Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2018 January 30; 57(4): 383–389. doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.7b00872.

Collaborating with Undergraduates to Contribute to Biochemistry Community Resources

Kathryn L. Haas^{*,1}, Jennifer M. Heemstra², Marnix H. Medema³, and Louise K. Charkoudian^{*,4}

¹Department of Chemistry & Physics, Saint Mary's College, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, United States ²Department of Chemistry, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, United States ³Bioinformatics Group, Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6708PB, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands ⁴Department of Chemistry, Haverford College, Haverford, Pennsylvania 19041-1392, United States

Abstract

Course-based undergraduate research experiences (CUREs) have gained traction as effective ways to expand the impact of undergraduate research while fulfilling pedagogical goals. In this Perspective, we present innovative ways to incorporate fundamental benefits and principles of CURE courses into a classroom environment through information/technology-based research projects that lead to student-generated contributions to digital community resources. These “CoRe” projects represent an attractive class of CUREs because they are less resource-intensive than laboratory-based CUREs, and the projects align with the expectations of today's students to create rapid and publically viewable contributions to society. We provide a detailed discussion of two example types of CoRe projects that can be implemented in courses to impact research and education at the chemistry-biology interface: bioinformatics annotations and development of educational tools. Finally, we present current resources available for faculty interested in incorporating CUREs or CoRe projects into their pedagogical practices. In sharing these stories and resources, we hope to decrease the barrier for widespread adoption of CURE/CoRe approaches and generate discussions about how to utilize the classroom experience to make a positive impact on our students and the future of the field of biochemistry.

The shifting state of biochemistry

The way we teach biochemistry to undergraduates is undergoing a paradigm shift. Gone are the days in which the biological significance of chemistry is squeezed into the last week of an organic chemistry course. Biochemistry is front and center: it is a foundational subdiscipline as defined by the American Chemical Society, and the biological relevance of chemistry is now a core competency defined by the American Academy of Medical Colleges (AAMC).^{1–5} These changes have sparked innovation in the classroom to emphasize the breadth and relevance of the chemistry-biology interface.⁶ These developments also reflect

* lcharkou@haverford.edu (LKC); khaas@saintmarys.edu (KLH).

our new audience: Today's college students come from the cohort commonly referred to as "Millennials", a population described as "optimistic", "confident", and "always connected".⁷ Students do not want to wait to know the usefulness and applicability of core concepts, and they arrive at college eager to make meaningful contributions to their communities. They are looking for earlier entry into research, and thus course-based undergraduate research experiences (CUREs) are emerging as a means to engage students while fulfilling the evolving pedagogical goals of biochemistry education.

In this *Perspective*, we discuss an emerging class of CUREs that focus on information/technology-based research projects that lead to digital contributions to community resources (CoRes). We provide a detailed discussion of two types of CoRe projects that can impact the chemistry-biology interface: bioinformatics and educational tools. Finally, to generate ongoing discussions about these approaches to teaching biochemistry, we present resources available for faculty to share and view documents and details related to biochemistry CUREs and CoRe projects.

Collaborating with undergraduate students through course-based research experiences

Historically, the ways in which undergraduate students have directly and positively impacted the field of biochemistry is via undergraduate research opportunities in faculty research labs, which can lead to publication.^{8,9} These traditional research internships benefit both students and faculty,^{10,11} but the critical challenge remains that most institutes lack the capacity needed to accommodate every interested undergraduate student in a faculty lab. Incorporating research activities into coursework provides a powerful solution to this challenge along with many other benefits for both faculty and students. As a result, increasing numbers of faculty have been inspired to "throw away the cookbook"¹² and implement CUREs in their lab courses. Table 1 highlights selected examples of CUREs at the interface of biology and chemistry, demonstrating that this pedagogical approach is viable across different institutional contexts and can focus on a range of course themes. The table was generated from responses to a call for CURE examples on the CURE Facebook group page "Adding Research to a Class". The faculty listed in the table encourage readers to reach out to them for more information about implementing CUREs and/or potential collaborations.

The CUREs listed in Table 1 primarily emerged from the scholarly interests and teaching needs of individual faculty and have been implemented solely at their institution. In addition to these individual efforts, open source CUREs have been initiated, with the goal of engaging large cohorts of undergraduate students from around the world in research. For example, Science Education Alliance-Phage Hunters Advancing Genomics and Evolutionary Science ("SEA-PHAGES"; <https://seaphages.org>) and the Genomics Education Partnership ("GEP"; <http://gep.wustl.edu>) represent CUREs that bring together faculty and undergraduates from multiple institutions in pursuit of genomics-based research goals. Drugs for Neglected Diseases *initiative* (www.dndi.org) and Open Source Malaria (<http://opensourcemalaria.org>), are both open source CUREs aimed at pursuing new drugs to

combat diseases that impact the developing world. These crowdsourcing opportunities align closely with the ideals of many undergraduates, as they offer the opportunity to contribute tangibly to global public health. And, since the projects come with established research design and well-defined goals, the barrier to implementation in the classroom is relatively low.

Across all institutional contexts and CURE formats, a key goal is to replicate at least some elements of the traditional research experience.¹⁰ To this end, CURE curricula can include instruction on research design and methods, and often engage students in reading of the primary research literature. These skills can be taught during the CURE itself, or during a pre-CURE course that is intended to prepare students for the open-endedness of the research experience. The outputs of traditional undergraduate research experiences can also be replicated in a CURE. Students may present their data in the format of a journal article or during a poster session, and there are examples of CUREs that produce publications in peer-reviewed journals with the students from the course listed as co-authors.^{13–18}

It is important to note that because encountering unexpected outcomes is an inescapable aspect of research, it is highly likely that students in CUREs will experience “failed” experiments. For students who are acclimated to lab courses having prescriptive curricula and pre-defined experimental outcomes, adapting to the idea of ambiguity or short-term failure may require some coaching. The course instructor and teaching assistants can facilitate this process by talking openly about the challenges that naturally arise as a part of the research process. Additionally, it is important that the student evaluation (i.e. grading) process focuses on conceptual understanding and effort, rather than success in specific experiments. Students may require frequent reassurance that unexpected obstacles are an inherent part of research, and will not negatively impact their grade in the course. The prospect of “unsuccessful experiments” may at first appear to be a drawback of CUREs. However, we argue that learning how to cope with perceived failure is a critical skill that will benefit students in their future careers, and CUREs offer an ideal setting for students to gain resiliency.

Community Resource (CoRe) projects enable students to make rapid contributions to scientific progress

In this new technological era of biochemistry, we see an opportunity to expand the impact of undergraduate work to digital contributions to online community resources (CoRes). CoRes are information/technology-based projects that create publically available products. CoRe projects represent an attractive class of CUREs because they are less resource intensive than laboratory-based CUREs, and the projects align with the expectations of today’s students to create products that are immediately viewable by a public audience.

Student contributions to bioinformatics CoRes

Existing biosynthetic repositories are being flooded with information, creating a need to make past and future information available in a standardized and systematic format.¹⁹ Moreover, as the field of biochemistry continues to converge disciplines, the siloed nature of

existing repositories creates an additional challenge for scientists. As such, there is an emerging need to deploy resources to tackle data annotation and organization in order to prevent researchers from drowning or getting lost in their own data.

These unmet needs within the field of biochemistry present opportunities to engage students in meaningful research while learning about bioinformatics and enzymology. For example, in 2008 a distributed grid of 515 undergraduate students conducted detailed functional and phylogenetic analyses to annotate metagenomics sequences.²⁰ The student-generated results proved to be surprisingly reliable after validation. The course has now been run by dozens of student teams from a wide range of universities based on the Annotathon online training environment (<http://annotathon.org/>), and an open course with 1,291 students is currently under way. The Annotathon project shows promise in making contributions to the field of biochemistry, as a similar project led to the discovery of a reductase that decreases triclosan susceptibility in *Escherichia coli*.²¹ The engagement of large numbers of students is powerful because it allows leveraging the ‘wisdom of the crowds’.²² Notably, whereas citizen-science crowdsourcing of bioinformatics challenges often suffer from participants paying transient interest,²³ this is not expected to be an issue for bioinformatics-based undergraduate CoRe projects. Undergraduate students tend to remain productive due to their dedicated time on task and motivation to complete a project that is part of a course.²²

The ‘wisdom of the crowds’ was also exploited in a CoRe project focused on the standardization of data on fungal gene cluster involved in the biosynthesis of natural products.¹⁵ While these gene clusters were described somewhere in literature, information was not readily usable because the data were published prior to the establishment of the Minimum Information about a Biosynthetic Gene cluster (MIBiG; <https://mibig.secondarymetabolites.org>) standard.¹⁹ The MIBiG standard facilitates consistent annotations of such gene clusters to be deposited to an online, freely accessible, and computer-readable repository by researchers upon submitting a paper. In a CoRe project, undergraduate students had the opportunity to make a major contribution to scientific progress: a panel of 19 students analyzed 275 research papers, which led to the detailed annotation of the genetic basis for the biosynthetic pathways of 128 natural product families. In the end, the results allowed for a detailed analysis of the phylogenetic distribution of known and unknown fungal biosynthetic pathways. The products of this CoRe project offered clear direction to experimental research aimed at the discovery of novel fungal natural products.

In general, many annotation problems at the interface of biochemistry and bioinformatics are amenable to such CURE approaches, as they allow students to practice coupling bioinformatic tools with detailed biochemical interpretation and discovery. In principle, these efforts could even be organized within massive online open courses (MOOCs), as long as the learning experience is thoughtfully integrated into the work the students perform. Bioinformatics CoRe projects implemented through MOOCs could involve thousands of students worldwide and enable data analyses on a scale and with a quality unattainable either by research groups or by automated efforts.

Student contributions to pedagogical CoRes

As technology continues to play a central role in the way in which students and experts access and learn new information,^{24–27} there will remain an ongoing demand for the development and maintenance of web-based and open-access pedagogical resources. Like the data repositories discussed above, open-access knowledge-sharing platforms benefit from the “wisdom of many” paradigm while creating the need for contributions and maintenance from the many.²⁸ These growing needs provide opportunities for faculty and students to create and share knowledge resources with the broader community through CoRe projects. Table 2 highlights three specific examples of digital open access information platforms that are amenable to contributions through CoRe projects: Wikipedia (www.wikipedia.org), YouTube (www.youtube.com), and LibreTexts (née ChemWiki; <https://chem.libretexts.org>).

Classroom CoRe projects that focus on contributing to digital educational resources enable students to develop skills in information literacy, critical research, teamwork, and technology.³² To engage students in contributing to open-access knowledge resources through course projects, Wikipedia established the Wiki Education Foundation (teach.wikiedu.org).^{27,33} The barriers to integrating Wikipedia projects into coursework are decreased significantly by the support that Wiki Ed provides to students and faculty who formally participate in classroom projects. Instructors are provided with a course dashboard for managing student assignments and training modules to help students learn about Wikipedia’s standard of conduct. Access to dedicated Wikipedia experts (Wikipedia Ambassadors) further facilitates student editing and content generation. In the Fall semester of 2016, more than 6,000 students in 270 courses across all disciplines, including biochemistry, contributed to Wikipedia through CoRe projects.²⁷

Alternative digital venues for CoRe projects include LibreText (a free, interdisciplinary, and customizable online textbook)³⁴ and YouTube. As a specific example of a YouTube CoRe project, students in a laboratory-based CURE were challenged with the task to make a video to teach a technique used within the course; a video they wished they had as a resource before or during the course to help them learn this technique.³⁰ The students created videos on topics ranging from correct micro-pipetting to determination of metal-peptide affinity constants. The videos are posted on YouTube for use by the general public and these YouTube videos are linked to the course management site so that they can be used by future students enrolled in the course.

In an example of a classroom CoRe project utilizing both YouTube and LibreText, students completed comprehensive literature research and writing assignments for public dissemination on both platforms. Eight students enrolled in the course chose a topic selected or approved by the instructor, on which they would build several resources over the semester. Students worked individually to produce original figures, a narrated molecular animation video, and a 3D-print file, in addition to a written article. Students produced several drafts of each product and were provided formative assessment with the goal of producing high-quality “publication-worthy” materials. Five out of eight projects met this requirement. Publication-worthy videos were posted to YouTube and were made accessible to diverse audiences by including student-generated transcripts. These videos, as well as corresponding

figures and writing, were posted on LibreText in a chapter of the course's digital textbook. Less than one year later, the five student-generated videos have received over 3,000 cumulative views on YouTube.

Advantages of CURE and CoRe projects

What CUREs and CoRe activities have in common is that they engage students and faculty in meaningful work that is useful to a broader community outside of their classroom or laboratory. In this way, CUREs and CoRe projects are research-like enterprises that provide students with some of the same advantages that have been documented for students and faculty engaging in undergraduate research endeavors.³⁵ Additionally, these course-based activities are intrinsically project-based and active learning practices which increase student buy-in and improve student performance, learning, and skill development.^{36,37} Several distinct benefits of CoRe projects include: i) the work can be accomplished in the context of classroom seminar-style courses, ii) student products are made available on the timescale of the course (rather than after multiple years, which is more common for CUREs leading to publications), iii) cost is relatively low compared to laboratory-based CUREs, and iv) students gain an immediate and tangible sense of contributing to the greater good. Moreover, in general, today's students are technology enthusiasts, and are eager to learn from, and contribute to, online resources.

Advantages for student learning and future success

A growing body of evidence demonstrates that CUREs and CoRe projects increase students' learning while simultaneously increasing their engagement and satisfaction with course assignments.^{10,11} Students involved in course-based activities like those discussed above report that they value assignments, are more proud of their work, spend more time on assignments, and learn more digital literacy and writing skills compared to traditional course assignments.²⁷ Many of today's students desire to understand the application of skills and knowledge before investment in learning, and therefore it is not surprising that these students value CUREs and CoRe exercises more than traditional course assignments (e.g. traditional writing assignments, cookbook laboratory experiments).²⁷ The generation of content for an public audience makes students members of the scholarly community and helps them recognize the tangible benefits of their work to this broader community. These course activities also produce skills that help students succeed in their life, scholarship, and careers. In our own experiences, students report that their experiences with CURE and CoRes helped them find clarity of purpose in their career path, increased their problem-solving skills and tolerance to failure, and strengthened their confidence.^{17,38,39}

Advantages for faculty

Faculty are more satisfied with their work when it is meaningful and when their students are both productive and happy. Aside from general satisfaction with their teaching activities, CURE and CoRe projects can enhance the faculty member's scholarly activity.¹¹ As faculty are pulled in many directions, the consolidation of faculty teaching and research efforts is critical to sustainable success. If faculty can accomplish high-impact teaching in the same activities which provide research momentum and/or contribute to local or broader

community through CUREs and CoRe projects, it is a more efficient use of faculty resources compared to siloed efforts. Through CoRe courses, faculty can also leverage student time to accomplish improvements in pedagogical materials, which not only improves their own course resources, but provides resources for other faculty and students in and outside of their own institutions.

Advantages for institutions and the community

The outcomes of research-like course activities discussed here are in line with the missions of higher education institutions and serve both the institutions and the broader community through satisfying students, faculty, donors, and the community. When faculty and students engage in CUREs and CoRe projects, they are more satisfied with their teaching and learning, leading to increased retention of both students and faculty. Active-learning and research-like practices especially increase the engagement, retention, and success of underrepresented minorities and women in STEM fields compared to traditional laboratory or lecture courses.^{36,40–42} Thus, CUREs and CoRe activities benefit institutions and society by attracting and retaining diversity in STEM. Further, CUREs and CoRe products like research articles, database annotations, and pedagogical materials are visible examples of excellence in teaching, scholarship, and service at an institution. These products can be used to advertise the excellence of an institution's scholarship and teaching for the purpose of recruiting students and in fundraising.

High-quality products of CUREs and CoRe projects benefit the community by making information publicly accessible. In 2008, the Higher Education Opportunity Act called for an alternative to high-cost textbooks that impede access to education for students with limited financial resources. By answering this call, the products of CoRe projects can make education more accessible to all students.⁴³

Assessing the value of CURE and CoRe projects

As CUREs gain traction in colleges and universities worldwide, there is a growing body of resources to aid in assessing the benefits of these activities to faculty, students, and the progression of research. Contributions of CoRe projects to the field of biochemistry can be in part measured by metrics, such as the number of entries into a particular biochemical database, or the number of views of educational materials. In terms of student growth, a recent article provides a practical guide for assessing CUREs, highlighting over thirty different strategies that can be employed by instructors.⁴⁴ Many of these strategies are amenable to the assessment of undergraduate-led CoRe contributions, basing the selection of a particular tool on the primary goals of the exercise. These resources, as well as customized surveys and reflective student portfolios,⁴⁵ can be combined to achieve a holistic approach to assess the outcomes of CURE and classroom CoRe projects. Instructors are encouraged to assess their own experience as well to evaluate how CUREs and CoRe projects impact their teaching and scholarly work.

Challenges and Opportunities

While there is strong evidence that CUREs bring significant benefits to both students and faculty,¹¹ change often meets resistance.⁴⁶ Common barriers to implementation include fiscal constraints, pressure from institutional culture and tradition, time investment, and logistics. While the time and effort required to establish and maintain a CURE/CoRe varies by topic, we have found that the success of any CURE/CoRe depends on entering into the course with all requisite materials prepared, a clear vision, an organized plan of attack, a handful of backup plans, and a flexible attitude. It also helps to define in advance how (and when) the student-generated data will be curated, vetted, refined, and written up for public dissemination.

In order to readily assess student-generated data, we recommend that faculty incorporate CUREs or CoRe projects related to their scholarly work such that they can appropriately assess the outcome of student efforts. In the case of contributing to online repositories such as MiBIG, one can reduce faculty workload by introducing an element of random redundancy such that each annotation is assigned to two or more students. Any entry supported by all independent students can be viewed as confirmed, thus reducing the number of entries that need to be manually examined by an expert. This sort of approach has been utilized successfully in the past in the undergraduate-led curation and analysis of fungal gene biosynthetic gene clusters of published natural products described above as well as various other studies.^{15,20,47}

One model for overcoming the burden of time and effort required to establish and maintain a CURE/CoRe project is to incorporate either the development or completion of the project into undergraduate senior thesis or summer research. In this context, individual undergraduates can build foundations for projects that can be deployed in CURE/CoRe environments, or can focus their efforts on curating data from a previously implemented CURE/CoRes to bring a project to completion. These projects can be particularly rewarding for students with interests at the intersection of science and education.

The impact and importance of CUREs in chemistry was recently the focus of a National Science Foundation funded think tank, which highlighted benefits of incorporating biochemistry CUREs on the institutional level.⁴⁶ These benefits—which include increasing graduation rates, broadening inclusion of students to build a more diverse community, increasing research productivity, and building institutional reputations—should provide fodder for institutional buy-in. Fiscal and temporal support from institutions and funding agencies will undoubtedly play a critical role in the future of CUREs in biochemistry. For those who are interested in incorporating original research in the classroom but limited by financial constraints, an attractive path forward could be focusing on digital contributions to community resources through CoRe projects. The bioinformatics and pedagogical CoRe projects described above do not require funds to implement. Moreover, they can be implemented in a diverse range of settings, including lecture-, seminar- and lab-style courses.

Summary and Outlook

We hope that by sharing stories about CUREs and CoRe projects, these methods of teaching will be adopted by those interested in collaborating with undergraduates in new ways, and thus impacting the future of biochemistry through this type of coursework. For support in incorporating CUREs into practice, we point the reader to CUREnet (<https://curennet.cns.utexas.edu>), a network of people and programs that are creating CUREs in biology, the Center for the Integration of Research, Teaching and Learning (<https://www.cirtl.net>), the CURE FaceBook community, and recent publications and pamphlets.^{46,48,49} Faculty listed in Table 1 also agreed to be available to discuss their projects and share materials. For those interested in the CoRe projects mentioned above, in many cases information on how to get involved can be found on their respective websites. The corresponding authors of this *Perspective* are also available to facilitate initiating a new, or collaborating on existing, CoRe projects. While the time it takes to develop and execute a CURE or CoRe project can be daunting, faculty who have made this investment report that is worth it—they find increased enjoyment and productivity in their work.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge generous support from the Research Corporation for Science Advancement Cottrell Scholar Awards (to K.L.H. and J.M.H.), the National Institutes of Health (R15GM120704 to L.K.C.), and National Science Foundation CAREER Awards (CHE-1652424 to L.K.C. and CBET-1552961 to J.M.H.). We would like to thank those faculty listed in Table 1 for their willingness to share stories and resources on their CUREs. Finally, we are grateful to Professors Gretchen Anderson, Dorothy Feigl, and James Parise for helpful discussions.

References

1. Akerfeldt KS. New guidelines in medical education. *Biochem Mol Biol Educ*. 2009; 37:323–324. [PubMed: 21567765]
2. American Chemical Society Committee on Professional Training. Undergraduate Professional Education in Chemistry. ACS Guidelines and Evaluation Procedures for Bachelor's Degree Programs. American Chemical Society; 2015. p. 1-22.
3. Kirch D, Mitchell K, Ast C. The new 2015 MCAT testing competencies. *JAMA*. 2013; 310:2243–2244. [PubMed: 24302080]
4. McCoy AB, Darbeau RW. Revision of the ACS guidelines for bachelor's degree programs. *J Chem Ed*. 2013; 90:398–400.
5. Wenzel TJ, McCoy AB, Landis CR. An overview of the changes in the 2015 ACS guidelines for bachelor's degree programs. *J Chem Ed*. 2015; 92:965–968.
6. Charkoudian LK, Sampson NS, Kumar K, Kritzer JA. Designing convergent chemistry curricula. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2016; 12:382–386. [PubMed: 27191640]
7. Pew Research Center. Millennials a portrait of generation next. 2010:1–149.
8. Rovnyak, DS., Shields, GC. How undergraduate research drives science forward. *Inside Higher Ed*. 2017. [Online], <https://www.insidehighered.com/views/2017/07/07/undervaluation-role-undergraduate-research-advancement-scientific-knowledge-essay> (accessed Sept 1, 2017)
9. Kovarik ML. Analytical chemistry research at primarily undergraduate institutions: training tomorrow's investigators. *Anal Methods*. 2015; 7:6960–6966.
10. Auchincloss LC, Laursen SL, Branchaw JL, Eagan K, Graham M, Hanauer DI, Lawrie G, McLinn CM, Pelaez N, Rowland S, Towns M, Trautmann NM, Varma-Nelson P, Weston TJ, Dolan EL. Assessment of course-based undergraduate research experiences: a meeting report. *CBE Life Sci Educ*. 2014; 13:29–40. [PubMed: 24591501]

11. Shortlidge EE, Bangera G, Brownwell SE. Faculty perspectives on developing and teaching course-based undergraduate research experiences. *BioScience*. 2016; 66:54–63.
12. Heemstra JM, Waterman R, Antos JM, Beuning J, Bur SK, Columbus K, Feig AL, Fuller AA, Gillmore JG, Leconte AM, Londergan CH, Pomerantz WCK, Prescher JA, Stanley LM. Throwing away the cookbook: implementing course-based undergraduate research experiences (CUREs) in chemistry. *ACS Symp Ser*. 2017 In Press.
13. Anderton GI, Bangerter AS, Davis TC, Feng Z, Furtak AJ, Larsen JO, Scroggin TL, Heemstra JM. Accelerating strain-promoted azide-alkyne cycloaddition using micellar catalysis. *Bioconjug Chem*. 2015; 26:1687–1691. [PubMed: 26056848]
14. Davis DL, Price EK, Aderibigbe SO, Larkin MXH, Barlow ED, Chen R, Ford LC, Gray ZT, Gren SH, Jin Y, Keddington KS, Kent AD, Kim D, Lewis A, Marrouche RS, O'Dair MK, Powell DR, Scadden MHC, Session CB, Tao J, Trieu J, Whiteford KN, Yuan Z, Yun G, Zhu J, Heemstra JM. Effect of buffer conditions and organic cosolvents on the rate of strain-promoted azide-alkyne Cycloaddition. *J Org Chem*. 2016; 81:6816–6819. [PubMed: 27387821]
15. Li YF, Tsai KJS, Harvey CJB, Li JJ, Ary BE, Berlew EE, Boehman BL, Findley DM, Friant AG, Gardner CA, Gould MP, Ha JH, Lilley BK, McKinsty EL, Nawal S, Parry RC, Rothchild KW, Silbert SD, Tentilucci MD, Thurston AM, Wai RB, Yoon Y, Aiyar RS, Medema MH, Hillenmeyer ME, Charkoudian LK. Comprehensive curation and analysis of fungal biosynthetic gene clusters of published natural products. *Fungal Genet Biol*. 2016; 89:18–28. [PubMed: 26808821]
16. Kokona B, Winesett ES, von Krusenstiern AN, Cryle MJ, Fairman R, Charkoudian LK. Probing the selectivity of beta-hydroxylation reactions in non-ribosomal peptide synthesis using analytical ultracentrifugation. *Anal Biochem*. 2016; 495:42–51. [PubMed: 26655390]
17. Cookmeyer DL, Winesett SE, Kokona B, Huff AR, Aliev S, Bloch NB, Bulos JA, Evans IL, Fargre CR, Godbe KN, Khromava M, Konstantinovskiy DM, Lafrance A, Lamacki AJ, Parry RC, Quinn JM, Thurston AM, Tsai KJS, Mollo A, Cryle MJ, Fairman R, Charkoudian LK. Uncovering protein-protein interactions through a team-based undergraduate biochemistry course. *PLoS Biology*. 2017 In Press.
18. Gray C, Price CW, Lee CT, Dewald AH, Cline MA, McAnany CE, Columbus L, Mura C. Known structure, unknown function: An inquiry-based undergraduate biochemistry laboratory course. *Biochem Mol Biol Educ*. 2015; 43:245–262. [PubMed: 26148241]
19. Medema MH, Kottmann R, Yilmaz P, Cummings M, Biggins JB, Blin K, de Bruijn I, Chooi YH, Claesen J, Coates RC, Cruz-Morales P, Duddela S, Düsterhus S, Edwards DJ, Fewer DP, Garg N, Geiger C, Gomez-Escribano JP, Greule A, Hadjithomas M, Haines AS, Helfrich EJN, Hillwig ML, Ishida K, Jones AC, Jones CS, Jungmann K, Kegler C, Kim HU, Kötter P, Krug D, Masschelein J, Melnik AV, Mantovani SM, Monroe EA, Moore M, Moss N, Nützmänn HW, Pan G, Pati A, Petras D, Reen FJ, Rosconi F, Rui Z, Tian Z, Tobias NJ, Tsunematsu Y, Wiemann P, Wyckoff E, Yan X, Yim G, Yu F, Xie Y, Aigle B, Apel AK, Balibar CJ, Balskus EP, Barona-Gómez F, Bechthold A, Bode HB, Borriss R, Brady SF, Brakhage AA, Caffrey P, Cheng YQ, Clardy J, Cox RJ, De Mot R, Donadio S, Donia MS, van der Donk WA, Dorrestein PC, Doyle S, Driessen AJM, Ehling-Schulz M, Entian KD, Fischbach MA, Gerwick L, Gerwick WH, Gross H, Gust B, Hertweck C, Höfte M, Jensen SE, Ju J, Katz L, Kaysser L, Klassen JL, Keller NP, Kormanec J, Kuipers OP, Kuzuyama T, Kyrpides NC, Kwon HJ, Lautru S, Lavigne R, Lee CY, Lincuan B, Liu X, Liu W, Luzhetskyy A, Mahmud T, Mast Y, Méndez C, Metsä-Ketelä M, Micklefield J, Mitchell DA, Moore BS, Moreira LM, Müller R, Neilan BA, Nett M, Nielsen J, O'Gara F, Oikawa H, Osbourn A, Osburne MS, Ostash B, Payne SM, Pernodet JL, Petricek M, Piel J, Ploux O, Raaijmakers JM, Salas JA, Schmitt EK, Scott B, Seipke RF, Shen Ben, Sherman DH, Sivonen K, Smanski MJ, Sosio M, Stegmann E, Süßmuth RD, Tahlan K, Thomas CM, Tang Y, Truman AW, Viaud M, Walton JD, Walsh CT, Weber T, van Wezel GP, Wilkinson B, Willey JM, Wohlleben W, Wright GD, Ziemert N, Zhang C, Zotchev SB, Breitling R, Takano E, Glöckner FO. Minimum Information about a Biosynthetic Gene cluster. *Nature*. 2015; 11:625–631.
20. Hingamp P, Brochier C, Talla E, Gautheret D, Thieffry D, Herrman C. Metagenome annotation using a distributed grid of undergraduate students. *PLoS Biol*. 2008; 6:2362–2367.
21. Donato JJ, Klimstra MA, Byrnes JR. The introduction of metagenomics into an undergraduate biochemistry laboratory course yielded a predicted reductase that decreases triclosan susceptibility in *Escherichia coli*. *DNA Cell Biol*. 2012; 31:968–973. [PubMed: 22339268]

22. Saez-Rodriguez J, Costello JC, Friend SH, Kellen MR, Mangravite L, Meyer P, Norman T, Stolovitzky G. Crowdsourcing biomedical research: leveraging communities as innovation engines. *Nat Rev Genet.* 2016; 17:470–486. [PubMed: 27418159]
23. Rallapalli G, Saunders D, Yoshida K, Edwards A. Lessons from Fraxinus, a crowd-sourced citizen science game in genomics. *Elif.* 2015; 4:e07460.
24. Ruiz-Primo MA, Briggs D, Iverson H, Talbot R, Shepard LA. Impact of undergraduate science course innovations on learning. *Science.* 2011; 331:1269–1270. [PubMed: 21393529]
25. Gernsbacher MA. Why internet-based education? *Front Psychol.* 2014; 5:1530. [PubMed: 25653625]
26. Spence LD. The case against teaching. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning.* 2001; 33(6): 10–19. [Online]. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00091380109601822> (accessed Sept 1, 2017).
27. McDowell, ZJ. Student learning outcomes using Wikipedia-based assignments fall 2016 research report. Wiki Education Foundation; 2017. p. 1-19. [Online]. https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/4/45/Student_Learning_Outcomes_using_Wikipedia-based_Assignments_Fall_2016_Research_Report.pdf (accessed Sept 1, 2017)
28. Mandler MD. Glaring chemical errors persist for years on Wikipedia. *J Chem Ed.* 2017; 94:271–272.
29. Haas, KL. Bioinorganic Chemistry YouTube Playlist. https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLsLgQpIc_XcmbaP2p2Hz0k_IQ_XFGsAfN (accessed Sept 1, 2017)
30. Haas, KL. CoRe resources webpage. <https://khaaslab.com/core/> (accessed Sept 1, 2017)
31. Campaign: Year of Science Program. a list of courses which participated in the WikiEdu Year of Science. 2016. https://meta.wikimedia.org/wiki/Wiki_Education_Foundation/Year_of_Science_evaluation (accessed Sept 1, 2017)
32. Moy CL, Locke JR, Coppola BP. Improving science education and understanding through editing Wikipedia. *J Chem Educ.* 2010; 87:1159–1162.
33. Roth A, Davis R, Carver B. Assigning Wikipedia editing: Triangulation toward understanding university student engagement. *First Monday.* 2013; 18
34. Larsen, DS., Rusay, R., Beldford, R., Kennepohl, D., Bennett, D., Soult, A., McCollum, B., Keasler, S., Halpern, J., Soderberg, T., Kost, K., Stockwell, WR., Haas, K., Morsh, LA. Come join the party!: Recent progress of the community based Libretexts (NéE Chemwiki) project. American Chemical Society Division of Chemical Education Committee on Computers in Chemical Education. 2017. [Online]. <https://confchem.ccce.divched.org/sites/confchem.ccce.divched.org/files/P5Spring2017CCCENL.pdf> (accessed Sept 1, 2017)
35. Lopatto, D. Science in Solution: The Impact of Undergraduate Research on Student Learning. Research Corporation for Science Advancement; Tucson, Arizona: 2009. p. 1-116.
36. Freeman S, Eddy SL, McDonough M, Smith MK, Okoroafor N, Jordt H, Wenderoth MP. Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2014; 111:8410–8415. [PubMed: 24821756]
37. Cavanagh AJ, Aragón OR, Chen X, Couch B, Durham M, Bobrownicki A, Hanauer DI, Graham MJ. Student buy-in to active learning in a college science course. *CBE Life Sci Educ.* 2016; 15
38. Lopatto, D. RISC survey. <https://www.grinnell.edu/academics/areas/psychology/assessments/risc-survey> (accessed October 10, 2017)
39. Charkoudian, LK. Spring 2017 RISC report. http://ww3.haverford.edu/chemistry/Charkoudian/in_the_classroom/2017%20RISC%20Survey.pdf. (accessed October 10, 2017)
40. Banger G, Brownell SE. Course-based undergraduate research experiences can make scientific research more inclusive. *CBE Life Sci Educ.* 2014; 15:ar76.
41. Lorenzo M, Crouch CH, Mazur E. Reducing the gender gap in the physics classroom. *Am J Phys.* 2006; 74:118–122.
42. Haak DC, HilleRisLambers J, Pitre E, Freeman S. Increased structure and active learning reduce the achievement gap in introductory biology. *Science.* 2011; 332:1213–1216. [PubMed: 21636776]
43. Higher Education Opportunity Act. 2008. Pub. L. No.110-315, 112 Stat. 3078, <http://www2.ed.gov/policy/highered/leg/hea08/index.html> (accessed Sept 1, 2017)

44. Shortlidge EE, Brownell SE. How to assess your CURE: A practical guide for instructors of course-based undergraduate research experiences. *J Microbiol Biol Ed.* 2016; 17(3):399–408.
45. McMullan M, Endacott R, Gray MA, Jasper M, Miller CML, Scholes J, Webb C. Portfolios and assessment of competence: a review of the literature. *J Adv Nurs.* 2003; 41:283–294. [PubMed: 12581116]
46. Bell JK, Eckdahl TT, Hecht DA, Killion PJ, Latzer J, Mans TL, Provost JJ, Rakus JF, Siebrasse EA, Ellis Bell J. CUREs in biochemistry-where we are and where we should go. *Biochem Mol Biol Educ.* 2017; 45:7–12. [PubMed: 27357379]
47. Leung W, Shaffer CD, Reed LK, Smith ST, Barshop W, Dirkes W, Dothager M, Lee P, Wong J, Xiong D, Yuan H, Bedard JEJ, Machone JF, Patterson SD, Price AL, Turner BA, Robic S, Luippold EK, McCartha SR, Walji TA, Walker CA, Saville K, Abrams MK, Armstrong AR, Armstrong W, Bailey RJ, Barberi CR, Beck LR, Blaker AL, Blunden CE, Brand JP, Brock EJ, Brooks DW, Brown M, Butzler SC, Clark EM, Clark NB, Collins AA, Cotteleer RJ, Cullimore PR, Dawson SG, Docking CT, Dorsett SL, Dougherty GA, Downey KA, Drake AP, Earl EK, Floyd TG, Forsyth JD, Foust JD, Franchi SL, Geary JF, Hanson CK, Harding TS, Harris CB, Heckman JM, Holderness HL, Howey NA, Jacobs DA, Jewell ES, Kaisler M, Karaska EA, Kehoe JL, Koaches HC, Koehler J, Koenig D, Kujawski AJ, Kus JE, Lammers JA, Leads RR, Leatherman EC, Lippert RN, Messenger GS, Morrow AT, Newcomb V, Plasman HJ, Potocny SJ, Powers MK, Reem RM, Rennhack JP, Reynolds KR, Reynolds LA, Rhee DK, Rivard AB, Ronk AJ, Rooney MB, Rubin LS, Salbert LR, Saluja RK, Schauder T, Schreiber AR, Schulz RW, Smith KE, Spencer S, Swanson BR, Tache MA, Tewilliger AA, Tilot AK, VanEck E, Villerot MM, Vylonis MB, Watson DT, Wurzler JA, Wysocki LM, Yalamanchili M, Zaborowicz MA, Emerson JA, Ortiz C, Deuschle FJ, DiLorenzo LA, Goeller KL, Macchi CR, Muller SE, Pasierb BD, Sable JE, Tucci JM, Tynon M, Dunbar DA, Beken LH, Conturso AC, Danner BL, DeMichele GA, Gonzales JA, Hammond MS, Kelley CV, Kelly EA, Kulich D, Mageeney CM, McCabe NL, Newman AM, Spaeder LA, Tumminello RA, Revie D, Benson JM, Cristostomo MC, DaSilva PA, Harker KS, Jarrell JN, Jimenez LA, Katz BM, Kennedy WR, Kolibas KS, LeBlanc MT, Nguyen TT, Nicolas DS, Patao MD, Patao SM, Rupley BJ, Sessions BJ, Weaver JA, Goodman AL, Alvendia EL, Baldassari SM, Brown AS, Chase IO, Chen M, Chiang S, Cromwell AB, Custer AF, DiTommaso TM, El-Adaimi J, Goscinski NC, Grove RA, Gutierrez N, Harnoto RS, Hedeon H, Hong EL, Hopkins BL, Huerta VF, Khoshabian C, LaForge KM, Lee CT, Lewis BM, Lydon AM, Maniaci BJ, Mitchell RD, Morlock EV, Morris WM, Naik P, Olson NC, Osterloh JM, Perez MA, Presley JD, Randazzo MJ, Regan MK, Rossi FG, Smith MA, Soliterman EA, Sparks CJ, Tran DL, Wan T, Welker AA, Wong JN, Sreenivasan A, Youngblom J, Adams A, Alldredge J, Bryant A, Carranza D, Cifelli A, Coulson K, Debow C, Delacruz N, Emerson C, Farrar C, Foret D, Garibay E, Gooch J, Heslop M, Kaur S, Khan A, Kim V, Lamb T, Lindbeck P, Lucas G, Macias E, Martiniuc D, Mayorga L, Medina J, Membreno N, Messiah S, Neufeld L, Nguyen SF, Nichols Z, Odisho G, Peterson D, Rodela L, Rodriguez P, Rodriguez V, Ruiz J, Sherrill W, Silva V, Sparks J, Statton G, Townsend A, Valdez I, Waters M, Westphal K, Winkler S, Zumkehr J, DeJong RJ, Hoogewerf AJ, Ackerman CM, Armistead IO, Baatenburg L, Borr MJ, Brouwer LK, Burkhardt BJ, Bushhouse KT, Cesko L, Choi TYY, Cohen H, Damsteegt AM, Darusz JM, Dauphin CM, Davis YP, Diekema EJ, Drewry M, Eisen MEM, Faber HM, Faber KJ, Feenstra E, Felzer-Kim IT, Hammond BL, Hendriksma J, Herrold MR, Hilbrands JA, Howell EJ, Jelgerhuis SA, Jelsema TR, Johnson BK, Jones KK, Kim A, Kooienga RD, Menyes EE, Nollert EA, Plescher BE, Rios L, Rose JL, Schepers AJ, Scott G, Smith JR, Sterling AM, Tenney JC, Uitvlugt C, VanDyken RE, VanderVennen M, Vue S, Kokan NP, Agbley K, Boham SK, Broomfield D, Chapman K, Dobbe A, Dobbe I, Harrington W, Ibrahim M, Kennedy A, Koplinsky CA, Kubricky C, Ladzekpo D, Pattison C, Ramirez RE Jr, Wande L, Woehlke S, Wawersik M, Kiernan E, Thompson JS, Banker R, Bartling JR, Bhatiya CI, Boudoures AL, Christiansen L, Fosselman DS, French KM, Gill IS, Havill JT, Johnson JL, Keny LJ, Kerber JM, Klett BM, Kufel CN, May FJ, Mecoli JP, Merry CR, Meyer LR, Miller EG, Mullen GJ, Palozola KC, Pfeil JJ, Thomas JG, Verbofsky EM, Spana EP, Agarwalla A, Chapman J, Chlebina B, Chong I, Falk IN, Fitzgibbons JD, Friedman H, Ighile O, Kim AJ, Knouse KA, Kung F, Mammo D, Ng CL, Nikam VS, Norton D, Pham P, Polk JW, Prasad S, Rankin H, Ratliff CD, Scala V, Schwartz NU, Shuen JA, Xu A, Xu TQ, Zhang Y, Rosenwald AG, Burg MG, Adams SJ, Baker M, Botsford B, Brinkley B, Brown C, Emiah S, Enoch E, Gier C, Greenwell A, Hoogenboom L, Matthews JE, McDonald M, Mercer A, Monsma N, Ostby K, Ramic A, Shallman D, Simon M, Spencer E, Tomkins T, Wendland P, Wylie A, Wolyniak MJ, Robertson GM, Smith

SI, DiAngelo JR, Sassu ED, Bhalla SC, Sharif KA, Choeying T, Macias JS, Sanusi F, Torchon K, Bednarski AE, Alvarez CJ, Davis KC, Dunham CA, Grantham AJ, Hare AN, Schottler J, Scott ZW, Kuleck GA, Yu NS, Kaehler MM, Jipp J, Overvoorde PJ, Shoop E, Cyrankowski O, Hoover B, Kusner M, Lin D, Martinov T, Misch J, Salzman G, Schiedermayer H, Snaveley M, Zarrasola S, Parrish S, Baker A, Beckett A, Belella C, Bryant J, Conrad T, Fearnow A, Gomez C, Herbstsomer RA, Hirsch S, Johnson C, Jones M, Kabaso R, Lemmon E, Vieira CMDs, McFarland D, McLaughlin C, Morgan A, Musokotwane S, Neutzling W, Nietmann J, Paluskiewicz C, Penn J, Peoples E, Pozmanter C, Reed E, Rigby N, Schmidt L, Shelton M, Shuford R, Tirasawasdichai T, Undem B, Urick D, Vondy K, Yarrington B, Eckdahl TT, Poet JL, Allen AB, Anderson JE, Barnett JM, Baumgardner JS, Brown AD, Carney JE, Chavez RA, Christgen SL, Christie JS, Clary AN, Conn MA, Cooper KM, Crowley MJ, Crowley ST, Doty JS, Dow BA, Edwards CR, Elder DD, Fanning JP, Janssen BM, Lambright AK, Lane CE, Limle AB, Mazur T, McCracken MR, McDonough AM, Melton AD, Minnick PJ, Musick AE, Newhart WH, Noynaert JW, Ogden BJ, Sandusky MW, Schmucker SM, Shipman AL, Smith AL, Thomsen KM, Unzicker MR, Vernon WB, Winn WW, Woyski DS, Zhu X, Du C, Ament C, Aso S, Bisogno LS, Caronna J, Fefelova N, Lopez L, Malkowitz L, Marra J, Menillo D, Obiorah I, Onsarigo EN, Primus S, Soos M, Tare A, Zidan A, Jones CJ, Aronhalt T, Bellush JM, Burke C, DeFazio S, Does BR, Johnson TD, Keysock N, Knudsen NH, Messler J, Myirski K, Reka J, Rempe RM, Salgado MS, Stagaard E, Starcher JR, Waggoner AW, Yemelyanova AK, Hark AT, Bertolet A, Kuschner CE, Parry K, Quach M, Shantzer L, Shaw ME, Smith MA, Glenn O, Mason P, Williams C, Key SCS, Henry TCP, Johnson AG, White JX, Haberman A, Asinof S, Drumm K, Freeburg T, Safa N, Schultz D, Shevin Y, Svoronos P, Vuong T, Wellinghoff J, Hoopes LLM, Chau KM, Ward A, Regisford EGC, Augustine L, Davis-Reyes B, Echendu V, Hales J, Ibarra S, Johnson L, Ovu S, Braverman JM, Bahr TJ, Caesar NM, Campana C, Cassidy DW, Cognetti PA, English JD, Fadus MC, Fick CN, Freda PJ, Hennessy BM, Hockenberger K, Jones JK, King JE, Knob CR, Kraftmann KJ, Li L, Lupey LN, Minniti CJ, Minton TF, Moran JV, Mudumbi K, Nordman EC, Puetz WJ, Robinson LM, Rose TJ, Sweeney EP, Timko AS, Paetkau DW, Eisler HL, Aldrup ME, Bodenberg JM, Cole MG, Deranek KM, DeShetler M, Dowd RM, Eckardt AK, Ehret SC, Fese J, Garrett AD, Kammrath A, Kappes ML, Light MR, Meier AC, O'Rourke A, Perella M, Ramsey K, Ramthun JR, Reilly MT, Robinett D, Rossi NL, Schueler MG, Shoemaker E, Starkey KM, Vetter A, Vrabie A, Chandrasekaran V, Beck C, Hatfield KR, Herrick DA, Khoury CB, Lea C, Louie CA, Lowell SM, Reynolds TJ, Schibler J, Scoma AH, Smith-Gee MT, Tuberty S, Smith CD, Lopilato JE, Hauke J, Roeklein-Canfield JA, Corriell M, Gilman H, Intriago S, Maffa A, Rauf SA, Thistle K, Trieu M, Winters J, Yang B, Hauser CR, Abusheikh T, Ashrawi Y, Benitez P, Boudreaux LR, Bourland M, Chavez M, Cruz S, Elliott G, Farek JR, Flohr S, Flores AH, Friedrichs C, Fusco Z, Goodwin Z, Helmreich E, Kiley J, Knepper JM, Langner C, Martinez M, Mendoza C, Naik M, Ochoa A, Ragland N, Raimey E, Rathore S, Reza E, Sadovsky G, Seydoux MIB, Smith JE, Unruh AK, Velasquez V, Wolski MW, Gosser Y, Govind S, Clarke-Medley N, Guadron L, Lau D, Lu A, Mazzeo C, Meghdari M, Ng S, Pamnani B, Plante O, Shum YKW, Song R, Johnson DE, Abdelnabi M, Archambault A, Chamma N, Gaur S, Hammett D, Kandahari A, Khayrullina G, Kumar S, Lawrence S, Madden N, Mandelbaum M, Milnthorp H, Mohini S, Patel R, Peacock SJ, Perling E, Quintana A, Rahimi M, Ramirez K, Singhal R, Weeks C, Wong T, Gillis AT, Moore ZD, Savell CD, Watson R, Mel SF, Anilkumar AA, Bilinski P, Castillo R, Closser M, Cruz NM, Dai T, Garbagnati GF, Horton LS, Kim D, Lau JH, Liu JZ, Mach SD, Phan TA, Ren Y, Stapleton KE, Strelitz JM, Sunjed R, Stamm J, Anderson MC, Bonifield BG, Coomes D, Dillman A, Durchholz EJ, Fafara-Thompson AE, Gross MJ, Gygi AM, Jackson LE, Johnson A, Kocsisova Z, Manghelli JL, McNeil K, Murillo M, Naylor KL, Neely J, Ogawa EE, Rich A, Rogers A, Spencer JD, Stemler KM, Throm AA, Van Camp M, Weihbrecht K, Wiles TA, Williams MA, Williams M, Zoll K, Bailey C, Zhou L, Balthaser DM, Bashiri A, Bower ME, Florian KA, Ghavam N, Greiner-Sosanko ES, Karim H, Mullen VW, Pelchen CE, Yenerall PM, Zhang J, Rubin MR, Arias-Mejias SM, Bermudez-Capo AG, Bernal-Vega GV, Colon-Vazquez M, Flores-Vazquez A, Gines-Rosario M, Llavona-Cartagena IG, Martinez-Rodriguez JO, Ortiz-Fuentes L, Perez-Colomba EO, Perez-Otero J, Rivera E, Rodriguez-Giron LJ, Santiago-Sanabria AJ, Senquiz-Gonzalez AM, delValle FRS, Vargas-Franco D, Velázquez-Soto KI, Zambrana-Burgos JD, Martinez-Cruzado JC, Asencio-Zayas L, Babilonia-Figueroa K, Beauchamp-Pérez FD, Bel surname;n-Rodríguez J, Bracero-Quini initial;ones L, Burgos-Bula AP, Collado-Méndez XA, Coltials_e;n-Cruz LR, Correa-Muller AI, Crooke-Rosado JL, Cruz-García JM, Defendini-Ávila M, Delgado-Peraza FM, Feliciano-Cancela AJ, González-Pérez

VM, Guiblet W, Heredia-Negrón A, Hernández-Muñiz J, Irizarry-González LN, Laboy-Corales AL, Llaurador-Caraballo GA, Marín-Maldonado F, Marrero-Llerena U, Martell-Martínez HA, Martínez-Traverso IM, Medina-Ortega KN, Méndez-Castellanos SG, Menéndez-Serrano KC, Morales-Caraballo CI, Ortiz-DeChoudens S, Ortiz-Ortiz P, Pagán-Torres H, Pérez-Afanador D, Quintana-Torres EM, Ramírez-Aponte EG, Riascos-Cuero C, Rivera-Llovet MS, Rivera-Pagán IT, Rivera-Vicéns RE, Robles-Juarbe F, Rodríguez-Bonilla L, Rodríguez-Echevarría BO, Rodríguez-García PM, Rodríguez-Laboy AE, Rodríguez-Santiago S, Rojas-Vargas ML, Rubio-Marrero EN, Santiago-Colón A, Santiago-Ortiz JL, Santos-Ramos CE, Serrano-González J, Tamayo-Figueroa AM, Tascón-Peñaranda EP, Torres-Castillo JL, Valentín-Feliciano NA, Valentín-Feliciano YM, Vargas-Barreto NM, Vélez-Vázquez M, Vilanova-Vélez LR, Zambrana-Echevarría C, MacKinnon C, Chung HM, Kay C, Pinto A, Kopp OR, Burkhardt J, Harward C, Allen R, Bhat P, Chang JHC, Chen Y, Chesley C, Cohn D, DuPuis D, Fasano M, Fazzio N, Gavinski K, Gebreyesus H, Giarla T, Gostelow M, Greenstein R, Gunasinghe H, Hanson C, Hay A, He TJ, Homa K, Howe R, Howenstein J, Huang H, Khatri A, Kim YL, Knowles O, Kong S, Krock R, Kroll M, Kuhn J, Kwong M, Lee B, Lee R, Levine K, Li Y, Liu B, Liu L, Liu M, Lousararian A, Ma J, Mallya A, Manchee C, Marcus J, McDaniel S, Miller ML, Molleston JM, Diez CM, Ng P, Ngai N, Nguyen H, Nylander A, Pollack J, Rastogi S, Reddy H, Regenold N, Sarezyk J, Schultz M, Shim J, Skorupa T, Smith K, Spencer SJ, Srikanth P, Stancu G, Stein AP, Strother M, Sudmeier L, Sun M, Sundaram V, Tazudeen N, Tseng A, Tzeng A, Venkat R, Venkataram S, Waldman L, Wang T, Yang H, Yu JY, Zheng Y, Preuss ML, Garcia A, Juergens M, Morris RW, Nagengast AA, Azarewicz J, Carr TJ, Chichear N, Colgan M, Donegan M, Gardner B, Kolba N, Krumm JL, Lytle S, MacMillian L, Miller M, Montgomery A, Moretti A, Offenbacher B, Polen M, Toth J, Woytanowski J, Kadlec L, Crawford J, Spratt ML, Adams AL, Barnard BK, Cheramie MN, Eime AM, Golden KL, Hawkins AP, Hill JE, Kampmeier JA, Kern CD, Magnuson EE, Miller AR, Morrow CM, Peairs JC, Pickett GL, Popelka SA, Scott AJ, Teepe EJ, TerMeer KA, Watchinski CA, Watson LA, Weber RE, Woodard KA, Barnard DC, Appiah I, Giddens MM, McNeil GP, Adebayo A, Bagaeva K, Chinwong J, Dol C, George E, Haltaufderhyde K, Haye J, Kaur M, Semon M, Serjanov D, Toorie A, Wilson C, Riddle NC, Buhler J, Mardis ER, Elgin SCR. *Drosophila muller* F elements maintain a distinct set of genomic properties over 40 million years of evolution. *G3*. 2015; 5:719–740. [PubMed: 25740935]

48. Clark TM, Ricciardo R, Weaver T. Transitioning from expository laboratory experiments to course-based undergraduate research in general chemistry. *J Chem Ed*. 2016; 93:56–63.
49. Attendees of the Cottrell Scholar Collaborative workshop on increasing adoption of CUREs and inquiry-based labs in the physical sciences. Adding Research to a Class. 2017. p. 1-2.[Online]. <http://rescorp.org/gdresources/docs/CURE-quick-start.pdf> (accessed Sept 1, 2017)

Figure.

Table 1

Examples of CUREs at the interface of chemistry and biology generated from responses to a call for CURE examples on the CURE Facebook (www.facebook.com) group page “Adding Research to a Class”.

Faculty Member	Course Level	Enrollment	Research Project Description
Kelly Barry, Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville	Introductory	350	Biodiesel production from microalgae
Kevin M. Shea and David J. Gorin, Smith College	Introductory	16	Synthesis and bioactivity of anthelmintic neuroleptin analogs
Ratna Gupta, Our Lady of the Lake College	Introductory and Advanced	10 (Intro); 12 (Advanced)	Analysis of enzymes present in ‘over-the-counter’ supplements and fresh fruits/vegetables (Intro); Isolation and characterization of an enzyme present in bovine liver (Advanced)
Pamela Hanson and Laura Stultz, Birmingham-Southern College	Introductory and Advanced	12–20	Exploring the chemistry and biology of anticancer ruthenium complexes
Moriah R. Beck, Wichita State University	Advanced	24	Protein structure, function, and biochemical adaptation: Predicting the outcomes of amino acid substitutions at non-conserved positions
Louise K. Charkoudian and Robert Fairman, Haverford College	Advanced	16	Analysis and engineering of protein-protein interactions involved in natural product biosynthesis
Katherine L. Hayden, Birmingham-Southern College	Advanced	10	Isolation, purification and biophysical characterization of glycolytic enzymes in parasites for drug discovery in neglected tropical diseases and cancer
Kathryn L. Haas and Jennifer Fishovitz, Saint Mary’s College, IN	Advanced	10–12	Investigating the mechanism of copper reduction by the human copper transport protein
Shahir S. Rizk, Indiana University South Bend	Advanced	12	Developing biosensors for the herbicide glyphosate using engineered mutants of a periplasmic binding protein
Alice Robson and Mark Dillingham, University of Bristol, UK	Advanced	25	Identification and characterization of putative prokaryotic ATPase enzymes
Penny J. Beuning, Northeastern University	Advanced	40	Enzyme function annotation in chemical biology
Jen Heemstra, Emory University	Advanced	60	Kinetics of bioconjugation using strainpromoted azide-alkyne cycloaddition
Jennifer A. Prescher, University of California, Irvine	Advanced	80–120	High-throughput drug screening using a luminescent cellular cytotoxicity assay
Linda Columbus, University of Virginia	Advanced	90	Known structure, unknown function (http://biochemlab.org)
Olalla Vázquez, Philipps-Universität Marburg	Advanced	20	A chemical biology approach to the epigenetics

Table 2

Example CoRe projects in three digital platforms.

Information Platform	CoRe Project	Benefit to Community	Student Gains	Example
YouTube	Students develop new educational videos about chemistry topics or laboratory techniques.	Expansion of publicly available educational video library.	Learn to use software, produce images, edit video, become aware of accessibility issues, develop communication skills, in-depth learning of topic.	^{29,30}
Wikipedia	Students edit existing articles or create new articles, design and create original figures.	Increased access to information, increased clarity of information, expansion of public information library.	Digital/information literacy, critical research, teamwork, writing skills, writing for a public audience, in-depth learning of topic.	³¹
LibreTexts	Students develop a new article on a topic including figures and linked to external resources like YouTube videos or 3D print files.	Increased access to information, increased clarity of information, expansion of open-access pedagogical resources.	All of the above.	³⁰