility that a common neural circuit in the brain may subserve or bias responses toward a specific direction. Relative to our discussion in the foregoing section, cell groups that also relay information between the limbic forebrain and PVH would serve as candidates for modulating behavioral response strategies in tandem with HPA activity. In this regard, we will summarize recent work providing an early indication that avBST may be an important component for a system coordinating these responses. Our discussion will focus on neural circuitry modulating responses during inescapable challenges; excellent surveys are available that highlight neural mechanisms in response to escapable/controllable stressors (Amat et al., 2005; Maier and Watkins, 2005, 2010).

There is an extensive literature implicating the dorsal BST in the regulation of behavioral and autonomic responses to sustained threats and/or contextual fear conditioning collectively likened to “anxiety” (Davis et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2013; Sullivan et al., 2004; Walker and Davis, 1997; Walker et al., 2003a). Given the reciprocal interactions between dorsal BST and the amygdaloid complex on the one hand, and interconnections with midbrain and medullary structures important for autonomic and defensive behavioral responses on the other, this structure is well-positioned to modulate adaptive responses to immediate challenges and in contexts that demand temporally precise and rapid reactions. Less well appreciated is that, although ventral aspects of BST issue projections to autonomic and behavioral output centers, they also provide extensive innervation of the neuroendocrine effector cell groups within the PVH that may be more important for adaptations to more protracted challenges. Relative to dorsal BST (i.e., the nuclei dorsal to the anterior commissure), the ventral counterpart receives a much wider array of inputs from cell groups important for emotional regulation (e.g., mPFC, ventral subiculum, paraventricular thalamus) (Dong et al., 2001; Dong and Swanson, 2006; Radley and Sawchenko, 2011). Thus, the ventral BST would seem well-positioned for coordinating behavioral and endocrine responses to sustained challenges (Cecchi et al., 2002), and its receiving of extensive limbic forebrain inputs could support ongoing reappraisals and iteratively optimize adaptation.

A variety of neural circuits have been implicated in modulating coping responses, although the midbrain periaqueductal gray area is prominent in this regard with its dorsal and ventral longitudinal cell columns supporting active and passive behaviors respectively (Keay and Bandler, 2001). Recent work has confirmed and expanded on long-held notions of PAG function in behavioral response strategies (Bandler and Shipley, 1994; Ledoux et al., 1988). These results highlight involvement of the ventrolateral subdivision in freezing (Assareh et al., 2016) via efferents from the central nucleus of the amygdala and vIPAG afferents to the medulla (Tovote et al., 2016). Additionally, previous studies have shown that lesions encompassing avBST are capable of impairing active coping responses to acute stressors (Henke, 1984; Schulz and Canbeyli, 2000), whereas tract-tracing evidence supports an

avBST → vIPAG pathway (Dong et al., 2001; Dong and Swanson, 2006) that could modulate these behavioral responses.

Recent work of ours has shown that avBST GABAergic inputs into vIPAG are activated during inescapable challenges to inhibit immobility in tail suspension and forced swim tests, and thus implicate this pathway in the gating of passive behavioral strategies. Whereas these tests have been classically employed to study “depression-like” behaviors, evidence increasingly suggests that these challenges are more appropriate for understanding stress adaptation and coping (de Boer et al., 2016; de Kloet and Molendijk, 2016; Molendijk and de Kloet, 2015). For example, optogenetic silencing of avBST neurons increased immobility and augmented HPA output during a 10-min tail suspension challenge (Fig. 4) (Johnson et al., 2016). Follow-up experiments demonstrated that avBST inputs into vIPAG are predominantly GABAergic, and inactivation of this axonal pathway increased immobility and passive floating in tail suspension and forced swim tests, respectively (Johnson et al., 2016). Inactivation of either avBST axonal inputs into PVH or vIPAG, were found to impact only HPA or behavioral output, respectively, and further support for the dissociability of these pathways was gleaned from dual retrograde tract-tracing experiments showing that each arises from comingled yet largely distinct cell populations in avBST (Fig. 5). Because most of the GABAergic efferents from avBST to PAG terminate preferentially within the ventrolateral subdivision and only sparsely to dorsolateral and dorsal subdivisions (Dong et al., 2001; Dong and Swanson, 2006; Johnson et al., 2016), this suggests that inactivating this pathway disengages the inhibitory influence on passive coping that is normally provided during acute challenges. An important next step will be to understand how the limbic forebrain modulates avBST circuitry charged with coordinating behavioral and endocrine adjustments in response to stressors. Past studies have implicated mPFC and vSUB in differentially modulating behavioral coping strategies (Jett et al., 2015; Shah et al., 2004), although the circuit basis of this modulation in the context of HPA activity, and avBST involvement, each deserve further scrutiny.

4. Mechanisms of modulation following repeated stress exposure

Chronic stress produces a constellation of behavioral, endocrine and physiological changes in laboratory animals that have been shown to increase vulnerability to future insults, and as such are likened to increased disease susceptibility in humans following stress exposure (Chrousos, 2000; Essex et al., 2002; Heim et al., 2008; McEwen, 2000; Pasternac and Talajic, 1991; Shively et al., 2009). Although there exists a wide range of variability in human cortisol response patterns (Kirschbaum et al., 1995; Kudielka and Wust, 2010), these data are generally consistent with animal studies suggesting that HPA axis responses tend to decline upon repeated exposure to the same (homotypic) stressor, but may be maintained during certain challenges, or may increase in responses to a novel (heterotypic) challenge (Bhatnagar and Dallman, 1998; Dallman, 1993; Dallman et al., 1992; Herman et al., 1995a; Ottenweller et al., 1989). These phenomena of HPA axis habituation and sensitization, represent adaptations to chronic stress that are highly relevant to understanding and managing the negative health consequences of chronic stress exposure. In the behavioral dimension, alterations in response to chronic stress are generally understood along the lines of shifting from active to more passive coping strategies (de Kloet and Molendijk, 2016; Jett

et al., 2015; Roth et al., 2012; Tye et al., 2013), as such changes are evoked from protracted challenges or stimuli whereby active strategies fail to effectively deal with the threat at hand (Overmier and Seligman, 1967; Pavlov, 1927; Seligman, 1968; Seligman and Maier, 1967; Seligman et al., 1968; Steimer, 2011). Along these lines, HPA axis and passive coping alterations may be integral aspects of a response set following chronic stress exposure that promotes near-term adaptation at the long-term cost of increased susceptibility to subsequent stress exposure and stress-related diseases (McEwen and Gianaros, 2011).

Multiple limbic forebrain regions undergo dendritic and synaptic remodeling that are believed to play an important role in the behavioral and endocrine modifications in response to repeated stressors (Radley et al., 2006b). This synaptic reorganization is commonly regarded as integral to the concepts of allostasis and allostatic load (and similar to Selye's heterostasis; Selye, 1973) following chronic stress (McEwen and Wingfield, 2003), whereby such modifications promote adaptation for survival but at the cost of increased disease susceptibility (McEwen and Gianaros, 2011). From the perspective of avBST stress-modulatory functions, regressive plasticity in elements of upstream limbic forebrain regions may be critically important for biasing these circuits toward permitting enhanced HPA activity and increased passive coping behaviors. For example, we observed that avBST-projecting neurons in mPFC showed a greater degree of dendritic spine synaptic attrition than non-BST projecting neurons in the mPFC following two weeks of chronic variable stress exposure (Radley et al., 2013). Follow-up functional anatomical experiments linked decreased immediate-early gene induction in avBST-projecting PL neurons to HPA sensitization after a novel restraint-stress challenge in animals previously subjected to chronic stress (Fig. 6). Although vSUB neurons (i.e., avBST-projecting and other) did not undergo any dendritic spine reorganization following chronic stress exposure (Radley et al., 2013), the subpopulation of neurons projecting to avBST showed diminished functional activation in response to a subsequent novel stressor (Radley and Sawchenko, 2015).

These findings illustrate the idea that limbic-avBST inputs are differentially vulnerable to chronic stress exposure, and thus may define a mechanism for selective structural and activational network changes that could promote shifts in coping styles that are commensurate with adaptation under protracted inescapable challenges (Fig. 7). More work is needed to directly test the roles for these pathways following chronic stress, and importantly, the extent to which circuit perturbations involving avBST contrast with other components of the limbic forebrain network for differentially impacting behavioral and endocrine responses.

5. Discussion and future directions

Circuit organizational aspects

Aside from several previous reports that have suggested a common neural substrate for modulation of HPA and behavioral responses to stress (Cecchi et al., 2002; de Boer et al., 2016), much of the work in the stress field has been focused on elucidating the mechanisms of neuroendocrine or behavior responses in isolation from one another. Our work implicates avBST as a neural hub for coordinating restraining influences on both the HPA axis and passive behavioral responses to inescapable challenges via divergent GABAergic pathways

to PVH and vPAG. A critical element of avBST function also involves its capacity to integrate upstream limbic forebrain information to coordinate the modulation of downstream neuroendocrine and behavioral responses during stress, and suggests several important organizational principles and perspectives for future studies.

As noted previously, mPFC influences over the HPA axis require one or multiple intermediaries (i.e., avBST) for the modulation of HPA axis activity. By contrast, prefrontal modulation of defensive behavior can bypass the basal forebrain to more directly modulate behavioral responses (Challis et al., 2014; Franklin et al., 2017; Warden et al., 2012), as mPFC issues axonal inputs into multiple subdivisions of PAG (Floyd et al., 2000; Franklin et al., 2017). Pathways involving VTA dopaminergic neurons, that may or may not be distinct from prefrontal circuitry, are capable of driving shifts in active-to-passive behaviors during inescapable stress exposure (Chaudhury et al., 2013; Tye et al., 2013). Nevertheless, the prefrontal-avBST pathway would afford a coordinating capability of responses across endocrine and behavioral domains, which may be separable from, but carried out in parallel to the direct mPFC-midbrain and VTA pathways that control behavior in a more temporally specific manner (Tye et al., 2013; Warden et al., 2012). From this standpoint, differences in the activity of the prefrontal-avBST pathway could bias the organism toward different response strategies or coping styles, whereas altered activity may underlie shifts that bias response strategies for adaptation to changing environmental conditions, such following chronic stress exposure.

The modulatory nature of avBST circuitry is further conferred by the fact that manipulations on the order of minutes, not seconds, are required to observe overt changes in endocrine and behavioral responses (Johnson et al., 2016). This is particularly true with regard to modulation of the HPA axis, whereby short-term manipulations of the avBST-PVH pathway, such as over the course of a 10-min period of stress exposure, are not manifest immediately but ensue over the next 15–30 min. One possible explanation is that the time course involving the accumulation and reduction of levels of blood hormones is slower such that effects of rapidly altering neural activity in HPA-modulatory pathways are not immediately observable. Another possibility involves some relationship with rapid glucocorticoid (i.e., nongenomic) receptor-mediated feedback within avBST and/or PVH (Dallman, 2005; Ginsberg et al., 2003; Keller-Wood, 2015; Tasker and Herman, 2011), such that differential activity in this pathway may enhance or shunt steroidal negative-feedback influences on HPA activity.

The role of avBST inputs, within the broader array of circuitry impinging on PVH neurosecretory neurons and other behavioral/autonomic effector systems, remains to be fully explored. Establishing stressor specificity, or lack thereof, as well as boundary conditions for involvement within a given stressor may provide insights into whether avBST or similar circuits function within defined roles or act more generically, even redundantly, for control of stress responses. Investigating the functional role of such pathways within specific stress paradigms (e.g., repeated homotypic or chronic variable stress) has already begun to inform our understanding these systems. Our circuit manipulations under acute inescapable stressors, and the broader literature, indicate that maladaptive changes following chronic stress are due at least in part to withdrawal of top-down inhibitory control. Further

investigation is also needed to establish a circuit-level understanding of whether increased drive within parallel pathways, to either the HPA axis or behavioral effector systems, occurs alongside the withdrawal of limbic cortical inhibitory influences following chronic stress.

Relevance to coping styles

Another future direction of interest involves understanding the contribution of differential activity in avBST circuitry in relation to coping styles proposed to exist in animals and humans (de Boer et al., 2016; Korte et al., 2005). Studies have observed correlations wherein rodents that tend toward aggression and/or *proactive* coping also mount the lowest HPA responses to stressors, whereas rodents with low aggression and/or *reactive* coping show higher HPA output (Bohus et al., 1987; Henry, 1992; Henry and Stephens, 1977; Koolhaas et al., 1999; Sluyter et al., 1996; Veenema et al., 2004; Veenema et al., 2003; Walker et al., 2009b). Along similar lines, female rodents display larger increases in corticosterone secretion (Goel et al., 2014; Viau et al., 2005), and use different behavioral strategies than males in response to a variety of challenges (Goel et al., 2014; Mueller and Bale, 2008; Shansky and Woolley, 2016; Viau et al., 2005). Experimental manipulations that force passive coping strategies have also been shown to enhance HPA output (De Boer et al., 1990; Korte et al., 1992). Together, these findings support a potential mechanism wherein HPA output and passive behavioral coping may be modulated in a coordinated manner as a function of trait variability, sex-specificity, and environmental conditions.

Whether the avBST and related circuitry described herein provide a neural substrate for understanding coping styles remains to be carefully examined. One possibility is that activation of this circuit does not promote proactive coping styles *per se*, but instead restrains HPA and passive behaviors to counteract an otherwise more reactive response pattern. Another scenario is that inhibition of this circuit could be constitutively active or more readily or enabled in rodents that naturally display a more reactive coping style. Finally, the existence of a neural hub that coordinates the inhibition of passive coping behavior while concurrently restraining HPA output has teleological significance. This idea is derived from evidence that different behavioral strategies require distinct physiological and endocrine adjustments (Henry, 1992; Henry and Stephens, 1977; Korte et al., 2005; Mason, 1972; Mason et al., 1976; Ursin et al., 1977). That avBST exhibits the property of inhibiting HPA activity in conjunction with passive behaviors, suggests that the coordination of these functions likely confers an adaptive advantage in certain environmental contexts. In that vein, reactive coping is generally thought to be an advantageous response to inescapable challenges in that it conserves energy that could be better deployed at later time if the situation changes (Mason et al., 1976; Korte et al., 2005). Should the proactive coping style fail (i.e. in inescapable situations), it may be more beneficial to redirect these resources toward survival systems via increased activation of the HPA axis. Conversely, avBST may play a role in promoting the opposite set of responses at the outset, or during later reappraisals of the stressful event, wherein active coping and diminished HPA output might have benefits of their own. The continuum of coping styles, perhaps reflected in avBST response capacity, might then represent biases toward strategies that have value in environments with varying exposures to prolonged or inescapable stress. Finally, it is also notable that chronic stress paradigms generally bias animals toward reactive coping

strategies, which suggests that, rather than strictly adhering to one “style,” the underlying systems are capable of adapting to the shifting environmental demands.

Parcellation of BST in stress-related psychiatric disorders

In recent years increasing scrutiny has been given to BST dysregulation in stress-related psychiatric illnesses (e.g., see Daniel and Rainnie, 2016; Fox et al., 2015; Hammack and May, 2015; Lebow and Chen, 2016; Shackman and Fox, 2016), although much of this focus has been in the context of anxiety-related and post-traumatic stress disorders. Another recent trend also reflects the increasing awareness that the BST is highly complex and heterogeneous, containing multiple distinct structural and functional domains important for different aspects of stress regulation (Crestani et al., 2013; Daniel and Rainnie, 2016; Gungor and Pare, 2016; Lebow and Chen, 2016; Sparta et al., 2013; Vranjkovic et al., 2017). While not discussed here, converging evidence suggests that the dorsal BST, along with the central nucleus of the amygdala, forms a network prominently implicated in the regulation of anxiety-like, behavioral, and autonomic responses under a range of environmental challenges and stressors (Johnson et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2013; Walker and Davis, 1997; Walker et al., 2003a). Although avBST is an important component in the “central extended amygdala” network (Alheid and Heimer, 1988; Fox et al., 2015; Swanson and Petrovich, 1998; Ursin et al., 1977; Walker et al., 2003b), it is distinguished from other elements by its capacity to directly modulate neuroendocrine functions. Moreover, the capacity avBST to coordinate HPA and behavioral coping responses suggests an involvement in different aspects of adaptive responses that have not been previously contrasted from its dorsal counterpart. avBST involvement in anxiety-like behaviors has been demonstrated (Glangetas et al., 2017; Jennings et al., 2013; Marcinkiewicz et al., 2016), but both the intrinsic connectivity between dorsal and ventral BST, and comorbidity of anxiety and depression disorders may implicate avBST as a locus in such instances. Regardless, given the robust autonomic correlates with posttraumatic stress and anxiety disorders, and neuroendocrine disturbances in depressive disorders (recently summarized in Fink, 2017), we speculate that the outcomes of BST dysfunction differ regionally, with dorsal and ventral dysfunctional accounting for anxiety- and depression-like responses, respectively. Such an oversimplification of BST necessarily fails to capture its structural and functional complexity, however, it should serve as a useful perspective for considering BST sub-circuitry in more specific psychiatric contexts in future animal and human studies.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health MH095972 and NARSAD Independent Investigator Grants.

Abbreviations

avBST	anteroventral subdivision of the bed nuclei of the stria terminalis
BST	bed nuclei of the stria terminalis
CRF	corticotropin-releasing factor

CVS	chronic variable stress
GAD	glutamic acid decarboxylase
GAD-65	glutamic acid decarboxylase, 65 kD isoform
HPA	hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal
mPFC	medial prefrontal cortex
PAG	periaqueductal gray area
PL	prelimbic cortex
PVH	paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus
PVT	paraventricular nucleus of the thalamus
VGLUT2	vesicular glutamate transporter, type 2 isoform
vlPAG	ventrolateral subdivision of the periaqueductal gray area
vSUB	ventral subiculum
VTa	ventral tegmental area

References

- Akana SF, Chu A, Soriano L, Dallman MF. Corticosterone exerts site-specific and state-dependent effects in prefrontal cortex and amygdala on regulation of adrenocorticotropic hormone, insulin and fat depots. *J. Neuroendocrinol.* 2001; 13:625–637. [PubMed: 11442777]
- Alheid GF, Heimer L. New perspectives in basal forebrain organization of special relevance for neuropsychiatric disorders: the striatopallidal, amygdaloid, and corticopetal components of substantia innominata. *Neuroscience.* 1988; 27:1–39. [PubMed: 3059226]
- Allen JP, Allen CF. Role of the amygdaloid complexes in the stress-induced release of ACTH in the rat. *Neuroendocrinology.* 1974; 15:220–230. [PubMed: 4370593]
- Amat J, Baratta MV, Paul E, Bland ST, Watkins LR, Maier SF. Medial prefrontal cortex determines how stressor controllability affects behavior and dorsal raphe nucleus. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2005; 8:365–371. [PubMed: 15696163]
- Anthony TE, Dee N, Bernard A, Lerchner W, Heintz N, Anderson DJ. Control of stress-Induced persistent anxiety by an extra-Amygdala septohypothalamic circuit. *Cell.* 2014; 156:522–536. [PubMed: 24485458]
- Arnsten AF, Paspalas CD, Gamo NJ, Yang Y, Wang M. Dynamic network connectivity: a new form of neuroplasticity. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 2010; 14:365–375. [PubMed: 20554470]
- Assareh N, Sarrami M, Carrive P, McNally GP. The organization of defensive behavior elicited by optogenetic excitation of rat lateral or ventrolateral periaqueductal gray. *Behav. Neurosci.* 2016; 130:406–414. [PubMed: 27243807]
- Bandler R, Shipley M. Columnar organization in the midbrain periaqueductal gray—modules for emotional expression (vol 17, pg 379, 1994). *Trends Neurosci.* 1994; 17:445.
- Bhatnagar S, Dallman M. Neuroanatomical basis for facilitation of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal responses to a novel stressor after chronic stress. *Neuroscience.* 1998; 84:1025–1039. [PubMed: 9578393]
- Bohus B, Benus RF, Fokkema DS, Koolhaas JM, Nyakas C, van Oortmerssen GA, Prins AJ, de Ruiter AJ, Scheurink AJ, Steffens AB. Neuroendocrine states and behavioral and physiological stress responses. *Prog. Brain Res.* 1987; 72:57–70. [PubMed: 3615906]

- Boudaba C, Schrader LA, Tasker JG. Physiological evidence for local excitatory synaptic circuits in the rat hypothalamus. *J. Neurophysiol.* 1997; 77:3396–3400. [PubMed: 9212285]
- Brown VJ, Bowman EM. Rodent models of prefrontal cortical function. *Trends Neurosci.* 2002; 25:340–343. [PubMed: 12079756]
- Campeau S, Watson SJ. Neuroendocrine and behavioral responses and brain pattern of c-fos induction associated with audiogenic stress. *J. Neuroendocrinol.* 1997; 9:577–588. [PubMed: 9283046]
- Cecchi M, Khoshbouei H, Javors M, Morilak DA. Modulatory effects of norepinephrine in the lateral bed nucleus of the stria terminalis on behavioral and neuroendocrine responses to acute stress. *Neuroscience.* 2002; 112:13–21. [PubMed: 12044468]
- Challis C, Beck SG, Berton O. Optogenetic modulation of descending prefrontocortical inputs to the dorsal raphe bidirectionally bias socioaffective choices after social defeat. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 2014; 8
- Chaudhury D, Walsh JJ, Friedman AK, Juarez B, Ku SM, Koo JW, Ferguson D, Tsai HC, Pomeranz L, Christoffel DJ, Nectow AR, Ekstrand M, Domingos A, Mazei-Robison MS, Mouzon E, Lobo MK, Neve RL, Friedman JM, Russo SJ, Deisseroth K, Nestler EJ, Han MH. Rapid regulation of depression-related behaviours by control of midbrain dopamine neurons. *Nature.* 2013; 493:532–536. [PubMed: 23235832]
- Choi DC, Furay AR, Evanson NK, Ostrander MM, Ulrich-Lai YM, Herman JP. Bed nucleus of the stria terminalis subregions differentially regulate hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activity: Implications for the integration of limbic inputs. *J. Neurosci.* 2007; 27:2025–2034. [PubMed: 17314298]
- Christoffel DJ, Golden SA, Russo SJ. Structural and synaptic plasticity in stress-related disorders. *Rev. Neurosci.* 2011; 22:535–549. [PubMed: 21967517]
- Chrousos GP. The role of stress and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis in the pathogenesis of the metabolic syndrome: neuro-endocrine and target tissue-related causes. *Int. J. Obes. Relat. Metab. Disord.* 2000; 24(Suppl 2):S50–S55. [PubMed: 10997609]
- Cole RL, Sawchenko PE. Neurotransmitter regulation of cellular activation and neuropeptide gene expression in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus. *J. Neurosci.* 2002; 22:959–969. [PubMed: 11826124]
- Crane JW, Ebner K, Day TA. Medial prefrontal cortex suppression of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis response to a physical stressor, systemic delivery of interleukin-1 beta. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2003; 17:1473–1481. [PubMed: 12713650]
- Crestani CC, Alves FH, Gomes FV, Resstel LB, Correa FM, Herman JP. Mechanisms in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis involved in control of autonomic and neuroendocrine functions: a review. *Curr. Neuropharmacol.* 2013; 11:141–159. [PubMed: 23997750]
- Csáki A, Kocsis K, Halász B, Kiss J. Localization of glutamatergic/aspartatergic neurons projecting to the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus studied by retrograde transport of [3H]D-aspartate autoradiography. *Neuroscience.* 2000; 101:637–655. [PubMed: 11113313]
- Cullinan WE, Herman JP, Watson SJ. Ventral subicular interaction with the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus: evidence for a relay in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis. *J. Comp. Neurology.* 1993; 332:1–20.
- Cullinan WE, Herman JP, Battaglia DF, Akil H, Watson SJ. Pattern and time-course of immediate-early gene-expression in rat-brain following acute stress. *Neuroscience.* 1995; 64:477–505. [PubMed: 7700534]
- Cullinan WE, Ziegler DR, Herman JP. Functional role of local GABAergic influences on the HPA axis. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 2008; 213:63–72. [PubMed: 18696110]
- de Boer SF, Buwalda B, Koolhaas JM. Untangling the neurobiology of coping styles in rodents: towards neural mechanisms underlying individual differences in disease susceptibility. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 2016
- de Kloet ER, Molendijk ML. Coping with the forced swim stressor: towards understanding an adaptive mechanism. *Neural Plast.* 2016:6503162. 2016. [PubMed: 27034848]
- de Kloet ER, Joels M, Holsboer F. Stress and the brain: from adaptation to disease. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2005; 6:463–475. [PubMed: 15891777]

- Dallman MF, Akana SF, Scribner KA, Bradbury MJ, Walker CD, Strack AM, Cascio CS. Stress, feedback and facilitation in the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal axis. *J. Neuroendocrinol.* 1992; 4:517–526. [PubMed: 21554636]
- Dallman MF. Stress update—adaptation of the hypothalamic pituitary-adrenal axis to chronic stress. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* 1993; 4:62–69. [PubMed: 18407136]
- Dallman MF. Fast glucocorticoid actions on brain: back to the future. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* 2005; 26:103–108. [PubMed: 16242180]
- Daniel SE, Rainnie DG. Stress modulation of opposing circuits in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2016; 41:103–125. [PubMed: 26096838]
- Davis M, Walker DL, Miles L, Grillon C. Phasic vs sustained fear in rats and humans: role of the extended amygdala in fear vs anxiety. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2010; 35:105–135. [PubMed: 19693004]
- Day TA. Defining stress as a prelude to mapping its neurocircuitry: no help from allostasis. *Progress Neuro-psychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry.* 2005; 29:1195–1200.
- Dayas CV, Buller KM, Day TA. Neuroendocrine responses to an emotional stressor: evidence for involvement of the medial but not the central amygdala. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 1999; 11:2312–2322. [PubMed: 10383620]
- Dayas CV, Buller KM, Crane JW, Xu Y, Day TA. Stressor categorization: acute physical and psychological stressors elicit distinctive recruitment patterns in the amygdala and in medullary noradrenergic cell groups. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2001; 14:1143–1152. [PubMed: 11683906]
- De Boer SF, Slangen JL, Van der Gugten J. Plasma catecholamine and corticosterone levels during active and passive shock-prod avoidance behavior in rats: effects of chlordiazepoxide. *Physiol. Behav.* 1990; 47:1089–1098. [PubMed: 2395914]
- Diorio D, Viau V, Meaney MJ. The role of the medial prefrontal cortex (cingulate gyrus) in the regulation of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal responses to stress. *J. Neurosci.* 1993; 13:3839–3847. [PubMed: 8396170]
- Divac I. Frontal lobe system and spatial reversal in the rat. *Neuropsychologia.* 1971; 9:175–183. [PubMed: 5149059]
- Dong HW, Swanson LW. Projections from bed nuclei of the stria terminalis, dorsomedial nucleus: implications for cerebral hemisphere integration of neuroendocrine, autonomic, and drinking responses. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 2006; 494:75–107. [PubMed: 16304681]
- Dong HW, Petrovich GD, Watts AG, Swanson LW. Basic organization of projections from the oval and fusiform nuclei of the bed nuclei of the stria terminalis in adult rat brain. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 2001; 436:430–455. [PubMed: 11447588]
- Essex MJ, Klein MH, Cho E, Kalin NH. Maternal stress beginning in infancy may sensitize children to later stress exposure: effects on cortisol and behavior. *Biol. Psychiatry.* 2002; 52:776–784. [PubMed: 12372649]
- Figueiredo HF, Bruestle A, Bodie B, Dolgas CM, Herman JP. The medial prefrontal cortex differentially regulates stress-induced c-fos expression in the forebrain depending on type of stressor. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2003; 18:2357–2364. [PubMed: 14622198]
- Fink, G. *Stress Neuroendocrinology: Highlights and Controversies.* Elsevier; London: 2017.
- Flak JN, Ostrander MM, Tasker JG, Herman JP. Chronic stress-induced neurotransmitter plasticity in the PVN. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 2009; 517:156–165. [PubMed: 19731312]
- Floyd NS, Price JL, Ferry AT, Keay KA, Bandler R. Orbitomedial prefrontal cortical projections to distinct longitudinal columns of the periaqueductal gray in the rat. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 2000; 422:556–578. [PubMed: 10861526]
- Fox AS, Oler JA, Tromp do PM, Fudge JL, Kalin NH. Extending the amygdala in theories of threat processing. *Trends Neurosci.* 2015; 38:319–329. [PubMed: 25851307]
- Franklin TB, Silva BA, Perova Z, Marrone L, Masferrer ME, Zhan Y, Kaplan A, Greetham L, Verrechia V, Halman A, Pagella S, Vyssotski AL, Illarionova A, Grinevich V, Branco T, Gross CT. Prefrontal cortical control of a brainstem social behavior circuit. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2017; 20:260–270. [PubMed: 28067904]
- Georges F, Aston-Jones G. Potent regulation of midbrain dopamine neurons by the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis. *J. Neurosci.* 2001; 21:RC160. [PubMed: 11473131]

- Ginsberg AB, Campeau S, Day HE, Spencer RL. Acute glucocorticoid pretreatment suppresses stress-induced hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis hormone secretion and expression of corticotropin-releasing hormone hnRNA but does not affect c-fos mRNA or fos protein expression in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus. *J. Neuroendocrinol.* 2003; 15:1075–1083. [PubMed: 14622438]
- Glangetas C, Massi L, Fois GR, Jalabert M, Girard D, Diana M, Yonehara K, Roska B, Xu C, Luthi A, Caille S, Georges F. NMDA-receptor-dependent plasticity in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis triggers long-term anxiolysis. *Nat. Commun.* 2017; 8:14456. [PubMed: 28218243]
- Goel N, Workman JL, Lee TT, Innala L, Viau V. Sex differences in the HPA axis. *Compr Physiol.* 2014; 4:1121–1155. [PubMed: 24944032]
- Gungor NZ, Pare D. Functional heterogeneity in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis. *J. Neurosci.* 2016; 36:8038–8049. [PubMed: 27488624]
- Hammack SE, May V. Pituitary adenylate cyclase activating polypeptide in stress-related disorders: data convergence from animal and human studies. *Biol. Psychiatry.* 2015; 78:167–177. [PubMed: 25636177]
- Heim C, Newport DJ, Mletzko T, Miller AH, Nemeroff CB. The link between childhood trauma and depression: insights from HPA axis studies in humans. *Psychoneuroendocrinology.* 2008; 33:693–710. [PubMed: 18602762]
- Henke PG. The bed nucleus of the stria terminalis and immobilization-Stress—unit-activity, escape behavior, and gastric pathology in rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* 1984; 11:35–45. [PubMed: 6538088]
- Henry, JP., Stephens, PM. *Stress, Health and the Social Environment: a Socioobiological Approach to Medicine.* Springer; Berlin: 1977.
- Henry JP. Biological basis of the stress response. *Integr. Physiol. Behav. Sci.* 1992; 27:66–83. [PubMed: 1576090]
- Herman JP, Cullinan WE. Neurocircuitry of stress: central control of the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenocortical axis. *Trends Neurosci.* 1997; 20:78–84. [PubMed: 9023876]
- Herman JP, Cullinan WE, Young EA, Akil H, Watson SJ. Selective forebrain fiber tract lesions implicate ventral hippocampal structures in tonic regulation of paraventricular nucleus corticotropin-releasing hormone (Crh) and arginine vasopressin (Avp) messenger-Rna expression. *Brain Res.* 1992; 592:228–238. [PubMed: 1333341]
- Herman JP, Adams D, Prewitt C. Regulatory changes in neuroendocrine stress-integrative circuitry produced by a variable stress paradigm. *Neuroendocrinology.* 1995a; 61:180–190. [PubMed: 7753337]
- Herman JP, Cullinan WE, Morano MI, Akil H, Watson SJ. Contribution of the ventral subiculum to inhibitory regulation of the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenocortical axis. *J. Neuroendocrinol.* 1995b; 7:475–482. [PubMed: 7550295]
- Herman JP, Figueiredo H, Mueller NK, Ulrich-Lai Y, Ostrander MM, Choi DC, Cullinan WE. Central mechanisms of stress integration: hierarchical circuitry controlling hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenocortical responsiveness. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* 2003; 24:151–180. [PubMed: 14596810]
- Hewitt SA, Wamsteeker JI, Kurz EU, Bains JS. Altered chloride homeostasis removes synaptic inhibitory constraint of the stress axis. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2009; 12:438–443. [PubMed: 19252497]
- Jaferi A, Bhatnagar S. Corticosterone can act at the posterior paraventricular thalamus to inhibit hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal activity in animals that habituate to repeated stress. *Endocrinology.* 2006; 147:4917–4930. [PubMed: 16809449]
- Jalabert M, Aston-Jones G, Herzog E, Manzoni O, Georges F. Role of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis in the control of ventral tegmental area dopamine neurons. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol Biol. Psychiatry.* 2009; 33:1336–1346. [PubMed: 19616054]
- Jennings JH, Sparta DR, Stamatakis AM, Ung RL, Pleil KE, Kash TL, Stuber GD. Distinct extended amygdala circuits for divergent motivational states. *Nature.* 2013; 496:224. [PubMed: 23515155]
- Jett JD, Boley AM, Girotti M, Shah A, Lodge DJ, Morilak DA. Antidepressant-like cognitive and behavioral effects of acute ketamine administration associated with plasticity in the ventral hippocampus to medial prefrontal cortex pathway. *Psychopharmacology.* 2015; 232:3123–3133. [PubMed: 25986748]

- Johnson PL, Truitt WA, Fitz SD, Lowry CA, Shekhar A. Neural pathways underlying lactate-induced panic. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2008; 33:2093–2107. [PubMed: 18059441]
- Johnson SB, Emmons EB, Anderson RM, Glanz RM, Romig-Martin SA, Narayanan NS, LaLumiere RT, Radley JJ. A basal forebrain site coordinates the modulation of endocrine and behavioral stress responses via divergent neural pathways. *J. Neurosci*. 2016; 36:8687–8699. [PubMed: 27535914]
- Keay KA, Bandler R. Parallel circuits mediating distinct emotional coping reactions to different types of stress. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev*. 2001; 25:669–678. [PubMed: 11801292]
- Keller-Wood M. Hypothalamic-pituitary–adrenal axis-feedback control. *Compr. Physiol*. 2015; 5:1161–1182. [PubMed: 26140713]
- Kim SY, Adhikari A, Lee SY, Marshel JH, Kim CK, Mallory CS, Lo M, Pak S, Mattis J, Lim BK, Malenka RC, Warden MR, Neve R, Tye KM, Deisseroth K. Diverging neural pathways assemble a behavioural state from separable features in anxiety. *Nature*. 2013; 496:219–223. [PubMed: 23515158]
- Kirschbaum C, Prussner JC, Stone AA, Federenko I, Gaab J, Lintz D, Schommer N, Hellhammer DH. Persistent high cortisol responses to repeated psychological stress in a subpopulation of healthy men. *Psychosom. Med*. 1995; 57:468–474. [PubMed: 8552738]
- Koolhaas JM, Korte SM, De Boer SF, Van Der Vegt BJ, Van Reenen CG, Hopster H, De Jong IC, Ruijs MAW, Blokhuis HJ. Coping styles in animals: current status in behavior and stress-physiology. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev*. 1999; 23:925–935. [PubMed: 10580307]
- Koolhaas JM, de Boer SF, Buwalda B, van Reenen K. Individual variation in coping with stress: a multidimensional approach of ultimate and proximate mechanisms. *Brain Behav. Evol*. 2007; 70:218–226. [PubMed: 17914253]
- Koolhaas JM. Coping style and immunity in animals: making sense of individual variation. *Brain Behav. Immun*. 2008; 22:662–667. [PubMed: 18395410]
- Korte SM, Bouws GA, Koolhaas JM, Bohus B. Neuroendocrine and behavioral responses during conditioned active and passive behavior in the defensive burying/probe avoidance paradigm: effects of ipsapirone. *Physiol. Behav*. 1992; 52:355–361. [PubMed: 1355919]
- Korte SM, Koolhaas JM, Wingfield JC, McEwen BS. The Darwinian concept of stress: benefits of allostasis and costs of allostatic load and the trade-offs in health and disease. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev*. 2005; 29:3–38. [PubMed: 15652252]
- Kudielka BM, Wust S. Human models in acute and chronic stress: assessing determinants of individual hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis activity and reactivity. *Stress*. 2010; 13:1–14. [PubMed: 20105052]
- Kudo T, Uchigashima M, Miyazaki T, Konno K, Yamasaki M, Yanagawa Y, Minami M, Watanabe M. Three types of neurochemical projection from the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis to the ventral tegmental area in adult mice. *J. Neurosci*. 2012; 32:18035–18046. [PubMed: 23238719]
- Lebow MA, Chen A. Overshadowed by the amygdala: the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis emerges as key to psychiatric disorders. *Mol. Psychiatry*. 2016; 21:450–463. [PubMed: 26878891]
- Ledoux JE, Iwata J, Cicchetti P, Reis DJ. Different projections of the central amygdaloid nucleus mediate autonomic and behavioral-correlates of conditioned fear. *J. Neurosci*. 1988; 8:2517–2529. [PubMed: 2854842]
- Li HY, Sawchenko PE. Hypothalamic effector neurons and extended circuitries activated in neurogenic stress: a comparison of footshock effects exerted acutely, chronically, and in animals with controlled glucocorticoid levels. *J. Comp. Neurol*. 1998; 393:244–266. [PubMed: 9548700]
- Maier SF, Watkins LR. Stressor controllability and learned helplessness: the roles of the dorsal raphe nucleus, serotonin, and corticotropin-releasing factor. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev*. 2005; 29:829–841. [PubMed: 15893820]
- Maier SF, Watkins LR. Role of the medial prefrontal cortex in coping and resilience. *Brain Res*. 2010; 1355:52–60. [PubMed: 20727864]
- Marcinkiewicz CA, Mazzone CM, D'Agostino G, Halladay LR, Hardaway JA, DiBerto JF, Navarro M, Burnham N, Cristiano C, Dorrier CE, Tipton GJ, Ramakrishnan C, Kozicz T, Deisseroth K, Thiele TE, McElligott ZA, Holmes A, Heisler LK, Kash TL. Serotonin engages an anxiety and fear-promoting circuit in the extended amygdala. *Nature*. 2016; 537:97–101. [PubMed: 27556938]

- Mason, JW., Maher, JT., Hartley, LH., Mougey, EH., Perlow, MJ., Jones, LG. Selectivity of Corticosteroid and Catecholamine Responses to Various Natural Stimuli. Springer; New York: 1976.
- Mason JW. Corticosteroid response to chair restraint in the monkey. *Am. J. Physiol.* 1972; 222:1291–1294. [PubMed: 5022388]
- McEwen BS, Gianaros PJ. Stress- and allostasis-induced brain plasticity. *Annu. Rev. Med.* 2011; 62:431–445. [PubMed: 20707675]
- McEwen BS, Wingfield JC. The concept of allostasis in biology and biomedicine. *Horm. Behav.* 2003; 43:2–15. [PubMed: 12614627]
- McEwen BS. Effects of adverse experiences for brain structure and function. *Biol. Psychiatry.* 2000; 48:721–731. [PubMed: 11063969]
- McKlveen JM, Myers B, Herman JP. The medial prefrontal cortex: coordinator of autonomic, neuroendocrine and behavioural responses to stress. *J. Neuroendocrinol.* 2015; 27:446–456. [PubMed: 25737097]
- Molendijk ML, de Kloet ER. Immobility in the forced swim test is adaptive and does not reflect depression. *Psychoneuroendocrinology.* 2015; 62:389–391. [PubMed: 26386543]
- Mueller BR, Bale TL. Sex-specific programming of offspring emotionality after stress early in pregnancy. *J. Neurosci.* 2008; 28:9055–9065. [PubMed: 18768700]
- Myers B, Carvalho-Netto E, Wick-Carlson D, Wu C, Naser S, Solomon MB, Ulrich-Lai YM, Herman JP. GABAergic signaling within a limbic-hypothalamic circuit integrates social and anxiety-like behavior with stress reactivity. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2016; 41:1530–1539. [PubMed: 26442601]
- Neafsey EJ. Prefrontal cortical control of the autonomic nervous-system—anatomical and physiological observations. *Prog. Brain Res.* 1990; 85:147–166. [PubMed: 2094892]
- Nyhuis TJ, Masini CV, Day HE, Campeau S. Evidence for the integration of stress-related signals by the rostral posterior hypothalamic nucleus in the regulation of acute and repeated stress-evoked hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal response in rat. *J. Neurosci.* 2016a; 36:795–805. [PubMed: 26791210]
- Nyhuis TJ, Masini CV, Day HEW, Campeau S. Evidence for the integration of stress-related signals by the rostral posterior hypothalamic nucleus in the regulation of acute and repeated stress-evoked hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal response in rat. *J. Neurosci.* 2016b; 36:795–805. [PubMed: 26791210]
- Olf M. Stress, depression and immunity: the role of defense and coping styles. *Psychiatry Res.* 1999; 85:7–15. [PubMed: 10195312]
- Ottewiller JE, Natelson BH, Pitman DL, Drastal SD. Adrenocortical and behavioral responses to repeated stressors: toward an animal model of chronic stress and stress-related mental illness. *Biol. Psychiatry.* 1989; 26:829–841. [PubMed: 2590694]
- Otto T, Eichenbaum H. Complementary roles of the orbital prefrontal cortex and the perirhinal-entorhinal cortices in an odor-guided delayed-nonmatching-to-sample task. *Behav. Neurosci.* 1992; 106:762–775. [PubMed: 1445656]
- Overmier JB, Seligman ME. Effects of inescapable shock upon subsequent escape and avoidance responding. *J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol.* 1967; 63:28–33. [PubMed: 6029715]
- Pasternac A, Talajic M. The effects of stress, emotion, and behavior on the heart. *Methods Achiev. Exp. Pathol.* 1991; 15:47–57. [PubMed: 1762551]
- Poulin JF, Arbour D, Laforest S, Drolet G. Neuroanatomical characterization of endogenous opioids in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry.* 2009; 33:1356–1365. [PubMed: 19583989]
- Pavlov, IP. *Conditioned Reflexes An Investigation of the Physiological Activity of the Cerebral Cortex.* London: 1927.
- Radley JJ, Sawchenko PE. A common substrate for prefrontal and hippocampal inhibition of the neuroendocrine stress response. *J. Neurosci.* 2011; 31:9683–9695. [PubMed: 21715634]
- Radley JJ, Sawchenko PE. Evidence for involvement of a limbic paraventricular hypothalamic inhibitory network in hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis adaptations to repeated stress. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 2015; 523:2769–2787. [PubMed: 26010947]

- Radley JJ, Arias CM, Sawchenko PE. Regional differentiation of the medial prefrontal cortex in regulating adaptive responses to acute emotional stress. *J. Neurosci.* 2006a; 26:12967–12976. [PubMed: 17167086]
- Radley JJ, Rocher AB, Miller M, Janssen WGM, Liston C, Hof PR, McEwen BS, Morrison JH. Repeated stress induces dendritic spine loss in the rat medial prefrontal cortex. *Cereb. Cortex.* 2006b; 16:313–320. [PubMed: 15901656]
- Radley JJ, Gosselink KL, Sawchenko PE. A discrete GABAergic relay mediates medial prefrontal cortical inhibition of the neuroendocrine stress response. *J. Neurosci.* 2009; 29:7330–7340. [PubMed: 19494154]
- Radley JJ, Anderson RM, Hamilton BA, Alcock JA, Romig-Martin SA. Chronic stress-induced alterations of dendritic spine subtypes predict functional decrements in an hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal-inhibitory prefrontal circuit. *J. Neurosci.* 2013; 33:14379–14391. [PubMed: 24005291]
- Radley JJ. Toward a limbic cortical inhibitory network: implications for hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal responses following chronic stress. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 2012; 6:7. [PubMed: 22479241]
- Roland BL, Sawchenko PE. Local origins of some GABAergic projections to the paraventricular and supraoptic nuclei of the hypothalamus in the rat. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 1993; 332:123–143. [PubMed: 7685780]
- Roth MK, Bingham B, Shah A, Joshi A, Frazer A, Strong R, Morilak DA. Effects of chronic plus acute prolonged stress on measures of coping style, anxiety, and evoked HPA-axis reactivity. *Neuropharmacology.* 2012; 63:1118–1126. [PubMed: 22842072]
- Sapolsky RM, Krey LC, McEwen BS. Glucocorticoid-sensitive hippocampal neurons are involved in terminating the adrenocortical stress response. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 1984; 81:6174–6177. [PubMed: 6592609]
- Sawchenko PE, Li HY, Ericsson A. Circuits and mechanisms governing hypothalamic responses to stress: a tale of two paradigms. *Prog. Brain Res.* 2000; 122:61–78. [PubMed: 10737051]
- Schulz D, Canbeyli RS. Lesion of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis enhances learned despair. *Brain Res. Bull.* 2000; 52:83–87. [PubMed: 10808077]
- Seligman ME, Maier SF. Failure to escape traumatic shock. *J. Exp. Psychol.* 1967; 74:1–9. [PubMed: 6032570]
- Seligman ME, Maier SF, Geer JH. Alleviation of learned helplessness in dog. *J. Abnorm. Psychol.* 1968; 73:256–&. [PubMed: 5658526]
- Seligman ME. Chronic fear produced by unpredictable electric shock. *J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol.* 1968; 66:402–411. [PubMed: 5749134]
- Selye H. Homeostasis and heterostasis. *Perspect. Biol. Med.* 1973; 16:441–445. [PubMed: 4705073]
- Selye H. Changing distress into eustress—Selye, Hans voices theories on stress. *Tex. Med.* 1980; 76:78–80. [PubMed: 7355398]
- Shackman AJ, Fox AS. Contributions of the central extended amygdala to fear and anxiety. *J. Neurosci.* 2016; 36:8050–8063. [PubMed: 27488625]
- Shah AA, Sjøvold T, Treit D. Inactivation of the medial prefrontal cortex with the GABA(A) receptor agonist muscimol increases open-arm activity in the elevated plus-maze and attenuates shock-probe burying in rats. *Brain Res.* 2004; 1028:112–115. [PubMed: 15518648]
- Shansky RM, Woolley CS. Considering sex as a biological variable will be valuable for neuroscience research. *J. Neurosci.* 2016; 36:11817–11822. [PubMed: 27881768]
- Shively CA, Register TC, Clarkson TB. Social stress, visceral obesity, and coronary artery atherosclerosis: product of a primate adaptation. *Am. J. Primatol.* 2009; 71:742–751. [PubMed: 19452515]
- Sluyter F, Korte SM, Bohus B, VanOortmerssen GA. Behavioral stress response of genetically selected aggressive and nonaggressive wild house mice in the shock-probe/defensive burying test. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 1996; 54:113–116. [PubMed: 8728547]
- Sparta DR, Jennings JH, Ung RL, Stuber GD. Optogenetic strategies to investigate neural circuitry engaged by stress. *Behav. Brain Res.* 2013; 255:19–25. [PubMed: 23684554]

- Spencer SJ, Buller KM, Day TA. Medial prefrontal cortex control of the paraventricular hypothalamic nucleus response to psychological stress: possible role of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 2005; 481:363–376. [PubMed: 15593338]
- Steimer T. Animal models of anxiety disorders in rats and mice: some conceptual issues. *Dialogues Clin. Neurosci.* 2011; 13:495–506. [PubMed: 22275854]
- Sullivan RM, Gratton A. Lateralized effects of medial prefrontal cortex lesions on neuroendocrine and autonomic stress responses in rats. *J. Neurosci.* 1999; 19:2834–2840. [PubMed: 10087094]
- Sullivan GM, Apergis J, Bush DE, Johnson LR, Hou M, Ledoux JE. Lesions in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis disrupt corticosterone and freezing responses elicited by a contextual but not by a specific cue-conditioned fear stimulus. *Neuroscience.* 2004; 128:7–14. [PubMed: 15450349]
- Swanson LW, Petrovich GD. What is the amygdala? *Trends Neurosci.* 1998; 21:323–331. [PubMed: 9720596]
- Tasker JG, Herman JP. Mechanisms of rapid glucocorticoid feedback inhibition of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. *Stress.* 2011; 14:398–406. [PubMed: 21663538]
- Tovote P, Esposito MS, Botta P, Haudun FC, Fadok JP, Markovic M, Wolff SBE, Ramakrishnan C, Fenno L, Deisseroth K, Herry C, Arber S, Luthi A. Midbrain circuits for defensive behaviour. *Nature.* 2016; 534:206–+. [PubMed: 27279213]
- Tye KM, Mirzabekov JJ, Warden MR, Ferenczi EA, Tsai HC, Finkelstein J, Kim SY, Adhikari A, Thompson KR, Andalman AS, Gunaydin LA, Witten IB, Deisseroth K. Dopamine neurons modulate neural encoding and expression of depression-related behaviour. *Nature.* 2013; 493:537–541. [PubMed: 23235822]
- Ulrich-Lai YM, Herman JP. Neural regulation of endocrine and autonomic stress responses. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2009; 10:397–409. [PubMed: 19469025]
- Ursin, H., Baade, E., Levine, S. *Psychobiology of Stress: A Study of Coping in Men.* Academic Press; New York: 1977.
- van Eden CG, Buijs RR. Functional neuroanatomy of the prefrontal cortex: autonomic interactions. *Cognition, Emotion and Autonomic Responses: The Integrative Role of the Prefrontal Cortex and Limbic Structures.* 2000; 126:49–62.
- Van de Kar LD, Blair ML. Forebrain pathways mediating stress-induced hormone secretion. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* 1999; 20:1–48. [PubMed: 9882535]
- Veenema AH, Meijer OC, de Kloet ER, Koolhaas JM, Bohus BG. Differences in basal and stress-induced HPA regulation of wild house mice selected for high and low aggression. *Horm. Behav.* 2003; 43:197–204. [PubMed: 12614650]
- Veenema AH, Koolhaas JM, de Kloet ER. Basal and stress-induced differences in HPA axis, 5-HT responsiveness, and hippocampal cell proliferation in two mouse lines. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 2004; 1018:255–265. [PubMed: 15240376]
- Viau V, Bingham B, Davis J, Lee P, Wong M. Gender and puberty interact on the stress-induced activation of parvocellular neurosecretory neurons and corticotropin-releasing hormone messenger ribonucleic acid expression in the rat. *Endocrinology.* 2005; 146:137–146. [PubMed: 15375029]
- Vranjkovic O, Pina M, Kash TL, Winder DG. The bed nucleus of the stria terminalis in drug-associated behavior and affect: a circuit-based perspective. *Neuropharmacology.* 2017; 122:100–106. [PubMed: 28351600]
- Walaas I, Fonnum F. Biochemical-evidence for glutamate as a transmitter in hippocampal efferents to the basal forebrain and hypothalamus in the rat-brain. *Neuroscience.* 1980; 5:1691–1698. [PubMed: 6253848]
- Walker DL, Davis M. Double dissociation between the involvement of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis and the central nucleus of the amygdala in startle increases produced by conditioned versus unconditioned fear. *J. Neurosci.* 1997; 17:9375–9383. [PubMed: 9364083]
- Walker DL, Toufexis DJ, Davis M. Role of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis versus the amygdala in fear, stress, and anxiety. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2003a; 463:199–216. [PubMed: 12600711]
- Walker DL, Toufexis DJ, Davis M. Role of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis versus the amygdala in fear, stress, and anxiety. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2003b; 463:199–216. [PubMed: 12600711]

- Walker DL, Miles LA, Davis M. Selective participation of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis and CRF in sustained anxiety-like versus phasic fear-like responses. *Progress Neuro-Psychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry*. 2009a; 33:1291–1308.
- Walker FR, Masters LM, Dielenberg RA, Day TA. Coping with defeat: acute glucocorticoid and forebrain responses to social defeat vary with defeat episode behaviour. *Neuroscience*. 2009b; 162:244–253. [PubMed: 19393295]
- Warden MR, Selimbeyoglu A, Mirzabekov JJ, Lo M, Thompson KR, Kim SY, Adhikari A, Tye KM, Frank LM, Deisseroth K. A prefrontal cortex-brainstem neuronal projection that controls response to behavioural challenge. *Nature*. 2012; 492:428–432. [PubMed: 23160494]
- Weinberg MS, Johnson DC, Bhatt AP, Spencer RL. Medial prefrontal cortex activity can disrupt the expression of stress response habituation. *Neuroscience*. 2010; 168:744–756. [PubMed: 20394807]
- Wise SP. Forward frontal fields: phylogeny and fundamental function. *Trends Neurosci*. 2008; 31:599–608. [PubMed: 18835649]

Fig. 1. Prelimbic (PL) cortical involvement in HPA axis modulation

A. Anterograde tracer injections in PL highlight weak projections to neurosecretory neurons in medial parvocellular dorsal (mpd) division of the paraventricular hypothalamus (PVH), and more robust inputs to multiple avBST subdivisions. B. Schematic of observed connectivity between PL and avBST, and the consequences of PL lesions on functional activation of PVH/HPA output. Arrows and horizontal line indicate excitation and inhibition, respectively. C. Retrograde tracer injections in PVH labeled neurons in anteroventral bed nuclei of the stria terminalis (avBST) that are both GABAergic (express glutamate decarboxylase, GAD, mRNA) and stress-sensitive (display Fos induction). PL lesions

diminished activity in GABAergic, PVH-projecting neurons in avBST, and increased HPA output (D) following 30 min of restraint stress. ac, anterior commissure; dm, dorsomedial nucleus, avBST; fu, fusiform nucleus, avBST; sc, subcommissural nucleus, avBST; dp, dorsal parvicellular division of PVH; pm, posterior magnocellular division, PVH; mpv, medial parvicellular ventral division, PVH. *, $p < 0.05$. Data are based upon Radley et al. (2009).

Fig. 2. Functional and phenotypic characterization of avBST inputs to PVH

A. Photoinhibition of Arch-expressing (Arch) avBST-projecting terminal fields in PVH during 10 min tail suspension (TS) stress disinhibited the HPA axis as measured by adrenocorticotropin releasing hormone (ACTH, top left) and corticosterone (CORT, lower left) levels. *, $p < 0.05$. B. Top left panel depicts high magnification photomicrograph of YFP-fluorescent terminals originating from AAV-transfected avBST neuronal somata. Immunohistochemical staining for glutamate decarboxylase 65 kDa isoform (GAD-65, top right), a marker of GABAergic axons and terminals, and corticotropin releasing factor (CRF, lower left) revealed that avBST→PVH terminals are GABAergic and reside in close apposition to CRF-positive neurosecretory neurons (composite, lower right). These data highlight an inhibitory pathway from avBST to HPA effector neurons. Data are based upon Johnson et al. (2016).

Fig. 3. Integration and additivity of convergent HPA-inhibitory limbic influences via avBST
 A. Schematic circuit model wherein the prelimbic cortex (PL, green) and ventral subiculum (vSUB, red) inputs are integrated within avBST for the inhibition of HPA output. B. Convergence of FluoroRuby-filled vSUB terminals (FR, red) and biotinylated dextran amine-positive (BDA, green) originating from PL onto PVH-projecting avBST neurons retrogradely labeled with Fluoro-Gold (FG, blue). C. CRF mRNA levels in PVH in animals bearing PL or vSUB lesions are greater than in sham controls, whereas lesions of both PL and vSUB (Double-X) show a further enhancement. D. Rats with GABAergic elements of avBST disrupted (i.e., avBST-X) exhibited elevated peripheral HPA output relative to both vSUB and sham-lesioned animals after 30 min restraint stress. (*, $p < 0.05$ lesion vs sham group; †, $p < 0.05$ Double or avBST lesion versus single PL or vSUB lesion groups). Data are based upon Radley and Sawchenko (2011).

Fig. 4. Acute manipulations of avBST neuronal activity during emotional stress reveal its involvement in both behavioral and endocrine stress responses

A. Diagram depicting 561 nm laser illumination of AAV-Arch expressing neurons in avBST.

A'. Photoinhibition of avBST neurons increased both behavioral immobility and augmented HPA output (A'') during tail suspension (TS).

B. Schematic depicting AAV-Arch microinjection into avBST and subsequent photoinhibition of the axonal pathway from avBST to the ventrolateral periaqueductal gray (vIPAG). Photoinhibition of avBST terminals in vIPAG was associated with increased immobility during tail suspension (B') as well as during the forced swim test (FST, B''), while general locomotor activity was unchanged (B'''). *, $p < 0.05$. Data are based upon Johnson et al. (2016).

Fig. 5. Anatomical evidence that distinct subpopulations of avBST neurons give rise to HPA and behavioral modulation

Dual microinjection of Fluoro-Gold (FG, magenta) in PVH and cholera toxin B subunit (CTb, cyan) in vIPAG (inset diagram) followed by retrograde transport of each tracer revealed intermingled, but non-overlapping populations of PVH- and vIPAG-projecting neurons in avBST.

Fig. 6. Regressive changes in mPFC → avBST pathway following 14 days of chronic variable stress (CVS)

A. Representative photomicrographs of pyramidal neuron dendritic segments in PL filled with the fluorescent dye, Lucifer yellow. A'. Quantification of dendritic spine densities indicated that CVS exposure led to synaptic attrition in PL, manifested in decreased overall spine density, and particularly within thin subtypes (*, $p < 0.05$). By contrast, CVS-induced decrements in large mushroom spines were observed only in avBST-projecting PL neurons ($\dagger$, $p < 0.05$ vs non avBST-projecting neurons). B. avBST-projecting PL neurons experienced marked Fos induction in stress-naïve, acutely restrained rats (*, $p < 0.05$ vs unstressed control group), however this index was significantly reduced in animals with a history of CVS ($\dagger$, $p < 0.05$ vs acute stress control). B'. 30 min restraint stress exposure also led to increased CRF mRNA expression in PVH (*, $p < 0.05$ vs unstressed control), while this measure was further enhanced in rats with a history of CVS ($\dagger$, $p < 0.05$ vs stressed control group). These data are consistent with the premise that CVS-induced decrements in this circuit may account for augmented HPA activity. Data adapted from Radley et al. (2013).

Fig. 7. Proposed circuit accounting for top-down limbic cortical coordination of HPA output with passive behavioral strategies

Red lines terminating in “T” (inhibitory) and blue lines terminating in arrows (excitatory) summarize the circuitry highlighted in this review. We propose that avBST may integrate activity from upstream limbic cortical regions, such as PL and vSUB, to modulate HPA output and behavioral response strategies during acute challenges. This circuit may also contribute to our understanding of how limbic cortical perturbations may promote shifts in response strategies leading to resilience (high activity) or vulnerability (low activity). cc, corpus callosum; Hipp., hippocampus; ot, optic tract; Pit., pituitary gland.