Published in final edited form as:

Science. 2017 November 03; 358(6363): . doi:10.1126/science.aao0464.

Atomic structure of the mitochondrial inner membrane AAA+ protease YME1 reveals the mechanism of substrate processing

Cristina Puchades^{1,2}, Anthony J. Rampello³, Mia Shin^{1,2}, Christopher J. Giuliano³, R. Luke Wiseman², Steven E. Glynn^{3,*}, and Gabriel C. Lander^{1,*}

¹Department of Integrative Structural and Computational Biology

²Department of Molecular Medicine, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla CA 92037

³Department of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794

Abstract

We present the first atomic model of a substrate-bound inner mitochondrial membrane AAA+ quality control protease, YME1. Our ~3.4 Å cryo-EM structure reveals how the ATPases form a closed spiral staircase encircling an unfolded substrate, directing it toward the flat, symmetric protease ring. Importantly, the structure reveals how three coexisting nucleotide states allosterically induce distinct positioning of tyrosines in the central channel, resulting in substrate engagement and translocation to the negatively charged proteolytic chamber. This tight coordination by a network of conserved residues defines a sequential, around-the-ring ATP hydrolysis cycle that results in step-wise substrate translocation. Furthermore, we identify a hinge-like linker that accommodates the large-scale nucleotide-driven motions of the ATPase spiral independently of the contiguous planar proteolytic base. These results define the first molecular mechanism for a mitochondrial inner membrane AAA+ protease and reveal a translocation mechanism likely conserved for other AAA+ ATPases.

Introduction

The regulation of mitochondrial protein quality control is essential for mitochondrial function and cellular survival. Imbalances in this regulation are closely associated with a variety of human diseases including many neurological and cardiovascular disorders (1-3). Since the majority of the mitochondrial proteome cannot be accessed by the cytosolic

*Co-corresponding Authors Correspondence to: Gabriel C. Lander, Department of Integrative Structural and Computational Biology, The Scripps Research Institute HZ 175, 10550 N. Torrey Pines Rd., La Jolla, CA 92037, Phone: (858) 784-8793, glander@scripps.edu, Steven E. Glynn, Department of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Stony Brook University, 450 Life Sciences Building, Stony Brook, NY 11794, Phone: (631) 632 1055, steven.glynn@stonybrook.edu.

Author contributions

C.P. performed all cryo-EM structure determination, model building and refinement, mechanistic interpretation, and wrote the manuscript. C.P. and M.S. performed the negative stain EM experiments. A.J.R. and C.J.G. created all constructs and purified proteins. A.J.R. performed all biochemical experiments. All authors contributed to the experimental design and editing of the manuscript.

Supplementary Materials:

Materials and Methods

Figures S1-S8

Table S1

References

ubiquitin/proteasome pathways, mitochondrial protein quality control is primarily controlled by a network of proteases that degrade damaged or misfolded proteins (4, 5), such as the AAA+ protease YME1L in the inner membrane (IM). YME1L is involved in nearly all aspects of mitochondrial biology, including regulation of the electron transport chain, protein import, lipid synthesis, and mitochondrial morphology (6-9). Interestingly, stress-induced reductions in YME1L activity severely disrupt mitochondrial function and increase cellular stress-sensitivity both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (10-12). Similarly, genetic ablation of *Yme1l1* is embryonic lethal and conditional deletion in adult cardiomyocytes causes heart failure and premature death in mice (13). Furthermore, homozygous mutation of *Yme1l1* causes mitochondriopathy with optic nerve atrophy in humans (14).

Despite its crucial function, a lack of structural information for YME1L or any other mitochondrial IM AAA+ protease significantly hinders our understanding of the mechanistic details that drive the proteolytic activity of these mitochondrial quality control machines. Unlike the proteolysis-associated AAA+ unfoldases found in the eukaryotic cytoplasm, which can interact with separate proteolytic complexes (i.e. the 20S core particle), mitochondrial IM AAA+ proteases contain both ATPase and protease domains on a single polypeptide, separated by a short linker region. In YME1L, the AAA+ ATPase domain and M41 peptidase domains reside in the mitochondrial intermembrane space (IMS), tethered to the IM by a single-pass membrane helix (Fig. 1A,B). YME1L is evolutionarily related to bacterial FtsH and the catalytic domains exhibit a high degree of conservation across all eukaryotes (1, 15). The catalytic domains of the yeast homolog, YME1, share 54% sequence identity with the human homologs, and expressing human *Yme1l1* in a *yme-1*-deficient yeast restores mitochondrial function, indicating comparable activities and substrate profiles (16).

A recently engineered soluble construct of yeast YME1, ^{hex}YME1, comprising the AAA+ ATPase and protease domains assembled into active oligomers by fusion to a hexamerizing domain, exhibits high ATPase and proteolytic activity, as well as biologically relevant substrate specificity (17, 18). Here, we employed a ^{hex}YME1 variant containing an E381Q Walker B mutant (^{hex}YME1^{WB}) that limits ATP hydrolysis to enable structural determination of this ATP-dependent protease by cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) (Fig. 1, figs. S1, S2). We present the first atomic model of a mitochondrial IM AAA+ protease to reveal the allosteric mechanism linking ATP hydrolysis to substrate translocation in YME1.

Quaternary organization of the substrate-engaged YME1 AAA+ protease

The ^{hex}YME1^{WB} hexamer was solved in the presence of saturating amounts of ATP (1mM). The cryo-EM reconstruction was estimated to have an overall resolution of ~3.4 Å, with the best-resolved regions at ~3.2 Å, providing a near-atomic resolution view of the homohexameric nucleotide-bound ^{hex}YME1^{WB} complex (Fig. 1, fig. S2, table S1). Our structure describes how the catalytic domains assemble into two stacked rings, with an asymmetric spiral staircase comprising the ATPase domains atop a planar, C6-symmetric protease ring (Fig. 1A,B). Together, the ATPase and protease rings enclose a negatively charged proteolytic chamber encircled by the inter-domain linker (Fig. 1A,B, fig. S3A).

Previous crystal structures of FtsH in ADP-bound and nucleotide-free conformations contained ATPase domains organized into hexameric rings with 2-, 3-, and 6-fold symmetry (19-21). While the topological organization of the YME1 protomer closely resemble that of FtsH (Fig. 1D), our structure reveals a distinctly different quaternary organization. Instead of a symmetric organization of the AAA+ domains, the YME1 AAA+ domains assemble into a spiral staircase with the ATPases progressively rotated and translated with respect to one another, similar to the organization observed for numerous other AAA+ unfoldases, including the functionally related 26S proteasome ATPase (22-26) (Fig. 1A,C). In our YME1 structure, a “step” subunit (red throughout Fig. 1) connects the lowest and highest positions of the staircase (yellow and purple throughout Fig. 1, respectively), characteristic of closed-ring, type-I AAA ATPases (23, 25, 27). Due to the known positional variability of this step subunit, focused 3D classification of this protomer was used to enable confident atomic modeling in this region (fig. S1D-G). Despite many similarities to previously determined AAA ATPases, our YME1 structure is unique in that it is the first description of a spiraling AAA+ protease where the ATPase and protease domains are contained within the same polypeptide chain. Moreover, the resolution of our structure, which is close to ~ 3 Å for much of the reconstruction (fig. S2), enables us to explore the atomic features of the staircase architecture in greater detail than previous studies (Fig. 1E).

The central pore of the ATPase spiral staircase has a diameter of ~ 1.4 nm, which is sufficient to accommodate an unfolded peptide (Fig. 1B). Surprisingly, we discovered an additional density within the pore, oriented at a 28° angle relative to the hexameric axial axis, into which an unfolded 10 amino acid peptide could be modeled (Fig. 1A,B,D, movie 1). We conclude that this density represents a substrate peptide that is trapped in the process of translocation through the YME1 pore. Although the origin of this peptide is unclear, it is possible that the presence of a substrate polypeptide stabilizes the observed conformation, as the only subset of YME1 complexes contributing to the high-resolution structure contained this substrate density. Cryo-EM reconstructions of other ATPases in similar asymmetric spiraling configurations also revealed substrate density in the central pores (23, 24, 28), suggesting that substrate binding may induce this conformation. The presence of this substrate and the resolution of our structure provide a unique opportunity to define how YME1 handles substrates during the translocation process.

YME1 ATPase domains engage substrates through a double spiral staircase of tyrosines

A conserved aromatic-hydrophobic motif (typically Tyr/Phe-Val) in the central pore loop 1 is found across AAA+ ATPases and numerous studies show direct involvement of the motif in AAA+-dependent unfolding and translocation (29-34). In YME1, mutation of the conserved aromatic residue (Y354) impairs substrate degradation, confirming the importance of this residue (35). Notably, we visualize direct association of Y354 with the translocating substrate in our YME1 complex, such that the Y354 residues adopt a spiral staircase organization that mirrors the global architecture of the AAA+ domains (Fig. 1E, 2A). Interestingly, the Y354 residues show three distinct modes of interaction with the translocating substrate, relative to their position in the staircase architecture. The central four

Y354 residues are intercalated into the substrate, with each Y354 positioned between substrate side chains to engage the substrate backbone in a zipper-like configuration (Fig. 2A, fig. S4), a non-specific mode of interaction that is compatible with sequence-independent substrate translocation essential for the function of YME1. However, the lowest Y354 (yellow in Fig. 2A) shows a more modest interaction with substrate, and Y354 of the step subunit, whose pore-loop 1 adopts the top-most position within the Tyr staircase (red in Fig. 2A), is completely disengaged from the substrate. This indicates that the positioning of the specific ATPases within the spiral staircase dictates the engagement of Y354 with substrate. Additionally, our structure shows that the adjacent valine of the conserved aromatic-hydrophobic motif of pore-loop 1 (V355) is also directed towards the substrate side chains (Fig. 1E), in agreement with previous biochemical data implicating this residue in dictating substrate specificity for FtsH (31, 36).

Unexpectedly, we also observed that another tyrosine residue, Y396 within the pore loop 2 of the YME1 AAA+ domains, forms a second spiral arrangement surrounding the substrate at a position below the pore loop 1 staircase (Fig. 2A). The interactions between Y396 and the translocating substrate mirrors those observed for the pore-loop 1 Y354, wherein the Y396 residues within the central four ATPase domains in the spiral staircase appear to interact with substrate, while the Y396 of the lowest subunit is positioned further away from the substrate and the Y396 of the step subunit completely is disengaged. While the presence of a tyrosine residue in this position is conserved across YME1 homologs, including mammalian YME1L, this residue is not found in more distantly related mitochondrial enzymes such as AFG3L2 or in cytosolic AAA+ proteases such as the 26S proteasome.

To confirm that these observed pore-loop interactions play a role in substrate translocation, we examined the substrate degradation activity of ^{hex}YME1 containing point mutations in the pore-loop 1 tyrosine (Y354A) or the pore-loop 2 tyrosine (Y396A). Introduction of these mutations into either pore loop largely abolish degradation of the I27 domain of human titin bearing an N-terminal degron derived from the mitochondrial Tim10 protein (T10-I27) (Fig. 2B) (18). The introduction of mutations in the I27 domain to destabilize the folded structure (T10-I27^{CD}) produced a large increase in degradation rate by wild-type ^{hex}YME1, likely resulting from the removal of the unfolding step of degradation (37). This unfolded protein was not degraded by ^{hex}YME1 bearing the Y354A mutation, and the degradation rate of Y396A was significantly reduced compared to wild-type. Together, these results demonstrate that both pore loop tyrosines are important for substrate degradation. Interestingly, the Y354A mutant, but not Y396A, also impaired ATP hydrolysis, suggesting allosteric coordination linking substrate interactions at the pore-loop with the nucleotide binding pocket (fig. S1B), and that substrate binding may help position the YME1 subunits for efficient ATPase activity. This phenomenon was previously shown biochemically for FtsH and other AAA+ ATPases (36, 38, 39), but the mechanism of this allostery has remained elusive, prompting us to explore the relationship between nucleotide state and the observed pore-loop conformations.

YME1 contains three co-existing nucleotide states

The resolution of our reconstruction enabled us to unambiguously define the nucleotide state for each subunit within the YME1 homohexamer. We observe three distinct nucleotide states co-existing within the structure. Four subunits in the YME1 AAA+ spiral staircase (ATP1, ATP2, ATP3, and ATP4 shown in Fig. 1B) contain a well-resolved ATP in the nucleotide binding pocket, clearly defined by the presence of the three phosphate residues (Fig. 2C and Fig. S5A,B, movie 1). The lowest subunit of the spiral staircase was found to have an ADP-like density in the nucleotide binding pocket (ADP in Fig. 1B, Fig. 2C, and fig. S5A,B, movie 1), whereas the binding pocket of the step subunit contains weak nucleotide density, which we refer to as “apo-like” (Fig. 2C, fig. S5A,B, movie 1). The presence of ADP-like density in the lowest subunit can be explained by the presence of small amounts of contaminating ADP or by low residual ATP hydrolysis in the Walker-B mutant (40, 41).

Importantly, these three distinct nucleotide states correlate directly with the three modes of interaction we observe between the pore-loop tyrosines and the translocating substrates. The pore loop tyrosines of the central four subunits from the staircase (ATP1-4), which all directly engage the substrate in an identical fashion, belong to subunits bound to ATP (Fig. 1A,B, Fig. 2A). The tyrosine residues at the bottom of the staircase, which are positioned further from the substrate, belong to the ADP-bound subunit, while the pore-loop tyrosines that are completely disengaged from the substrate at the top of the staircase belong to the apo-like step subunit. This direct correlation between nucleotide states and substrate engagement, combined with our biochemical results, indicate that nucleotide hydrolysis and release provides a mechanism to allosterically regulate the pore loop conformations and direct substrate translocation.

The spiral staircase organization links nucleotide state to pore loop conformation

Nucleotides bind to AAA+ ATPases within a pocket formed at the interface of the large and small subdomains of one ATPase and the large subdomain of the neighboring ATPase (Fig. 2C). YME1 belongs to the P-loop subclass of ATPases, which are characterized by a conserved Walker A motif (GXXGXGK[S/T]) in the large subdomain that directly interacts with nucleotide (42). Accordingly, backbone nitrogens of the P-loop residues G324 and G326 directly interact with the nucleotide phosphates in our YME1 structure (fig. S5B). Notably, all residues that were previously found to be crucial for nucleotide binding in YME1 (43) are involved in nucleotide interaction in our structure (Fig. 2C).

The machinery of ATP hydrolysis is highly conserved across AAA+ ATPases, simultaneously involving side chains from both the large and small ATPase domains, as well as trans-acting residues of the neighboring subunit. Within the YME1 nucleotide-binding pocket, a threonine (T328 in $\alpha 3$ of YME1) coordinates a magnesium ion with the ATP phosphates (Fig. 2C, top panel and Fig. 3A). In addition, two consecutive acidic residues (D380 and E381 in YME1 $\beta 1$) coordinate and activate a catalytic water molecule. The large ATPase subdomain of the neighboring protomer contributes to the coordination of the ATP gamma phosphate through two highly conserved “arginine fingers” (R435 and R438 in

YME1) (42). Furthermore, in the presence of ATP, we observe a loop (D409-G410-F411) at the C-terminal end of $\alpha 5$ of this adjacent subdomain that bridges across the nucleotide-binding pocket, contacting the ATP-bound subunit. Notably, this loop is strictly conserved across homologs and paralogs of YME1, as well as in the ATPases of the 26S proteasome (fig. S7A). In fact, this region has been previously identified as the inter-subunit signaling (ISS) motif, as mutagenesis of these residues revealed a critical role in communicating nucleotide state between protomers (44). Importantly, in this extended conformation of the ISS motif, D409 is in close proximity to the Arginine fingers and F411 packs against phenylalanine residues in $\beta 2$ and $\beta 3$ of the ATP-bound subunit (Fig. 3A, purple in left panel, movie 2). These aromatic interactions position the pore loop 1 and 2 tyrosines protruding from $\alpha 4$ and $\alpha 5$ of the ATP-bound subunit in an orientation that is compatible with substrate engagement (Fig. 3A, pink in left panel, Fig. 3B, green, and fig. S6).

Loss of the ATP gamma phosphate disrupts the inter-protomer coordination between the ISS motif in the apo step subunit and the nucleotide binding pocket within the ADP-like subunit (Fig. 3A,B and fig. S6). This results in a two-fold increase in the distance between the step subunit's arginine fingers and the ADP-like nucleotide-binding site. Interestingly, this reduced coordination between subunits causes the ISS motif of the apo step subunit to retract from the neighboring nucleotide binding pocket of the ADP-bound subunit and become incorporated into the C-terminal end of a now extended $\alpha 5$ (Fig. 3A, purple in middle panel, movie 2). This helical refolding of the ISS loop disrupts the aromatic interactions between F411 of the apo step subunit and the phenylalanine residues in the $\beta 2$ and $\beta 3$ strands of the ADP-like subunit. In the absence of the transacting F411 from the apo step subunit, the $\alpha 3$ helix of the ADP-like subunit is drawn closer to the central β -sheet, shifting T328 away from the magnesium coordination site. Together, these rearrangements reconfigure the pore-loop tyrosines in the ADP subunit to orientations positioned away from the substrate (Fig. 3A, pink in middle panel and Fig. 3B, brown, and fig. S6).

As described above, the apo step subunit contains a ISS motif folded into the C-terminus $\alpha 5$ helix, precluding interaction between F411 and the ADP-bound subunit (Fig. 3A, purple in middle panel, fig. S6, movie 2). In addition, the lack of nucleotide in the step subunit itself prevents interprotomer stabilization by a trans-acting F411 from the ATP1 subunit (Fig. 3A, purple in right panel, fig. S6). As a result, the step subunit is disconnected from both neighboring protomers, explaining the high positional flexibility for this subunit observed in our cryo-EM reconstruction. Interestingly, this lack of inter-protomer coordination results in an N-terminal extension of the step subunit $\alpha 5$ helix, altering the conformation of pore-loop 2 and resulting in its incorporation into the $\alpha 5$ helix (Fig 3A, pink in right panel, Fig. 3B). The extension of the $\alpha 5$ helix at both the N- and C-terminal ends results in a sequestration of the pore-loop 2 Y396 from substrate interaction, and simultaneously influences the conformation of the adjacent $\alpha 4$ helix to reposition the pore-loop 1 Y354 away from the substrate (fig. S6B).

Taken together, our results indicate that nucleotide binding and hydrolysis regulate the interactions between the pore-loop tyrosine double staircase and the substrate (Fig. 3B and fig. S6). In the ATP-bound subunits, the nucleotide binding pocket is positioned to allow hydrolysis, and while the precise trigger for hydrolysis is not clear from the structure, it is

likely that subtle changes in the position of the arginine fingers from the adjacent ADP-like subunit are required to trigger hydrolysis in the ATP4 binding site. The structure clearly shows that the loss of the gamma phosphate induces remodeling of the nucleotide-binding pocket, which reorganizes the pore-loop tyrosine residues of both the ADP-like subunit and the neighboring step subunit, dissociating these subunits from the substrate. Thus, the three nucleotide states coexisting in our substrate-bound structure strongly suggest that nucleotide state allosterically regulates pore loop interactions with substrates through conformational remodeling of the AAA+ ATPase domain.

Nucleotide state coordinates major structural rearrangements within the ATPase domains

To better define the inter-domain reorganizations induced by nucleotide state, we calculated difference distance matrix plots that depict changes in distances between C α atoms between one subunit and its clockwise neighbor (fig. S8A). These matrices clearly show that the small and large subdomains undergo substantial rigid-body movements independently of each other in a nucleotide-dependent manner. Interestingly, the subdomains are closest to one another in the ADP-like subunit, whereas the distance is maximal in the step subunit (fig. S8A).

To investigate the role that nucleotide state plays in directing this subdomain positioning, we structurally characterized $\text{hexYME1}^{\text{WT}}$ in the absence of nucleotide, as well as in the presence of ADPAIF_X, an ATP analog known to induce ATP-hydrolysis-intermediate states in other AAA+ ATPases (45, 46). Notably, in both the absence of ATP and presence of ADPAIF_X, the conformational heterogeneity of the hexamer rose to a level that impeded 3D reconstructions. However, 2D analysis using negative stain EM was sufficient to inform on the overall domain organization of these hexameric states. Reference-free 2D analyses revealed three remarkably different conformations in the absence of nucleotide or in presence of ATP and ADPAIF_X, confirming that a reorganization of the protomer is associated with specific nucleotide states (Fig 3C, right column).

To assess whether the distinct tertiary organizations represented by these 2D analyses are consistent with the nucleotide-induced rearrangements we observe in our cryo-EM reconstruction, we used our atomic model to generate 3D densities representing each of the nucleotide states we resolved by 2D analyses. These densities were then filtered to a resolution comparable to negative stain. 2D projections of the filtered substrate-bound $\text{hexYME1}^{\text{WB}}$ were consistent with the negative stain 2D averages of this construct in the presence of ATP, serving as a positive control for these analyses (Fig. 3C, top row). Importantly, the negative stain data showed that two of the protomers were in notably different conformations from the other 4 subunits in the complex, consistent with the presence of three distinct nucleotide states within our 3D structure (Fig. 3C, top row arrows). In contrast, our 2D analyses showed that all subunits in the apo and ADPAIF_X samples adopted similar conformations within the hexamer, indicating that in each case the ATPases were in a homogeneous nucleotide state. The projections of the homohexamers generated from six copies of the ADP-like and apo-like subunits revealed a structural organization that

accurately reflects the ADPAIF_x-bound and apo negative-stain 2D classes, respectively. Serving as further confirmation for these nucleotide-induced reorganizations, symmetric crystallographic structures of FtsH in the apo and ADP-bound state also resemble our apo and ADP-like synthetic homohexamers (19, 20). Together, these data confirm the coexistence of three distinct nucleotide states in our substrate-bound structure, and show that nucleotide-state is sufficient to induce major rotations of the ATPase subdomains in YME1. Moreover, our results strongly suggest that these nucleotide-dependent motions are required for formation of the asymmetric spiral staircase configuration, as the subdomain movements induced by the ADP-like and apo-like nucleotide state place these subunits in the lower-most and step positions, respectively.

A hinge-like glycine linker accommodates ATPase rearrangements above a flat protease ring

Notably, in this substrate-bound state, the considerable movements of the ATPase subdomains do not involve a reorganization of the protease domains, which maintain a C6-symmetric planar organization (Fig. 1A-C). As a result, the distance between the ATPase and protease domains is gradually reduced as the protomers progress around the ring (Fig. 1C, movie 1), which is further illustrated by distance matrices of the entire subunit (fig. S8B). We hypothesized that the inter-domain linker connecting the protease and ATPase domains of each protomer plays a crucial role in accommodating the ATPase domain motions while adhered to a planar protease ring. We noted that a residue (G521) within the linker region enables the small ATPase domain to undergo a hinge-like motion relative to the protease domain (Fig. 4A). This glycine is strictly conserved from bacteria to human, and allows for the range of phi and psi angles for the different nucleotide states observed in our YME1 structure (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, previous biochemical and structural studies of FtsH highlighted the importance of this glycine for protease activity (19). To determine the structural and functional implications of this inter-domain hinge for YME1 function, we mutated this glycine to a leucine to limit the adoptable backbone dihedral angles (G521L). Negative stain EM analysis revealed that, regardless of nucleotide state, the mutant construct always adopted an ADP-like conformation (c.f., Fig. 3C and Fig. 4C). These results indicate that the large nucleotide-induced motions of the ATPase domains are enabled by the wide range of backbone conformations conferred by the dihedral properties of the inter-domain glycine. Accordingly, this point mutation completely abolished YME1's ability to degrade the unfolded substrate T10-I27^{CD} despite hydrolyzing ATP at ~70% of the wild type rate (Fig. 4D, fig. S1B). This indicates that ATP hydrolysis is required, but not necessarily sufficient, for substrate processing, as ATPase domain rotations enabled by the glycine linker are crucial for the translocation mechanism, and ultimately proteolytic degradation.

Positioning of the unfolded peptide in the protease domain can enable processive degradation of substrate

Our results show that the ATPase domain movements facilitate the translocation of an unfolded substrate peptide through the hydrophobic central pore into the hydrophilic chamber, where the protease domains comprise a very negatively charged ring with all six

cleavage sites facing the interior of the chamber (fig. S3A). The proteolytic active site of YME1 is well resolved in the reconstruction, showing how a zinc ion is coordinated by two histidines (H540 and H544) and an aspartate (D618), (Fig. 1F) in an organization that is similar to that observed for other M41 proteases, such as the homologous FtsH (19-21). The active site is found at the periphery of the protease ring in a small pocket that constitutes the only hydrophobic patch accessible from the interior of the chamber (fig. S3B). An anti-parallel β -strand is located directly adjacent to the proteolytic pocket, and we show that a ten amino acid poly-alanine unfolded substrate peptide can interact with this β -strand at the active site, precisely positioning it for proteolytic cleavage (fig. S3C,D). The formation of interactions with the substrate polypeptide backbone atoms could enable sequence-independent cleavage, compatible with degradation of the wide variety of YME1 substrates identified *in vivo* (35, 47-49).

Model for the mechanism of action of YME1 and implications for other AAA + unfoldases

We can use our data to define an ATP-dependent mechanism of substrate translocation by YME1. We show that nucleotide-state determines inter-protomer coordination, ATPase subdomain motions, and pore-loop conformation (Fig. 5, A and B). Firstly, ATP hydrolysis in the lower-most ATP-bound subunit abolishes the interaction of the gamma phosphate with the trans-acting arginine finger residues from the clockwise adjacent subunit, thus releasing the bridging F411 and breaking the subunit-subunit interaction. This drives a major domain rotation that repositions this subunit in the lower-most register of the spiral staircase and weakens the interaction of its pore loop tyrosines with the substrate. These motions then trigger ATP hydrolysis in the counterclockwise adjacent subunit, likely through subtle repositioning of the arginine fingers that are coordinating the neighboring ATP. Evidence of this coordination of nucleotide hydrolysis is seen in a study of YME1 paralogs, Yta10 and Yta12, which showed that ATP binding within a given subunit inhibits ATP hydrolysis in the counterclockwise adjacent subunit (44). As the counterclockwise subunit subsequently undergoes hydrolysis, its contacts with the triggering ADP-like subunit are lost, leaving the ADP-like subunit now untethered from both neighboring subunits. As a result, the ADP-like subunit becomes displaced from the hexamer and releases ADP, thereby transitioning to an apo-like step subunit state and completely breaking interaction of the pore loops with the substrate. ATP binding by the apo step subunit re-establishes the interactions between the gamma phosphate and the trans-acting elements of its clockwise adjacent ATP-bound subunit to restore the spiral staircase arrangement with the previous apo subunit now occupying the higher-most ATP-bound position. Iteration of this sequence of events leads to a sequential ATP-hydrolysis cycle that proceeds around the ring in a counterclockwise manner (Fig. 5A). This sequential model is in agreement with mechanisms proposed for other AAA+ ATPases (23, 24, 28, 44, 50, 51).

This tightly coordinated ATP-hydrolysis cycle and its allosteric relation to pore-loop conformation enables a constant grip on substrate as it is threaded through the central pore in a stepwise manner (Fig. 5B). The ATP-bound subunits bind substrate, whereas the ADP-bound subunit releases the substrate at the lowest position of the spiral staircase, and the

nucleotide-free subunit transitions to the highest position of the spiral staircase, where it reattaches to the substrate upon ATP-binding (movies 1 and 3). The unfolded substrate is translocated in this fashion toward the negatively charged proteolytic chamber, where it is positioned for cleavage at the zinc-coordinated active site of the immutable planar protease domains. Importantly, the large-scale motions of the ATPase closed spiral staircase observed in our structure are accommodated over a planar protease ring through a glycine residue within the linker connecting these domains.

Concluding remarks

We determined the first high-resolution structure of the soluble domains of a mitochondrial IM AAA+ protease, providing a structural framework that explains decades of biochemical and genetic investigation of the activity and regulation of this class of unfoldase (36, 52). Furthermore, our asymmetric substrate-bound YME1 structure containing four ATP, one ADP-like, and one apo-like subunit is in agreement with previous biochemical data describing other unfoldases, including ClpX, PAN, and HslU, which showed a maximum of four ATP molecules and three coexisting functional subunit classes per hexamer (40, 53, 54). Our model for ATP-driven substrate translocation incorporates and explains a number of previously identified mechanistic features from a wide variety of AAA+ ATPases, including direct interactions of the aromatic pore-loop residues with highly diverse substrates (29, 30, 34, 55, 56). In fact, we propose that the intercalation of pore loop tyrosines into the substrate polypeptide observed here is likely to be conserved across AAA+ unfoldases, as they enable substrate translocation independent of sequence. The presence of an additional tyrosine within the AAA+ staircase appears to be unique to YME1 (fig. S7A), suggesting that increased hydrophobicity of the central pore may have evolved to improve the enzyme's grip on greasy regions located within the numerous endogenous transmembrane substrates previously reported to be pulled out of the membrane by YME1 (44, 57, 58). Furthermore, the increased structural flexibility of YME1 oligomers observed in the absence of nucleotide, which results from the loss of inter-subunit coordination, provides an explanation for the stress-induced proteolytic degradation of YME1L1 associated with reduced nucleotide levels that can impact recovery from ischemic reperfusion in mice (10-12). The atomic description of how the AAA+ ATPase domains engage substrates will guide future studies aimed at unveiling the mechanisms that endow mitochondrial IM AAA+ proteases to act either as site-specific proteases or through processive degradation, and thereby regulate mitochondrial activity and morphology. These mechanisms are likely to be conserved given the high sequence similarity of these quality control complexes (fig. S7A).

Recently solved substrate-bound structures of other AAA+ unfoldases have all revealed a staircase-like configuration of the ATPase subunits, leading to similar proposals for sequential nucleotide-driven translocation mechanisms (23, 24, 28). Although a degree of stochasticity cannot be excluded (for example, in the absence of substrate or upon stalling of the enzyme), the observed spiraling organization of the ATPase domains in this and other substrate-bound structures of AAA+ unfoldases (23, 24, 28) strongly supports a conserved, tightly coordinated ATP-hydrolysis cycle as the main driver of AAA+ ATPase activity. Furthermore, we confirm that three coexisting nucleotide states give rise to the ATPase staircase. We describe a new mechanism of allostery coordinating nucleotide state with

substrate binding in unprecedented detail, and propose conservation across other type 1 ATPases, including the AAA+ ATPases of the 26S proteasome, which share over 35% sequence identity and show remarkable structural conservation of the closed spiral staircase configuration, as well as the key residues identified in YME1 (fig. S7A,B). Indeed, the striking structural similarity between a yeast mitochondrial ATPase and a functionally related human cytosolic ATPase suggests that our proposed hydrolysis and translocation mechanism may be conserved throughout eukaryotic unfoldases and could help explain many aspects of the 26S proteasome translocation mechanism, which, despite many high resolution structures, remain unexplained (59).

Data and software availability

Electron microscopy maps, including sharpened, unsharpened, focused classification of the step subunit, and all associated half maps, are deposited to the Electron Microscopy Data Bank under accession number EMD-7023. Five atomic models that equally represent the EM density have been deposited as a multi-model entry at the Protein Data Bank under accession number PDB ID: 6AZ0.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Jean-Christophe Ducom at The Scripps Research Institute High Performance Computing for computational support, and Bill Anderson at The Scripps Research Institute electron microscopy facility for microscope support. We thank Bojian Ding for helpful discussions on sample preparation. C.P. is supported by an American Heart Association predoctoral fellowship. G.C.L. is supported as a Searle Scholar, a Pew Scholar, and by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) DP2EB020402. Computational analyses of EM data were performed using shared instrumentation funded by NIH S10OD021634 to G.C.L. A.J.R. was supported by NIH training grant T32GM008468. A.J.R. and S.E.G. are supported by NIH R01GM115898. R.L.W. is supported by NIH R01NS095892.

References

1. Quiros PM, Langer T, LopezOtin C. New roles for mitochondrial proteases in health, ageing and disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2015; 16:345–359. [PubMed: 25970558]
2. Tatsuta T, Langer T. Quality control of mitochondria: protection against neurodegeneration and ageing. *EMBO J.* 2008; 27:306–314. [PubMed: 18216873]
3. Nolden M, et al. The m-AAA protease defective in hereditary spastic paraplegia controls ribosome assembly in mitochondria. *Cell.* 2005; 123:277–289. [PubMed: 16239145]
4. Baker MJ, Tatsuta T, Langer T. Quality control of mitochondrial proteostasis. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2011; 3
5. Leonhard K, et al. AAA proteases with catalytic sites on opposite membrane surfaces comprise a proteolytic system for the ATP-dependent degradation of inner membrane proteins in mitochondria. *EMBO J.* 1996; 15:4218–4229. [PubMed: 8861950]
6. Stiburek L, et al. YME1L controls the accumulation of respiratory chain subunits and is required for apoptotic resistance, cristae morphogenesis, and cell proliferation. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2012; 23:1010–1023. [PubMed: 22262461]
7. Rainbolt TK, Atanassova N, Genereux JC, Wiseman RL. Stress-regulated translational attenuation adapts mitochondrial protein import through Tim17A degradation. *Cell Metab.* 2013; 18:908–919. [PubMed: 24315374]

8. Potting C, et al. TRIAP1/PRELI complexes prevent apoptosis by mediating intramitochondrial transport of phosphatidic acid. *Cell Metab.* 2013; 18:287–295. [PubMed: 23931759]
9. Leonhard K, Stiegler A, Neupert W, Langer T. Chaperone-like activity of the AAA domain of the yeast Yme1 AAA protease. *Nature.* 1999; 398:348–351. [PubMed: 10192337]
10. Baburamani AA, et al. Mitochondrial Optic Atrophy (OPA) 1 Processing Is Altered in Response to Neonatal Hypoxic-Ischemic Brain Injury. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2015; 16:22509–22526. [PubMed: 26393574]
11. Rainbolt TK, Saunders JM, Wiseman RL. YME1L degradation reduces mitochondrial proteolytic capacity during oxidative stress. *EMBO Rep.* 2015; 16:97–106. [PubMed: 25433032]
12. Rainbolt TK, Lebeau J, Puchades C, Wiseman RL. Reciprocal Degradation of YME1L and OMA1 Adapts Mitochondrial Proteolytic Activity during Stress. *Cell Rep.* 2016; 14:2041–2049. [PubMed: 26923599]
13. Wai T, et al. Imbalanced OPA1 processing and mitochondrial fragmentation cause heart failure in mice. *Science.* 2015; 350:aad0116. [PubMed: 26785494]
14. Hartmann B, et al. Homozygous YME1L1 mutation causes mitochondriopathy with optic atrophy and mitochondrial network fragmentation. *Elife.* 2016; 5
15. Koppen M, Langer T. Protein degradation within mitochondria: versatile activities of AAA proteases and other peptidases. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol.* 2007; 42:221–242. [PubMed: 17562452]
16. Shah ZH, et al. The human homologue of the yeast mitochondrial AAA metalloprotease Yme1p complements a yeast yme1 disruptant. *FEBS Lett.* 2000; 478:267–270. [PubMed: 10930580]
17. Shi H, Rampello AJ, Glynn SE. Engineered AAA+ proteases reveal principles of proteolysis at the mitochondrial inner membrane. *Nat Commun.* 2016; 7:13301. [PubMed: 27786171]
18. Rampello AJ, Glynn SE. Identification of a Degradation Signal Sequence within Substrates of the Mitochondrial i-AAA Protease. *J Mol Biol.* 2017; 429:873–885. [PubMed: 28214511]
19. Bieniossek C, Niederhauser B, Baumann UM. The crystal structure of apo-FtsH reveals domain movements necessary for substrate unfolding and translocation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2009; 106:21579–21584. [PubMed: 19955424]
20. Vostrukhina M, et al. The structure of Aquifex aeolicus FtsH in the ADP-bound state reveals a C2-symmetric hexamer. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr.* 2015; 71:1307–1318. [PubMed: 26057670]
21. Suno R, et al. Structure of the whole cytosolic region of ATP-dependent protease FtsH. *Mol Cell.* 2006; 22:575–585. [PubMed: 16762831]
22. Glynn SE, Martin A, Nager AR, Baker TA, Sauer RT. Structures of asymmetric ClpX hexamers reveal nucleotide-dependent motions in a AAA+ protein-unfolding machine. *Cell.* 2009; 139:744–756. [PubMed: 19914167]
23. Monroe N, Han H, Shen PS, Sundquist WI, Hill CP. Structural Basis of Protein Translocation by the Vps4-Vta1 AAA ATPase. *Elife.* 2017; 6
24. Ripstein ZA, Huang R, Augustyniak R, Kay LE, Rubinstein JL. Structure of a AAA+ unfoldase in the process of unfolding substrate. *Elife.* 2017; 6
25. Lander GC, et al. Complete subunit architecture of the proteasome regulatory particle. *Nature.* 2012; 482:186–191. [PubMed: 22237024]
26. Matyskiela ME, Lander GC, Martin A. Conformational switching of the 26S proteasome enables substrate degradation. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2013; 20:781–788. [PubMed: 23770819]
27. Zehr E, et al. Katanin spiral and ring structures shed light on power stroke for microtubule severing. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2017
28. Gates SN, et al. Ratchet-like polypeptide translocation mechanism of the AAA+ disaggregase Hsp104. *Science.* 2017
29. Hinnerwisch J, Fenton WA, Furtak KJ, Farr GW, Horwich AL. Loops in the central channel of ClpA chaperone mediate protein binding, unfolding, and translocation. *Cell.* 2005; 121:1029–1041. [PubMed: 15989953]
30. Park E, et al. Role of the GYVG pore motif of HslU ATPase in protein unfolding and translocation for degradation by HslV peptidase. *J Biol Chem.* 2005; 280:22892–22898. [PubMed: 15849200]

31. Okuno T, Yamanaka K, Ogura T. Characterization of mutants of the Escherichia coli AAA protease, FtsH, carrying a mutation in the central pore region. *J Struct Biol.* 2006; 156:109–114. [PubMed: 16563799]
32. Burton RE, Baker TA, Sauer RT. Nucleotide-dependent substrate recognition by the AAA+ HslUV protease. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2005; 12:245–251. [PubMed: 15696175]
33. Martin A, Baker TA, Sauer RT. Pore loops of the AAA+ ClpX machine grip substrates to drive translocation and unfolding. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2008; 15:1147–1151. [PubMed: 18931677]
34. Schlieker C, et al. Substrate recognition by the AAA+ chaperone ClpB. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2004; 11:607–615. [PubMed: 15208691]
35. Graef M, Langer T. Substrate specific consequences of central pore mutations in the i-AAA protease Yme1 on substrate engagement. *J Struct Biol.* 2006; 156:101–108. [PubMed: 16527490]
36. Yamada-Inagawa T, Okuno T, Karata K, Yamanaka K, Ogura T. Conserved pore residues in the AAA protease FtsH are important for proteolysis and its coupling to ATP hydrolysis. *J Biol Chem.* 2003; 278:50182–50187. [PubMed: 14514680]
37. Kenniston JA, Baker TA, Fernandez JM, Sauer RT. Linkage between ATP consumption and mechanical unfolding during the protein processing reactions of an AAA+ degradation machine. *Cell.* 2003; 114:511–520. [PubMed: 12941278]
38. Chang CW, Lee S, Tsai FTF. Structural Elements Regulating AAA+ Protein Quality Control Machines. *Front Mol Biosci.* 2017; 4:27. [PubMed: 28523272]
39. Zhang X, Wigley DB. The ‘glutamate switch’ provides a link between ATPase activity and ligand binding in AAA+ proteins. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2008; 15:1223–1227. [PubMed: 18849995]
40. Hersch GL, Burton RE, Bolon DN, Baker TA, Sauer RT. Asymmetric interactions of ATP with the AAA+ ClpX6 unfoldase: allosteric control of a protein machine. *Cell.* 2005; 121:1017–1027. [PubMed: 15989952]
41. Beckwith R, Estrin E, Worden EJ, Martin A. Reconstitution of the 26S proteasome reveals functional asymmetries in its AAA+ unfoldase. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2013; 20:1164–1172. [PubMed: 24013205]
42. Hanson PI, Whiteheart SW. AAA+ proteins: have engine, will work. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2005; 6:519–529. [PubMed: 16072036]
43. Gerdes F, Tatsuta T, Langer T. Mitochondrial AAA proteases--towards a molecular understanding of membrane-bound proteolytic machines. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2012; 1823:49–55. [PubMed: 22001671]
44. Augustin S, et al. An intersubunit signaling network coordinates ATP hydrolysis by m-AAA proteases. *Mol Cell.* 2009; 35:574–585. [PubMed: 19748354]
45. DeLaBarre B, Brunger AT. Nucleotide dependent motion and mechanism of action of p97/VCP. *J Mol Biol.* 2005; 347:437–452. [PubMed: 15740751]
46. Han H, et al. Binding of Substrates to the Central Pore of the Vps4 ATPase Is Autoinhibited by the Microtubule Interacting and Trafficking (MIT) Domain and Activated by MIT Interacting Motifs (MIMs). *J Biol Chem.* 2015; 290:13490–13499. [PubMed: 25833946]
47. Graef M, Seewald G, Langer T. Substrate recognition by AAA+ ATPases: distinct substrate binding modes in ATP-dependent protease Yme1 of the mitochondrial intermembrane space. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2007; 27:2476–2485. [PubMed: 17261594]
48. Wang K, Jin M, Liu X, Klionsky DJ. Proteolytic processing of Atg32 by the mitochondrial i-AAA protease Yme1 regulates mitophagy. *Autophagy.* 2013; 9:1828–1836. [PubMed: 24025448]
49. Potting C, Wilmes C, Engmann T, Osman C, Langer T. Regulation of mitochondrial phospholipids by Ups1/PRELI-like proteins depends on proteolysis and Mdm35. *EMBO J.* 2010; 29:2888–2898. [PubMed: 20657548]
50. Hoskins JR, Doyle SM, Wickner S. Coupling ATP utilization to protein remodeling by ClpB, a hexameric AAA+ protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2009; 106:22233–22238. [PubMed: 19940245]
51. Enemark EJ, Joshua-Tor L. Mechanism of DNA translocation in a replicative hexameric helicase. *Nature.* 2006; 442:270–275. [PubMed: 16855583]

52. Karata K, Verma CS, Wilkinson AJ, Ogura T. Probing the mechanism of ATP hydrolysis and substrate translocation in the AAA protease FtsH by modelling and mutagenesis. *Mol Microbiol.* 2001; 39:890–903. [PubMed: 11251810]
53. Horwitz AA, et al. ATP-induced structural transitions in PAN, the proteasome-regulatory ATPase complex in Archaea. *J Biol Chem.* 2007; 282:22921–22929. [PubMed: 17553803]
54. Yakamavich JA, Baker TA, Sauer RT. Asymmetric nucleotide transactions of the HslUV protease. *J Mol Biol.* 2008; 380:946–957. [PubMed: 18582897]
55. Siddiqui SM, Sauer RT, Baker TA. Role of the processing pore of the ClpX AAA+ ATPase in the recognition and engagement of specific protein substrates. *Genes Dev.* 2004; 18:369–374. [PubMed: 15004005]
56. Nyquist K, Martin A. Marching to the beat of the ring: polypeptide translocation by AAA+ proteases. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 2014; 39:53–60. [PubMed: 24316303]
57. Tatsuta T, Augustin S, Nolden M, Friedrichs B, Langer T. m-AAA protease-driven membrane dislocation allows intramembrane cleavage by rhomboid in mitochondria. *EMBO J.* 2007; 26:325–335. [PubMed: 17245427]
58. Leonhard K, et al. Membrane protein degradation by AAA proteases in mitochondria: extraction of substrates from either membrane surface. *Mol Cell.* 2000; 5:629–638. [PubMed: 10882099]
59. Budenholzer L, Cheng CL, Li Y, Hochstrasser M. Proteasome Structure and Assembly. *J Mol Biol.* 2017

Fig. 1. Architecture of the substrate-bound YME1 AAA+ protease

A) Cutaway view of the substrate-bound YME1 atomic model, with cryo-EM density for substrate colored orange. Each subunit of the homohexamer is assigned a unique color and the nucleic acids are depicted using a sphere representation in **(A-C)**. **B)** Views of the ATPase and protease rings, shown orthogonally to the orientation shown in **(A)**. **C)** Individual protomers shown side-by-side, aligned with the protease domain in the same orientation. The cryo-EM density is shown as a transparent gray isosurface, showing the quality of the reconstruction. The sequential movement of the ATPase domain relative to the protease domain is emphasized by a dashed line above each protomer, depicting the tilt of the pore loop helices relative to the horizontal protease ring. The pore loop tyrosines are visible within each of the pore loops. **D)** Topological organization of the YME1 protomer showing the large and small subdomains of the ATPase domain, and protease domain

underneath. Notable and conserved components of the YME1 subunit are highlighted. The cryo-EM density of the substrate is shown in orange. **E)** Detailed view of the pore loop 1 interactions with substrate. The cryo-EM density of the substrate is shown as a transparent orange isosurface, along with a poly-alanine model of the substrate. The cryo-EM density of the pore loop is shown as a mesh, and the atomic model shows the interaction of Tyr354 and Val355 with substrate. **F)** Detailed view of the zinc-coordinated proteolytic active site.

Fig. 2. Nucleotide state correlates with pore loop conformation and substrate interaction

A) Three categories of interactions are observed between the pore loop tyrosines and substrate. A poly-alanine model of the substrate is shown within the cryo-EM density of the substrate, shown as orange mesh. Tyr354 and Tyr396 from pore loops 1 and 2 are rendered as solid and semi-transparent, respectively. The Tyr residues from the ATP(1-4) subunits (purple, blue, cyan, and turquoise) show close, stable, intercalating interactions with substrate, while Tyr residues from the ADP subunit (yellow) are positioned further from the substrate, and Tyr residues from the apo subunit (red) show no interaction with substrate. **B)** Effect of mutations in the conserved pore loops on substrate degradation. Initial degradation rates are shown for folded (T10-I27) and unfolded (T10-I27^{CD}) substrates by wild-type

^{hex}YME1 and variants bearing either Y354A or Y396A substitutions. Mutation of the pore loop 1 Tyrosine abolishes degradation for both substrates and mutation of the pore loop 2 Tyrosine significantly diminishes the degradation rate for both substrates, consistent with an important role for both of these residues in substrate handling. Values are means of independent replicates ($n = 3$) $\pm$ s.d. $**P < 0.01$, $****P < 0.0001$ as calculated using the Student's two-tailed t-test and shown in comparison to the degradation of the identical substrate by wild-type ^{hex}YME1. C) Organization of the nucleotide binding pockets in the ATP(1-4), ADP, and apo states. Cryo-EM density corresponding to the nucleotide (or lack thereof) is shown as a gray mesh at a σ level of 4.0 for all states. Absence of gamma phosphate and magnesium ion is evident in the ADP-like state, whereas only a low level of nucleotide density is still present in the apo-conformation (bottom panel). In the ATP(1-4) and ADP conformations, the adenine base is located within 4 Å of L329 and C284 of the large domain and H460 of the small domain, whereas the ribose directly interacts with the backbone of A485 from helix α 8 of the small domain. The arginine fingers (R435 and R438) are closely coordinated with the phosphates in the ATP(1-4) subunits, but further away in the ADP and apo subunits.

Fig. 3. Nucleotide state causes major conformational rearrangements of the ATPase domain

A) The three nucleotide state conformations were aligned to the central phenylalanine-containing β -sheet (colored gold) to show the major rearrangements that allosterically affect pore loop conformation. In the ATP-bound pocket, the ISS loop of the adjacent subunit (colored purple) extends towards the Phe-containing β -sheet. In this conformation, the pore loops (colored pink) are extended toward the central pore and interact strongly with substrate. In the ADP-bound pocket, loss of the gamma phosphate results in a retraction of the ISS loop from the Phe-containing β -sheet, and the ISS loop becomes part of the $\alpha 5$ helix of the adjacent subunit. Within the ADP-bound subunit, the $\alpha 3$ helix moves closer to the Phe-containing β -sheet, and the pore-loop tyrosines more weakly interact with substrate. In the nucleotide-free state, the pore loop tyrosines are completely dissociated from the substrate, and are incorporated into helices 4 and 5. **B)** Cartoon representation of the nucleotide-dependent conformational changes affecting the entire ATPase domain, depicting the motions described in (A). When ATP is bound within the nucleotide pocket, the pore loop tyrosines interact strongly with substrate. ATP hydrolysis results in a weakening of the substrate-tyrosine interactions, and loss of the nucleotide results in a complete release of substrate. **C)** Nucleotide-state is shown to cause major domain rotations, as 3D structures of the substrate-bound and computationally symmetrized ADP and apo homohexamers generate distinctly different 2D projections that show structural characteristics that are

similar to those in reference-free 2D classes obtained by negative stain EM in the presence of ATP, ADPALF_X or in the absence of nucleotide. Wild type ^{hex}YME1 is abbreviated as WT.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Fig. 4. A hinge-like glycine linker accommodates nucleotide-state induced domain rotations

A) The ADP and apo subunits are shown (yellow and red, respectively), aligned relative to their protease domains. The dashed line delineates the ATPase domain (above) from the protease domain (below). The ATPase domain undergoes a large movement as it progresses from the ADP to the nucleotide-free state, which stems from a pivoting of the $\alpha 9$ helix around the inter-domain linker. Gly521 within this linker is shown to play a key role in enabling this large-scale motion. **B)** Ramachandran plot displaying phi and psi angles of inter-domain residues M520 (brown), G521 (blue) and A522 (red) in each subunit shows major torsion changes of G521 in the ADP-like state. The location of the Gly521 phi and psi angles underscore the necessity of a torsionally flexible Gly in this position. **C)** 2D image analyses of a negatively stained $^{hex}YME1$ construct containing a G521L mutation show that addition of ATP, ADP, ADPALF_x, and ATP γ S do not significantly influence the quaternary organization of the complex. Since all the resulting class averages appear to have a similar organization as the ADP-like conformation shown in Fig. 3C, we suspect that the subunits of this mutant construct are trapped in the ADP conformation. **D)** Plot showing the effect of the G521L mutation on the degradation of an unfolded substrate. Rapid loss of T10-I27^{CD} is seen over time in the presence of wild-type $^{hex}YME1$ whereas no loss of T10-I27^{CD} is observed in the presence of the G521L point mutant or for unfolded I27^{CD} lacking the T10 degnon incubated with wild-type $^{hex}YME1$. Values are means of independent replicates ($n = 3$) $\pm$ s.d.

Fig. 5. Model for ATP-driven substrate translocation into the proteolytic chamber of YME1

A) Cartoon representation of the ATP hydrolysis cycle that proceeds sequentially in a counterclockwise manner around the hexameric ATPase ring. We show how the coordinated nucleotide-dependent changes in the hexamer affect the subunit shown in red throughout the cycle. ATP and ADP are represented as blue and pink ovals at the interprotomer interface, and an orange circle depicts the substrate trapped in the central pore and coordinated by the pore-loops. **B)** Scheme of the progressive conformational rearrangements of a given subunit as it progresses through a single ATP-hydrolysis cycle (from left to right): ADP release, ATP-binding, ATP-bound states, ATP-hydrolysis, ADP-state, and ADP release. Each

nucleotide state is highlighted by a different color. The yellow and red subunits are shown twice to highlight the complete cycle.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript