Published in final edited form as:

Popul Res Policy Rev. 2017 October ; 36(5): 639–669. doi:10.1007/s11113-017-9430-8.

Theoretical Insights into Preconception Social Conditions and Perinatal Health: The Role of Place and Social Relationships

Jennifer B. Kane¹ and Claire Margerison-Zilko²

¹Department of Sociology, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697

²Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824

Abstract

Recent efforts to explain the stark social and racial disparities in adverse birth outcomes that have persisted for decades in the U.S. have looked beyond prenatal factors, to explore preconception social conditions that may influence perinatal health via dysregulation of physiologic processes. The extant evidence supporting this link however remains limited, both due to a lack of data and theory. To address the latter, this manuscript generates a structured set of theoretical insights that further develop the link between two preconception social conditions — place and social relationships — and perinatal health. The insights propose the following. Place: necessarily encompasses all social contexts to which females are exposed from infancy through young adulthood; encompasses a variety of related exposures that, when possible, should be jointly considered; and may compound the effect of poverty—in childhood, adolescence, or young adulthood—on perinatal health. Social relationships: span relationships from early life through adulthood, and extend to intergenerational associations; often involve (or induce) major changes in the lives of individuals and should be examined with an emphasis on the developmental stage in which the change occurred; and necessarily encompass a lack of social integration, or, social isolation. We also identify potential biological and social-structural mechanisms linking these preconception social conditions to perinatal health, and conclude by identifying promising directions for future research.

Keywords

Birth outcomes; Preconception; Social conditions; Place; Social relationships; Intergenerational associations; Life course; Fundamental causes

Introduction

Adverse birth outcomes including preterm birth (PTB; delivery at less than 37 weeks) and low birth weight (LBW; <2500 g) represent the leading cause of neonatal and infant mortality, are associated with increased risk of health problems both in infancy as well as later in life, and are estimated to cost over \$25 billion annually in the U.S. alone (Behrman

and Butler 2005, Pallotto and Kilbride 2006, Blencowe, Cousens et al. 2013). Moreover, these outcomes exhibit substantial racial, socioeconomic, and geographic disparities such that the most disadvantaged groups—i.e., non-Hispanic Black women, women with less than a high school education, women living in poor neighborhoods—exhibit the highest risk of adverse outcomes (Blumenshine, Egerter et al. 2010, Schaaf, Liem et al. 2013, Hamilton, Martin et al. 2015). Of particular concern is that these disparities have persisted for decades and are not fully explained by the individual-level medical, behavioral, and social risk factors typically assessed during pregnancy (Culhane and Goldenberg 2011, Lhila and Long 2012, Braveman, Heck et al. 2015).

Scholars are increasingly shifting their focus to the preconception period (Atrash, Johnson et al. 2006), given that prenatal care is increasingly considered “too little, too late” in terms of an opportunity to effectively intervene on factors that could prevent an adverse birth outcome (Lu, Tache et al. 2003). Much of this burgeoning literature examines women's exposure to risk factors that are embedded within preconception social conditions (e.g., chronic stressors such as childhood poverty, abuse, and neglect; stressful life events); it is thought that such exposures can diminish perinatal health via behavioral or biological mechanisms [some key examples are: (Collins Jr, Wambach et al. 2009, Harville, Boynton-Jarrett et al. 2010, Strutz, Hogan et al. 2014, Witt, Cheng et al. 2014)].

However, the current state of evidence linking preconception social conditions to perinatal health outcomes is limited, largely due to two major barriers: the dearth of prospective, population-level data (preferably on a socioeconomically and racially diverse cohort of women) that are needed to test such pathways, and a lack of theoretical clarity about which — and through what pathways — social conditions may influence perinatal health. Thus, the extant body of literature has emerged more as a collection of risk factors identified based on data availability, rather than a systematic, deductive investigation of preconception social conditions most likely to influence perinatal health and pregnancy outcomes. The first barrier — a lack of data — is being addressed in exciting ways via new data collection efforts [see for example (Harris, Halpern et al. 2013, Moss and Harris 2015)]. Addressing the second barrier — a lack of theoretical clarity — is the topic of the current paper.

The goal of this paper is to provide a structured set of theoretical insights into the preconception social conditions that likely influence perinatal health, and to identify plausible pathways of risk linking these social conditions to perinatal health. As we argue below, this is necessarily an interdisciplinary endeavor. On that basis, we define social conditions as “factors that involve a person's relationships to other people,” used by Link and Phelan (1995) in their landmark study on social conditions as fundamental causes of disease (page 81). Social conditions can thus include factors ranging from interpersonal relationships to positions occupied within social and economic structures in society and may include race, socioeconomic status, gender, stressful life events, or social support (page 81). This definition is particularly well-suited for achieving the stated goal of this paper, because it encompasses a more traditional definition of social conditions—e.g., poverty, residential environment, factors that reflect the position one occupies within the social and economic structures of society—as well as a more nuanced definition that embeds individuals within

social context, by including factors such as social support, stressful life events of a social nature, and relationships with intimates.

To accomplish this goal, we draw upon a wide body of theory and empirical evidence from sociology, epidemiology, psychology, and economics that describes, in general terms, how social conditions influence health. When available, we incorporate theory and empirical evidence specifically related to the effect of social conditions on perinatal health. On this basis, we identify three preconception social conditions that plausibly have a demonstrable impact on perinatal health: childhood poverty, place, and social relationships. We focus our attention on place and social relationships because these have received relatively less attention in the literature. [See, for example, these studies examining childhood poverty/parental SES on perinatal health risk: (Harville, Boynton-Jarrett et al. 2010, Gavin, Hill et al. 2011, Harville, Madkour et al. 2012, Kane 2015, Margerison-Zilko, Strutz et al. 2016)]. In doing so, this paper contributes a stronger theoretical foundation to this literature that can reinvigorate research on the preconception predictors of adverse birth outcomes; it also contributes greater cohesion to the extant research on preconception social conditions.

Conceptual Framework Guiding This Work

The figure (below) summarizes the theoretical insights proposed in this paper, and also visually situates these insights within the broader conceptual framework guiding this manuscript. Two key preconception social conditions — place and social relationships — are embedded within a broader social, structural, economic, and historical landscape. These two key social conditions are likely not independent, but rather intricately connected, often sharing a common context or cause. We propose that place and social relationships are linked with perinatal health, at least partially, through the set of mechanisms shown in the middle box, including access to resources, discrimination, dysregulation of the HPA axis, dampened or heightened immune response, changes in vasculature, neurocognitive changes, and health behaviors. These represent a rich, though not exhaustive, set of pathways linking place and social relationships to perinatal health. These mechanisms are assumed to operate primarily via a stress process model (Pearlin, Menaghan et al. 1981, Pearlin 1989, Pearlin 1999). [We use the term “stress” loosely here; stress is a notably ambiguous term that is defined and interpreted in various ways throughout the literature (McEwen 2008). A useful working definition is provided by Gunnar and Quevedo (2007) who describe stress as, “a psychological condition in which the individual perceives or experiences challenges to physical or emotional well-being as overwhelming their ability and resources for coping.” Debate exists over whether the body's developmental response to stress— particularly early life stress—is primarily pathological (i.e., the accumulation of stress damages health and development), or primarily adaptive (i.e., stress directs individuals to develop in ways that are adaptive to the perceived conditions, although development may appear pathological). Both perspectives, however, support the idea that early life stressors shape organisms' development and health throughout life (Hostinar and Gunnar 2013).] Undergirding these associations are a set of core theoretical perspectives guiding the theoretical insights proposed herein. The pathways depicted here may operate non-linearly and may not reflect direct causation; this is reflected in the figure by the inclusion of curved arrows linking each box to another.

Theory Describing How Social Conditions Impact Health

Scholars from across multiple disciplines have developed numerous, overlapping theoretical frameworks to more fully describe how social conditions may impact health in general, and, perinatal health in particular (Syme and Berkman 1986, Hogue, Hoffman et al. 2001, Kramer, Goulet et al. 2001, Ben-Shlomo and Kuh 2002, Misra, Guyer et al. 2003, Culhane and Elo 2005, Rich-Edwards and Grizzard 2005, Glass and McAtee 2006, Warnecke, Oh et al. 2008, Kramer and Hogue 2009, Center on the Developing Child at Harvard University 2010, Russ, Larson et al. 2014, Ramey, Schafer et al. 2015). To a large extent, these frameworks reflect the principles of four core theoretical perspectives: life course theory, life course epidemiology, biological embedding, and embodiment. Also relevant here is life course health development — a perspective developed specifically within the maternal and child health arena (Halfon and Hochstein 2002).

In the sections that follow, we first broadly argue why place and social relationships are plausibly linked with perinatal health through a stress process model, and describe a specific set of distal and proximal mechanisms proposed in the literature. We then introduce a set of six theoretical insights generated by studying the previously-noted theoretical perspectives in depth, and outline the current state of evidence in each area. We conclude with an extensive discussion of promising directions for future research. [Hereafter, note that our use of the term “perinatal health” encompasses the clinical and sub-clinical health and well-being of both the mother and developing infant, and includes pregnancy complications, and outcomes such as LBW, PTB, and neonatal mortality.]

Plausible Mechanisms Linking Two Key Preconception Social Conditions, Place and Social Relationships, to Perinatal Health

A stress process model connects three conceptually distinct but related areas: the sources of stress (circumstances such as life events, life strains, or self-concepts), mediating resources (such as coping or social supports), and manifestations of stress (the outcome, whether physiological, socioemotional, or otherwise) (Pearlin, Menaghan et al. 1981). In his influential early work on the sociology of stress, Pearlin (1989) emphasized the role of social conditions in this process: “Many stressful experiences, it should be recognized, don't spring out of a vacuum but typically can be traced back to surrounding social structures and people's locations within them” (page 242). One example of social structures are social systems of stratification (e.g., social class, race/ethnicity, gender, age); when resources and opportunities are unequally distributed across society as a result of stratification, then low status within these social systems is a source of adversity and a drain on individuals' capacity to cope (Pearlin 1989, Pearlin 1999).

Next, we describe a set of distal (social) and proximate (biological, behavioral) mechanisms that are commonly referenced in the literature linking social conditions to health.

Distal (Social) Mechanisms—Understanding how place and social relationships influence an individual's health and well-being is central to the field of sociology; therefore, we look to sociological theory and research to further understand potential mechanisms through which these social conditions influence perinatal health.

One fundamental sociological concept dates back to social theorist Max Weber, who argued that resources within the social environment are a key element linking the structure and process of place to individual well-being. Contemporary scholars expanded this notion, asserting that social conditions are a fundamental cause of disease, on the basis that social conditions constrain or promote access to key resources—such as knowledge, money, power, prestige, and interpersonal social networks and support—that can be used to prevent or treat disease (Link and Phelan 1995). The importance of resources and resource acquisition for one's health has been similarly emphasized by public health scholars (Diez Roux and Mair 2010); indeed, Marmot (2005) argues that unequal access to resources and material deprivation are two key determinants of health disparities.

Consistent with a fundamental causes approach, place and social relationships are social conditions that can regulate access to the types of resources women can use to either prevent poor perinatal health or treat key risk factors of poor perinatal health. For example, place may condition access to knowledge about the importance of healthy eating and physical activity or the risks of smoking before or during pregnancy that often circulates through local social networks. Social relationships may regulate access to financial resources: for example, married, and to a certain extent cohabiting, women often benefit from economies of scale that are associated with higher purchasing power to prevent or treat key risk factors of poor perinatal health.

Social environments also influence health by shaping social norms that govern individual behaviors, attitudes, and practices, facilitating high (or low) levels of social control that can limit (or foster) opportunities for individuals to engage in illegal or harmful behavior, and functioning as a source of social support and cohesion (Sampson, Morenoff et al. 2002, Kawachi and Berkman 2003, Sampson 2003, Braveman and Gottlieb 2014). Discrimination and institutional racism likely further condition women's access to resources in ways that systematically diminish the perinatal health of Black (versus White) women (Feagin and Bennefield 2014, Phelan and Link 2015).

Another theoretical perspective on this topic emphasizes the role of *risk regulators*, which are characteristics of the social or built environments that promote (or constrain) individual-level health behaviors linked with the onset or progression of disease (Glass and McAtee 2006). Several categories of risk regulators are proposed; we hypothesize about preconception risk regulators within most of these categories that may be particularly central in linking place to perinatal health. In the category of material conditions, sufficient availability of nutritious foods throughout early life is a plausible risk regulator in that it is structured by place, and has important consequences for the development of healthy eating habits that can carry over into pregnancy, thereby influencing perinatal health. Similarly, residential segregation (falling in the category of discriminatory practices) and fear of crime (falling in the category of neighborhood/community conditions) are plausible risk regulators in that each is structured by place and has important implications for the adoption of protective or detrimental health behaviors throughout the preconception period. A lower-than-average cigarette tax (in the category of laws, policies, and regulations) in addition to social norms that convey a widespread tolerance of smoking (in the category of behavioral norms) may promote adolescent initiation of smoking, which is linked with long-term

smoking behavior and higher levels of addiction, both of which increase women's risk of continued smoking throughout pregnancy—a clear risk factor for poor perinatal health.

This discussion of risk regulators brings to mind the notion that women arrive at pregnancy in very different states (physical, mental, emotional, and otherwise), and these states likely influence perinatal health. An embodiment perspective takes this notion one step further, proposing that current health is a function of past experiences; therefore, it is necessary to consider the life course exposure history of a person up until the point she is exposed to a certain social condition. This is important because not all bodies are similarly equipped to resist physiological dysregulation (for example) resulting from social stress (Del Giudice, Ellis et al. 2011). More broadly, this perspective emphasizes the dual importance of understanding the health effects of place and social relationships *as well as* the biological process through which social conditions manifest in the body. The next logical step is to further understand proximate (biological and behavioral) mechanisms linking preconception social conditions to perinatal health.

Proximate (Biological and Behavioral) Mechanisms—Culhane and Elo (2005)

proposed a novel framework whereby neighborhood context (indicated by the social environment, service environment, and physical characteristics) and individual-level factors (psychosocial wellbeing, social support, health behaviors) impact birth outcomes directly and indirectly via maternal stress physiology. Their framework broadly reflects the concepts of biological embedding and embodiment in that social conditions directly or indirectly influence biological and physiological pathways that in turn influence health. On this basis, we now speculate on a likely set of potential biological and behavioral mechanisms linking place and social relationships, as two key preconception social conditions, to perinatal health.

Biological embedding highlights several specific candidate mechanisms by which social conditions gets under the skin. These include the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, autonomic nervous system (epinephrine and norepinephrine), development of the prefrontal cortex (memory, attention, and executive function), and systems of social affiliation (neural basis) (Hertzman and Boyce 2010).

The HPA axis is one of two primary systems, along with the sympathetic-adrenomedullary system, by which stress responses are affected in humans (Gunnar and Quevedo 2007). Hormones related to the HPA axis have received substantial attention as a possible mechanism transmitting social stress to perinatal outcomes. In particular, corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) is associated with the cascade of events that includes cervical softening, weakening of fetal membranes, uterine contractions, and birth (Challis, Matthews et al. 2000) and is elevated in women who go on to deliver preterm (Hobel, Dunkel-Schetter et al. 1999). However, a causal relationship linking CRH to PTB has not been confirmed. Glucocorticoids (GCs) are also thought to play a critical role in fetal development and long-term outcomes (Seckl and Meaney 2004).

Immune or inflammatory mechanisms have also been proposed as mechanisms connecting social stress and perinatal health. Evidence suggests that, whereas acute stress upregulates

immune responses, chronic stress downregulates—i.e., suppresses—immune activity, potentially leaving the immune system weakened and more susceptible to infection. Indeed, infections such as bacterial vaginosis (BV)—a risk factor for PTB that is more prevalent in Black compared to White women—have been proposed as one pathway linking social stress to perinatal outcomes. Evidence of this pathway is mixed however (Kramer and Hogue 2009).

Vascular function, particularly as it relates to the development of and blood flow to the placenta, is another proposed mechanism by which social stress may impact perinatal health. Cardiovascular disease research suggests that social stress may be related to vascular function, but little empirical work has examined this hypothesis during pregnancy. Increasingly, pregnancy studies are collecting placentas [see for example (Kelly, Holzman et al. 2009)], providing an opportunity to examine the placenta for pathological indicators of vascular damage.

Emerging evidence suggests other biological mechanisms that could also play a role in the biological embedding of social conditions with respect to perinatal health. For example, stress may have effects on neurocognitive or neurobehavioral pathways (Hertzman and Boyce 2010); indeed animal studies have demonstrated effects of stress on neural structure and functioning (Christoffel, Golden et al. 2011). Epigenetic pathways whereby stress may impact gene expression without altering the DNA structure have also been proposed (Thayer and Kuzawa 2011, Russ, Larson et al. 2014). Researchers have also recently begun to investigate the role of the vaginal and even placental microbiome in perinatal health; the role of social factors and stress in this relationship remains unknown.

Much work has identified associations between self-reported measures of social stress—such as life events, daily hassles, and pregnancy anxiety—and increased risk of PTB and LBW (Kramer and Hogue 2009, Dunkel Schetter 2011). Yet, despite the identification of these association and the biological plausibility of mechanisms by which social stress may influence perinatal health, empirical work has largely failed to find connections between proposed biomarkers of stress (e.g., plasma CRH, maternal hair cortisol, or placental histological features consistent with inflammation/infection or vascular damage) and self-reported measures of stress, distress, or anxiety in pregnant women (Kramer, Lydon et al. 2009, Kramer, Lydon et al. 2013). Thus, the link between social stress, biological mechanisms, and birth outcomes remains largely hypothetical.

Much of the aforementioned empirical research has, however, focused on examining measures of both social and biological stress during pregnancy. The perspective termed accelerated or reproductive aging suggests that *cumulative* social disadvantage and inequality across the life-course—as well as across generations—result in biological wear and tear on women's bodies that become manifest in perinatal health outcomes. Geronimus (1992) proposed that the health of Black women may begin deteriorating earlier in life compared to the health of White women due to years of “weathering” associated with accumulated exposure to systematic inequality. Indeed, Geronimus found that the black-white disparity in LBW increases with age and that this increase is most extreme for poor Black mothers (even relative to non-poor Black mothers). A related concept termed

“allostatic load” describes the cumulative biological wear and tear on bodies resulting from chronic stress; measures of allostatic load utilize multiple biomarkers to measure functioning across organ systems including cardiovascular, immune, and the HPA axis (Seeman, Epel et al. 2010, Ramey, Schafer et al. 2015). Relatedly, telomere length has been proposed as a possible mechanism linking stress experienced in a parental generation to offspring health (Haussmann and Heidinger 2015). New advances in measuring telomere length may provide a measure of biological aging that could be used in future research on this topic.

Place as a Key Preconception Social Condition Influencing Perinatal Health

As we have argued, place is an important preconception social condition with critical consequences for perinatal health. On that basis, we propose three theoretical insights that further develop this notion. [Note that place is often associated with childhood poverty—another preconception social condition we identify. Here we attempt to identify some of the unique contributions of place to perinatal health by drawing upon specific assertions of the five core theoretical perspectives we consider that are squarely focused on place.]

Theoretical Insight 1: Place, as a Key Preconception Social Condition, Necessarily Encompasses all Social Contexts to Which Females are Exposed from Infancy through Young Adulthood

Life course theory, which emerged from sociology and human development, proposes that the trajectories individuals follow — health-related or otherwise — are enveloped within a lifetime of experiences spanning both time (at a unique point in history) and place (within a specific geographical context). These trajectories are influenced by (and simultaneously influence) the people with whom individuals engage, and the social institutions individuals encounter, on a daily basis. The interplay of these macro and micro-level factors shape the exposures, constraints, and opportunities that individuals encounter as they move through the life course (Elder 1977, Elder Jr 1998, Elder Jr and Giele 2009). Furthermore, as a developmental theory, life course theory proposes that individuals will be differentially influenced by varying social contexts through the life course (Elder and Rockwell 1979). [Note that these are also key notions of ecological systems theory; see (Bronfenbrenner 1979, Bronfenbrenner 1994). More is said on this theory in Kramer et. al's contribution to this volume.] For example, the home is particularly important for infants and children; schools are especially key during adolescence; neighborhoods and workplaces are influential in young adulthood as individuals strike out on their own and enter the labor market.

Along these same lines, life course health development (LCHD), proposed by Halfon and Hochstein (2002), posits that accumulations of health deficits across the life course are likely to be more important indicators of perinatal health risk than simply poor health or low SES during pregnancy. Health assets (the converse of health deficits) are fundamentally shaped not only by a woman's biology, but also by health-promoting and risk-reducing strategies present in the social conditions she encounters throughout the life course.

A similar principle is foundational to life course epidemiology—a theoretical perspective that builds on life course theory but explicitly integrates evidence of the social and biological origins of disease from across the life course (Ben-Shlomo and Kuh 2002, Kuh, Ben-Shlomo

et al. 2003). This perspective emerged in part as an attempt to explain the socioeconomic gradient observed in many health outcomes, which often cannot be fully explained by examining risk factors contemporaneous with the health outcome—a notion that implies the necessity of looking further back in the early life course, to identify stressful or toxic exposures that are ultimately linked with the development of adult morbidity. Place represents a key source of such exposures that may impact development of health either during discrete periods or in a cumulative manner.

Thus, by examining multiple theoretical perspectives, it becomes clear that it is not only necessary to locate women within a given social and historical place, but it is also necessary to factor in the lifetime of previous experiences and exposures women encounter. Indeed, scholars have argued for the importance of examining the effects of lifetime neighborhood environments on perinatal health risk [see for example, (Love, David et al. 2010)]. On the basis of the theory described here, we propose the need to expand this view even further, to also include the home, school and workplace environments from infancy through young adulthood. Therefore, we derive the insight that place, as a key preconception social condition, should be a) characterized by females' neighborhood, school, home, work, and social environments, b) considered in a historical context, and c) examined from infancy to adulthood.

As a concrete example, consider that girls growing up in resource-poor neighborhoods are likely to be regularly exposed to other place-based stressors, including resource-poor school districts, high rates of neighborhood crime, and stressful home environments. Thus, in the language of life course epidemiology, such a constellation of place-based stressors likely accumulate across the life course, ultimately overwhelming the body's capacity to function in a normal, healthy state. Living in a disadvantaged neighborhood or home may also increase a young girl's risk of experiencing events labeled by life course epidemiology as “trigger events”, meaning events that set off a chain of risk factors leading to an adverse health outcome later on. Trigger events such as physical or sexual abuse or witnessing violence in the neighborhood for example may lead to more risky health behaviors and eventually impact women's health during pregnancy.

Health promoting factors—e.g., adequate nutrition, healthy diet, opportunities for physical activity, and appropriate health and sex education—during critical or sensitive periods (Ben-Shlomo and Kuh 2002, Kuh, Ben-Shlomo et al. 2003, Kuh and Shlomo 2004) are also more likely to be absent in socially disadvantaged homes, schools, and neighborhoods. This buttresses our theoretical insight in that we would expect life course risk to be greater among those who are situated in these disadvantaged “places”, and particularly among those that have experienced this disadvantage for some length of time and/or during early life.

Such an examination would substantially extend past research, which has nearly exclusively examined mother's neighborhood environment at the time of birth, instead of her neighborhood (or home, or school) environment at the time of preconception, adolescence, or childhood. One important exception is Love and colleagues (2010) who found that Black women who are born in poor neighborhoods and are still poor as mothers (indicating cumulative exposure to disadvantage) exhibit significant weathering (indicated by increasing

risk with increasing maternal age) with respect to LBW and small for gestational age (although not for PTB). On the other hand, Black women who lived in upper-income areas both at birth and at the time of their own pregnancy demonstrated little evidence of weathering, similar to White women.

Beyond these studies however, we know very little about the longer-term pathways that may trace perinatal health risk back to (1) exposure to deleterious neighborhood environments during critical or sensitive periods, and/or (2) cumulative exposure to deleterious neighborhood environments across the life span. Consistent with ecological systems theory, it is possible that the effects of neighborhoods in childhood have less of a direct impact in comparison with the effects of the home environment; still, analyses exploring both types of exposure are necessary, and theoretically sound. Furthermore, no work we know of has examined the role of the school environment on perinatal health, which may be particularly important during the developmental stage of adolescence; nor has any work examined how females' neighborhood, home, school, and work environments independently (or jointly) contribute to perinatal health risk.

Indirect support of the life course place-based approach we identify stems from studies documenting that risk factors for adverse perinatal outcomes measured during pregnancy often do not fully explain socioeconomic or racial/ethnic disparities in those outcomes (Culhane and Goldenberg 2011, Lhila and Long 2012, Braveman, Heck et al. 2015); we interpret this as evidence pointing to the need to examine life course influences (and not as evidence suggesting these disparities are biologically predetermined). Indirect evidence also stems from other literatures: a recent study examined neighborhood exposures over the life course and found that omitting earlier life course neighborhood environments overestimated the effect of current neighborhood environment on personal income and underestimated the total effect of neighborhoods (Milteneburg and van der Meer 2016). Other research finds that long-term measures of neighborhood, e.g., duration of exposure to neighborhood disadvantage, are more strongly associated with self-rated health compared to measures of neighborhood at a single point in time (Do 2009). This logic plausibly extends to the case of perinatal outcomes.

Theoretical Insight 2: Place, as a Key Preconception Social Condition, Encompasses a Variety of Related Exposures That, When Possible, Should be Jointly Considered

The concept of embodiment, put forth by Krieger (2005) is grounded in social epidemiology and is poised to understand health at the population-level. This perspective suggests that bodies tell stories about the “dynamic social, material, and ecological contexts into which we are born, develop, interact, and endeavor to live meaningful lives” [page 350, (Krieger 2005)], thereby illuminating an array of interrelated place-based stressors. This focus on a multiplicity of interrelated stressors is also central to one of the core contributions of embodiment—that is, a shift away from a more classic “disembodied” epidemiologic approach that focuses on isolated risk factors measured at a single point in a person's life course, and towards a perspective that examines whether health disparities are biological manifestations of many social factors, such as racism, discrimination, or inequality. A key emphasis here is on the process through which an individuals' exposure to social conditions

is internalized, thereby altering physiological function; this process is necessarily multilevel, integrating factors across the micro, meso, and macro levels.

Based on this perspective, it is clear that exposure to unhealthy social conditions — such as low quality housing, toxicants in the environment, or unsafe neighborhoods throughout a woman's life — may, to some extent, be manifestations of the same macro-level process signaling institutionalized discrimination towards racial minorities or the unjust structuring of society relative to income and socioeconomic position. Although these notions are generally contextualized within urban environments, exposure to the same unhealthy social conditions in rural areas can also signal an unjust structuring of society along the lines of social class, as studies from Appalachia and other rural areas have shown (Hartley 2004). Overall, these unhealthy social conditions can therefore signal place-based processes through which racial or social inequality can be embodied among mothers, ultimately shaping population-level patterns of inequalities in perinatal health. Thus, we propose that exposure to multiple place-based social conditions should be considered jointly, whenever possible. Such an endeavor would likely be advantageous, both empirically—in terms of avoiding omitted variable bias—and conceptually—in terms of illuminating the same macro-level process underlying several place-based social conditions.

In the extant literature, evidence has amassed linking LBW and PTB to socially patterned neighborhood exposures, resources, and stressors, including neighborhood deprivation (Pickett, Ahern et al. 2002, Buka, Brennan et al. 2003, Messer, Laraia et al. 2006), neighborhood poverty histories (Margerison-Zilko, Cubbin et al. 2015), neighborhood affluence (Kane, Miles et al. 2016), residential segregation (Bell, Zimmerman et al. 2006, Grady 2006, Walton 2009), local crime rates (Morenoff 2003, Messer, Kaufman et al. 2006, Schempf, Strobino et al. 2009), environmental toxicants (Morello-Frosch and Shenassa 2006), and mother's negative perception of her neighborhood environment (as it relates to police presence, social services, cleanliness, level of noise, and quality of schools) (Collins, David et al. 1998, Wallace, Mendola et al. 2015). However, very few studies, examine more than one type of exposure within the same study. More work is needed that jointly examines exposures to multiple place-based social conditions, and how they contribute to perinatal health. Advanced statistical models allowing for the joint estimation of neighborhood-effects and school-effects, via cross-classified multiple membership models for example [see Teitler and Weiss (2000) for a substantive application of this method] could be useful in testing this theoretical insight in the case of perinatal health.

Theoretical Insight 3: Place, as a Key Preconception Social Condition, May Compound the Effect of Poverty — in Childhood, Adolescence, or Young Adulthood — on Perinatal Health

Similar to life course epidemiology, biological embedding emerged as a way of understanding and explaining the socioeconomic gradient in health. Diverging from the classic medical and epidemiological thinking regarding disease causation however, Hertzman and Boyce (2010) argued that exposure to social conditions can impact developmental outcomes in a way that is nonlinear and sometimes unpredictable, unlike the specific relationship between, for example, infection and disease.

More specifically, this perspective posits that adverse experiences in early life, such as persistent childhood poverty, are biologically embedded in individuals via physiological processes involving neural development, gene regulation of brain and body development, and adaptation of the stress response system (Hertzman 2006, Center on the Developing Child at Harvard University 2010, Hertzman and Boyce 2010). Furthermore, exposure to a harsh environment in childhood and adolescence — or, one that involves multiple and cumulative stressors over time — can hinder the body's ability to deal with stressors or insults stemming from other risk factors such as socioeconomic disadvantage, effectively amplifying the deleterious effects of childhood poverty on health (Flier, Underhill et al. 1998, Hertzman and Power 2006, Center on the Developing Child at Harvard University 2010). Thus, the influence of childhood poverty on health may be conditioned by place-based social conditions within the family, neighborhood, or school. This also illustrates the broader principle that social exposures are nested within complex, dynamic systems that involve interaction between aspects of the system over time. Therefore, we propose that the link between early life poverty and perinatal health may be compounded by place, with the poorest outcomes observed among women exposed to both early life poverty and multiple, severe negative place-based social conditions (in the neighborhood, home, school, or social environment).

No studies have yet examined this proposition in relation to perinatal health. However, considerable evidence supporting this type of moderating effect has emerged in studies examining early life adversity and pro-inflammatory responses (Miller and Chen 2010, Saxton, John-Henderson et al. 2011, McDade 2012), childhood poverty and social subordination in classrooms (Boyce, Obradovi et al. 2012), low maternal education and maternal negativity (Browne and Jenkins 2012), and parental death and familial susceptibility to suicide (Hollingshaus, Coon et al. 2016).

Social Relationships as a Key Preconception Social Condition Influencing Perinatal Health

Children's social relationships in early life with both adults (vertical attachments) and peers (horizontal attachments) (Hartup 1989) are key sources of support and socialization — provided these relationships are generally positive and not extremely stressful (McLeod and Almazan 2003) — that also have important implications for health (Repetti, Taylor et al. 2002, Shonkoff, Garner et al. 2012). Recall that Link and Phelan's definition of social conditions encompasses relationships to intimates. Therefore, we propose that *social relationships* are a key preconception social condition with important consequences for perinatal health. Note that, although social relationships are related, to some extent, to experiences of early life adversity such as childhood poverty, abuse, neglect, and/or a harsh family environment, in this paper we focus on the nuances that distinguish it as its own construct, and the unique way in which preconception social relationships matter for perinatal health.

Theoretical Insight 4: Social Relationships, as a Key Preconception Social Condition, Span Relationships from Early Life through Adulthood, and Extend to Intergenerational Associations

The principles of lifespan development and linked lives suggested by life course theory and life course epidemiology propose that individual well-being is shaped by one's experiences and exposures encountered throughout the lifespan, and is also critically affected by the experiences of previous generations. Indeed, scholars have proposed that perinatal health has roots in the mother's physiological health, behaviors, and exposures not only during pregnancy, but also during the mother's early life (e.g., in childhood, adolescence) and dating back to previous generations (Richardson, Hussey et al. 2012). LCHD expands upon this notion in that health potential, or more specifically “reproductive potential”, is conceptualized as a combination of multiple factors: intergenerational effects (a woman's “starting” value), acceleration or deceleration in women's developmental trajectory during sensitive periods, and the number of risk factors (dampening health potential) and protective factors (boosting health potential) across the life course (Halfon and Hochstein 2002, Lu and Halfon 2003).

Biological embedding adds an important nuance. Whereas life course epidemiology—which stems from the epidemiology of chronic disease literature—focuses more on specific, discrete, and non-quotidian exposures, biological embedding—which stems more from the human development literature—focuses more on mundane exposures (e.g., daily hassles, chronic strain). Therefore, a biological embedding perspective would add the important qualification that poor perinatal health risk can be traced back to social relationships in early life and to intergenerational exposures, *and*, these need not be exceptional, but may be unremarkable in nature. Therefore, we suggest that social relationships as a key preconception social condition span relationships, both ordinary and exceptional, from early life through adulthood and date back to intergenerational associations.

Past empirical studies have assessed the role of mother-father relationships during pregnancy in contributing to perinatal health (Padilla and Reichman 2001, Kimbro 2008); others have focused on prenatal social support from a number of different social ties [see for example, Feldman and colleagues (2000)]. Additionally, considerable attention has been placed on intergenerational associations and birth weight. One set of studies examine similarities between mothers' and daughters' birth weight outcomes, and the social processes—such as maternal income—mediating this association (Conley and Bennett 2000, Conley and Bennett 2001, Currie and Moretti 2003, Currie and Moretti 2007). Other studies identify risk factors and pathways of risk contributing to poor perinatal health that date back multiple generations, such as intergenerational transmissions of low maternal education (Kane 2015, McFarland, McLanahan et al. In press).

In contrast, only a handful of studies have examined the effect of social relationships in early life on perinatal health. Physical violence in (pre-pregnancy) dating relationships has been linked with lower birth weight among Black teen mothers (Madkour, Xie et al. 2014). Early life sexual and physical abuse also has clear implications for birth outcomes (Noll, Schulkin et al. 2007, Nerum, Halvorsen et al. 2013, Schei, Lukasse et al. 2014, Margerison-Zilko,

Strutz et al. 2016). Richer insights however would likely emerge through an assessment of a broader set of social relationships across the early life course.

We also know little about potential risk factors that are on the pathway between early life social relationships and perinatal health. Compelling indirect evidence stems from a study by Harville and colleagues (2010) that found a consistent, graded association between exposure to hardships (e.g., low levels of parent-child warmth, material deprivation) in childhood and adolescence, with a higher risk of maternal smoking during pregnancy and adverse birth outcomes. Other work indicates that exposure to abuse and economic problems in both childhood and adulthood are associated with increased depressive symptomology during pregnancy (Holzman, Eyster et al. 2006). Future work should build on this foundation, more explicitly tracking the effects of a variety of key social relationships in early life on perinatal health, with an eye towards understanding longer-term intergenerational processes that may contribute to poor perinatal health.

Theoretical Insight 5: Social Relationships, as a Key Preconception Social Condition, Often Involve (or Induce) Major Changes in the Lives of Individuals and Should be Examined with an Emphasis on the Developmental Stage in Which the Change Occurred

The principle of linked lives proposes that the lives of children are inextricably linked to that of their parents, particularly early on (Elder Jr 1996). Thus, challenges facing parents are necessarily shared by children insofar as they induce change in a realm that is shared by all family members. For example, a parental job loss that causes a family to move from one city to another in search of new employment necessarily affects all members of the family. Residential mobility often requires children to change schools and form new friendships — both of which can be stressful experiences, particularly during key stages of development, such as adolescence. Multiple spells of parental unemployment (Christoffersen 1994) and residential mobility among children in fragile or unsupportive families (Hagan, MacMillan et al. 1996) have been shown to be problematic for children.

Cleavages of key family relationships, such as parental divorce or death, are another major change that can be particularly stressful for children, especially if the event occurs within a sensitive or critical period of child or adolescent development. If the event is combined with other interpersonal stressors (such as a move, or starting a new school), a life course epidemiology approach would propose that an accumulation of risks model may apply—whereby the accumulated risks overwhelm the body's capacity to function in a normal, healthy state. A chains-of-risk model may also be theoretically supported wherein one exposure leads to another, which in turn leads to another exposure or health outcome. Chains of risk may include trigger events (e.g. divorce, loss of a family member, a move, a health event, experiencing abuse or trauma) that may help identify key points in the life course where chains of risk can be broken for vulnerable individuals. Life course epidemiology also tells us that a) these models likely operate simultaneously within individuals, b) risk factors and environments contemporaneous with the outcome also matter, and c) life course models must be specific to the exposures and outcomes of interest.

To the extent that major changes in social relationships early on in life involve a change in social conditions (e.g., a move to a poorer neighborhood), these experiences may also leave

indelible physiological marks on women's bodies through biological embedding or embodiment. According to embodiment for example, food insecurity or exposure to toxicants — both of which may result from a sudden reduction in family income (in the case of parental job loss) or a move to a poorer neighborhood (in the case of parental death, divorce, or job loss) — are concrete experiences through which social disadvantages experienced in early life can be embodied within individuals in the form of worse perinatal health. Overall, these suppositions suggest a potential linkage between major changes in key social relationships in early life — particularly if these occur during a sensitive period in the life course — and higher risk for poor perinatal health.

Specifically related to timing, life course theory emphasizes that the timing of events and life transitions is key. Life course epidemiology proposes a number of pathways through which early life exposures, such as childhood poverty or cumulative adversity, influence adult health outcomes. For example, the actions of certain exposures may act *only* during critical periods, resulting in irreversible, lifelong effects on the structure or function of the body (e.g., lack of folate around the time of conception is linked to neural tube defects), or during sensitive periods (e.g. childhood nutrition is important for growth but nutrition can affect growth at other times as well) (Flinn and England 1997, Ben-Shlomo and Kuh 2002, Kuh, Ben-Shlomo et al. 2003, Evans and Kim 2007). [Attention to developmental stage is likely to be important for the entire set of theoretical insights proposed herein, although, for the sake of space, we selected only this insight to more fully develop this notion. Note that developmental stage is implicitly addressed in Theoretical Insights 1, 3, and 4.]

One potentially relevant sensitive period may be early childhood—a period in which socialization is paramount, and the precursors of adulthood human and financial capital begin to take shape. Another may be adolescence when a young woman enters puberty and her reproductive life span begins. However, as an important caveat, the biological embedding perspective cautions against drawing artificially clear-cut and unidirectional links between these potentially sensitive periods and health, because social causation is iterative, and recursive, and leads to cumulative wear and tear.

To date, scholars have formed insightful scales representing an array of preconception stressful life events—some of which involve major changes in social relationships, such as death of a loved one or parental divorce—and demonstrate implications for perinatal health (Khashan, McNamee et al. 2009, Witt, Cheng et al. 2014, Witt, Cheng et al. 2014, Cheng, Park et al. 2015). Less attention has been devoted however to contextualizing these events within a given developmental stage. Another study found that, when combined into a scale, chronic stressors experienced in childhood and adolescence (e.g., low parental education or income, parent receiving public assistance, not having health insurance, living without either biological parent, living in a high-poverty or high-unemployment neighborhood), but not acute stressors (e.g., death of a family member or friend; respondent attempted suicide; respondent reported being threatened with or injured by a knife or gun, was shot or stabbed), were associated with lower birth weight (Strutz, Hogan et al. 2014). Growing up in a single parent or stepparent family (versus two biological parent family) may influence the birth weight of a woman's infant later in life (Kane 2016). More research is needed to clarify the

association between major changes in preconception social relationships and perinatal health, with an emphasis on developmental stage in which the change occurred.

Furthermore, many of the major changes we discuss reflect parent-child processes. However, no study has yet explored the theoretical underpinnings of these processes the way we do here. Doing so illuminates the *multi-generational process* that reflects the principle of linked lives, and underlies many of the major changes in social relationships discussed herein. This is a subtle, but theoretically important point that has not yet been a focus in this literature.

Our proposed conceptualization also brings into focus the *cumulative nature* of some of the major changes in social relationships we discuss (e.g., when a parental divorce induces a residential move, a change in schools, and the formation of new friendship groups) that can leave indelible physiological marks on individuals and ultimately impact perinatal health. This type of approach would benefit from the application of different statistical methods than have been used in the past — e.g., a principal components analysis to identify a set of major changes in social relationships that tend to cluster together, and then assessing the effect of this cluster on perinatal health.

In addition, it would be illuminating to evaluate these multigenerational processes as well as the cumulative nature of major changes in social relationships with a focus on the developmental stage in which the events occurred. Two studies have paved the way for such a venture. Harville and colleagues (2010) examined multiple hardships in both childhood and adolescence and found that exposure to violence and dealing with mental health problems during early adolescence (ages 12-16) had a stronger impact on LBW than did exposure to the same stressors before age 11, lending evidence that adolescence may be a sensitive period for such exposures. Additionally, Margerison-Zilko and colleagues (2016) examined stressors related to economic issues, loss of a loved one, abuse, and substance use in someone close, comparing exposures in childhood, adulthood, and just prior to pregnancy. Abuse, particularly sexual abuse, in both childhood and adulthood emerged as an independent risk factor for PTB, suggesting that—at least with regards to abuse—chronic exposure, rather than exposure only during a sensitive period, might be most risky. Future work should build on these studies by assessing the multigenerational processes underlying such hardships and stressors, and exploring alternative ways to model the accumulation of stressors and its impact on perinatal health.

Theoretical Insight 6: Social Relationships, as a Key Preconception Social Condition, Necessarily Encompass a Lack of Social Integration, or, Social Isolation

Social isolation, or a lack of social interaction, is a well-known and powerful predictor of poor health (Berkman and Glass 2000, Berkman, Glass et al. 2000). In population-based, prospective studies, social isolation in childhood has been linked with elevated levels of C-reactive protein (Lacey, Kumari et al. 2014) and increased cardiovascular risk (Caspi, Harrington et al. 2006) in adulthood. Here, we consider theory that links social isolation to perinatal health.

The principle of linked lives underscores the importance of social integration and social ties (Elder Jr 1995); both of these factors critically shape behaviors, beliefs, and attitudes via

processes such as socialization and social control (Erikson 1950). This socialization is key to understanding social inequalities in health (Singh-Manoux and Marmot 2005). Women without strong ties to parents or peers in childhood and adolescence may experience undue levels of stress, and therefore may be at particularly high risk of poor perinatal health. As previously argued, when parental job loss necessitates a family move, this places a strain on children's (or adolescent's) peer relationships given that moves are commonly associated with temporary spells of social isolation for youth. Re-integration with peers in the new location is essential for children in terms of limiting the health effects of the social isolation spell. If a move occurs within a sensitive period, the effect of social isolation may be more deleterious to the child's health than if the move occurred at another point in the life course. In addition, social isolation is associated with poorer psychological health and depressive symptomology (Thoits 1983), which may lead to uptake of, or increase in, unhealthy behaviors such as smoking. Depression may influence perinatal health via increased smoking behavior (Zuckerman, Amaro et al. 1989, Orr, James et al. 2002).

According to biological embedding, social integration, reflected by a positive family environment, demonstrated by high levels of parent-child warmth, communication, and caring can likely mitigate the deleterious effects of early life adversity on health (Connor and Brink 1999, Shonkoff and Phillips 2000, Kristenson, Eriksen et al. 2004, Hertzman and Power 2006, Evans and Kim 2007, Center on the Developing Child at Harvard University 2010, Hertzman and Boyce 2010); this likely holds for perinatal health as well. Conversely, it is plausible that social isolation reflects a negative social environment that modulates the stress response system, neural development, and systems of social affiliation in such a way that increases the risk of poor perinatal health.

No studies we are aware of have directly examined the effects of social isolation on perinatal health. But, we can draw on the adult health literature to formulate hypotheses about what we expect to find. A large body of work shows a clear association between social isolation and mortality risk (Holt-Lunstad, Smith et al. 2010). Furthermore, a recent study produced causal evidence for a mechanism linking social integration/social isolation to health (measured with both biomarkers and self-reports) via physiological regulation across the life course (Yang, Boen et al. 2016). A innovative and complex conceptual model proposes a link from social networks to health via a variety of psychosocial mechanisms (e.g., social support, social influence, social engagement, person-to-person contact, and access to resources and material goods) and pathways (health, psychological, and physiologic) (Berkman, Glass et al. 2000). Together, this body of research buttress the plausibility of an effect of social isolation on perinatal health; potential mechanisms may be physiologic, health, and/or psychological dysregulation. Future studies should test these suppositions.

Promising Directions for Future Research

Demographic Approaches Could be Employed to Test Some of the Proposed Theoretical Insights

Demographers regularly examine changes in a phenomenon across historical time by distinguishing between age, period, and cohort effects; another common approach is to parse out the timing, duration, spacing, and order of events across the life course (McLeod and

Almazan 2003). Both approaches could be useful in further understanding the role of preconception place and social relationships in contributing to perinatal health. There is a lack of demographic analyses such as these in the perinatal health literature, although one recent study examined age, period, and cohort effects in relation to perinatal health and identified a strong relationship between maternal birth cohort (i.e., when the mother was born) and risk of having a small-for-gestational age baby later in life (Margerison-Zilko 2014). In particular, among Black women only, mothers born in the 50s and 60s exhibited declining risks of having a small-for-gestational-age infant whereas mothers born in the 70s or 80s exhibited increasing risks of small-for-gestational-age (regardless of when the mother actually gave birth), illustrating the importance of accounting for the social context experienced by a woman from birth through pregnancy. Potential explanations for these findings include that improving social conditions for Black people during the 50s and especially during the Civil Rights Movement of the 1960s may have become embodied in Black women in such a way as to improve their perinatal health later in life. Moreover, social and economic changes that especially burdened the Black community in the 1970s and 1980s—including declining manufacturing jobs, growing inner city poverty, and increasing proportions of single-parent families—may have also become embodied in ways that led to greater perinatal risk later in life.

Multi-Generational Processes Should be Further Explored

A common theme throughout many of the theoretical insights proposed herein is that potentially rich insights may be gleaned by conceptualizing and modeling preconception social conditions through an intergenerational lens. Indeed, understanding not only the mother's early life course experiences through a more comprehensive lens of place and social relationships, but also understanding the experiences of her parents, which may have critically shaped her early life experiences, could lend a more comprehensive understanding of the set of risk factors leading to poor perinatal health. As previously noted, prior studies have laid the groundwork for exploring these associations (Conley and Bennett 2000, Conley and Bennett 2001, Currie and Moretti 2003, Currie and Moretti 2007, Kane 2015, McFarland, McLanahan et al. In press), but much more work is needed to parse out these socially and biologically complex pathways. Furthermore, such explorations could expand our understanding of infant health as a mechanism transmitting social, health, and economic disadvantage from one generation to the next (Case, Lubotsky et al. 2002, Case and Paxson 2006, Kane 2015, Kane 2016). This topic holds particular significance in the field of population health, given that the very nature of this intergenerational transmission implies that improving infant health for all and reducing racial and social class disparities in infant health could have dramatic implications not only for the health and well-being of future generations but also for population-level patterns of racial and social inequality.

A Need to Examine Racial Stratification of these Pathways In Relation to Perinatal Health

Although our paper focuses primarily on conceptualizing pathways through which place and social relationships, as preconception social conditions, influence perinatal health, future work should explore stratification of these pathways by race/ethnicity. Substantial and persistent racial disparities are evident in perinatal health, particularly in the U.S. For example, Black U.S. women have almost twice the risk of delivering a baby preterm or low

birth weight compared to White women. Because Black women are more likely to live in disadvantaged neighborhoods throughout their lifetime, to grow up and live in poverty, and to experience more family instability and family-related stressors than White women in the U.S. (Bumpass and McLanahan 1989, Geronimus 1996, Collins Jr, Wambach et al. 2009), it is plausible that detrimental exposures within these preconception social conditions place a unique burden on Black women, children, and families. Furthermore, racism—at the institutional-level and the individual-level—is a fundamental cause of health inequalities (Phelan and Link 2015) that affects Black women, and women of other marginalized or non-majority groups (Feagin and Bennefield 2014). The effects of racism are particularly pernicious given that racism conditions access to resources in such a way that systematically advantage the majority group. These resources can span from an individual's social psychological beliefs in the superiority of one group over another, to the dense concentration of Whites in positions of power and authority in both the public and private sectors. Therefore, future research should pay considerable attention to the way that these processes act to structure and/or interact with place and social relationships.

A Focus on Resilience, Not Just Risk

An important area of focus for future work lies in identifying, examining, and potentially developing interventions around sources of resiliency at the individual, family, and community levels (Ramey, Schafer et al. 2015). For example, evidence suggests that the detrimental effects of stress on health may be mitigated by high levels of mastery, self-esteem, and/or social support (Thoits 2010); such factors may be important moderators of the association between preconception social conditions and adverse birth outcomes.

Sources of resiliency at the family-level is an under-researched area; the role of the father in perinatal health has been particularly under-explored [see Landale and Oropesa (2001) and Kimbro (2008) for two key exceptions]. Evidence suggests that the mere absence of a father's name on the birth certificate (an omission that likely proxies for low levels of paternal involvement) is correlated with infant mortality and that paternal absence increases the Black-White gap in infant mortality by approximately four-fold (Alio, Mbah et al. 2011). At the same time, researchers should not underestimate the power of alternative or non-traditional relationships in developing resiliency. Mothers may rely not only on the father, but also on family members, friends, or community sources of social support (Collins 2005, Hrdy 2009). In fact, recent work in the field of communications identified online social support groups, particularly those on Facebook, as key sources of information and support for new mothers (Holtz, Smock et al. 2015).

Improvements in Measuring Preconception Social Conditions

The difficulty of gathering valid and reliable indicators of social conditions is well-documented in the perinatal health literature and beyond (Thoits 1982, Collins, Dunkel-Schetter et al. 1993). Objective measures of place such as the Euclidean distance from a women's home to the nearest OB/GYN facility or healthy food market oversimplifies the role of place in a woman's life. For example, if two women live equidistant from an OB/GYN facility, one may have more trouble accessing the resource due to a lack of

transportation, lack of child care, or lack of social support. Moreover, other aspects of place (e.g., perceived safety, social cohesion) are difficult to measure objectively.

Considerable difficulty emerges from measuring social relationships as well. Families are becoming increasingly complex and diverse as divorce, cohabitation, and nonmarital childbearing are becoming more commonplace in the U.S. (Bumpass, Raley et al. 1995). With respect to romantic relationships, cohabitation is particularly difficult to measure (Knab and McLanahan 2006) for two reasons: dates of union entry or exit are difficult to pinpoint (Manning and Smock 2005), and cohabitation includes a diverse array of residential arrangements (Sassler 2004). Furthermore, changes in relationship status between the time when couples learn of a pregnancy and the time of birth (e.g., unmarried to married, or nonresidential to cohabiting) are not uncommon (Bachu 1999, Rackin and Gibson-Davis 2012); importantly, the influence of marriage on birth outcomes differs based on the timing of marriage (whether it was formalized before or during the pregnancy) (Kane 2016). Yet nearly all studies examining the influence of marriage on birth outcomes measure marital status at the time of birth, and not the time of conception.

The theoretical insights expressed here suggest the need to measure several, multilevel exposures at multiple time points—as well as biological indicators of proximal processes and perinatal health—among girls and women. This need to measure a “totality of exposure” [related to the epidemiologic concept of the “exposome” (DeBord, Carreón et al. 2016)], suggests the need for compiling measurements from diverse methods such as biomarker collection, sensors, geographic or spatial systems, as well as from traditional surveys, data linkage, and vital statistics.

A particular problem in perinatal health research is that, to maximize resources, women are typically enrolled in studies once pregnant, instead of being enrolled before conception. Thus, assessment of any preconception risk factors relies on either retrospective self-report or record linkage (which is notoriously difficult and limited, especially in the U.S.). However, longitudinal, population-based studies such as the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent to Adult Health offer promising new opportunities to test the influence of preconception risk factors and pathways of risk on perinatal health. Add Health has prospectively tracked men and women from ages 12-19 (Wave I, 1994-5) to ages 24-32 (Wave IV, 2008-9), with current efforts underway to collect a new wave of data (Wave V, 2016-18). Many of these respondents have had children; those who have transitioned to parenthood provide reports of the infant's birth weight, gestational age, etc. These data can be further mined to more fully explore and test some of the preconception pathways proposed in this paper.

Using Quasi-Experimental and Other Complex Modeling Strategies

Whereas traditional statistical methods have focused on modeling associations between one exposure and an outcome, the ideas and relationships expressed in this paper will require statistical methods designed to incorporate multilevel, complex, dynamic, life course, and/or intergenerational exposures. Such methods may include structural equation or complex systems models. Quasi-experimental study designs including instrumental variables, difference-in-difference models, and regression discontinuity should also be considered.

Future work should also more explicitly test the robustness of these associations by employing multiple methods in a single study, or by performing cross-national tests of documented pathways.

Specific challenges to statistical modeling include the difficulty of explicitly linking preconception social conditions to measures of proximal mechanisms (e.g., physiological dysregulation), and then to perinatal health. This limitation stems from two factors: a lack of data needed to test such hypotheses, and the complexity of statistical models required to test such hypotheses.

Another remaining challenge is how to explicitly test multiple life course epidemiology models—i.e., cumulative exposure, sensitive/critical periods, chains of risk—with the goal of identifying whether and how timing of exposure matters for perinatal health. As described above, the small but growing empirical literature has identified that being born in a disadvantaged neighborhood, experiencing hardships in childhood, experiencing abuse, and loss/death of loved ones in the years just prior to pregnancy are all related to adverse birth outcomes. On the other hand, upward social mobility appears protective against adverse birth outcomes. Taken together, the existing literature suggests that exposure to social stress or disadvantage at all of these time points can be detrimental to perinatal health. Yet few studies have tested multiple time periods or different life course models against each other. Moreover, the possibility remains that current research indicating risk based on exposure in all time periods reflects only publication bias against studies not identifying associations between early life disadvantage and perinatal outcomes.

More Attention to Selection Processes Confounding the Effect of Preconception Social Conditions on Perinatal Health

Rooted in our efforts to advocate for the identification of theoretically relevant pathways that should be tested in future research is the notion that future researchers should be expressly mindful of the underlying selection mechanisms that may confound the association between preconception social conditions and perinatal health. Such mechanisms could reflect health selection—wherein poor health either structures women's social relationships or limits women's earnings thereby impacting where she lives [see (Halfon and Hochstein 2002, Russ, Larson et al. 2014)]—or social selection (pathways leading poor women into low status jobs, low wage jobs, or unsafe neighborhoods).

It is also true that not all factors that precede preconception social conditions (e.g., the social, structural, economic, and historical landscape referenced in the Figure) and also affect perinatal health are observable, no matter how rich the data. Therefore, the effect of preconception social conditions on perinatal health remains confounded unless we explicitly account for this in some way. This point reiterates the necessity of using quasi-experimental methods to achieve unbiased estimates of the effect of preconception social conditions on perinatal health. The evidence stemming from studies employing econometric methods (such as instrumental variables modeling) that shore up evidence of a causal effect of prenatal smoking on poor perinatal health (Rosenzweig and Schultz 1983, Lien and Evans 2005, Reichman and Teitler 2005, Wehby, Fletcher et al. 2011) is one concrete example of

the type of work that could be undertaken in the future to tease out the effects of preconception social conditions.

Another key example is a set of studies arguing that our understanding of the (potentially) causal role of place on perinatal health is still quite limited (Oakes 2004, Roux 2004, Subramanian 2004). Of particular difficulty here is the inability (thus far) to adequately model processes that select individuals into the neighborhoods in which they reside — an issue that creates problems related to confounding and omitted variable bias. A related limitation that has, to the best of our knowledge, not yet been addressed is that scholars in this field have not yet attempted to estimate the causal effects of neighborhoods on perinatal health apart from the causal effects of families on perinatal health. This is an area of strong interest in economics [see for example Plotnick and Hoffman (1999)]. Future work testing the theoretical insights developed in this paper should, whenever possible, use quasi-experimental methods that take into account some of these issues related to these selection processes.

Conclusion

LCHD scholars have laid the groundwork for considering preconception risk and protective factors for perinatal health (Halfon and Hochstein 2002, Russ, Larson et al. 2014), yet a lack of theoretical work has limited researchers from forming well-grounded hypotheses about which factors to examine. The goal of this paper was to provide a structured set of theoretical insights for understanding the preconception social conditions that likely influence perinatal health. To that end, this paper introduced two key preconception social conditions — place and social relationships — that have received relatively less attention in the literature than other social conditions we identify (childhood poverty). This paper also generated a set of new, integrative theoretical insights by drawing upon life course theory, life course epidemiology, life course health development, biological embedding, and embodiment. We put forth hypotheses as to a set of (distal) social-structural and (proximate) biological and behavioral mechanisms linking these preconception social conditions to perinatal health outcomes. Lastly, we identified several promising areas for future research in this area. Taken together, these insights contribute more solid theoretical grounding for future research to test specific hypotheses related to the role of preconception social conditions in perinatal health than has been offered in the past. Along with new data collection efforts that seek to gather the population-level data (on socioeconomically and racially/ethnically diverse sets of individuals) needed to test such claims, future research can and should begin testing the theoretical insights and mechanisms identified in this paper.

References

- Alio AP, Mbah AK, Kornosky JL, Wathington D, Marty PJ, Salihu HM. Assessing the impact of paternal involvement on racial/ethnic disparities in infant mortality rates. *J Community Health*. 2011; 36(1):63–68. [PubMed: 20512407]
- Atrash HK, Johnson K, Adams M, Cordero JF, Howse J. Preconception Care for Improving Perinatal Outcomes: The Time to Act. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*. 2006; 10(1):3–11.
- Bachu, A. Trends in premarital childbearing: 1930 to 1994. US Dept. of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration, US Census Bureau; 1999.

- Behrman, R., Butler, A., editors. Preterm birth: causes, consequences, and prevention. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2005.
- Bell JF, Zimmerman FJ, Almgren GR, Mayer JD, Huebner CE. Birth outcomes among urban African-American women: a multilevel analysis of the role of racial residential segregation. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2006
- Ben-Shlomo Y, Kuh D. A life course approach to chronic disease epidemiology: conceptual models, empirical challenges and interdisciplinary perspectives. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2002; 31(2):285–293. [PubMed: 11980781]
- Berkman LF, Glass T. Social integration, social networks, social support, and health. *Social epidemiology*. 2000; 1:137–173.
- Berkman LF, Glass T, Brissette I, Seeman TE. From social integration to health: Durkheim in the new millennium. *Social science & medicine*. 2000; 51(6):843–857. [PubMed: 10972429]
- Blencowe H, Cousens S, Chou D, Oestergaard M, Say L, Moller AB, Kinney M, Lawn J. Born too soon: the global epidemiology of 15 million preterm births. *Reprod Health*. 2013; 10(1):S2. [PubMed: 24625129]
- Blumenshine P, Egerter S, Barclay CJ, Cubbin C, Braveman PA. Socioeconomic Disparities in Adverse Birth Outcomes: A Systematic Review. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2010; 39(3):263–272. [PubMed: 20709259]
- Boyce WT, Obradovi J, Bush NR, Stamperdahl J, Kim YS, Adler N. Social stratification, classroom climate, and the behavioral adaptation of kindergarten children. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2012; 109(Supplement 2):17168–17173.
- Braveman P, Gottlieb L. The social determinants of health: it's time to consider the causes of the causes. *Public Health Reports*. 2014; 129
- Braveman PA, Heck K, Egerter S, Marchi KS, Dominguez TP, Cubbin C, Fingar K, Pearson JA, Curtis M. The role of socioeconomic factors in black–white disparities in preterm birth. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2015; 105(4):694–702. [PubMed: 25211759]
- Bronfenbrenner U. Contexts of child rearing: Problems and prospects. *American psychologist*. 1979; 34(10):844.
- Bronfenbrenner U. Ecological models of human development. *Readings on the development of children*. 1994; 2:37–43.
- Browne DT, Jenkins JM. Health across early childhood and socioeconomic status: Examining the moderating effects of differential parenting. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2012; 74(10):1622–1629. [PubMed: 22459186]
- Buka SL, Brennan RT, Rich-Edwards JW, Raudenbush SW, Earls F. Neighborhood support and the birth weight of urban infants. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2003; 157(1):1. [PubMed: 12505884]
- Bumpass L, Mc Lanahan S. Unmarried motherhood: Recent trends, composition, and black-white differences. *Demography*. 1989; 26(2):279–286. [PubMed: 2731622]
- Bumpass LL, Raley RK, Sweet JA. The changing character of stepfamilies: Implications of cohabitation and nonmarital childbearing. *Demography*. 1995; 32(3):425–436. [PubMed: 8829975]
- Case A, Lubotsky D, Paxson C. Economic Status and Health in Childhood: The Origins of the Gradient. *American Economic Review*. 2002:1308–1334. [PubMed: 29058397]
- Case A, Paxson CH. Children's health and social mobility. *The Future of Children*. 2006; 16(2):151–173. [PubMed: 17036550]
- Caspi A, Harrington H, Moffitt TE, Milne BJ, Poulton R. Socially isolated children 20 years later: risk of cardiovascular disease. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*. 2006; 160(8):805–811. [PubMed: 16894079]
- Center on the Developing Child at Harvard University. The Foundations of Lifelong Health Are Built in Early Childhood. 2010. from <http://developingchild.harvard.edu/>
- Challis JR, Matthews SG, Gibb W, Lye SJ. Endocrine and paracrine regulation of birth at term and preterm 1. *Endocrine reviews*. 2000; 21(5):514–550. [PubMed: 11041447]
- Cheng ER, Park H, Wisk LE, Mandell KC, Wakeel F, Litzelman K, Chatterjee D, Witt WP. Examining the link between women's exposure to stressful life events prior to conception and infant and

toddler health: the role of birth weight. *Journal of epidemiology and community health*. 2015 jech-2015-205848.

Christoffel DJ, Golden SA, Russo SJ. Structural and synaptic plasticity in stress-related disorders. *Reviews in the neurosciences*. 2011; 22(5):535–549. [PubMed: 21967517]

Christoffersen MN. A follow-up study of longterm effects of unemployment on children: loss of self-esteem and self-destructive behavior among adolescents. *Childhood*. 1994; 2(4):212–220.

Collins JW Jr, Wambach J, David RJ, Rankin KM. Women's lifelong exposure to neighborhood poverty and low birth weight: a population-based study. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*. 2009; 13(3):326–333. [PubMed: 18459039]

Collins JW, David RJ, Symons R, Handler A, Wall S, Andes S. African-American mothers' perception of their residential environment, stressful life events, and very low birthweight. *Epidemiology*. 1998; 9(3):286–289. [PubMed: 9583420]

Collins NL, Dunkel-Schetter C, Lobel M, Scrimshaw SC. Social support in pregnancy: psychosocial correlates of birth outcomes and postpartum depression. *Journal of personality and social psychology*. 1993; 65(6):1243. [PubMed: 8295121]

Collins, PH., Zinn, MB., Hondagneu-Sotelo, P., Messner, MA., Denissen, AM. Gender through the prism of difference. New York: Oxford University Press; 2005. The meaning of motherhood in Black culture and Black mother–daughter relationships; p. 285-295.

Conley D, Bennett NG. Is biology destiny? Birth weight and life chances. *American Sociological Review*. 2000:458–467.

Conley D, Bennett NG. Birth weight and income: interactions across generations. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*. 2001:450–465. [PubMed: 11831142]

Connor, S., Brink, S. Working Paper No W-099-6E. Ottawa: Human Resources Development Canada, Applied Research Branch; 1999. Understanding the early years: Community impacts on child development.

Culhane JF, Elo IT. Neighborhood context and reproductive health. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2005; 192(5):S22–S29. [PubMed: 15891708]

Culhane, JF., Goldenberg, RL. *Seminars in Perinatology*. Elsevier; 2011. Racial disparities in preterm birth.

Currie J, Moretti E. Mother's Education and the Intergenerational Transmission of Human Capital: Evidence from College Openings. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*. 2003; 118(4):1495–1532.

Currie J, Moretti E. Biology as Destiny? Short-and Long-Run Determinants of Intergenerational Transmission of Birth Weight. *Journal of Labor Economics*. 2007; 25(2)

DeBord DG, Carreón T, Lentz TJ, Middendorf PJ, Hoover MD, Schulte PA. Use of the “exposome” in the practice of epidemiology: a primer on-omic technologies. *American Journal of Epidemiology*: kwv. 2016:325.

Del Giudice M, Ellis BJ, Shirtcliff EA. The adaptive calibration model of stress responsivity. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2011; 35(7):1562–1592. [PubMed: 21145350]

Diez Roux AV, Mair C. Neighborhoods and health. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2010; 1186(1):125–145. [PubMed: 20201871]

Do DP. The dynamics of income and neighborhood context for population health: Do long-term measures of socioeconomic status explain more of the black/white health disparity than single-point-in-time measures? *Social Science & Medicine*. 2009; 68(8):1368–1375. [PubMed: 19278767]

Dunkel Schetter C. Psychological science on pregnancy: stress processes, biopsychosocial models, and emerging research issues. *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2011; 62:531–558. [PubMed: 21126184]

Elder GH. Family history and the life course. *Journal of Family History*. 1977; 2(4):279. [PubMed: 11610128]

Elder GH, Rockwell RC. The life-course and human development: An ecological perspective. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*. 1979; 2(1):1–21.

Elder GH Jr. The life course paradigm: Social change and individual development. 1995

Elder GH Jr. Human lives in changing societies: Life course and developmental insights. *Developmental Science*. 1996:31–62.

- Elder GH Jr. The life course as developmental theory. *Child development*. 1998; 69(1):1–12. [PubMed: 9499552]
- Elder, GH., Jr, Giele, JZ. *The Craft of Life Course Research*. New York: The Guilford Press; 2009. Life course studies: An evolving field; p. 1-24.
- Erikson, EH. *Childhood and society*. New York: WW Norton & Company; 1950.
- Evans GW, Kim P. Childhood Poverty and Health Cumulative Risk Exposure and Stress Dysregulation. *Psychological Science*. 2007; 18(11):953–957. [PubMed: 17958708]
- Feagin J, Bennefield Z. Systemic racism and US health care. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2014; 103:7–14. [PubMed: 24507906]
- Feldman PJ, Dunkel-Schetter C, Sandman CA, Wadhwa PD. Maternal social support predicts birth weight and fetal growth in human pregnancy. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2000; 62(5):715–725. [PubMed: 11020102]
- Flier JS, Underhill LH, McEwen BS. Protective and damaging effects of stress mediators. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1998; 338(3):171–179. [PubMed: 9428819]
- Flinn MV, England BG. Social economics of childhood glucocorticoid stress response and health. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*. 1997; 102(1):33–53. [PubMed: 9034037]
- Gavin AR, Hill KG, Hawkins JD, Maas C. The role of maternal early-life and later-life risk factors on offspring low birth weight: Findings from a three-generational study. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2011; 49(2):166–171. [PubMed: 21783049]
- Geronimus A. The weathering hypothesis and the health of African-American women and infants: evidence and speculations. *Ethnicity & Disease*. 1992; 2(3):207. [PubMed: 1467758]
- Geronimus AT. Black/white differences in the relationship of maternal age to birthweight: a population-based test of the weathering hypothesis. *Social science & medicine*. 1996; 42(4):589–597. [PubMed: 8643983]
- Glass TA, Mc Atee MJ. Behavioral science at the crossroads in public health: extending horizons, envisioning the future. *Social science & medicine*. 2006; 62(7):1650–1671. [PubMed: 16198467]
- Grady SC. Racial disparities in low birthweight and the contribution of residential segregation: a multilevel analysis. *Social science & medicine*. 2006; 63(12):3013–3029. [PubMed: 16996670]
- Gunnar M, Quevedo K. The neurobiology of stress and development. *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2007; 58:145–173. [PubMed: 16903808]
- Hagan J, MacMillan R, Wheaton B. New kid in town: Social capital and the life course effects of family migration on children. *American sociological review*. 1996:368–385.
- Halfon N, Hochstein M. Life course health development: an integrated framework for developing health, policy, and research. *Milbank Quarterly*. 2002; 80(3):433–479. [PubMed: 12233246]
- Hamilton BE, Martin JA, Osterman M, Curtin S, Matthews T. Births: Final Data for 2014. National vital statistics reports: from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, National Vital Statistics System. 2015; 64(12):1–64.
- Harris KM, Halpern CT, Hussey J, Whitsel EA, Killea-Jones L, Tabor J, Elder G, Hewitt J, Shanahan M, Williams R, Siegler I, Smolen A. Social, behavioral, and genetic linkages from adolescence into adulthood. *Am J Public Health*. 2013; 103(1):S25–32. [PubMed: 23927505]
- Hartley D. Rural health disparities, population health, and rural culture. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2004; 94(10):1675–1678. [PubMed: 15451729]
- Hartup WW. Social relationships and their developmental significance. *American psychologist*. 1989; 44(2):120.
- Harville EW, Boynton-Jarrett R, Power C, Hypponen E. Childhood hardship, maternal smoking, and birth outcomes: A prospective cohort study. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*. 2010; 164(6):533. [PubMed: 20530303]
- Harville EW, Madkour AS, Xie Y. Predictors of Birth Weight and Gestational Age Among Adolescents. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2012; 176(suppl 7):S150–S163. [PubMed: 23035139]
- Hausmann MF, Heidinger BJ. Telomere dynamics may link stress exposure and ageing across generations. *Biology letters*. 2015; 11(11):20150396. [PubMed: 26538535]

- Hertzman C. The biological embedding of early experience and its effects on health in adulthood. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2006; 896(1):85–95.
- Hertzman C, Boyce T. How experience gets under the skin to create gradients in developmental health. *Annual Review of Public Health*. 2010; 31:329–347.
- Hertzman, C., Power, C., Heymann, J., Hertzman, C., Barer, ML., Evans, RG. *Healthier Societies: From Analysis to Actio*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2006. A Life Course Approach to Health and Human Development; p. 83-106.
- Hobel CJ, Dunkel-Schetter C, Roesch SC, Castro LC, Arora CP. Maternal plasma corticotropin-releasing hormone associated with stress at 20 weeks' gestation in pregnancies ending in preterm delivery. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*. 1999; 180(1):S257–S263. [PubMed: 9914629]
- Hogue CJ, Hoffman S, Hatch MC. Stress and preterm delivery: a conceptual framework. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology*. 2001; 15(s2):30–40. [PubMed: 11520398]
- Hollingshaus MS, Coon H, Crowell SE, Gray DD, Hanson HA, Pimentel R, Smith KR. Differential Vulnerability to Early-Life Parental Death: The Moderating Effects of Family Suicide History on Risks for Major Depression and Substance Abuse in Later Life. *Biodemography and social biology*. 2016; 62(1):105–125. [PubMed: 27050036]
- Holt-Lunstad J, Smith TB, Layton JB. Social relationships and mortality risk: a meta-analytic review. *PLoS Med*. 2010; 7(7):e1000316. [PubMed: 20668659]
- Holtz B, Smock A, Reyes-Gastelum D. Connected Motherhood: Social Support for Moms and Moms-to-Be on Facebook. *Telemed J E Health*. 2015; 21(5):415–421. [PubMed: 25665177]
- Holzman C, Eyster J, Tiedje LB, Roman LA, Seagull E, Rahbar MH. A life course perspective on depressive symptoms in mid-pregnancy. *Matern Child Health J*. 2006; 10(2):127–138. [PubMed: 16400535]
- Hostinar CE, Gunnar MR. The developmental effects of early life stress an overview of current theoretical frameworks. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*. 2013; 22(5):400–406. [PubMed: 25419054]
- Hrdy SB. Allomothers across Species, across Cultures, and through Time. *Substitute parents: Biological and social perspectives on alloparenting in human societies*. 2009; 3
- Kane JB. An Integrative Model of Inter- and Intragenerational Preconception Processes Influencing Birthweight in the United States. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*. 2015; 56(2):246–261. [PubMed: 25953279]
- Kane JB. Marriage Advantages in Perinatal Health: Evidence of Marriage Selection or Marriage Protection? *Journal of Marriage and Family*. 2016; 78(1):212–229. [PubMed: 26778858]
- Kane, JB., Miles, G., Yourkavitch, J., King, K. *Society for Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology*. Miami, FL: 2016. *The Role of Neighborhoods in Perinatal Health Outcomes and Maternal Health Behaviors: Going Beyond Disadvantage, Incorporating Affluence*.
- Kawachi, I., Berkman, LF. *Neighborhoods and Health*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2003.
- Kelly R, Holzman C, Senagore P, Wang J, Tian Y, Rahbar M, Chung H. Placental vascular pathology findings and pathways to preterm delivery. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2009; 170(2):148–158. [PubMed: 19509320]
- Khashan A, McNamee R, Abel K, Mortensen PB, Kenny L, Pedersen MG, Webb R, Baker P. Rates of preterm birth following antenatal maternal exposure to severe life events: a population-based cohort study. *Human Reproduction*. 2009; 24(2):429–437. [PubMed: 19054778]
- Kimbro RT. Together forever? Romantic relationship characteristics and prenatal health behaviors. *Journal of Marriage and Family*. 2008; 70(3):745–757.
- Knab, JT., Mc Lanahan, S., Hofferth, SL., Casper, LM. *Handbook of Measurement Issues in Family Research*. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc; 2006. *Measuring cohabitation: Does how, when, and who you ask matter*; p. 19-33.
- Kramer MR, Hogue CR. What causes racial disparities in very preterm birth? A biosocial perspective. *Epidemiologic Reviews*. 2009; 31(1):84–98. [PubMed: 19477907]
- Kramer MS, Goulet L, Lydon J, Séguin L, McNamara H, Dassa C, Platt RW, Fong Chen M, Gauthier H, Genest J. Socio-economic disparities in preterm birth: causal pathways and mechanisms. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology*. 2001; 15(s2):104–123. [PubMed: 11520404]

- Kramer MS, Lydon J, Goulet L, Kahn S, Dahhou M, Platt RW, Sharma S, Meaney MJ, Seguin L. Maternal stress/distress, hormonal pathways and spontaneous preterm birth. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology*. 2013; 27(3):237–246. [PubMed: 23574411]
- Kramer MS, Lydon J, Séguin L, Goulet L, Kahn SR, McNamara H, Genest J, Dassa C, Chen MF, Sharma S. Stress pathways to spontaneous preterm birth: the role of stressors, psychological distress, and stress hormones. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2009; 169(11):1319–1326. [PubMed: 19363098]
- Krieger N. Embodiment: a conceptual glossary for epidemiology. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*. 2005; 59(5):350–355. [PubMed: 15831681]
- Krieger, N. *Embodying inequality: epidemiologic perspectives*. Baywood Publishing Company Inc; 2005.
- Kristenson M, Eriksen HR, Sluiter JK, Starke D, Ursin H. Psychobiological mechanisms of socioeconomic differences in health. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2004; 58(8):1511–1522. [PubMed: 14759694]
- Kuh D, Ben-Shlomo Y, Lynch J, Hallqvist J, Power C. Life course epidemiology. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*. 2003; 57(10):778. [PubMed: 14573579]
- Kuh, D., Shlomo, YB. *A life course approach to chronic diseases epidemiology*. Oxford University Press; USA: 2004.
- Lacey RE, Kumari M, Bartley M. Social isolation in childhood and adult inflammation: Evidence from the National Child Development Study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2014; 50:85–94. [PubMed: 25197797]
- Landale NS, Oropesa R. Father involvement in the lives of mainland Puerto Rican children: Contributions of nonresident, cohabiting and married fathers. *Social Forces*. 2001; 79(3):945–968.
- Lhila A, Long S. What is driving the black–white difference in low birthweight in the US? *Health Economics*. 2012; 21(3):301–315. [PubMed: 21294220]
- Lien DS, Evans WN. Estimating the impact of large cigarette tax hikes. *Journal of Human Resources*. 2005; 40(2):373–392.
- Link BG, Phelan J. Social conditions as fundamental causes of disease. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*. 1995:80–94. [PubMed: 7560851]
- Love C, David RJ, Rankin KM, Collins JW Jr. Exploring weathering: effects of lifelong economic environment and maternal age on low birth weight, small for gestational age, and preterm birth in African-American and white women. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2010; 172(2):127–134. [PubMed: 20576757]
- Lu MC, Halfon N. Racial and ethnic disparities in birth outcomes: a life-course perspective. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*. 2003; 7(1):13–30. [PubMed: 12710797]
- Lu MC, Tache V, Alexander GR, Kotelchuck M, Halfon N. Preventing low birth weight: is prenatal care the answer? *Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine*. 2003; 13(6):362–380. [PubMed: 12962261]
- Madkour AS, Xie Y, Harville EW. Pre-pregnancy dating violence and birth outcomes among adolescent mothers in a national sample. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*. 2014; 29(10):1894–1913. [PubMed: 24366966]
- Manning WD, Smock PJ. Measuring and Modeling Cohabitation: New Perspectives from Qualitative Data. *Journal of Marriage and Family*. 2005; 67(4):989–1002.
- Margerison-Zilko C, Cubbin C, Jun J, Marchi K, Fingar K, Braveman P. Beyond the cross-sectional: neighborhood poverty histories and preterm birth. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2015; 105(6):1174–1180. [PubMed: 25880941]
- Margerison-Zilko C, Strutz KL, Li Y, Holzman C. Stressors Across the Life-Course and Preterm Delivery: Evidence From a Pregnancy Cohort. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*. 2016:1–11.
- Margerison-Zilko C. The contribution of maternal birth cohort to term small for gestational age in the united states 1989-2010: an age, period, and cohort analysis. *Paediatric and perinatal epidemiology*. 2014; 28(4):312–321. [PubMed: 24806807]
- Marmot M. Social determinants of health inequalities. *The Lancet*. 2005; 365(9464):1099–1104.

- McDade TW. Early environments and the ecology of inflammation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2012; 109(Supplement 2):17281–17288.
- McEwen BS. Central effects of stress hormones in health and disease: Understanding the protective and damaging effects of stress and stress mediators. *European journal of pharmacology*. 2008; 583(2):174–185. [PubMed: 18282566]
- McFarland MJ, McLanahan SS, Goosby BJ, Reichman NE. Grandparents' Education and Infant Health: Pathways Across Generations. *Journal of Marriage and Family*. In press.
- McLeod, JD., Almazan, EP., Mortimer, JT., Shanahan, MJ. *Handbook of the Life Course*. New York: Springer; 2003. Connections between childhood and adulthood; p. 391-411.
- Messer LC, Kaufman JS, Dole N, Savitz DA, Laraia BA. Neighborhood crime, deprivation, and preterm birth. *Annals of Epidemiology*. 2006; 16(6):455–462. [PubMed: 16290179]
- Messer LC, Laraia BA, Kaufman JS, Eyster J, Holzman C, Culhane J, Elo I, Burke JG, O'campo P. The development of a standardized neighborhood deprivation index. *Journal of Urban Health*. 2006; 83(6):1041–1062. [PubMed: 17031568]
- Miller GE, Chen E. Harsh family climate in early life presages the emergence of a proinflammatory phenotype in adolescence. *Psychological Science*. 2010; 21(6):848–856. [PubMed: 20431047]
- Miltenburg EM, van der Meer TW. Lingering neighbourhood effects: A framework to account for residential histories and temporal dynamics. *Urban Studies*. 2016 0042098016639012.
- Misra DP, Guyer B, Allston A. Integrated perinatal health framework: A multiple determinants model with a life span approach. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2003; 25(1):65–75. [PubMed: 12818312]
- Morello-Frosch R, Shenassa ED. The environmental “riskscape” and social inequality: implications for explaining maternal and child health disparities. *Environmental health perspectives*. 2006; 1150–1153. [PubMed: 16882517]
- Morenoff JD. Neighborhood Mechanisms and the Spatial Dynamics of Birth Weight. *American Journal of Sociology*. 2003; 108(5):976–1017.
- Moss JL, Harris KM. Impact of maternal and paternal preconception health on birth outcomes using prospective couples' data in Add Health. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2015; 291(2):287–298. [PubMed: 25367598]
- Nerum H, Halvorsen L, Straume B, Sørli T, Øian P. Different labour outcomes in primiparous women that have been subjected to childhood sexual abuse or rape in adulthood: a case–control study in a clinical cohort. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*. 2013; 120(4):487–495. [PubMed: 23157417]
- Noll JG, Schulkin J, Trickett PK, Susman EJ, Breech L, Putnam FW. Differential pathways to preterm delivery for sexually abused and comparison women. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*. 2007; 32(10):1238–1248. [PubMed: 17569710]
- Oakes JM. The (mis) estimation of neighborhood effects: causal inference for a practicable social epidemiology. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2004; 58(10):1929–1952. [PubMed: 15020009]
- Orr ST, James SA, Prince CB. Maternal prenatal depressive symptoms and spontaneous preterm births among African-American women in Baltimore, Maryland. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2002; 156(9):797–802. [PubMed: 12396996]
- Padilla YC, Reichman NE. Low birthweight: Do unwed fathers help? *Children and Youth Services Review*. 2001; 23(4):427–452.
- Pallotto EK, Kilbride HW. Perinatal outcome and later implications of intrauterine growth restriction. *Clin Obstet Gynecol*. 2006; 49(2):257–269. [PubMed: 16721105]
- Pearlin LI. The sociological study of stress. *Journal of health and social behavior*. 1989:241–256. [PubMed: 2674272]
- Pearlin, LI. *Handbook of the sociology of mental health*. Springer; 1999. The stress process revisited; p. 395-415.
- Pearlin LI, Menaghan EG, Lieberman MA, Mullan JT. The stress process. *Journal of Health and Social behavior*. 1981:337–356. [PubMed: 7320473]
- Phelan JC, Link BG. Is Racism a Fundamental Cause of Inequalities in Health? *Annual Review of Sociology*. 2015; 41:311–330.

- Pickett KE, Ahern JE, Selvin S, Abrams B. Neighborhood socioeconomic status, maternal race and preterm delivery: a case-control study. *Annals of epidemiology*. 2002; 12(6):410–418. [PubMed: 12160600]
- Plotnick RD, Hoffman SD. The effect of neighborhood characteristics on young adult outcomes: Alternative estimates. *Social Science Quarterly*. 1999:1–18.
- Rackin H, Gibson-Davis CM. The Role of Pre-and Postconception Relationships for First-Time Parents. *Journal of Marriage and Family*. 2012; 74(3):526–539.
- Ramey SL, Schafer P, DeClerque JL, Lanzi RG, Hobel C, Shalowitz M, Chinchilli V, Raju TN. The preconception stress and resiliency pathways model: a multi-level framework on maternal, paternal, and child health disparities derived by community-based participatory research. *Maternal and child health journal*. 2015; 19(4):707–719. [PubMed: 25070734]
- Reichman NE, Teitler JO. Timing of enhanced prenatal care and birth outcomes in New Jersey's HealthStart program. *Maternal and child health journal*. 2005; 9(2):151–158. [PubMed: 15965620]
- Repetti RL, Taylor SE, Seeman TE. Risky families: family social environments and the mental and physical health of offspring. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2002; 128(2):330. [PubMed: 11931522]
- Rich-Edwards JW, Grizzard TA. Psychosocial stress and neuroendocrine mechanisms in preterm delivery. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2005; 192(5):S30–S35. [PubMed: 15891710]
- Richardson, LJ., Hussey, JM., Strutz, KL., Kotch, JB., Gaithersburg, MD. *Maternal and Child Health: Programs, Problems, and Policy*. Aspen Publishers, Inc; 2012. A Life Course Perspective in *Maternal and Child Health*; p. 65-85.
- Rosenzweig MR, Schultz TP. Estimating a household production function: heterogeneity, the demand for health inputs, and their effects on birth weight. *The Journal of Political Economy*. 1983:723–746.
- Roux AVD. Estimating neighborhood health effects: the challenges of causal inference in a complex world. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2004; 58(10):1953–1960. [PubMed: 15020010]
- Russ SA, Larson K, Tullis E, Halfon N. A lifecourse approach to health development: implications for the maternal and child health research agenda. *Maternal and Child Health Journal*. 2014; 18(2): 497–510. [PubMed: 23955383]
- Sampson, RJ., Kawachi, I., Berkman, LF. *Neighborhoods and Health*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2003. Neighborhood-level context and health: lessons from sociology; p. 132-146.
- Sampson RJ, Morenoff JD, Gannon-Rowley T. Assessing “neighborhood effects”: Social processes and new directions in research. *Annual Review of Sociology*. 2002:443–478.
- Sassler S. The process of entering into cohabiting unions. *Journal of Marriage and Family*. 2004; 66(2):491–505.
- Saxton KB, John-Henderson N, Reid MW, Francis DD. The social environment and IL-6 in rats and humans. *Brain, behavior, and immunity*. 2011; 25(8):1617–1625.
- Schaaf JM, Liem SM, Mol BWJ, Abu-Hanna A, Ravelli AC. Ethnic and racial disparities in the risk of preterm birth: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *American journal of perinatology*. 2013; 30(06):433–450. [PubMed: 23059494]
- Schei B, Lukasse M, Ryding EL, Campbell J, Karro H, Kristjansdottir H, Laanpere M, Schroll AM, Tabor A, Temmerman M. A history of abuse and operative delivery-results from a European multi-country cohort study. *PloS one*. 2014; 9(1):e87579. [PubMed: 24498142]
- Schempf A, Strobino D, O'Campo P. Neighborhood effects on birthweight: an exploration of psychosocial and behavioral pathways in Baltimore 1995–1996. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2009; 68(1):100–110. [PubMed: 18995941]
- Seckl JR, Meaney MJ. Glucocorticoid programming. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2004; 1032(1):63–84. [PubMed: 15677396]
- Seeman T, Epel E, Gruenewald T, Karlamangla A, McEwen BS. Socioeconomic differentials in peripheral biology: Cumulative allostatic load. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2010; 1186(1):223–239. [PubMed: 20201875]

- Shonkoff JP, Garner AS, Siegel BS, Dobbins MI, Earls MF, McGuinn L, Pascoe J, Wood DL. The lifelong effects of early childhood adversity and toxic stress. *Pediatrics*. 2012; 129(1):e232–e246. [PubMed: 22201156]
- Shonkoff, JP., Phillips, D. From neurons to neighborhoods: The science of early childhood development. National Academies Press; 2000.
- Singh-Manoux A, Marmot M. Role of socialization in explaining social inequalities in health. *Social science & medicine*. 2005; 60(9):2129–2133. [PubMed: 15743660]
- Strutz KL, Hogan VK, Siega-Riz AM, Suchindran CM, Halpern CT, Hussey JM. Preconception Stress, Birth Weight, and Birth Weight Disparities Among US Women. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2014; 104(8):e125–e132. [PubMed: 24922164]
- Subramanian S. The relevance of multilevel statistical methods for identifying causal neighborhood effects. *Social science & medicine*. 2004; 58(10):1961–1967. [PubMed: 15020011]
- Syme, SL., Berkman, LF., Conrad, P. The Sociology of Health and Illness: Critical perspectives. New York, NY: Worth Publishers; 1986. Social class, susceptibility, and sickness; p. 24-29.
- Teitler JO, Weiss CC. Effects of neighborhood and school environments on transitions to first sexual intercourse. *Sociology of Education*. 2000:112–132.
- Thayer ZM, Kuzawa CW. Biological memories of past environments: epigenetic pathways to health disparities. *Epigenetics*. 2011; 6(7):798–803. [PubMed: 21597338]
- Thoits PA. Conceptual, methodological, and theoretical problems in studying social support as a buffer against life stress. *Journal of Health and Social behavior*. 1982:145–159. [PubMed: 7108180]
- Thoits PA. Multiple identities and psychological well-being: A reformulation and test of the social isolation hypothesis. *American Sociological Review*. 1983:174–187. [PubMed: 6859677]
- Thoits PA. Stress and health major findings and policy implications. *Journal of health and social behavior*. 2010; 51(1 suppl):S41–S53. [PubMed: 20943582]
- Wallace ME, Mendola P, Liu D, Grantz KL. Joint Effects of Structural Racism and Income Inequality on Small-for-Gestational-Age Birth. *American journal of public health*. 2015; 105(8):1681–1688. [PubMed: 26066964]
- Walton E. Residential segregation and birth weight among racial and ethnic minorities in the United States. *Journal of health and social behavior*. 2009; 50(4):427–442. [PubMed: 20099449]
- Warnecke RB, Oh A, Breen N, Gehlert S, Paskett E, Tucker KL, Lurie N, Rebbeck T, Goodwin J, Flack J. Approaching health disparities from a population perspective: the National Institutes of Health Centers for Population Health and Health Disparities. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2008; 98(9):1608–1615. [PubMed: 18633099]
- Wehby GL, Fletcher JM, Lehrer SF, Moreno LM, Murray JC, Wilcox A, Lie RT. A Genetic Instrumental Variables Analysis of the Effects of Prenatal Smoking on Birth Weight: Evidence from Two Samples. *Biodemography and Social Biology*. 2011; 57(1):3–32. [PubMed: 21845925]
- Witt WP, Cheng ER, Wisk LE, Litzelman K, Chatterjee D, Mandell K, Wakeel F. Maternal stressful life events prior to conception and the impact on infant birth weight in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2014; 104(S1):S81–S89. [PubMed: 24354829]
- Witt WP, Cheng ER, Wisk LE, Litzelman K, Chatterjee D, Mandell K, Wakeel F. Preterm birth in the United States: the impact of stressful life events prior to conception and maternal age. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2014; 104(S1):S73–S80. [PubMed: 24354830]
- Yang YC, Boen C, Gerken K, Li T, Schorpp K, Harris KM. Social relationships and physiological determinants of longevity across the human life span. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2016; 113(3):578–583.
- Zuckerman B, Amaro H, Bauchner H, Cabral H. Depressive symptoms during pregnancy: relationship to poor health behaviors. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*. 1989; 160(5):1107–1111. [PubMed: 2729387]

Figure.
Conceptual Framework Describing How Two Key Preconception Social Conditions that are Embedded within a Broader Social, Structural, Economic, and Historical Landscape May Influence Perinatal Health