Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Causes Control. 2018 January ; 29(1): 143–156. doi:10.1007/s10552-017-0987-7.

Serum cholesterol trajectories in the ten years prior to lymphoma diagnosis

Sharon Hensley Alford¹, George Divine¹, Chun Chao², Laurel A. Habel³, Nalini Janakiraman⁴, Yun Wang¹, Heather Spencer Feigelson⁵, Delia Scholes⁶, Doug Roblin⁷, Mara M. Epstein⁸, Lawrence Engel⁹, Suzanne Havstad¹, Karen Wells¹, Marianne Ulcickas Yood¹⁰, Joan Fortuny^{11,†}, Christine Cole Johnson^{1,†}, and for the Cancer Research Network Lymphoma Study Group

¹Department of Public Health Sciences, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, MI, USA

²Kaiser Permanente Southern California, Department of Research and Evaluation, Pasadena, CA, USA

³Kaiser Permanente Northern California, Division of Research, Oakland, CA, USA

⁴Hematology/Oncology, Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, MI, USA

⁵Institute for Health Research, Kaiser Permanente Colorado, Denver, CO, USA

⁶Kaiser Permanente Washington, KPWA Health Research Institute Seattle, WA, USA

⁷School of Public Health, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA, USA

⁸The Meyers Primary Care Institute and the Department of Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA

⁹Department of Epidemiology, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

¹⁰Boston University, School of Public Health, Epidemiology, Boston, MA, USA

¹¹RTI-HS Barcelona, Spain

Abstract

Purpose—Many studies suggest a role for cholesterol in cancer development. Serum cholesterol levels have been observed to be low in newly diagnosed lymphoma cases. The objective of these analyses was to examine the time-varying relationship of cholesterol with lymphomagenesis in the 10 years prior to diagnosis by lymphoma subtype.

Correspondence: Christine Cole Johnson, PhD, MPH, Department of Public Health Sciences, Henry Ford Health System, One Ford Place, 3E, Detroit, MI, USA 48202; cjohnso1@hfhs.org; Telephone: 313-874-6673; Fax: 313-874-6730.

[†]Co-senior authors

A list of the additional members of the Cancer Research Network Lymphoma Study Group appears in the Appendix.

Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure: The authors of this manuscript have no conflicts of interest to report.

Ethical approval: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Methods—Participants were selected from the combined membership of six National Cancer Institute-funded Cancer Research Network health plans from 1998–2008, excluding members with human immunodeficiency virus, cancer (except lymphoma), or organ transplants. Incident lymphoma cases within this population were ascertained and matched with up to five controls. Total serum cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein, and low-density lipoprotein were collected from plan databases. Multilevel, multivariable longitudinal models were fit after choosing the best polynomial order by deviance statistics for selected lymphoma histotypes to examine pre-diagnosis cholesterol trajectories: Hodgkin lymphoma (n=519) and all non-Hodgkin lymphomas combined (n=12,635) as well as six subtypes of the latter.

Results—For all categories, lymphoma cases had statistically significantly lower estimated total serum cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein, and low-density lipoprotein levels than controls in the years prior to diagnosis/index date. Between-group differences were most pronounced three to four years prior to diagnosis, when cases' cholesterol levels declined steeply.

Conclusions—This analysis is the first to examine changes in serum cholesterol for a decade prior to lymphoma diagnosis. A drop in cholesterol levels was evident several years before diagnosis. Our results suggest that cholesterol-related pathways have an important relationship with lymphomagenesis and low cholesterol could be a preclinical lymphoma marker.

INTRODUCTION

Non-Hodgkin lymphomas (NHL) are expected to be the seventh most commonly diagnosed cancer both in women and men in the United States in 2017.[1] Because the symptoms of lymphoma are vague and there are no widely available screening methods, lymphoma is usually diagnosed at a late stage. There are several clinical studies and case reports, going back to the 1970s, suggesting an association of hypo-cholesterolemia (i.e., low serum cholesterol) in patients diagnosed with lymphoid malignancies.[2–5] Deregulation of cholesterol homeostasis has been suggested to play a role in cancer development.[6] A potential relationship of low cholesterol to lymphoma as either cause or effect has not been established, but low cholesterol could indicate an altered systemic metabolic profile associated with the natural history of lymphoma pre-diagnosis and thus be a marker of subclinical disease.

We retrieved information from the automated data systems of six United States health plans belonging to the Cancer Research Network, from which the patient data records from regular medical care encounters were used to ascertain incident lymphoma cases and up to five matched controls and then combined to establish one of the largest single studies of lymphoma to date. We assembled this cohort as a resource to conduct pharmaco-epidemiological studies of lymphoma, with a focus on statin exposure. In our first analyses, we found that the relationship between statin use and lymphoma was strongly confounded by cholesterol levels (i.e., cholesterol was associated in an inverse direction with both statin use and lymphoma), so we turned our attention to the relationship between cholesterol and lymphoma. In these analyses, we present the first data on serial changes in total serum cholesterol (TSC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL) in the 10 years leading up to a lymphoid malignancy diagnosis, offering unique insight into the relationship of cholesterol to lymphomagenesis.

METHODS

Study design and population

This study was conducted among participating health plans of the Cancer Research Network, an NIH National Cancer Institute-funded consortium of research organizations affiliated with non-profit integrated health systems. Six Cancer Research Network sites participated in this study: Henry Ford Health System-Health Alliance Plan in the Detroit area and Kaiser Permanente sites in Northern California, Southern California, Colorado, Georgia, and Seattle. The study was approved by the institutional review boards at each of the participating sites.

As part of a pharmaco-epidemiological nested case-control study of risk factors for lymphoma (with an emphasis on statins exposure), we ascertained the 1998–2008 enrollees of each participating health plan, which provided a base population of over 21 million people. Members with a history of human immunodeficiency virus, cancer (except lymphoma or non-melanoma skin cancer), or organ transplant were excluded. Using each site's tumor registry and International Classification of Diseases for Oncology (ICD-O) codes, we identified all incident lymphoma cases diagnosed between 1998–2008 in members who had prescription drug benefits and who were aged 40 years or more at the time of diagnosis (we excluded younger members as the research emphasis was on medication exposures). Lymphoma categories were defined using the ICD-O codes. Several authors including an experienced hematologist/oncologist (NJ) reviewed the codes and determined the lymphoma categories. For these analyses, the lymphoma categories assessed included classical Hodgkin lymphoma (Hodgkins), all non-Hodgkin lymphomas combined (NHL_{combined}), and six subtypes of NHL with large enough numbers of cases to permit reliable statistical modeling. These included the following categories: plasma cell neoplasms; chronic lymphocytic lymphoma/small lymphocytic lymphoma; diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; follicular lymphoma; marginal zone lymphoma; and all T-cell non-Hodgkin lymphomas. The ICD-O codes used to define the lymphoma categories are provided in the Table 1 footnotes. A number of rare lymphoma ICD-O codes with few cases who did not fit these categories, described in Online Resource-Table S1, were excluded.

Controls were selected from the base population of health plan members aged greater than or equal to 40 years and matched to cases at time of diagnosis by age (two year age-strata), sex, duration of health plan membership (two year strata), and health plan. Controls were assigned an index date, which was the diagnosis date of their matched case. We selected up to five controls for each case. Health plan enrollment of greater than or equal to two years prior to cancer diagnosis (or index date for controls) was required to ensure a two year minimum of exposure observation time.

Those cases and controls who did not have at least one cholesterol measure in the 10 years prior to diagnosis were necessarily excluded. To avoid the influence on cholesterol measures by drug treatment, we restricted the primary analysis to the time periods prior to a prescription filled for a cholesterol altering medication (i.e., statins, niacin, bile salts, and ezetimibe). These approaches resulted in instances where controls no longer had a matched case, that is, the case did not have any cholesterol measures, was on a cholesterol altering

medication at the onset of observation or both. In this instance, unmatched controls were dropped. In parallel, some cases no longer had a matched control ; only cases with at least one control were retained. Sensitivity analyses were conducted using only subjects who had never had a statin exposure.

All the study information was obtained from the automated data systems of the participating centers, without any direct contact with the patients or manual review of hard copy or electronic medical records. The structure of reimbursement at each plan ensures that all data were captured for services in and outside of plan facilities except for services paid for out-of-pocket. Data used for this analysis included demographic data (age, sex, health plan, years of enrollment, and prescription drug coverage), tumor characteristics (histology, morphology, and date of diagnosis), and laboratory values for all measures of TSC, HDL, and LDL in the 10 years prior to diagnosis/index date. Distributions of each cholesterol measure were examined for normality and conservative bounds were set for measurement reliability with probable errors dropped.

The tumor registry variable for general stage, when available, was used to separate out the cases into early and late stages. Categories for the general stage variable included 0, in situ; 1, localized; 2, regional by direct extension; 3, regional lymph nodes involved; 4, direct extension and lymph node involvement; 5, regional, not otherwise stated; 7, distant metastasis; and 9, unknown/missing. Unknowns and cases with missing stage were excluded solely for this specific analysis. Only NHL_{combined} as a broad category had a wide enough stage distribution for separation into early (0–2) and late (3–7) stage groupings, allowing analyses by stage.

Statistical analysis

Characteristics of cases and controls were compared for each lymphoma category. P-values for comparisons were calculated using either t-tests or chi-square tests.

Multilevel longitudinal modelling (or MLM, also known as mixed effects modelling)[7] was chosen as the analysis method, since it can accommodate observation times that vary greatly from subject to subject. In addition, MLM allows more flexible (subject-specific) data fitting compared to the simpler modeling (population average) used with methods like generalized estimating equations. MLM models allow for the separate examination of both within-person variation (random effects) and between-person variation (fixed effects). Case-control matching criteria (sex, health plan site, age at diagnosis/index date, and length of enrollment) were controlled for by maintaining clustering. The age of the subject at the time of the cholesterol measure as well as the year of diagnosis were included as variables in the individual-specific component of the multilevel models.

We assessed models for each cholesterol measure (i.e., TSC, HDL, and LDL) by the lymphoma categories. A polynomial fit was used for the curves showing the trajectories of cholesterol measures of the lymphoma cases and controls prior to the time of diagnosis/index date. To select the polynomial order, increasingly complex multilevel models were fit with orders ranging from linear to quartic. We also performed sensitivity analyses related to the number of cholesterol measures available, restricting the subjects to those who had at

least two cholesterol measures in the 10 years prior to index date or diagnosis. The SAS procedure PROC MIXED (SAS version 9.4; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC) with the full maximum likelihood estimation method was used for modeling. The goodness-of-fit of nested models was compared using the difference in the model deviance ($-2 \text{ Log likelihood}$), which is also called the deviance statistic. After the initial models were fit, they were reviewed for the lowest deviance statistic. Graphical displays of the trajectory models of predicted population means, with 95% confidence intervals, by cholesterol type (TSC, HDL, and LDL) were completed separately for Hodgkins, NHL_{combined}, and the six NHL subtypes. The same modeling method was used to assess differences in TSC, HDL, and LDL in NHL_{combined}, by stage, as well as for the sensitivity analyses assessing subpopulations that had never used a cholesterol-lowering drug.

Results

There were 15,430 lymphoma cases and 77,146 matched controls in the original study population. Omission of low frequency lymphoma subtypes and their controls removed 107 cases (Online Resource-Table S1) and their 535 controls. There were another 2,124 cases and 16,515 controls who could not be included due to either no cholesterol measurements or only cholesterol measurements outside the analysis window, which started 10 years prior to the diagnosis/index date and ended at that date (or at the start of statin use). When these 2,124 cases were excluded, an additional 7136 controls were omitted because they were left without a matching case. In parallel, when the 16,515 controls without cholesterol measures were omitted, an additional 42 cases were left without any matching control and were therefore subsequently excluded. (The high ratio of unmatched controls to cases is due to the fact that every excluded case leaves ~5 controls unmatched, but an excluded control only leaves a case unmatched if the other ~4 controls for the case are also excluded, which is rare). Finally, 3 cases and 41 controls were excluded due to cholesterol values that were out of range, leaving a total of 13,154 cases and 52,919 controls included in at least one analysis. Among the subtypes studied, the 2169 excluded cases had shorter average enrollment duration (7.7 versus 9.6 years), averaged 1.1 years older and included a higher percentage reporting white race (84%), versus 81% for the included cases.

Because the three cholesterol measures were not always all measured together over the study period, there is variation in the number of cases and controls available for the analysis of each cholesterol measure (i.e., TSC, HDL and LDL). The number of cases and controls are reported in Table 1 for each lymphoma group. Online Resource Table S2 shows the numbers of patients and cholesterol measurements included in the analysis for each of the ten years prior to the diagnosis/index date for Hodgkins and NHL_{combined}.

Table 1 shows the distribution for cases and controls by lymphoma category for several demographic factors, including sex, age at first cholesterol measure, age at diagnosis (index date), cholesterol measure (TSC, HDL, or LDL), number of cholesterol measures, and years of enrollment. The largest case group is for the model of TSC in NHL_{combined} (cases = 12,573) and the smallest is for LDL in Hodgkins (cases = 434). The mean number of measures for TSC, HDL, and LDL was at least 3, and the average length of enrollment was 9 years or longer. The larger sample sizes in some lymphoma categories resulted in

significant p-values for case-control comparisons of demographic variables even when the differences in the means were quite small. However, all cholesterol measures were significantly lower in cases compared to controls in all but two instances, which were of borderline significance.

For all but one model, a quartic fit with full term random effects was found to have the smallest deviance statistic. (In one model reported in the supplemental data, the sensitivity analysis for Hodgkins and LDL among the subpopulation never exposed to statins, the quartic model failed to converge and a cubic fit was used.) Fig. 1 shows the MLM trajectory of TSC for Hodgkins (Fig. 1a), NHL_{combined} (Fig. 1b) and the six NHL subtypes (Figs. 1b.1 to 1b.6), with parallel trajectories for HDL and LDL in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, respectively. (The statistics for the differences in the adjusted means are given in Online Resources Table S3, for each cholesterol measure by lymphoma category.) Comparisons of the curves for cases and controls show statistically significant differences, which are noted for all eight lymphoma categories several years prior to diagnosis. A difference in TSC curves is detectable five to 10 years prior to diagnosis for NHL_{combined} and its subtypes, and at two years for Hodgkins, with a marked decrease of the slope as time approaches disease diagnosis. Similar separation of the curves is seen for HDL with statistically significant differences for all 10 years for NHL_{combined} and at five to 10 years prior to diagnosis for NHL subtypes. Curves separate at eight years prior to diagnosis for Hodgkins. The patterns for LDL are very similar to TSC.

Overall, the graphs show lower levels of cholesterol measures for cases relative to controls prior to diagnosis, especially in the years closest to diagnosis. When we repeated the analysis requiring at least two measures of cholesterol in the 10 years prior to index date or diagnosis, the results (including 10,087 cases and 38,205 controls for total cholesterol for NHL_{combined}) were essentially unchanged.

The stage distribution for NHL_{combined} included 1,372 cases categorized as stage 1, which were combined with 41 stage 2 cases to be considered “early” stage cases in the analysis. Late stage included general stages 3 (n = 5), 4 (n = 3), 5 (n = 695), and 7 (n = 4,934) for a total of 7,050 cases (1,413 “early” and 5,637 late stage). There were 5,444 cases with an unknown or missing stage that were not included in this analysis. Fig. 4a–c show the changes in cholesterol for NHL_{combined} by stage (see Online Resource Table S, for the differences in adjusted means for each cholesterol measure). The late stage cases have a more dramatic drop in cholesterol prior to diagnosis.

The analyses comparing cases and controls were repeated for subjects who had never had a prescription for a cholesterol-lowering drug during the observation period, and very similar results were obtained. Details of those analysis results are found in Online Resources Table S5–S6 and Fig. S1–3a–b.6. The cholesterol trajectories for the six additional NHL subtypes were very similar to those for both Hodgkins and NHL_{combined}. We also repeated the analyses for Hodgkins excluding those under 50 years (n=560 or 22% of the 2529) since the 40–49 year old cases may predominantly reflect a different etiology; the results (shown in Online Resource-Figure S4 and Table S7) did not materially change.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to assess within person changes of cholesterol in the 10 years prior to lymphoma diagnosis using multiple cholesterol measurements. Cholesterol is present in all human tissue and has important biologic functions, such as structural maintenance of cell membranes, intracellular and intercellular signaling, and metabolism. Therefore, cholesterol is central to cellular function. We found that cholesterol, in particular HDL, seems to progressively decrease starting as far out as six to eight years prior to the diagnosis of lymphoma.

Several cohort studies have only one baseline measure of cholesterol, at study entry, and cancer as an outcome.[8–15] The type of cholesterol measured (i.e., TSC, HDL, and LDL) varied between the studies, as did the average length of follow-up (7–17 years). The results were therefore mixed and depended upon timing of cholesterol measurement,[10] extent of disease,[8] sex,[11,12] and cholesterol type.[9,13–15] In the Alpha-Tocopherol Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention Study, Lim et al.[13] reported that baseline measures of HDL, but not TSC or LDL, were inversely correlated with risk of a NHL diagnosis, particularly in the first four years after baseline. In the Me-Can study,[9] baseline measures of TSC were inversely associated with cancers of the lymph-hematopoietic tissue for men and women. The association changed based on the amount of time between the cholesterol measurement and the diagnosis, with more proximal diagnoses supporting an association with lower cholesterol. These studies support our finding that timing of cholesterol measure relative to diagnosis is integral to characterizing the relationship and that the inverse relationships are most striking in the three to four years prior to diagnosis. Our study overcomes the limitation of using a single measurement to assess the association of cholesterol with lymphoma. As a result, we are able to provide a first, unique look at the continuous relationship of cholesterol to lymphoma over the 10 years leading to a lymphoma diagnosis.

We examined cholesterol in a number of major lymphoma categories. For each category, the overall pattern remained relatively consistent. NHL_{combined} represents a heterogeneous group of diseases that arise from many different cell types. Despite this heterogeneity, there was a consistent drop of TSC, HDL, and LDL in this combined category prior to diagnosis, which was reflected in all subtypes studied. The most pronounced divergence in cholesterol measures in NHL_{combined} is for HDL cholesterol, in line with Lim et al.[13] The prolonged and consistently lower HDL (present even 10 years prior to diagnosis) could reflect underlying lymphomagenic processes, such as chronic inflammation. HDL is known to have anti-inflammatory biologic activity,[16,17] as well as antioxidative, cytoprotective, vasodilatory, anti-infectious, and antithrombotic activities.[18] Therefore, low HDL may be a marker for the severity of systemic inflammation and perhaps inflammation-induced lymphoma risk. On the other hand, high HDL itself may be protective against lymphoma. The anti-inflammatory activity of HDL is multifaceted, including the inhibition of cytokine-induced expression of endothelial cell adhesion molecules and suppression of the chemotactic activity of monocytes and lymphocytes.[19,20] In addition, HDL may protect the integrity of lymphocytes from oxidative damage.[21,22]

LDL is known to provide cholesterol for cell membrane structure and integrity.[23] Indeed, LDL is an essential component of the cell membrane. The need for LDL is therefore increased during cell proliferation. In addition, increased oxidation of LDL, which occurs during an inflammatory state, would result in decreased circulating LDL because the oxidated LDL would be taken up by macrophages at the sites of inflammation. A recent review supports a role for the cholesterol pathway in cancer development, suggesting that disruptions in cholesterol homeostasis are related to cancer incidence and survival, particularly increased intracellular cholesterol.[6] Increased intracellular cholesterol levels shut down cholesterol synthesis, which occurs in the liver where it is then transported through the bloodstream.[24,25] These mechanisms suggest that cholesterol may be important as a risk factor or a biomarker in other cancers besides lymphoma. However, analyses need to take into account the complicating factor of lipid-lowering medication use as well as trajectories over time.

Interestingly, two clinical studies with only a handful of lymphoma cases have shown serum cholesterol measures that return to normal with treatment of the cancer,[3,19,20,26,27] suggesting cholesterol could be a marker of tumor burden, tumor activity, lymphomagenesis, or some combination of these factors. In a case report describing a rare lymphoma (disseminated intravascular large B-cell lymphoma) that was diagnosed postmortem, Garg et al noted that the patient's TSC, LDL, and HDL decreased over time until death when they were 97 mg/dL, less than 33 mg/dL, and less than 4 mg/dL, respectively.[2] Our study design limits investigation of whether the lower levels of cholesterol represent a true "risk factor" for lymphoma or some level of pre-diagnosis disease activity. However, the analysis of NHL_{combined} cases by late versus early stage at diagnosis shows that there is lower serum cholesterol at diagnosis in late stage disease, supporting the idea that it is the disease process itself driving the drop of serum cholesterol.

As an observational study, the cholesterol measures were not equally distributed between cases and controls or evenly spaced throughout the observation period. Our statistical approach addresses the between-individual and within-individual variation in order to provide the best estimation of the true relationship. To test whether the contribution of only one cholesterol measure by some cases and controls may have skewed our analysis, we ran a sensitivity analysis requiring every subject to contribute at least two measures for Hodgkins and NHL_{combined}. The results were essentially unchanged.

While our study harnessed existing large data sources, this limited us to the covariates and potential confounders that were recorded electronically and available across all health plans. Thus, we were not able to include some potential confounding variables that are known to be associated with both serum cholesterol measures and lymphoma risk, particularly smoking status, race, and body mass index. Long-term smoking has been suggested to increase the risk of lymphoma,[28] and within the United States, whites are more likely than African or Asian Americans to develop lymphoma.[29] Some studies have shown an increased risk for lymphoma with high body mass index.[30–32] Our study also lacked information on diet, physical activity, or alcohol intake. However, Strohmaier et al.[9] in the Me-Can cohort and Lim et al.[13] in the Alpha-Tocopherol Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention study showed that even with adjustment for age, body mass index, smoking status, diet, alcohol, and activity

level, low cholesterol remained associated with cancers of the lymph-hematopoietic tissue, suggesting that these unmeasured confounders are unlikely to account for our observed differences. Another limitation is that even with this large data set, we were unable to assess as a subtype a number of the exceedingly rare lymphoma histologies, and we did not have some additional tumor related data, such as EBV status for the Hodgkin cases. Also, there was no centralized assessment of histology for a cancer type that is especially difficult to classify. Finally, since data is only available for subjects during the time period of health plan enrollment, left censoring of exposure data is present.

Cases of lymphoma were selected from tumor registries with standardized protocols and comprehensive case ascertainment. The data were based on electronic sources that were amassed as part of clinical care independent of the study's conduct. We excluded from observation, for biologically-based reasons, person-years during which an individual was on a cholesterol-lowering prescription drug. Therefore, cholesterol levels measured and used in our study should represent unaltered values. However, we do not know the entire potential impact of this methodological decision, although our sensitivity analyses of "never statin users" that show similar results partly addresses this concern. The analysis of cholesterol for NHL_{combined} by stage was limited by the number of cases missing stage information.

The results of our study suggest that cholesterol metabolism is associated with lymphomagenesis. However, further studies are needed to determine if the periods of lower cholesterol prior to diagnosis observed in our study reflect a systemic milieu that increases the risk of lymphoma, are due to reverse causality, or a combination of both.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the USA NIH National Cancer Institute, R01 CA140754.

APPENDIX

Additional Members of the Cancer Research Network Lymphoma Study Group:

Ninah Achacoso, Denise Boudreau, Lie Chen, Melody Eide, James Fraser, Gene Hart, Jill Koshiol, Melissa Preciado, Junling Ren, Zaineb Sharafali, Leslie Spangler, David Tabano, Noah Weston, Kimberley Woodcroft, and Michelle Wrenn

References

1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer Statistics, 2017. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2017; 67(1):7–30. DOI: 10.3322/caac.21387 [PubMed: 28055103]
2. Garg A, Hosfield EM, Brickner L. Disseminated intravascular large B cell lymphoma with slowly decreasing high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. *South Med J.* 2011; 104(1):53–56. DOI: 10.1097/SMJ.0b013e3181fcd4b9 [PubMed: 21119558]
3. Spiegel RJ, Schaefer EJ, Magrath IT, Edwards BK. Plasma lipid alterations in leukemia and lymphoma. *Am J Med.* 1982; 72(5):775–782. [PubMed: 7081275]

4. Chao FC, Efron B, Wolf P. The possible prognostic usefulness of assessing serum proteins and cholesterol in malignancy. *Cancer*. 1975; 35(4):1223–1229. [PubMed: 1167805]
5. Blackman JD, Cabana VG, Mazzone T. The acute-phase response and associated lipoprotein abnormalities accompanying lymphoma. *J Intern Med*. 1993; 233(2):201–204. [PubMed: 8433082]
6. Kuzu OF, Noory MA, Robertson G. The role of cholesterol in cancer. *Cancer Research*. 2016; 76(8): 2063–2070. [PubMed: 27197250]
7. Singer, JD., Willett, JB. *Applied longitudinal analysis: modeling change and event occurrence*. Oxford University Press; Oxford: 2003.
8. Kritchevsky SB, Wilcosky TC, Morris DL, Truong KN, Tyroler HA. Changes in plasma lipid and lipoprotein cholesterol and weight prior to the diagnosis of cancer. *Cancer Res*. 1991; 51(12):3198–3203. [PubMed: 2039996]
9. Strohmaier S, Edlinger M, Manjer J, Stocks T, Bjørge T, Borena W, Häggström C, Engeland A, Nagel G, Almquist M, Selmer R, Tretli S, Concin H, Hallmans G, Jonsson H, Stattin P, Ulmer H. Total serum cholesterol and cancer incidence in the Metabolic syndrome and Cancer Project (Me-Can). *PLoS One*. 2013; 8(1):e54242.doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0054242 [PubMed: 23372693]
10. Straszak AM, Pfeiffer RM, Brant LJ, Rapp K, Hilbe W, Oberaigner W, Lang S, Borena W, Concin H, Diem G, Ruttmann E, Glodny B, Pfeiffer KP, Ulmer H, Group VPS. Time-dependent association of total serum cholesterol and cancer incidence in a cohort of 172,210 men and women: a prospective 19-year follow-up study. *Ann Oncol*. 2009; 20(6):1113–1120. DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdn736 [PubMed: 19164459]
11. Nagel G, Stocks T, Späth D, Hjartåker A, Lindkvist B, Hallmans G, Jonsson H, Bjørge T, Manjer J, Häggström C, Engeland A, Ulmer H, Selmer R, Concin H, Stattin P, Schlenk RF. Metabolic factors and blood cancers among 578,000 adults in the metabolic syndrome and cancer project (Me-Can). *Ann Hematol*. 2012; 91(10):1519–1531. DOI: 10.1007/s00277-012-1489-z [PubMed: 22588328]
12. Hiatt RA, Fireman BH. Serum cholesterol and the incidence of cancer in a large cohort. *J Chronic Dis*. 1986; 39(11):861–870. [PubMed: 3793838]
13. Lim U, Gayles T, Katki HA, Stolzenberg-Solomon R, Weinstein SJ, Pietinen P, Taylor PR, Virtamo J, Albanes D. Serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and risk of non-hodgkin lymphoma. *Cancer Res*. 2007; 67(11):5569–5574. DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-0212 [PubMed: 17522388]
14. Ahn J, Lim U, Weinstein SJ, Schatzkin A, Hayes RB, Virtamo J, Albanes D. Prediagnostic total and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and risk of cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2009; 18(11):2814–2821. DOI: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-08-1248 [PubMed: 19887581]
15. Morimoto Y, Conroy SM, Ollberding NJ, Henning SM, Franke AA, Wilkens LR, Goodman MT, Hernandez BY, Le Marchand L, Henderson BE, Kolonel LN, Maskarinec G. Erythrocyte membrane fatty acid composition, serum lipids, and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma risk in a nested case-control study: the multiethnic cohort. *Cancer Causes Control*. 2012; 23(10):1693–1703. DOI: 10.1007/s10552-012-0048-1 [PubMed: 22907421]
16. Navab M, Anantharamaiah GM, Reddy ST, Van Lenten BJ, Ansell BJ, Hama S, Hough G, Bachini E, Grijalva VR, Wagner AC, Shaposhnik Z, Fogelman AM. The double jeopardy of HDL. *Ann Med*. 2005; 37(3):173–178. DOI: 10.1080/07853890510007322 [PubMed: 16019715]
17. Tietge UJ, Maugeais C, Cain W, Rader DJ. Acute inflammation increases selective uptake of HDL cholesteryl esters into adrenals of mice overexpressing human sPLA2. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*. 2003; 285(2):E403–411. DOI: 10.1152/ajpendo.00576.2002 [PubMed: 12637261]
18. Kontush, A., Chapman, MJ. *Structure, metabolism, function, and therapeutics*. Wiley; Hoboken, NJ: 2012.
19. Spieker LE, Ruschitzka F, Lüscher TF, Noll G. HDL and inflammation in atherosclerosis. *Curr Drug Targets Immune Endocr Metabol Disord*. 2004; 4(1):51–57. [PubMed: 15032626]
20. Cockerill GW, Huehns TY, Weerasinghe A, Stocker C, Lerch PG, Miller NE, Haskard DO. Elevation of plasma high-density lipoprotein concentration reduces interleukin-1-induced expression of E-selectin in an in vivo model of acute inflammation. *Circulation*. 2001; 103(1):108–112. [PubMed: 11136694]

21. Ansell BJ, Watson KE, Fogelman AM, Navab M, Fonarow GC. High-density lipoprotein function recent advances. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2005; 46(10):1792–1798. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2005.06.080 [PubMed: 16286161]
22. Lewis GF, Rader DJ. New insights into the regulation of HDL metabolism and reverse cholesterol transport. *Circ Res.* 2005; 96(12):1221–1232. DOI: 10.1161/01.RES.0000170946.56981.5c [PubMed: 15976321]
23. Mathews, CK., Van Holde, KE., Appling, DR., Anthony-Cahill, SJ. *Biochemistry.* 4. Prentice Hall; Toronto: 2013.
24. Wang Y, Rogers PM, Su C, Varga G, Staybrook KR, Burris TP. Regulation of cholesterologenesis by the oxysterol receptor, LXRalpha. *J Biol Chem.* 2008; 283(39):26332–26339. DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M804808200 [PubMed: 18676367]
25. Brown MS, Goldstein JL. A receptor-mediated pathway for cholesterol homeostasis. *Science.* 1986; 232(4746):34–47. [PubMed: 3513311]
26. Kuliszkievicz-Janus M, Małeck R, Mohamed AS. Lipid changes occurring in the course of hematological cancers. *Cell Mol Biol Lett.* 2008; 13(3):465–474. DOI: 10.2478/s11658-008-0014-9 [PubMed: 18463797]
27. Naik PP, Ghadge MS, Raste AS. Lipid profile in leukemia and Hodgkin's disease. *Indian J Clin Biochem.* 2006; 21(2):100–102. DOI: 10.1007/BF02912921 [PubMed: 23105623]
28. Morton LM, Hartge P, Holford TR, Holly EA, Chiu BC, Vineis P, Stagnaro E, Willett EV, Franceschi S, La Vecchia C, Hughes AM, Cozen W, Davis S, Severson RK, Bernstein L, Mayne ST, Dee FR, Cerhan JR, Zheng T. Cigarette smoking and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma: a pooled analysis from the International Lymphoma Epidemiology Consortium (interlymph). *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2005; 14(4):925–933. DOI: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-04-0693 [PubMed: 15824165]
29. Morton LM, Wang SS, Devesa SS, Hartge P, Weisenburger DD, Linet MS. Lymphoma incidence patterns by WHO subtype in the United States, 1992–2001. *Blood.* 2006; 107(1):265–276. DOI: 10.1182/blood-2005-06-2508 [PubMed: 16150940]
30. Alexander DD, Mink PJ, Adami HO, Cole P, Mandel JS, Oken MM, Trichopoulos D. Multiple myeloma: a review of the epidemiologic literature. *Int J Cancer.* 2007; 120(Suppl 12):40–61. DOI: 10.1002/ijc.22718 [PubMed: 17405120]
31. Willett EV, Morton LM, Hartge P, Becker N, Bernstein L, Boffetta P, Bracci P, Cerhan J, Chiu BC, Cocco P, Dal Maso L, Davis S, De Sanjose S, Smedby KE, Ennas MG, Foretova L, Holly EA, La Vecchia C, Matsuo K, Maynadie M, Melbye M, Negri E, Nieters A, Severson R, Slager SL, Spinelli JJ, Staines A, Talamini R, Vornanen M, Weisenburger DD, Roman E, Consortium I. Non-Hodgkin lymphoma and obesity: a pooled analysis from the InterLymph Consortium. *Int J Cancer.* 2008; 122(9):2062–2070. DOI: 10.1002/ijc.23344 [PubMed: 18167059]
32. Britton JA, Khan AE, Rohrmann S, Becker N, Linseisen J, Nieters A, Kaaks R, Tjønneland A, Halkjaer J, Severinsen MT, Overvad K, Pischon T, Boeing H, Trichopoulou A, Kalapothaki V, Trichopoulos D, Mattiello A, Tagliabue G, Sacerdote C, Peeters PH, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Ardanaz E, Navarro C, Jakszyn P, Altzibar JM, Hallmans G, Malmer B, Berglund G, Manjer J, Allen N, Key T, Bingham S, Besson H, Ferrari P, Jenab M, Boffetta P, Vineis P, Riboli E. Anthropometric characteristics and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and multiple myeloma risk in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *Haematologica.* 2008; 93(11):1666–1677. DOI: 10.3324/haematol.13078 [PubMed: 18835833]

Fig. 1.

a–b.6 Trajectories for total cholesterol for cases compared to controls, adjusting for age at cholesterol measure and index year, by lymphoma category

Fig. 2.

a–b.6 Trajectories for HDL for cases compared to controls, adjusting for age at cholesterol measure and index year, by lymphoma category

Fig. 3.

a–b.6 Trajectories for LDL for cases compared to controls, adjusting for age at cholesterol measure and index year, by lymphoma category

Fig. 4.

a–c Trajectories for total cholesterol, HDL, and LDL for early and late stage NHL_{combined}, adjusting for age at cholesterol measure and index year

Table 1

Characteristics [N (percentage) or mean $\pm$ SD, (min, max) median] of the lymphoma cases and their matched controls, by lymphoma category and type of cholesterol measure

	Total Cholesterol			HDL			LDL		
	Cases (N= 516)	Controls (N= 2,013)	p	Cases (N=494)	Controls (N=1888)	p	Cases (N=434)	Controls (N=1523)	p
Hodgkin Lymphoma^a									
Female [N(%)]	234 (45%)	963 (48%)	0.31	220 (45%)	885 (47%)	0.35	197 (45%)	724 (48%)	0.43
Age (at first lab), year	56 $\pm$ 13 (33, 90) 56	56 $\pm$ 12 (30, 90) 56	0.98	57 $\pm$ 13 (33, 90) 56	56 $\pm$ 12 (31, 90) 56	0.70	58 $\pm$ 12 (34, 86) 57	58 $\pm$ 12 (33, 90) 58	0.75
Age (at diagnosis ^b), year	62 $\pm$ 13 (40, 94) 62	62 $\pm$ 13 (38, 96) 62	0.79	62 $\pm$ 13 (40, 94) 62	62 $\pm$ 13 (38, 96) 62	0.97	62 $\pm$ 13 (40, 92) 62	63 $\pm$ 12 (38, 93) 63	0.49
Cholesterol measure, mg/dL	209 $\pm$ 40 (100, 339) 207	215 $\pm$ 39 (94, 358) 213	<01	47 $\pm$ 14 (21, 99) 45	51 $\pm$ 15 (22, 100) 49	<01	129 $\pm$ 32 (31, 217) 128	133 $\pm$ 34 (37, 225) 132	0.09
No. of measurements	3 $\pm$ 3 (1, 14) 3	3 $\pm$ 3 (1, 41) 3	0.77	3 $\pm$ 3 (1, 14) 3	3 $\pm$ 3 (1, 42) 2	0.39	3 $\pm$ 2 (1, 14) 2	3 $\pm$ 3 (1, 41) 2	0.11
Years enrolled ^c Median	9 $\pm$ 6 (2, 28) 7	9 $\pm$ 7 (2, 28) 8	0.67	10 $\pm$ 6 (2, 28) 8	10 $\pm$ 7 (2, 28) 8	0.58	10 $\pm$ 7 (2, 28) 8	10 $\pm$ 7 (2, 28) 9	0.64
Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma, combined^d									
Female [N(%)]	5804 (46%)	23748 (47%)	0.04	5594 (46%)	22326 (47%)	0.04	4983 (46%)	18348 (47%)	0.08
Age (at first lab), year	62 $\pm$ 11 (31, 95) 63	62 $\pm$ 11 (30, 101) 62	<01	62 $\pm$ 11 (31, 95) 63	62 $\pm$ 11 (30, 101) 62	<01	63 $\pm$ 11 (31, 98) 64	63 $\pm$ 11 (30, 98) 63	<01
Age (at diagnosis ^b), year	68 $\pm$ 12 (40, 101) 69	68 $\pm$ 11 (38, 102) 68	<01	68 $\pm$ 12 (40, 101) 69	68 $\pm$ 11 (38, 102) 68	<01	68 $\pm$ 11 (40, 101) 69	68 $\pm$ 11 (38, 100) 68	<01
Cholesterol measure, mg/dL	210 $\pm$ 41 (63, 360) 209	218 $\pm$ 38 (75, 360) 217	<01	49 $\pm$ 14 (21, 100) 46	51 $\pm$ 14 (21, 100) 49	<01	130 $\pm$ 34 (21, 240) 129	135 $\pm$ 33 (25, 240) 134	<01
No. of measurements	4 $\pm$ 4 (1, 76) 3	4 $\pm$ 3 (1, 49) 3	<01	4 $\pm$ 4 (1, 49) 3	4 $\pm$ 3 (1, 47) 3	<01	4 $\pm$ 3 (1, 39) 3	3 $\pm$ 3 (1, 50) 2	<01
Years enrolled ^c Median	10 $\pm$ 6 (2, 36) 8	10 $\pm$ 6 (2, 36) 8	0.54	10 $\pm$ 6 (2, 36) 8	10 $\pm$ 6 (2, 36) 8	0.45	10 $\pm$ 6 (2, 36) 8	10 $\pm$ 6 (2, 36) 9	0.23
Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Subtypes									
Plasma Cell Neoplasms^e									
Female [N(%)]	1198 (45%)	4903 (46%)	0.45	1154 (45%)	4629 (45%)	0.41	1030 (44%)	3787 (45%)	0.68
Age (at first lab), year	63 $\pm$ 11 (32, 94) 63	62 $\pm$ 11 (30, 96) 62	<01	63 $\pm$ 11 (32, 93) 63	62 $\pm$ 11 (30, 96) 62	<01	64 $\pm$ 11 (33, 98) 64	63 $\pm$ 10 (30, 95) 63	<01
Age (at diagnosis ^b), year	69 $\pm$ 11 (40, 98) 69	68 $\pm$ 11 (38, 98) 69	<01	69 $\pm$ 11 (40, 98) 69	68 $\pm$ 11 (39, 98) 68	<01	69 $\pm$ 11 (40, 98) 69	68 $\pm$ 11 (39, 97) 69	0.01
Cholesterol measure, mg/dL	207 $\pm$ 42 (64, 359) 206	219 $\pm$ 38 (76, 360) 217	<01	49 $\pm$ 14 (21, 100) 46	51 $\pm$ 14 (21, 100) 49	<01	126 $\pm$ 36 (21, 237) 125	134 $\pm$ 33 (26, 240) 134	<01

	Total Cholesterol			HDL			LDL		
	4 ± 4 (1, 52) 3	4 ± 3 (1, 44) 3	<01	4 ± 4 (1, 35) 3	4 ± 3 (1, 45) 3	<01	4 ± 3 (1, 38) 3	3 ± 3 (1, 44) 3	0.02
No. of measurements									
Years enrolled ^c Median	10 ± 7 (2, 29) 8	10 ± 7 (2, 29) 8	0.78	10 ± 7 (2, 29) 8	10 ± 7 (2, 29) 8	0.77	10 ± 7 (2, 29) 9	10 ± 7 (2, 29) 9	0.47
CLL/SLL^f	Cases (N= 2627)	Controls (N= 10523)	p	Cases (N= 2531)	Controls (N= 9917)	p	Cases (N= 2266)	Controls (N= 8234)	p
Female [N(%)]	1068 (41%)	4339 (41%)	0.59	1030 (41%)	4096 (41%)	0.58	925 (41%)	3398 (41%)	0.70
Age (at first lab), year	64 ± 11 (33, 95) 64	63 ± 11 (30, 97) 64	0.01	64 ± 11 (33, 95) 64	63 ± 11 (30, 97) 64	0.02	64 ± 11 (33, 95) 65	64 ± 11 (31, 97) 64	<01
Age (at diagnosis ^b), year	70 ± 11 (40, 101) 70	69 ± 11 (39, 100) 70	<01	70 ± 11 (40, 101) 70	69 ± 11 (39, 100) 69	0.01	70 ± 11 (40, 101) 70	69 ± 11 (39, 100) 70	0.01
Cholesterol measure, mg/dL	213 ± 39 (78, 360) 213	217 ± 38 (78, 360) 216	<01	49 ± 14 (21, 100) 46	51 ± 14 (21, 100) 48	<01	133 ± 33 (28, 239) 131	134 ± 33 (30, 240) 133	0.04
No. of measurements	4 ± 4 (1, 76) 3	4 ± 3 (1, 35) 3	<01	4 ± 4 (1, 38) 3	4 ± 3 (1, 35) 3	<01	4 ± 3 (1, 38) 3	3 ± 3 (1, 35) 3	<01
Years enrolled ^c Median	10 ± 6 (2, 29) 8	10 ± 6 (2, 29) 8	0.66	10 ± 6 (2, 29) 9	10 ± 6 (2, 29) 9	0.90	10 ± 6 (2, 29) 9	10 ± 7 (2, 29) 9	0.83
DLBCL^g	Cases (N= 2862)	Controls (N= 11434)	p	Cases (N= 2747)	Controls (N= 10673)	p	Cases (N= 2448)	Controls (N= 8826)	p
Female [N(%)]	1381 (48%)	5655 (49%)	0.25	1332 (48%)	5288 (50%)	0.32	1182 (48%)	4372 (50%)	0.27
Age (at first lab), year	63 ± 12 (31, 95) 64	62 ± 11 (30, 101) 63	0.06	63 ± 12 (31, 95) 64	62 ± 11 (30, 101) 63	0.02	64 ± 11 (31, 94) 65	63 ± 11 (30, 97) 64	<01
Age (at diagnosis ^b), year	69 ± 12 (40, 101) 70	68 ± 12 (38, 102) 69	0.04	69 ± 12 (40, 101) 70	68 ± 11 (38, 102) 69	0.02	69 ± 12 (40, 99) 70	68 ± 11 (38, 100) 69	0.03
Cholesterol measure, mg/dL	212 ± 40 (63, 359) 210	219 ± 39 (84, 360) 218	<01	48 ± 14 (21, 99) 47	52 ± 14 (22, 100) 49	<01	131 ± 34 (24, 240) 130	136 ± 33 (25, 240) 135	<01
No. of measurements	4 ± 4 (1, 71) 3	4 ± 3 (1, 49) 3	<01	4 ± 4 (1, 49) 3	4 ± 3 (1, 47) 3	<01	4 ± 4 (1, 39) 3	3 ± 3 (1, 50) 2	<01
Years enrolled ^c Median	9 ± 6 (2, 29) 8	9 ± 6 (2, 29) 8	0.71	10 ± 6 (2, 29) 8	10 ± 6 (2, 29) 8	0.77	10 ± 6 (2, 29) 8	10 ± 6 (2, 29) 8	0.82
Follicular Lymphoma^h	Cases (N= 1634)	Controls (N= 6440)	p	Cases (N= 1580)	Controls (N= 6077)	p	Cases (N= 1412)	Controls (N= 5029)	p
Female [N(%)]	823 (50%)	3339 (52%)	0.28	799 (51%)	3164 (52%)	0.29	716 (51%)	2629 (52%)	0.30
Age (at first lab), year	59 ± 11 (33, 94) 59	59 ± 11 (31, 98) 59	0.54	59 ± 11 (33, 94) 59	59 ± 11 (31, 98) 59	0.46	61 ± 11 (33, 94) 60	60 ± 11 (31, 98) 60	0.31
Age (at diagnosis ^b), year	65 ± 12 (40, 98) 65	64 ± 11 (39, 99) 64	0.32	65 ± 12 (40, 98) 65	65 ± 11 (39, 99) 65	0.31	66 ± 11 (40, 98) 65	65 ± 11 (40, 99) 65	0.30
Cholesterol measure, mg/dL	214 ± 38 (78, 346) 213	218 ± 39 (92, 358) 217	<01	49 ± 14 (21, 100) 47	52 ± 14 (22, 100) 50	<01	133 ± 32 (27, 237) 131	135 ± 34 (32, 240) 134	0.09
No. of measurements	4 ± 3 (1, 29) 3	4 ± 3 (1, 33) 3	<01	4 ± 3 (1, 29) 3	4 ± 3 (1, 33) 3	<01	4 ± 3 (1, 29) 3	3 ± 3 (1, 32) 2	<01
Years enrolled ^c Median	9 ± 6 (2, 36) 8	9 ± 6 (2, 36) 8	0.94	10 ± 6 (2, 36) 8	10 ± 6 (2, 36) 8	0.80	10 ± 6 (2, 36) 8	10 ± 6 (2, 36) 8	0.61

	Total Cholesterol			HDL			LDL		
	Cases (N= 997)	Controls (N= 4054)	p	Cases (N= 953)	Controls (N= 3798)	p	Cases (N= 853)	Controls (N= 3139)	p
Marginal zone^e									
Female [N(%)]	557 (56%)	2308 (57%)	0.54	537 (56%)	2185 (58%)	0.51	473 (55%)	1781 (57%)	0.50
Age (at first lab), year	62 ± 11 (32, 92) 62	61 ± 11 (31, 93) 62	0.14	62 ± 11 (32, 89) 63	61 ± 11 (31, 92) 62	0.15	63 ± 11 (32, 89) 63	62 ± 11 (31, 92) 63	0.14
Age (at diagnosis) ^b , year	68 ± 12 (41, 94) 69	67 ± 11 (39, 95) 68	0.11	68 ± 11 (41, 93) 69	67 ± 11 (39, 94) 68	0.16	68 ± 11 (41, 93) 69	67 ± 11 (40, 94) 68	0.27
Cholesterol measure, mg/dL	203 ± 43 (66, 357) 201	219 ± 38 (75, 358) 217	<01	49 ± 14 (22, 97) 47	52 ± 14 (21, 100) 50	<01	124 ± 36 (23, 240) 122	134 ± 33 (29, 239) 134	<01
No. of measurements	4 ± 4 (1, 35) 3	4 ± 3 (1, 38) 3	0.01	4 ± 4 (1, 42) 3	4 ± 3 (1, 25) 3	0.04	4 ± 3 (1, 35) 3	4 ± 3 (1, 24) 3	0.07
Years enrolled ^c Median	10 ± 6 (2, 28) 8	10 ± 6 (2, 28) 8	0.55	10 ± 6 (2, 28) 9	10 ± 6 (2, 28) 8	0.78	10 ± 6 (2, 28) 9	10 ± 6 (2, 28) 9	0.84
T-cell NHL^j									
Female [N(%)]	647 (47%)	2660 (49%)	0.39	618 (47%)	2459 (48%)	0.46	542 (47%)	1946 (48%)	0.62
Age (at first lab), year	62 ± 12 (31, 95) 63	62 ± 12 (30, 93) 62	0.13	62 ± 12 (32, 91) 63	62 ± 12 (30, 91) 62	0.17	63 ± 12 (35, 91) 63	62 ± 12 (30, 92) 63	0.17
Age (at diagnosis) ^b , year	68 ± 13 (40, 95) 69	67 ± 12 (39, 95) 68	0.13	68 ± 12 (40, 93) 69	67 ± 12 (39, 94) 68	0.22	68 ± 12 (40, 93) 69	67 ± 12 (39, 94) 68	0.25
Cholesterol measure, mg/dL	209 ± 40 (74, 349) 207	218 ± 38 (76, 360) 216	<01	48 ± 14 (21, 98) 46	51 ± 14 (21, 100) 49	<01	129 ± 35 (29, 236) 127	135 ± 33 (36, 240) 133	<01
No. of measurements	4 ± 4 (1, 37) 3	4 ± 3 (1, 39) 3	<01	4 ± 4 (1, 26) 3	3 ± 3 (1, 39) 3	<01	4 ± 3 (1, 26) 3	3 ± 3 (1, 35) 2	<01
Years enrolled ^c Median	9 ± 6 (2, 28) 7	9 ± 6 (2, 28) 7	0.97	9 ± 6 (2, 28) 7	9 ± 6 (2, 28) 8	0.44	9 ± 6 (2, 28) 8	10 ± 6 (2, 28) 8	0.27

^a Hodgkin lymphoma. International Classification of Diseases for Oncology (ICD-O) morphology codes 9650, 9651, 9652, 9653, 9663, 9664, 9665, 9667

^b Index date for controls

^c Includes all enrollment history (unbounded), [but all other rows reflect only the ten years prior to the index date included in the main analysis]

^d Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma combined. ICD-O morphology codes 9673, 9679, 9680, 9684, 9687, 9690, 9691, 9695, 9698, 9699, 9700, 9701, 9702, 9705, 9708, 9714, 9716, 9717, 9719, 9590, 9591, 9709 and 9675

^e Plasma Cell Neoplasms CD-O morphology codes 9731, 9732, 9733, 9734

^f Chronic lymphocytic Lymphoma/Small lymphocytic Lymphoma. ICD-O morphology codes 9670, 9823

^g Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphoma. ICD-O morphology codes 9679, 9680, 9684

^h Follicular Lymphoma. ICD-O morphology codes 9690, 9691, 9695, 9698

ⁱ Marginal Zone Lymphoma. ICD-O morphology codes 9671, 9689, 9699

j , all T-cell Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma. ICD-O morphology codes 9700, 9701, 9702, 9705, 9708, 9714, 9716, 9717, 9719, 9590, 9591, 9709, 9675

[Note: Means identical as round integers are still significantly different given the large sample sizes.]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript