Published in final edited form as:

Dysphagia. 2018 February ; 33(1): 76–82. doi:10.1007/s00455-017-9834-y.

First Steps Towards Development of an Instrument for the Reproducible Quantification of Oropharyngeal Swallow Physiology in Bottle-Fed Children

Maureen A. Lefton-Greif, Ph.D., CCC-SLP,

Eudowood Division of Pediatric Respiratory Sciences, Department of Pediatrics, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, David M. Rubenstein Building, Suite 3017, 200 North Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA. Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA. Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA

Katlyn Elizabeth McGrattan, Ph.D., CCC-SLP,

Address correspondence to: Maureen A. Lefton-Greif, Ph.D., CCC-SLP, Eudowood Division of Pediatric Respiratory Sciences, Department of Pediatrics, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, David M. Rubenstein Building, Suite 3017, 200 North Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA, Office: (410) 955-2035, Fax: (410) 955-1030, mlefton@jhmi.edu.

Conflict of Interest: Authors Maureen Lefton-Greif, Kathryn A. Carson, Katlyn Elizabeth McGrattan, Jeanne M. Pinto, Jennifer M. Wright and Bonnie Martin-Harris have received research grant funding from NIH/NIDCD.

Maureen A. Lefton-Greif, Ph.D.

Financial Disclosure:

Salary: The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland

Grant/Research Support: NIH/NIDCD

Nonfinancial Disclosure: None, relative to this article

Kathryn A. Carson, Sc.M.

Financial Disclosure:

Salary: Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland

Grant/Research Support: NIH/NIDCD

Nonfinancial Disclosure: None, relative to this article

Katlyn Elizabeth McGrattan, Ph.D.

Financial Disclosure:

Salary: Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois

Grant/Research Support: NIH/NIDCD

Nonfinancial Disclosure: None, relative to this article

Jeanne M. Pinto, M.A.

Financial Disclosure:

Salary: The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland

Grant/Research Support: NIH/NIDCD

Nonfinancial Disclosure: None, relative to this article

Jennifer M. Wright, R.N

Financial Disclosure:

Salary: The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland

Grant/Research Support: NIH/NIDCD

Nonfinancial Disclosure: None, relative to this article

Bonnie Martin-Harris, Ph.D.

Financial Disclosure:

Salary: Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois

Grant/Research Support: NIH/NIDCD; Bracco Diagnostics, Inc., Princeton, New Jersey

Royalties: Northern Speech Services, Inc., Gaylord, Michigan

Nonfinancial Disclosure: None, relative to this article

Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, College of Medicine, Medical University of South Carolina, 135 Rutledge Avenue, MSC 550, Charleston, SC 29425, USA. Evelyn Trammell Institute for Voice and Swallowing, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC 29425, USA. Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders, Northwestern University, 2240 Campus Drive, Evanston, IL 60208, USA

Kathryn A. Carson, Sc.M.,

Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Welch Center for Prevention, Epidemiology and Clinical Research, 2024 East Monument Street, Suite 2-500, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA. Department of Medicine, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA

Jeanne M. Pinto, M.A.,

Eudowood Division of Pediatric Respiratory Sciences, Department of Pediatrics, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, David M. Rubenstein Building, Suite 3070, 200 North Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA

Jennifer M. Wright, R.N., and

Department of Pediatrics, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, 600 North Wolfe Street CMSC 1102, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA

Bonnie Martin-Harris, Ph.D., CCC-SLP

Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, College of Medicine, Medical University of South Carolina, 135 Rutledge Avenue, MSC 550, Charleston, SC 29425, USA. Evelyn Trammell Institute for Voice and Swallowing, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC 29425, USA. Ralph H. Johnson Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Charleston, SC 29425, USA. Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders, Northwestern University, 2240 Campus Drive, Evanston, IL 60208, USA

Abstract

The incidence of feeding/swallowing impairments (deglutition disorders) in young children is rising and poses serious acute and long-term health consequences. Accurate detection and prompt intervention can lessen the impact of dysphagia-induced sequelae. Videofluoroscopic Swallow Studies (VFSSs) are used to make critical decisions for medically fragile children despite procedural variability and the lack of agreed upon measures for interpreting and reporting results. This investigation represents the first steps in the development of a novel tool for the quantification of oropharyngeal swallow physiology from full-length VFSS examinations in bottle-fed children. The *Modified Barium Swallow Impairment Profile* MBSImP™© served as the conceptual assessment model for development of components and operational score variants to characterize distinguishable VFSS observations. Twenty-four components of swallowing physiology were validated via expert consensus. Training materials included a library of 94 digitized video images comprised of distinct score variants for each component. Materials were disseminated to seven speech-language pathologists (SLPs) who participated in didactic and self-training sessions, and rated components. All SLPs achieved 80% reliability criterion after completing 2 or 3 training sessions. Agreement for 17 (71%) components was achieved after 2 sessions. Nutritive sucking/oral and airway-related components were most difficult to distinguish. Three sessions were required for 2 (33%) of the sucking/oral components and 4 (57%) of the airway related

components. These findings support the feasibility to standardize training and reliably score swallowing physiology using precise definitions and unambiguous visual images, and represent preliminary steps toward content validity and reliability of a standardized VFSS tool for bottle-fed children.

Keywords

Infant; VFSS; MBS; Reliability; Dysphagia; Deglutition

Introduction

Dysphagia affects more than 569,000 children in the United States and over 100,000 infants are discharged from acute care hospitals with a diagnosis of feeding/swallowing problems [1, 2]. The incidence of pediatric dysphagia is increasing as a result of advances in medicine that have improved the survival of children with histories of prematurity, low birth weight, and complex medical conditions [3–5]. It is well known that childhood dysphagia is associated with serious acute and long-term health consequences, and may predict future speech and language delays [6]. Associated complications have been implicated in the adult onset of respiratory diseases and other serious health conditions [7–10]. Therefore, pediatric dysphagia is a significant health problem. Given that early detection and prompt intervention have potential to reduce the co-morbidities associated with swallowing dysfunction [11, 12], there has been a rise in the number of instrumental swallowing evaluations performed in infants and young children. Increased use of Videofluoroscopic Swallow Studies (VFSS), also named Modified Barium Swallow studies (MBS), has raised concerns about the balance between the diagnostic and clinical efficacy of VFSS procedures and exposure to ionizing radiation [13–17].

The clinical utility of VFSS examinations has been established for adults with dysphagia [18]. Across the age spectrum, VFSS results provide information about physiologic swallowing impairments that go beyond describing the presence or absence of aspiration [14, 15, 18]. In addition to the detection of swallowing problems with potential findings of aspiration, VFSS examinations enable identification of interventions that may improve the safety and efficiency of swallowing, facilitate appropriate oral intake recommendations, and prompt appropriate referrals. Interpretation of videofluoroscopic images and the amount of radiation exposure associated with VFSS procedures are impacted by study protocols (e.g. bolus variables, order and method of contrast material administration) and the experience of the examining clinicians. Longer fluoroscopy times, which are associated with higher levels of radiation exposure, are typically higher for novice compared to trained clinicians [19]. Standardized protocols can provide clear and consistent information for comparisons between and within patients, and thereby may ultimately decrease the lifetime radiation exposure by limiting the need for multiple VFSS studies [19]. The *Modified Barium Swallow Impairment Profile* (MBSImP)TM© was developed to standardize the acquisition and interpretation of radiologic images in adults for accurate, practical and clinically meaningful evaluation of swallowing function [20, 21]. Analogous tools do not exist for bottle-fed children. As a result, VFSS procedures in children are variable and determined by

the skills of the examining clinician, institutional wisdom, and information derived from adults with dysphagia [14, 15].

The primary purpose of this study was to assess the reliability of making judgments of oropharyngeal and cervical esophageal swallowing from visual observations of bolus flow and oropharyngeal structural movements on VFSS images obtained from bottle-fed children. To achieve this goal, a novel tool was developed to incorporate physiologic components of swallowing known to be critical for safe and efficient swallowing across the lifespan while including attributes, such as sucking and swallowing interactions that are unique to bottle feeding. The secondary purpose of this study was to gain information regarding the nature and challenges of learning, including the rating and interpretation of the range of observations characterizing each physiologic component. This information will help guide future decisions about the nature and intensity of training required to achieve accurate and reliable scoring using the proposed method. Taken together, this investigation represents the first steps in a large scale investigation with the overriding goal of developing a novel assessment tool for the standardized interpretation of VFSS findings obtained from bottle-fed children. Such a tool has the potential for guiding clinical decision-making for enteral nutrition management, defining targets for physiologically based treatments for dysphagia interventions, and identifying biomarkers that signal the onset and natural history of swallowing disorders in affected children.

Materials and Methods

Content Validation

The MBSImP™© served as the conceptual impairment assessment model in this study for the identification and quantification of swallowing impairment(s) and was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the participating institutions [20]. The multiple Principal Investigators (MPIs: ML-G, BM-H) combined information from years of field testing experience with the MBSImP™©, and their extensive clinical experiences to develop the prototype of the pediatric tool. This prototype tool included components that captured physiologic parameters of oropharyngeal and cervical esophageal swallowing shared by adults and infants as well as physiologic components unique to bottle-fed children. Score variants for each component were developed to characterize the unique and distinguishable videofluoroscopic observations representing the range of function reported in the literature and confirmed by expert consensus. These scores were operationally defined and assigned rank ordered numeric values, with the lowest number representing normal or typical function, and the highest number representing the worst function or performance. In this investigation, the number of score variants differed for individual components (Appendix), which is consistent with reliability training and testing from VFSS images in adults.

The prototype tool was disseminated for review to an international, multidisciplinary group of 20 experts representing 5 pediatric disciplines (speech-language pathology, otolaryngology, radiology, neonatology, and pulmonology) and with an average of 11.6 years of experience in the evaluation and management of infants and young children with swallowing disorders. This version of the tool included components of oropharyngeal swallowing that were divided into five hypothesized functional domains: 1) Nutritive

Sucking/Oral Containment and Clearance, 2) Pharyngeal Swallow Initiation, 3) Pharyngeal Containment and Clearance, 4) Airway Protection, and 5) Esophageal Entry and Clearance. Experts completed a web-based survey regarding the importance of including the proposed components of swallow function and the clarity of the definitions for scoring the variants of each component. See Figure for a schematic of the tool development and rater training process. These survey results were used to refine the prototype tool, for creation of a pilot tool, and the development of a training manual that detailed the operational definitions for scoring each component. The hypothesized domains, components and score variants in the *refined* tool (henceforth referred to as: tool) are displayed in the Appendix.

Training Materials, Raters and Rater Training for Scoring of Swallowing Components and Reliability Testing

Training materials included 94 digitized motion clips obtained from clinically indicated VFSS examinations of bottle-fed children that were conducted at two academic medical centers. Samples were extracted from archived studies on Pentax Medical Digital Swallowing Workstations™. All studies were performed at 30 frames per second and used standardized thin liquid barium contrast materials (Varibar®) that were prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions. Consistent with "real world practice," there was no effort to control for nipple, bottle type, or positioning. Adaptations were based upon the needs of the individual child as determined by the examining SLP.

The rater training/reliability testing process began with didactic component training and group interactive scoring practice, and was followed by independent scoring and reliability testing. Additional training sessions were provided, and the independent scoring and reliability testing process was repeated until all raters achieved the 80% reliability criterion for each component.

SLP Rater Training: Didactic Component Training and Interactive Scoring Practice—7 SLPs (henceforth, raters) certified by the American Speech Language Hearing Association (ASHA) with 2–28 years (median: 7 years) of experience participated in a 6-hour face-to-face didactic training session conducted by the MPIs that introduced scoring for the components comprising the tool. Five of the raters had experience with pediatric dysphagia, which included conducting and interpreting VFSS examinations in bottle-fed infants and young children. Two of the raters had worked exclusively with adult dysphagic patients and completed training with the MBSImP™© approach.

Each rater was provided the scoring metric, scoring forms for the tool, and a detailed training manual. During the didactic training session, the MPIs reviewed definitions for all the components and their variants, and instructions for the overall impression (OI) scoring method as used in MBSImP™© [22]. The OI scoring method is a "charting by exception approach" that represents the worst performance (highest score on the ordinal scale) for each swallowing component across all thin liquid swallows and was developed to assist clinicians in the detection of impairment.

MPIs trained the raters by using frame-by-frame review of digitized images for each swallowing component and associated score variants. Images were viewed on QuickTime

software. Wording of operational definitions for some components and their variants was modified on the basis of rater input during the didactic training and interactive scoring practice sessions.

Reliability Training: Independent Scoring Practice—The revised scoring metric, scoring forms for the tool, and training manual, as well as a library of digitized video clips of component examples and their variants were disseminated to the seven raters for independent review and practice. Additional training via two videoconference sessions was conducted by MPIs to address any questions generated during independent scoring practice. The training manual and scoring sheets were edited to reflect clarifications, and adjustments were made to the library of video images. When raters were comfortable scoring, they began the process of reliability testing.

Reliability Testing Sessions—Each rater was provided 10 de-identified and randomly assigned, full-length digitized examinations of bottle-fed children (age range: 1 week to 23 months) for scoring. Consistent with every day practices, no effort was made to control resolution of computer screens used for analysis. Independent of rater training sessions and by consensus, the MPIs established a “gold standard” OI score (henceforth: gold standard score) for each of the components on all 10 examinations. In this feasibility study, the primary goal was agreement in detection of impairment, therefore concordance between raters and the gold standard was defined as either exact agreement with the gold standard score or the gold standard score +1 (worse function) (e.g. detection of impairment but overcalled by one level). The exception was for component #3, for which raters were required to count the number of sucks to form a bolus. Given the variability in the number of sucks per swallow on radiologic examination [23], raters were asked to count the number of sucks per swallow for component #3 (*Number of Sucks to Form Bolus*) and concordance was defined as equal to or up to two sucks greater than the gold standard score (i.e. gold standard score +1 or +2).

After raters scored the OI for each component on the 10 examinations, they were provided summaries of the accuracy of their scoring. Each rater’s percent agreement on individual components was calculated and accuracy (% correct) was defined in relation to the gold standard scores. Reliability criterion was defined as 80% concordance with the PIs’ gold standard scores for each of the 24 components.

Additional individualized training was provided via teleconference and on site for raters to review scoring for any of the components that did not achieve reliability. Following each re-training session, raters were provided a new set of de-identified, randomly assigned full-length examinations and asked to re-score the components missed during the previous testing session. Raters were re-trained and re-tested until the 80% criterion was achieved for all components.

Results

Reliability criterion (80%) for all of the 24 oropharyngeal swallow components was achieved by all 7 raters after completion of 3 training-testing sessions. Table 1 displays the

number of sessions required by raters to achieve the reliability criterion for each of the components and their hypothesized domains.

1. **Nutritive Sucking/Oral Containment and Clearance:** Although all seven raters achieved criterion after one or two session for two-thirds of the components, they had greatest difficulty in meeting the reliability criterion for *Initiation of Nutritive Sucks* and *Oral Residue at End of Suck/Swallow*.
2. **Pharyngeal Swallow Initiation:** The majority of raters achieved criterion on both *Bolus Location at Initiation of Pharyngeal Swallow* and *Timing of Initiation of Pharyngeal Swallow* following one training-testing session.
3. **Pharyngeal Containment and Clearance:** With the exception of one rater, all achieved reliability after one or two training-testing sessions. *Tongue base retraction* was the most challenging component in this domain.
4. **Airway Protection:** This domain was the most challenging for raters. Three raters required two training-testing sessions, and four required three training-testing sessions to reach the reliability criterion.
5. **Esophageal Entry and Clearance:** Esophageal entry was determined at the level of the Pharyngoesophageal Segment ([PES] also called Upper Esophageal Sphincter)] and included distension (i.e., the observed width of the PES at maximum opening), duration of PES opening, and obstruction to bolus flow. All raters achieved criterion for reliability upon completion of the second training-testing session for both components comprising this domain.

Discussion

VFSS examinations are frequently used to diagnose oropharyngeal dysphagia and to guide appropriate, targeted interventions across the lifespan. Establishing standardized procedures and reliable identification of salient physiologic swallowing impairments are essential first steps in determining the clinical utility of these examinations. Standardization of VFSS procedures has enabled the reliable and accurate identification of swallowing impairments that translate to clinical practice and targeted treatment for adults with dysphagia [18, 22]. To date, comparable standardized and validated VFSS procedures have not been developed for bottle-fed children. Currently, little is known about the reliability, feasibility or clinical utility for quantifying observations of impairment from VFSS recordings in bottle-fed children.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to report on a novel tool that enables identification and reliable judgments of swallowing physiology and airway protection, across clinically indicated, full-length VFSS examinations obtained from bottle-fed children. Although three previous investigations reported on swallowing and bolus characteristics, these studies described VFSS characteristics from a small number of swallows. Newman et al. reported on full-length studies from 43 consecutive infants referred for VFSS examinations, however, only 4–5 swallows per study were extracted for reliability measurements [4]. Weckmueller and colleagues selected VFSS studies that were judged to be “normal” and analyzed

reliability of observations for three swallows per study [24]. In the third study, Gosa et al. reported on the reliability measures from a total of 25 swallows extracted from images of 10 children who had been selected on the basis of having airway invasion on VFSS [25]. Although all of these investigations showed high inter-rater agreement, data were extracted from observations of a limited number of swallows and from pre-selected patient population in two of the studies. Additionally, none of these investigations reported whether the reliability measures represented the best, worst, or most common patterns of swallowing function. Therefore, it is unclear whether the previously reported findings are generalizable to clinical practice using full-length VFSS examinations obtained across the range of swallowing characteristics observed in bottle-fed children seen for VFSS examinations.

Although all raters in the current study achieved established reliability criterion on all components, the number of unique and unambiguous observations differed across components. Additionally, some of components/variants proved more difficult to distinguish than others. Specifically, variants most difficult to score during the reliability testing included those assigned to components comprising the hypothesized domains of nutritive sucking/oral containment and clearance, pharyngeal containment and clearance, and airway protection.

Variability in oral function coupled with age and developmental changes may have contributed to challenges in scoring components related to sucking patterns and oral containment [23, 26–28]. Components related to airway protection also required additional training sessions. Plausible explanations include differing resolution of viewing screens, difficulty detecting very small amounts of thin barium in the airway, and incomplete calcification of structures that delimit the airways of young children. Nonetheless, these findings are of particular concern because in current practice clinicians frequently emphasize the detection of airway invasion as the primary finding to guide medical management and therapeutic interventions.

In summary, standardization of operational definitions and training is essential for reliable scoring of physiologic swallowing impairments and may eventually limit radiation exposure [19]. Our study demonstrates the feasibility for identification of swallowing impairment and reasonable reliability for quantifying variations in impairment from VFSS observations using a rank-order, clinically practical method. These data provide the evidence necessary to move forward testing of these swallowing measures in a large scale, prospective study of bottle-fed children that will clearly delineate the relevance of these diagnostic measures on the health and well-being of bottle-fed children with dysphagia. Our next steps in the development of this novel tool are to: further investigate within and between rater agreement in a powered cohort of 300 VFSS examinations of bottle-fed children, test construct and external validity, and reduce the number of unique observations into relevant clusters that simplify scoring for clinical practice. Together these efforts should culminate in the development of a standardized training and interpretation method that improves the ability to quantify swallowing impairments in bottle-fed children, reproduce results across clinics and labs, target appropriate interventions, and detect changes in function over time including patterns of development.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This study was funded by NIH/NIDCD (1R01DC011290)

The authors wish to thank the families for partaking in this project. Our gratitude is expressed for the contributions of the Speech Language Pathologists that were involved in this work including Jeannine Hoch, M.A., M. Cara Erskine, M. Ed., Sandra B. Martin, M.S. Keeley McKelvey, M.S., Heather McGhee, M.S., and Melissa Montiel, M.S. Portions of the preliminary data were presented at the Dysphagia Research Society 2016 Annual Meeting, 25–27 February 16, Tucson, Arizona. This work was partially supported by the National Institutes of Health grants NIDCD 5R01DC011290-05 (ML-G and BM-H). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Reference List

1. Bhattacharyya N. The prevalence of pediatric voice and swallowing problems in the United States. *The Laryngoscope*. 2015; 125(3):746–750. [PubMed: 25220824]
2. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Centers for Disease Control and Prevention-National Center for Health Statistics. National Hospital Discharge Survey. 2010.
3. Field D, Garland M, Williams K. Correlates of specific childhood feeding problems. *J Paediatr Child Health*. 2003; 39(4):299–304. [PubMed: 12755939]
4. Newman LA, Keckley C, Petersen MC, Hamner A. Swallowing Function and Medical Diagnoses in Infants Suspected of Dysphagia. *Pediatrics*. 2001; 108(6):e106–e106. [PubMed: 11731633]
5. Rommel N, De Meyer AM, Feenstra L, Veereman-Wauters G. The complexity of feeding problems in 700 infants and young children presenting to a tertiary care institution. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr*. 2003; 37(1):75–84. [PubMed: 12827010]
6. Malas K, Trudeau N, Chagnon M, McFarland DH. Feeding-swallowing difficulties in children later diagnosed with language impairment. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2015
7. Brandt I, Sticker EJ, Lentze MJ. Catch-up growth of head circumference of very low birth weight, small for gestational age preterm infants and mental development to adulthood. *J Pediatr*. 2003; 142(5):463–468. [PubMed: 12756374]
8. Von Mutius E. Paediatric origins of adult lung disease. *Thorax*. 2001; 56(2):153–157. [PubMed: 11209107]
9. Landau, LI. Origins of chronic lung disease. In: Loughlin, GM., editor. *Respiratory Disease in Children: Diagnosis and Management*. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins; 1994. p. 47-52.
10. Stick S. Pediatric origins of adult lung disease. 1. The contribution of airway development to paediatric and adult lung disease. *Thorax*. 2000; 55(7):587–594. [PubMed: 10856320]
11. Khoshoo V, Edell D. Previously healthy infants may have increased risk of aspiration during respiratory syncytial viral bronchiolitis. *Pediatrics*. 1999; 104(6):1389–1390. [PubMed: 10585993]
12. Khoshoo V, Ross G, Kelly B, Edell D, Brown S. Benefits of thickened feeds in previously healthy infants with respiratory syncytial viral bronchiolitis. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. 2001; 31(4):301–302. [PubMed: 11288213]
13. Arvedson J, Rogers B, Buck G, Smart P, Msall M. Silent aspiration prominent in children with dysphagia. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*. 1994; 28:173–181. [PubMed: 8157416]
14. Arvedson, JC., Lefton-Greif, MA. *Pediatric Videofluoroscopic Swallow Studies: A Professional Manual with Caregiver Handouts*. San Antonio: Communication Skill Builders; 1998.
15. Hiorns MP, Ryan MM. Current practice in paediatric videofluoroscopy. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2006; 36(9):911–919. [PubMed: 16552584]
16. Lefton-Greif MA, Carroll JL, Loughlin GM. Long-term follow-up of oropharyngeal dysphagia in children without apparent risk factors. *Pediatr Pulmonol*. 2006; 41(11):1040–1048. [PubMed: 16871618]

17. Cohen MD. Can we use pulsed fluoroscopy to decrease the radiation dose during video fluoroscopic feeding studies in children? *Clin Radiol*. 2009; 64(1):70–73. [PubMed: 19070700]
18. Martin-Harris B, Logemann JA, McMahon S, Schleicher M, Sandidge J. Clinical utility of the modified barium swallow. *American Journal of Otolaryngology*. 2000; 15(3):136–141.
19. Bonilha HS, Humphries K, Blair J, Hill EG, McGrattan K, Carnes B, Huda W, Martin-Harris B. Radiation exposure time during MBSS: influence of swallowing impairment severity, medical diagnosis, clinician experience, and standardized protocol use. *Dysphagia*. 2013; 28(1):77–85. [PubMed: 22692431]
20. Martin-Harris, B. MBSImP™ Web Based Learning Module. Northern Speech Services; 2014.
21. Martin-Harris, B. NSS. Protocol Documentation. 2015. The MBSImP Guide.
22. Martin-Harris B, Brodsky MB, Michel Y, Castell DO, Schleicher M, Sandidge J, Maxwell R, Blair J. MBS measurement tool for swallow impairment--MBSImp: establishing a standard. *American Journal of Otolaryngology*. 2008; 23(4):392–405.
23. Newman LA, Cleveland RH, Blickman JG, Hillman RE, Jaramillo D. Videofluoroscopic analysis of the infant swallow. *Invest Radiol*. 1991; 26(10):870–873. [PubMed: 1960027]
24. Weckmueller J, Easterling C, Arvedson J. Preliminary temporal measurement analysis of normal oropharyngeal swallowing in infants and young children. *Dysphagia*. 2011; 26(2):135–143. [PubMed: 20532920]
25. Gosa MM, Suiter DM, Kahane JC. Reliability for Identification of a Select Set of Temporal and Physiologic Features of Infant Swallows. *American Journal of Otolaryngology*. 2015; 30(3):365–372.
26. Dodds WJ, Taylor AJ, Stewart ET, Kern MK, Logemann JA, Cook IJ. Tipper and dipper types of oral swallows. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 1989; 153(6):1197–1199. [PubMed: 2816632]
27. Lof GL, Robbins J. Test-retest variability in normal swallowing. *Dysphagia*. 1990; 4(4):236–242. [PubMed: 2209099]
28. Bosma JF. Development of Feeding. *Clinical Nutrition*. 1986; 5(5):210–218.

Fig.
Tool development and Rater Training Process

Table 1

Cumulative number (%) of raters (n=7) by training sessions to achieve criterion (80%) for each component within hypothesized functional domains

Hypothesized Functional Domains	Component	Trainin g 1	Trainin g 2	Trainin g 3
Nutritive Sucking/Oral Containment and Clearance	Lip Closure	7(100%)		
	Initiation of Nutritive Sucks	3(43%)	5(71%)	7(100%)
	Number of Sucks to Form Bolus	2(29%)	7(100%)	
	Nutritive Suck Rhythmicity/Organization	7(100%)		
	Oral Residue At End of Suck/Swallow Sequence	3(43%)	6(86%)	7(100%)
	Suck/Swallow Bolus Control	7(100%)		
Pharyngeal Swallow Initiation	Bolus Location at Initiation of Pharyngeal Swallow	6(86%)	7(100%)	
	Timing of Initiation of Pharyngeal Swallow	6(86%)	7(100%)	
Pharyngeal Containment and Clearance	Palatal-Pharyngeal Approximation/Palatal Integrity	6(86%)	7(100%)	
	Location of Bolus at Time of Palatal-Pharyngeal Approximation	7(100%)		
	Epiglottic Movement	6(86%)	7(100%)	
	Tongue Base Retraction	4(57%)	6(86%)	1(100%)
	Pharyngeal Stripping Wave	5(71%)	7(100%)	
	Valleculae Residue	7(100%)		
	Pyriiform Residue	6(86%)	7(100%)	
Airway Protection	Early Laryngeal Vestibular Closure	3(43%)	6(86%)	7(100%)
	Late Laryngeal Vestibular Closure	2(29%)	5(71%)	7(100%)
	Timing of Airway Entry	0	5(71%)	7 ^a (100%)
	Amount of Penetration	3(43%)	6(86%)	7(100%)
	Frequency of Penetration	3(43%)	7(100%)	
	Amount of Aspiration	6(86%)	7(100%)	
	Frequency of Aspiration	5(71%)	7(100%)	
Esophageal Entry and Clearance	Pharyngoesophageal Segment (Upper Esophageal Sphincter)	6(86%)	7(100%)	
	Esophageal Clearance	7(100%)		

^aOne rater asked for additional clarification of definitions before successfully completing Training Session #3